It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

1

Open-source 3D Printable Forearm Crutch

- 2 Maryam Mottaghi 1, Morgan Woods 1, Laura Danier 2, Anita So 3, Jacob M. Reeves 3 and
- 3 Joshua M. Pearce 3,4*
- 4 1. Department of Mechanical and Materials Engineering, Western University, London, Canada
- 5 2. Faculty of Health Sciences, Western University, London, ON N6A 3K7, Canada
- 6 3. Thompson Centre for Engineering Leadership and Innovation, Western University, London,
- 7 ON N6G 0N1, Canada
- 8 4. Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering, Ivey Business School, Western University,
- 9 London, ON N6A 5B9, Canada
- 10 *corresponding: joshua.pearce@uwo.ca
- 11 mmottagh@uwo.ca, mwoods52@uwo.ca, ldanier@uwo.ca, jreeves5@uwo.ca, aso44@uwo.ca

12 Abstract

13 Although there has been considerable progress in distributed manufacturing of open-source

14 designs for mobility aids, there is a notable lack of affordable, open-source crutches. Crutches

15 are a vital tool for many individuals with mobility impairments, yet the high costs limit

- 16 accessibility. Even more, they are in short supply in regions undergoing conflict. The goal of this
- 17 study is to address this need by leveraging the principles of free and open-source hardware and
- 18 the capabilities of digital distributed manufacturing to create a low-cost, functional crutch that
- 19 can be easily produced and customized locally using inexpensive desktop 3D printers. All the
- 20 design files are open-source, and the design process incorporated load-bearing tests using a
- 21 hydraulic actuator under static loading conditions to meet the ISO 11334-1:2007 standard for
- 22 walking aids. The open-source forearm crutch developed in this study not only surpasses the
- requirements of the ISO method for load capacity $(1,516.3 \pm 169.9 \text{ N}, \text{ which is } 51.6\% \text{ percent}$
- above needs), weighs a fraction of comparable commercial systems (0.612 kg or 27%% of
- 25 proprietary devices), and is customizable, but also offers a highly cost-effective solution; costing
- 26 CAD \$36 in material, which is less than all equivalent crutches on the open market. If recycled
- 27 plastic is used, the material cost of the crutch could be further reduced to under CAD \$13,
- 28 making it much more accessible.

29 1. Introduction

- 30 Mobility-related disabilities currently impact over 10% of the adult population globally [1,2],
- 31 with projections only expected to rise dramatically as the population ages [3]. Conditions such as

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

- 32 arthritis, chronic back pain, and injuries from accidents contribute to the increased need for
- 33 mobility aids, particularly among older adults [3]. By 2060, nearly a quarter of the U.S.
- 34 population will be 65 years or older [4]. Addressing the related challenges expected to be faced
- 35 by those with mobility impairments requires attention. These challenges are not only physical but
- 36 also economic, as many individuals, especially in less developed regions or those living in
- 37 poverty, struggle to afford the adaptive mobility aids they require for daily living [5].
- 38 Commercial mobility aids, such as crutches, canes, walkers, and wheelchairs, are available on
- 39 the market, but acquiring them can be challenging for many individuals due to their high costs
- 40 [6]. For instance, proprietary forearm crutches can range in price from CAD\$36.66 to
- 41 CAD\$315.00 making them unaffordable for individuals on restricted incomes or without
- 42 extended health coverage (Table 1). Individuals with disabilities face a higher risk of
- 43 unemployment, which in turn increases their likelihood of living in poverty [7]. This economic
- 44 vulnerability makes it even more difficult for them to afford essential mobility aids [7].
- 45 Additionally, this lack of access to essential mobility aids not only makes individuals with
- 46 disabilities dependent on others but also increases social inequalities, as those without the
- 47 financial means are further impacted.
- 48

Table 1. List of price and source of commercial crutches.

Name of the Product	Price (CAD\$)	Source (Date accessed October 16, 2024)
Folding Crutch	\$36.66	https://www.amazon.ca/Disabled-Portable-
		Adjustable-Underarm-Telescopic/dp/B08J2JCDGB/
Forearm Crutches	\$47.70	https://www.walmart.com/ip/Medline-Walking-
		Forearm-Crutches-Lightweight-Aluminum-250lb-
		Weight-Capacity-1-Pair/22790105
PEPE - Crutches	\$59.99	https://www.amazon.ca/PEPE-Crutches-Forearm-
		Aluminum-Adjustable/dp/B07DPQ2S2F/
Forearm Crutches	\$99.99	https://www.amazon.ca/HEALTHBAZAAR-
		Crutches-Fashionable-Ergonomic-
		Handgrips/dp/B0CPHSWZB8/
ORTONYX Forearm	\$161.76	https://www.amazon.ca/ORTONYX-Adjustable-
Crutches with		Ergonomic-Comfortable-
Adjustable Support		Lightweight/dp/B07C1QXN12/
Ergonomic Forearm	\$315.00	https://www.amazon.ca/Ergobaum®-Ergoactives-
Crutches		Ergonomic-Forearm-Crutches/dp/B00LGZ43E2/

- 49 Digital distributed manufacturing offers a promising method for addressing these challenges [8–
- 50 10]. Additive manufacturing, which is facilitated by Computer Numerical Control (CNC) tools
- 51 like 3D printers, has the potential to drastically reduce the cost of consumer goods, including
- 52 adaptive mobility aids [11]. The transformation of 3D printing technology from a tool for

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

- 53 creating prototypes to a widely accessible method for producing usable goods has opened up new
- 54 possibilities for manufacturing affordable products locally [12,13]. This technology has been
- adopted by local businesses [11][14,15], chain stores [16], makerspaces [17–19], fablabs [20],
- and even public libraries[21–23], which makes production more accessible and allows for the
- 57 customization of products to fit individual needs [24].
- 58 The proliferation of free and open-source hardware (FOSH) has further expedited this revolution
- 59 [25]. The open-source movement, which began in software development, has evolved into a
- 60 powerful framework for hardware innovation [26]. The release of self-replicating rapid
- 61 prototyper (RepRap) designs allowed 3D printers to produce many of their own components,
- 62 which significantly reduced their costs [27–29]. Today, there are millions of 3D printable FOSH
- 63 designs available [30], which provide consumers with the opportunity to create customized
- 64 products at a fraction of the cost of commercial products [31].
- 65 Adaptive aids are particularly well-suited to benefit from the open-source model, because of the
- 66 advantages of customization and relatively high-markup on such products [32]. For example, a
- 67 low-cost open-source walker has already been developed [11]. This open-source walker is
- 68 constructed from readily available materials and 3D printed joints which together meet the
- 69 weight requirements for most users up to 187.1 ± 29.3 kg while reducing walker costs and mass
- 70 compared to commercial alternatives [11].
- 71 Despite the advances in open-source mobility aids, there remains a critical gap in the availability
- of affordable, open-source forearm crutches. Forearm crutches are a vital tool for many
- 73 individuals with mobility impairments, yet the high cost and lack of customization options in
- commercial products can be challenging. The development of an open-source crutch that is both
- affordable and customizable could transform the lives of countless individuals and offer them
- greater independence in mobility. This paper aims to address this need by leveraging the
 principles of FOSH and the capabilities of digital distributed manufacturing to create a low-cost.
- 77 principles of POSH and the capabilities of digital distributed manufacturing to create a low-cost, 78 forearm crutch that can be easily produced and customized locally. Specifically, low-cost open-
- 79 source desktop 3D printing is used to manufacture bespoke components. The design process
- 80 incorporated repetitive testing to ensure that the crutch could meet standardized load-bearing
- 81 requirements. For load-bearing tests, mechanical tests were performed using an MTS hydraulic
- 82 actuator with a capacity of 250 kN under static loading conditions. The crutch was subjected to
- 83 the static load capacity requirements outlined in the ISO 11334-1:2007 standard for walking aids.
- 84 This standard specifies that crutches must support a load of 1000 N \pm 2% which simulates a user
- 85 mass of 100 kg, without breaking or deforming for a specified duration of 10 seconds.
- 86 **2. Materials and Methods**
- 87 2.1. Crutch Design

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

- 88 Following the evaluation of various commercially available crutches, an initial design concept
- 89 for an open-source forearm crutch was designed in Onshape CAD software (Onshape 1.157,
- 90 Cambridge, MA, USA) [33] using 3D printed joints in combination with commercially available
- 91 hardwood dowels. Solid, cylindrical hardwood dowels were selected as the primary structural
- 92 components due to their sustainability, ease of availability in standard sizes across hardware
- 93 stores in North America, and compatibility with the 3D printed joints. Hardwood was chosen not
- 94 only for its renewable and biodegradable properties but also for its potential to be recycled and
- 95 composted. Furthermore, a study comparing the carbon footprint of wood and aluminum—a
- 96 common structural material for mobility aids—revealed that wood generates approximately one-
- 97 fourth of the carbon emissions compared with aluminum in the context of window frames [34]. It
- 98 is important to note that the strength of the crutch will vary depending on the specific type of
- 99 wood used, as hardwood can encompass a variety of types such as basswood, beech, maple, or
- 100 oak, and softwoods include types such as cedar, pine, or spruce [35]. For the present
- 101 investigation hardwood dowel was chosen, though the specific source is commercially
- 102 unspecified.

103 This open-source forearm crutch design incorporates several features inspired by existing

- 104 commercially available devices. Notably, the handle and cuff are integrated into a single 3D
- 105 printed part (Figure 1). In accordance with the ISO 11334-1:2007 standard, the handle is
- 106 ergonomically shaped to align with the handgrip support line to ensure that the user's hand and
- 107 wrist is in a comfortable position during use. The arm section length, located above the rear
- handgrip reference point, features a non-horizontal forearm support (cuff) that secures theforearm in position and prevents lateral movement. The arm section length (a), cuff internal
- 110 depth (x), width (y) (Figure 2a), and height (z) (Figure 2b) can be tailored to provide comfortable
- 111 support for the forearm (Figure 2c). The diameter of the cuff is configured as a variable in the
- 112 CAD file to allow for easy customization. Since wood is a renewable material that can be easily
- 113 recycled and composted, a standardized wooden dowel with a solid, circular cross-section and
- 114 diameter of 22.3 mm (7/8" standard hardwood dowel) was selected for the leg section [36]. The
- 115 dowels are available in standard sizes in North America and could be slotted directly into the
- 116 solid 3D printed forearm handle and cuff. To better facilitate the connection between the leg
- section and the 3D printed handle, a TPU washer (Figure 3a) was placed between the wooden
- 118 dowel and 3D printed components. This washer offered both shock absorption and tolerance
- between the potentially unparallel surfaces of the cut dowel surface and 3D print to ensure the
- 120 load was more evenly transferred through the dowel to the 3D printed handle. Similarly, a TPU
- 121 handle grip (Figure 3b) was modeled and 3D printed to slide onto the handle to further promote
- 122 user comfort.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .



124
125Figure 1. Dimensional measurements of assembled crutch. a) length of forearm 227 mm, l) length of leg
section 794 mm.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .



Figure 2. Forearm design a) top view, featuring (x) cuff depth and (y) cuff width; b) right view; c) isometric view.

129

126

127

128



130 131

Figure 3. Design of a) washer (TPU-85A), b) handle grip (TPU-85A).

132 The leg section, located below the rear handgrip reference point, ends with a tip designed to

133 ensure stable contact with the ground. The leg section length (l), as was the arm section length

134 (a), are adjustable and can be customized to accommodate users of various heights (Figure

- 135 1). The foot tip (Figure 4) is constructed from the assembly of a foot cushion (Figure 4a), foot
- 136 base (Figure 4b), foot living joint (Figure 4c), and ankle body (Figure 4d). The assembly of the

- 137 foot tip is shown in Figure 4e. All 3D printed connections are secured to the wooden dowel using
- 138 #6 x 5/8" flat head wood screws to ensure stability.



139

Figure 4. Design of a) foot cushion (TPU-85A), b) foot base (PETG), c) foot living joint (TPU-85A), d) ankle body (PETG), and e) assembled foot tip.

142 2.2. Overview of 3D Printing and Wood Dowel Part Sizing

143 All 3D printed components were produced using Polymaker PETG filament [37], or Ninja Flex

144 TPU 85A [38], with printing performed on open-source RepRap-class 3D printers, either the

145 LulzBot TAZ Workhorse [39], or Prusa i3 Mk3S (Prusa, Prague, Czech Republic) [40]. Each of

146 which was equipped with a 0.6 mm nozzle. An index of the 3D printed parts, including their

147 names and quantities, are detailed in Table 2. The slicing parameters used for both PETG and

- 148 TPU are outlined in Table 3.
- 149

Table 2. Bill of materials for 3D printed components

Name	Number of Parts
One-piece forearm	1
Handle Grip	1
Washer	2
Foot cushion	1
Foot	1
Foot living joint	1
Ankle body	1

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

1	50
---	----

Table 3. Slicing parameters for PETG and TPU 85A filaments.

Slicing Parameter	PETG Value	TPU 85A Value
Layer Height	0.6 mm	0.15
Wall Count	4	2
Infill Density	99%	30%
Infill Pattern	Gyroid	Gyroid
Printing Temperature	230 °C	238 °C
Bed Temperature	85 °C	50 °C

- 151 To address the complex geometries of the parts, each component was strategically oriented on
- 152 the print bed to reduce alignment with potential fracture planes and to decrease filament usage by
- 153 reducing the need for support structures. Support structures are necessary for some overhangs, as
- 154 illustrated in Figure 5.



155

- Figure 5. Orientation of the 3D printed one-piece forearm (blue) on the print bed with support locations (green)
- 158 The height of the forearm crutch is indicated from the handgrip to the floor, with an adjustable
- range of 740 to 890 mm [41]. To ensure the crutch design targeted the worst-case loading
- 160 scenario with a higher chance of buckling and more severe failure, the wooden dowel was cut to
- 161 794 mm, which resulted in a distance from the handgrip to the floor of 890 mm. This ensured the

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

162 wrist location matches the tallest crutch specifications. Once the tallest case was validated, the

- 163 height could be further customized based on the length between the user's hand and the ground
- 164 with certainty of loading capability.
- 165 2.3. Mechanical Testing

166 To ensure the crutch can safely withstand regular use, compressive mechanical testing was

167 conducted to determine the load required to cause failure. The methods used were adapted from

168 the "Static loading" criteria outlined in Section 5.6 of ISO 11334-1:2007. According to this 169 standard, crutches must support a vertical load of 1000 N \pm 2% without breaking or deforming

170 under a user mass of 100 kg for a specified duration of 10 seconds. To provide additional

171 insights into the failure mode of the design and observe any potential weak points, each crutch

172 was subsequently loaded to its breaking point after the 1000 N static loading criteria was

173 achieved.

174 To simulate the real-world usage of the crutch under static loading conditions, an open-source

175 test jig was developed based on the Section 5.6 of ISO 11334-1:2007 as well as previous

176 investigations [42,43]. The testing jig included a simulated forearm, 3D printed simulated elbow,

177 the testing jig body which interfaced with the hydraulic press, and a 3D printed simulated hand 178 as shown in Figure 6. These components were fabricated and assembled to mimic anatomical

179 structure and movement, and to adhere to the appropriate measurement relationships outlined in

180 ISO 11334-1:2007. The loading force was applied through the testing jig body where it was

181 transferred through the back of the forearm cuff and into the handle through the elbow and wrist

182 joints respectively. These swivel joints and contact points aimed to replicate the user's natural

183 posture. The swiveling joint that transferred the load to the simulated elbow was positioned just

184 below the cuff, while the simulated forearm rested along the cuff support line and was hinged to

185 the simulated hand. The hand was clamped to the handgrip only at the far end of the handle grip

186 to ensure no restraint or reinforcement could affect the test. The crutch remained free to flex,

187 rotate, and pivot in all directions to simulate realistic motion. The swiveling joint allowed a

188 minimum of 15° of pivot in all directions, while the forearm-hand hinge provided free forward

and backward movement, as well as a minimum of 4° of lateral motion.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .



190 191

Figure 6: Mechanical testing jig and forearm crutch mounted in the hydraulic press.

192 Five prototype crutches were tested, and the results were recorded using an MTS hydraulic

actuator with a capacity of 250 kN and a stroke length of 150 mm which was capable of

194 operating in both force-controlled and displacement-controlled modes. Force-displacement

195 curves were generated and analyzed to determine the maximum load the crutch could endure

196 before failure. Failure was defined as the point at which the force began to decrease with

197 continued displacement, which indicates the fracturing of either the wooden dowel or the 3D

198 printed components. The stiffness of each crutch was also calculated in Newtons per millimeter

199 (N/mm) by fitting a trendline to the linear region of the force-displacement curves.

200 The crutch was secured in the universal testing machine, and a vertical force was applied at a 201 controlled rate of 50 mm/min. This rate was selected to ensure the 1000N threshold was achieved 202 in approximately 30 seconds as the standard called for a rate that facilitated 1000N after a 203 minimum of 2 seconds. Throughout testing, no slipping occurred between the foot tip and the 204 ground or between the simulated elbow and testing jig body. The load point was positioned to 205 mimic the force exerted by a user pressing down on the crutch with their hand, in accordance 206 with ISO 11334-1:2007, which specified the requirements and testing methods for walking aids 207 used by individuals with mobility impairments. To improve accuracy, the testing machine was 208 customized with a 3D printed elbow adapter, designed to simulate the user's elbow. The jig was 209 used to adjust the height of the tester and ensure precise alignment between the machine head

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

- and the elbow adapter during testing. The load was gradually increased at 50 mm/min until
- 211 reaching 1000 N, at which point it was held constant for 10 seconds, before it proceeded at the
- same rate to failure. This process was repeated across all five of the crutch samples that were
- 213 fabricated.

214 **3.** Results and Discussion

- All components of the crutch were successfully 3D printed, and support materials were removed
- 216 using needle nose pliers where applicable. The single piece 3D printed forearm crutch is shown
- 217 in Figure 7 and the 3D printed foot components are shown in Figure 8 with the supports
- 218 removed. The fully assembled crutch is depicted in Figure 9a and the crutch is shown in use in
- Figure 9b and Figure 9c.





Figure 7. 3D printed Forearm (PETG) a) front view, b) top view, c) side view.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .



222

223 224

Figure 8. 3D printed a) Handle Grip, b) Foot cushion, c) Foot, d) Foot living joint, e) Foot body, f) Washer, and g) Assembled Foot tip





Figure 9. a) Assembled crutch, b and c) Two crutches in use

227

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

- 228 The load-displacement results of the five crutches are plotted in Figure 10. All crutches not only
- achieved the 1000N load prescribed by ISO 11334-1:2007 for the required duration of 10s, they
- proceeded to fail at loads more than 39% higher than the specified limit. The maximum
- compressive loads recorded for the five crutches tested were 1,852.8 N, 1,419.3 N, 1,459.6 N,
- 232 1,396.1 N, and 1,453.8 N, with an average of $1,516.32 \pm 169.9$ N. The failure loads were
- associated with vertical displacements that ranged from 29.5 mm to 37.9 mm, which result in an
- average displacement of 32.5 ± 3.2 mm. To determine the mass that the crutches can support, the
- compressive failure loads were converted to equivalent mass values using Newton's second law:
- 236 F=m·g

(1)

- 237 where F is the force (N), m is the mass (kg), and g is the acceleration due to gravity (9.81 m/s²).
- The corresponding mass values were calculated as 188.9 kg (416.4 lbs), 144.7 kg (319 lbs), 148.8 kg (320 lbs), 142.3 kg (313.7 lbs), and 148.2 kg (326.7 lbs), respectively, with an average
- 240 of 154.6 ± 17.3 kg $(340.8 \pm 38.1$ lbs). It is important to note that ISO 11334-1:2007 also suggests
- additional consideration for dynamic loading conditions, such as walking or climbing stairs.
- Forearm crutches are generally used two at a time with both in contact with the ground
- simultaneously, therefore the mass of a person using them can be divided by two. To ensure
- safety under these dynamic conditions, however, a safety factor of 2 is recommended. These two
- values cancel. Thus, using a safety factor of 2, the body weights the crutches can safely
- accommodate for dynamic use ranges from 142.3 kg (313.7 lbs) to 188.9 kg (416.4 lbs), with an
- average of $154.6 \pm 17.3 \text{ kg} (340.8 \pm 38.1 \text{ lbs})$. It should be noted that this is conservative because
- it is unusual for a user's full bodyweight to be placed on the crutches. Typically, users will
- support some of their bodyweight with their legs as well though this will vary depending on the
- 250 individual's capabilities. The results also show that the maximum load capacity exceeded the test
- threshold of 1,000 N, which confirms that the crutches are designed to withstand significantly
- 252 greater loads than typically expected during normal use or by the average user. This indicates
- that the crutches not only meet, but also surpass the required safety standards for effective
- 254 performance according to these criteria. The videos of the tests are included in the Open Science
- 255 Framework repository for the project [44].
- 256

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .





258

Figure 10. Compressive load vs displacement for the one-piece forearm crutch.

259 When assessing the failure modes for each of the crutches, it was observed that four crutches

failed at the dowel dure to bending (Test 1, Test 2, Test 4, and Test 5), while the 3D printed

261 parts remained intact. The remaining study (Test 3, the orange filament) failed at the dowel-

crutch attachment point. This failure point could indicate a point where wall thickness could be

263 increased in future crutch designs to improve strength; particularly if stronger woods can be used

for the dowel component. These failure modes are summarized below in Figure 11.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .



- 265
- 266

Figure 11. failure points for each of the 2 types of failure.

- Table 4 summarizes the results of the 3D printed parts including mass, cost and manufacturing
- time. These results indicate that the most substantial time and material demand for this
- 269 fabrication process is on the one-piece forearm component which takes approximately 25.4 hours
- to fabricate.
- 271

Table 4. 3D printed parts weight, price, and time breakdown.

PETG Parts *	Quantity	Weight/Part	Total	Cost	Time
		(g)	Weight (g)	(CAD)	
One-piece	1	424	424	10.26	1 d 1 h 26 min
forearm					
Ankle body	1	33	33	0.79	2 h 25 min
Foot	1	24	24	0.58	2 h 24 min
Total of PETG		481	481	15.03	
TPU Parts **					
Handle Grip	1	60	60	1.45	6 h 43 min
Washer	1	1	1	0.02	00 h 06 min

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Foot cushion	1	32	32	0.77	2 h 49 min
Foot living joint	1	33	33	0.79	2 h 57 min
Total of TPU		126	126	8.36	
Total of PETG		607	607	23.40	
and TPU					
*Cost is calculated based on a 1 kg Polymaker PETG Filament spool priced at CAD \$27.99					
CAD + HST. ** Cost is calculated based on a 0.5 kg NinjaFlex TPU 85A Filament spool					
priced at CAD \$52.77+ HST.					

- 272 The costs of the open-source crutch are summarized in Table 5. The total material cost of the
- open-source crutch is CAD \$35.38. The 3D printed plastic represents approximately 66% of thetotal cost.
- 274 1018
- 275

Table 5. Cost calculation of the open-source crutch.

Material	Weight	Cost	Source
	(g)	(CAD)	
Screws	4.8	3	https://www.amazon.ca/Button-Socket-
			Stainless-Machine-
			IMSCREWS/dp/B08RZ3HTCV
22.4 mm (7/8in)	0.18	8.98	https://www.homedepot.ca/product/alexandria-
Wood Dowel			moulding-hardwood-dowel-7-8-in-x-48-in-
			brown/1000115273
Commercial PETG	457	14.45	https://ca.polymaker.com/products/polylite-petg
Commercial TPU	150	8.94	https://ninjatek.com/shop/ninjaflex/
Total	611.98	35.38	

- 276 The open-source crutch offers significant advantages in terms of load capacity, materials, weight,
- 277 cost, and customization. During the mechanical test, the open-source crutch demonstrated an
- 278 average capacity per crutch of $1,516.3 \pm 169.9$ N or 154.6 ± 17.3 kg (340.8 ± 38.1 lbs), which
- exceeds the ISO 11334-1:2007 standard of 1,000 N or 101.9 kg (224.65 lbs), and commercial
- 280 peers with the reported capacity of 113.4 kg (250 lbs) [45]. This means the open source crutches
- are capable of safely supporting users with body weights higher than the average weight of
- 282 Canadian men (86.4 kg) and women (72.1 kg) with a safety factor of 1.78 and 2.17, respectively
- 283 [46]. It should be noted that since each crutch is supporting half of the total weight, the safety
- 284 factor effectively doubles when two crutches are utilized. Therefore, the effective safety factor
- becomes 3.56 for men and 4.34 for women. This strength makes the open-source crutch suitable
- for a broad range of users. A plot of the single crutch capacity relative to the weight distributions
- 287 of Canadian men and women is provided for consideration in Figure 12.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .



288



291 Most commercial crutches are typically made of aluminum and plastic. Although these materials

provide durability, they also result in heavier products. For example, a similar commercial
forearm crutch made of aluminum and plastic weighs approximately 2.27 kg [47]. In contrast,

the open-source crutch weighs just 0.612 kg, which offers a weight reduction of approximately

295 and open-source cruten weight just 0.012 kg, which offers a weight reduction of approximately
 295 73%, which promotes less labour-intensive use for long durations and promotes ease of crutch
 206 and an an approximately

transport.

Using 3D printed parts in the open-source crutch provides additional benefits, such as flexibility,
weight reduction, and the ability to customize the crutch to the user's specific needs. This is in

299 direct contrast with commercial crutches, which are generally mass-produced with limited

300 options for personalization. Additionally, the use of TPU thermoplastic feet and handle covers

301 improves shock absorption, which offers comfort during extended periods of use.

302 From an economic perspective, the open-source crutch is highly cost-effective, with a production

303 cost of just CAD \$35.38, which is lower than the commercial alternatives that offer similar

304 performance (see Table 1; it is less expensive than forearm crutches, but not cheap underarm

305 crutches). One way to decrease the cost of the crutch below that of all commercial alternatives is

- 306 to use distributed recycling and additive manufacturing (DRAM) [48,49]. In this model, local
- 307 waste plastic could be sourced individually [50] or at a community scale [51] and converted into
- 308 3D printing feedstocks [52]. DRAM manufacturing can reduce the cost of 3D printing materials
- to USD\$0.025/kg [53]. As of now, the amount of 3D printing materials used is 607 g which
- results in a cost of approximately CAD \$23.40 with commercial filament (USD \$16.85, based on
- 311 an exchange rate of 1 CAD = 0.72 USD). With the implementation of DRAM manufacturing,
- the material cost would be USD\$0.015 (CAD\$0.021). This reduction would correspond to an
- 313 overall decrease in the cost of the crutch equivalent to approximately CAD\$12.98. To effectively
- 314 use these materials, further testing would be needed to ensure the mechanical properties could be

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

- maintained with recycled plastic despite the mechanical recycling process reducing materialstrength.
- 317 Despite the benefits of the one-piece forearm crutch, it does have some limitations. One of the
- 318 main drawbacks is the high amount of plastic material required for 3D printing. While 3D
- 319 printing allows for customization and design flexibility, the large volume of this forearm crutch
- 320 and high infill demands a lot of material, which increases production time. Although, 3D printing
- has an excellent ecological balance sheet, the greater the materials used, the greater the
- environmental impact [54,55]. Additionally, the forearm piece is quite large, which makes it
- 323 challenging to print on smaller lower-cost desktop printers. As it is, a printer with a print bed of
- 324 300mm x 300mm was used to print the largest component. Another limitation is the lack of on-
- 325 the-go height adjustability. While the dowel can be sized to fit the user, the design does not allow
- 326 the users to easily modify the height while using it without replacing the dowel. It should also be
- 327 noted that in general, as seen in the results, the wood was the weakest component. In one case,
- 328 however, using a different color of filament caused the 3D printed component to fail first. It is
- 329 well established in the literature that different colors of filaments have different strengths [56].
- 330 This variance, however, still demonstrated large variations in safety factors within the design.
- 331 There are several areas of future work. First, the amount of printing could be reduced by making
- the one-piece forearm out of two pieces. This would involve printing the handlebar and cuff as
- 333 separate components, which could then be connected by a secondary wooden dowel. By doing
- 334 so, the amount of plastic used would be reduced, the parts would be small enough to fit on a
- 335 wider range of 3D printers (e.g. very small desktop printers), and the overall print cycle time
- would be reduced.
- Another future direction could involve fabricating the entire crutch as a single 3D printed piece.
- Although this would require more filament and specialized 3D printers, it would allow for
- 339 greater customization to meet the specific needs of users. Additionally, the development of a
- 340 crutch with an adjustable height is suggested. This would enable height changes during use,
- 341 making the crutch suitable for multiple users and would allow crutch manufacturers to stock pre-
- 342 assembled crutches that allow for minor variations to suit users at the time of purchase.

343 Conclusions

- 344 In conclusion, the open-source forearm crutch developed in this study not only surpasses
- 345 commercially available alternatives in terms of load capacity, weight, and customization, but
- also offers a highly cost-effective solution. With an average load capacity of $1,516.3 \pm 169.9$ N,
- 347 a lightweight design (0.612 kg), and a total production cost of only CAD\$ 35.31 using virgin
- 348 plastic, it is accessible to a broad range of individuals. This is particularly important for people
- 349 with disabilities, who often face higher unemployment rates and financial challenges.
- Additionally, 3D printing technology allows for distributed production, customization and
- and enhanced comfort. While the crutch has proven effective, future improvements could address
- 352 limitations such as the high plastic usage, print time and the fixed height of the design.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

353 4. Acknowledgements

- 354 This work was supported by the Thompson Innovation Fund and the Frugal Biomedical
- 355 Program.

5. Data availability

- 357 All data and designs are available: https://osf.io/4b89v/
- 358 OSHWA Certification UID is: CA000058
- 359 6. References

360 1 CDC. Disability Impacts All of Us Infographic | CDC. In: Centers for Disease Control and 361 Prevention [Internet]. 3 Jul 2024 [cited 9 Aug 2024]. Available: 362 https://www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/disabilityandhealth/infographic-disability-impacts-all.html 363 Iezzoni L. When Walking Fails: Mobility Problems of Adults with Chronic Conditions. 2. 364 University of California Press; 2003. 365 3. Iezzoni LI, McCarthy EP, Davis RB, Siebens H. Mobility difficulties are not only a 366 problem of old age. J Gen Intern Med. 2001;16: 235-243. doi:10.1046/j.1525-367 1497.2001.016004235.x 368 4. Bureau UC. The U.S. Joins Other Countries With Large Aging Populations. In: Census.gov 369 [Internet]. [cited 9 Aug 2024]. Available: 370 https://www.census.gov/library/stories/2018/03/graving-america.html 371 Cubanski J, Orgera K, Damico A, Published TN. How Many Seniors Are Living in 5. 372 Poverty? National and State Estimates Under the Official and Supplemental Poverty 373 Measures in 2016 - Issue Brief - 9166. In: KFF [Internet]. 2 Mar 2018 [cited 9 Aug 2024]. 374 Available: https://www.kff.org/report-section/how-many-seniors-are-living-in-poverty-375 national-and-state-estimates-under-the-official-and-supplemental-poverty-measures-in-376 2016-data-note/ 377 World's Poorest Nations Need International Support, Experts Tell Preparatory Committee, 6. 378 as E-Commerce, Global Market Access Take Centre Stage | Meetings Coverage and Press 379 Releases. [cited 9 Aug 2024]. Available: https://press.un.org/en/2021/dev3440.doc.htm 380 7. Where is it hardest to live in Europe with a disability? In: europews [Internet]. 3 Apr 2024 381 [cited 9 Aug 2024]. Available: https://www.euronews.com/health/2024/04/02/where-is-it-382 hardest-to-live-in-europe-as-a-person-with-a-disability 383 8. Rundle G. A Revolution in the Making. Simon and Schuster; 2014. 384 9. Gershenfeld N. How to Make Almost Anything. 91. 385 10. The third industrial revolution. The Economist. Available: 386 https://www.economist.com/leaders/2012/04/21/the-third-industrial-revolution. Accessed 9 387 Aug 2024. 388 11. So A, Reeves JM, Pearce JM. Open-Source Designs for Distributed Manufacturing of Low-389 Cost Customized Walkers. Inventions. 2023;8: 79. doi:10.3390/inventions8030079 390 12. Anderson P, Sherman CA. A discussion of new business models for 3D printing. Int J 391 Technol Mark. 2007;2: 280–294. doi:10.1504/IJTMKT.2007.015205 392 13. Gwamuri J, Wittbrodt BT, Anzalone NC, Gwamuri J, Wittbrodt BT, Anzalone NC, et al. 393 Reversing the Trend of Large Scale and Centralization in Manufacturing: The Case of 394 Distributed Manufacturing of Customizable 3-D-Printable Self-Adjustable Glasses. 2014 395 [cited 9 Aug 2024]. doi:10.12924/cis2014.02010030

- 396
 14. Gwamuri J, Wittbrodt BT, Anzalone NC, Pearce JM. Reversing the Trend of Large Scale and Centralization in Manufacturing: The Case of Distributed Manufacturing of Customizable 3-D-Printable Self-Adjustable Glasses. Rochester, NY; 2014. Available: https://papers.ssrn.com/abstract=3330068
- Laplume A, Anzalone GC, Pearce JM. Open-source, self-replicating 3-D printer factory for
 small-business manufacturing. Int J Adv Manuf Technol. 2016;85: 633–642.
 doi:10.1007/s00170-015-7970-9
- 403 16. Bogle A. Can UPS Help Make 3-D Printing Mainstream? Slate. 2 Aug 2013. Available: 404 https://slate.com/technology/2013/08/ups-plans-to-test-3-d-printing-services-in-u-s-405 stores.html. Accessed 9 Aug 2024.
- 406
 407
 407
 408
 408
 409
 409
 409
 401
 401
 402
 403
 404
 404
 405
 405
 406
 407
 407
 408
 408
 409
 409
 409
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
 400
- 410
 18. Seo-Zindy R, Heeks R. Researching the Emergence of 3D Printing, Makerspaces,
 411
 412
 413
 413
 414
 415
 415
 416
 416
 417
 418
 418
 419
 419
 410
 410
 410
 411
 411
 412
 413
 413
 414
 414
 415
 415
 416
 416
 417
 418
 418
 418
 419
 419
 410
 410
 410
 411
 411
 412
 413
 412
 413
 414
 414
 415
 415
 416
 417
 418
 418
 418
 419
 419
 419
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 411
 411
 412
 412
 413
 413
 414
 415
 414
 415
 415
 415
 416
 417
 418
 418
 419
 419
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 410
 <
- 414 19. Beltagui A, Sesis A, Stylos N. A bricolage perspective on democratising innovation: The case of 3D printing in makerspaces. Technol Forecast Soc Change. 2021;163: 120453.
 416 doi:10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120453
- 417 20. Byard DJ, Woern AL, Oakley RB, Fiedler MJ, Snabes SL, Pearce JM. Green fab lab
 418 applications of large-area waste polymer-based additive manufacturing. Addit Manuf.
 419 2019;27: 515–525. doi:10.1016/j.addma.2019.03.006
- 420 21. Pryor S. Implementing a 3D Printing Service in an Academic Library. J Libr Adm.
 421 2014;54: 1–10. doi:10.1080/01930826.2014.893110
- 422 22. Michele Moorefield-Lang H. Makers in the library: case studies of 3D printers and maker
 423 spaces in library settings. Libr Hi Tech. 2014;32: 583–593. doi:10.1108/LHT-06-2014424 0056
- 425 23. Moorefield-Lang H. Change in the Making: Makerspaces and the Ever-Changing
 426 Landscape of Libraries. TechTrends. 2015;59: 107–112. doi:10.1007/s11528-015-0860-z
- 427 24. Polymers for 3D Printing and Customized Additive Manufacturing | Chemical Reviews.
 428 [cited 9 Aug 2024]. Available: https://pubs.acs.org/doi/full/10.1021/acs.chemrev.7b00074
- 429 25. Oberloier S, Pearce JM. General Design Procedure for Free and Open-Source Hardware for
 430 Scientific Equipment. Designs. 2018;2: 2. doi:10.3390/designs2010002

- 431 26. Weber S. The Success of Open Source. Harvard University Press; 2005.
 432 doi:10.4159/9780674044999
- 433 27. Bowyer A. 3D Printing and Humanity's First Imperfect Replicator. 3D Print Addit Manuf.
 434 2014;1: 4–5. doi:10.1089/3dp.2013.0003
- 435
 435
 436
 436
 436
 436
 437
 438
 438
 438
 439
 439
 439
 439
 430
 430
 430
 430
 431
 432
 433
 434
 435
 435
 436
 437
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 439
 439
 439
 430
 430
 430
 430
 430
 431
 432
 433
 434
 435
 435
 436
 437
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
 438
- 439 29. Jones R, Haufe P, Sells E, Iravani P, Olliver V, Palmer C, et al. RepRap the replicating rapid prototyper. Robotica. 2011;29: 177–191. doi:10.1017/S026357471000069X
- Wittbrodt BT, Glover AG, Laureto J, Anzalone GC, Oppliger D, Irwin JL, et al. Life-cycle
 economic analysis of distributed manufacturing with open-source 3-D printers.
 Mechatronics. 2013;23: 713–726. doi:10.1016/j.mechatronics.2013.06.002
- 444 31. Technologies | Free Full-Text | Emergence of Home Manufacturing in the Developed
 445 World: Return on Investment for Open-Source 3-D Printers. [cited 9 Aug 2024]. Available: 446 https://www.mdpi.com/2227-7080/5/1/7
- 447 32. Petersen EE, Pearce J. Emergence of Home Manufacturing in the Developed World: Return 448 on Investment for Open-Source 3-D Printers. Technologies. 2017;5: 7. 449 doi:10.3390/technologies5010007
- 450 33. Business O a PTC. Onshape | Product Development Platform. [cited 9 Aug 2024].
 451 Available: https://www.onshape.com/en/
- 452 34. Sinha A, Kutnar A. Carbon Footprint versus Performance of Aluminum, Plastic, and Wood
 453 Window Frames from Cradle to Gate. Buildings. 2012;2: 542–553.
 454 doi:10.3390/buildings2040542
- 455 35. Hardwood vs Softwood Difference and Comparison | Diffen. [cited 9 Aug 2024].
 456 Available: https://www.diffen.com/difference/Hardwood_vs_Softwood
- 457 36. Alexandria Moulding Hardwood Dowel 7/8 In. x 48 In. Brown. In: The Home Depot
 458 Canada [Internet]. [cited 23 Oct 2024]. Available: 459 https://www.homedepot.ca/product/alexandria-moulding-hardwood-dowel-7-8-in-x-48-inbrown/1000115273
- 461 37. PolyLiteTM PETG. In: Polymaker US [Internet]. [cited 9 Aug 2024]. Available: 462 https://us.polymaker.com/products/polylite-petg
- 463 38. NinjaFlex 3D Printer Filament (85A) NinjaTek. [cited 9 Aug 2024]. Available:
 464 https://ninjatek.com/shop/ninjaflex/

465 466 467	39.	LulzBot TAZ Workhorse+ 3D Printer. In: LulzBot [Internet]. [cited 9 Aug 2024]. Available: https://lulzbot.com/store/lulzbot-3d-printer-taz-workhorse-boxed-for-retail-na- kt-pr0064na?ref=10734
468 469 470	40.	Original Prusa i3 MK3S+ Original Prusa 3D printers directly from Josef Prusa. In: Prusa3D by Josef Prusa [Internet]. [cited 9 Aug 2024]. Available: https://www.prusa3d.com/category/original-prusa-i3-mk3s/
471 472	41.	Crutches. In: Physiopedia [Internet]. 2024 [cited 25 Oct 2024]. Available: https://www.physio-pedia.com/Crutches
473 474 475	42.	BME_Stair_Assist_Bench_PreliminaryReport.pdf. Available: https://bmedesign.engr.wisc.edu/projects/f23/stair_assist_bench/file/view/d40f36b7-b947- 4ecf-a8b1-25bafc5e5c21/BME_Stair_Assist_Bench_PreliminaryReport.pdf
476 477 478	43.	BME_Step_by_Step_Final_Report.pdf. Available: https://bmedesign.engr.wisc.edu/projects/f23/stair_assist_bench/file/view/1b995ced-f94c- 4b4e-996f-46f22fee0e77/BME_Step_by_Step_Final_Report.pdf
479 480	44.	Pearce JM, Reeves J, So A, Woods M, Mottaghi M, Cert O. Open source crutch. 2024 [cited 21 Oct 2024]. Available: https://osf.io/4b89v/
481 482 483 484	45.	Medline Walking Forearm Crutches, Lightweight Aluminum, 250lb Weight Capacity, 1 Pair. In: Walmart.com [Internet]. [cited 8 Oct 2024]. Available: https://www.walmart.com/ip/Medline-Walking-Forearm-Crutches-Lightweight-Aluminum- 250lb-Weight-Capacity-1-Pair/22790105
485 486 487 488	46.	Table 1 Mean height, weight, body mass index (BMI) and prevalence of obesity, by collection method and sex, household population aged 18 to 79, Canada, 2008, 2007 to 2009, and 2005. [cited 8 Oct 2024]. Available: https://www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/pub/82-003-x/2011003/article/11533/tbl/tbl1-eng.htm
489 490 491 492 493	47.	HEALTHBAZAAR Forearm Crutches for Adults, Fashionable Elbow Crutches with Ergonomic Handgrips - Stepless Adjustable Height - All Terrain Tip & Slip-Resistant Base - Magnetic Grip : Amazon.ca: Health & Personal Care. [cited 8 Oct 2024]. Available: https://www.amazon.ca/HEALTHBAZAAR-Crutches-Fashionable-Ergonomic- Handgrips/dp/B0CPHSWZB8/
494 495 496	48.	Zhong S, Pearce JM. Tightening the loop on the circular economy: Coupled distributed recycling and manufacturing with recyclebot and RepRap 3-D printing. Resour Conserv Recycl. 2018;128: 48–58. doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2017.09.023
497 498 499 500	49.	Dertinger SC, Gallup N, Tanikella NG, Grasso M, Vahid S, Foot PJS, et al. Technical pathways for distributed recycling of polymer composites for distributed manufacturing: Windshield wiper blades. Resour Conserv Recycl. 2020;157: 104810. doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.104810

501	50.	Baechler C, DeVuono M, Pearce JM. Distributed recycling of waste polymer into RepRap
502		feedstock. Rapid Prototyp J. 2013;19: 118–125. doi:10.1108/13552541311302978

- 503 51. Santander P, Cruz Sanchez FA, Boudaoud H, Camargo M. Closed loop supply chain network for local and distributed plastic recycling for 3D printing: a MILP-based optimization approach. Resour Conserv Recycl. 2020;154: 104531. doi:10.1016/j.resconrec.2019.104531
- 507 52. Cruz Sanchez FA, Boudaoud H, Camargo M, Pearce JM. Plastic recycling in additive
 508 manufacturing: A systematic literature review and opportunities for the circular economy. J
 509 Clean Prod. 2020;264: 121602. doi:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121602
- 510 53. Woern AL, McCaslin JR, Pringle AM, Pearce JM. RepRapable Recyclebot: Open source 3511 D printable extruder for converting plastic to 3-D printing filament. HardwareX. 2018;4:
 e00026. doi:10.1016/j.ohx.2018.e00026
- 513 54. Kreiger M, Pearce JM. Environmental Life Cycle Analysis of Distributed Three514 Dimensional Printing and Conventional Manufacturing of Polymer Products. ACS Sustain
 515 Chem Eng. 2013;1: 1511–1519. doi:10.1021/sc400093k
- 516 55. Kreiger M, Pearce JM. Environmental Impacts of Distributed Manufacturing from 3-D
 517 Printing of Polymer Components and Products. MRS Online Proc Libr OPL. 2013;1492:
 518 85–90. doi:10.1557/opl.2013.319
- 519 56. Wittbrodt B, Pearce JM. The effects of PLA color on material properties of 3-D printed 520 components. Addit Manuf. 2015;8: 110–116. doi:10.1016/j.addma.2015.09.006

521