Neural synchrony in the pain connectome predicts chronic pain severity and interactions with mental health outcomes: A transdiagnostic study using magnetoencephalography and multivariate modeling

Majid Saberi¹, Matthew Ventresca¹, Rouzbeh Zamyadi¹, Jing Zhang², Oshin Vartanian², Rakesh Jetly³, Venkat Bhat^{4,5,6}, Shawn G Rhind^{2,7}, J Don Richardson^{8,9} & Benjamin T Dunkley^{1,10,11,12,13}

- 1. Neurosciences & Mental Health, SickKids Research Institute, Toronto, Canada
- 2. Defence Research and Development Canada, Toronto Research Centre, Toronto, Canada.
- 3. The Institute of Mental Health Research, University of Ottawa, Royal Ottawa Hospital, Canada
- 4. Interventional Psychiatry Program, St. Michael's Hospital, Unity Health Toronto, Toronto, Canada
- 5. Neuroscience Research Program, St. Michael's Hospital, Unity Health Toronto, Toronto, Canada
- 6. Department of Psychiatry, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada
- 7. Faculty of Kinesiology and Physical Education, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada
- 8. St Joseph's, London OSI, London, Canada
- 9. MacDonald Franklin OSI Research Centre, London, Canada
- 10. Department of Medical Imaging, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada
- 11. Department of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology, Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Canada
- 12. Department of Psychology, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK
- 13. Department of Medical Imaging, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

Corresponding author

Dr. Benjamin T. Dunkley,

Hospital for Sick Children,

555 University Avenue, Toronto, ON M5G 1X8

Tel.:(416)-813-7654 ext. 328817

Email: ben.dunkley@sickkids.ca

Abstract

Chronic pain is a pervasive condition that involves complex neurobiological mechanisms and frequently co-occurs with mental health challenges such as anxiety, depression, and posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), interacting significantly with psychiatric outcomes. Despite its prevalence, the neural mechanisms of chronic pain and its interactions with mental health remain poorly understood - however, functional brain imaging studies suggest the "pain connectome", a collection of regions amongst numerous brain networks, plays a role in mediating the subjective perception of pain, and serves aspects of psychopathology. Here, we tested whether neural synchrony, a key mechanism in brain network communication, was predictive of self-reported chronic pain severity in a group of Canadian Armed Forces (CAF) service members and Veterans (n = 99) and examined if brain network functioning within the pain connectome interacts with symptoms of mental health challenges. We applied 5-minute eves-open resting-state magnetoencephalography (MEG) paradigm combined with multivariate modelling using partial least squares regression (PLSR). MEG is a powerful electrophysiological technique for imaging neural activity, including synchrony and network interactions, and PLSR allows exploration of complex multivariate data with high dimensionality to extract primary and interacting effects of interest in brain data. Pain severity was moderately correlated with the continuum of depression, anxiety, and PTSD symptoms, with mental health outcomes highly correlated between each other. We identified significant positive and negative associations between neural synchrony in the pain connectome and chronic pain severity. Beta oscillations were primarily related to pain severity and showed little-tono interaction with mental health outcomes. Similarly, theta band synchrony exhibited a more specific and prominent association with the pain-anxiety interaction. Conversely, high frequency gamma synchrony was associated with chronic pain severity and showed significant effects for pain-anxiety, pain-depression, and pain-PTSD interactions. Additionally, predictive modeling using machine learning revealed that cross-spectral synchrony could reliably predict chronic pain severity in individual cases, suggesting it as a robust neurobiological marker for chronic pain. It also demonstrated moderate predictive accuracy in indexing comorbid interactions between pain and mental health. Our findings show that complex, multidimensional patterns of neural synchrony in the pain connectome mediate both chronic pain intensity and its interaction with mental health, offering new avenues for targeted and personalized therapeutic interventions and objectively tracking treatment efficacy.

Keywords

Chronic pain, mental health comorbidities, pain connectome, magnetoencephalography (MEG), neural synchrony, multivariate modeling, partial least squares regression (PLSR).

Introduction

Chronic pain is typically defined as pain that persists for more than three months. Unlike acute pain, which is a temporary, direct response to noxious stimuli or injury, chronic pain may be idiopathic and can continue even after "recovery" from injury or disease [1,2]. Chronic pain is highly prevalent, impacting approximately 20.5% of adults in the United States [3] and 18.9% in Canada [4], imposing a substantial socioeconomic burden; for instance, in Canada, the total economic impact of chronic pain ranges between \$38.2 and \$40.3 billion annually due to direct healthcare costs and lost productivity [5]. Chronic pain is multifaceted, involving complex interactions between physiological processes and psychosociological factors, making management and treatment a significant challenge in clinical practice [6].

Chronic pain is served by complex neural mechanisms that go beyond simple sensory processing, involving both the peripheral and central nervous system (CNS), where peripheral sensitization initiates pain signals via inflammatory mediators at the site of injury. In contrast, CNS changes are maintained within the dorsal horn of the spinal cord and the brain [7,8]. The role of glial cells in modulating and maintaining chronic pain by releasing pro-inflammatory cytokines that enhance pain signals also illustrates the complexity of chronic pain mechanisms [9]. Furthermore, the descending modulation pathway, which normally suppresses pain, may cause dysfunction and paradoxically promote pain by facilitating pain pathways [10,11]. Collectively, maladaptive processes contribute to the persistent nature of chronic pain, underscoring the need for comprehensive understanding and innovative treatment strategies.

The "dynamic pain connectome" has emerged as a core framework for understanding the extensive brain network alterations associated with persistent pain states [12,13]. The pain connectome refers to a collective map of regions and neural connections related to pain processing, including those with interacting roles in emotional and cognitive processes. Key networks within the pain connectome include the default mode network, which is associated with self-referential thoughts and the perception of pain intensity, and the salience network, which is involved in detecting and filtering salient stimuli, including pain. The central executive network engages in higher-order cognitive functions and pain modulation, while the sensorimotor network processes the sensory aspects of pain and coordinates motor responses. Additionally, the limbic system modulates emotional responses to pain. These networks interact dynamically to modulate the experience of pain, reflecting the complex and multifaceted nature of pain perception and regulation in the brain [14,15].

Magnetoencephalography (MEG) is valuable in studying the pain connectome due to its high temporal resolution, which captures the rapid fluctuations in neural dynamics – such

as synchronisation across neural ensembles – that mediate the subjective percept of pain. For instance, alpha oscillatory activity is involved in neuropathic and non-neuropathic chronic pain, a potential biomarker for differentiating pain mechanisms [16]. Altered alpha power has been identified in key pain processing regions including the insula, cingulate cortex, and thalamus in chronic pain patients [17]. Additionally, alphaband slowing and reductions in localised beta activity correlate with pain severity and interference in patients with multiple sclerosis [18], suggesting oscillatory activity mediates pain intensity percepts. Suppression of peak alpha frequency (PAF) has also been observed in chronic pain conditions, with specific associations between PAF changes in frontal and parietal regions and baseline pain levels [19]. Sex differences in alpha within the pain connectome [20] suggest distinct sexually dimorphic mechanisms in pain perception and processing.

Furthermore, beta oscillations have been implicated in top-down pain modulation, with studies showing that attention to pain enhances beta activity in key regions like the primary somatosensory cortex [21]. Beta oscillatory suppression during conditioned pain modulation further highlights the role of neural oscillations in modulating pain perception [22]. Additionally, cross-network functional coupling is associated with chronic pain, suggesting that neural oscillations are mechanistic markers in the perceptual severity and interference of pain [23]. Pain sensitivity and interference correlate with functional coupling within and across the ascending nociceptive and salience networks, with notable sex differences in the theta, alpha, and low gamma bands [24]. Altogether, these findings demonstrate that neural oscillations serve as mechanistic markers of the perceptual severity of pain, its modulation through attentional processes, and its interference with cognitive and emotional functioning.

The comorbidity of chronic pain and mental health disorders, such as anxiety, depression, and posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), presents a significant challenge for developing an integrated approach to understanding and treating pain. Chronic pain frequently cooccurs with various mental health conditions, and their interaction is complex – comorbid pain and mental health challenges lead to increased disability and impairment [25], with a strong association between depression and anxiety with chronic pain, where mental health disorders exacerbate the severity and disability related to pain [26]. For example, chronic pain leads to "catastrophizing," which amplifies negative mood and depression [27]. Evidence suggests chronic pain and mental health disorders share common neurobiological pathways and psychosocial factors, complicating their management [28-31]. The "mutual maintenance" model, often discussed in the context of PTSD and chronic pain, suggests that anxiety sensitivity, selective attention to threat, and a lowered threshold for alarm are critical mechanisms that perpetuate both conditions [32]. Research also shows that individuals with comorbid PTSD and chronic pain experience

more severe mental health symptoms (e.g., depression and anxiety) and utilize coping strategies that may inadvertently maintain their condition [33,34].

Effective pain management includes not only pharmacological interventions targeting shared neurobiological pathways but also cognitive-behavioral and neuromodulatory therapies that address the neurocognitive and psychological aspects of comorbidities [31,32,34-36]. The integration of these approaches is crucial for improving both pain and psychological outcomes, highlighting the importance of addressing these conditions concurrently rather than in isolation.

In this study, we examined the complex neural signatures embedded in the "pain connectome" and the intricate relationship between chronic pain and anxiety, depression, and PTSD symptoms, controlling for potential confounding factors such as age and sex. To achieve this, we employed partial least squares regression (PLSR) [37,38], a multivariate technique suitable for revealing covariance between collinear and nonparametric data, revealing the association between chronic pain severity, mental health outcomes, and neural synchronisation in the pain connectome [12,13]. We then employed machine learning with cross-validation to predict pain levels and pain-mental health interactions based on significant synchronization patterns. We focused on a sample recruited from active military members and Veterans because it is well known that chronic pain is widespread in this population. For example, rates of chronic pain range from 25% to 82% among active duty and Veteran populations in the US [39-42], and approximately 41% of Canadian Veterans report experiencing constant pain or discomfort [43]. This study contributes to our understanding of the complex neurobiological mechanisms and topological presentation of pain and its interplay with mental health, opening new avenues treatments combining cognitive-behavioural and neuromodulatory for targeted interventions.

Methods

Participants

We recruited n = 106 active-duty military personnel and Veterans of the Canadian Armed Forces (CAF), as part of a cross-sectional study on the relationships between brain, cognitive, and mental health outcomes in military. After quality assurance procedures, we retained n = 99 usable sets of individual data (mean age = 46.8 years, SD = 9.8 years; 88% male, n = 87). From the 106 initial sets, three were removed due to the inability of the participants to complete either MEG or MRI scans for various reasons, such as scanner malfunction, or participants not being able to fit in the scanner(s). In addition, two sets were removed during preprocessing, due to excessive sensor noise. Another three participants were removed due to incomplete questionnaire data.

The participants served in a wide variety of capacities across numerous roles including infantry, armoured, ordnance disposal, special operations forces, paratroopers, artillery, and administrative or technical roles. Inclusion criteria were being between the ages of 20 and 65 and the ability to be imaged in an MRI scanner's confined space. Exclusions were made for individuals with ferrous metal implants that could affect MEG or MRI scanning, or those with a history of seizures, or active substance use disorders. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants, and the Hospital for Sick Children Research Ethics Board approved the study protocol. All participants provided their written informed consent for study participation.

Data Collection

Five minutes of eyes-open resting-state MEG data (600 Hz) in the supine position was collected using a 151-channel CTF system at the Hospital for Sick Children in Toronto. Fiducial coils, placed at the left and right pre-auricular points as well as the nasion, were used for continuous head motion monitoring. For MEG source modelling, MRI scans were collected on a 3T Siemens PrismaFit scanner equipped with a 20-channel head and neck coil. A high-resolution 3D MPRAGE T1-weighted image was obtained with an isotropic voxel size of 0.8 mm (TR of 1870 ms, TE of 3.1 ms, and TI of 945 ms) over a field of view measuring 240×256 mm and comprising 240 slices each 0.8 mm thick.

On the day of scanning, participants completed self-reported screening questionnaires for psychiatric, neurological, neurobehavioural, neurocognitive, and pain symptoms. These included the McGill Pain Questionnaire [44], the Generalized Anxiety Disorder (GAD-7) scale [45], the Patient Health Questionnaire 9 (PHQ-9) screener [46], and the PTSD Checklist-Military Version (PCL-M) [47], which is based on DSM-IV criteria to maintain consistency with prior research.

MEG Signal Processing

MEG data were processed using FieldTrip and SPM toolboxes [48,49]. Data were bandpass filtered (1-150 Hz), and epoched into 10-second pseudo-trials and the maximum number of trials were retained after removing trials where head position deviated more than 5 mm from the original position. In addition, epochs including SQUID jumps in excess of ±2 pT were also removed. Subsequently, cardiac and ocular artefacts were removed following independent component analysis (ICA) using the "fastica" method from FieldTrip, and visual inspection of the resulting components.

Source localization was performed to pinpoint cortical activity within thirty-six regions of interest (ROIs) within the pain connectome [12,13]. To localize sources, a forward solution was created for each participant by using the individual anatomical T1-weighted MRI scans. After co-registration of the MEG data to the MRI, a linearly constrained minimum

variance (LCMV) beamformer [50] was employed to estimate electrophysiological activity at the predetermined ROIs, using the epoched, artifact-free sensor-level data.

For connectivity analysis, the broadband, source-level time-series data were bandpass filtered into the canonical frequency bands: delta (1-3 Hz), theta (4-7 Hz), alpha (8-14 Hz), beta (15-30 Hz), low-gamma1 (30-55 Hz), low-gamma2 (65-80 Hz), and high-gamma (80-150 Hz). The Weighted Phase-Lag Index (wPLI) was used to quantify functional connectivity between pairwise ROI connections [51]. A 36-by-36 connectivity matrix was constructed for each frequency band and epoch per participant by calculating the wPLI between all possible ROI pairs. These matrices were subsequently averaged across epochs to yield a functional connectivity matrix per participant for each frequency band.

We provide the ROIs' coordinates in the **Supplementary Material**.

Partial Least Squares Modeling

We employed PLSR [37,38] to model the complex relationships between neural synchrony as independent variables and specific outcomes, including pain severity, the interaction of pain severity with anxiety symptoms, the interaction of pain severity with depressive symptoms, and the interaction of pain severity with PTSD symptoms, as dependent variables. This technique is well-suited to highly collinear data and cases where the number of observations is smaller than the number of predictors. Prior to conducting the PLSR analysis, we controlled the effects of sex and age using multiple linear regression. Our analysis included separate models for each dependent variable: pain intensity, pain-anxiety interaction, pain-depression interaction, and pain-PTSD interaction across each frequency band.

For statistical inference of the model coefficients, we utilized a resampling procedure. Specifically, we performed a permutation test by resampling the subject labels 1,000 times and rerunning the PLSR on each resampled data. This process generated a distribution of coefficients from the resampled models corresponding to each original coefficient. We then compared the coefficients from the actual model to this distribution to calculate a p-value for each coefficient, thereby assessing their statistical significance.

This approach underscored the role of neural synchrony in predicting pain intensity and its interactions with mental health conditions.

Predictive Modeling

Significant connections identified through PLSR modeling served as input variables. To predict pain and its interactions with various mental health conditions, we employed leave-one-out cross-validation (LOOCV). Five regressor algorithms were used: elastic

net, random forest, partial least squares, support vector machine with a linear kernel, and support vector machine with a radial kernel. Model performance was compared using mean absolute error (MAE) and R² for goodness of fit. The algorithm with the lowest MAE was selected to generate actual-predicted plots. Our target variables included pain, and pain-anxiety, pain-depression, and pain-PTSD interactions.

Modeling and Visualization Tools

We used the R programming environment and packages "pls" and "caret" for statistical modeling [52-54], along with "ggplot2" and "BrainNet Viewer" for visualization [55,56].

Results

Chronic pain severity moderately correlates with mental health outcomes

The severity of chronic pain, anxiety, depression, and PTSD were positively skewed (**Figure 1A**), highlighting the need for non-parametric statistical methods. Spearman correlations between chronic pain and mental health outcomes were generally low to moderate (all p-values were significant after multiple comparisons with medium effect sizes: $p_{\text{pain \& anxiety}} = 3.92\text{e-4}$, $p_{\text{pain \& depression}} = 1.11\text{e-4}$, $p_{\text{pain \& depression}} = 1.31\text{e-4}$) (**Figure 1B**). Conversely, pairwise correlations between mental health outcomes were high (all p-values significant after multiple comparisons with large effect sizes).

The medium effect sizes observed between pain and mental health outcomes indicated that, while these associations were related, they were not entirely overlapping, supporting further exploration into how interactions between pain and specific mental health outcomes including anxiety, depression, and PTSD might relate to neural measurements. Despite the high intercorrelation among mental health outcomes, examining the neural correlates of pain's interaction with each mental health condition could yield distinct insights, capturing the complexity of these comorbid conditions within the pain connectome.




Figure 1. Severity measures and their associations. (A) Histogram of pain, anxiety, depression, and PTSD severities across subjects. **(B)** Spearman's correlation matrix of chronic pain and mental condition measures. All correlations are statistically significant after multiple comparison correction. Asterisks denote correlation coefficients with large effect sizes.

Chronic pain is associated with neural synchrony in the pain connectome and interacts with mental health outcomes

PLSR modelled the association between chronic pain and network neural synchrony, and the interaction between pain and mental health outcomes. The PLSR method is suitable for collinear and nonparametric contexts, effectively projecting the complexity of the data in a robust and reliable manner [37,38] and models the association between continuous clinical measures and their interaction with functional connectivity.

Chronic pain severity is associated with frequency-specific neural synchrony

Significant associations between chronic pain and neural synchrony were identified across many connections in the pain connectome, particularly in the beta and highgamma frequency bands (Figure 2, Row 1). Theta synchrony exhibited an inverse relationship with pain across three connections in the somatosensory and subcortical networks, contrary to the direct association of the left amygdala to the medial prefrontal cortex. Beta synchrony showed large-scale significant effects with pain, exhibiting both positive and negative associations, regions involving the default mode and somatosensory networks being anti-correlated with pain intensity, and connections with the central executive and subcortical networks showing the greatest number of positive correlations with pain. Across the low-gamma ranges, several within-network and between-network connections were anti-correlated with pain, contrary to the connection from the left primary somatosensory cortex to the left medial temporal lobe, which is directly related to pain severity in low-gamma2 band. In the high-gamma band, connections from regions involving the central executive network, the default mode network, and subcortex to other regions were mostly correlated with pain intensity, while other regions were both correlated and anti-correlated with pain severity.

Neural synchrony is associated with the interaction between pain and anxiety

PLSR revealed significant interactions between pain and anxiety across various brain networks and lobes (**Figure 2**, **Row 2**), primarily in the theta and gamma range. For theta, the interaction between pain and anxiety was negatively associated with synchrony, especially for synchronous neural interactions connecting the somatomotor network, in addition to direct associations of the medial prefrontal cortex to the right putamen and left amygdala. The magnitude of synchrony between the left pallidum to right putamen was also anti-correlated with the pain-anxiety interaction in the beta frequency band – the only connection to show an effect at this frequency. For low-gamma1, many connections, especially those involving somatomotor regions, were anti-correlated with pain-anxiety interaction, in contrast to minor connections with the ventral attention and subcortical networks, which were positively correlated with pain-anxiety. In the low-gamma2 frequency band, the connection between the mid cingulate cortex and the right caudate was also negatively correlated with pain-anxiety intensity. In high-gamma, there were several functional connections across various networks with positive and negative correlations with pain-anxiety interactions.

Neural synchrony is associated with the interaction between pain and depression

Pain-depression interactions were significantly associated with neural synchrony across all frequencies, except alpha and delta (**Figure 2, Row 3**). In the theta band, pain-depression interaction was inversely related to the synchrony of the right secondary motor cortex and the right secondary somatosensory cortex. In the beta band, the connection between right putamen and left supplementary motor area was correlated with the pain-depression interaction, while connections between right putamen to left pallidum and right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex to left posterior insula were anti-correlated. In low-gamma1, several within and between network connections involving somatomotor and subcortical regions were negatively associated with pain-depression interactions, contrary to the positive association of this interaction with synchrony between the right pallidum and left putamen. The connection between the mid cingulate cortex and the right caudate was also anti-correlated with pain-depression intensity in the low-gamma2 frequency band. In high-gamma, positive and negative correlations were observed across numerous regions across various brain networks.

Neural synchrony is associated with the interaction between pain and PTSD severity

Pain and PTSD interact significantly with neural synchrony across the beta, low-gamma and high-gamma bands (**Figure 2**, **Row 4**). In the beta band, synchrony between the left putamen and right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex was positively associated with the pain-PTSD interaction. In contrast, connections from the left posterior insula to the right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, from the right putamen to the left pallidum, and from the

subgenual anterior cingulate cortex to the left occipital cortex were inversely associated with pain-PTSD interactions. In the low-gamma1 band, several connections, especially those involving somatomotor, were negatively associated with pain-PTSD interactions. Conversely, three connections between subcortical regions and other networks, as well as between the right secondary somatosensory cortex and the right temporoparietal junction, were positively associated with the pain-PTSD interaction. In the high gamma band, most brain regions exhibited synchrony with other regions that were both positively and negatively associated with pain-PTSD interactions.




Figure 2. Transpectral neural synchrony is associated with pain severity and significantly interacts with mental health outcomes. Brain maps show connections with their regional synchronization intensity significantly associated with pain and the interaction between pain and mental health across various frequency bands, along with the strength of this relationship, obtained through PLSR. Nodes represent regions from the pain connectome and are color-coded by network membership. The colors of the links denote the direction of the association; red indicates a direct relationship between connection strength and clinical measure, while blue indicates an inverse relationship. The width of the connections also indicates the strength of the effect. Abbreviations: CE: Central Executive, DM: Default Mode, LIM: Limbic, SAL: Salience, SM: Somatomotor, SC: Subcortical, VA: Ventral Attention, VIS: Visual.

Neural synchrony reliably predicts chronic pain severity and the interaction of pain and mental health outcomes

We used the significant connections identified in the previous section across all frequency bands to perform leave-one-out cross-validation for predicting pain severity and the interactions of pain with mental health. **Figure 3** illustrates the performance of predictive models for the dependent variables using various machine learning methods.

For the prediction of pain severity, partial least squares machine learning method yielded the minimum absolute error (MAE = 9.45), which corresponds to 16.57% of the maximum score on the pain severity scale. It also achieved the highest goodness of fit (R^2 = 0.40), with a Spearman's correlation of 0.70 between the actual and predicted values. In predicting the pain-anxiety interaction, the partial least squares algorithm also proved to be the best predictive algorithm, achieving MAE = 148, along with R^2 = 0.38 and a Spearman's correlation of 0.48 between the actual and predicted values. Regarding the interaction of pain and depression, elastic net was the most predictive algorithm, exhibiting a slightly lower error compared to partial least squares which also had an MAE of 179, R^2 = 0.27, and a Spearman's correlation of 0.46. For the prediction of pain-PTSD interaction, elastic net also demonstrated the best performance, with MAE = 516, R^2 = 0.36, and a Spearman's correlation of 0.55 between the actual and predicted values.




Figure 3. Transpectral neural synchrony reliably predicts chronic pain severity and pain-mental health interactions. Each column demonstrates the prediction of a target variable. The top barplots show the Mean Absolute Error (MAE) in the predicting of target variables by a set of algorithms. The middle barplots display the R-squared (R²) values as the measure of goodness of fit for the predictions by each algorithm. The bottom row scatter plots indicate the actual versus predicted values for the best-performing algorithm with the lowest MAE. The reported correlation values are Spearman's, and the axes represent

the ordered actual and predicted values. (Abbreviations: EN: ElasticNet, RF: Random Forest, PLS: Partial Least Square, LSVM: Linear Support Vector Machine, RSVM: Radial Support Vector Machine)

Discussion

Summary

In this study, we comprehensively examined the complex relationship between neural synchrony and chronic pain in the pain connectome, as well as the neural synchrony associated with the interaction between chronic pain and mental health conditions such as anxiety, depression, and PTSD symptoms (**Figure 2**).

Using PLSR applied to MEG-based synchrony, we uncovered significant associations between chronic pain severity and neural synchrony across multiple frequency bands, with the most pronounced effects occurring in the beta and high-gamma bands, across various brain networks, with minor but significant associations in theta and low-gamma frequency bands.

Our results reveal that chronic pain is not only linked to specific patterns of neural synchrony but also that cross-frequency connections are influenced by co-occurring mental health symptoms. The interaction between pain and anxiety was notably mediated by neural synchronization in theta low- (theta) and high-frequency (gamma) ranges, while the interaction between pain and depression, and pain and PTSD, were associated with synchronisation primarily in the gamma band, but also a small number of connections in the beta band. These findings highlight the complex repertoire of multispectral neural states that underlie the percept of chronic pain and show co-occurring mental health challenges significantly interact with the neural architecture supporting pain percepts.

Overall, these results show that transpectral synchrony within specific brain networks is a predictive biomarker for both pain severity and interactions with mental health (**Figure 3**). This provides a potential pathway for developing personalized biomarkers and interventions in chronic pain management.

Comparisons with prior work

Comparing our results on chronic pain mechanisms with existing literature on MEG spectral power analysis within the pain connectome reveals both consistency and contradictory results in the neural substrates of chronic pain (**Figure 2**, **Row 1**). For example, Kisler et al. reported decreased low-gamma power in the default mode and ascending nociceptive pathway [16], which partially aligns with our findings of minor salience and default mode connections negatively associated with pain in this band. However, their observation of increased alpha power contrasts with our lack of significant connectivity effects in the alpha band – but of course, regional, oscillatory power changes

are distinct mechanisms from network-level phase synchronisation [57]. Kim et al. (2019) found increased alpha and decreased beta band power in chronic multiple sclerosis pain [18], which are consistent with those connections negatively associated with pain in the beta band and contrast with other beta connections and the lack of significant alpha connections in our observations. Fauchon et al.'s exploration of peak alpha frequency abnormalities in chronic pain conditions [20], with sex-based differences, offers a compelling comparison to our results. We regressed out sex from the analysis and did not find significant connections for the alpha synchronisation, although we did not look at peak alpha frequency. Diers et al. demonstrated reduced beta activity during conditioned pain modulation, linking beta oscillations to top-down pain regulation [21], and Jin et al. showed increased beta activity in the somatosensory cortex during attentional focus on pain, highlighting beta's role in cognitive control [22]. These findings align with our result, where beta synchrony shows both positive and negative associations with pain intensity. Another study by Kim et al. (2020) examining cross-network functional coupling [23] revealed abnormalities in alpha, beta, and gamma frequency bands in chronic pain, resonating with our findings of significant connectivity associations in various frequency bands. Studies by Parker et al. and Lim et al. on spectral power shifts in response to pain or interventions [58,59] align with our connectivity patterns in similar frequency bands but contrast with our emphasis on connectivity over spectral power. Choe et al., Hsiao et al., and Iwatsuki et al. provide direct comparisons, highlighting decreased global connectivity and frequency-specific reorganization in chronic pain, reinforcing the importance of examining neural connectivity [60-62]. Dinh et al.'s exploration of increased connectivity at theta and gamma frequencies in frontal brain areas of chronic pain patients [63] intersects with our findings of high connectivity in the theta and high-gamma bands. Their emphasis on frontal connectivity as a target for interventions aligns with our observations.

Our observed pain-anxiety interactions in the theta and gamma frequency bands aligns with broader literature implicating theta oscillations in cognitive and affective processes related to anxiety [64] and oscillations in sensory processing and pain perception [16,60] (Figure 2, Row 2). These findings suggest that connectivity in these bands is crucial in integrating and modulating pain and anxiety experiences. The anti-correlation between pain-anxiety interaction and subcortical beta synchronization points to the role of beta in modulating neural mechanisms underlying these conditions [21-23,65]. The absence of significant associations in the alpha and delta bands, despite alpha's consistent implication in anxiety and pain [16-19,65], may hint at distinct oscillatory power and neural synchrony roles. This suggests that while alpha power alterations are a generalized marker of stress, connectivity patterns may not directly correspond to pain-anxiety interactions.

MEG studies in major depressive disorder (MDD) and chronic pain have highlighted nuanced neural connectivity patterns bridging emotional and physical pain processing.

Nugent et al. reported no significant differences in functional connectivity between healthy controls and individuals with MDD [66]. However, other studies have identified specific connectivity patterns predictive of therapeutic outcomes, such as alpha connectivity between the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and subgenual anterior cingulate cortex [67], and altered connectivity dynamics in MDD, particularly in beta and low-gamma bands [68-70]. Research in chronic pain has documented altered beta oscillations in modulation of pain and abnormalities in functional coupling across beta and gamma bands [21-23, 62], mirroring the disrupted connectivity patterns observed in depression. This consistency highlights a shared neural architecture between chronic pain and depression. Our findings align with both depression-specific and chronic pain observations, suggesting common neural processing alterations in both conditions, particularly in gamma and beta bands (Figure 2, Row 3). This convergence emphasizes the roles of these bands in the cooccurrence of pain and depression. The absence of significant connections in the alpha band in our pain-depression interaction results also contradicts weaker partial directed coherence observed in depression [71] and parallels peak alpha frequency abnormalities in chronic pain [20].

Comparing our results with existing literature on resting-state MEG connectivity and network measures for pain and PTSD enhances our understanding (**Figure 2**, **Row 4**). Studies show that beta and gamma frequency activity correlates with PTSD severity and altered neural connectivity [72]. Similarly, pain research highlights frequency abnormalities in chronic pain patients, particularly in the beta and gamma bands [21-23]. These studies suggest shared neurophysiological mechanisms linking pain and PTSD that are consistent with our results on the association of beta and gamma synchrony and pain-PTSD interaction. High-gamma band dynamics in PTSD reveal significant insights on high-frequency oscillations predicting PTSD severity and altered connectivity patterns [73,74]. These insights mirror our observations on pain-PTSD interactions in the high-gamma band. Theta band alterations in both PTSD and chronic pain are well-documented, with chronic pain studies observing increased theta connectivity and PTSD research variably reporting increased connectivity related to symptom severity [63,75]. Our findings do not represent theta connectivity's role in pain-PTSD interaction.

Predictive modelling

Applying machine learning techniques to predict chronic pain, pain severity, and perception of pain has attracted attention in pain research [76,77]. Our study demonstrates the effectiveness of these algorithms in predicting pain severity based on neural synchrony with appropriate predictive accuracy. By using the entire frequency spectrum for modeling, we can capture a broader range of neural states, which enhances the performance of predictive modeling (**Figure 3**). Our result aligns with existing literature focusing on neuroimaging with varying degrees of success [78-84]. Some

studies have reported high accuracy in pain prediction, often utilizing advanced algorithms; others have shown moderate predictive performance, underscoring the complexity of pain as a subjective and multifaceted phenomenon. The variability in predictive performance across studies can be attributed to several factors, including differences in data types (e.g., EEG vs. fMRI), the underlying neural mechanism being measured, the specific algorithm employed, and the pain phenotypes being modeled [85-87]. Moreover, despite the promising results in pain prediction, there remains a need for robust external validation of these models to ensure their applicability in diverse clinical settings. Some studies have successfully validated their models on independent datasets, thereby demonstrating their potential for clinical translation [84]. However, challenges such as inadequate reporting standards and potential biases persist, limiting the generalizability of these models across different populations and pain conditions, an issue that has been addressed in some research [88].

In the interaction between pain and mental health outcomes, our study extends the use of machine modeling approach using neural synchrony inputs to explore these complex relationships, particularly focusing on predicting the interaction of pain with anxiety, depression, and PTSD (**Figure 3**). The comorbidity of chronic pain with mental health disorders is well-documented, with evidence suggesting that the interplay between pain and mental health exacerbates both conditions, leading to increased disability and impairment [25]. Chronic pain often co-occurs with anxiety, depression, and PTSD, forming a bidirectional relationship where each condition intensifies the other [26,27,32,33]. Despite the extensive evidence on the comorbidity of pain and mental health disorders [25-36], employing machine learning to model these interactions using neuroimage data remains limited. Our study underscores the importance of this approach, suggesting that machine learning techniques can effectively capture the intricate relationships between chronic pain and mental health outcomes.

Limitations & Future Direction

There are several limitations to this study. The cross-sectional nature of the data limits our ability to infer causality between neural synchrony changes and chronic pain severity or its interaction with mental health. Longitudinal studies are needed to better understand these temporal dynamics and disentangle "state versus trait" pain percepts. Indeed, the establishment of registries such as the DoD's Uniformed Services University Pain Registry Biobank represent efforts that allow the collection of multimodal pain-related data longitudinally, promising to add to our understanding of the developmental trajectory of chronic pain [89]. While our machine learning models show promise, the generalizability of these models is unknown without external validation using independent datasets and different pain populations would be critical to ensure applicability in clinical settings.

For future studies, several directions are recommended. Longitudinal studies should be conducted to explore the causal relationship between neural synchrony and the development or exacerbation of chronic pain and comorbid mental health conditions. Furthermore, integrating multimodal data, including genetic, behavioral, and other neuroimaging modalities, could enhance the accuracy of predictive models and lead to more personalized treatment strategies. Emphasizing personalized medicine, future interventions could be tailored to target specific neural synchrony patterns identified in individuals, potentially improving outcomes in chronic pain management. Finally, exploring the effects of therapeutic interventions, such as neurostimulation or mindfulness-based therapies, on neural synchrony within these specific frequency bands could provide new avenues for treating chronic pain, especially in patients with comorbid mental health disorders.

Conclusion

The findings of this study offer a deeper understanding of the neural complexity underlying chronic pain and its significant interactions with mental health conditions such as anxiety, depression, and PTSD. By employing advanced techniques such as partial least squares regression and machine learning, we identified that neural synchrony across specific frequency bands can serve as predictive biomarkers for both pain severity and its comorbidity with mental health disorders. These insights not only align with but also expand upon existing literature, highlighting the intricate and multifaceted nature of chronic pain and its connections to mental health, reinforcing the importance of not viewing chronic pain and mental health as independent conditions in clinical settings, nor in terms of the underlying neurobiological mechanisms. We emphasise the need for a multidisciplinary approach to the assessment and treatment of chronic pain, integrating chronic pain's physical and mental health dimensions. This integrated approach is crucial for developing more effective, comprehensive care models that reflect the true complexity of chronic pain, its significant mental health comorbidities, and its neurobiology.

Data Availability:

The data supporting this study are not publicly available due to defence considerations and restrictions.

Competing Interests:

BTD is Chief Science Officer at MYndspan Ltd. The remaining authors declare no competing interests.

Funding:

This research was funded in part by awards to BTD from MYndspan Ltd., the Canadian Department of National Defence, the Innovation for Defence Excellence and Security (IDEaS) program, and Defence Research and Development Canada (DRDC).

References:

- 1. Treede RD, Rief W, Barke A, Aziz Q, Bennett MI, Benoliel R, Cohen M, Evers S, Finnerup NB, First MB, Giamberardino MA. Chronic pain as a symptom or a disease: the IASP Classification of Chronic Pain for the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-11). pain. 2019 Jan 1;160(1):19-27.
- Henning T, Stanos S, Chang W. Classification of Chronic Pain. In: de Castro J, El Miedany Y, editors. Advances in Chronic and Neuropathic Pain. Contemporary Rheumatology. Cham: Springer; 2022. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-10687-3
- 3. Yong RJ, Mullins PM, Bhattacharyya N. Prevalence of chronic pain among adults in the United States. Pain. 2022 Feb 1;163(2):e328-32.
- 4. Schopflocher D, Taenzer P, Jovey R. The prevalence of chronic pain in Canada. Pain Research & Management: The Journal of the Canadian Pain Society. 2011 Nov;16(6):445.
- Canadian Pain Task Force. Report from the Canadian Pain Task Force: An Action Plan for Pain in Canada. Health Canada; 2021. Available from: https://www.canada.ca/en/health-canada/corporate/about-health-canada/public-engagement/external-advisory-bodies/canadian-pain-task-force/report-2021.html
- 6. Gatchel RJ, Peng YB, Peters ML, Fuchs PN, Turk DC. The biopsychosocial approach to chronic pain: scientific advances and future directions. Psychological bulletin. 2007 Jul;133(4):581.
- 7. Woolf CJ. Central sensitization: implications for the diagnosis and treatment of pain. pain. 2011 Mar 1;152(3):S2-15.
- 8. Latremoliere A, Woolf CJ. Central sensitization: a generator of pain hypersensitivity by central neural plasticity. The journal of pain. 2009 Sep 1;10(9):895-926
- 9. Ji RR, Berta T, Nedergaard M. Glia and pain: is chronic pain a gliopathy?. Pain®. 2013 Dec 1;154:S10-28.
- 10. Ossipov MH, Dussor GO, Porreca F. Central modulation of pain. The Journal of clinical investigation. 2010 Nov 1;120(11):3779-87.
- 11. Tao ZY, Wang PX, Wei SQ, Traub RJ, Li JF, Cao DY. The role of descending pain modulation in chronic primary pain: potential application of drugs targeting serotonergic system. Neural Plasticity. 2019;2019(1):1389296.
- 12. Kucyi A, Davis KD. The dynamic pain connectome. Trends in neurosciences. 2015 Feb 1;38(2):86-95.
- 13. Kucyi A, Davis KD. The neural code for pain: from single-cell electrophysiology to the dynamic pain connectome. The Neuroscientist. 2017 Aug;23(4):397-414.

- 14. Marchand S. Neurophysiology of pain. In The Pain Phenomenon 2024 May 18 (pp. 59-104). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- 15. Bott FS, Nickel MM, Hohn VD, May ES, Gil Ávila C, Tiemann L, Gross J, Ploner M. Local brain oscillations and interregional connectivity differentially serve sensory and expectation effects on pain. Science Advances. 2023 Apr 19;9(16):eadd7572.
- 16. Kisler LB, Kim JA, Hemington KS, Rogachov A, Cheng JC, Bosma RL, Osborne NR, Dunkley BT, Inman RD, Davis KD. Abnormal alpha band power in the dynamic pain connectome is a marker of chronic pain with a neuropathic component. NeuroImage: Clinical. 2020 Jan 1;26:102241.
- 17. Witjes B, Baillet S, Roy M, Oostenveld R, Huygen FJ, de Vos CC. Magnetoencephalography reveals increased slow-to-fast alpha power ratios in patients with chronic pain. Pain reports. 2021 Aug 1;6(2):e928.
- 18. Kim JA, Bosma RL, Hemington KS, Rogachov A, Osborne NR, Cheng JC, Oh J, Crawley AP, Dunkley BT, Davis KD. Neuropathic pain and pain interference are linked to alpha-band slowing and reduced beta-band magnetoencephalography activity within the dynamic pain connectome in patients with multiple sclerosis. Pain. 2019 Jan 1;160(1):187-97.
- 19. Malan NS, Roy M, Baillet S, Machado A, Gopalakrishnan R. Alpha Suppression and Slowing in Chronic Pain are Associated with Baseline Pain Level. The Journal of Pain. 2024 Apr 1;25(4):45.
- 20. Fauchon C, Kim JA, El-Sayed R, Osborne NR, Rogachov A, Cheng JC, Hemington KS, Bosma RL, Dunkley BT, Oh J, Bhatia A. Exploring sex differences in alpha brain activity as a potential neuromarker associated with neuropathic pain. Pain. 2022 Jul 1;163(7):1291-302.
- 21. Diers M, de Vos CC, Gandhi W, Hoeppli ME, Becker S, Bock E, Baillet S, Schweinhardt P. Induced oscillatory signaling in the beta frequency of top-down pain modulation. Pain reports. 2020 Jan 1;5(1):e806.
- 22. Jin H, Witjes B, Roy M, Baillet S, de Vos CC. Neurophysiological oscillatory markers of hypoalgesia in conditioned pain modulation. Pain Reports. 2023 Dec 1;8(6):e1096.
- 23. Kim JA, Bosma RL, Hemington KS, Rogachov A, Osborne NR, Cheng JC, Oh J, Dunkley BT, Davis KD. Cross-network coupling of neural oscillations in the dynamic pain connectome reflects chronic neuropathic pain in multiple sclerosis. NeuroImage: Clinical. 2020 Jan 1;26:102230.
- 24. Kim JA, Bosma RL, Hemington KS, Rogachov A, Osborne NR, Cheng JC, Dunkley BT, Davis KD. Sex-differences in network level brain dynamics associated with pain sensitivity and pain interference. Human brain mapping. 2021 Feb 15;42(3):598-614.

- 25. Vinall J, Pavlova M, Asmundson GJ, Rasic N, Noel M. Mental health comorbidities in pediatric chronic pain: a narrative review of epidemiology, models, neurobiological mechanisms and treatment. Children. 2016 Dec 2;3(4):40.
- 26.de Heer EW, Gerrits MM, Beekman AT, Dekker J, Van Marwijk HW, De Waal MW, Spinhoven P, Penninx BW, Van Der Feltz-Cornelis CM. The association of depression and anxiety with pain: a study from NESDA. PloS one. 2014 Oct 15;9(10):e106907.
- 27. Quartana PJ, Campbell CM, Edwards RR. Pain catastrophizing: a critical review. Expert review of neurotherapeutics. 2009 May 1;9(5):745-58.
- 28. Chen T, Wang J, Wang YQ, Chu YX. Current understanding of the neural circuitry in the comorbidity of chronic pain and anxiety. Neural Plasticity. 2022;2022(1):4217593.
- 29. Han C, Pae CU. Pain and depression: a neurobiological perspective of their relationship. Psychiatry investigation. 2015 Jan;12(1):1.
- 30. Pitman RK, Rasmusson AM, Koenen KC, Shin LM, Orr SP, Gilbertson MW, Milad MR, Liberzon I. Biological studies of post-traumatic stress disorder. nature Reviews neuroscience. 2012 Nov;13(11):769-87.
- 31.Zheng CJ, Van Drunen S, Egorova-Brumley N. Neural correlates of co-occurring pain and depression: an activation-likelihood estimation (ALE) meta-analysis and systematic review. Translational Psychiatry. 2022 May 11;12(1):196.
- 32. Asmundson GJ, Katz J. Understanding the co-occurrence of anxiety disorders and chronic pain: state-of-the-art. Depression and anxiety. 2009 Oct;26(10):888-901.
- 33. Kind S, Otis JD. The interaction between chronic pain and PTSD. Current pain and headache reports. 2019 Dec;23:1-7.
- 34. Morasco BJ, Lovejoy TI, Lu M, Turk DC, Lewis L, Dobscha SK. The relationship between PTSD and chronic pain: mediating role of coping strategies and depression. Pain. 2013 Apr 1;154(4):609-16.
- 35. IsHak WW, Wen RY, Naghdechi L, Vanle B, Dang J, Knosp M, Dascal J, Marcia L, Gohar Y, Eskander L, Yadegar J. Pain and depression: a systematic review. Harvard review of psychiatry. 2018 Nov 1;26(6):352-63.
- 36.Linton SJ, Bergbom S. Understanding the link between depression and pain. Scandinavian Journal of Pain. 2011 Apr 1;2(2):47-54.
- 37. McIntosh AR, Lobaugh NJ. Partial least squares analysis of neuroimaging data: applications and advances. Neuroimage. 2004 Jan 1;23:S250-63.
- 38. Mišić B, Dunkley BT, Sedge PA, Da Costa L, Fatima Z, Berman MG, Doesburg SM, McIntosh AR, Grodecki R, Jetly R, Pang EW. Post-traumatic stress constrains the dynamic repertoire of neural activity. Journal of neuroscience. 2016 Jan 13;36(2):419-31.

- 39. Helmer DA, Chandler HK, Quigley KS, Blatt M, Teichman R, Lange G. Chronic widespread pain, mental health, and physical role function in OEF/OIF veterans. Pain Medicine. 2009 Oct 1;10(7):1174-82.
- 40. Van Den Kerkhof EG, Carley ME, Hopman WM, Ross-White A, Harrison MB. Prevalence of chronic pain and related risk factors in military veterans: A systematic review. JBI Evidence Synthesis. 2014 Oct 1;12(10):152-86.
- 41. Lew HL, Otis JD, Tun C, Kerns RD, Clark ME, Cifu DX. Prevalence of chronic pain, posttraumatic stress disorder, and persistent postconcussive symptoms in OIF/OEF
- 42. Toblin RL, Quartana PJ, Riviere LA, Walper KC, Hoge CW. Chronic pain and opioid use in US soldiers after combat deployment. JAMA Internal Medicine. 2014 Aug 1;174(8):1400-1.auma clinical triad. Journal of Rehabilitation Research & Development. 2009 Jul 1;46(6).
- 43. VanDenKerkhof EG, VanTil L, Thompson JM, Sweet J, Hopman WM, Carley ME, Sudom K. Pain in Canadian veterans: analysis of data from the survey on transition to civilian life. Pain Research and Management. 2015;20(2):89-95.
- 44. Melzack R. The McGill Pain Questionnaire: major properties and scoring methods. pain. 1975 Sep 1;1(3):277-99.
- 45. Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JB, Löwe B. A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. Archives of internal medicine. 2006 May 22;166(10):1092-7.
- 46. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. Journal of general internal medicine. 2001 Sep;16(9):606-13.
- 47. Yarvis JS, Yoon E, Ameuke M, Simien-Turner S, Landers G. Assessment of PTSD in older veterans: The posttraumatic stress disorder checklist: Military version (PCL-M). Advances in Social Work. 2012 Apr 16;13(1):185-202.
- 48. Oostenveld R, Fries P, Maris E, Schoffelen JM. FieldTrip: open source software for advanced analysis of MEG, EEG, and invasive electrophysiological data. Computational intelligence and neuroscience. 2011 Jan 1;2011:1-9.
- 49. Penny WD, Friston KJ, Ashburner JT, Kiebel SJ, Nichols TE, editors. Statistical parametric mapping: the analysis of functional brain images. Elsevier; 2011 Apr 28.
- 50. Van Veen BD, Van Drongelen W, Yuchtman M, Suzuki A. Localization of brain electrical activity via linearly constrained minimum variance spatial filtering. IEEE Transactions on biomedical engineering. 1997 Sep;44(9):867-80.
- 51. Vinck M, Oostenveld R, Van Wingerden M, Battaglia F, Pennartz CM. An improved index of phase-synchronization for electrophysiological data in the presence of volume-conduction, noise and sample-size bias. Neuroimage. 2011 Apr 15;55(4):1548-65.
- 52. R Core Team R. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.

- 53. Mevik BH, Wehrens R, Liland KH. pls: Partial least squares and principal component regression. R package version. 2011;2(3).
- 54. Kuhn M. Building predictive models in R using the caret package. Journal of statistical software. 2008 Nov 10;28:1-26.
- 55. Villanueva RA, Chen ZJ. ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis.
- 56. Xia M, Wang J, He Y. BrainNet Viewer: a network visualization tool for human brain connectomics. PloS one. 2013 Jul 4;8(7):e68910.
- 57. Engel AK, Gerloff C, Hilgetag CC, Nolte G. Intrinsic coupling modes: multiscale interactions in ongoing brain activity. Neuron. 2013 Nov 20;80(4):867-86.
- 58. Parker T, Huang Y, Raghu AL, FitzGerald J, Aziz TZ, Green AL. Supraspinal effects of dorsal root ganglion stimulation in chronic pain patients. Neuromodulation: Technology at the Neural Interface. 2021 Jun 1;24(4):646-54.
- 59. Lim M, Kim JS, Kim DJ, Chung CK. Increased low-and high-frequency oscillatory activity in the prefrontal cortex of fibromyalgia patients. Frontiers in human neuroscience. 2016 Mar 14;10:111.
- 60. Choe MK, Lim M, Kim JS, Lee DS, Chung CK. Disrupted resting state network of fibromyalgia in Theta frequency. Scientific reports. 2018 Feb 1;8(1):2064.
- 61. Hsiao FJ, Wang SJ, Lin YY, Fuh JL, Ko YC, Wang PN, Chen WT. Altered insula—default mode network connectivity in fibromyalgia: a resting-state magnetoencephalographic study. The journal of headache and pain. 2017 Dec;18(1):1-0.
- 62. Iwatsuki K, Hoshiyama M, Yoshida A, Uemura JI, Hoshino A, Morikawa I, Nakagawa Y, Hirata H. Chronic pain-related cortical neural activity in patients with complex regional pain syndrome. IBRO Neuroscience Reports. 2021 Jun 1;10:208-15.
- 63. Dinh ST, Nickel MM, Tiemann L, May ES, Heitmann H, Hohn VD, Edenharter G, Utpadel-Fischler D, Tölle TR, Sauseng P, Gross J. Brain dysfunction in chronic pain patients assessed by resting-state electroencephalography. Pain. 2019 Dec;160(12):2751.
- 64. Cornwell BR, Arkin N, Overstreet C, Carver FW, Grillon C. Distinct contributions of human hippocampal Theta to spatial cognition and anxiety. Hippocampus. 2012 Sep;22(9):1848-59.
- 65. Roxburgh AD, White DJ, Grillon C, Cornwell BR. A neural oscillatory signature of sustained anxiety. Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience. 2023 Dec;23(6):1534-44.
- 66. Nugent AC, Ballard ED, Gilbert JR, Tewarie PK, Brookes MJ, Zarate Jr CA. Multilayer MEG functional connectivity as a potential marker for suicidal thoughts in major depressive disorder. NeuroImage: Clinical. 2020 Jan 1;28:102378.
- 67. Wang Q, Tian S, Tang H, Liu X, Yan R, Hua L, Shi J, Chen Y, Zhu R, Lu Q, Yao Z. Identification of major depressive disorder and prediction of treatment response

- using functional connectivity between the prefrontal cortices and subgenual anterior cingulate: a real-world study. Journal of Affective Disorders. 2019 Jun 1;252:365-72.
- 68. Nugent AC, Robinson SE, Coppola R, Furey ML, Zarate Jr CA. Group differences in MEG-ICA derived resting state networks: application to major depressive disorder. Neuroimage. 2015 Sep 1;118:1-2.
- 69. Dai Z, Zhang S, Wang H, Chen Z, Zhang W, Hu X, Yao Z, Lu Q. Hampered Gamma oscillations induced by sad emotion underlying suicide attempt in major depressive disorder. Psychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences. 2023 Jan;77(1):20-9.
- 70. Du Y, Hua L, Tian S, Dai Z, Xia Y, Zhao S, Zou H, Wang X, Sun H, Zhou H, Huang Y. Altered Beta band spatial-temporal interactions during negative emotional processing in major depressive disorder: An MEG study. Journal of Affective Disorders. 2023 Oct 1;338:254-61.
- 71. Liu S, Chen S, Huang Z, Liu X, Li M, Su F, Hao X, Ming D. Hypofunction of directed brain network within Alpha frequency band in depressive patients: a graph-theoretic analysis. Cognitive Neurodynamics. 2022 Oct;16(5):1059-71.
- 72. Dunkley BT, Doesburg SM, Jetly R, Sedge PA, Pang EW, Taylor MJ. Characterising intra-and inter-intrinsic network synchrony in combat-related post-traumatic stress disorder. Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging. 2015 Nov 30;234(2):172-81.
- 73. Dunkley BT, Doesburg SM, Sedge PA, Grodecki RJ, Shek PN, Pang EW, Taylor MJ. Resting-state hippocampal connectivity correlates with symptom severity in post-traumatic stress disorder. NeuroImage: Clinical. 2014 Jan 1;5:377-84.
- 74. Zhang J, Wong SM, Richardson JD, Jetly R, Dunkley BT. Predicting PTSD severity using longitudinal magnetoencephalography with a multi-step learning framework. Journal of Neural Engineering. 2020 Dec 16;17(6):066013.
- 75. Dunkley BT, Sedge PA, Doesburg SM, Grodecki RJ, Jetly R, Shek PN, Taylor MJ, Pang EW. Theta, mental flexibility, and post-traumatic stress disorder: connecting in the parietal cortex. PLoS One. 2015 Apr 24;10(4):e0123541.
- 76. Lötsch J, Ultsch A. Machine learning in pain research. Pain. 2018 Apr 1;159(4):623-30.
- 77. Van Der Miesen MM, Lindquist MA, Wager TD. Neuroimaging-based biomarkers for pain: state of the field and current directions. Pain reports. 2019 Jul 1;4(4):e751.
- 78. Levitt J, Edhi MM, Thorpe RV, Leung JW, Michishita M, Koyama S, Yoshikawa S, Scarfo KA, Carayannopoulos AG, Gu W, Srivastava KH. Pain phenotypes classified by machine learning using electroencephalography features. NeuroImage. 2020 Dec 1;223:117256.
- 79. Kuo PC, Chen YT, Chen YS, Chen LF. Decoding the perception of endogenous pain from resting-state MEG. Neuroimage. 2017 Jan 1;144:1-1.

- 80. Mari T, Asgard O, Henderson J, Hewitt D, Brown C, Stancak A, Fallon N. External validation of binary machine learning models for pain intensity perception classification from EEG in healthy individuals. Scientific Reports. 2023 Jan 5;13(1):242.
- 81. Hsiao FJ, Chen WT, Pan LL, Liu HY, Wang YF, Chen SP, Lai KL, Wang SJ. Machine learning–based prediction of heat pain sensitivity by using resting-state EEG. Frontiers in Bioscience-Landmark. 2021 Dec 30;26(12):1537-47.
- 82. Chen D, Zhang H, Kavitha PT, Loy FL, Ng SH, Wang C, Phua KS, Tjan SY, Yang SY, Guan C. Scalp EEG-based pain detection using convolutional neural network. IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering. 2022 Jan 28;30:274-85.
- 83. Lee J, Mawla I, Kim J, Loggia ML, Ortiz A, Jung C, Chan ST, Gerber J, Schmithorst VJ, Edwards RR, Wasan AD. Machine learning—based prediction of clinical pain using multimodal neuroimaging and autonomic metrics. pain. 2019 Mar 1;160(3):550-60.
- 84. Spisak T, Kincses B, Schlitt F, Zunhammer M, Schmidt-Wilcke T, Kincses ZT, Bingel U. Pain-free resting-state functional brain connectivity predicts individual pain sensitivity. Nature communications. 2020 Jan 10;11(1):187.
- 85. Sankaran R, Kumar A, Parasuram H. Role of Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in the prediction of the pain: A scoping systematic review. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part H: Journal of Engineering in Medicine. 2022 Oct;236(10):1478-91.
- 86. Mari T, Henderson J, Maden M, Nevitt S, Duarte R, Fallon N. Systematic review of the effectiveness of machine learning algorithms for classifying pain intensity, phenotype or treatment outcomes using electroencephalogram data. The journal of pain. 2022 Mar 1;23(3):349-69.
- 87. Quidé Y, Jahanshad N, Andoh J, Antoniou G, Apkarian AV, Ashar YK, Badran BW, Baird CL, Baxter L, Bell TR, Blanco-Hinojo L. ENIGMA-Chronic Pain: a worldwide initiative to identify brain correlates of chronic pain. Pain. 2022 May 13:10-97.
- 88. Lee JJ, Kim HJ, Čeko M, Park BY, Lee SA, Park H, Roy M, Kim SG, Wager TD, Woo CW. A neuroimaging biomarker for sustained experimental and clinical pain. Nature medicine. 2021 Jan;27(1):174-82.
- 89. Kroma RB, Giordano NA, Highland KB, Bedocs P, McDuffie M, Buckenmaier III CC. Implementation of the uniformed services university pain registry biobank: a military and veteran population–focused biobank and registry. Pain Medicine. 2021 Dec 1;22(12):2950-63.

