It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

1	Effectiveness of physical th	nerapy for locomotive syndrome: Study protocol for a systematic
2		review and meta-analysis
3		
4	Chadapa Rungruangbaiyok ^{1,4}	² †, Hiroyuki Ohtsuka ^{3,4} †*, Charupa Lektip ^{1,2} , Jiraphat Nawarat ^{1,2} , Eiji
5	Miyake ^{3,4} , Keiichiro Aoki ^{3,5} ,	Yasuko Inaba ^{3,4} , Yoshinori Kagaya ^{3,4}
6		
7	¹ Department of Physical T	herapy, School of Allied Health Sciences, Walailak University,
8	Thailand	
9	² Movement Sciences and Ex	ercise Research Center, Walailak University, Thailand
10	³ Graduate School of Health S	Sciences, Showa University
11	⁴ Division of Physical Th	erapy, Department of Rehabilitation, School of Nursing and
12	Rehabilitation Sciences, Sho	wa University, Japan
13	⁵ Division of Occupational	Therapy, Department of Rehabilitation, School of Nursing and
14	Rehabilitation Sciences, Sho	wa University, Japan
15		
16	[Email address]	
17	Chadapa Rungruangbaiyok	chadapa.bn@wu.ac.th
18	Hiroyuki Ohtsuka	ohtsuka@nr.showa-u.ac.jp
19	Charupa Lektip	charupa.le@wu.ac.th
20	Jiraphat Nawarat	nsuparoe@gmail.com
21	Eiji Miyake	e.miyake@nr.showa-u.ac.jp
22	Keiichiro Aoki	k.a-0525@cmed.showa-u.ac.jp
23	Yasuko Inaba	inaba@nr.showa-u.ac.jp

- 24 Yoshinori Kagaya kagaya@nr.showa-u.ac.jp
- 25
- 26 * Corresponding author: Hiroyuki Ohtsuka
- 27 Graduate School of Health Sciences, Showa University, Yokohama, Kanagawa, Japan, Tel: 81-
- 28 45-985-6500, Fax: +81-45- 985-6557
- 29 Email: ohtsuka@nr.showa-u.ac.jp
- 30
- [†]These authors contributed equally to this work.
- 32
- 33 Short title: Physical therapy for locomotive syndrome: Study protocol

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

35 Abstract

Locomotive syndrome, characterized by impaired mobility due to musculoskeletal 36 disorders, poses a significant public health challenge, especially in the aging population. 37 Locomotive syndrome limits physical activity, increases fall risk, leads to dependency, and 38 39 diminishes quality of life. Effective interventions are urgently required. This systematic review and meta-analysis aimed to evaluate the effectiveness of physical therapy in improving the 40 symptoms of locomotive syndrome. A systematic evaluation of its effectiveness compared with 41 42 other interventions is crucial for informing clinical practice and policy decisions. This systematic review and meta-analysis follows the PRISMA-P guidelines. Studies involving individuals 43 diagnosed with locomotive syndrome, without restrictions on age, sex, or location, were included. 44 The interventions that are reviewed encompass exercise programs, manual therapy, neuromuscular 45 stimulation, and balance training, which are be compared with no intervention or alternative 46 therapies. The primary outcomes include improvements in functional mobility and physical 47 performance, a reduction in symptoms, and the progression of locomotive syndrome. Secondary 48 outcomes include adherence to therapy, safety, quality of life, patient satisfaction, and 49 50 psychological well-being. Randomized controlled and non-randomized controlled trials published in English were searched from PubMed, CENTRAL, CINAHL, PEDro, Ichushi Web, and the 51 52 Thai-Journal Citation Index Center. Independent reviewers performed data extraction and assess 53 the risk of bias. A meta-analyses was conducted using RevMan 5.4 software, with subgroup analyses to address heterogeneity. The Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development 54 55 and Evaluation (GRADE) approach was used to evaluate the certainty of evidence. This review

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

aims to provide robust evidence on the effectiveness of physical therapy in managing locomotive
syndrome and to guide clinical practice and healthcare policy decisions.

58

59 Introduction

Locomotive syndrome (LS), a condition characterized by impaired mobility due to musculoskeletal disorders, has become a significant public health concern, particularly in the aging population [1–4]. This syndrome, which encompasses a range of conditions, such as osteoarthritis, sarcopenia, and osteoporosis, adversely affects the quality of life by limiting physical activity and increasing the risk of falls and dependency [1,2,5,6].

The increasing prevalence of LS, particularly in aging populations, underscores the urgent need for effective interventions to mitigate its impact [1,2,5,7,8]. In Japan, where the concept of LS was first introduced, the aging population was particularly affected. The prevalence of LS among older adults is rising, with a corresponding increase in the risk of falls and fractures, which further exacerbates the associated disability and healthcare costs [1,2,4,5,7-10]. Other countries with aging populations are experiencing similar challenges; this trend is also not unique to Japan [11–13].

Given these trends, there is an urgent need for effective interventions to prevent or slow the progression of LS. Physical therapy interventions, through a combination of strength training, balance exercises, gait training, pain management, and flexibility exercises, provide a comprehensive approach to managing LS. The robust evidence from several studies underscores the effectiveness of physical therapy in preventing or slowing the progression of this condition,

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

thereby enhancing the quality of life and reducing healthcare costs associated with disability and
dependency [10,14–24]. However, to ensure the optimal allocation of healthcare resources and the
best outcomes for patients, it is critical to systematically evaluate the effectiveness of physical
therapy compared with other available interventions.

Although physical therapy is commonly prescribed to address the symptoms of LS [2-81 4,18,19], its relative effectiveness compared to other treatments, such as pharmacological 82 83 approaches, surgical options, or alternative therapies, remains a subject of ongoing debate [9,18,20,27-30]. Although LS encompasses distinct conditions such as osteoarthritis, osteoporosis, 84 and sarcopenia, which affect various organ systems, these conditions share common 85 86 pathophysiological mechanisms that lead to impaired mobility and increased fall risk. Therefore, grouping these conditions together allows for a comprehensive evaluation of interventions aimed 87 at improving overall locomotor function. This systematic review and meta-analysis aim to evaluate 88 89 the effectiveness of physical therapy interventions in improving functional mobility and overall health-related quality of life in individuals with LS. We hypothesize that physical therapy is more 90 effective than other treatment modalities (e.g., pharmacological, surgical, or alternative therapies) 91 in improving functional mobility and health-related quality of life in individuals with LS. The 92 clinical relevance of physical therapy interventions will be assessed by measuring changes in 93 94 functional mobility and health-related quality of life that meet or exceed established minimal clinically important differences (MCIDs), indicating a meaningful impact on patient function and 95 daily activities. To account for potential biases related to age, sex, and frailty, subgroup analyses 96 97 will be performed. These analyses will help determine whether different demographic or clinical characteristics influence the effectiveness of physical therapy in managing LS. 98

99 Methods

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

100 This systematic review was conducted and reported in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Protocols (PRISMA-P) statement 101 [30]. This protocol has been registered with the International Prospective Register of Systematic 102 Reviews (PROSPERO) database under the registration number (CRD42024515983). Adherence 103 to the PRISMA-P guidelines ensures that our review process is methodologically rigorous and 104 105 transparent, thus providing a reliable foundation for synthesizing existing research (Supplemental Appendix 1). This study has not yet started. Data collection will begin following the acceptance 106 of this protocol, using the developed search strategy. The data collection process is expected to be 107 108 completed within six months from the start.

109

110 **Participants/population**

111 This systematic review includes individuals diagnosed with LS [1–4], without restrictions 112 on age, sex, or geographical location. Individuals not diagnosed with LS or those with other 113 diagnoses were excluded from the review. This approach ensures a focused and comprehensive 114 analysis of the population affected by LS.

115

116 Interventions/exposures

117 This systematic review examines the various physical therapy interventions in patients with 118 LS. Interventions include exercise programs, manual therapy, neuromuscular stimulation, and 119 balance training. Each of these interventions was analyzed for their effectiveness in managing and 120 improving the symptoms and overall function of individuals diagnosed with LS.

121

122 **Comparators/controls**

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

123	The comparators do not include interventions or other interventions (active controls). This
124	approach allows us to assess the relative effectiveness of various physical therapy interventions
125	for LS by comparing them with both a lack of intervention and alternative therapeutic strategies.
126	
127	Main outcomes
128	The primary outcomes of this systematic review includes the following:
129	• Improvement in Functional Mobility: This was assessed through measures of enhanced
130	mobility, such as walking speed, gait analysis, and the ability to perform daily activities.
131	• Physical Performance Measures: Objective assessments, such as balance, strength, and
132	endurance tests, were used to evaluate physical performance.
133	• Reduction in Symptoms: This includes a decrease in pain levels, stiffness, and other
134	symptoms commonly associated with LS.
135	• Progression of LS: Any changes in the progression or severity of LS over time were also
136	tracked and analyzed.
137	
138	Additional outcomes
139	The secondary outcomes of this systematic review includes the following:
140	• Adherence to Therapy: The extent to which patients adhere to their prescribed physical
141	therapy regimens was evaluated.
142	• Safety and Adverse Events: Documentation and analysis of any adverse events or safety
143	concerns associated with the physical therapy interventions was included.
144	• Quality of Life: Assessment of overall well-being and quality of life improvements as
145	perceived by the patients was conducted.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

- Patient Satisfaction: Patient-reported satisfaction with the physical therapy treatment and
 its outcomes were considered.
- Psychological Well-being: The impact of physical therapy on the psychological and
 emotional well-being of patients was examined.
- 150

151 Eligibility criteria

This systematic review includes randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and non-RCTs published in English with full-text availability. Review articles, conference abstracts, and letters to the editor were excluded. These criteria ensured that the review focuses on high-quality peerreviewed studies that provide comprehensive data for rigorous analysis and synthesis while excluding sources that typically lack detailed data.

157

158 Information sources and search strategy

159 The following databases will be used for the search: PubMed, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), CINAHL, PEDro, Scopus, Ichushi Web (in Japanese), and Thai 160 Journal Citation Index Center (in Thailand). The search will be limited to studies published in 161 English, Japanese, and Thai focusing on human subjects. Abstracts and conference proceedings 162 will be also included, and the authors will be contacted for additional details, if necessary. This 163 164 comprehensive search strategy ensures a thorough and inclusive collection of relevant studies for the systematic review. The primary search terms will be defined as: 'locomotive syndrome,' 165 'rehabilitation,' 'physical therapy,' 'exercise,' 'electrical stimulation,' and 'clinical trial.' A 166 167 preliminary version of the search strategy for the relevant databases will be included in the supplementary material (Supplemental Appendix 2). 168

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

169

Data extraction (selection and coding)

This review will be conducted following the PRISMA guidelines. Searches will be performed by two independent reviewers (HO and CR) using widely recognized databases. The search terms included a combination of MeSH (Medical Subject Headings) terms from these databases and free-text search terms agreed upon by all authors. Rayyan was used to manage the studies across different databases.

Two independent reviewers (HO, CR, CL, JN, EM, YI, and YK) will assess the titles and abstracts of the studies to determine their eligibility based on the inclusion criteria. Studies that could not be conclusively evaluated based on the title and abstract alone were further appraised by reviewing the full text. In cases of disagreement between the two reviewers, a third reviewer will be available for discussion to resolve the issue.

For data extraction, two independent reviewers (HO and CR) gathered detailed information on the study design and methodology, demographic and baseline characteristics of the participants, sample size, and measures of effect. Any discrepancies in judgment between the reviewers was resolved through discussion with a third reviewer. Any missing data in the articles will be requested from the study authors as necessary. The data extraction process will be meticulously documented and organized using a standard Microsoft Excel spreadsheet.

187

188 **Risk of bias assessment**

The Risk of bias in the RCTs will be assessed using the Cochrane Risk of Bias tool (RoB
2.0). Two independent reviewers (HO and CR) will critically assess all the included studies. The
evaluation includes the following items.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

• Bias arising from the randomization process. 192 193 • Bias due to deviations from the intended intervention. • Bias due to missing outcome data. 194 Bias in the measurement of outcome. 195 • • Bias in the selection of the reported result. 196 • Overall effect. 197 For each item, each study will be evaluated as having a low, uncertain, or high risk of bias. 198 199 Any discrepancies between the reviewers were discussed and resolved by a third reviewer, if 200 necessary. The risk of bias in non-RCTs will be assessed using the Risk of Bias Assessment Tool for 201 202 Nonrandomized Studies (RoBANS). Two independent reviewers (HO and CR) will critically assess all the included studies. The evaluation includes the following items. 203 • Selection of participants. 204 • Confounding variables. 205 • Measurement of exposure. 206 Blinding of the outcome assessment. 207 • Incomplete outcome data. 208 • Selective outcome reporting. 209 • For each item, each study will be evaluated as having a low, unclear, or high risk of bias. 210 Any discrepancies between the reviewers were be discussed and resolved by a third reviewer, if 211 necessary. 212 213

Data synthesis

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

If numerous RCTs consistently corroborate their findings, a meta-analysis will be 215 conducted. For this process, RevMan 5.4 software will be employed. For the analysis of continuous 216 data, weighted mean differences (MD), including means and standard deviations, will be utilized. 217 The standardized mean difference (SMD) will be applied to coalesce multiple measurements of 218 identical outcome variables. To derive aggregate estimates, a random-effects model will be 219 adopted, accompanied by forest plots to graphically represent the findings. The I² test will be used 220 to assess heterogeneity; a value surpassing 50% in the I² test indicates substantial heterogeneity, 221 necessitating the execution of a subgroup analysis. Consequently, a comprehensive table 222 223 summarizing the results will be compiled in alignment with the reported findings.

224

225 Subgroup analysis

When multiple trials are present within each subgroup, the analyses will be stratified based on participant demographics, including sex (male/female), nature of the intervention, and type of control group (either no intervention or active control). Furthermore, subgroup analyses will be conducted in cases with a significant degree of heterogeneity. These analyses may help identify variations in treatment effects across different participant characteristics and intervention types, providing a more nuanced understanding of the data.

232

233 Assessment of certainty of evidence

The certainty of evidence will be evaluated using the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development, and Evaluations (GRADE) approach. This systematic method will be used to assess the quality of evidence across the domains of risk of bias, consistency of effects, imprecision, indirectness, and publication bias.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Each outcome will be rated as high, moderate, low, or very low. The initial rating for RCTs 238 will be high; however, it might be downgraded based on the aforementioned domains. Conversely, 239 the rating for observational studies started as low but was upgraded if the evidence showed a large 240 effect, a dose-response gradient, or if all plausible biases reduced an apparent treatment effect. 241 Two independent reviewers (HO and CR) will be conducted the GRADE assessment, and 242 243 any discrepancies will be resolved through discussion or consultation with a third reviewer. The results of the GRADE assessment will be summarized in a table that provided a clear and 244 transparent evaluation of the certainty of the evidence for each outcome. 245

246

247 Patient and public involvement

There will be no patient or public involvement in the design, conduct, reporting, or dissemination of this systematic review.

250

251 Ethical considerations

No ethical approval will be required for this systematic review, as it involved the analysis of data from previously published studies and did not involve direct contact with patients or the collection of primary data.

255

256 **Discussion**

257 Several studies have explored the benefits of physical therapy for managing 258 musculoskeletal conditions, thereby providing a foundation for our research. For instance, the 259 meta-analysis by Liu and Latham (2009) highlighted the effectiveness of progressive resistance

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

260 strength training in improving physical function in older adults [17]. Sherrington et al. (2011) conducted a meta-analysis demonstrating the benefits of exercise in preventing falls among older 261 adults [18]. Furthermore, Fransen et al. (2015) reviewed the impact of exercise on knee 262 osteoarthritis and found significant improvements in pain and physical function [19]. Despite these 263 264 findings, the relative effectiveness of various physical therapy interventions, specifically for LS, 265 remains unclear. Our research aimed to fill this gap by systematically evaluating and synthesizing existing evidence and providing a comprehensive assessment of the impact of physical therapy on 266 this condition. 267

268 Our systematic review and meta-analysis has several strengths that enhanced the reliability and applicability of our findings. First, we used a rigorous and transparent methodology adhering 269 to the PRISMA-P guidelines, which ensured a systematic and unbiased approach to data collection, 270 271 extraction, and analysis. The inclusion of both RCTs and non-RCTs allowed for a comprehensive evaluation of the evidence. Second, our extensive search strategy that included multiple databases 272 and languages (English, Japanese, and Thai), ensured a thorough and inclusive collection of 273 274 relevant studies, thereby minimizing the risk of publication bias. Third, we employed robust statistical methods, such as random-effects models and subgroup analyses, to account for 275 heterogeneity and provide a nuanced understanding of treatment effects across different 276 populations and intervention types. Additionally, the use of Cochrane's risk-of-bias tool and the 277 GRADE approach to assessed the certainty of evidence that further strengthened the credibility of 278 279 our findings.

Several practical and operational challenges were anticipated in conducting this study. Dealing with the heterogeneity of the included studies, such as variations in study design, intervention types, and outcome measures, should be carefully considered. This was addressed

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

through subgroup analyses and the use of random-effect models to account for variability. Efficient
data extraction and management are critical when employing standardized forms and software,
such as Rayyan, for study screening. Assessing the risk of bias and ensuring accurate data
extraction required multiple reviewers and a rigorous validation process.

When interpreting the results, it is important to distinguish between statistically significant 287 differences and clinically meaningful outcomes. Even if a result is statistically significant, it does 288 289 not necessarily translate into a clinically relevant improvement. Therefore, we used minimal clinically important differences (MCIDs) as a benchmark to determine whether the observed 290 changes in functional mobility and quality of life were clinically significant. This ensures that the 291 292 results not only demonstrate statistical validity but also have practical implications for patient care. For example, a small, statistically significant improvement in gait speed might not meet the 293 threshold for MCID, meaning that while the intervention had an effect, it may not be sufficient to 294 295 make a meaningful difference in a patient's daily life. This approach allows clinicians to base 296 treatment decisions on changes that are both statistically supported and clinically relevant, 297 ensuring that the interventions offer real-world benefits to patients.

Adherence to physical therapy is another significant factor that can influence patient outcomes. We evaluated the adherence rates and explored strategies to improve adherence, considering their feasibility and impact on study outcomes. In addition, understanding the longterm sustainability of physical therapies is crucial. We included follow-up studies to assess the duration of the observed effects and provide a comprehensive understanding of the long-term effectiveness of physical therapy.

304 Conclusion

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

This systematic review and meta-analysis aimed to provide compelling evidence supporting the effectiveness of physical therapy for managing LS. By addressing the practical and operational challenges and employing a rigorous and comprehensive methodology, our research contributed to the optimization of physical therapy interventions for this condition. These findings may guide clinical practice, healthcare providers in making evidence-based decisions, and ultimately enhance the quality of life of individuals with LS.

311

312 Acknowledgments

We thank Ms. Tomoko Morimasa and Ms. Asae Ito, (librarian, Showa University) for their advice on creating the search strategy. Finally, we would like to thank Editage (www.editage.com) for the English language editing.

316

317 Funding

This study was supported by School of Nursing and Rehabilitation Sciences Showa
University Research Fund (Grant Numbers 2024No.4: H.O.).

320

321 Competing interests

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

322 The authors declare no competing interests.

323

324 Author Contributions

- 325 Conceptualization: Chadapa Rungruangbaiyok, Hiroyuki Ohtsuka, and Yoshinori Kagaya
- 326 Data curation: Chadapa Rungruangbaiyok and Hiroyuki Ohtsuka
- 327 Formal analysis: Chadapa Rungruangbaiyok and Hiroyuki Ohtsuka
- 328 Funding Acquisition: Chadapa Rungruangbaiyok, Hiroyuki Ohtsuka, Eiji Miyake, Keiichiro
- 329 Aoki, Yasuko Inaba, and Yoshinori Kagaya
- 330 Investigation: Chadapa Rungruangbaiyok and Hiroyuki Ohtsuka
- 331 Methodology: Hiroyuki Ohtsuka
- 332 **Project administration**: Chadapa Rungruangbaiyok, Hiroyuki Ohtsuka, and Yoshinori Kagaya
- 333 **Resources:** Hiroyuki Ohtsuka
- 334 Software: Chadapa Rungruangbaiyok and Hiroyuki Ohtsuka
- 335 Supervision: Chadapa Rungruangbaiyok, Hiroyuki Ohtsuka, Charupa Lektip, Jiraphat Nawarat,
- 336 Eiji Miyake, Keiichiro Aoki, Yasuko Inaba, and Yoshinori Kagaya

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

- 337 Validation: Chadapa Rungruangbaiyok, Hiroyuki Ohtsuka, Charupa Lektip, Jiraphat Nawarat,
- 338 Eiji Miyake, Keiichiro Aoki, Yasuko Inaba, and Yoshinori Kagaya.
- 339 Visualization: Chadapa Rungruangbaiyok, Hiroyuki Ohtsuka, Charupa Lektip, Jiraphat
- 340 Nawarat, Eiji Miyake, Keiichiro Aoki, Yasuko Inaba, and Yoshinori Kagaya
- 341 Writing original draft: Chadapa Rungruangbaiyok and Hiroyuki Ohtsuka
- 342 Writing review & editing: Chadapa Rungruangbaiyok, Hiroyuki Ohtsuka, Charupa Lektip,
- 343 Jiraphat Nawarat, Eiji Miyake, Keiichiro Aoki, Yasuko Inaba, and Yoshinori Kagaya

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

345 **References**

346	1.	Nakamura K. A "super-aged" society and the "locomotive syndrome". J Orthop Sci.
347		2008;13: 1-2. doi: 10.1007/s00776-007-1202-6.
348		
349	2.	Seichi A, Hoshino Y, Doi T, Akai M, Tobimatsu Y, Iwaya T. Development of a screening
350		tool for risk of locomotive syndrome in the elderly: The 25-question Geriatric Locomotive
351		Function Scale. J Orthop Sci. 2012;17: 163-172. doi: 10.1007/s00776-011-0193-5.
352		
353	3.	Yoshimura N, Muraki S, Oka H, Tanaka S, Ogata T, Kawaguchi H, Akune T, Nakamura
354		K. Association between new indices in the locomotive syndrome risk test and decline in
355		mobility: Third survey of the ROAD study. J Orthop Sci. 2015;20:896-905. doi:
356		10.1007/s00776-015-0741-5.
357		
358	4.	Kataoka H, Miyatake N, Ichikawa H, Arakawa Y, Mori Y. Relationship of locomotive
359		syndrome with health-related quality of life among patients with obstructive sleep apnea
360		syndrome. J Phys Ther Sci. 2017;29:1129-1133. doi: 10.1589/jpts.29.1129.
361		
362	5.	Muraki S, Akune T, Oka H, En-Yo Y, Yoshida M, Saika A, Suzuki T, Yoshida H, Ishibashi
363		H, Tokimura F, Yamamoto S, Nakamura K, Kawaguchi H, Yoshimura N. Impact of knee
364		and low back pain on health-related quality of life in Japanese women: The Research on
365		Osteoarthritis Against Disability (ROAD). Mod Rheumatol. 2010;20:444-451. doi:
366		10.1007/s10165-010-0307-5.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

368	6.	Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Baeyens JP, Bauer JM, Boirie Y, Cederholm T, Landi F, et al. Sarcopenia:
369		European consensus on definition and diagnosis. Age Ageing. 2010;39: 412-423. doi:
370		10.1093/ageing/afq034.
371		
372	7.	Ishibashi H. Locomotive syndrome in Japan. Osteoporos Sarcopenia. 2018;4(3):86-94. doi:
373		10.1016/j.afos.2018.09.004.
374		
375	8.	Taniguchi M, Ikezoe T, Tsuboyama T, Tabara Y, Matsuda F, Ichihashi N. Prevalence and
376		physical characteristics of locomotive syndrome stages as classified by the new 2020
377		criteria in older Japanese people: Results from the Nagahama study. BMC Geriatr.
378		2021;21:1-9. doi: 10.1186/s12877-021-02440-2.
379		
380	9.	Muraki S, Akune T, Oka H, En-Yo Y, Yoshida M, Nakamura K, Kawaguchi H, Yoshimura
381		N. Prevalence of falls and association with knee osteoarthritis, lumbar spondylosis, and
382		knee and lower back pain in Japanese men and women. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken).
383		2011;63(10):1425-1431. doi: 10.1002/acr.20562.
384		
385	10.	Nakamura K. The concept and treatment of locomotive syndrome: Its acceptance and
386		spread in Japan. J Orthop Sci. 2011;16: 489-491. doi: 10.1007/s00776-011-0108-5.
387		
388	11.	Cieza A, Causey K, Kamenov K, Hanson SW, Chatterji S, Vos T. Global estimates of the
389		need for rehabilitation based on the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019: A systematic
390		analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. Lancet. 2021;396(10267):2006-

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

391 2017. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32340-0.

392

393 12. Beard JR, Officer A, de Carvalho IA, Sadana R, Pot AM, Michel JP, Peeters GM. The
World report on ageing and health: A policy framework for healthy ageing. Lancet.
2016;387(10033):2145-2154. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)00516-4.

396

13. Dennison EM, Mohamed MA, Cooper C. Epidemiology of osteoporosis. Rheum Dis Clin
North Am. 2006;32(4):617-629. doi: 10.1016/j.rdc.2006.08.003.

399

400 14. Peeters G, van Schoor NM, Lips P. Fall risk: The clinical relevance of falls and how to
401 integrate fall risk with fracture risk. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol. 2009;23: 797-804. doi:
402 10.1016/j.berh.2009.09.004.

403

Lister Clynes M, Edwards M, Buehring B, Dennison E, Binkley N, Cooper C. Definitions of
sarcopenia: Associations with previous falls and fracture in a population sample. Calcif
Tissue Int. 2015;97(5):445-452. doi: 10.1007/s00223-015-0044-z.

407

408 16. Prince MJ, Wu F, Guo Y, Gutierrez Robledo LM, O'Donnell M, Sullivan R, et al. The
409 burden of disease in older people and implications for health policy and practice. Lancet.
410 2015;385: 549-562. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61347-7.

411

412 17. Liu CJ, Latham NK. Progressive resistance strength training for improving physical
413 function in older adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2009;3. doi:

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

414 10.1002/14651858.CD002759.pub2.

415

416 18. Sherrington C, Tiedemann A, Fairhall N, Close JC, Lord SR. Exercise to prevent falls in
417 older adults: An updated meta-analysis and best practice recommendations. N S W Public
418 Health Bull. 2011;22: 78-83. doi: 10.1071/NB10056.

419

- 420 19. Fransen M, McConnell S, Harmer AR, Van der Esch M, Simic M, Bennell KL. Exercise
 421 for osteoarthritis of the knee: A Cochrane systematic review. Br J Sports Med. 2015;49:
 422 1554-1557. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2015-095424.
- 423
- 20. Brosseau L, Wells GA, Pugh AG, Smith CA, Rahman P, Suarez-Almazor ME. Ottawa
 Panel evidence-based clinical practice guidelines for therapeutic exercises and manual
 therapy in the management of osteoarthritis. Phys Ther. 2014;94: 1017-1025. doi:
 10.2522/ptj.20130329.
- 428
- 429 21. American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM). Exercise and physical activity for older
 430 adults. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2009;41(7):1510-1530. doi:
 431 10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181a0c95c.
- 432
- 433 22. Bennell KL, Dobson F, Hinman RS. Exercise in osteoarthritis: Moving from prescription
 434 to adherence. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol. 2014;28: 93-117. doi:
 435 10.1016/j.berh.2014.01.009.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

437	23. Pisters MF, Veenhof C, van Meeteren NL, Ostelo RW, de Bakker DH, Dekker J. Long-
438	term effectiveness of exercise therapy in patients with osteoarthritis of the hip or knee: A
439	systematic review. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2010;62(7):1087-1096. doi:
440	10.1002/acr.20182.
441	
442	24. Akune T, Muraki S, Oka H, Tanaka S, Kawaguchi H, Nakamura K, Yoshimura N. Exercise
443	habits during middle age are associated with lower prevalence of sarcopenia: The ROAD
444	study. Osteoporos Int. 2014;25(3):1081-1088. doi: 10.1007/s00198-013-2550-z.
445	
446	25. Muraki S, Akune T, Oka H, Mabuchi A, En-Yo Y, Yoshida M, Yoshimura N. Association
447	of occupational activity with radiographic knee osteoarthritis and lumbar spondylosis in
448	elderly patients of population-based cohorts: A large-scale population-based study.
449	Arthritis Rheum. 2011;63(2):400-407. doi: 10.1002/art.30108.
450	
451	26. Skou ST, Roos EM, Laursen MB, Rathleff MS, Arendt-Nielsen L, Simonsen O, Rasmussen
452	S. A randomized, controlled trial of total knee replacement. N Engl J Med.
453	2015;373(17):1597-1606. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1505467.
454	
455	27. Foster NE, Anema JR, Cherkin D, Chou R, Cohen SP, Gross DP, Maher CG. Prevention
456	and treatment of low back pain: Evidence, challenges, and promising directions. Lancet.
457	2018;391(10137):2368-2383. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30489-6.
458	

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

460

461	28. Mafi JN, McCarthy EP, Davis RB, Landon BE. Worsening trends in the management and
462	treatment of back pain. JAMA Intern Med. 2013;173: 1573-1581. doi:
463	10.1001/jamainternmed.2013.8992.
464	
465	29. Artus M, Jordan KP, Croft PR. The clinical course of low back pain: A meta-analysis
466	comparing outcomes in randomized clinical trials (RCTs) and observational studies. BMC
467	Musculoskelet Disord. 2014;15:68. doi: 10.1186/1471-2474-15-68.
468	
469	30. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The
470	PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. PLOS
471	Med. 2021;18: e1003583. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1003583.