

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

1 **Trends and the Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome and its Associated**
2 **Factors among Nepalese Adults in Ecological regions of Nepal- A**
3 **Nationwide Cross - Sectional Survey.**

4 **Bhawana Bhandari*¹, Bihungum Bista², Suna Laxmi Karmacharya³, Anil Babu Ojha⁴,**
5 **Datin Mariani Ahmad Nizaruddin⁵, Suresh Mehata⁶**

6 1. Principal Author, Assistant Professor /PhD Scholar, University Of Cyberjaya.

7 2. PhD Scholar, Kathmandu University

8 3. Nursing Officer, Ministry of Health and Population,Nepal

9 4. Consultant Anaesthesiologist, Siddhi Memorial Children and Women Hospital, Nepal.

10 5. Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacology, University of Cyberjaya, Malaysia.

11 6. Consultant, Policy, Planning and Public Health Division, Ministry of Health, Koshi
12 Province, Nepal.

13 **Corresponding Author**

14 Bhawana Bhandari

15 Email: Bhandaribhawana114@gmail.com

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 Abstract

24 Globally, there is an increase in the Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome. The rise in
25 prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome suggests burden of Cardiovascular related morbidity and
26 mortality which is also prevalent in Nepal. Metabolic Syndrome confers a 5-fold increase in
27 the risk of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) and 2-fold the risk of developing
28 Cardiovascular Disease (CVD) over the next 5 to 10 years. Routine surveillance and registry
29 system in Nepal related to Metabolic Syndrome are bare in Nepal which is imperative to
30 determine the prevalence of MetS and its associated factors. This study used multistage
31 cluster sampling considering the three ecological zones as strata. 259 Primary Sampling
32 Units were selected randomly and a total of 5593 population were surveyed where 5051
33 population met the criteria for determining the Prevalence of MetS. A STEPwise
34 Surveillance was conducted using WHO Steps survey questionnaire 3.2 version by interview
35 technique, physical and biochemical measurements. The result concluded that there was a
36 decrease in trend of Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome from 14.1% to 6.69%. from 2013 to
37 2019. The overall Prevalence of MetS was found to be 6.69%, nearly double in women than
38 in men (8.62% and 4.57% respectively). Waist circumference was found to be the most
39 prevalent component of Metabolic Syndrome among women. Age, Sex, Education, Marital
40 status, Wealth quintile and Ecological region were significantly associated with MetS and
41 there was no significant association of MetS with behavioral factors.

42 **Keywords:** Trend, Prevalence, Metabolic Syndrome, Nepal, STEPS Survey, Waist
43 Circumference, Associated Factors.

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53 **Introduction**

54 Globally, present era is recognized for the burden for (NCDs) Non Communicable Disease
55 [1]. Out of 51 million deaths, 41 million people die each year due to Non Communicable
56 Disease equivalent to 71% of total deaths worldwide. Annually 17.9 million deaths occur
57 due to cardiovascular disease which is the top most cause of death related to NCD [2]. In
58 context to Nepal, the burden for NCDs is also high, where 66% of total deaths related to
59 NCDs, 16% of total mortality is related with cardiovascular disease like Myocardial
60 Infarction (MI). In 2017, 59% of total (9,015,320) DALYs are due to NCDs [3]. Behavioral
61 risk factors including smoking, harmful use of alcohol, unhealthy diet and physical
62 inactivity, low fruits and vegetable intakes along with Metabolic/biological risk factors such
63 as raised blood pressure (BP), blood glucose and cholesterol level, overweight and obesity
64 have been evidenced as the rich underlying causes of NCDs [4]. The Global Burden of
65 Disease 2017 study reported that disease burden in Nepal could be reduced by addressing
66 these risk factors [3].

67 Metabolic syndrome (MS) is defined as a cluster of metabolic risk factors including central
68 obesity, dysglycemia, reduced high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-c), elevated
69 triglycerides (TG) and hypertension [5]. MetS are at 2- to 4-fold increased risk of stroke, a 3-
70 to 4-fold increased risk of Myocardial Infarction (MI), and 2-fold the risk of dying from such
71 an event compared with those without Metabolic Syndrome [6]. The massive (and increasing)
72 disease burden associated with cardiovascular disorders justifies public health measures such
73 as screening, which may assist with the identification and treatment of individuals who have a
74 high risk of developing these disorders [7]. Lifestyles, behaviors and genetic factors may
75 contribute to the prevalence of MS [8]. Interventions that address obesity and reduce waist
76 circumference and an appropriate diet may reduce the incidence of the Metabolic Syndrome in
77 adults[9]. Screening is essential method for prior detection to identify the individual at risk to
78 prevent from mortality and morbidity associated with Metabolic Syndrome[10].

79 Nepal lacks routine surveillance and registry system related to Metabolic Syndrome where
80 baseline data are not enough to address in policy level which addresses its importance to
81 analyze and determine the burden of MetS [11]. MetS remains unfocussed due lack of
82 evidence at population level. At present, evidence based study of Mets representing the
83 national level population comes to focused studies to prevent, minimize and manage the

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

84 global burden of cardiovascular disease associated morbidity and mortality. Hence, the study
85 aims for determining the trend and prevalence of MetS and its associated factor to reduce the
86 cardiovascular burden addressing its importance in policy making and implementation and
87 also recommendation for program like WHO PEN module in effective way to manage the
88 Non communicable disease burden.

89

90

91 **Materials and Methods:**

92 **Study Site:** The study was conducted in three ecological regions of Nepal (Himalayan, Hilly
93 and Terai region). (Fig 1)

94 **Study design, Sampling and Data collection**

95 This is a secondary analysis carried out using Non-Communicable Diseases risk factors
96 Survey 2019 data. The detail methodology and report of the survey has been
97 presented elsewhere [12]. In brief, the survey was a cross sectional study, carried out from
98 February to May 2019 with aim to assess the risk factors of NCDs at entire population level
99 in Nepal.

100 A multistage cluster sampling method was used to select 6,475 eligible participants across
101 all 7 provinces from three ecological regions of Nepal. A total of 259 wards were selected as
102 the primary sampling units (PSU) at the first stage, 37 PSUs from each province were
103 selected in order to obtain provincial estimates. Secondly, household listing was carried out
104 in 259 PSUs and list of the household was prepared.25 household per PSUs were selected
105 using systematic random sampling. From each of the selected households, one adult member
106 of age 15–69 years was randomly selected using KISH method through personalized
107 computer entry system via android tablet. A total of 5593 individual participated in the
108 survey. However, 5051 individual met the criteria for determining MetS. The response rate
109 for STEPI, STEPII, STEPIII Survey was 86.7% (5593), 86.5%(5582) and 82.6%.
110 (5350).Further details on the sampling process can be found elsewhere [12, 13].

111 We conducted face to face interviews using standardized questions from the WHO STEPS
112 Survey (version 3.2) [14]—an update on the 2013 STEPs survey. STEP I survey collected
113 information related to behavioral characteristics (tobacco use, alcohol use, physical activity,
114 fruits and vegetables intake), STEP II Survey involves physical characteristics (height,
115 weight and BP) and STEP III collected biochemical measures (Blood sugar, sodium level
116 measurement in urine). Measurement of height (measured with portable standard stature tape

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

117 SECA, Germany), Weight (measured using SECA weighing machine, Germany), BP
118 (measured using OMRON BP monitor), blood sugar (measured using Cardiocheck PA) and
119 blood cholesterol (measured using Cardiocheck PA) were made as per the WHO standards
120 and STEPS manual. Household wealth was assessed on the basis of selected household
121 characteristics (e.g. type of roof, access to electricity), means of transportation used and
122 possession of selected consumer goods) which was used as indicator of economic status.
123 Households were given scores based on the number and kind of consumer goods they own.
124 The scores are derived using Principal Component Analysis (PCA). Details of the
125 measurement process has been described elsewhere [12,15].

126 Analysis was performed with STATA version 15.1 using survey (*svy*) set command,
127 defining clusters and sampling weight information. All estimates were weighted by sample
128 weights in order to obtain the population estimates and are presented with 95% Confidence
129 Intervals (CI). Prevalence estimates were calculated using Taylor series linearization. The
130 trend in the study is the state of Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome for increase, decrease or
131 static. For this, the Prevalence Rate will be compared with that of 2013 STEPS survey data
132 to 2019 STEPS survey data. Chi-square tests were used for bivariate analysis, to test
133 associations between independent and dependent variables. Furthermore, multivariate
134 Poisson regression was used to calculate the Adjusted Prevalence Ratio (APR) between each
135 risk factors of Metabolic Syndrome and socio-demographic covariates (age, sex, education,
136 marital status, province, ecological regions and place of residence) included simultaneously
137 [16]. For clustering analysis of Metabolic Syndrome the numbers of risk factors present
138 within each participant were summed (from 0 to 4). Bivariate analysis and multivariate
139 analysis were analyzed against socio-demographic covariates through Poisson regression.
140 The relationship between the number of risk factors and covariates were estimated through
141 Adjusted Odd Ratios (AOR), with the count of risk factors designated as the dependent
142 variable.

143 **Variable definition**

144 **The dependent variable:** Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome.

145 **The independent variable:** Three major predictor variables were included:

- 146 i) **Individual characteristics:** age in years (15–29, 30–44 and 45–69), gender
147 (male and female), marital status (never married, currently married and

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

- 148 divorced/widowed/separated), education (no formal schooling, primary,
149 secondary and higher level) and wealth quintile.
- 150 ii) **Community characteristics:** Ecological zone (Mountain, Hill and Terai/ plains)
151 and place of residence (urban and rural) in this analysis.
- 152 iii) **Behavioral characteristics:** smoking tobacco, alcohol consumption, intake of
153 fruits and vegetables and physical activity.

154 For this study, current smoking, harmful use of alcohol, insufficient fruit and vegetable
155 intake, insufficient physical activity are considered as a behavioral factor. Similarly, raised
156 Blood Pressure is categorized as a physical factor. Raised blood sugar and raised blood
157 cholesterol together are considered as biochemical factor. The operational definitions of the
158 outcome variables (NCD risk factor) are presented in Table 1.

159
160
161
162
163
164

165 **Table 1: Showing the variables used in study**

166

Variables	Parameter
Prevalence:	The occurrence of disease in a defined population in the given period of time. The total population surveyed was 5593 with 84% response rate. Calculated by: $\frac{\text{Total no of people affected}}{\text{Total population at that point of time}} * 100\%$ [17]
Waist and hip circumference	Waist was measured using constant tension tapes (Seca, Germany) in centimeters [18]. Waist circumference: >102 cm (Male), >88 cm (Female) was taken into consideration for the study [19].
Blood Pressure	Blood pressure was measured with a digital, automated blood pressure

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

measurements:	<p>monitor (OMRON digital device) with medium cuff. Before taking the measurements, participants were asked to sit quietly and rest for 15 minutes with legs uncrossed[18].</p> <p>The mean readings for sum of second and third measurements, blood pressure ≥ 130 mmHg systolic or ≥ 85 mmHg diastolic or Rx was considered for the analysis [19]</p>
Biochemical measurements Fasting glucose	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Fasting glucose: For the measurement of fasting glucose, participants were instructed to fast overnight for 12 hours and diabetic patients on medication were reminded to bring their medicine/insulin with them and take their medicine after providing the blood sample Fasting glucose ≥ 100 mg/dl or Rx was taken for reference for the study [18,19]
Total cholesterol	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Total cholesterol level was screened for cardiovascular risk in STEPS Survey. The Normal value for Total Cholesterol level was \geq 5.0 mmol/l or ≥ 190 mg/dl or Rx [18,6] <p>The NCEP recommended that HDL cholesterol be measured in persons with high total cholesterol levels or in those with borderline high levels and a high CHD risk profile [20]. Current NCEP ATPIII guidelines appear to balance optimally the sensitivity and specificity for selecting individuals based on TC levels for further complete lipoprotein analysis [21]. Elevated TC is a well-documented and established risk factor for cardiovascular disease. One large meta-analysis based on observational studies found that high levels of serum TC was associated with an increased Coronary Heart Disease mortality rate [22].</p>
Metabolic Syndrome	<p>The parameters for Waist Circumference, Fasting Glucose Level and Elevated Blood Pressure for measuring Metabolic Syndrome were taken from NCEP III criteria[19].</p> <p>Total Cholesterol parameter was taken from NCD Risk factor survey.</p>

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

	<p>Thus, assessment criteria for Metabolic Syndrome include any three of four criteria.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Waist circumference: >102 cm (Male), >88 cm (Female)[19]• Fasting glucose \geq100 mg/dl or Rx [19].• Elevated blood pressure Rx \geq130 mmHg systolic or \geq85 mmHg diastolic or Rx [19]and• Dyslipidemia: Total cholesterol level>5.0 m/mol or Rx [18]
Current tobacco:	Those who use smoke and smokeless tobacco smoked in the past 30 days were considered as a current tobacco smoker [18].
Alcohol consumption:	The participants were classified as life time abstainers, alcohol consumed in past 12 months and consumed in past 30 days [18].
Insufficient fruits and vegetable intake:	It is the number of servings of fruit and vegetables consumed on average per day. Average serving of fruits and vegetables consumed per day 2.0 servings (0.5 servings of fruit and 1.5 servings of vegetables per day is sufficient[18]. Less than average serving was considered insufficient intake.
Low Physical activity:	Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ) was used to assess physical activities. On an average 299.2 minutes per day is adequate whereas level of physical activity less than 150min per week is inadequate [18].
Nepalese adults:	The adult citizen from 15-69 years of age residing in three geographical regions of Nepal.
Ecological Zone:	Ecological zone classify Nepal into 3 parts Himalayan, mountainous /hilly and terai /plains [18].

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

168 **Ethics statement:**

169 Ethical approval for conducting the study was taken from Nepal Health Research Council,
170 Government of Nepal (Reference No. 3755, Regd. No. 77/2023, approved on 10th May,
171 2023). The agreement from Nepal Health Research Council for utilizing Raw data of STEPS
172 Survey was done on 17th July 2022. Written informed consent was obtained from each
173 participant before they enrolled in the survey. In case of minors (under 18 years old) both
174 assent from the research participants and consent from their parents (legal guardian) was
175 obtained, as per national ethical guidelines for health research in Nepal. We also took
176 administrative approval from federal, provincial and local governments, as per the need. The
177 confidentiality of all information gathered was maintained. Any waste generated during the
178 laboratory procedures was properly disinfected using aseptic techniques before being safely
179 disposed of. All blood and urine samples were discarded after completing biochemical
180 measurements [18].

181

182 **Global inclusivity:**

183 Additional information regarding Metabolic Syndrome on screening measures including
184 Total Cholesterol rather than its individual component which suggest cost effectiveness in
185 screening of MetS was included in the study. In a previous nationally representative study
186 using STEPS Survey 2013 data, an association of Socio-economic Status (Wealth quintile)
187 and the Metabolic Syndrome was not performed. However, due to globalization and
188 technological modernization, the society has been changing rapidly. We, therefore
189 investigated the relation between wealth quintile and the Metabolic Syndrome among
190 Nepalese adults.

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221

222 **Results**

223 **Table 2. Socio-demographic Characteristics of Respondents**

224 Socio-demographic characteristics of the participants are summarized in Table
225 2. Participants' age ranged from 15 to 69 years. Majority (37.33%) of the participants aged
226 from 45-69 years. More than half (64.28%) of the participants were female. Almost
227 (84.81%) participants were married and almost half of the participants (46.59%) reside in
228 Hilly region. 29% had poorest quintile household assets. Almost half of the participants
229 (49.93%) had none/primary level education. Majority of the participants (87.39%) were from
230 rural areas.

232 **Table 2. Socio-demographic characteristics of respondents.**

233 **n=5593**

234


Characteristics	Frequency (f)	Percentage (%)
Age (in years)		
15-29	1,466	26.61
30-44	2,039	36.41
45-69	2,088	37.33
Sex		
Women	3,595	64.28
Men	1,998	35.72
Level of education		
None/less than primary	2792	49.93
Primary	1052	18.79
Secondary	1088	19.46
More than secondary	661	11.82
Marital status		
Never married	487	9.7
Currently Married	4283	84.90
Divorced/separated/widow	280	5.4
Household assets (in quintile)		
Poorest	1652	29.54
Second	1063	19.01
Third	948	16.95
Fourth	881	15.75
Richest	1049	18.76
Geographical Region		
Mountain	661	11.8
Hill	2,606	46.59
Terai	2,326	41.58
Residence		
Urban	705	12.61
Rural	4888	87.39

235

236 **Fig 2: Trends in the Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome between 2013 and 2019.**

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

237 The plot in the graph below “Fig 2” shows the decrease in the trend of Prevalence of
 238 Metabolic Syndrome. The prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome is decreased to more than half
 239 which is 14.1% in 2013 to 6.69% in 2019.



241 **Fig 2: Trend in the Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome between 2013 and 2019.**

242

243

244

245 **Table 3. Association between Metabolic Syndrome with the socio-**
 246 **demographic variables.**

247 Table 3 represents the bivariate analysis of Metabolic Syndrome and Socio-demographic
 248 variables. Metabolic syndrome was higher in participants aged 45-69 years (11.95%), in
 249 women (8.62%), who has none/less than primary education (8.81%), who are
 250 divorced/separated (13.6%), participants living in Terai (7.77%) and richest (11.78%) in
 251 quintile. Metabolic syndrome was significantly associated with Age, Sex, Education, Marital
 252 status, Geographical region and Household assets in quintile $p \leq 0.05$.

253

n=5051

Variables	Metabolic Syndrome		p-value
	No n (%)	Yes n (%)	

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

Age (in years)			
15-29	1262 (97.61)	25 (2.38)	0.000*
30-44	1690 (91.51)	151 (8.48)	
45-69	1671 (88.05)	252 (11.95)	
Sex			
Women	2919 (91.38)	328 (8.62)	0.012*
Men	1704 (95.43)	100 (4.57)	
Education			
None/less than Primary	2279(91.9)	257(8.81)	
Primary	879(93.42)	70(6.58)	0.018*
Secondary	915(95.37)	65(4.63)	
More than secondary	549(95.31)	36(4.69)	
Marital status			
Never married	477(97.49)	10(2.51)	0.001*
Currently married	3907(92.49)	376(7.51)	
Divorced/separated/widow	238(86.4)	43(13.6)	
Household assets (in quintile)			
Poor	1437 (96.52)	56 (3.47)	0.0002*
Second	912 (95.44)	56 (4.55)	
Third	782 (93.93)	73 (6.07)	
Fourth	703 (92.37)	87 (7.62)	
Richest	789 (88.22)	156(11.78)	
Geographical region			
Mountain	585 (97.43)	18 (2.57)	0.003*
Hill	2173 (93.89)	172 (6.11)	
Terai	1865 (92.22)	238 (7.77)	
Residence			
Urban	540(93.69)	77(6.31)	0.066
Rural	4083(93.27)	351(6.73)	

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

254 * $p \leq 0.05$

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266 **Table 4 Association of Metabolic Syndrome with behavioral**
267 **characteristics**

268 Table 4 showed no any significant association of Metabolic Syndrome with Behavioural
269 Characteristics.

270

n=5051

Behavioral Characteristics	No	Yes	<i>p</i>-Value
Tobacco Consumption			
Yes	3234 (93.17)	318 (6.82)	0.92
No	1389 (93.64)	110 (6.35)	
Alcohol consumption in last 30 days			
No	3670 (93.23)	347 (6.76)	0.7415

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

Yes	953 (93.6)	81 (6.39)	
Fruits and vegetables consumption			
>=5 servings	131 (93.77)	13 (6.22)	0.0741
< 5 servings	4471 (93.26)	415 (6.74)	
Physical activity			
Sufficient	4223 (93.48)	379 (6.52)	0.8532
Insufficient	316 (91.3)	39 (8.69)	

271 * $p \leq 0.05$

272

273

274

275

276

277 **Table 5. Multivariate analysis for association of Metabolic Syndrome with**
278 **socio-demographic variable**

279 There was a significant association between Age and Metabolic Syndrome ($p < 0.001$). The
280 odds of having Metabolic Syndrome are 3.68 times higher among participants aged 30-44
281 years (p -value: < 0.001 , 95% CI: 1.94, 6.99) and 5.79 times higher among participants aged
282 45-69 years (p -value: < 0.001 , 95% CI: 2.77, 10.41) compared to those participants who are
283 age of 15-29 years adjusting for sex, marital status, education, residence, geographical
284 regions, wealth index, current use of tobacco product, alcohol consumption in last 30 days,
285 fruits and vegetable consumption, physical activity per week. The cumulative risk for
286 Metabolic Syndrome increased with increase in age.

287 There was significant association between Sex and Metabolic Syndrome ($p < 0.001$). The
288 odds of having Metabolic Syndrome are 2.45 times significantly higher in women (95% CI:
289 1.57, 3.51) adjusting for age, residence, marital status, geographical region, wealth index,

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

290 current use of tobacco product, alcohol consumption in last 30 days, fruits and vegetable
291 consumption, adequate physical activity.

292 The higher odds of occurrence of Metabolic Syndrome was observed among rural dwellers
293 (AOR 1.48 ; 95% CI: 0.93-2.35) as compared to urban dwellers adjusting for age, residence,
294 marital status, geographical region, wealth index, current use of tobacco product, alcohol
295 consumption in last 30 days, fruits and vegetable consumption, adequate physical activity.

296 There is significant association between geographical region (Terai) (p-value: 0.02, 95%
297 CI:1.06, 3.99) and Metabolic Syndrome. The odds of having Metabolic Syndrome is 2.06
298 times higher in those who live in the Terai region and 1.68 times higher in those who live in
299 the mountainous region adjusting for age, residence, marital status, geographical region,
300 wealth index, current use of tobacco product, alcohol consumption in last 30 days, fruits and
301 vegetable consumption, adequate physical activity.

302 The odds of developing Metabolic Syndrome is 0.84 times high in those who are currently
303 married and 1.21 times higher in those who are separated/divorced/widow, adjusting for age,
304 residence, marital status, geographical region, wealth index, current use of tobacco product,
305 alcohol consumption in last 30 days, fruits and vegetable consumption, adequate physical
306 activity.

307 The odds of having Metabolic Syndrome decrease with increase in education level. The odds
308 of having Metabolic Syndrome is 1.0 times more who have primary education and decrease
309 odds with secondary education (AOR; 0.80) and higher secondary education (AOR; 0.79)
310 compared to those who have no or less than primary education adjusting for age, residence,
311 marital status, geographical region, wealth index, current use of tobacco product, alcohol
312 consumption in last 30 days, fruits and vegetable consumption, adequate physical activity.
313 The cumulative risk of metabolic syndrome decreased with increased level of education.

314 The odds of developing Metabolic Syndrome is 2.43 times high in those who have fourth
315 wealth index (p-value: 0.006, 95% CI: 1.29,4.60) and 4.12 times higher in those who have
316 highest wealth index (p-value: <0.001, 95% CI: 2.30,7.39) compared to those who have
317 poor wealth index adjusting for age, residence, marital status, geographical region, wealth
318 index, current use of tobacco product, alcohol consumption in last 30 days, fruits and
319 vegetable consumption, adequate physical activity.

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

320 Age, female, education, wealth quintile Marital status and Terai residents were at increased
321 risk of Metabolic Syndrome.

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332 **Table 5. Multivariate analysis for association between socio-demographic**
333 **variables and Metabolic Syndrome**

334

n=5051

Characteristics	Odds ratio	95% CI	p-value
Age (in years)			
15-29	Ref		
30-44	3.68	1.94,6.99****	<0.001
45-69	5.79	2.7710.41****	<0.001
Sex			
Men	Ref		
Women	2.46	1.58 , 3.82****	<0.000

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

Residence			
Urban	Ref		
Rural	1.48	0.93,2.35	0.098
Marital status			
Never married	Ref		
Currently married	0.84	0.35,2.04	0.699
Divorced/separated	1.21	0.48,3.08	0.684
Education			
None/less than primary	Ref		
Primary	1	0.63 , 1.58	0.995
Secondary	0.8	0.48 , 1.33	0.379
More than secondary	0.79	0.38 , 1.64	0.518
Wealth Index			
Poorest	Ref		
Second	1.46	0.87 - 2.44	0.178
Middle	1.82	0.92 - 3.60	0.107
Fourth	2.66	1.42 - 4.99**	0.006
Richest	4.84	2.65 - 8.85***	0.000
Geographical Region			
Mountain	Ref		
Hills	1.69	0.88, 3.25	0.116
Terai	2.06	1.08, 3.95**	0.029

335 * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$

336 *Adjusted for age, sex, residence, marital status, education, residence, wealth index,*
 337 *geographical regions current use of tobacco product, alcohol consumption in last 30 days,*
 338 *fruits and vegetable consumption, adequate physical activity.*

339

340

341

342

343

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357 **Table 6. Prevalence of components of Metabolic Syndrome**

358 Table 6 depicts the Prevalence of Components of Metabolic Syndrome. The overall
359 Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome was 6.6%. The Prevalence was significantly double
360 (8.62%) among women as compared to men (4.2%).The most prevalent component was
361 High Waist Circumference (63.6%) that was significantly high among women followed by
362 Elevated Blood Pressure (29.8.%) which was significantly high among men. Total
363 Cholesterol (10.8%) was observed in both Sex which was significantly high (13.9%) among
364 women. Among the components observed, Raised blood sugar was least prevalent (6.3%) in
365 both sex which was observed more (5.3%) among the women. Majority (93.4%) of
366 respondents has less than 3 components and was significantly less among Men.

Metabolic Components	Women%	Men%	Both Sex%	<i>p-value</i>
-----------------------------	---------------	-------------	------------------	-----------------------

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

High waist hip ratio (n=5518)	70.2	56.3	63.6	0.000*
Elevated blood pressure (n=5506)	19.7	29.8	24.75	0.000*
Elevated Total blood cholesterol (n= 5342)	13.9	7.7	10.8	0.000*
Raised blood sugar (n=5191)	5.3	4.2	6.3	0.282
Metabolic syndrome (n=5051)	8.62	4.57	6.6	0.001*
0 or < 3 components (n=5051)	51.21	48.79	93.4	0.0002*

367 * $p < 0.05$

368

369

370 **Table 7. Clustering of components of Metabolic Syndrome**

371 Clustering of Components of Metabolic Syndrome was observed among the respondents in
 372 Table 8. A triad of blood pressure, blood sugar and Total Cholesterol (n=219) constitutes
 373 3.59% in both sex and was common among women (54.85%) followed by triad of waist hip
 374 ratio, blood pressure and blood sugar (n=185) that constitutes of 3% in both sex and was
 375 significantly high among women. Triad of waist hip ratio, blood pressure and total
 376 cholesterol (n=134), 1.94% was noticeable in both sex and showed significantly high among
 377 women. A triad of waist hip, blood sugar and Total Cholesterol (n=97) was least dominant
 378 i.e. 1.41% was seen in both sex and was significantly high among women. Clustering of all
 379 four components (Waist hip Circumference +Elevated Blood Pressure Raised Blood
 380 Sugar+Total Cholesterol) was seen among 1.09% of Respondents and was significantly high
 381 among Women. Hence, clustering of Components of Metabolic Syndrome components was
 382 found to be high among women.

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Clustering of Metabolic Components	Women%	Men%	<i>p-value</i>
Waist hip Ratio+ Blood pressure+ Total cholesterol (n=134)	89.49	10.51	0.001*
Waist hip Ratio+ Elevated Blood Pressure+ Elevated Blood sugar (n=185)	90.49	26.86	0.0067*
Waist hip Ratio + Elevated Blood Sugar + Total Cholesterol (n=97)	91.49	14.26	0.000*
Elevated Blood Pressure+ Elevated Blood Sugar + Total Cholesterol (n=219)	92.49	41.92	0.000*
Waist hip Ratio+ Elevated Blood Pressure+ Elevated Blood Sugar+ Total Cholesterol (n=66)	93.49	17.03	0.0016*

383 ***p<0.05**

384

385

386 **Discussion**

387 The present study demonstrated that the overall prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome was
388 6.69% which shows decrease in trend from 12.1% in 2013 to 6.69% in 2019 (Figure 2).The
389 study is in contrast with the Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome among South Asian
390 countries (ATPIII 26.1% and IDF 29.8%) reported by a systematic review [23].Similarly, the
391 study is in line with the study that showed the prevalence of the Metabolic Syndrome was
392 lower in populations of Asian origin compared with what has previously been reported for
393 Caucasians[24] .The decrease in trend of Metabolic Syndrome in Nepal may be related to
394 decrease in the prevalence of Total Cholesterol in 2019. The prevalence of Raised Total
395 Cholesterol in STEPS Survey 2019 is quite low (11%) as compared with previous rounds of
396 the STEPS Survey in 2013 i. e. 22.7%;[18] and also may be due to differences in
397 measurement criteria (Table 1). Education status also play vital role in creating awareness
398 regarding health risk.

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

399 Socio-demographic characteristics like Age, Sex, Education, Marital Status, Geographical
400 region and Household assets in quintile have statistical significant association with
401 Metabolic Syndrome which is consistent with the study in Nepal [25].

402 In the present study, Behavioural factors has no association with Metabolic Syndrome which
403 was in line with the study conducted in USA where Lifestyle factors had no significant
404 association with metabolic syndrome [26].

405 Higher Trend of Metabolic Syndrome was observed among women (8.62%) than on
406 men (4.57%) with the most prevalent three metabolic components in women (High Waist
407 Circumference: 70.2% of women, 5.3% of men, Elevated Total Cholesterol: 13.9% of
408 women; 7.7% of men; Raised blood sugar 5.3% in women; 4.2% in men) (Table 7) was
409 found to be supported by study in India [27]. Conversely, the prevalence of MetS in
410 China was higher among men than women and also the prevalence of the four metabolic
411 abnormalities included in the diagnosis of Metabolic Syndrome were also higher in men
412 than in women [28]. Similar findings on the study at India, showed the pooled prevalence
413 of MS among adult women was more 35% (95%CI: 31%-38%); than men, the pooled
414 prevalence was 26% (95%CI: 22%-29%) [29]. These results support the existing
415 research findings that women experience physiological changes that make them
416 susceptible to metabolic syndrome with aging. Menopause, characterized by a decline in
417 circulating estrogen levels, may increase cardiovascular risk through its effects on
418 adiposity and lipid metabolism. The sex differences in Metabolic Syndrome may be
419 related with biological and behavioral factors [30]. The factors associated with NCDs
420 among female were due to long working hours, double work burden, and stress which
421 keeps them busy with managing the household activities that reduces the time for
422 physical fitness [31]. The prevalence of physical inactivity was found to be doubled in
423 STEPS SURVEY 2019 and is found to be more in women than in men and is associated
424 with richest wealth quintile [32], unemployment and easy access to transportation
425 [33], lower social classes, as defined by education and occupational classification [34]
426 and low education among females [35].

427 There was significant difference between Metabolic Syndrome with Age. The prevalence of
428 Metabolic Syndrome rose progressively with age and is high enough at the forty to sixty
429 decades of age. Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome was found to be more with increase in
430 age which may be due to ageing process association with increased adiposity that eventually

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

431 leads to deposition of adipose fast and pathological hormonal deficiencies. The increasing
432 pro inflammatory factors with aging are disruptive to insulin receptor signaling in adipocytes
433 and are associated with development of diabetes and other metabolic diseases [36].The age-
434 related adipose tissue dysfunction leads to the consequent systemic inflammation and
435 metabolic dysfunction [37].

436 There was significant difference between Metabolic Syndrome with education with a lower
437 prevalence found in most educated respondents and high prevalence was noticed in the
438 respondents who had less than primary and the prevalence was decreased with increment in
439 educational status. The study was related with the study done in China which showed Lower
440 education level was associated with higher risk of MetS [38].This may be due to
441 accessibility of awareness and concern among educated people on the health benefits and
442 risk which help them to adopt health preventive and promotive measures [39].

443 Metabolic Syndrome was found to be significantly associated with Marital status. The odds
444 of having Metabolic syndrome was high among divorced/ separated then that of ever married
445 and currently married women which is similar with the study conducted in Korea among
446 Korean married women where the married middle-aged group, the widowed middle-aged
447 group tended to have a higher risk of Metabolic Syndrome, which is predicted to be related
448 to socioeconomic factors, health behavior [40] and marital distress[41].Women in high-
449 quality marriages are at lower risk of developing the metabolic syndrome[42].

450 Metabolic Syndrome is significantly associated with the fourth and richest quintile. The odds
451 of having Metabolic Syndrome was more than double in fourth quintile and more than four
452 times in richest quintile as compared to the poorest quintile. Various studies suggests that
453 sedentary habits, behavior problems related to health, low prevalence of physical activity,
454 stress and eating habit are prominent with increased in assets[18].

455 Metabolic Syndrome was found to be ecologically associated. There was strong association
456 of Metabolic Syndrome with geographical region. The study was in line with the study that
457 showed the prevalence of multi-morbidity was more in low altitude [43]. Terai region
458 geographically lies in low altitude where culture and traditions linked with food preparation
459 and consumption, dietary habits, adoption of behavioral risk factor, climate and stress
460 increases the risk of NCD [44]. In contrast Urbanization, specifically rurality and high-

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

461 altitude mainly $\geq 2,500$ meters above sea level (m.a.s.l.), were factors independently
462 associated with lower predicted Cardiovascular risk [45].

463 The higher Prevalence of components of Metabolic Syndrome identified in the study was
464 high Waist Circumference which was more among the Women. In contrary, the prevalence
465 of MetS from nationally representative population addressed the most predominant
466 component was low HDL cholesterol among female population [25].

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475 **CONCLUSION:**

476 It was concluded that there was a decrease in Trend of Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome in
477 Nepal. The prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome was considerably double in Women than in
478 Men. Age, Sex, Education, Marital Status, wealth quintile and ecological regions are
479 associated factors for Metabolic Syndrome. High Waist Circumference among women was the
480 most prevalent component of Metabolic Syndrome.

481

482 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

483 Metabolic Syndrome increases the risk of Cardiovascular disease. To decrease the mortality
484 and morbidity associated with cardiovascular disease, screening on MetS could be helpful.

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

485 Cost effective measures should be taken to perform screening measures. Total cholesterol
486 solely can be utilized instead of HDL and LDL that can economize the screening for MetS.
487 The recommendations for identifying high risk individuals are based on screening measures
488 of total cholesterol, although the recommendations for treatment are based on concentrations
489 of LDL cholesterol. Total cholesterol was recommended as the initial screening test for three
490 reasons: High levels of LDL cholesterol are associated with an increased risk of CHD; there
491 is a high correlation between total cholesterol and LDL cholesterol; and testing for total
492 cholesterol is easier and less expensive than testing for LDL. The NCEP recommended that
493 HDL cholesterol be measured in persons with high total cholesterol levels or in those with
494 borderline high levels and a high CHD risk profile [20]. Current health Plan could include
495 the screening of MetS to address burden of Cardiovascular disease in WHO PEN module
496 package to decrease the burden of cardiovascular related premature mortality and morbidity.
497 Marital status appears to be related to cardiovascular disease in among women, it is
498 important to provide lipid screening and educational programs to improve women's lifestyle
499 based on their marital status. High waist circumference among women highlights the
500 urgency of addressing abdominal obesity in health care priority.

501 **Conflict of Interest:** The authors declares for no any conflict of interest with this
502 study.

503

504

505 **Acknowledgement:** The author would like to acknowledge University Grant
506 Commission (UGC) to provide partial scholarship for conducting this study. The authors
507 would like to offer gratitude to Nepal Health Research council for providing raw data.
508 The author is grateful to University of Cyberjaya for providing necessary assistance for
509 the study.

510

511 **Authors Contribution:**

512 **Conceptualization:** Bhawana Bhandari, Suresh Mehata, Datin Mariyani Ahamad

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

513

Niruzzudin.

514

Formal Analysis: Bihumgum Bista, Bhawana Bhandari

515

Funding Support: University Grant Commission

516

Funding aquisition: Bhawana Bhandari

517

Investigation: WHO STEPS Survey 2019

518

Project administration: Megnath Dhimal, Bihumgum Bista

519

Methodology: Bhawana Bhandari, Suresh Mehata, Bihumgum Bista

520

Software: Bihumgum Bista

521

Supervision: Suresh Mehata, Datin Mariyani Niruzzadin Ahmad.

522

Writing : Original draft: Bhawana Bhandari

523

524

525 **References:**

526

1. Lopez AD, Mathers CD. Measuring the global burden of disease and epidemiological

527

transitions: 2002–2030. *Ann Trop Med Parasitol*. 2006 Aug 1;100(5-6):481-499.

528

2. World Health Organization. Noncommunicable diseases [Internet]. Geneva: WHO; 2020

529

[cited 2020 Aug 19]. Available from: [http://www.emro.who.int/noncommunicable-](http://www.emro.who.int/noncommunicable-diseases/causes/index.html)

530

[diseases/causes/index.html](http://www.emro.who.int/noncommunicable-diseases/causes/index.html)

531

3. GBD 2015 Risk Factors Collaborators. Global, regional, and national comparative risk

532

assessment of 79 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or

533

clusters of risks, 1990–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease

534

Study 2015. *Lancet*. Available from: [https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-](https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/noncommunicable-diseases)

535

[sheets/detail/noncommunicable-diseases](https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/noncommunicable-diseases)

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

- 536 4. Nepal Health Research Council, Ministry of Health and Population, Monitoring Evaluation and
537 Operational Research. Nepal Burden of Disease 2017: A Country Report based on the Global
538 Burden of Disease 2017 Study. Kathmandu (Nepal): NHRC, MoHP, MEOR; 2019. Available
539 from: https://nhrc.gov.np/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/NBoD-2017_NHRC-MoHP.pdf
- 540 5. Deepa M, Farooq S, Datta M, Deepa R, Mohan V. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome
541 using WHO, ATPIII and IDF definitions in Asian Indians: the Chennai Urban Rural
542 Epidemiology Study (CURES-34). *Diabetes Metab Res Rev*. 2007 Feb;23(2):127-34.
543 doi: 10.1002/dmrr.658. PMID: 16752431.
- 544 6. Alberti KGMM, Zimmet P. The metabolic syndrome—a new worldwide definition. *Lancet*.
545 2005;366(9491):1059-62.
546
- 547 7. Sullivan DR. Screening for cardiovascular disease with cholesterol. *Clin Chim Acta*. 2002;315(1-
548 2):49-60.
- 549 8. Yu M, Xu CX, Zhu HH, Hu RY, Zhang J, Wang H, et al. Associations of cigarette
550 smoking and alcohol consumption with metabolic syndrome in a male Chinese
551 population: a cross-sectional study. *J Epidemiol*. 2014 Sep 5;24(5):361-9.
- 552
553
- 554 9. Mirmiran P, Noori N, Azizi F. A prospective study of determinants of the metabolic syndrome in
555 adults. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis*. 2008 Oct 1;18(8):567-73.
- 556 10. Alberti G. Department of Endocrinology and Metabolic Medicine, Mint Wing, St. Mary's
557 Hospital, Praed Street, London W2 1NY, UK. *Eur Heart J Suppl*. 2005;7(Suppl D)–
558 D5. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/sui021.
- 559 11. Vaidya A. Tackling cardiovascular health and disease in Nepal: epidemiology, strategies
560 and implementation. *Heart Asia*. 2011;3:87–9.
- 561 12. Dhimal M, Bista B, Bhattarai S, Dixit L, Hyder M, Agrawal N, et al. Report of Non
562 Communicable Disease Risk Factors: STEPS Survey Nepal 2019. Kathmandu: Nepal
563 Health Research Council, 2020.

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

- 564 13. Sapkota BP, Baral KP, Rehfuess EA, Parhofer KG, Berger U. Effects of age on non-
565 communicable disease risk factors among Nepalese adults. PLoS One. 2023 Jun
566 2;18(6):e0281028.
- 567 14. World Health Organization. The STEPS Instrument and Support Materials [Internet]. Geneva:
568 WHO; 2020 [cited 2020 Aug 14]. Available from:
569 <https://www.who.int/ncds/surveillance/steps/instrument/en/>
- 570 15. World Health Organization. WHO STEPS surveillance manual. Geneva: WHO; 2018.
- 571 16. Barros AJ, Hirakata VN. Alternatives for logistic regression in cross-sectional studies: an
572 empirical comparison of models that directly estimate the prevalence ratio. BMC Med Res
573 Methodol. 2003;3(1):21. PMID: 14567763.
- 574 17. Park K. PARK'S TEXTBOOK OF PREVENTIVE AND SOCIAL MEDICINE. 26th ed. Jabalpur: M/s
575 Banarsidas Bhanot Publisher; 2021. p. 69-70.
- 576 18. Bista B, Dhimal M, Bhattarai S, Neupane TY, Xu YY, Pandey AR, et al. Prevalence of
577 non-communicable diseases risk factors and their determinants: Results from STEPS
578 survey 2019, Nepal. PLoS One. 2021;16(7). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0253605.
- 579 19. Cornier MA, Dabelea D, Hernandez TL, Lindstrom RC, Steig AJ, Stob NR, et al. The
580 metabolic syndrome. Endocr Rev. 2008 Dec 1;29(7):777-822.
- 581
- 582 20. Bush TL, Riedel D. Screening for total cholesterol. Do the National Cholesterol
583 Education Program's recommendations detect individuals at high risk of coronary heart
584 disease?. Circulation. 1991 Apr;83 (4):1287-93.
- 585 21. Gelskey DE, Young TK, MacDonald SM. Screening with total cholesterol: determining
586 sensitivity and specificity of the National Cholesterol Education Program's guidelines
587 from a population survey. Journal of clinical epidemiology. 1994 May 1;47(5):547-53.
- 588 22. Jung E, Kong SY, Ro YS, Ryu HH, Shin SD. Serum cholesterol levels and risk of
589 cardiovascular death: A systematic review and a dose-response meta-analysis of
590 prospective cohort studies. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19(14):8272. doi:
591 10.3390/ijerph19148272.

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

- 592 23. Aryal N, Wasti SP. The prevalence of metabolic syndrome in South Asia: a systematic review. Int
593 J Diabetes Dev Ctries. 2016;36:255–62. doi: 10.1007/s13410-015-0365-
594 5.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13410-015-0365-5>
- 595 24. DECODA Study Group. Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in populations of Asian
596 origin: Comparison of the IDF definition with the NCEP definition. Diabetes Research
597 and Clinical Practice. 2007 Apr 1;76(1):57-67.
- 598 25. Mehata, S., Shrestha, N., Mehta, R., Bista, B., Pandey, A., & Mishra, S. (2018).
599 Prevalence of the Metabolic Syndrome and its determinants among Nepalese adults:
600 Findings from a nationally representative cross-sectional study. Scientific Reports,
601 8(1).<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-33177-5>
- 602 26. Bhanushali CJ, Kumar K, Wutoh AK, Karavatas S, Habib MJ, Daniel M, Lee E.
603 Association between lifestyle factors and metabolic syndrome among African
604 Americans in the United States. Journal of nutrition and metabolism.
605 2013;2013(1):516475.
606
- 607 27. Kaur J. Assessment and Screening of the Risk Factors in Metabolic Syndrome. *Medical*
608 *Sciences 2014; 2(3):140-152.* <https://doi.org/10.3390/medsci2030140>
- 609 28. Lan Y, Mai Z, Zhou S, Liu Y, Li S, Zhao Z, et al. (2018) Prevalence of metabolic
610 syndrome in China: An up-dated cross-sectional study. PLoS ONE 13(4): e0196012.
611 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196012>.
- 612 29. Krishnamoorthy Y, Rajaa S, Murali S, Rehman T, Sahoo J, et al. (2020) Prevalence of
613 metabolic syndrome among adult population in India: A systematic review and meta-
614 analysis. PLOS ONE 15(10): e0240971. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240971>
615
- 616 30. Yi Y, An J. Sex differences in risk factors for metabolic syndrome in the Korean
617 population. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2020
618 Dec;17(24):9513.
- 619 31. Idris, I. B., Azit, N. A., Ghani, S. R. A., Nor, S. F. S., & Nawi, A. M. (2021). A
620 systematic review on non-communicable diseases among working women. *Industrial*
621 *health, 59(3), 146-160.*

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

- 622 32. Central Bureau of Statistics. Nepal living standard survey 2010/11. Kathmandu:
623 National Planning Commission Secretariat, Government of Nepal, 2011
- 624 33. Aryal KK, Mehata S, Neupane S, Vaidya A, Dhimal M, Dhakal P, Rana S, Bhusal CL,
625 Lohani GR, Paulin FH, Garg RM. The burden and determinants of non-communicable
626 diseases risk factors in Nepal: findings from a nationwide STEPS survey. *PloS one*.
627 2015 Aug 5;10(8):e0134834.
- 628 34. Santos AC, Ebrahim S, Barros H. Gender, socio-economic status and metabolic
629 syndrome in middle-aged and old adults. *BMC Public Health*. 2008 Dec;8:1-8.
- 630 35. Wamala SP, Lynch J, Horsten M, Mittleman MA, Schenck-Gustafsson K, Orth-Gomer
631 K. Education and the metabolic syndrome in women. *Diabetes care*. 1999 Dec
632 1;22(12):1999-2003.
- 633 36. Gregor MF, Hotamisligil GS. Inflammatory mechanisms in obesity. *Annual review of*
634 *immunology*. 2011 Apr 23;29(1):415-45
- 635 37. Yu P, Yuan R, Yang X, Qi Z. Adipose tissue, aging, and metabolism. *Current Opinion in*
636 *Endocrine and Metabolic Research*. 2019 Mar 1;5:11-20.
- 637 38. Zhan Y, Yu J, Chen R, Gao J, Ding R, Fu Y, Zhang L, Hu D. Socioeconomic status and
638 metabolic syndrome in the general population of China: a cross-sectional study. *BMC*
639 *public health*. 2012 Dec;12:1-7.
- 640 39. Wang, Y., Chen, J., Wang, K. *et al*. Education as an important risk factor for the
641 prevalence of hypertension and elevated blood pressure in Chinese men and women. *J*
642 *Hum Hypertens* **20**, 898–900 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.jhh.1002086>
- 643 40. Jung YA, Kang LL, Kim HN, Park HK, Hwang HS, Park KY. Relationship between
644 marital status and metabolic syndrome in Korean middle-aged women: the sixth Korea
645 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (2013–2014). *Korean journal of*
646 *family medicine*. 2018 Sep;39(5):307.

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

- 647 41. Whisman MA, Uebelacker LA, Settles TD. Marital distress and the metabolic syndrome:
648 linking social functioning with physical health. *Journal of family psychology*. 2010
649 Jun;24(3):367.
- 650 42. Troxel WM, Matthews KA, Gallo LC, Kuller LH. Marital quality and occurrence of the
651 metabolic syndrome in women. *Archives of internal medicine*. 2005 May
652 9;165(9):1022-7.
- 653 43. Miranda JJ, Bernabe-Ortiz A, Gilman RH, et al. Multimorbidity at sea level and high-
654 altitude urban and rural settings: The CRONICAS Cohort Study. *Journal of*
655 *Comorbidity*. 2019;9. doi:10.1177/2235042X19875297
- 656 44. SEARO W. Expert meeting on population sodium reduction strategies for prevention and
657 control of NCDs in South East Asia region.
658 [http://www.searo.who.int/entity/noncommunicable_diseases/documents/sea_ncd_88.p](http://www.searo.who.int/entity/noncommunicable_diseases/documents/sea_ncd_88.pdf)
659 [df](http://www.searo.who.int/entity/noncommunicable_diseases/documents/sea_ncd_88.pdf) (2012). Accessed 14 Dec 2016.
- 660 45. Bernabe-Ortiz A, Carrillo-Larco RM. Urbanization, Altitude and Cardiovascular Risk.
661 *Glob Heart*. 2022 Jun 21;17(1):42. doi: 10.5334/gh.1130. PMID: 35837362; PMCID:
662 PMC9231580.
- 663
- 664




Fig 1