

Sex and hormonal effects on drug cue-reactivity and its regulation in human addiction

Yuefeng Huang¹, Eduardo R. Butelman¹, Ahmet O. Ceceli¹, Greg Kronberg¹, Sarah G. King², Natalie E. McClain², Yui Ying Wong¹, Maggie Boros¹, K Rachel Drury¹, Rajita Sinha^{3,4}, Nelly Alia-Klein^{1,2}, Rita Z. Goldstein^{1,2}

1. Department of Psychiatry, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029
2. Department of Neuroscience, Friedman Brain Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029
3. Department of Psychiatry, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT 06510
4. Yale Stress Center, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT 06510

Corresponding author:

Rita Z. Goldstein

Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai

Gustave L. Levy Place, Box 1230

New York, NY 10029

rita.goldstein@mssm.edu

(212) 659-8886

Abstract

Objective: To study the sex and hormonal effects on cortico-striatal engagement during drug cue-reactivity and its regulation focusing on drug reappraisal.

Methods: Forty-nine men (age=41.96±9.71) with heroin use disorder (HUD) and 32 age-matched women (age=38.85±9.84) with HUD (n=16) or cocaine use disorder (CUD; n=16) were scanned using functional MRI, with a subgroup of women scanned twice, during the late-follicular and mid-luteal phases, to examine sex and menstrual phase differences in cortico-striatal drug cue-reactivity and its cognitive reappraisal and their correlations with ovarian hormones and drug craving.

Results: Women showed higher medial prefrontal cortex (PFC) drug cue-reactivity while men showed higher frontal eye field (FEF)/dorsolateral PFC (dlPFC) drug reappraisal as associated with lower cue-induced drug craving. In the women, drug cue-reactivity was higher during the follicular phase in the FEF/dlPFC, whereas drug reappraisal was higher during the luteal phase in the anterior PFC/orbitofrontal cortex. The more the estradiol during the follicular vs. luteal phase (Δ), the higher the Δ drug cue-reactivity in the vmPFC, which also correlated with higher Δ drug craving (observed also in the inferior frontal gyrus). The more this Δ estradiol, the lower the Δ drug reappraisal in the vmPFC, anterior PFC and striatum. Conversely, Δ progesterone/estradiol ratio was positively associated with Δ drug reappraisal in the dlPFC.

Conclusions: Compared to men, women with addiction show more cortico-striatal reactivity to drug cue exposure and less PFC activity during drug reappraisal, driven by the follicular compared to luteal phase and directly related to craving and fluctuations in estrogen and

progesterone with the former constituting a vulnerability and the latter a protective factor. This study provides insights for developing precisely timed and hormonally informed treatments for women with addiction.

Introduction

Women have been reported to exhibit greater severity of drug abuse, a faster progression to problematic drug use, and higher relapse risk than men (1–3) although these sex differences are not always robust, with opposite results also reported (4, 5). For example, even after controlling for overall levels of drug exposure, we recently reported that men have higher opioid, cocaine, and psychostimulant overdose mortality rates than women (6). These discrepancies may be partially driven by fluctuations in women in the two primary ovarian hormones (1–3), estradiol and progesterone, which have been suggested to exert opposing effects on addiction-related behaviors: estradiol facilitates the initiation of drug taking (1) and reinstatement of drug-seeking behavior (1, 5), while progesterone reduces craving (7), positive subjective drug effects (8), and amount (9) of substance use. Overall, the complex interplay of sex- and hormonal-based patterns of risk and resilience in addiction is understudied partly because women remain significantly underrepresented in basic neuroscience (including neuroimaging) studies (10, 11). Of particular relevance, merely five neuroimaging studies examined the neural correlates of sex differences in cue-induced reactivity and craving in cocaine use disorder (CUD) and none in heroin use disorder (HUD) as previously reviewed (5). Furthermore, studies of hormonal effects in women are scarce, particularly in substance use disorder (SUD) (12).

Enhanced salience of drug cues at the expense of non-drug reinforcers, associated with hyperactivity across reward, salience, and executive control networks (among others), is a hallmark of addiction (11). While limited in number, and although a mixed direction of effects across substances including cocaine and alcohol has been observed, clinical neuroimaging studies indicate consistent sex differences in ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC) drug cue-

reactivity in people with SUD (13). For example, drug cue-reactivity in the vmPFC to alcohol pictures was found higher in women than men (14), correlate with alcohol craving, and predict heavy drinking days, with the latter exclusively in women (15). Importantly, ovarian hormones can influence drug cue-reactivity, whereby cigarette-dependent women display striatal hyperreactivity to smoking cues during the late-follicular phase (increased estradiol) as compared to the mid-luteal phase (increased progesterone) and early-follicular phase (low estradiol and progesterone) (12).

Given its association with prospective drug use and relapse (16), reducing drug cue-reactivity has been a main goal in addiction treatment (17). A commonly used behavioral intervention for this purpose is emotion downregulation via cognitive reappraisal of drug cues; emotion upregulation via savoring of non-drug alternative (reward) cues has also been used, albeit much less frequently (18, 19). In addition to the expected higher drug cue-reactivity in the vmPFC and striatum (and in the orbitofrontal cortex and inferior frontal gyrus [IFG]), we previously identified elevated cortico-striatal activity during drug reappraisal as directly compared to food savoring in individuals with HUD (iHUD). This activity in the dorsolateral PFC (dlPFC) correlated with higher methadone dose, while its direct contrast with drug cue-reactivity correlated with (lower) craving, suggesting that cognitive reappraisal is an effective, yet resource-demanding, regulation strategy (20). In the general population, studies found that men, compared to women, have higher dlPFC activation during emotion downregulation (>passive viewing) of negative images (21, 22). Additionally, although an opposite effect was reported in postmenopausal women with depression (23), in naturally cycling women, estradiol administration lowers IFG/middle frontal gyrus activity during emotion downregulation (24).

Progesterone has the opposite effect as suggested by a study where intrauterine release of a synthetic progestin (levonorgestrel) promoted higher frontal N2 amplitude during emotion upregulation of negative images, suggesting the recruitment of more attention and cognitive control resources (25, 26). These findings collectively suggest potential sex and hormonal effects also on the regulation of drug cue-reactivity with implications for women's mental health.

Therefore, our study aimed to explore sex and hormonal effects on cortico-striatal mechanisms underlying drug cue-reactivity (vs. processing of non-drug reward and neutral cues) and its regulation in individuals with SUD. Given the limited and inconsistent findings, and especially absence of relevant studies in HUD, our first hypothesis posited significant sex differences in drug cue-reactivity, without specifying a direction for this effect. Reflecting risk and protective effects, respectively, we then hypothesized that women would exhibit higher drug cue-reactivity and lower drug reappraisal during the follicular phase, with the opposite pattern in the luteal phase, as directly correlated with fluctuations in estrogen, progesterone and craving. Due to the scarcity of studies on emotion regulation with alternative reward savoring, and space limitations, these results are reported in the Supplement.

Methods

Participants

Forty-nine men with HUD (age=41.96±9.71 and 32 age-matched women with HUD or CUD (iHUD: n=16; iCUD n=16; age=38.85±9.84) from the greater New York City area were recruited.

Participants underwent a comprehensive clinical diagnostic interview conducted by trained research staff under a clinical psychologist's supervision. All iHUD and iCUD met DSM-5 criteria for opioid or stimulant use disorder (with heroin or cocaine as the primary drug of choice or reason for treatment, respectively). See supplement for details of interview procedures, exclusionary criteria, comorbidities, and drug use/treatment related information.

All participants were scanned with MRI once to examine sex differences. A subgroup of sixteen women (iCUD=13, iHUD=3) underwent an additional MRI procedure to examine menstrual cycle/hormone effects (days between scans= 47.80 ± 61.08). Menstrual phase information for both MRI days (follicular vs. luteal) was tracked via self-report and validated by objective blood ovarian hormone testing using chemiluminescence microparticle (Abbott Architect) or electrochemiluminescence (ECLIA, Roche) immunoassays (see Supplement). All 16 women had a regular menstrual cycle (past 3 months average days= 30.52 ± 2.21) and none used hormonal contraceptives (self-reported). They were randomized to have their first scan at the follicular (n=6) or luteal (n=10) phase, with no significant group differences in these numbers ($p=0.289$).

The study was approved by the IRB of the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai. All participants provided written informed consent. Throughout the manuscript, the terms "men" and "women" are used to describe self-reported biological sex.

fMRI task paradigm

The task paradigm was reported in detail in our previous study (20). In short, participants were instructed to passively look at drug (images of drug preparation, use, and paraphernalia; heroin

for iHUD, and cocaine for iCUD), food, and neutral images, actively downregulate their emotional reactivity to the drug images, and actively upregulate their emotional reactivity to food images, during the “look”, “reappraise”, and “savor” conditions, respectively. Immediately before and after the task, participants provided self-reported drug and food craving and task motivation ratings. Self-evaluations of the difficulty and effectiveness of reappraisal and savoring were also collected after the task. After the MRI session, each participant provided ratings on valence, arousal, and craving (cue-induced craving; for food and drug cues only) on half of the drug, food, and neutral images viewed during the task (see Supplement).

BOLD fMRI data analysis

Individual parameter estimates were generated using the general linear model (GLM) via FMRIB Software Library (FSL)'s FEAT (version 6.0) (27). See Supplement and previous study (20) for GLM details. A fixed-effects model was used for subject-level statistical maps of each task event (look drug/food/neutral, reappraise drug, savor food) and their contrasts to yield estimates of drug cue-reactivity (look drug>look neutral or look food) and its regulation via reappraisal (reappraise drug>look drug) and savoring (savor food>look drug). For completeness, we also inspected the contrasts of reappraise drug>savor food and savor food>look food; the savoring results are reported in the Supplement. Group-level estimates were calculated using FSL FLAME 1+2 mixed-effects model to improve group-level variance estimation and population inferences via Markov chain Monte Carlo simulations (28). Given the nonuniformity in number of cue types per task condition, instead of calculating condition-related main effects and interactions, we preselected the above-mentioned contrasts of interest to test our hypotheses. For within-women (δ

measures between menstrual phases) correlations, the delta directions are follicular-luteal phase for estradiol and drug craving and luteal-follicular phase for progesterone and scaled progesterone/estradiol ratio for better interpretation. Same delta direction used accordingly for the BOLD fMRI. All analyses were conducted voxel-wise using a cluster-defining threshold of $Z > 3.1$ (29). For analyses using the two drug cue-reactivity contrasts, cluster-extent thresholds were corrected to $p < 0.05/2 = 0.025$ for sex and menstrual phase differences separately, and to $p < 0.05/(2 \times 2) = 0.0125$ for correlations with cue- and task-induced (post- minus pre- task) drug craving. Analyses pertinent to the reappraisal (and savoring) contrast and correlations with ovarian hormones were more exploratory and therefore corrected to a cluster-extent threshold of $p < 0.05$. In addition to whole-brain analyses, we used an independent anatomical mask (including the entire frontal cortex and striatum) for a restricted search in our regions of interest guided by our previous studies (11, 20) (related results are labeled with “anatomically masked”; see Supplement for additional details of the anatomical mask, neuroimaging data acquisition and preprocessing).

Results

Participants

Table 1 illustrates significant sex differences (women > men) in past 30-day use of heroin/cocaine ($p = 0.005$); this effect was not correlated with any of the neuroimaging outcomes of interest and thus was not included as a covariate. No significant sex differences were observed for any of the other drug use or alcohol and smoking variables, demographics, and neuropsychological

measures. Group differences were also not observed in any drug use variables between iHUD and iCUD in women. Menstrual phase differences within the subgroup of women underwent two scans were also not observed for dynamic drug use variables (i.e., past 30 days use of drug and days since last drug use).

Pre- and post-task and post-MRI picture ratings

There were no significant interaction effects with sex or menstrual phase in any of the ratings.

See Supplement (Figure S1-S4).

Sex differences in BOLD fMRI

Whole-brain analyses revealed greater drug cue-reactivity (>look neutral or food) in the medial PFC (mPFC) in women compared to men (Figure 1A). Compared to men, women also showed lower drug reappraisal (>look drug) in the left frontal eye field (FEF)/dlPFC (anatomically masked; Figure 1B). The higher the left dlPFC drug reappraisal, the lower the cue-induced drug craving only in men (anatomically masked; non-significant in women; significant sex differences in slopes via extracted parameter estimates [$p=0.042$]; Figure 1C).

For completeness, the same analyses were conducted separately within iHUD (men vs. women) and women (iHUD vs. iCUD). Similar sex differences in cortico-striatal activity were observed at a trend level within the smaller sample of iHUD only. There was also no significant group (SUD type within women only) differences that overlapped with these results (see Supplement also for menstrual phase locked sex differences analyses).

Ovarian hormones

Compared to the late-follicular phase, the mid-luteal phase was characterized by a significantly higher progesterone level ($p=0.008$), demonstrating the expected progesterone domination (higher scaled progesterone/estradiol ratio: $p=0.004$). While higher averaged estradiol was observed in the late-follicular phase, there were no significant differences when directly compared to the mid-luteal phase ($p=0.56$, Figure S5) attributed to the second peak of estradiol level in the menstrual cycle (12, 30). See Supplement for the exploratory hormonal correlations with drug and food cravings.

Within women menstrual cycle and hormonal effects in BOLD fMRI

Compared to the luteal phase, there was higher FEF/dIPFC drug cue-reactivity (>look food) in the follicular phase (anatomically masked; Figure 2A). These drug cue-reactivity changes between the menstrual phases (Δ) in the IFG and vmPFC (anatomically masked) were positively correlated with Δ cue-induced and Δ task-induced drug craving, respectively (Figure 2B & 2C). As compared to the follicular phase, the luteal phase was instead characterized by higher drug reappraisal (>look drug) in the anterior PFC/orbitofrontal cortex (aPFC; Figure 3A) in addition to other areas (Table 2; see Supplement).

Fluctuations in ovarian hormones were directly correlated with these changes in cortico-striatal drug cue-reactivity and drug reappraisal. Specifically, Δ estradiol was correlated positively with Δ drug cue-reactivity (>look food) in the vmPFC (anatomically masked; Figure 2D) and negatively

with Δ drug reappraisal (>look drug), again, primarily in default mode network regions inclusive of the vmPFC but also in the FEF/aPFC (anatomically masked; Figure 3B) and striatum (including the caudate and putamen; Figure S6B) and other areas (Table 2). In contrast, the Δ progesterone/estradiol ratio was positively correlated with Δ drug reappraisal (>look drug) in the dlPFC (Figure 3C) and other regions (Table 2; see Supplement).

See supplement (Table S1 for savoring contrasts; also Table 2 for contrasts reported in the main text) for results that are outside of our main goals and/or regions of interest (i.e., frontal cortico-striatal areas) for completeness. Also see Figures S7-S9 for summarized (whole-brain and anatomically masked) cortical BOLD fMRI activation for menstrual cycle and hormonal effects.

Discussion

We first demonstrated elevated mPFC drug cue-reactivity in women and higher FEF/dlPFC drug reappraisal as correlated with lower cue-induced drug craving in men. Additionally, significant menstrual cycle effects were found, where drug cue-reactivity was higher in the FEF/dlPFC during the follicular phase and drug reappraisal was higher in the aPFC/orbitofrontal cortex during the luteal phase. Within-subject correlations on changes between menstrual phases showed that Δ vmPFC drug cue-reactivity correlated with both higher Δ estradiol and Δ task-induced drug craving; a similar correlation was observed for the IFG. Conversely, Δ drug reappraisal correlated with lower Δ estradiol (in the vmPFC, FEF/aPFC, and striatum) and higher Δ progesterone/estradiol ratio (in the dlPFC).

Consistent with findings in people with problem alcohol use (13, 14) but opposite to a study in iCUD (31, 32) (potentially due to different task stimuli used [imaginary scripts vs. pictures] and the regulation conditions in our task), we found higher mPFC drug cue-reactivity in women with HUD or CUD compared to men with HUD. In the men, we observed higher drug reappraisal in the FEF/dlPFC, consistent with studies in healthy individuals (21, 22), further linking this activity to lower cue-induced drug craving in our HUD men. This result aligns with the general role of the dlPFC in top-down cognitive control (e.g., during emotion regulation (33)) and its specific role in craving regulation (e.g., in current smokers (34)). The absence of a similar correlation in our SUD women further suggests a potentially sexually dimorphic mechanism, where men may better mobilize top-down control resources during cognitive reappraisal to reduce drug cue-reactivity and the associated experience of craving, while in women absence of these effects may suggest more susceptibility/vulnerability specifically during drug cue exposure.

These effects may be influenced hormonally. Indeed, enhanced drug cue-reactivity was observed during the follicular phase (FEF/dlPFC) as associated with increased Δ drug craving (IFG and vmPFC) and Δ estradiol (vmPFC). The luteal phase instead showed enhanced drug reappraisal (aPFC) as associated with reduced Δ estradiol (FEF/aPFC and vmPFC and striatum [encompassing putamen and caudate]), potentially attributed to estrogen's positive modulation effects on the dopamine system, specific to females (35)) and increased Δ progesterone/estradiol ratio (dlPFC). These results highlight a unique role for the vmPFC (menstrual phase differences in both drug cue-reactivity and reappraisal associated in the opposite, and expected, directions with respective estradiol changes and with craving changes for the former), suggesting this default mode network region may be a menstrual/hormone-

modulated neural marker for addiction vulnerability/risk vs. resilience in women. Therefore, the vmPFC's role in subjective valuation (36) and the generation of affective meaning (37) during drug cue exposure and its regulation could be targeted (for its attenuation in the former and enhancement in the latter) in future intervention efforts. These results also highlight direct hormonal effects where, in contrast to estrogen, progesterone appears to have a protective effect (38, 39). In our study this conclusion is supported by the higher drug reappraisal during the luteal phase and by the positive association between the Δ progesterone/estradiol ratio with Δ drug reappraisal in executive and cognitive control regions (40) (e.g., the dlPFC, a region within the frontoparietal control network). These anterior lateral PFC regions' role in emotion regulation (33, 41) could therefore be targeted for enhancement (e.g., with transcranial direct current stimulation (42)). Abnormalities within and between the default mode network and attention and executive control networks have also been associated with facilitating craving and relapse in SUD (43), and hence the dynamic co-activation of these networks could be a further treatment target.

Some limitations need to be acknowledged and future directions discussed: 1) The current study lacked a group of men with CUD, preventing a direct comparison between substances. However, using an fMRI task we adapted to be relevant to both iCUD and iHUD, our results suggest some generalizability across substances (note also stability of results when excluding participants who are comorbid for both HUD and CUD, see Supplement). Nevertheless, future efforts should fill this gap (also need more samples for CUD vs. HUD effect within women due to comorbidity on these substances; see Supplement); 2) While we uncovered significant menstrual cycle/hormonal effects with a small sample size, it is important to replicate these

findings with a larger sample size and more balanced groups. Adding a group of healthy control women (and/or a second scan in men) for reference of the hormonal effects would also increase the validity of the current findings; 3) Our study targeted the mid-follicular and mid-luteal phases (when estradiol is relatively high, Figure S5), potentially contributing to some negative results. Future studies should therefore assess the additional early-follicular phase (low estradiol and progesterone), especially as the strongest ventral striatal drug cue-reactivity was observed when comparing this phase to the late-follicular and mid-luteal phases in nicotine-dependent women (12) ; 4) Stress-induced drug cue-reactivity and fluctuations in the stress and reward brain circuits' function during the menstrual cycle were reported in women with CUD, linked to fluctuations in cortisol in addition to ovarian hormones (31, 44). Therefore, measuring the cortisol hormone could be informative for future research; 5) Long-term exposure to opioids or opioid replacement therapy (e.g., methadone and buprenorphine) could lead to hypogonadism (e.g., deficiencies in estradiol and progesterone) (45). Indeed, some of our participants with urine positive for methadone or buprenorphine showed very low levels (below sensitivity level) of progesterone or estradiol, suggesting that this factor is important for future study. We could also not equate the women subgroups on this factor, although we note lack of differences between the CUD and HUD women; and 6) While no participants in our analyses reported current or past gynecological problems that require medical attention nor recent alterations in menstrual cycle patterns, future studies will benefit from a more extensive medical examination to rule out potential conditions (e.g., endometriosis or polycystic ovary syndrome) that could alter hormonal profiles.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first clinical neuroimaging study simultaneously examining sex differences, menstrual phase, and hormonal effects in cortico-striatal drug cue-reactivity and its regulation in SUD. Although the sex differences reported here remain to be reconciled with the higher overdose mortality rates in men at the epidemiological level (6), our findings offer valuable and timely insights into the hormone-modulated vulnerability and resilience factors in women with SUD. Specifically, our results could inform translational efforts to develop precisely timed, hormonally informed treatments. For example, we recommend targeting the follicular phase to reduce drug cue-reactivity by enhancing the executive control functions (e.g., cognitive reappraisal and/or savoring of an alternative reward, see Supplement), which may reduce craving and ultimately improve treatment outcomes in women with SUD.

References

1. Becker JB, McClellan ML, Reed BG: Sex differences, gender and addiction. *Journal of Neuroscience Research* 2017; 95:136–147
2. Towers EB, Williams IL, Qillawala EI, et al.: Sex/Gender Differences in the Time-Course for the Development of Substance Use Disorder: A Focus on the Telescoping Effect. *Pharmacol Rev* 2023; 75:217–249
3. Lynch WJ, Roth ME, Carroll ME: Biological basis of sex differences in drug abuse: preclinical and clinical studies. *Psychopharmacology* 2002; 164:121–137
4. Butelman ER, Chen CY, Brown KG, et al.: Escalation of drug use in persons dually diagnosed with opioid and cocaine dependence: Gender comparison and dimensional predictors. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2019; 205:107657
5. Nicolas C, Zlebnik NE, Farokhnia M, et al.: Sex Differences in Opioid and Psychostimulant Craving and Relapse: A Critical Review. *Pharmacol Rev* 2022; 74:119–140
6. Butelman ER, Huang Y, Epstein DH, et al.: Overdose mortality rates for opioids and stimulant drugs are substantially higher in men than in women: state-level analysis. *Neuropsychopharmacol* 2023; 48:1639–1647

7. Sofuoglu M, Dudish-Poulsen S, Nelson D, et al.: Sex and menstrual cycle differences in the subjective effects from smoked cocaine in humans. *Experimental and Clinical Psychopharmacology* 1999; 7:274–283
8. Evans SM, Haney M, Foltin RW: The effects of smoked cocaine during the follicular and luteal phases of the menstrual cycle in women. *Psychopharmacology* 2002; 159:397–406
9. Baker NL, Gray KM, Ramakrishnan V, et al.: Increases in endogenous progesterone attenuate smoking in a cohort of nontreatment seeking women: An exploratory prospective study. *Addiction Biology* 2021; 26:e12918
10. Moningka H, Lichenstein S, Worhunsky PD, et al.: Can neuroimaging help combat the opioid epidemic? A systematic review of clinical and pharmacological challenge fMRI studies with recommendations for future research. *Neuropsychopharmacol* 2019; 44:259–273
11. Zilverstand A, Huang AS, Alia-Klein N, et al.: Neuroimaging Impaired Response Inhibition and Salience Attribution in Human Drug Addiction: A Systematic Review. *Neuron* 2018; 98:886–903
12. Franklin TR, Spilka NH, Keyser H, et al.: Impact of the natural hormonal milieu on ventral striatal responses to appetitive cigarette smoking cues: A prospective longitudinal study. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence Reports* 2022; 5:100119
13. Maxwell AM, Brucar LR, Zilverstand A: A Systematic Review of Sex/Gender Differences in the Multi-dimensional Neurobiological Mechanisms in Addiction and Their Relevance to Impulsivity [Internet]. *Curr Addict Rep* 2023; [cited 2023 Dec 6] Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40429-023-00529-9>
14. Claus ED, Blaine SK, Witkiewitz K, et al.: Sex moderates effects of alcohol and cannabis co-use on alcohol and stress reactivity. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research* 2022; 46:530–541
15. Radoman M, Fogelman N, Lacadie C, et al.: Neural Correlates of Stress and Alcohol Cue-Induced Alcohol Craving and of Future Heavy Drinking: Evidence of Sex Differences. *AJP* 2024; 181:412–422
16. Li Q, Li W, Wang H, et al.: Predicting subsequent relapse by drug-related cue-induced brain activation in heroin addiction: an event-related functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *Addiction Biology* 2015; 20:968–978
17. Zilverstand A, Parvaz MA, Moeller SJ, et al.: Cognitive interventions for addiction medicine: Understanding the underlying neurobiological mechanisms. *Prog Brain Res* 2016; 224:285–304
18. Bryan MA, Mallik D, Cochran G, et al.: Mindfulness and Savoring: A Commentary on Savoring Strategies and Their Implications for Addiction Treatment. *Substance Use & Misuse* 2022; 57:822–826
19. Garland EL, Atchley RM, Hanley AW, et al.: Mindfulness-Oriented Recovery Enhancement remediates hedonic dysregulation in opioid users: Neural and affective evidence of target engagement. *Sci Adv* 2019; 5:eaax1569

20. Huang Y, Ceceli AO, Kronberg G, et al.: Association of Cortico-Striatal Engagement During Cue Reactivity, Reappraisal, and Savoring of Drug and Non-Drug Stimuli With Craving in Heroin Addiction. *AJP* 2024; 181:153–165
21. Mak AKY, Hu Z, Zhang JXX, et al.: Sex-related differences in neural activity during emotion regulation. *Neuropsychologia* 2009; 47:2900–2908
22. Domes G, Schulze L, Böttger M, et al.: The neural correlates of sex differences in emotional reactivity and emotion regulation. *Hum Brain Mapp* 2010; 31:758–769
23. Albert KM, Boyd BD, Taylor WD, et al.: Differential effects of estradiol on neural and emotional stress response in postmenopausal women with remitted Major Depressive Disorder. *Journal of Affective Disorders* 2021; 293:355–362
24. Rehbein E, Kogler L, Hornung J, et al.: Estradiol administration modulates neural emotion regulation. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2021; 134:105425
25. Ahumada-Méndez F, Lucero B, Avenanti A, et al.: Affective modulation of cognitive control: A systematic review of EEG studies. *Physiology & Behavior* 2022; 249:113743
26. Zelionkaitė I, Gaižauskaitė R, Uusberg H, et al.: The levonorgestrel-releasing intrauterine device is related to early emotional reactivity: An ERP study. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2024; 162:106954
27. Woolrich MW, Ripley BD, Brady M, et al.: Temporal Autocorrelation in Univariate Linear Modeling of FMRI Data. *NeuroImage* 2001; 14:1370–1386
28. Beckmann CF, Jenkinson M, Smith SM: General multilevel linear modeling for group analysis in FMRI. *NeuroImage* 2003; 20:1052–1063
29. Eklund A, Nichols TE, Knutsson H: Cluster failure: Why fMRI inferences for spatial extent have inflated false-positive rates. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2016; 113:7900–7905
30. Becker JB, Arnold AP, Berkley KJ, et al.: Strategies and Methods for Research on Sex Differences in Brain and Behavior. *Endocrinology* 2005; 146:1650–1673
31. Potenza MN, Hong KA, Lacadie CM, et al.: Neural Correlates of Stress-Induced and Cue-Induced Drug Craving: Influences of Sex and Cocaine Dependence. *AJP* 2012; 169:406–414
32. Smith K, Lacadie CM, Milivojevic V, et al.: Sex differences in neural responses to stress and drug cues predicts future drug use in individuals with substance use disorder. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence* 2023; 244:109794
33. Buhle JT, Silvers JA, Wager TD, et al.: Cognitive Reappraisal of Emotion: A Meta-Analysis of Human Neuroimaging Studies. *Cerebral Cortex* 2014; 24:2981–2990
34. Kober H, Mende-Siedlecki P, Kross EF, et al.: Prefrontal–striatal pathway underlies cognitive regulation of craving. *PNAS* 2010; 107:14811–14816

35. Becker JB: Direct effect of 17β -estradiol on striatum: Sex differences in dopamine release. *Synapse* 1990; 5:157–164
36. Levy I, Snell J, Nelson AJ, et al.: Neural Representation of Subjective Value Under Risk and Ambiguity. *Journal of Neurophysiology* 2010; 103:1036–1047
37. Roy M, Shohamy D, Wager TD: Ventromedial prefrontal-subcortical systems and the generation of affective meaning. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 2012; 16:147–156
38. Becker JB, Hu M: Sex differences in drug abuse. *Frontiers in Neuroendocrinology* 2008; 29:36–47
39. Lynch WJ: Acquisition and maintenance of cocaine self-administration in adolescent rats: effects of sex and gonadal hormones. *Psychopharmacology* 2008; 197:237–246
40. Menon V, D'Esposito M: The role of PFC networks in cognitive control and executive function. *Neuropsychopharmacol* 2022; 47:90–103
41. Bo K, Kraynak TE, Kwon M, et al.: A systems identification approach using Bayes factors to deconstruct the brain bases of emotion regulation. *Nat Neurosci* 2024; 27:1–13
42. Gaudreault P-O, Sharma A, Datta A, et al.: A double-blind sham-controlled phase 1 clinical trial of tDCS of the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in cocaine inpatients: Craving, sleepiness, and contemplation to change. *European Journal of Neuroscience* 2021; 53:3212–3230
43. Zhang R, Volkow ND: Brain default-mode network dysfunction in addiction. *NeuroImage* 2019; 200:313–331
44. Fox HC, Hong KA, Paliwal P, et al.: Altered levels of sex and stress steroid hormones assessed daily over a 28-day cycle in early abstinent cocaine-dependent females. *Psychopharmacology* 2008; 195:527–536
45. Antony T, Alzaharani SY, El-Ghaiesh SH: Opioid-induced hypogonadism: Pathophysiology, clinical and therapeutics review. *Clinical and Experimental Pharmacology and Physiology* 2020; 47:741–750

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: The authors declare no competing financial interests

Funding/Support: This work was supported by NIDA grant T32DA053558 to Dr. Ceceli (as trainee), NIMH grant T32MH122394 to Dr. Kronberg (as trainee), and NCCIH grant R01AT010627 and NIDA grant R01DA048301 to Dr. Goldstein.

Table 1. Sample profile.

Variable	Men iHUD: n=49	Women iHUD: n=16 iCUD: n=16	Sex differences tests
<i>Demographic variables</i>			
Age (years)	41.96 ± 9.71	38.85 ± 9.84	t(79)=1.40, p=0.17
Race (Black/White/Other & mixed)	3/36/10	7/21/4	p=0.11
Ethnicity (Hispanic/Non-Hispanic)	21/28	8/24	χ ² (1, N=81)=1.96, p=0.16
Education (years; 12=high school grad)	12.02 ± 1.99	13.00 ± 2.78	W=969.00, p=0.07
Handedness (Right/Left/Ambidextrous)	38/10/1	29/3/0	p=0.27
<i>Neuropsychological variables</i>			
Verbal IQ (Wide Range Intelligence Test–III, standard score)	96.73 ± 12.41	97.25 ± 11.29	t(79)=0.19, p=0.85
Nonverbal IQ (Wechsler Abbreviated Scale of Intelligence, matrix reasoning scaled score)	10.14 ± 2.84	10.19 ± 2.94	t(78)=0.08, p=0.94
Baseline Beck Depression Inventory–II (BDI)	12.67 ± 10.20	17.90 ± 13.59	W=901.50, p=0.11
Baseline Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI)	8.85 ± 8.74	14.19 ± 10.95	W=965.00, p=0.03
Perceived Stress Scale (PSS) scores	26.85 ± 7.00	26.23 ± 8.54	t(77)=0.36, p=0.72
<i>Smoking and alcohol variables</i>			
Smoker (Current/Past/Never)	47/2/0	23/5/3	p=0.008
Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence (FTND)	3.76 ± 1.91	2.82 ± 2.21	W=525.50, p=0.09
Short Michigan Alcohol Screening Test (SMAST)	3.27 ± 3.87	2.26 ± 3.23	W=615.5, p=0.19
<i>Drug use variables</i>			
Days since last heroin/cocaine use	191.65 ± 213.37	iHUD: 117.81 ± 90.83 iCUD: 267.75 ± 421.04	W=641.00, p=0.17
Past 30 days use of heroin/cocaine (days)	0.12 ± 0.60	iHUD: 2.00 ± 7.47 iCUD: 3.69 ± 6.42	W=965.50, p=0.005*
Age at first heroin/cocaine use (years)	23.71 ± 7.82	iHUD: 24.31 ± 7.26 iCUD: 20.31 ± 5.70	W=702.00, p=0.52
Duration of period of heaviest heroin/cocaine use (years)	4.58 ± 5.32	iHUD: 4.17 ± 4.83 iCUD: 3.56 ± 3.95	W=661.50, p=0.49
Heroin/cocaine lifetime use (years)	11.25 ± 7.67	iHUD: 10.17 ± 5.86 iCUD: 12.28 ± 9.92	W=721.50, p=0.95
Heroin/cocaine Severity of Dependence Scale	11.61 ± 3.49	iHUD: 11.25 ± 2.91	W=636.50, p=0.15

Heroin Craving Questionnaire (HCQ)/5-item Cocaine Craving Questionnaire (CCQ; range-corrected to a common scale)	40.90 ± 15.23	iHUD: 9.88 ± 4.26 iHUD: 41.31 ± 12.76 iCUD: 49.96 ± 30.58	t(46.74)=0.98, p=0.33
Short Opiate Withdrawal Scale (SOWS)/Cocaine Selective Severity Assessment (CSSA; range-corrected to a common scale)	3.67 ± 5.78	iHUD: 3.12 ± 3.72 iCUD: 4.85 ± 3.36	W=865.50, p=0.18

Values in the table are frequencies or Mean ± SD.

Sex differences tests were performed with Chi-square test, fisher's exact test, two-sample t-test with equal or unequal variance, and Wilcoxon tank-sum test when appropriate.

Significant sex differences after controlling for multiple comparisons: $p < 0.05/10 = 0.005$ for demographic, neuropsychological variables; $p < 0.05/11 = 0.005$ for the drug use variables (including smoking and alcohol variables).

Missing value: n=2 for BDI, n=2 for BAI; n=1 for nonverbal IQ; n=2 for PSS; n=1 for smoker; n=4 for FTND; n=2 for SMAST; n=1 for age at first use; n=3 for period of heaviest use; n=3 for lifetime use; n=1 for HCQ; n=1 for CCQ; n=2 for CSSA. These missing values are from n=13 participants.

Table2. Coordinates for fMRI-BOLD drug cue-reactivity and drug reappraisal results.

Structure	Side	Voxels	Peak Z	Peak p	X	Y	Z	BA	Network
Drug cue-reactivity									
Sex effects									
Sex differences									
		<i>Women>Men: LD>LN</i>							
Medial Prefrontal Cortex	R	66	3.80	0.0130	4	54	16	10	Default
		<i>Women>Men: LD>LF</i>							
Medial Prefrontal Cortex	R	181	5.48	<0.0001	9	52	14	10	Default
Precuneus	L	67	3.98	0.0123	-2	-55	39	31	Default
Correlations with drug cravings									
		<i>Women>Men: LD>LF correlation with task-induced (post-pre) craving driven by positive correlation within women</i>							
Posterior Cingulate Cortex/Retrosplenial Cortex	L	268	+4.85	<0.0001	-2	-40	27	23	Default
Menstrual cycle and hormonal effects									
Menstrual phase differences									

		Follicular>Luteal: LD>LF							
Frontal Eye Field/Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex ^a	R	33	5.11	0.0187	28	26	50	8	Frontoparietal Control
		Luteal>Follicular: LD>LN							
Supplementary Motor Area		128	4.45	<0.0001	0	3	58	6	Ventral Attention

Correlations with Δ hormones

		ΔLD>LF positive correlation with ΔE							
Ventromedial Prefrontal Cortex ^a	L	34	+4.31	0.0170	-4	41	-9	32	Default
Cerebellum Crus I	L	68	+4.34	0.0017	-26	-85	-32		
Occipital Fusiform Gyrus	L	65	+4.51	0.0023	-17	-81	-16	18	Visual
Posterior Cingulate Cortex	L	58	+4.44	0.0049	-9	-51	33	23	Default
Lingual Gyrus	R	55	+4.30	0.0069	4	-77	-1	10	Visual
Inferior Parietal Lobule (Angular Gyrus)	R	40	+4.21	0.0390	47	-62	31	39	Default
Cerebellum Crus II	R	38	+4.50	0.0498	11	-90	-34		
		ΔLD>LN positive correlation with ΔP							
Intraparietal Sulcus (Supramarginal Gyrus)	R	111	+4.75	0.0001	32	-36	39	40	Dorsal Attention
Superior Parietal Lobule	R	93	+4.21	0.0005	22	-51	60	7	Dorsal Attention
Superior Parietal Lobule	L	73	+4.06	0.0027	-24	-51	62	7	Somatomotor
Temporoparietal Junction	R	47	+4.34	0.0336	47	-42	22	22	Default
		ΔLD>LF positive correlation with ΔP							
Central Opercular Cortex	L	51	+4.12	0.0113	-56	-10	12	4	Somatomotor
		ΔLD>LN positive correlation with ΔP/E ratio							
Precuneus	R	79	+4.63	0.0019	13	-62	56	7	Dorsal Attention
Lateral Occipital Cortex (superior division)	R	49	+4.21	0.0305	19	-81	35	19	Visual
		ΔLD>LF positive correlation with ΔP/E ratio							
Supramarginal Gyrus/Temporoparietal Junction	L	64	+4.58	0.0029	-56	-40	33	40	Ventral Attention

Correlations with Δ drug cravings

		ΔLD>LN positive correlation with Δtask-induced (post-pre) drug craving							
Ventromedial Prefrontal Cortex		68	+4.22	0.0069	0	56	6	10	Default
		ΔLD>LN positive correlation with Δcue-induced drug craving							
Inferior Frontal Gyrus	L	78	+4.42	0.0026	-49	7	14	14	Ventral Attention
Thalamus		73	+4.43	0.0040	0	-12	14		
Temporal Pole	R	63	+5.24	0.0097	60	9	-5	22	Somatomotor

Drug reappraisal activity

Sex effects

Sex differences

		<i>Men>Women: RD>LD</i>							
Frontal Eye Field/Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex ^a	L	46	4.29	0.0168	-39	20	50	8	Default

Correlations with drug cravings

		<i>RD>LD negative correlation with cue-induced drug craving in men</i>							
Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex ^a	L	37	3.91	0.0374	-47	26	29	9	Frontoparietal Control
		<i>Men>Women: RD>LD correlation with task-induced (post-pre) craving driven by negative correlation within women</i>							
Thalamus	L	93	-4.17	0.0019	-6	-12	3		

Menstrual cycle and hormonal effects

Menstrual phase differences

		<i>Luteal>Follicular: RD>LD</i>							
Primary Auditory Cortex	R	82	4.30	0.0008	47	-23	10	41	Somatomotor
Anterior Prefrontal Cortex/Orbitofrontal Cortex	L	58	4.64	0.0081	-34	37	-13	47	Default
Primary Auditory Cortex	L	58	4.26	0.0081	-43	-30	10	41	Somatomotor
Central Opercular Cortex	L	46	4.22	0.0286	-39	3	12	44	Ventral Attention
Middle/Superior Temporal Gyrus (posterior division)	R	43	4.16	0.0397	49	-27	-5	22	Default
Intracalcarine Cortex	L	42	4.30	0.0444	-6	-77	12	17	Visual

Correlations with Δ hormones

		<i>ΔRD>LD negative correlation with ΔE</i>							
Precuneus/Posterior Cingulate Cortex	L	300	-4.47	<0.0001	-11	-57	43	31	Default
Inferior Parietal Lobule (Angular Gyrus)	L	244	-5.19	<0.0001	-41	-77	45	39	Default
Cerebellum Crus II	L	132	-4.53	<0.0001	-28	-79	-39		
Lingual Gyrus	R	124	-4.40	<0.0001	7	-72	-5	18	Visual
Ventromedial Prefrontal Cortex		97	-4.59	0.0003	0	56	-7	10	Default
Ventromedial Prefrontal Cortex	L	87	-4.45	0.0006	-2	39	-5	32	Default
Putamen	L	76	-4.50	0.0016	-19	9	-7		
Cerebellum Crus II	R	75	-4.40	0.0018	13	-90	-39		
Frontal Eye Field/Anterior Prefrontal Cortex	R	74	-4.63	0.0019	17	44	52	8	Default

Lingual Gyrus	L	66	-4.49	0.0041	-4	-79	-5	18	Visual
Lateral Occipital Cortex (superior division)	R	57	-4.93	0.0100	32	-81	39	7	Visual
Lateral Occipital Cortex (superior division)/Angular Gyrus	R	57	-4.32	0.0100	32	-68	27	39	Dorsal Attention
Cerebellum Crus I	R	47	-3.91	0.0284	30	-75	-34		
Inferior Parietal Lobule (Angular Gyrus)	R	47	-4.23	0.0284	47	-62	24	39	Default
Caudate	R	46	-4.61	0.0316	15	22	3		
<i>ΔRD>LD positive correlation with ΔP/E ratio</i>									
Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex	L	43	+4.25	0.0462	-45	37	27	9	Frontoparietal Control

^a Indicate results from restricted search using the independent anatomical mask.

Durg cue-reactivity analyses were controlled for multiple comparisons with cluster-extent thresholds of $p < 0.05/2 = 0.025$ for sex and menstrual phase differences separately, and $p < 0.05/(2 \times 2) = 0.0125$ for correlations with cue- and task-induced (post- minus pre- task) drug craving.

Positive and negative Z scores indicate positive and negative correlations respectively.

Network labels for peak coordinates are based on the 7 networks (Yeo et al., 2011) derived from 400 parcellation (Schaefer et al., 2018).

BA=Brodmann Area; Δ=differences between menstrual phases; LD=look drug; LF=look food; LN= look neutral; RD=reappraise drug;

P=progesterone; E=estradiol; P/E ratio=Progesterone/Estradiol ratio (scaled).

FIGURE 1




Figure 1: Sex differences in cortico-striatal activity

A: Sex differences in cortico-striatal drug cue-reactivity. Women showed higher drug cue-reactivity (>look neutral or look food) in the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) than men. **B: Sex differences in cortico-striatal drug reappraisal.** Men showed higher drug reappraisal (>look drug [anatomically masked]) in the frontal eye field (FEF)/dorsolateral PFC (dlPFC) than women. **C: Within-men negative correlation.** Significant negative correlation between the dlPFC (anatomically masked) drug reappraisal and cue-induced drug craving within men only. rd-ld=reappraise drug>look drug; L=left; R=right. For visualization purposes, parameter estimates, depicting blood-oxygen-level-dependent signal, were extracted from corresponding FSL zstat images via 3-mm radius masks centered on Montreal Neurological Institute coordinates from peak activity (black dotted line circles represent the approximate peak coordinates). R^2 and p values were derived from extracted values. All the error bars denote the mean \pm SE.

FIGURE 2




Figure 2: Within women menstrual cycle and hormonal effects of drug cue-reactivity

A: Menstrual phase differences. Drug cue-reactivity (>look food [anatomically masked]) in the frontal eye field (FEF)/dorsolateral PFC (dlPFC) is higher during the follicular than the luteal phase. **B-C: Correlations with Δ (between menstrual phase changes) drug craving.** Δ drug cue-reactivity (>look neutral) was positively correlated with Δ cue-induced drug craving and Δ task-induced drug craving in inferior frontal gyrus (IFG) and ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC), respectively. **D: Correlation with Δ estradiol.** Δ drug cue-reactivity (>look food [anatomically masked]) was positively correlated with Δ estradiol in the vmPFC. iCUD = individuals with cocaine use disorder; iHUD = individuals with heroin use disorder; Id-lf = look drug>look food; Id-ln = look drug>look neutral; L=left; R=right. For visualization purposes, parameter estimates, depicting blood-oxygen-level-dependent signal, were extracted from corresponding FSL zstat images via 3-mm radius masks centered on Montreal Neurological Institute coordinates from peak activity (black dotted line circles represent the approximate peak coordinates). R^2 and p values were derived from extracted values. All the error bars denote the mean \pm SE.

FIGURE 3




Figure 3: Within women menstrual cycle and hormonal effects of drug reappraisal

A: Menstrual phase differences. Drug reappraisal > look drug activity in anterior PFC (aPFC)/orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) was higher during the luteal than the follicular phase. **B: Correlations with Δ (between menstrual phase changes) estradiol.** Δ estradiol was negatively correlated with Δ drug reappraisal > look drug activity in the ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC) and the frontal eye field (FEF)/aPFC. **C: Correlations with Δ progesterone/estradiol (P/E) ratio.** Δ drug reappraisal > look drug activity was positively correlated with Δ P/E ratio in the dorsolateral PFC (dlPFC). iCUD = individuals with cocaine use disorder; iHUD = individuals with heroin use disorder; rd-ld=reappraise drug > look drug; L=left; R=right. For visualization purposes, parameter estimates, depicting blood-oxygen-level-dependent signal, were extracted from corresponding FSL zstat images via 3-mm radius masks centered on Montreal Neurological Institute coordinates from peak activity (black dotted line circles represent the approximate peak coordinates). R^2 and p values were derived from extracted values. All the error bars denote the mean \pm SE.