

# Hepatitis B core-related antigen (HBcrAg) point-of-care tests as a risk stratification tool: experience from Kenya

Louise O Downs<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Dorcas Okanda<sup>2\*</sup>, Oscar Chirro<sup>2</sup>, Mwanakombo Zaharani<sup>2</sup>, Benson Safari<sup>2</sup>, Nadia Aliyan<sup>3</sup>, Monique I Andersson<sup>4,5</sup>, Yasuhito Tanaka<sup>6,7</sup>, Anthony O Etyang<sup>1,2</sup> Yusuke Shimakawa<sup>7,8</sup>, George Githinji<sup>2,9</sup> and Philippa C Matthews<sup>10,11,12</sup>

1. Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, UK

2. KEMRI-Wellcome Trust Research Programme, Kilifi, Kenya

3. Kilifi County Hospital, Kilifi, Kenya

4. Radcliffe Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX1 3AZ, UK

5. Oxford University Hospitals, Headley Way, Oxford, UK

6. Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Faculty of Life Sciences, Kumamoto University, Kumamoto, Japan.

7. Pasteur International Unit at Kumamoto University / National Center for Global Health and Medicine, Japan.

8. Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, Unité d'Épidémiologie des Maladies Émergentes, Paris, France.

9. Department of Biochemistry and Biotechnology, Pwani University, Kilifi, Kenya

10. The Francis Crick Institute, 1 Midland Road, London NW1 1AT, UK

11. Division of Infection and Immunity, University College London, London, UK

12. University College London Hospital, 235 Euston Road, London NW1 2BU, UK

\*LOD and DO contributed equally to this work

**Key words:** HBV, hepatitis B, surveillance, liver disease, point of care diagnostics, biomarker, HBcrAg, core related antigen

**Corresponding author:** [louise.downs@exeter.ox.ac.uk](mailto:louise.downs@exeter.ox.ac.uk)

**Running title:** Use of HBcrAg point of care tests to identify liver disease in HBV.

## Abstract

We undertook a point-of-care test (POCT) for hepatitis B core-related antigen (HBcrAg) on adults living with HBV in Kilifi, Kenya. A positive test identified all with hepatitis B viral load >200,000 IU/ml, who were HBeAg positive, and correlated with higher ALT ( $p = 0.03$ ), raised APRI ( $p < 0.001$ ) and elastography scores ( $p = 0.03$ ).

## Introduction

The 2024 Global Hepatitis Report highlights significant morbidity and mortality due to chronic hepatitis B virus infection (CHB), with the number of people dying from CHB rising from 820,000 in 2019 to 1.1 million in 2022, disproportionately affecting populations in the WHO African Region (WHO-AFRO) (1).

One of the most significant barriers to HBV elimination in this region is that only 0.2% of people living with HBV (PLWHB) are on treatment (1) and tests for risk-stratification are often inaccessible and unaffordable. New 2024 WHO HBV management guidelines simplify assessment, but still recommend alanine aminotransferase (ALT) measurement or aspartate transaminase (AST) to platelet ratio index (APRI) calculation (2) along with HBV viral load (VL) measurement to determine long-term treatment eligibility. HBV VL measurement and/or hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg) assessment are also recommended to determine eligibility for peri-partum antiviral prophylaxis (3).

Point-of-care tests (POCTs) offer an attractive alternative to these laboratory assays, allowing real-time feedback, potentially improving retention in clinical care and viral suppression rates (4). Currently, liver stiffness measurement using elastography is the only validated POCT for assessing liver health for PLWHB; however, the hardware is prohibitively expensive. Current POCTs for HBeAg have poor sensitivity (5,6), and HBV VL measurements require a laboratory platform like Gene Xpert (Cepheid Inc., CA, USA).

Hepatitis B core-related antigen (HBcrAg) correlates with peripheral HBV DNA and HBeAg status in untreated individuals (7) and could be an alternative to HBV VL quantification in low resource settings (8). HBcrAg has previously only been available as a chemiluminescent assay (CLEIA). A new HBcrAg POCT performed well in The Gambia as a surrogate for HBV VL, but has not yet been assessed elsewhere in the general adult population with CHB in WHO-AFRO countries, and performance has not been evaluated against ALT or liver fibrosis. We set out to

evaluate the HBcrAg-POCT in PLWHB in Kilifi, Kenya, considering (i) the ease of testing, (ii) its relationship with ALT, HBeAg status, HBV VL and elastography, and (iii) its contribution to determining treatment eligibility.

## Methods

PLWHB were recruited through the STRIKE-HBV study at KEMRI-Wellcome Trust Research Programme (KWTRP), which identified 102 non-pregnant adults from Kilifi County, Kenya as previously described (10). Positive HBsAg POCT results were confirmed with an enzyme linked immunoassay (ELISA) (Murex HBsAg 3, Diasorin). The cohort was 60% female, median age 37 years (IQR 28-49 years), and two people were also living with HIV infection.

Liver elastography was undertaken at recruitment (Echosens, Paris, France), and blood samples were drawn and transported to the research laboratory within 2-3 hours of collection, spun to separate serum, and frozen in aliquots at -80°C. Routine laboratory markers were measured in a validated diagnostic clinical laboratory at KWTRP, including ALT, AST (Ilab Aries) and platelets (AcT 5diff CP, Beckman Coulter) for APRI score calculation.

HBeAg was tested at the KWTRP research laboratory using CLEIA (Ig Biotechnology, CA, US), HBV VL was tested at Oxford University Hospitals, UK using the Abbott Alinity (Illinois, USA). The HBcrAg-POCT (ESPLINE™ (RUO), Fujirebio, Japan) was shipped from Kumamoto University, Japan, stored at room temperature and undertaken retrospectively on 50µl defrosted serum in the KWTRP laboratories as per manufacturer's instructions (9); no specific training was required. The testing was performed in three batches by clinical and laboratory staff, blinded to patient details including HBV VL and HBeAg status. Positive and negative controls were provided and undertaken once prior to each batch testing.

Liver health thresholds and treatment eligibility criteria were taken from the 2024 WHO HBV guidelines (2) as follows: elastography score >7.0kPa = significant fibrosis, >12.5kPa = cirrhosis; APRI >0.5 = significant fibrosis, >1.0 = cirrhosis. Those with i) elastography score >7kPa; OR ii) APRI score >0.5; OR iii) HBV DNA >2000 IU/ml AND ALT > upper limit of normal (ULN, 19 IU/L for women, 30 IU/L for men), were defined as treatment eligible. In the untreated population, we first investigated whether ALT alone could identify those who were treatment eligible, then evaluated the contribution of the HBcrAg POCT.

Statistical analysis was done using R version 4.2.0. The study was approved by the Scientific Ethics Review Unit (SERU 4565) and Oxford Tropical Network Ethics Committee (OxTREC 23-22).

## Results

HBcrAg-POCT was positive in 14/102 PLWHB (14%) – with a positivity rate of 4/61 (7%) in women and 10/41 (24%) in men (( $p=0.01$ ). Those with a positive-POCT were younger (median age 28 years compared to 38 years with a negative-POCT,  $p=0.03$ ). There was no difference in current antiviral treatment status between those testing POCT-positive or negative (table 1). Laboratory personnel reported the POCT was easy to perform. The test turnaround time was approximately 40 minutes.

### *Analysis in the untreated population*

75 PLWHB were untreated on cohort entry (42 female, 33 male). Median age was 40 years (IQR 27 - 49) among whom 11/75 (15%) had a positive HBcrAg POCT. This group had a significantly higher median HBV DNA level than those with a negative POCT (6.05  $\log_{10}$ IU/ml vs 2.7  $\log_{10}$ IU/ml, respectively;  $p < 0.001$ , table 1). To identify those testing HBV DNA  $>200,000$  IU/ml, HBcrAg-POCT had a sensitivity of 100% (7/7) (supp figure 1) and a specificity of 94% (64/68). All those who had HBV DNA  $>200,000$  IU/ml also carried HBeAg (table 1).

A positive HBcrAg-POCT was significantly associated with a higher median ALT than a negative test (58 IU/L vs 26 IU/L,  $p=0.006$ , table 1). Individuals with a positive HBcrAg-POCT were more likely to meet elastography criteria for significant fibrosis or cirrhosis than those with a negative POCT (supp figure 1, 3/11, (27%) vs 6/64 (9%) with a negative test,  $p=0.03$ ), but there was no significant difference in median elastography score between those with a positive and negative HBcrAg-POCT. Those with a positive HBcrAg-POCT had higher median APRI scores (0.57 vs 0.29,  $p = 0.003$ ), and were more likely to meet APRI criteria for significant fibrosis or cirrhosis (supp figure 1, 8/11(73%) vs 6/63, (10%), respectively;  $p<0.001$  (table 1).

In this currently untreated group, 27/75 (36%) people met treatment criteria as defined. Among these, 23/27 (85%) would have been identified based on ALT criteria alone, whereas a positive HBcrAg-POCT alone would have only identified 9/27 (33%) (supp table 1). Of the four people not identified by ALT, one had a positive HBcrAg-POCT, but the other three did not, so would have been missed by both tests. Therefore the addition of HBcrAg POCT to ALT identified one

extra treatment eligible person compared to using ALT alone (supp. figure 2). This individual also had a high HBV VL of 6.1 log<sub>10</sub>IU/ml and fibroscan score of 10.1kPa, suggesting some existing liver fibrosis and a risk of progressive chronic liver disease.

## Discussion

This is the first report directly comparing the HBcrAg-POCT with ALT, markers of liver fibrosis, and HBeAg status. Here, a positive HBcrAg-POCT was strongly associated with liver inflammation by ALT, and fibrosis/cirrhosis by both Fibroscan and APRI scores. However, the HBcrAg-POCT alone identified fewer people meeting treatment eligibility scores by APRI or Fibroscan than ALT alone. Addition of the POCT to ALT did identify one extra person as treatment eligible who was at high risk of complications, however further assessment is needed to see if this benefit translates to larger populations.

In this cohort, a positive HBcrAg-POCT was 100% sensitive at identifying HBeAg positivity, performing much better than currently available HBeAg-POCTs which have sensitivities ranging from 30-62% (5,6). A positive HBcrAg-POCT was 100% sensitive at identifying those with HBV VL >200,000 IU/ml, which is a higher sensitivity than what was found when this POCT was trialled in the Gambia. This POCT is therefore of potential clinical utility to identify individuals eligible for perinatal antiviral prophylaxis. This is the first time this has been shown in East Africa, where circulating HBV genotypes differ from those in West Africa.

This HBcrAg-POCT is quick and could be undertaken without specific training. It is relatively low production cost (<\$5 per test), compared to HBV VL which varies in price across WHO AFRO up to \$62/test (1), and HBeAg up to \$40/test for the laboratory ELISA (11,12) assuming these tests are actually available. ALT is relatively low cost (<\$10) and available in much of WHO-AFRO, so continues to be a good method of liver health assessment in the absence of other tests. Further implementation research is needed to determine whether inclusion of the HBcrAg-POCT alongside ALT could enhance linkage to care and improve decentralisation of clinical assessment, and how its impact and cost-effectiveness varies by setting.

The number of PLWHB included in this study was small, from a specific geographical region and did not include children/adolescents or pregnant women. We did not have access to a HBeAg POCT or gold standard method of assessing liver disease such as cross-sectional imaging or liver biopsy.

## Conclusion

HBcrAg-POCT correlates strongly with several liver disease markers in PLWHB. However, it does not perform better than ALT at identifying those with abnormal elastography or APRI scores, so should be used as an adjunct rather than stand-alone. It could provide a low-cost alternative to some otherwise unavailable, unaffordable diagnostics.

## Funding

PCM receives core funding from the Francis Crick Institute (Ref: CC2223) and from University College London Hospitals NIHR Biomedical Research Centre (BRC). LD is funded by a Wellcome Doctoral Training Fellowship (grant number 225485/Z/22/Z) and by the Oxford Hospitals Charity Grant ref 1351. The study was partly funded by the JSPS KAKENHI (JP21K10416) and the Pasteur International Joint Research Unit. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

## Acknowledgements

We thank Fujirebio Inc. for providing test reagents and to all the staff at the Comprehensive Care Clinic, outpatients department and Maternal Child Health Clinics at Kilifi County Referral Hospital for assisting in study recruitment.

## Author Contributions

LOD, DO, YS and PCM conceptualised the manuscript  
 LOD, PCM, NA, MIA, AOE and GG designed the study  
 LOD, OC, MZ, BS and NA enrolled patients and collected study data and serum samples  
 DO and LOD undertook the point of care tests in the KWTRP laboratories  
 YS and YT provided the point of care test kits and gave technical advice and oversight on the use of the point of care tests.  
 LOD undertook data analysis with advice provided by AOE, YS, GG and PCM.  
 All authors reviewed and commented on the manuscript prior to submission.

## Conflicts of Interest

YS has received a research grant and honoraria for lectures from Gilead Sciences and research materials from Abbott Laboratories and Fujirebio Inc. PCM has previously received funding support for her group from GSK (outside the scope of this paper). YT has received scholarship donations from AbbVie GK and OTSUKA Pharmaceutical Co. and research funding from

205 AbbVie GK, Fujirebio Inc, Sysmex Corp, GSK, Gilead Sciences and Janssen Pharmaceuticals.  
206 YT has also received lecture fees from AbbVie GK, Gilead Sciences, Chugai Pharmaceuticals,  
207 ASKA Pharmaceutical Holdings, OTSUKA Pharmaceutical Co., Takeda Pharmaceutical Co,  
208 GSK, AstraZeneca, Eisai and HU Frontier.

209

#### 210 **Data Availability**

211 For the purpose of Open Access, the author has applied a CC-BY public copyright license to  
212 any author accepted manuscript version arising from this submission. Data supporting the  
213 findings of this study will be publicly available on the acceptance of the manuscript for  
214 publication. This manuscript was written with the permission of Director KEMRI CGMRC.

215 **Table 1:** Characteristics of 102 adults with chronic HBV infection in Kilifi, Kenya, assessed with a point of  
216 care test (POCT) for Hepatitis B core-related antigen (HBcrAg).  
217

Characteristic (all participants)	N=102	Negative HBcrAg POCT n = 88 <sup>1</sup>	Positive HBcrAg POCT n = 14 <sup>1</sup>	p-value <sup>2</sup>
<b>Sex</b>	102			<b>0.010</b>
Female	61	57 (93%)	4 (7%)	
Male	41	31 (76%)	10 (24%)	
<b>Age in years, median (IQR)</b>	102	38 (30, 49)	28 (27, 39)	<b>0.03</b>
Unknown	1			
<b>On Antiviral Therapy</b>	102			0.8
Yes	27	24 (89%)	3 (11%)	
No	75	64 (85%)	11 (15%)	
Characteristic (untreated group)	N=75	Negative HBcrAg POCT n = 64 <sup>1</sup>	Positive HBcrAg POCT n = 11 <sup>1</sup>	p-value <sup>2</sup>
<b>HBV DNA (log<sub>10</sub> IU/ml), median (IQR)</b>	74	2.68 (1.56, 3.45)	6.05 (3.19, 7.03)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
<b>HBV DNA Group (log<sub>10</sub> IU/ml)</b>	75			<b>&lt;0.001</b>
<20	13	12 (92%)	1 (8%)	
20 - 2000	36	34 (94%)	2 (6%)	
2000 - 20,000	15	14 (93%)	1 (7%)	
20,000 - 200,000	3	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	
>200,000	7	0 (0%)	7 (100%)	
Unknown	1	1	0	
<b>ALT U/L, median (IQR)</b>	75	26 (19, 35)	58 (31, 67)	<b>0.006</b>
<b>ALT &gt;ULN</b>	75			0.7
Yes	48	40 (83%)	8 (17%)	
No	27	24 (89%)	3 (11%)	
<b>Elastography score (kPa), median (IQR)</b>	66	4.25 (3.50, 5.55)	6.65 (3.50, 8.98)	0.2
Unknown	9	6	3	
<b>Liver Health Fibroscan</b>	75			<b>0.03</b>



Normal ( $\leq 7$ kPa)	57	52 (91%)	5 (9%)	
Significant fibrosis ( $> 7 - \leq 12.5$ kPa)	8	6 (75%)	2 (25%)	
Cirrhosis ( $> 12.5$ kPa)	1	0 (0%)	1 (100%)	
Unknown	9	6	3	
<b>APRI Score, median (IQR)</b>	74	0.29 (0.22, 0.39)	0.57 (0.34, 0.86)	<b>0.003</b>
Unknown	1	1	0	
<b>Liver health APRI</b>	75			<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Normal ( $< 0.5$ )	60	57 (95%)	3 (5%)	
Significant Fibrosis (0.5 - 1)	11	5 (45%)	6 (55%)	
Cirrhosis ( $> 1$ )	3	1 (33%)	2 (67%)	
Unknown	1	1	0	
<b>HBeAg</b>	75			<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Positive	7	0 (0%)	7 (100%)	
Negative	68	64 (94%)	4 (6%)	

<sup>1</sup>n (%); Median (IQR), <sup>2</sup>Pearson's Chi-squared test; Wilcoxon rank sum test; Fisher's exact test

218 IQR - interquartile range, ALT - alanine aminotransferase, ULN - upper limit of normal, kPa - kilopascals,

219 APRI - AST to platelet ratio index, HBeAg - hepatitis B 'e' antigen.

220

221


Meeting treatment criteria	Identified by ALT alone	Identified by HBcrAg-POCT alone	Identified by ALT AND HBcrAg-POCT together
27/75 (36%)	23/27 (85%)	9/27 (33%)	24/27 (89%)

222

223 **Supplementary Table 1:** The number of people living with hepatitis B virus infection in Kilifi, Kenya  
224 meeting treatment criteria (defined as Fibroscan score  $> 7$  kPa, or APRI score  $> 0.5$ , or the combination of  
225 raised ALT AND HBV DNA  $> 2000$  IU/ml) compared with how many of these people would have been  
226 identified using ALT alone, HBcrAg-POCT alone or by both tests. APRI: Aspartate transaminase-to-  
227 platelet ratio index, ALT: Alanine aminotransferase, HBcrAg-POCT: Hepatitis B core-related antigen  
228 point-of-care test. Treatment criteria: Fibroscan score  $> 7$  kPa or APRI score  $> 0.5$ .


229

230



**Supplementary figure 1:** Relationship between clinical characteristics and results of HBcrAg point-of-care test in adults living with hepatitis B virus infection (HBV) in Kilifi, Kenya: A - HBeAg status, B - HBV VL level, C - liver health based on APRI scores, D - Liver stiffness by elastography scores. Each characteristic is split by hepatitis B core-related antigen (HBcrAg) POCT test result. Light grey is those testing HBcrAg POCT negative, dark grey is those testing HBcrAg POCT positive. HBeAg: hepatitis B 'e' antigen, VL: viral load, APRI: Aspartate transaminase to platelet ratio index. APRI scores: Normal <0.5, Significant fibrosis 0.5 - 1, Cirrhosis >1. Elastography scores: Normal <7 kPa, Significant fibrosis 7-12.5 kPa, Cirrhosis >12.5 kPa.

**Supplementary figure 2:** Venn diagram showing numbers of untreated adults living with hepatitis B infection who would be eligible for nucleoside analogue therapy based on different criteria. Black dotted circle indicates the one extra person with abnormal APRI and elastography scores who would have been identified using HBcrAg-POCT but missed using abnormal ALT alone. Red dotted circles indicate the three people with abnormal Fibroscan or APRI scores that would have been missed by both ALT and HBcrAg-POCT.



246

247

## 248 References

- 249 1. Global hepatitis report 2024: action for access in low- and middle-income countries  
250 [Internet]. World Health Organization; 2024 [cited 2024 Jun 4]. Available from:  
251 <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240091672>
- 252 2. Guidelines for the prevention, diagnosis, care and treatment for people with chronic  
253 hepatitis B infection [Internet]. World Health Organization; 2024 [cited 2024 Jul 9].  
254 Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240090903>
- 255 3. Wen WH, Chang MH, Zhao LL, Ni YH, Hsu HY, Wu JF, et al. Mother-to-infant transmission  
256 of hepatitis B virus infection: significance of maternal viral load and strategies for  
257 intervention. J Hepatol. 2013 Jul;59(1):24–30.

4. Drain PK, Dorward J, Violette LR, Quame-Amaglo J, Thomas KK, Samsunder N, et al. Point-of-care HIV viral load testing combined with task shifting to improve treatment outcomes (STREAM): findings from an open-label, non-inferiority, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet HIV*. 2020 Apr 1;7(4):e229–37.
5. Seck A, Ndiaye F, Maylin S, Ndiaye B, Simon F, Funk AL, et al. Poor sensitivity of commercial rapid diagnostic tests for hepatitis B e antigen in Senegal, west Africa. *Am J Trop Med Hyg*. 2018 Aug;99(2):428–34.
6. Leathers JS, Pisano MB, Re V, Van Oord G, Sultan A, Boonstra A, et al. Evaluation of rapid diagnostic tests for assessment of Hepatitis B in resource-limited settings. *Ann Glob Health* [Internet]. 2019 Jul 4 [cited 2024 Oct 16];85(1). Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6634443/>
7. Mak LY, Wong DKH, Cheung KS, Seto WK, Lai CL, Yuen MF. Review article: hepatitis B core-related antigen (HBcrAg): an emerging marker for chronic hepatitis B virus infection. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2018 Jan 1;47(1):43–54.
8. Shimakawa Y, Ndow G, Njie R, Njai HF, Takahashi K, Mohammad S, et al. Clinical Infectious Diseases Hepatitis B Core-related Antigen: An Alternative to Hepatitis B Virus DNA to Assess Treatment Eligibility in Africa. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*®. 2020;70(7):1442–52.
9. Shimakawa Y, Ndow G, Kaneko A, Aoyagi K, Lemoine M, Tanaka Y, et al. Rapid point-of-care test for hepatitis B core-related antigen to diagnose high viral load in resource-limited settings. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* [Internet]. 2022 Jun;0(0). Available from: <http://www.cghjournal.org/article/S1542356522005547/fulltext>
10. Downs LO, Chirro O, Zaharani M, Safari B, Okanda D, Githinji G, et al. STRIKE-HBV: establishing an HBV screening programme in Kilifi, Kenya-challenges, successes and lessons learnt. *Sex Transm Infect* [Internet]. 2024 May 24; Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/sextrans-2024-056163>
11. Gosset A, Drabo S, Carrieri P, Tiendrebeogo ASE, Vincent JP, Tanaka Y, et al. Costs of integrating hepatitis B screening and antiviral prophylaxis into routine antenatal care in Burkina Faso: Treat all versus targeted strategies. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2024 Jul 1;166(1):44–61.
12. Nayagam S, de Villiers MJ, Shimakawa Y, Lemoine M, Thursz MR, Walsh N, et al. Impact and cost-effectiveness of hepatitis B virus prophylaxis in pregnancy: a dynamic simulation modelling study. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2023 Jul;8(7):635–45.