It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

- 1 Trends and the Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome and its Associated
- 2 Factors among Nepalese Adults in Ecological regions of Nepal- A
- **3** Nationwide Cross Sectional Survey.

4 Bhawana Bhandari<sup>\*1</sup>, Bihumgum Bista<sup>2</sup>, Suna Laxmi Karmacharya<sup>3</sup>, Anil Babu Ojha<sup>4</sup>,

- 5 Datin Mariani Ahmad Nizaruddin<sup>5</sup>, Suresh Mehata<sup>6</sup>
- 6 1. Principal Author, Assistant Professor /PhD Scholar, University Of Cyberjaya.
- 7 2. PhD Scholar, Kathmandu University
- 8 3. Nursing Officer, Ministry of Health and Population, Nepal
- 9 4. Consultant Anaesthesiologist, Siddhi Memorial Children and Women Hospital, Nepal.
- 10 5. Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacology, University of Cyberjaya, Malaysia.
- 11 6. Consultant, Policy, Planning and Public Health Division, Ministry of Health, Koshi
- 12 Province, Nepal.

### 13 Corresponding Author

14 Bhawana Bhandari

### 15 Email: Bhandaribhawana114@gmail.com

- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 19
- 21

- . -
- 22

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

## 23 Abstract

24 Globally, there is an increase in the Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome. The rise in 25 prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome suggests burden of Cardiovascular related morbidity and mortality which is also prevalent in Nepal. Metabolic Syndrome confers a 5-fold increase in 26 the risk of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM) and 2-fold the risk of developing 27 Cardiovascular Disease (CVD) over the next 5 to 10 years. Routine surveillance and registry 28 29 system in Nepal related to Metabolic Syndrome are bare in Nepal which is imperative to determine the prevalence of MetS and its associated factors. This study used multistage 30 31 cluster sampling considering the three ecological zones as strata. 259 Primary Sampling Units were selected randomly and a total of 5593 population were surveyed where 5051 32 33 population met the criteria for determining the Prevalence of MetS. A STEPwise Surveillance was conducted using WHO Steps survey questionnaire 3.2 version by interview 34 technique, physical and biochemical measurements. The result concluded that there was a 35 decrease in trend of Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome from 14.1% to 6.69%. from 2013 to 36 37 2019. The overall Prevalence of MetS was found to be 6.69%, nearly double in women than in men (8.62% and 4.57% respectively). Waist circumference was found to be the most 38 prevalent component of Metabolic Syndrome among women. Age, Sex, Education, Marital 39 status. Wealth guintile and Ecological region were significantly associated with MetS and 40 there was no significant association of MetS with behavioral factors. 41

42 Keywords: Trend, Prevalence, Metabolic Syndrome, Nepal, STEPS Survey, Waist
43 Circumference, Associated Factors.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

52

## 53 Introduction

Globally, present era is recognized for the burden for (NCDs) Non Communicable Disease 54 [1]. Out of 51 million deaths, 41 million people die each year due to Non Communicable 55 56 Disease equivalent to 71% of total deaths worldwide. Annually 17.9 million deaths occur due to cardiovascular disease which is the top most cause of death related to NCD [2]. In 57 context to Nepal, the burden for NCDs is also high, where 66% of total deaths related to 58 NCDs, 16% of total mortality is related with cardiovascular disease like Myocardial 59 Infarction (MI). In 2017, 59% of total (9,015,320) DALYs are due to NCDs [3]. Behavioral 60 risk factors including smoking, harmful use of alcohol, unhealthy diet and physical 61 inactivity, low fruits and vegetable intakes along with Metabolic/biological risk factors such 62 as raised blood pressure (BP), blood glucose and cholesterol level, overweight and obesity 63 have been evidenced as the rich underlying causes of NCDs [4]. The Global Burden of 64 Disease 2017 study reported that disease burden in Nepal could be reduced by addressing 65 these risk factors [3]. 66

Metabolic syndrome (MS) is defined as a cluster of metabolic risk factors including central 67 obesity, dysglycemia, reduced high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-c), elevated 68 triglycerides (TG) and hypertension [5]. MetS are at 2- to 4-fold increased risk of stroke, a 3-69 to 4-fold increased risk of Myocardial Infarction (MI), and 2-fold the risk of dying from such 70 an event compared with those without Metabolic Syndrome [6]. The massive (and increasing) 71 72 disease burden associated with cardiovascular disorders justifies public health measures such as screening, which may assist with the identification and treatment of individuals who have a 73 high risk of developing these disorders [7]. Lifestyles, behaviors and genetic factors may 74 contribute to the prevalence of MS [8]. Interventions that address obesity and reduce waist 75 circumference and an appropriate diet may reduce the incidence of the Metabolic Syndrome in 76 adults[9].Screening is essential method for prior detection to identify the individual at risk to 77 prevent from mortality and morbidity associated with Metabolic Syndrome[10]. 78

Nepal lacks routine surveillance and registry system related to Metabolic Syndrome where baseline data are not enough to address in policy level which addresses its importance to analyze and determine the burden of MetS [11]. MetS remains unfocussed due lack of evidence at population level. At present, evidence based study of Mets representing the national level population comes to focused studies to prevent, minimize and manage the

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

global burden of cardiovascular disease associated morbidity and mortality. Hence, the study aims for determining the trend and prevalence of MetS and its associated factor to reduce the cardiovascular burden addressing its importance in policy making and implementation and also recommendation for program like WHO PEN module in effective way to manage the Non communicable disease burden.

- 89
- 90

## 91 Materials and Methods:

92 Study Site: The study was conducted in three ecological regions of Nepal (Himalayan, Hilly

93 and Terai region). (Fig 1)

### 94 Study design, Sampling and Data collection

This is a secondary analysis carried out using Non-Communicable Diseases risk factorsSurvey 2019 data. The detail methodology and report of the survey has been

presented elsewhere [12]. In brief, the survey was a cross sectional study, carried out from
February to May 2019 with aim to assess the risk factors of NCDs at entire population level
in Nepal.

A multistage cluster sampling method was used to select 6,475 eligible participants across 100 all 7 provinces from three ecological regions of Nepal. A total of 259 wards were selected as 101 the primary sampling units (PSU) at the first stage, 37 PSUs from each province were 102 selected in order to obtain provincial estimates. Secondly, household listing was carried out 103 in 259 PSUs and list of the household was prepared.25 household per PSUs were selected 104 using systematic random sampling. From each of the selected households, one adult member 105 of age 15-69 years was randomly selected using KISH method through personalized 106 computer entry system via android tablet. A total of 5593 individual participated in the 107 survey. However, 5051 individual met the criteria for determining MetS. The response rate 108 for STEPI, STEPII, STEPIII Survey was 86.7% (5593), 86.5%(5582) 109 and 82.6%. (5350). Further details on the sampling process can be found elsewhere [12, 13]. 110

We conducted face to face interviews using standardized questions from the WHO STEPS Survey (version 3.2) [14]–an update on the 2013 STEPs survey. STEP I survey collected information related to behavioral characteristics (tobacco use, alcohol use, physical activity, fruits and vegetables intake), STEP II Survey involves physical characteristics (height, weight and BP) and STEP III collected biochemical measures (Blood sugar, sodium level measurement in urine). Measurement of height (measured with portable standard stature tape

SECA, Germany), Weight (measured using SECA weighing machine, Germany), BP 117 118 (measured using OMRON BP monitor), blood sugar (measured using Cardiocheck PA) and blood cholesterol (measured using Cardiocheck PA) were made as per the WHO standards 119 and STEPS manual. Household wealth was assessed on the basis of selected household 120 characteristics (e.g. type of roof, access to electricity), means of transportation used and 121 122 possession of selected consumer goods) which was used as indicator of economic status. Households were given scores based on the number and kind of consumer goods they own. 123 The scores are derived using Principal Component Analysis (PCA). Details of the 124 measurement process has been described elsewhere [12,15]. 125

Analysis was performed with STATA version 15.1 using survey (svy) set command, 126 127 defining clusters and sampling weight information. All estimates were weighted by sample weights in order to obtain the population estimates and are presented with 95% Confidence 128 Intervals (CI). Prevalence estimates were calculated using Taylor series linearization. The 129 trend in the study is the state of Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome for increase, decrease or 130 static. For this, the Prevalence Rate will be compared with that of 2013 STEPS survey data 131 to 2019 STEPS survey data. Chi-square tests were used for bivariate analysis, to test 132 associations between independent and dependent variables. Furthermore, multivariate 133 Poisson regression was used to calculate the Adjusted Prevalence Ratio (APR) between each 134 risk factors of Metabolic Syndrome and socio-demographic covariates (age, sex, education, 135 marital status, province, ecological regions and place of residence) included simultaneously 136 [16]. For clustering analysis of Metabolic Syndrome the numbers of risk factors present 137 within each participant were summed (from 0 to 4). Bivariate analysis and multivariate 138 analysis were analyzed against socio-demographic covariates through Poisson regression. 139 The relationship between the number of risk factors and covariates were estimated through 140 Adjusted Odd Ratios (AOR), with the count of risk factors designated as the dependent 141 142 variable.

143 Variable definition

144 **The dependent variable:** Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome.

145 **The independent variable**: Three major predictor variables were included:

i) Individual characteristics: age in years (15–29, 30–44 and 45–69), gender
 (male and female), marital status (never married, currently married and

I	t is made	available	under a	CC-BY	4.0 I	nternational	license .

148 divorced/widowed/separated), education (no formal schooling, primary,
149 secondary and higher level) and wealth quintile.

ii) Community characteristics: Ecological zone (Mountain, Hill and Terai/ plains)
and place of residence (urban and rural) in this analysis.

152 iii) Behavioral characteristics: smoking tobacco, alcohol consumption, intake of
153 fruits and vegetables and physical activity.

For this study, current smoking, harmful use of alcohol, insufficient fruit and vegetable intake, insufficient physical activity are considered as a behavioral factor. Similarly, raised Blood Pressure is categorized as a physical factor. Raised blood sugar and raised blood cholesterol together are considered as biochemical factor. The operational definitions of the outcome variables (NCD risk factor) are presented in Table 1.

159

160

161

- 162
- 163

164

## **Table 1: Showing the variables used in study**

Variables	Parameter
Prevalence:	The occurrence of disease in a defined population in the given period of
	time. The total population surveyed was 5593 with 84% response rate.
	Calculated by: <u>Total no of people affected</u> *100%
	Total population at that point of time [17]
	Waist was measured using constant tension tapes (Seca, Germany) in
Waist and hip	centimeters [18].
circumference	Waist circumference: >102 cm (Male), >88 cm (Female) was taken into
	consideration for the study [19].
Blood Pressure	Blood pressure was measured with a digital, automated blood pressure

	It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .				
measurements:	monitor (OMRON digital device) with medium cuff. Before taking the				
	measurements, participants were asked to sit quietly and rest for 15				
	minutes with legs uncrossed[18].				
	The mean readings for sum of second and third measurements, blood				
	pressure≥130 mmHg systolic or ≥85 mmHg diastolic or Rx was considered				
	for the analysis [19]				
Biochemical	• Fasting glucose: For the measurement of fasting glucose.				
measurements	<b>n</b> articipants were instructed to fast overnight for 12 hours and				
Fasting glucose	diabetic patients on medication were reminded to bring their				
	medicine/insulin with them and take their medicine after				
	providing the blood sample Easting glucose $\geq 100 \text{ mg/dl}$ or Ry				
	was taken for reference for the study [18,10]				
	was taken for reference for the study [16,17]				
Total cholesterol	• Total cholostoral level was screened for cardiovascular risk in				
	STEPS Survey The Normal value for Total Chalesterol level				
	STEPS Survey. The Normal value for Total Cholesteror level				
	$was \ge \frac{1}{100} = \frac{1}{100} $				
	$5.0 \text{ mmol/l of } \geq 190 \text{ mg/dl or } \text{Kx} [18,6]$				
	The NCEP recommended that HDL cholesterol be measured in persons				
	with high total cholesterol levels or in those with borderline high levels and				
	a high CHD risk profile [20]. Current NCEP ATPIII guidelines appear to				
	balance optimally the sensitivity and specificity for selecting individuals				
	based on TC levels for further complete lipoprotein analysis [21]. Elevated				
	TC is a well-documented and established risk factor for cardiovascular				
	disease. One large meta-analysis based on observational studies found that				
	high levels of serum TC was associated with an increased Coronary Heart				
	Disease mortality rate [22].				
Metabolic	The parameters for Waist Circumference, Fasting Glucose Level and				
Syndrome	Elevated Blood Pressure for measuring Metabolic Syndrome were taken				
	from NCEP III criteria[19].				
	Total Cholesterol parameter was taken from NCD Risk factor survey.				

	It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .
	Thus, assessment criteria for Metabolic Syndrome include any three of four
	criteria.
	• Waist circumference: >102 cm (Male), >88 cm (Female)[19]
	• Fasting glucose $\geq 100 \text{ mg/dl}$ or Rx [19].
	• Elevated blood pressure $Rx \ge 130 \text{ mmHg systolic or} \ge 85 \text{ mmHg}$
	diastolic or Rx [19]and
	• Dyslipidemia: Total cholesterol level>5.0 m/mol or Rx [18]
Current tobacco:	Those who use smoke and smokeless tobacco smoked in the past 30 days
	were considered as a current tobacco smoker [18].
Alcohol	The participants were classified as life time abstainers, alcohol consumed
consumption:	in past 12 months and consumed in past 30 days [18].
Insufficient fruits	It is the number of servings of fruit and vegetables consumed on average
and vegetable	per day. Average serving of fruits and vegetables consumed per day 2.0
ппаке:	servings (0.5 servings of fruit and 1.5 servings of vegetables per day is
	sufficient[18]. Less than average serving was considered insufficient
	intake.
Low Physical	
activity:	Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ) was used to assess
	whereas level of physical activity less than 150min per week is inedequate
	[18]
Nepalese adults:	The adult citizen from 15-69 years of age residing in three geographical
	regions of Nepal.
Ecological Zone:	Ecological zone classify Nepal into 3 parts Himalayan, mountainous /hilly
	and terai /plains [18].

#### It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

### 168 **Ethics statement:**

169 Ethical approval for conducting the study was taken from Nepal Health Research Council, Government of Nepal (Reference No. 3755, Regd. No. 77/2023, approved on 10<sup>th</sup> May, 170 2023). The agreement from Nepal Health Research Council for utilizing Raw data of STEPS 171 Survey was done on 17th July 2022. Written informed consent was obtained from each 172 participant before they enrolled in the survey. In case of minors (under 18 years old) both 173 assent from the research participants and consent from their parents (legal guardian) was 174 obtained, as per national ethical guidelines for health research in Nepal. We also took 175 176 administrative approval from federal, provincial and local governments, as per the need. The confidentiality of all information gathered was maintained. Any waste generated during the 177 laboratory procedures was properly disinfected using aseptic techniques before being safely 178 disposed of. All blood and urine samples were discarded after completing biochemical 179 measurements [18]. 180

181

### **182 Global inclusivity:**

Additional information regarding Metabolic Syndrome on screening measures including 183 Total Cholesterol rather than its individual component which suggest cost effectiveness in 184 screening of MetS was included in the study. In a previous nationally representative study 185 using STEPS Survey 2013 data, an association of Socio-economic Status (Wealth quintile) 186 and the Metabolic Syndrome was not performed. However, due to globalization and 187 technological modernization, the society has been changing rapidly. We, therefore 188 investigated the relation between wealth quintile and the Metabolic Syndrome among 189 Nepalese adults. 190

	It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.
202	
203	
204	
205	
206	
207	
208	
209	
210	
211	
212	
213	
214	
215	
216	
217	
218	
219	
220	
221	
222	Results

## 223 Table 2. Socio-demographic Characteristics of Respondents

Socio-demographic characteristics of the participants are summarized in Table 2.Participants' age ranged from 15 to 69 years. Majority (37.33%) of the participants aged from 45-69 years. More than half (64.28%) of the participants were female. Almost (84.81%) participants were married and almost half of the participants (46.59%) reside in Hilly region. 29% had poorest quintile household assets. Almost half of the participants (49.93%) had none/primary level education. Majority of the participants (87.39%) were from rural areas.

231

## **Table 2. Socio-demographic characteristics of respondents.**

### It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

2	3	4
_	-	

Characteristics	Frequency (f)	Percentage (%)	
Age (in years)			
15-29	1,466	26.61	
30-44	2,039	36.41	
45-69	2,088	37.33	
Sex			
Women	3,595	64.28	
Men	1,998	35.72	
Level of education			
None/less than primary	2792	49.93	
Primary	1052	18.79	
Secondary	1088	19.46	
More than secondary	661	11.82	
Marital status			
Never married	487	9.7	
Currently Married	4283	84.90	
Divorced/separated/widow	280	5.4	
Household assets (in quintile)			
Poorest	1652	29.54	
Second	1063	19.01	
Third	948	16.95	
Fourth	881	15.75	
Richest	1049	18.76	
Geographical Region			
Mountain	661	11.8	
Hill	2.606	46.59	
Terai	2,326	41.58	
Residence	y		
Urban	705	12.61	
Rural	4888	87.39	

235

### Fig 2: Trends in the Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome between 2013 and 2019.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

- 237 The plot in the graph below "Fig 2" shows the decrease in the trend of Prevalence of
- 238 Metabolic Syndrome. The prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome is decreased to more than half
- which is 14.1% in 2013 to 6.69% in 2019.



Fig 2: Trend in the Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome between 2013 and 2019.

- 242
- 243
- 244

### 245 Table 3. Association between Metabolic Syndrome with the socio-

### 246 demographic variables.

Table 3 represents the bivariate analysis of Metabolic Syndrome and Socio-demographic variables. Metabolic syndrome was higher in participants aged 45-69 years (11.95%), in women (8.62%), who has none/less than primary education (8.81%), who are divorced/separated (13.6%), participants living in Terai (7.77%) and richest (11.78%) in quintile. Metabolic syndrome was significantly associated with Age, Sex, Education, Marital status, Geographical region and Household assets in quintile  $p \le 0.05$ .

253

### n=5051

Variables	Metabolic Syndrome			
	No	Yes	<i>p</i> -value	
	n (%)	n (%)		

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
) 70(6.58) 0.013 ) 65(4.63)
) 65(4.63)
· · · ·
) 36(4.69)
10(2.51) 0.0
376(7.51)
43(13.6)

1437 (96.52)	56 (3.47)	0.0002*
912 (95.44)	56 (4.55)	
782 (93.93)	73 (6.07)	
703 (92.37)	87 (7.62)	
789 (88.22)	156(11.78)	
585 (97.43)	18 (2.57)	0.003*
2173 (93.89)	172 (6.11)	
1865 (92.22)	238 (7.77)	
540(93.69)	77(6.31)	0.066
4083(93.27	351(6.73)	
	1437 (96.52)         912 (95.44)         782 (93.93)         703 (92.37)         789 (88.22)         585 (97.43)         2173 (93.89)         1865 (92.22)         540(93.69)         4083(93.27	1437 (96.52)       56 (3.47)         912 (95.44)       56 (4.55)         782 (93.93)       73 (6.07)         703 (92.37)       87 (7.62)         789 (88.22)       156(11.78)         585 (97.43)       18 (2.57)         2173 (93.89)       172 (6.11)         1865 (92.22)       238 (7.77)         540(93.69)       77(6.31)         4083(93.27)       351(6.73)

254	* <i>p</i> ≤0.05	It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .
255		
256		
257		
258		
259		
260		
261		
202		
203		
204		

265

# Table 4 Association of Metabolic Syndrome with behavioral characteristics

Table 4 showed no any significant association of Metabolic Syndrome with BehaviouralCharacteristics.

270

### n=5051

Behavioral Characteristics	No	Yes	<i>p</i> -Value		
<b>Tobacco Consumption</b>					
Yes	3234 (93.17)	318 (6.82)	0.92		
No	1389 (93.64)	110 (6.35)			
Alcohol consumption in last 30 days					
No	3670 (93.23)	347 (6.76)	0.7415		

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.						
Yes	953 (93	953 (93.6) 81 (6.39				
Fruits and vegetables consumption	Fruits and vegetables consumption					
>=5 servings	131 (93.7	77) 13 (6.2	0.0741			
< 5 servings	4471 (93.2	26) 415 (6.7	74)			
Physical activity						
Sufficient	4223 (93.48)	379 (6.52)				
Insufficient	316 (91.3)	39 (8.69)	0.8532			

271 \* *p*≤0.05
272
273

274

275

276

## Table 5. Multivariate analysis for association of Metabolic Syndrome with socio-demographic variable

There was a significant association between Age and Metabolic Syndrome (p<0.001). The 279 odds of having Metabolic Syndrome are 3.68 times higher among participants aged 30-44 280 years (p-value: <0.001, 95% CI: 1.94,6.99) and 5.79 times higher among participants aged 281 45-69 years (p-value: <0.001, 95% CI: 2.77, 10.41) compared to those participants who are 282 age of 15-29 years adjusting for sex, marital status, education, residence, geographical 283 regions, wealth index, current use of tobacco product, alcohol consumption in last 30 days, 284 fruits and vegetable consumption, physical activity per week. The cumulative risk for 285 Metabolic Syndrome increased with increase in age. 286

There was significant association between Sex and Metabolic Syndrome (p<0.001). The odds of having Metabolic Syndrome are 2.45 times significantly higher in women (95% CI: 1.57, 3.51) adjusting for age, residence, marital status, geographical region, wealth index,

### It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

current use of tobacco product, alcohol consumption in last 30 days, fruits and vegetable 290 consumption, adequate physical activity.

291

292 The higher odds of occurrence of Metabolic Syndrome was observed among rural dwellers

(AOR 1.48; 95% CI: 0.93-2.35) as compared to urban dwellers adjusting for age, residence, 293

marital status, geographical region, wealth index, current use of tobacco product, alcohol 294

consumption in last 30 days, fruits and vegetable consumption, adequate physical activity. 295

There is significant association between geographical region (Terai) (p-value: 0.02, 95%) 296 CI:1.06, 3.99) and Metabolic Syndrome. The odds of having Metabolic Syndrome is 2.06 297 298 times higher in those who live in the Terai region and 1.68 times higher in those who live in the mountainous region adjusting for age, residence, marital status, geographical region, 299 300 wealth index, current use of tobacco product, alcohol consumption in last 30 days, fruits and vegetable consumption, adequate physical activity. 301

The odds of developing Metabolic Syndrome is 0.84 times high in those who are currently 302 married and 1.21 times higher in those who are separated/divorced/widow, adjusting for age, 303 residence, marital status, geographical region, wealth index, current use of tobacco product, 304 305 alcohol consumption in last 30 days, fruits and vegetable consumption, adequate physical 306 activity.

307 The odds of having Metabolic Syndrome decrease with increase in education level. The odds of having Metabolic Syndrome is 1.0 times more who have primary education and decrease 308 odds with secondary education (AOR; 0.80) and higher secondary education (AOR; 0.79) 309 compared to those who have no or less than primary education adjusting for age, residence, 310 marital status, geographical region, wealth index, current use of tobacco product, alcohol 311 consumption in last 30 days, fruits and vegetable consumption, adequate physical activity. 312 The cumulative risk of metabolic syndrome decreased with increased level of education. 313

The odds of developing Metabolic Syndrome is 2.43 times high in those who have fourth 314 wealth index (p-value: 0.006, 95% CI: 1.29,4.60) and 4.12 times higher in those who have 315 highest wealth index (p-value: <0.001, 95% CI: 2.30,7.39) compared to those who have 316 317 poor wealth index adjusting for age, residence, marital status, geographical region, wealth index, current use of tobacco product, alcohol consumption in last 30 days, fruits and 318 vegetable consumption, adequate physical activity. 319

### It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

320 Age, female, education, wealth quintile Marital status and Terai residents were at increased 32isk of Metabolic Syndrome.

322			
323			
324			
325			
326			
327			
328			
329			
330			
331			

## **Table 5. Multivariate analysis for association between socio-demographic**

## 333 variables and Metabolic Syndrome

### 334

### n=5051

Characteristics	Odds ratio	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value
Age (in years)			
15-29	Ref		
30-44	3.68	1.94,6.99***	< 0.001
45-69	5.79	2.7710.41***	< 0.001
Sex			
Men	Ref		
Women	2.46	1.58 , 3.82***	< 0.000

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Residence			
Urban	Ref		
Rural	1.48	0.93,2.35	0.098
Marital status			
Never married	Ref		
Currently married	0.84	0.35,2.04	0.699
Divorced/separated	1.21	0.48,3.08	0.684
Education			
None/less than primary	Ref		
Primary	1	0.63 , 1.58	0.995
Secondary	0.8	0.48 , 1.33	0.379
More than secondary	0.79	0.38 , 1.64	0.518
Wealth Index			
Poorest	Ref		
Second	1.46	0.87 - 2.44	0.178
Middle	1.82	0.92 - 3.60	0.107
Fourth	2.66	1.42 - 4.99**	0.006
Richest	4.84	2.65 - 8.85***	0.000
Geographical Region			
Mountain	Ref		
Hills	1.69	0.88, 3.25	0.116
Terai	2.06	1.08, 3.95**	0.029

335 \* *p*<0.05; \*\* *p*<0.01; \*\*\* *p*<0.001

Adjusted for age, sex, residence, marital status, education, residence, wealth index,
 geographical regions current use of tobacco product, alcohol consumption in last 30 days,
 fruits and vegetable consumption, adequate physical activity.

339

340

341

342

244	It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .
544	
345	
346	
347	
348	
349	
350	
351	
352	
353	
354	
355	
356	

## **Table 6. Prevalence of components of Metabolic Syndrome**

Table 6 depicts the Prevalence of Components of Metabolic Syndrome. The overall 358 Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome was 6.6%. The Prevalence was significantly double 359 (8.62%) among women as compared to men (4.2%). The most prevalent component was 360 High Waist Circumference (63.6%) that was significantly high among women followed by 361 Elevated Blood Pressure (29.8.%) which was significantly high among men. Total 362 Cholesterol (10.8%) was observed in both Sex which was significantly high (13.9%) among 363 women. Among the components observed, Raised blood sugar was least prevalent (6.3%) in 364 365 both sex which was observed more (5.3%) among the women. Majority (93.4%) of respondents has less than 3 components and was significantly less among Men. 366

Metabolic Components	Women%	Men%	Both Sex%	p-value
----------------------	--------	------	-----------	---------

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.				
High waist hip ratio (n=5518)	70.2	56.3	63.6	0.000*
Elevated blood pressure (n=5506)	19.7	29.8	24.75	0.000*
Elevated Total blood cholesterol $(n=5342)$	13.9	7.7	10.8	0.000*
Raised blood sugar (n=5191)	5.3	4.2	6.3	0.282
Metabolic syndrome (n=5051)	8.62	4.57	6.6	0.001*
0 or < 3 components (n=5051)	51.21	48.79	93.4	0.0002*

367 \* *p*<0.05

368

369

## 370 Table 7. Clustering of components of Metabolic Syndrome

Clustering of Components of Metabolic Syndrome was observed among the respondents in 371 372 Table 8. A triad of blood pressure, blood sugar and Total Cholesterol (n=219) constitutes 3.59% in both sex and was common among women (54.85%) followed by triad of waist hip 373 ratio, blood pressure and blood sugar (n=185) that constitutes of 3% in both sex and was 374 significantly high among women. Triad of waist hip ratio, blood pressure and total 375 cholesterol (n=134), 1.94% was noticeable in both sex and showed significantly high among 376 women. A triad of waist hip, blood sugar and Total Cholesterol (n=97) was least dominant 377 i.e. 1.41% was seen in both sex and was significantly high among women. Clustering of all 378 four components (Waist hip Circumference +Elevated Blood Pressure Raised Blood 379 Sugar+Total Cholesterol) was seen among 1.09% of Respondents and was significantly high 380 381 among Women. Hence, clustering of Components of Metabolic Syndrome components was found to be high among women. 382

Clustering of Metabolic Components	Women%	Men%	p-value
Waist hip Ratio+ Blood pressure+ Total cholesterol (n=134)	89.49	10.51	0.001*
Waist hip Ratio+ Elevated Blood Pressure+ Elevated Blood sugar (n=185)	90.49	26.86	0.0067*
Waist hip Ratio + Elevated Blood Sugar + Total Cholesterol (n=97)	91.49	14.26	0.000*
Elevated Blood Pressure+ Elevated Blood Sugar + Total Cholesterol (n=219)	92.49	41.92	0.000*
Waist hip Ratio+ Elevated Blood Pressure+ Elevated Blood Sugar+ Total Cholesterol (n=66)	93.49	17.03	0.0016*

#### It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license

### 383 \*p<0.05

384

385

## 386 **Discussion**

The present study demonstrated that the overall prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome was 387 6.69% which shows decrease in trend from 12.1% in 2013 to 6.69% in 2019 (Figure 2). The 388 study is in contrast with the Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome among South Asian 389 390 countries (ATPIII 26.1% and IDF 29.8%) reported by a systematic review [23]. Similarly, the study is in line with the study that showed the prevalence of the Metabolic Syndrome was 391 lower in populations of Asian origin compared with what has previously been reported for 392 Caucasians[24] .The decrease in trend of Metabolic Syndrome in Nepal may be related to 393 decrease in the prevalence of Total Cholesterol in 2019. The prevalence of Raised Total 394 Cholesterol in STEPS Survey 2019 is guite low (11%) as compared with previous rounds of 395 the STEPS Survey in 2013 i. e. 22.7%; [18] and also may be due to differences in 396 measurement criteria (Table 1). Education status also play vital role in creating awareness 397 regarding health risk. 398

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Socio-demographic characteristics like Age, Sex, Education, Marital Status, Geographical
region and Household assets in quintile have statistical significant association with
Metabolic Syndrome which is consistent with the study in Nepal [25].

In the present study, Behavioural factors has no association with Metabolic Syndrome which was in line with the study conducted in USA where Lifestyle factors had no significant association with metabolic syndrome [26].

Higher Trend of Metabolic Syndrome was observed among women (8.62%) than on 405 men (4.57%) with the most prevalent three metabolic components in women (High Waist 406 407 Circumference: 70.2% of women, 5.3% of men, Elevated Total Cholesterol: 13.9% of women; 7.7% of men; Raised blood sugar 5.3% in women; 4.2% in men) (Table 7) was 408 409 found to be supported by study in India [27]. Conversily, the prevalence of MetS in China was higher among men than women and also the prevalence of the four metabolic 410 411 abnormalities included in the diagnosis of Metabolic Syndrome were also higher in men than in women [28]. Similar findings on the study at India, showed the pooled prevalence 412 of MS among adult women was more 35% (95%CI: 31%-38%); than men, the pooled 413 prevalence was 26% (95%CI: 22%-29%) [29]. These results support the existing 414 research findings that women experience physiological changes that make them 415 susceptible to metabolic syndrome with aging. Menopause, characterized by a decline in 416 circulating estrogen levels, may increase cardiovascular risk through its effects on 417 adiposity and lipid metabolism. The sex differences in Metabolic Syndrome may be 418 related with biological and behavioral factors [30]. The factors associated with NCDs 419 420 among female were due to long working hours, double work burden, and stress which keeps them busy with managing the household activities that reduces the time for 421 physical fitness [31]. The prevalence of physical inactivity was found to be doubled in 422 STEPS SURVEY 2019 and is found to be more in women than in men and is associated 423 with richest wealth quintile [32], unemployment and easy access to transportation 424 [33], lower social classes, as defined by education and occupational classification [34] 425 and low education among females [35]. 426

There was significant difference between Metabolic Syndrome with Age. The prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome rose progressively with age and is high enough at the forty to sixty decades of age. Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome was found to be more with increase in age which may be due to ageing process association with increased adiposity that eventually

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

leads to deposition of adipose fast and pathological hormonal deficiencies. The increasing
pro inflammatory factors with aging are disruptive to insulin receptor signaling in adipocytes
and are associated with development of diabetes and other metabolic diseases [36]. The agerelated adipose tissue dysfunction leads to the consequent systemic inflammation and
metabolic dysfunction [37].

There was significant difference between Metabolic Syndrome with education with a lower prevalence found in most educated respondents and high prevalence was noticed in the respondents who had less than primary and the prevalence was decreased with increment in educational status. The study was related with the study done in China which showed Lower education level was associated with higher risk of MetS [38]. This may be due to accessibility of awareness and concern among educated people on the health benefits and risk which help them to adopt health preventive and promotive measures [39].

Metabolic Syndrome was found to be significantly associated with Marital status. The odds of having Metabolic syndrome was high among divorced/ separated then that of ever married and currently married women which is similar with the study conducted in Korea among Korean married women where the married middle-aged group, the widowed middle-aged group tended to have a higher risk of Metabolic Syndrome, which is predicted to be related to socioeconomic factors, health behavior [40] and marital distress[41].Women in highquality marriages are at lower risk of developing the metabolic syndrome[42].

Metabolic Syndrome is significantly associated with the fourth and richest quintile. The odds of having Metabolic Syndrome was more than double in fourth quintile and more than four times in richest quintile as compared to the poorest quintile. Various studies suggests that sedentary habits, behavior problems related to health, low prevalence of physical activity, stress and eating habit are prominent with increased in assets[18].

Metabolic Syndrome was found to be ecologically associated. There was strong association of Metabolic Syndrome with geographical region. The study was in line with the study that showed the prevalence of multi-morbidity was more in low altitude [43]. Terai region geographically lies in low altitude where culture and traditions linked with food preparation and consumption, dietary habits, adoption of behavioral risk factor, climate and stress increases the risk of NCD [44]. In contrast Urbanization, specifically rurality and high-

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

461 altitude mainly  $\geq 2,500$  meters above sea level (m.a.s.l.), were factors independently

associated with lower predicted Cardiovascular risk [45].

The higher Prevalence of components of Metabolic Syndrome identified in the study was high Waist Circumference which was more among the Women. In contrary, the prevalence of MetS from nationally representative population addressed the most predominant component was low HDL cholesterol among female population [25].

- 467
- 468
- 469
- 470
- 471
- 472
- 473
- 474

### 475 **CONCLUSION:**

It was concluded that there was a decrease in Trend of Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome in Nepal. The prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome was considerably double in Women than in Men. Age, Sex, Education, Marital Status, wealth quintile and ecological regions are associated factors for Metabolic Syndrome. High Waist Circumference among women was the most prevalent component of Metabolic Syndrome.

481

### 482 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

483 Metabolic Syndrome increases the risk of Cardiovascular disease. To decrease the mortality 484 and morbidity associated with cardiovascular disease, screening on MetS could be helpful.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Cost effective measures should be taken to perform screening measures. Total cholesterol 485 486 solely can be utilized instead of HDL and LDL that can economize the screening for MetS. The recommendations for identifying high risk individuals are based on screening measures 487 of total cholesterol, although the recommendations for treatment are based on concentrations 488 489 of LDL cholesterol. Total cholesterol was recommended as the initial screening test for three 490 reasons: High levels of LDL cholesterol are associated with an increased risk of CHD; there is a high correlation between total cholesterol and LDL cholesterol; and testing for total 491 492 cholesterol is easier and less expensive than testing for LDL. The NCEP recommended that HDL cholesterol be measured in persons with high total cholesterol levels or in those with 493 borderline high levels and a high CHD risk profile [20]. Current health Plan could include 494 the screening of MetS to address burden of Cardiovascular disease in WHO PEN module 495 package to decrease the burden of cardiovascular related premature mortality and morbidity. 496 Marital status appears to be related to cardiovascular disease in among women, it is 497 important to provide lipid screening and educational programs to improve women's lifestyle 498 based on their marital status. High waist circumference among women highlights the 499 urgency of addressing abdominal obesity in health care priority. 500

501 **Conflict of Interest**: The authors declares for no any conflict of interest with this 502 study.

503

504

505 Acknowledgement: The author would like to acknowledge University Grant 506 Commission (UGC) to provide partial scholarship for conducting this study. The authors 507 would like to offer gratitude to Nepal Health Research council for providing raw data. 508 The author is grateful to University of Cyberjaya for providing necessary assistance for 509 the study.

510

## 511 Authors Contribution:

512 Conceptualization: Bhawana Bhandari, Suresh Mehata, Datin Mariyani Ahamad

	It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license
513	Niruzzudin.

- 514 Formal Analysis: Bihumgum Bista, Bhawana Bhandari
- 515 **Funding Support:** University Grant Commission
- 516 **Funding aquistition:** Bhawana Bhandari
- 517 **Investigation:** WHO STEPS Survey 2019
- 518 **Project administration:** Megnath Dhimal, Bihumgum Bista
- 519 Methodology: Bhawana Bhandari, Suresh Mehata, Bihumgum Bista
- 520 Software: Bihumgum Bista
- 521 Supervision: Suresh Mehata, Datin Mariyani Niruzzadin Ahmad.
- 522 Writing : Original draft: Bhawana Bhandari

523

524

## 525 **References:**

526	1. Lopez AD, Mathers CD. Measuring the global burden of disease and epidemiological
527	transitions: 2002–2030. Ann Trop Med Parasitol. 2006 Aug 1;100(5-6):481-499.
528	2. World Health Organization. Noncommunicable diseases [Internet]. Geneva: WHO; 2020
529	[cited 2020 Aug 19]. Available from: http://www.emro.who.int/noncommunicable-
530	diseases/causes/index.html
531	3. GBD 2015 Risk Factors Collaborators. Global, regional, and national comparative risk
532	assessment of 79 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or
533	clusters of risks, 1990–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease
534	Study 2015. Lancet. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-
535	sheets/detail/noncommunicable-diseases

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.11.14.24317337; this version posted November 15, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license . 536 4. Nepal Health Research Council, Ministry of Health and Population, Monitoring Evaluation and Operational Research. Nepal Burden of Disease 2017: A Country Report based on the Global 537 538 Burden of Disease 2017 Study. Kathmandu (Nepal): NHRC, MoHP, MEOR; 2019. Available from: https://nhrc.gov.np/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/NBoD-2017 NHRC-MoHP.pdf 539 5. Deepa M, Faroog S, Datta M, Deepa R, Mohan V. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome 540 using WHO. ATPIII and IDF definitions in Asian Indians: the Chennai Urban Rural 541 Epidemiology Study (CURES-34). Diabetes Metab Res Rev. 2007 Feb;23(2):127-34. 542 doi: 10.1002/dmrr.658. PMID: 16752431. 543 6. Alberti KGMM, Zimmet P. The metabolic syndrome-a new worldwide definition. Lancet. 544 545 2005;366(9491):1059-62. 546 547 7. Sullivan DR. Screening for cardiovascular disease with cholesterol. Clin Chim Acta. 2002;315(1-548 2):49-60. 8. Yu M, Xu CX, Zhu HH, Hu RY, Zhang J, Wang H, et al. Associations of cigarette 549 smoking and alcohol consumption with metabolic syndrome in a male Chinese 550 population: a cross-sectional study. J Epidemiol. 2014 Sep 5;24(5):361-9. 551 552 553 554 9. Mirmiran P, Noori N, Azizi F. A prospective study of determinants of the metabolic syndrome in 555 adults. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2008 Oct 1;18(8):567-73. 556 10. Alberti G. Department of Endocrinology and Metabolic Medicine, Mint Wing, St. Mary's Hospital, Praed Street, London W2 1NY, UK. Eur Heart J Suppl. 2005;7(Suppl D)-557 D5. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/sui021. 558 559 11. Vaidya A. Tackling cardiovascular health and disease in Nepal: epidemiology, strategies and implementation. Heart Asia. 2011;3:87-9. 560 12. Dhimal M, Bista B, Bhattarai S, Dixit L, Hyder M, Agrawal N, et al. Report of Non 561 Communicable Disease Risk Factors: STEPS Survey Nepal 2019. Kathmandu: Nepal 562 Health Research Council, 2020. 563

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.11.14.24317337; this version posted November 15, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license . 13. Sapkota BP, Baral KP, Rehfuess EA, Parhofer KG, Berger U. Effects of age on non-564 565 communicable disease risk factors among Nepalese adults. PLoS One. 2023 Jun 566 2;18(6):e0281028. 14. World Health Organization. The STEPS Instrument and Support Materials [Internet]. Geneva: 567 WHO; 2020 [cited 2020 Aug 14]. Available from: 568 569 https://www.who.int/ncds/surveillance/steps/instrument/en/ 570 15. World Health Organization. WHO STEPS surveillance manual. Geneva: WHO; 2018. 571 16. Barros AJ, Hirakata VN. Alternatives for logistic regression in cross-sectional studies: an 572 empirical comparison of models that directly estimate the prevalence ratio. BMC Med Res 573 Methodol. 2003;3(1):21. PMID: 14567763. 574 17. Park K. PARK'S TEXTBOOK OF PREVENTIVE AND SOCIAL MEDICINE. 26th ed. Jabalpur: M/s 575 Banarsidas Bhanot Publisher; 2021. p. 69-70. 18. Bista B, Dhimal M, Bhattarai S, Neupane TY, Xu YY, Pandey AR, et al. Prevalence of 576 non-communicable diseases risk factors and their determinants: Results from STEPS 577 survey 2019, Nepal. PLoS One. 2021;16(7). doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0253605. 578 579 19. Cornier MA, Dabelea D, Hernandez TL, Lindstrom RC, Steig AJ, Stob NR, et al. The metabolic syndrome. Endocr Rev. 2008 Dec 1;29(7):777-822. 580 581 20. Bush TL, Riedel D. Screening for total cholesterol. Do the National Cholesterol 582 Education Program's recommendations detect individuals at high risk of coronary heart 583 disease?. Circulation. 1991 Apr;83 (4):1287-93. 584 21. Gelskey DE, Young TK, MacDonald SM. Screening with total cholesterol: determining 585 sensitivity and specificity of the National Cholesterol Education Program's guidelines 586 from a population survey. Journal of clinical epidemiology. 1994 May 1;47(5):547-53. 587 22. Jung E, Kong SY, Ro YS, Ryu HH, Shin SD. Serum cholesterol levels and risk of 588 cardiovascular death: A systematic review and a dose-response meta-analysis of 589 590 prospective cohort studies. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19(14):8272. doi: 10.3390/ijerph19148272. 591

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

592 23. Aryal N, Wasti SP. The prevalence of metabolic syndrome in South Asia: a systematic review. Int

593 J Diabetes Dev Ctries. 2016;36:255–62. doi: 10.1007/s13410-015-0365-

- 594 5.https://doi.org/10.1007/s13410-015-0365-5
- 595 24. DECODA Study Group. Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in populations of Asian
  596 origin: Comparison of the IDF definition with the NCEP definition. Diabetes Research
  597 and Clinical Practice. 2007 Apr 1;76(1):57-67.
- 598 25. Mehata, S., Shrestha, N., Mehta, R., Bista, B., Pandey, A., & Mishra, S. (2018).
  599 Prevalence of the Metabolic Syndrome and its determinants among Nepalese adults:
  600 Findings from a nationally representative cross-sectional study. Scientific Reports,
  601 8(1).https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-33177-5
- 26. Bhanushali CJ, Kumar K, Wutoh AK, Karavatas S, Habib MJ, Daniel M, Lee E. 602 Association between lifestyle factors and metabolic syndrome among African 603 Americans in United States. Journal of nutrition and metabolism. 604 the 2013;2013(1):516475. 605
- 606
- Kaur J. Assessment and Screening of the Risk Factors in Metabolic Syndrome. *Medical Sciences 2014;* 2(3):140-152. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/medsci2030140</u>
- 609 28. Lan Y, Mai Z, Zhou S, Liu Y, Li S, Zhao Z, et al. (2018) Prevalence of metabolic
- 610 syndrome in China: An up-dated cross-sectional study. PLoS ONE 13(4): e0196012.
- 611 <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0196012</u>.
- 612 29. Krishnamoorthy Y, Rajaa S, Murali S, Rehman T, Sahoo J, et al. (2020) Prevalence of
  613 metabolic syndrome among adult population in India: A systematic review and meta614 analysis. PLOS ONE 15(10): e0240971. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240971</u>
  615
- 30. Yi Y, An J. Sex differences in risk factors for metabolic syndrome in the Korean
  population. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2020
  Dec;17(24):9513.
- 619 31. Idris, I. B., Azit, N. A., Ghani, S. R. A., Nor, S. F. S., & Nawi, A. M. (2021). A
- 620 systematic review on non-communicable diseases among working women. *Industrial*
- 621 *health*, *59*(3), 146-160.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

- 622 32. Central Bureau of Statistics. Nepal living standard survey 2010/11. Kathmandu:
- 623 National Planning Commission Secretariat, Government of Nepal, 2011
- 624 33. Aryal KK, Mehata S, Neupane S, Vaidya A, Dhimal M, Dhakal P, Rana S, Bhusal CL,
- Lohani GR, Paulin FH, Garg RM. The burden and determinants of non-communicable
- diseases risk factors in Nepal: findings from a nationwide STEPS survey. PloS one.
- 627 2015 Aug 5;10(8):e0134834.
- 628 34. Santos AC, Ebrahim S, Barros H. Gender, socio-economic status and metabolic
- syndrome in middle-aged and old adults. BMC Public Health. 2008 Dec;8:1-8.
- 630 35. Wamala SP, Lynch J, Horsten M, Mittleman MA, Schenck-Gustafsson K, Orth-Gomer
- 631 K. Education and the metabolic syndrome in women. Diabetes care. 1999 Dec
- **632** 1;22(12):1999-2003.
- 633 36. Gregor MF, Hotamisligil GS. Inflammatory mechanisms in obesity. Annual review of
  634 immunology. 2011 Apr 23;29(1):415-45
- 37. Yu P, Yuan R, Yang X, Qi Z. Adipose tissue, aging, and metabolism. Current Opinion in
  Endocrine and Metabolic Research. 2019 Mar 1;5:11-20.
- 38. Zhan Y, Yu J, Chen R, Gao J, Ding R, Fu Y, Zhang L, Hu D. Socioeconomic status and
  metabolic syndrome in the general population of China: a cross-sectional study. BMC
  public health. 2012 Dec;12:1-7.
- 39. Wang, Y., Chen, J., Wang, K. *et al.* Education as an important risk factor for the
  prevalence of hypertension and elevated blood pressure in Chinese men and women. *J Hum Hypertens* 20, 898–900 (2006). <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.jhh.1002086</u>
- 40. Jung YA, Kang LL, Kim HN, Park HK, Hwang HS, Park KY. Relationship between
  marital status and metabolic syndrome in Korean middle-aged women: the sixth Korea
  National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (2013–2014). Korean journal of
  family medicine. 2018 Sep;39(5):307.

medF preprint	Rxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.11.14.24317337; this version posted November 15, 2024. The copyright holder for this (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.
647	It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license. 41. Whisman MA, Uebelacker LA, Settles TD. Marital distress and the metabolic syndrome:
648	linking social functioning with physical health. Journal of family psychology. 2010
649	Jun;24(3):367.
650	42. Troxel WM, Matthews KA, Gallo LC, Kuller LH. Marital quality and occurrence of the
651	metabolic syndrome in women. Archives of internal medicine. 2005 May
652	9;165(9):1022-7.
653	43. Miranda JJ, Bernabe-Ortiz A, Gilman RH, et al. Multimorbidity at sea level and high-
654	altitude urban and rural settings: The CRONICAS Cohort Study. Journal of
655	Comorbidity. 2019;9. doi:10.1177/2235042X19875297
656	44. SEARO W. Expert meeting on population sodium reduction strategies for prevention and
657	control of NCDs in South East Asia region.
658	http://www.searo.who.int/entity/noncommunicable_diseases/documents/sea_ncd_88.p
659	df (2012). Accessed 14 Dec 2016.
660	45. Bernabe-Ortiz A, Carrillo-Larco RM. Urbanization, Altitude and Cardiovascular Risk.
661	Glob Heart. 2022 Jun 21;17(1):42. doi: 10.5334/gh.1130. PMID: 35837362; PMCID:
662	PMC9231580.

663



## Fig 1