All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Risk of hypothyroidism in meat-eaters, fish-eaters, and vegetarians: A populationbased prospective study

Catharina J. Candussi^{1,2}, William Bell³, Alysha S. Thompson³, Sven Knüppel⁴, Martina Gaggl^{1,2}, Martin Světnička^{5,6,7}, Jan Gojda^{6,7}, Aedín Cassidy³, Cornelia Weikert⁴, Reynalda Córdova¹, Tilman Kühn^{1,2,3*}

1 Department of Nutritional Sciences, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

2 Center for Public Health, Public Health Nutrition, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

3 Co-Centre for Sustainable Food Systems and The Institute for Global Food Security, Queen's University Belfast, Northern Ireland, United Kingdom

4 Unit "Human Study Centre Consumer Health Protection", Department Food Safety, German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment, Germany

5 Centre for Research on Diabetes Metabolism, and Nutrition of Third Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic.

6 Department of Internal Medicine, University Hospital Královské Vinohrady and Third Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic.

7 Department of Paediatrics, University Hospital Královské Vinohrady and Third Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, Prague, Czech

*Corresponding Author: Tilman Kühn, t.kuehn@univie.ac.at

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Abstract

Background: Globally, plant-based diets are gaining in popularity. Whilst their cardiometabolic benefits are well-documented, there is a lack of studies examining the associations between plant-based diets and risk of hypothyroidism.

Methods: We analysed data from the UK Biobank cohort. Multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression models were used to estimate hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% Cls) for incident hypothyroidism across vegans, vegetarians, pescatarians, poultry eaters, low meat eaters, and high meat eaters aged 40-69 years. Ancillary to this, we carried out logistic regression analyses to evaluate associations between the diet groups and prevalent hypothyroidism (according to International Classification of Diseases (ICD) codes at baseline.

Results: We included 494,437 individuals from the UK Biobank, of which 231,906 followed a high-meat, 236,510 a low-meat, 5,662 a poultry-based, 11,286 a pescatarian, 8,654 a vegetarian, and 419 a vegan diet. During a median (SD) follow-up of 12.7 (\pm 3.2) years, 24,240 participants developed hypothyroidism. In multivariable Cox regression models without adjustment for body mass index (BMI), none of the diets were significantly associated with the risk of hypothyroidism. However, there was a tendency for a higher risk of hypothyroidism among vegetarians compared to people following a high-meat diet (HR: 1.08, 95% CI: 0.98 - 1.19). After controlling for BMI the association for vegetarians (HR = 1.18, 95% CI 1.07 – 1.30) became stronger and statistically significant. Furthermore, we observed a positive association between a vegetarian (OR: 1.37, 95% CI: 1.14 - 1.63) and a poultry diet (OR: 1.35, 95% CI: 1.10 - 1.63) with hypothyroidism prevalence.

Conclusion: In the present study, we found a moderately higher risk of hypothyroidism among vegetarians, after controlling for BMI, a potential collider. This slightly higher risk of hypothyroidism among vegetarians, requires further investigation, taking iodine status and thyroid hormone levels into account.

Keywords

Plant-based diets, hypothyroidism, vegan, vegetarian, thyroid, iodine

1. Introduction

Globally plant-based diets are gaining popularity in Western countries. Reasons for this include their potential health benefits, but also animal rights and environmental considerations (1). According to the European 'Smart Protein' project approximately 27% of Europeans identify as flexitarian (who try to reduce their animal foods consumption), 4% as pescatarian (who eat fish or shellfish but not any other kind of meat), and 5% as vegetarians (who do not eat meat or fish), while 3% stated that they followed a vegan (who do not eat any kind of animal products) diet (2). Previous research has shown that plant-based diets are associated with lower risks of chronic disease such as type 2 diabetes (3), total cancer (4), cardiovascular disease (5) as well as all-cause mortality (6, 7). Potential health benefits from plant-based diets are particularly noticeable when they are composed of high-quality foods and low in snacks, sugary drinks and ultra-processed foods (6, 8). However, those who consume strict vegan diets may be at risk of critically low intakes of essential nutrients such as n-3 fatty acids, zinc, iron, calcium, or iodine (9-11).

lodine is a key element for thyroid hormone production. Therefore, an insufficient iodine intake may have detrimental effects on thyroid function, which can lead to goitre, thyroid nodules, and hypothyroidism (12). Additionally, iodine is essential for central nervous system development. Insufficient intake during pregnancy can result in creationism in children which is characterized by impaired growth and intellectual disabilities (13). A sufficient iodine status should be achieved with a daily intake of 150 μ g, although a higher intake of 200 μ g/day is recommended for pregnant and lactating women (14).

Globally, the leading cause of thyroid disorders, including hypothyroidism, is iodine deficiency (15). In regions where iodine is sufficient, the most common cause of hypothyroidism is Hashimoto's disease, also known as chronic autoimmune thyroiditis (15, 16). In Europe, the prevalence of hypothyroidism is around 3%, whereby around 5% of hypothyroidism cases may remain undiagnosed (17). Universal salt iodization programs have effectively enhanced iodine intake across numerous countries. In countries such as the United Kingdom (UK), where salt is not routinely iodised, animal products, particularly milk and dairy, as well as seafood, fish and seaweed, contribute significantly to dietary iodine (18-20). However, iodine concentration in milk varies substantially depending on season, soil, farming practices and processing (21).

Thus, in the context of a global shift towards predominantly plant-based diets, there is a risk of insufficient iodine intake (22).

Due to the limited research on plant-based diets and hypothyroidism, along with the higher risk of iodine insufficiency for individuals following these diets, the aim of this study was to assess the risk of hypothyroidism among individuals with different types of diet (high-meat eaters, low-meat eaters, poultry eaters, fish-eaters, vegetarians and vegans) using data from the population-based UK Biobank. Our hypothesis was that more plant-based diets would be associated with higher risk due to lower iodine contents, particularly in the UK, where iodine fortification is not mandatory.

2. Methods

2.1. Study Population

The present analyses are based on data form the UK Biobank, a prospective cohort study comprised of over 500,000 participants aged between 40 and 69 years in the UK. The cohort was conducted between 2006 to 2010 in 22 different assessment centres across England, Scotland and Wales. During the baseline assessment, participants completed a comprehensive touchscreen questionnaire covering details on lifestyle, socioeconomic status and health status as well as 29 items on the frequency of the consumption of certain foods. Additionally, trained professionals conducted physical measurements, and participants provided biological samples. A detailed description of the UK Biobank, including details of the baseline assessment can be found elsewhere (23, 24). Ethical approval for the UK Biobank cohort was obtained from the National Health Service North West Multi-Centre Research Ethics Committee. Written informed consent was received from all participants.

Participants with insufficient data on food frequencies at baseline were excluded from the present analyses (n = 7,748), resulting in a total of 494,437 participants eligible for inclusion in this study. For Cox models, all prevalent hypothyroidism cases (n = 6,633) at baseline were excluded. Furthermore, we had to exclude 284,822 individuals from analysis on iodine intake due to incomplete dietary data (see supplementary Figure 1).

2.2. Dietary Assessment and Categorisation

Study participants were categorised into 6 different diet groups (high meat eater, low meat eater, poultry eater, pescatarian, vegetarian and vegan) according to self-reported food intake

in a touchscreen food frequency questionnaire at recruitment, as described by Bradbury et al. and Parra-Soto et al. (25, 26). Thereby the consumption of 29 foods such as processed meat, lamb, pork, poultry, chicken, beef, turkey, oily and non-oily fish as well as cheese and eggs were determined, with frequencies ranging from 0 (never) to 5 (once or more daily). High meat eaters had to consume processed or unprocessed meat (poultry, red meat) more than "5-6 times a week". Low meat eaters had to eat processed or unprocessed meat at least "less than once a week" but less than "5-6 times a week". Poultry eaters did not consume red or processed meat, pescatarians did not consume red or processed meat and poultry, vegetarians did not consume red or processed meat, poultry, or fish. Lastly, the vegan group was defined as individuals "never" eating any animal products (see supplementary Figure 2) (25, 26). Detailed data on the consumption of iodine rich foods and iodine intake was obtained by the validated online Oxford WebQ 24-hour dietary questionnaire (27) for a subgroup of 209,615 study participants.

2.3. Case Ascertainment

Only potential diet- or iodine related cases of hypothyroidism were used as endpoints for the present study using the UK Biobank's hospital inpatient data linkage. These cases were identified using the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) codes: the 9th edition (ICD-9) code 244, representing 'Acquired Hypothyroidism', and the 10th edition (ICD-10) codes E01.1, E01.8, E02, and E03.9, corresponding to 'lodine-Deficiency Related Multinodular (Endemic) Goiter', 'Other Iodine-Deficiency Related Thyroid Disorders and Allied Conditions', 'Subclinical Iodine-Deficiency Hypothyroidism', and 'Unspecified Hypothyroidism', respectively. Hypothyroidism cases were defined as incident when occurring after baseline assessment and as prevalent when occurring before or at baseline assessment. Nevertheless, a sensitivity analysis was conducted including all cases of hypothyroidism, as identified by the broader ICD-9 codes 240–279, which encompass 'Endocrine, nutritional, and metabolic diseases' and 'Immunity disorders,' as well as ICD-10 codes E00–E07, which cover 'Disorders of the thyroid gland.'

2.4. Covariate Assessment

Covariate information from baseline assessments was used as follows: Age (continuous: years), sex (categorical: male, female), ethnicity (categorical: Asian, Black, Mixed, White, Unknown), Body Mass Index (continuous: kg/m²), average income (categorical: <f18,000, f18000-f30,999, f31,000- f51,999, f52,000-f100,000, >f100,000, unknown), education

(categorical: Low, medium, high, unknown), smoking status (categorical: never, previous, current), thyroid impairing medication (categorical: no, yes; including data on the following drugs: Amiodarone, Carbamazepine product, Diethylcarbamazine,, Carbamazepine, Clozapine, Quetiapine, Lithium, Interferon alfa 2a, Interferon alfa 2b, Interferon alfa, Peginterferon alfa 2a, Peginterferon alfa 2b). A table of all covariates and their respective coding and item number from the UK Biobank's online showcase can be found in Supplementary Table 1.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

Descriptive characteristics were assessed across six different diet groups (high meat eaters, low meat eaters, poultry eaters, pescatarians, vegetarians and vegans) and shown as percentages for categorical variables and means ± standard deviations (SD) for continuous variables. To analyse the risk of hypothyroidism across the different diet groups, Cox proportional hazards regression models were used with age as the underlying time variable. The R packages 'survival' and 'survminer' were used to perform these analyses (28, 29).

Covariates for statistical adjustment were selected following a comprehensive literature search on potential risk factors for hypothyroidism. Based on literature by Chaker et al. (12), Lawton et al. (30), Tonstad et al. (31) and Rizzo et al. (32) we adjusted our Cox models for age, sex, ethnicity, average income, educational level, alcohol intake, physical activity, thyroid impairing medication and smoking status. Additionally, adjusted for alcohol intake and physical activity. However, these potential confounders did not significantly improve model fit and only marginally affected the main associations, which is why we excluded them from the final model. According to the literature, BMI was considered a potential confounder (33, 34). However, since hypothyroidism (possibly also before diagnosis) affects BMI through the physiological influence of thyroid hormones (35, 36), it is important to consider that BMI could be a collider, for which no adjustment should be carried out (see supplementary Figure 3). Therefore, our analyses are presented both with and without adjustment for BMI, the latter to account for potential collider bias. Furthermore, additional sensitivity analyses were conducted accounting for hypothyroidism subtypes restricting models to iodine related hypothyroidism vs. models based on all cases of hypothyroidism (see Case Ascertainment).

In addition to our main prospective analyses, we conducted logistic regression analyses to investigate whether diet groups were associated with prevalent hypothyroidism, adjusting for

the same set of confounders. Results were presented as Hazard Ratios and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) for Cox models, and Odds Ratio (OR) and 95% CIs for logistic regression analyses. The proportional hazards assumption was tested via the Schoenfeld residual test. No violations of proportionality were observed. Results were considered as statistically significant at a two-sided p-values of < 0.05. All statistical analyses were carried out using R (R version 4.3.1) (37).

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of the Study Population

Baseline characteristics of the cohort are presented in Table 1. Our analysis included a total of 487,524 participants, 52.8% of whom were female, with a mean age of 56.4 \pm 8.1 years. Overall, 47.2% followed a high meat diet, 47.5% a low meat diet, 1.1% a poultry diet, 2.3% a pescatarian diet, 1.7% a vegetarian diet, and >0.1% a vegan diet. During a mean follow-up period of 12.7 \pm 3.2 years, 24,233 (5%) participants developed potential iodine related hypothyroidism. The proportion of hypothyroidism cases across the diet groups were as follows: 4.4% among high meat eaters, 5.4% among low meat eaters, 6.1% among poultry eaters, 5.1% among pescatarians, 5.5% among vegetarians, and 4.8% among vegans (see Supplementary Table 2).

The consumption of iodine rich foods across diet groups among the 209,615 participants with 24-hour dietary data is shown in Supplementary Table 3. Intakes of dairy and cheese were similar among high and low meat eaters as well as poultry eaters, ranging from 0.5 to 0.65 servings per day. Pescatarians and vegetarians reported a higher dairy and cheese intake (0.71-0.94 servings/day), while vegans reported the lowest intakes (0.21 servings for dairy and 0.17 for cheese). Fish consumption was highest among pescatarians and poultry eaters (0.47 servings/day), while vegetarians and vegans reported a very low consumption (0.01 servings/day). Poultry intake was highest in high and low meat eaters (0.32-0.34 servings/day), while pescatarians and vegetarians reported to consume very little to none. Red meat consumption was most prevalent among high meat eaters (1.12 servings/day) and moderate in low meat eaters (0.72 servings/day). Poultry eaters, pescatarians, vegetarians, and vegans consumed minimal amounts of red meat (0.01 to 0.14 servings/day). Those following a high meat, low meat, poultry, or pescatarian diet had average iodine intakes of around 200 µg/day (range: 217-204 µg/day). In contrast, those following a vegetarian or vegan diet had mean

iodine intakes of 164 (±68) μ g/day and 93 (±45) μ g/day, respectively (see Supplementary Table 4). As seen in Supplementary Table 5 about 92% of vegans and 44% of vegetarians did not meet a sufficient daily iodine intake of 150 μ g.

Overall, individuals who developed hypothyroidism were more likely to be female (78%), had a higher BMI (28.5), and had a lower income (see Table 1). Similar patterns were observed for prevalent hypothyroidism cases (n = 6,620), with the majority being females (84%) and from lower income groups at baseline (see Supplementary Table 6).

3.2. Diets and Risk of Hypothyroidism

As shown in Figure 1, without BMI adjustment none of the diet groups were significantly associated with hypothyroidism risk, although a marginal association was observed for vegetarians (HR = $1.08\ 95\%$ Cl 0.98 - 1.19). After controlling for BMI the associations for low meat eaters (HR = $1.04\ 95\%$ Cl 1.01 - 1.07) poultry eaters (HR = $1.13\ 95\%$ Cl 1.01 - 1.25), pescatarians (HR = $1.10\ 95\%$ Cl 1.01 - 1.19), and vegetarians (HR = $1.18\ 95\%$ Cl 1.07 - 1.30) became stronger and statistically significant. In sensitivity analyses including non-iodine related hypothyroidism cases, we observed similar results across all diet groups (see supplementary Figure 4).




Figure 1: Hazard ratios (HR) and 95% Confidence intervals (95% CI) between diet groups and the risk of hypothyroidism with and without adjustment for body mass index (BMI). All models adjusted for sex, age, income, education, ethnicity, thyroid impairing medication and smoking status.

Logistic regression analyses on vegetarian diet and prevalent hypothyroidism showed a multivariable-adjusted OR (95% CI) of 1.20 (1.01 - 1.43) and 1.37 (1.14 – 1.63), respectively, after controlling for BMI (Table 2). Furthermore, greater odds for poultry eaters (OR = 1.35 95% CI 1.10 – 1.63) we observed after BMI adjustment.

4. Discussion

In the present study, we observed no statistically significant associations between diets lower in animal products and hypothyroidism risk in multivariable models not adjusted for BMI. After adjusting for BMI, the associations for low meat eaters (HR = $1.04\ 95\%\ Cl\ 1.01 - 1.07$), poultry eaters (HR = $1.12\ 95\%\ Cl\ 1.01 - 1.25$), pescatarians (HR = $1.10\ 95\%\ Cl\ 1.01 - 1.19$), and vegetarians (HR = $1.18\ 95\%\ Cl\ 1.07 - 1.30$) became slightly stronger in magnitude and statistically significant. Similarly, analyses on diet types and hypothyroidism cases at recruitment (prevalent cases) showed greater odds among vegetarians (OR= $1.37\ 95\%\ Cl\ 1.14$

- 1.63) and poultry eaters (OR = 1.35 95% Cl 1.10 - 1.63) compared to high meat eaters, while no associations between other diet types and hypothyroidism were observed.

Given the influence of BMI on our results, it is crucial to consider whether BMI constitutes a collider or a confounder regarding the association between type of diet and hypothyroidism. A confounder affects both the exposure and the outcome (38). A recent Mendelian randomization analysis by Qiu et al. indicates that BMI may affect hypothyroidism, the outcome in our study, as genetically predicted BMI was associated with higher risk of hypothyroidism (39). In turn, genetically predicted hypothyroidism was not associated with higher BMI. Considering the associations between BMI with both diet type and hypothyroidism in our study, adjustment for BMI as a confounder would be necessary. However, it seems likely that the type of diet in our study is a determinant of BMI rather than vice versa, given lower calorie intake among people following plant-based diets (40). In addition, a secound Mendelian randomization by Zhou et al. did not show an association between genetically predicted BMI and hypothyroidism (41). Alternatively, BMI may introduce collider bias, as it is influenced by both diet, through lower caloric intake among people following a more plant-based diet (40), and hypothyroidism, through the metabolic changes associated with the condition, even in its subclinical from with potential effects prior to diagnosis (42). While collider bias seems plausible, we can only speculate that undiagnosed hypothyroidism or impaired thyroid function affected BMI in our study, as no data on thyroid health prior to BMI measurements were available. Thus, despite studies on the occurrence of unrecognised subclinical hypothyroidism among people with higher BMI, the temporality of increases in BMI and the occurrence of hypothyroidism in our study remains unclear (17, 43).

In a similar study from the United States, Tonstad et al. analysed data of 97,000 American adults enrolled in the Adventist Health Study II (AHS-II). Study participants following a vegan diet did not show a higher risk of hypothyroidism compared to omnivores (OR 0.89, 95% CI: 0.78-1.01) (8). By contrast, a higher risk was observed for vegetarians (OR 1.09, 95% CI: 1.01-1.18) for hypothyroidism, which is in line with the present findings. Of note, the analyses of Tonstad et al. were adjusted for BMI. To our knowledge there are no other studies on diet types in relation to hypothyroidism, although two studies, in which dietary patterns were analysed, are somewhat consistent with our findings. Alkhatib et al. observed in a cohort from three cycles of National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys (NHANES) that people following a 'fat–processed grains–sugars–meats' or a 'oils–nuts–potatoes–low-fat meats'

dietary pattern had lower risk of hypothyroidism compared to those with 'fruits-whole grains-vegetables-dairy' pattern (44). In another cohort study from South Korea participants were grouped by four dietary patterns (Korean balanced diet, plant-based diet (PBD), Western-style diet (WSD) and rice-based diet). The study found that individuals with a high polygenic risk and a high plant-based diet score had a risk of hypothyroidism (45).

A systematic review and metanalysis including 11 studies (n = 4421) showed that a vegan diet is associated with lower intakes of iodine and lower median urinary iodine concentration (12.2– 44.0 µg/l) compared to omnivores (46). According to the world health organisation, optimal urinary iodine levels in adults range between 100–200 µg/l (47). A sufficient iodine status should be achieved with a daily intake of 150 µg, although a higher intake of 200 µg/day is recommended for pregnant and lactating women (14). Especially in regions where universal salt iodisation programmes are absent or voluntary such as the UK, studies suggest that vegetarian and vegan diets are not appropriate to meet dietary recommendations and may increase the risk for iodine deficiency (48, 49). This is also reflected by our results, with the majority of individuals following an omnivorous diet demonstrating adequate iodine intake (>150 µg), compared to 55.6% of vegetarians and just 7.8% of vegans.

The present results on iodine intake may seem surprising, as dairy products that are consumed by vegetarians are the main source of dietary iodine in the UK (50). However, there is wide variability in iodine levels in milk which depend on a range of factors including the farming practice used (21). Overall cow's milk naturally contains a low concentration of iodine but becomes a good source of this nutrient due to typical farming practices such as using ionized disinfectant or adding iodine salts to cattle feed (51). According to a market survey data conducted in the UK, around 20% of plant-based dairy products are fortified with iodine and iodine levels in cow milk were found to be ten times higher than those in plant-based alternatives (52). Iodine intakes were lower among vegetarians in our study compared to meat eaters and pescatarians, and the differences in average iodine intakes are potentially attributable to omitting fish and meat. Vegans, who had substantially lower iodine intakes compared to all other groups in our study, did not have a higher risk of hypothyroidism, although analyses among vegans were in our study were restricted to a very small number of vegans. Our finding of an only slightly greater risk of hypothyroidism among vegetarians could be partly due to the thyroid gland's ability to adapt to mild iodine deficiency, sustaining normal thyroid hormone synthesis (53). Henjum et al. investigated that thyroid hormone

concentrations among vegans and vegetarian remain normal, despite the fact that both diets are associated with lower urinary iodine concentrations (54). Extensive epidemiological research indicates that prolonged thyroid stimulation, resulting from this adaptive response, promotes thyroid enlargement. During this phase of follicular cell proliferation, there is an increased likelihood of mutations, which can lead to multifocal autonomous growth and subsequent thyroid dysfunction (53, 55). Thus, further research on plant-based diets and thyroid health from population-based studies in needed to monitor potential adverse effects.

One limitation of this study is its observational design, as residual or unmeasured confounding cannot be ruled out. Despite a substantial number of hypothyroidism cases during follow-up, our analyses were not well-powered to detect moderate associations among vegans due to the relatively low number of individuals in this group. Additionally, primary care data was not available to identify hypothyroidism cases in this study. Misclassification of diet groups is also a limitation, as participants may have altered their diet during the follow-up or underreported their intake. This is reflected by the lower amounts of meat and fish consumption reported during 24-hour dietary assessments by some individuals classified as vegans or vegetarians according to the baseline food frequency questionnaire. Such misclassification may have led to a potential underestimation of the risk estimates in our study. Data on iodine intake were only available for a subsample of the population and there were no data on iodine status or iodine hormone levels. No data on iodine supplementation or fortified vegan products was available leading to a potential underestimation of iodine intake, although iodine intake data is consistent with data on iodine status among people following plant-based diets from previous studies (46, 54). Moreover, the dietary data collected from the baseline touchscreen questionnaire did not include total energy intake, so energy could not be included as a potential confounder in the multivariable models. Finally, the UK Biobank cohort represents a population with a healthier risk profile compared to the general population and includes predominantly British participants, most of whom are of white European ancestry (56, 57). This may limit the generalizability of the findings to other populations.

Overall, the findings from our study indicate that a vegetarian diet may be associated with a moderately higher risk of hypothyroidism. This finding is consistent with findings from a previous study, and warrants further investigation in studies with data on iodine status and thyroid function prior to diagnosis.

TABLES

Low meat eaters 220,253 / 463391 (48%) 12,599 / 24133 (52%) Poultry eaters 5,208 / 463391 (1.1%) 338 / 24133 (1.4%) Pescatarians 10,566 / 463391 (2.3%) 566 / 24133 (2.3%) Vegetarians 8,037 / 463391 (1.7%) 466 / 24133 (1.9%) Vegetarians 395 / 463391 (0.1%) 20 / 24133 (0.1%) Sex Female 244,757 / 463391 (53%) 18,855 / 24133 (78%) Male 218,634 / 463391 (47%) 5,278 / 24133 (22%) Age 56.37 (8.11) 58.84 (7.39) Ethnicity White 437,894 / 463390 (0.6%) 122 / 24133 (0.5%) Mixed 2,742 / 463390 (0.6%) 122 / 24133 (0.5%) 122 / 24133 (0.5%) Block 7,217 / 463390 (1.6%) 211 / 24133 (0.9%) 254 / 24133 (1.1%) BMI (kg/m²) 27.34 (4.73) 28.49 (5.43) Unknown 2,380 149 149		Characteristics	Non-cases (N = 470,197)	Cases (N = 24,240)	
Poultry eaters 5,208 / 463391 (1.1%) 338 / 24133 (1.4%) Pescatarians 10,566 / 463391 (2.3%) 566 / 24133 (2.3%) Vegetarians 8,037 / 463391 (1.1%) 466 / 24133 (1.9%) Vegetarians 8,037 / 463391 (1.1%) 466 / 24133 (1.9%) Vegans 395 / 463391 (0.1%) 20 / 24133 (0.1%) Sex Female 244,757 / 463391 (53%) 18,855 / 24133 (78%) Age 56.37 (8.11) 58.84 (7.39) Ethnicity White 437,894 / 463390 (94%) 22,930 / 24133 (0.5%) Mixed 2,742 / 463390 (0.6%) 122 / 24133 (0.5%) Ethnicity White 437,894 / 463390 (2.1%) 616 / 24133 (2.6%) Mixed 2,742 / 463390 (0.6%) 122 / 24133 (0.5%) Unknown 5,589 / 463390 (2.1%) 616 / 24133 (2.6%) BMI (kg/m²) Unknown 5,589 / 463390 (1.2%) 254 / 24133 (1.1%) Average Income Greater than 100,000 82,578 / 463391 (2.8%) 583 / 24133 (2.4%) Average Income Greater than 100,000 82,578 / 463391 (2.8%) 5,562 / 24133 (2.8%) Low 10,000 to 30,999	Diet	High meat eaters	218,932 / 463391 (47%)	10,144 / 24133 (42%)	
Pescatarians 10,566 / 463391 (2.3%) 566 / 24133 (2.3%) Vegetarians 8,037 / 463391 (1.7%) 466 / 24133 (1.9%) Vegans 395 / 463391 (-0.1%) 20 / 24133 (<0.1%)		Low meat eaters	220,253 / 463391 (48%)	12,599 / 24133 (52%)	
Vegetarians 8,037 / 463391 (1.7%) 466 / 24133 (1.9%) Vegans 395 / 463391 (4.0.1%) 20 / 24133 (<0.1%)		Poultry eaters	5,208 / 463391 (1.1%)	338 / 24133 (1.4%)	
Vegans 395 / 463391 (<0.1%) 20 / 24133 (<0.1%) Sex Female 244,757 / 463391 (53%) 18,855 / 24133 (78%) Male 218,634 / 463391 (47%) 5,278 / 24133 (22%) Age 56.37 (8.11) 58.84 (7.39) Ethnicity White 437,894 / 463390 (94%) 22,930 / 24133 (0.5%) Ithicity White 2,742 / 463390 (0.6%) 122 / 24133 (0.5%) Itage 7,217 / 463390 (1.6%) 221 / 24133 (0.5%) Itage 0uknown 5,589 / 463390 (2.1%) 616 / 24133 (1.6%) Itage 7,217 / 463390 (1.6%) 221 / 24133 (1.5%) Itage 0uknown 5,589 / 463390 (1.2%) 254 / 24133 (1.5%) Itage 7,217 / 463390 (1.2%) 254 / 24133 (1.5%) Itage 10known 2,380 149 Average Income Greater than 100,000 22,196 / 463391 (18%) 2,762 / 24133 (1.5%) Itage 13,000 to 51,999 104,536 / 463391 (18%) 2,762 / 24133 (1.5%) Itage 18,000 to 30,999 99,903 / 463391 (25%) 5,552 / 24133 (2.5%) Itage 18,000 to 30,		Pescatarians	10,566 / 463391 (2.3%)	566 / 24133 (2.3%)	
Sex Female 244,757 / 463391 (53%) 18,855 / 24133 (78%) Male 218,634 / 463391 (47%) 5,278 / 24133 (22%) Age 56.37 (8.11) 58.84 (7.39) Ethnicity White 437,894 / 463390 (44%) 22,930 / 24133 (0.5%) Ethnicity Mixed 2,742 / 463390 (0.6%) 122 / 24133 (0.5%) Age 7,217 / 463390 (1.6%) 212 / 24133 (0.5%) Black 7,217 / 463390 (1.6%) 211 / 24133 (0.9%) Unknown 5,589 / 463390 (1.2%) 254 / 24133 (1.1%) BMI (kg/m²) 27.34 (4.73) 28.49 (5.43) Vukrage Income Greater than 100,000 22,196 / 463391 (4.8%) 583 / 24133 (2.4%) Average Income 149 149 149 Average Income Greater than 100,000 82,578 / 463391 (4.8%) 583 / 24133 (1.4%) Image: Income 18,000 to 30,999 99,903 / 463391 (23%) 4,438 / 24133 (1.8%) Image: Income 18,000 to 30,999 99,903 / 463391 (23%) 4,593 / 24133 (1.9%) Image: Income 18,000 to 30,999 99,903 / 463391 (15%) 4,593 / 24133 (1.9%) <t< td=""><td></td><td>Vegetarians</td><td>8,037 / 463391 (1.7%)</td><td>466 / 24133 (1.9%)</td></t<>		Vegetarians	8,037 / 463391 (1.7%)	466 / 24133 (1.9%)	
Male 218,634 / 463391 (47%) 5,278 / 24133 (22%) Age 56.37 (8.11) 58.84 (7.39) Ethnicity White 437,894 / 463390 (94%) 22,930 / 24133 (95%) Ithicity Mixed 2,742 / 463390 (0.6%) 122 / 24133 (0.5%) Agian 9,949 / 463390 (2.1%) 616 / 24133 (2.6%) Ithicity Black 7,217 / 463390 (1.6%) 211 / 24133 (0.9%) Ithicity Unknown 5,589 / 463390 (1.2%) 254 / 24133 (1.1%) BMI (kg/m³) Unknown 2,380 149 Average Income Greater than 100,000 82,578 / 463391 (1.8%) 583 / 24133 (2.4%) Ithicity J1,000 to 51,999 104,536 / 463391 (2.8%) 5,562 / 24133 (1.8%) Itage 18,000 to 30,999 99,903 / 463391 (2.8%) 5,562 / 24133 (2.4%) Itage 18,000 to 30,999 99,903 / 463391 (2.8%) 5,562 / 24133 (2.4%) Itage 18,000 to 30,999 99,903 / 463391 (1.5%) 6,195 / 24133 (1.9%) Itage 18,000 to 30,999 99,903 / 463391 (1.5%) 6,195 / 24133 (1.9%) Itage 18,000 to 30,999 99,903 / 463391 (1.5%) 6,195 / 24133 (1.9%) Itage<		Vegans	395 / 463391 (<0.1%)	20 / 24133 (<0.1%)	
Age 56.37 (8.11) 58.84 (7.39) Ethnicity White 437,894 / 463390 (94%) 22,930 / 24133 (05%) Image 2,742 / 463390 (0.6%) 122 / 24133 (0.5%) Mixed 2,742 / 463390 (0.6%) 122 / 24133 (0.5%) Image 9,949 / 463390 (0.1%) 616 / 24133 (0.9%) Image 7,217 / 463390 (1.6%) 211 / 24133 (0.9%) Image 7,217 / 463390 (1.6%) 254 / 24133 (1.1%) Image 7,217 / 463390 (1.2%) 254 / 24133 (1.1%) Image 7,217 / 463390 (1.2%) 254 / 24133 (1.1%) Image 7,217 / 463390 (1.2%) 254 / 24133 (1.1%) Image 7,217 / 463390 (1.2%) 254 / 24133 (1.1%) Image 100 no no 2,380 149 Average Income Greater than 100,000 22,196 / 463391 (12%) 2,762 / 24133 (13%) Image 10,000 to 51,999 104,536 / 463391 (12%) 2,762 / 24133 (13%) Image 18,000 to 30,999 99,903 / 463391 (12%) 6,195 / 24133 (12%) Image 18,000 to 30,999 99,903 / 463391 (12%) 6,195 / 24133 (12%)	Sex	Female	244,757 / 463391 (53%)	18,855 / 24133 (78%)	
Ethnicity White 437,894 / 463390 (94%) 22,930 / 24133 (95%) Mixed 2,742 / 463390 (0.6%) 122 / 24133 (0.5%) Asian 9,949 / 463390 (2.1%) 616 / 24133 (2.6%) Black 7,217 / 463390 (1.6%) 211 / 24133 (0.9%) Unknown 5,589 / 463390 (1.6%) 211 / 24133 (0.9%) BMI (kg/m²) 27.34 (4.73) 28.49 (5.43) Unknown 2,380 149 Average Income Greater than 100,000 82,578 / 463391 (4.8%) 583 / 24133 (2.4%) S2,000 to 100,000 82,578 / 463391 (18%) 2,762 / 24133 (11%) 18,000 to 51,999 104,536 / 463391 (23%) 4,438 / 24133 (18%) 18,000 to 30,999 99,903 / 463391 (22%) 5,562 / 24133 (26%) Less than 18,000 86,972 / 463391 (19%) 6,195 / 24133 (26%) Education High 219,482 / 463391 (15%) 4,593 / 24133 (19%) Education High 219,482 / 463391 (15%) 4,026 / 24133 (17%)		Male	218,634 / 463391 (47%)	5,278 / 24133 (22%)	
Mixed 2,742 / 463390 (0.6%) 122 / 24133 (0.5%) Asian 9,949 / 463390 (2.1%) 616 / 24133 (2.6%) Black 7,217 / 463390 (1.6%) 211 / 24133 (0.9%) Unknown 5,589 / 463390 (1.2%) 254 / 24133 (1.1%) BMI (kg/m²) 27.34 (4.73) 28.49 (5.43) Mixed 2,380 149 Average Income Greater than 100,000 22,196 / 463391 (4.8%) 5.583 / 24133 (2.4%) Average Income Greater than 100,000 82,578 / 463391 (18%) 2,762 / 24133 (11%) 18,000 to 51,999 104,536 / 463391 (23%) 4,438 / 24133 (18%) 18,000 to 30,999 99,903 / 463391 (23%) 5,562 / 24133 (26%) Unknown 67,206 / 463391 (19%) 6,195 / 24133 (26%) Less than 18,000 86,972 / 463391 (19%) 6,195 / 24133 (26%) Unknown 67,206 / 463391 (19%) 6,195 / 24133 (19%) Education High 219,482 / 463391 (47%) 9,773 / 24133 (19%) Medium 84,102 / 463391 (18%) 4,026 / 24133 (17%) Low 151,897 / 463391 (33%) 9,804 / 24133 (14%)	Age		56.37 (8.11)	58.84 (7.39)	
Asian 9,949 / 463390 (2.1%) 616 / 24133 (2.6%) Black 7,217 / 463390 (1.6%) 211 / 24133 (0.9%) Unknown 5,589 / 463390 (1.2%) 254 / 24133 (1.1%) BMI (kg/m²) 27.34 (4.73) 28.49 (5.43) Moreage Income Greater than 100,000 22,196 / 463391 (4.8%) 583 / 24133 (2.4%) Average Income Greater than 100,000 82,578 / 463391 (18%) 2,762 / 24133 (11%) 10,000 to 51,999 104,536 / 463391 (23%) 4,438 / 24133 (18%) 18,000 to 30,999 99,903 / 463391 (22%) 5,562 / 24133 (23%) Less than 18,000 86,972 / 463391 (19%) 6,195 / 24133 (26%) Education High 219,482 / 463391 (15%) 4,593 / 24133 (19%) Low 151,897 / 463391 (13%) 9,073 / 24133 (14%) 9,073 / 24133 (19%)	Ethnicity	White	437,894 / 463390 (94%)	22,930 / 24133 (95%)	
Black 7,217 / 463390 (1.6%) 211 / 24133 (0.9%) Unknown 5,589 / 463390 (1.2%) 254 / 24133 (1.1%) BMI (kg/m²) 27.34 (4.73) 28.49 (5.43) Vuknown 2,380 149 Average Income Greater than 100,000 22,196 / 463391 (4.8%) 583 / 24133 (2.4%) 52,000 to 100,000 82,578 / 463391 (18%) 2,762 / 24133 (11%) 18,000 to 51,999 104,536 / 463391 (23%) 4,438 / 24133 (18%) 18,000 to 30,999 99,903 / 463391 (22%) 5,562 / 24133 (23%) Less than 18,000 86,972 / 463391 (15%) 6,195 / 24133 (26%) Education High 219,482 / 463391 (15%) 9,773 / 24133 (19%) Low 151,897 / 463391 (38%) 9,804 / 24133 (17%)		Mixed	2,742 / 463390 (0.6%)	122 / 24133 (0.5%)	
Unknown 5,589 / 463390 (1.2%) 254 / 24133 (1.1%) BMI (kg/m²) 27.34 (4.73) 28.49 (5.43) Unknown 2,380 149 Average Income Greater than 100,000 22,196 / 463391 (4.8%) 583 / 24133 (2.4%) S2,000 to 100,000 82,578 / 463391 (18%) 2,762 / 24133 (11%) Image Income 52,000 to 100,000 82,578 / 463391 (12%) 2,762 / 24133 (11%) Image Income 52,000 to 51,999 104,536 / 463391 (22%) 5,562 / 24133 (23%) Image Income 18,000 to 30,999 99,903 / 463391 (22%) 5,562 / 24133 (23%) Image Income 18,000 to 30,999 99,903 / 463391 (15%) 4,593 / 24133 (19%) Image Income 18,000 to 30,999 99,903 / 463391 (15%) 4,593 / 24133 (23%) Image Income 18,000 to 30,999 99,903 / 463391 (15%) 4,593 / 24133 (26%) Image Income 18,000 to 30,999 99,903 / 463391 (15%) 4,593 / 24133 (26%) Image Income 18,000 to 30,999 99,903 / 463391 (15%) 4,593 / 24133 (26%) Image Income 19,000 to 30,999 99,903 / 463391 (15%) 4,593 / 24133 (26%) Image Income 19,000 to 30,999 91,914 / 2463391		Asian	9,949 / 463390 (2.1%)	616 / 24133 (2.6%)	
BMI (kg/m²) 27.34 (4.73) 28.49 (5.43) Unknown 2,380 149 Average Income Greater than 100,000 22,196 / 463391 (4.8%) 583 / 24133 (2.4%) 52,000 to 100,000 82,578 / 463391 (18%) 2,762 / 24133 (11%) 104,536 / 463391 (23%) 4,438 / 24133 (18%) 104,536 / 463391 (22%) 5,562 / 24133 (23%) 11,000 to 51,999 99,903 / 463391 (22%) 5,562 / 24133 (23%) 14,593 / 24133 (26%) 11,000 to 30,999 99,903 / 463391 (22%) 5,562 / 24133 (26%) 15,562 / 24133 (26%) 11,000 to 30,999 99,903 / 463391 (12%) 6,195 / 24133 (26%) 15,972 / 463391 (19%) 6,195 / 24133 (26%) 11,000 to 30,999 99,903 / 463391 (15%) 4,593 / 24133 (19%) 15,937 / 24133 (26%) 15,937 / 24133 (26%) 11,000 to 30,999 99,903 / 463391 (15%) 4,593 / 24133 (19%) 15,937 / 24133 (19%) 15,937 / 24133 (19%) 15,937 / 24133 (19%) 15,937 / 24133 (19%) 15,937 / 24133 (19%) 15,937 / 24133 (19%) 15,937 / 24133 (19%) 15,937 / 24133 (19%) 15,937 / 24133 (19%) 15,937 / 24133 (19%) 15,937 / 24133 (19%) 15,937 / 24133 (19%) 15,937 / 24133 (19%) 15,937 / 24133 (19%) 15,937 / 24133 (19%) 15,937 / 24133 (19%) <		Black	7,217 / 463390 (1.6%)	211 / 24133 (0.9%)	
Unknown 2,380 149 Average Income Greater than 100,000 22,196 / 463391 (4.8%) 583 / 24133 (2.4%) 52,000 to 100,000 82,578 / 463391 (18%) 2,762 / 24133 (11%) 13,000 to 51,999 104,536 / 463391 (23%) 4,438 / 24133 (18%) 18,000 to 30,999 99,903 / 463391 (22%) 5,562 / 24133 (23%) Less than 18,000 86,972 / 463391 (19%) 6,195 / 24133 (26%) Luknown 67,206 / 463391 (15%) 4,593 / 24133 (19%) Education High 219,482 / 463391 (47%) 9,773 / 24133 (40%) Low 151,897 / 463391 (33%) 9,804 / 24133 (14%)		Unknown	5,589 / 463390 (1.2%)	254 / 24133 (1.1%)	
Average IncomeGreater than 100,00022,196 / 463391 (4.8%)583 / 24133 (2.4%)52,000 to 100,00082,578 / 463391 (18%)2,762 / 24133 (11%)31,000 to 51,999104,536 / 463391 (23%)4,438 / 24133 (18%)18,000 to 30,99999,903 / 463391 (22%)5,562 / 24133 (23%)Less than 18,00086,972 / 463391 (19%)6,195 / 24133 (26%)Unknown67,206 / 463391 (15%)4,593 / 24133 (19%)EducationHigh219,482 / 463391 (47%)9,773 / 24133 (40%)Low151,897 / 463391 (33%)9,804 / 24133 (17%)	BMI (kg/m²)		27.34 (4.73)	28.49 (5.43)	
52,000 to 100,000 82,578 / 463391 (18%) 2,762 / 24133 (11%) 31,000 to 51,999 104,536 / 463391 (23%) 4,438 / 24133 (18%) 18,000 to 30,999 99,903 / 463391 (22%) 5,562 / 24133 (23%) Less than 18,000 86,972 / 463391 (19%) 6,195 / 24133 (26%) Unknown 67,206 / 463391 (15%) 4,593 / 24133 (19%) Education High 219,482 / 463391 (47%) 9,773 / 24133 (40%) Less than 18,000 84,102 / 463391 (18%) 4,026 / 24133 (17%)		Unknown	2,380	149	
31,000 to 51,999 104,536 / 463391 (23%) 4,438 / 24133 (18%) 18,000 to 30,999 99,903 / 463391 (22%) 5,562 / 24133 (23%) Less than 18,000 86,972 / 463391 (19%) 6,195 / 24133 (26%) Unknown 67,206 / 463391 (15%) 4,593 / 24133 (19%) Education High 219,482 / 463391 (47%) 9,773 / 24133 (40%) Low 151,897 / 463391 (33%) 9,804 / 24133 (41%)	Average Income	Greater than 100,000	22,196 / 463391 (4.8%)	583 / 24133 (2.4%)	
18,000 to 30,999 99,903 / 463391 (22%) 5,562 / 24133 (23%) Less than 18,000 86,972 / 463391 (19%) 6,195 / 24133 (26%) Unknown 67,206 / 463391 (15%) 4,593 / 24133 (19%) Education High 219,482 / 463391 (47%) 9,773 / 24133 (40%) Medium 84,102 / 463391 (18%) 4,026 / 24133 (17%) Low 151,897 / 463391 (33%) 9,804 / 24133 (41%)		52,000 to 100,000	82,578 / 463391 (18%)	2,762 / 24133 (11%)	
Less than 18,00086,972 / 463391 (19%)6,195 / 24133 (26%)Unknown67,206 / 463391 (15%)4,593 / 24133 (19%)EducationHigh219,482 / 463391 (47%)9,773 / 24133 (40%)Medium84,102 / 463391 (18%)4,026 / 24133 (17%)Low151,897 / 463391 (33%)9,804 / 24133 (41%)		31,000 to 51,999	104,536 / 463391 (23%)	4,438 / 24133 (18%)	
Unknown67,206 / 463391 (15%)4,593 / 24133 (19%)EducationHigh219,482 / 463391 (47%)9,773 / 24133 (40%)Medium84,102 / 463391 (18%)4,026 / 24133 (17%)Low151,897 / 463391 (33%)9,804 / 24133 (41%)		18,000 to 30,999	99,903 / 463391 (22%)	5,562 / 24133 (23%)	
Education High 219,482 / 463391 (47%) 9,773 / 24133 (40%) Medium 84,102 / 463391 (18%) 4,026 / 24133 (17%) Low 151,897 / 463391 (33%) 9,804 / 24133 (41%)		Less than 18,000	86,972 / 463391 (19%)	6,195 / 24133 (26%)	
Medium 84,102 / 463391 (18%) 4,026 / 24133 (17%) Low 151,897 / 463391 (33%) 9,804 / 24133 (41%)		Unknown	67,206 / 463391 (15%)	4,593 / 24133 (19%)	
Low 151,897 / 463391 (33%) 9,804 / 24133 (41%)	Education	High	219,482 / 463391 (47%)	9,773 / 24133 (40%)	
		Medium	84,102 / 463391 (18%)	4,026 / 24133 (17%)	
Unknown 7,910 / 463391 (1.7%) 530 / 24133 (2.2%)		Low	151,897 / 463391 (33%)	9,804 / 24133 (41%)	
		Unknown	7,910 / 463391 (1.7%)	530 / 24133 (2.2%)	

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of incident hypothyroid cases

	Characteristics	Non-cases (N = 470,197)	Cases (N = 24,240)
Smoking status	Current	48,841 / 463391 (11%)	2,417 / 24133 (10%)
	Previous	159,317 / 463391 (34%)	9,065 / 24133 (38%)
	Never	253,658 / 463391 (55%)	12,553 / 24133 (52%)
	Unknown	1,575 / 463391 (0.3%)	98 / 24133 (0.4%)
Physical activity	High	111,479 / 463391 (24%)	5,303 / 24133 (22%)
	Moderate	183,100 / 463391 (40%)	8,601 / 24133 (36%)
	Low	65,740 / 463391 (14%)	3,564 / 24133 (15%)
	Unknown	103,072 / 463391 (22%)	6,665 / 24133 (28%)
Thyroid impairing drugs		1,611 / 463391 (0.3%)	253 / 24133 (1.0%)

Abbreviation: BMI, body mass index (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared). Categorical variables are expressed as the number of cases divided by the total number of observations (n/N), followed by the percentage in parentheses: n/N (%). Continuous variables are expressed as the arithmetic mean and standard deviation (mean ± (SD)).

Table 2: Odds ratios of prevalent hypothyroidism (n = 6,633) across diet groups in 494,144 UK-Biobank participants.

	Model 1		Model 2	
Diet Group	OR 95% CI	p-value	OR 95% CI	p-value
High meat eaters	Ref.		Ref.	
Low meat eaters	1.01 (0.96 - 1.06)	0.80	1.07 (1.02 - 1.13)	0.008
Poultry eaters	1.16 (0.95 - 1.40)	0.14	1.35 (1.10 - 1.63)	0.003
Pescatarians	0.95 (0.80 - 1.12)	0.50	1.13 (0.95 - 1.33)	0.20
Vegetarians	1.20 (1.01 - 1.43)	0.038	1.37 (1.14 - 1.63)	< 0.001
Vegans	0.76 (0.23 - 1.78)	0.6	0.98 (0.30 – 2.30)	0.9

Model1 adjusted for sex, age income, education, and thyroid impairing medication. Model2 adjusted for sex, age income, education, body mass index (BMI) and thyroid impairing medication.

OR, odds ratio; 95%CI, Confidence Interval.

All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

REFERENCES

1. Willett W, Rockström J, Loken B, Springmann M, Lang T, Vermeulen S, et al. Food in the Anthropocene: the EAT-Lancet Commission on healthy diets from sustainable food systems. Lancet. 2019;393(10170):447-92.

2. smart protein. Evolving appetites: an in-depth look at European attitudes towards plant-based eating. European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme; 2023. Report No.: 862957.

3. Satija A, Bhupathiraju SN, Rimm EB, Spiegelman D, Chiuve SE, Borgi L, et al. Plant-Based Dietary Patterns and Incidence of Type 2 Diabetes in US Men and Women: Results from Three Prospective Cohort Studies. PLoS Med. 2016;13(6):e1002039.

4. Zhao Y, Zhan J, Wang Y, Wang D. The Relationship Between Plant-Based Diet and Risk of Digestive System Cancers: A Meta-Analysis Based on 3,059,009 Subjects. Front Public Health. 2022;10:892153.

5. Kim H, Caulfield LE, Garcia-Larsen V, Steffen LM, Coresh J, Rebholz CM. Plant-Based Diets Are Associated With a Lower Risk of Incident Cardiovascular Disease, Cardiovascular Disease Mortality, and All-Cause Mortality in a General Population of Middle-Aged Adults. J Am Heart Assoc. 2019;8(16):e012865.

6. Thompson AS, Tresserra-Rimbau A, Karavasiloglou N, Jennings A, Cantwell M, Hill C, et al. Association of Healthful Plant-based Diet Adherence With Risk of Mortality and Major Chronic Diseases Among Adults in the UK. JAMA Netw Open. 2023;6(3):e234714.

7. Wang Y, Liu B, Han H, Hu Y, Zhu L, Rimm EB, et al. Associations between plant-based dietary patterns and risks of type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, cancer, and mortality - a systematic review and meta-analysis. Nutr J. 2023;22(1):46.

8. Satija A, Bhupathiraju SN, Spiegelman D, Chiuve SE, Manson JE, Willett W, et al. Healthful and Unhealthful Plant-Based Diets and the Risk of Coronary Heart Disease in U.S. Adults. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2017;70(4):411-22.

9. Key TJ, Papier K, Tong TYN. Plant-based diets and long-term health: findings from the EPIC-Oxford study. Proc Nutr Soc. 2022;81(2):190-8.

10. Dawczynski C, Weidauer T, Richert C, Schlattmann P, Dawczynski K, Kiehntopf M. Nutrient Intake and Nutrition Status in Vegetarians and Vegans in Comparison to Omnivores - the Nutritional Evaluation (NuEva) Study. Front Nutr. 2022;9:819106.

11. Weikert C, Trefflich I, Menzel J, Obeid R, Longree A, Dierkes J, et al. Vitamin and Mineral Status in a Vegan Diet. Dtsch Arztebl Int. 2020;117(35-36):575-82.

12. Chaker L, Bianco AC, Jonklaas J, Peeters RP. Hypothyroidism. Lancet. 2017;390(10101):1550-62.

13. Pharoah PO, Buttfield IH, Hetzel BS. Neurological damage to the fetus resulting from severe iodine deficiency during pregnancy. Lancet. 1971;1(7694):308-10.

14. EFSA Panel on Dietetic Products N, Allergies. Scientific opinion on dietary reference values for iodine. EFSA Journal. 2014;12(5):3660.

15. Vanderpump MP. The epidemiology of thyroid disease. Br Med Bull. 2011;99:39-51.

16. Mendes D, Alves C, Silverio N, Batel Marques F. Prevalence of Undiagnosed Hypothyroidism in Europe: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Eur Thyroid J. 2019;8(3):130-43.

17. Garmendia Madariaga A, Santos Palacios S, Guillén-Grima F, Galofré JC. The incidence and prevalence of thyroid dysfunction in Europe: a meta-analysis. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2014;99(3):923-31.

18. Bath SC. Thyroid function and iodine intake: global recommendations and relevant dietary trends. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2024;20(8):474-86.

19. Bouga M, Lean MEJ, Combet E. Contemporary challenges to iodine status and nutrition: the role of foods, dietary recommendations, fortification and supplementation. Proc Nutr Soc. 2018;77(3):302-13.

All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

20. Bath SC, Button S, Rayman MP. Availability of iodised table salt in the UK - is it likely to influence population iodine intake? Public Health Nutr. 2014;17(2):450-4.

21. Flachowsky G, Franke K, Meyer U, Leiterer M, Schöne F. Influencing factors on iodine content of cow milk. Eur J Nutr. 2014;53(2):351-65.

22. Nicol K, Nugent AP, Woodside JV, Hart KH, Bath SC. lodine and plant-based diets: a narrative review and calculation of iodine content. Br J Nutr. 2024;131(2):265-75.

23. Collins R. What makes UK Biobank special? Lancet. 2012;379(9822):1173-4.

24. UK Biobank. Background [Available from: <u>https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/learn-more-about-uk-biobank/about-us</u>.

25. Bradbury KE, Tong TYN, Key TJ. Dietary Intake of High-Protein Foods and Other Major Foods in Meat-Eaters, Poultry-Eaters, Fish-Eaters, Vegetarians, and Vegans in UK Biobank. Nutrients. 2017;9(12).

26. Parra-Soto S, Ahumada D, Petermann-Rocha F, Boonpoor J, Gallegos JL, Anderson J, et al. Association of meat, vegetarian, pescatarian and fish-poultry diets with risk of 19 cancer sites and all cancer: findings from the UK Biobank prospective cohort study and meta-analysis. BMC Med. 2022;20(1):79.

27. Greenwood DC, Hardie LJ, Frost GS, Alwan NA, Bradbury KE, Carter M, et al. Validation of the Oxford WebQ Online 24-Hour Dietary Questionnaire Using Biomarkers. Am J Epidemiol. 2019;188(10):1858-67.

28. Kassambara A. survminer: Drawing Survival Curves using 'ggplot2' 2016 [Available from: <u>https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/survminer</u>.

29. Therneau TM. A Package for Survival Analysis in R 2024 [Available from: <u>https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=survival</u>.

30. Lawton RI, Sabatini BL, Hochbaum DR. Longevity, demographic characteristics, and socioeconomic status are linked to triiodothyronine levels in the general population. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2024;121(2):e2308652121.

31. Tonstad S, Nathan E, Oda K, Fraser G. Vegan diets and hypothyroidism. Nutrients. 2013;5(11):4642-52.

32. Rizzo LFL, Mana DL, Serra HA. Drug-induced hypothyroidism. Medicina (B Aires). 2017;77(5):394-404.

33. Pesic MM, Radojkovic D, Antic S, Kocic R, Stankovic-Djordjevic D. Subclinical hypothyroidism: association with cardiovascular risk factors and components of metabolic syndrome. Biotechnol Biotechnol Equip. 2015;29(1):157-63.

34. Ogbonna SU, Ezeani IU. Risk Factors of Thyroid Dysfunction in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2019;10:440.

35. Sanyal D, Raychaudhuri M. Hypothyroidism and obesity: An intriguing link. Indian J Endocrinol Metab. 2016;20(4):554-7.

36. Longhi S, Radetti G. Thyroid function and obesity. J Clin Res Pediatr Endocrinol. 2013;5 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):40-4.

37. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing 2023 [Available from: <u>https://www.R-project.org/</u>.

38. MacKinnon DP, Lamp SJ. A Unification of Mediator, Confounder, and Collider Effects. Prev Sci. 2021;22(8):1185-93.

39. Qiu Y, Liu Q, Luo Y, Chen J, Zheng Q, Xie Y, et al. Causal association between obesity and hypothyroidism: a two-sample bidirectional Mendelian randomization study. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2023;14:1287463.

40. Hemler EC, Hu FB. Plant-Based Diets for Personal, Population, and Planetary Health. Adv Nutr. 2019;10(Suppl_4):S275-s83.

41. Zhou X, Ruan W, Li J, Wang T, Liu H, Zhang G. No causal associations of genetically predicted birth weight and life course BMI with thyroid function and diseases. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2024;32(8):1585-93.

42. Mehran L, Amouzegar A, Rahimabad PK, Tohidi M, Tahmasebinejad Z, Azizi F. Thyroid Function and Metabolic Syndrome: A Population-Based Thyroid Study. Horm Metab Res. 2017;49(3):192-200.

43. Canaris GJ, Manowitz NR, Mayor G, Ridgway EC. The Colorado thyroid disease prevalence study. Arch Intern Med. 2000;160(4):526-34.

44. Alkhatib D, Shi Z, Ganji V. Dietary Patterns and Hypothyroidism in U.S. Adult Population. Nutrients. 2024;16(3).

45. Kim DS, Park S. Interactions between Polygenetic Variants and Lifestyle Factors in Hypothyroidism: A Hospital-Based Cohort Study. Nutrients. 2023;15(17).

46. Eveleigh ER, Coneyworth L, Welham SJM. Systematic review and meta-analysis of iodine nutrition in modern vegan and vegetarian diets. Br J Nutr. 2023;130(9):1580-94.

47.WHO UI. Assessment of lodine Deficiency Disorders and Monitoring their Elimination: A Guide
forforProgrammeManagersGeneva2007[Availablefrom:https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/43781/9789241595827_eng.pdf?isAllowed=y&sequence=1.

48. Fallon N, Dillon SA. Low Intakes of Iodine and Selenium Amongst Vegan and Vegetarian Women Highlight a Potential Nutritional Vulnerability. Front Nutr. 2020;7:72.

49. Eveleigh E, Coneyworth L, Zhou M, Burdett H, Malla J, Nguyen VH, et al. Vegans and vegetarians living in Nottingham (UK) continue to be at risk of iodine deficiency. Br J Nutr. 2022:1-18.

50. Page P, Roberts C, Steer T, Mablethorpe N, Cox L, Meadows S, et al. National diet and nutrition survey results from years 7 and 8 (combined) of the rolling programme (2014/2015 to 2015/2016). 2018.

51. Nicol KA-O, Thomas EL, Nugent AP, Woodside JV, Hart KH, Bath SA-O. lodine fortification of plant-based dairy and fish alternatives: the effect of substitution on iodine intake based on a market survey in the UK. 2023(1475-2662 (Electronic)).

52. Alzahrani A, Ebel R, Norton G, Raab A, Feldmann J. Iodine in plant-based dairy products is not sufficient in the UK: A market survey. J Trace Elem Med Biol. 2023;79:127218.

53. Zimmermann MB, Boelaert K. Iodine deficiency and thyroid disorders. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2015;3(4):286-95.

54. Henjum S, Groufh-Jacobsen S, Aakre I, Gjengedal ELF, Langfjord MM, Heen E, et al. Thyroid function and urinary concentrations of iodine, selenium, and arsenic in vegans, lacto-ovo vegetarians and pescatarians. Eur J Nutr. 2023;62(8):3329-38.

55. Laurberg P, Cerqueira C, Ovesen L, Rasmussen LB, Perrild H, Andersen S, et al. Iodine intake as a determinant of thyroid disorders in populations. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2010;24(1):13-27.

56. Fry A, Littlejohns TJ, Sudlow C, Doherty N, Adamska L, Sprosen T, et al. Comparison of Sociodemographic and Health-Related Characteristics of UK Biobank Participants With Those of the General Population. Am J Epidemiol. 2017;186(9):1026-34.

57. Batty GD, Gale CR, Kivimäki M, Deary IJ, Bell S. Comparison of risk factor associations in UK Biobank against representative, general population based studies with conventional response rates: prospective cohort study and individual participant meta-analysis. Bmj. 2020;368:m131.