The Association of Long-Term Body Mass Index Variability with

the Development of HFpEF and HFrEF Across Patterns of Weight

Change

Zeshui Yu¹, PharmD; Yuqing Chen², MPH; Oshin Miranda¹, PhD; Meiyuzhen Qi², PhD; Manling Zhang³, MD; Ning Feng³, MD; Timothy P Ryan⁴, PhD; Nanette Cathrin Schloot⁵, MD; Yu Chen⁴*, Ph.D; Flora Sam^{4*}, MD; Lirong Wang^{1*}, PhD;

*Corresponding Authors: Lirong Wang, PhD, liw30@pitt.edu, 6456 Salk Hall, 3501 Terrace St, Pittsburgh, PA 15261

¹Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Computational Chemical Genomic Screening Center, University of Pittsburgh School of Pharmacy, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA,
²Department of Epidemiology, Graduate School of Public Health, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA
³Division of Cardiology, Vascular Medicine Institute, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA
⁴Lilly Research Laboratories, Eli Lilly and Company, Lilly Corporate Center, Indiana, IN, 46225, USA

⁵Lilly Deutschland GmbH, 61352 Bad Homburg, Germany

Keywords: BMI variability, HFpEF, HFrEF, weight change pattern, longitudinal study.

Short title: BMI variability and development of HFpEF versus HFrEF

The total word count: 6,522

Acknowledgment: ZY played a leading role in defining the research question, designing the study, analyzing the data, applying statistical methods and composing the manuscript, while also providing insights throughout the research process. YQ and MQ were involved in the study design, statistical method, and manuscript reviewing. OM contributed to manuscript reviewing. LW and YC were involved in the concept, data acquisition, study design, interpretation, comprehensive review and revision of the final manuscript, the final approval of the manuscripts, and project management. MZ and FN engaged in concept, study design, and discussion. TPR,

NCS, and FS contributed to the study design, interpretation, discussion, review, and revision of the manuscript and final approval.

Sources of Funding: This study was funded by Eli Lilly and Company.

Disclosure: It is important to acknowledge the potential conflict of interest among the contributors to this research. ZY, MZ, NF, and LW report receiving research funding from Eli Lilly and Company within the past 12 months. TPR, NCS, YC, and FS are employees of Eli Lilly and Company and own company stock while the research was being conducted.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Abstract

Background: Recent studies have shown BMI variability is risk factor for various adverse cardiovascular outcomes. However, the specific associations between BMI variability and the risk of developing HFpEF versus HFrEF, particularly across multiple weight change trends, remain unexplored.

Methods and Results: We identified a cohort of 52,286 eligible patients with overweight or obesity grouped into three categories based on their BMI change patterns over five years: weight loss, stable weight, and weight gain. BMI variability was assessed in the same 5-year period using average successive variability (ASV). These patients were subsequently followed to monitor the incidence of HFpEF and HFrEF. Cox regression models were applied to examine the differential association between BMI variability and HFpEF and HFrEF risk. Over a median follow-up of 4.81 years, 2,295 patients developed HFpEF, and 1,189 developed HFrEF. After adjusting for relevant confounders, elevated BMI variability was associated with an increased risk of HFpEF. The hazard ratios (HRs) of HFpEF for each 1-SD increment in ASV of BMI were 1.27 (95% CI, 1.10-1.47) in the weight loss group and 1.22 (95% CI, 1.09-1.37) in the stable weight group. Additionally, when analyzed as a binary variable divided by the median, BMI variability above the median was associated with higher risks of HFpEF compared to those below the median, with the corresponding HRs being 1.46 (95% CI, 1.20-1.77) for the weight loss group and 1.17 (95% CI, 1.04-1.31) for the stable weight group.

Conclusions: In this large cohort of patients living with overweight or obesity, greater BMI variability was significantly associated with a higher risk of developing HFpEF compared to patients with reduced and stable weight over time.

Clinical Perspective

What's new?

- In patients with weight loss and stable weight, those with higher BMI variability have an increased risk of developing incident HFrEF compared to those experiencing lower BMI variability, after adjusting all potential confounding variables.
- In patients with weight gain, BMI variability was not significantly linked to the risk of developing HFpEF or HFrEF. However, a larger increase in delta BMI was significantly associated with a higher risk of incident HFpEF and HFrEF in this group.

What are the clinical implications?

1. Promoting the importance of stable and consistent weight management strategies to reduce heart failure risk, particularly by minimizing BMI variability in patients undergoing weight loss or maintaining stable weight.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Introduction

Heart Failure (HF) is a major public health problem, with an estimated global prevalence of >64 million adults¹⁻³. Similarly, the prevalence and incidence of HF continues to increase in the United States, affecting around 6.2 million adults. In the HF population, HF with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) accounts for > 50%, compared to HF with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF), with the proportion of HFpEF relative to HFrEF increasing at a concerning rate of 1% per year.⁴⁻⁸

Obesity-associated cardiometabolic disorders in an aging population are accelerating and are associated with increased cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. The obesity rate is expected to be around 50% by 2035 in aging populations but this is likely an underestimation, given that the burgeoning prevalence of overweight and obesity among children and adolescents is not considered in this calculation.⁹ Indeed, almost 40 million children under 5 years and 340 million between 5 and 19 years old are estimated to be obese or overweight worldwide.¹⁰

There remains considerable heterogeneity in the trajectories of weight change among individuals with overweight and obesity.^{11,12} Distinct patterns of weight change differentially impact cardiovascular health.¹³⁻¹⁶ Weight loss often reflects either exacerbation of existing illness or adherence to the lifestyle intervention, while weight gain frequently relates to worse metabolic profiles or physiological responses to the pharmacological treatment. To gain a comprehensive understanding of the pathophysiology of heart failure in populations with overweight or obesity, it is crucial to group patients according to their weight change patterns: those who lose weight, those who maintain a stable weight, and those who gain weight. Prior studies have demonstrated that both weight loss and weight gain independently increase the risk of various cardiovascular

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

outcomes, highlighting the importance of distinguishing between these different weight change patterns when investigating their impact on heart failure subtypes.

Despite emerging evidence associating visit-to-visit Body Mass Index (BMI) variability with various cardiovascular outcomes, including overall heart failure, the limited integration of BMI variability into clinical risk assessment arises from a lack of compelling evidence from real-world clinical data.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ Additionally, limited information is known about the differential association between BMI variability and the outcomes of incident HFpEF versus HFrEF in patients with overweight or obesity. Similarly, HFpEF and HFrEF likely have distinct pathophysiological mechanisms that underlie each respective obesity phenotype. Evidence suggests that obesity may be a stronger risk factor for HFpEF, particularly in women.²⁰ We sought to investigate the association between long-term BMI variability and the risk of developing HFpEF versus HFrEF in patients who are overweight and obese, without prevalent HF at baseline, using longitudinal clinical data collected from an academic hospital setting in Pennsylvania.

METHODS

We randomly selected 100,000 patients with overweight and obesity who had an initial BMI record equal to or greater than 27 kg/m² on an index date and were followed for at least 5 years between January 1st,2004, and October 31st, 2021, in the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center (UPMC). Of these individuals, the 100,000 patients were divided into four equal groups of 25,000 subjects based on their initial BMI values. The groups were: Overweight (27 kg/m²<=BMI<30 kg/m²), class I obesity (30 kg/m²<=BMI<35 kg/m²), class II obesity (35

 $kg/m^2 \le BMI \le 40 kg/m^2$) and class III obesity (BMI >= 40 kg/m^2). This BMI record on the index date is defined as the initial BMI value, which represents the earliest BMI measurement that meets the criteria of the individual patient's group and serves as the beginning of the BMI variability measurement phase. The BMI variability measurement period was defined as the five years following the initial BMI value, using BMI records from EHRs during this 5-year period to create an independent variable representing each patient's BMI variability. As shown in **Figure 1**, the **baseline** was defined as the end of the BMI variability measurement period (i.e., 5 years), from which incident HF was then evaluated. 2,807 patients were excluded from this analysis because of data input errors. Patients were excluded if they did not have at least one BMI record per calendar year during the 5-year BMI variability measurement period (n=18,468). Given our focus on weight change patterns and BMI variability, this criterion was applied to ensure consistent and continuous BMI measurement throughout the study. Other exclusion criteria included a prior history of bariatric surgery (n=2,647) and cancer (n=11,654), prevalent HF during the BMI variability measurement period of 5 years (i.e. Before the baseline (n=8,793)), missing covariates (n=2,446), and subsequent unclassified HF during the follow-up period (n=953) (Figure 2)

Measurement of variability in BMI

We calculated individual-level BMI variability during the measurement period using Average Successive Variability (ASV) as described in the study by Petria *et al.*²¹ ASV is the mean of absolute differences between consecutive BMI measurements. This method emphasizes time-sensitive changes by focusing on sequential changes as well as overall variability. ASV was selected as the variable of interest because a prior systematic review and meta-analysis revealed

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

that it is the most frequently employed measure for assessing BMI variability in the current body of published research.^{16,19}

Definition of incident heart failure subtypes

The incidence of new-onset HF was identified as the first-time diagnosis of clinical HF as classified by ICD-9 and ICD-10 codes during the follow-up. ICD-9 and ICD-10 are code sets for classifying diseases and health problems (Table S1). Patients were followed from baseline to the earliest occurrence of a HF diagnosis or HF event, death, the last encounter date, or October 31st, 2021, whichever occurred first. The measure of left ventricle ejection fraction (LVEF) was obtained from the most recent echocardiogram test within a year of incident HF and was used to determine HF subtypes. HFpEF was defined as LVEF > 50%, while HFrEF was defined as LVEF $\leq 50\%$.²²

Covariates

Covariates included gender, race, baseline age, smoking status, the number of BMI records and mean BMI during the measurement period, baseline BMI categories, and comorbidities (atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, atrial fibrillation, type 2 diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, hypertension, chronic kidney disease. nonalcoholic fatty liver disease/nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, and obstructive sleep apnea). The mean and standard deviation of heart rate and systolic blood pressure (SBP) during the BMI variability measurement period were included. Additionally, delta BMI changes were measured by the difference between the initial BMI and the baseline BMI over the BMI variability measurement period.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Statistical analysis

Patient characteristics were summarized as means \pm standard deviations for continuous variables and counts (percentages) for categorical variables. Baseline demographics and characteristics were compared across three groups of participants presenting with distinct patterns of BMI change: weight loss (delta BMI change of < -5%); stable weight (delta BMI change between \geq - 5 and +5%) and weight gain, (delta BMI change of > +5%). The threshold of 5% weight loss is adopted based on evidence indicating improved cardiometabolic traits and cardiovascular outcomes in patients achieving it and is commonly used as the definition of clinically significant weight loss in clinical trials.²³⁻²⁶ Statistical comparisons were performed using the Chi-square test for categorical variables and ANOVA for continuous variables among these groups. The granular percentage weight change patterns were analyzed alongside the percentage of patients within each initial BMI category. The percentage of weight change was calculated and classified into the following categories: -10% or less, >-10% to -5%, >-5% to -2.5%, >-2.5% to 0%, >0% to 2.5%, >2.5% to 5%, >5% to 10%, and 10% or more.

We first conducted a Cox regression analysis on the entire study cohort to explore the relationship between 5-year BMI variability and the risk of developing either HFpEF or HFrEF during the follow-up phase. Following this, we further analyzed three distinct groups categorized by BMI change patterns, acknowledging the significant heterogeneity among patients with overweight and obesity. The Cox regression models accounted for the presence of other types of HF and death as competing risks, providing adjusted hazard rates for the occurrence of one type of HF influencing the censoring of the other type. BMI variability, measured by ASV, were examined as continuous values, as well as medians and quartiles. Hazard ratios (HRs) were

reported for each standard deviation increase in continuous measures and compared across categories, using the below-median group or the lowest quartile as the reference. All models were adjusted for the covariates listed above. Incidence rates of heart failure were determined by dividing the total number of patients diagnosed with first-time heart failure by the total person-years accumulated during the follow-up period. We also sought to conduct analyses to assess the gender differences in the relationship between BMI variability and the incidence of HFpEF and HFrEF across different weight change patterns.

Furthermore, we assessed the association between baseline BMI categories and the incidence of HF subtypes according to different BMI change patterns. These analyses were conducted using cause-specific Cox proportional hazard models in three separated BMI delta-change pattern groups, adjusted for age, gender, race, smoking status, the number of BMI records, and all comorbidities. Statistical significance was established at a two-sided p-value of less than 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using Python 3.9 and SAS software.

RESULTS

During the mean follow-up period of 4.81 years, a total of 3,484 patients had a new diagnosis of HF, with 2,295 cases classified as HFpEF and 1,189 cases classified as HFrEF. Table 1 displays the baseline demographics and clinical characteristics of the study patients by BMI change pattern. In comparison with patients with weight loss or stable weight, patients with weight gain were younger (53.19 years old, SD: 15.13). Female patients were more likely to experience either weight gain or weight loss compared to male patients. Those who experienced weight loss have higher rates of ASCVD, AFIB, CKD, HTN, HLP, NAFLD, and T2DM at the

baseline compared to patients with stable weight or those who gained weight. Conversely, patients who gained weight have the highest rate of OSA. Patients with weight gain and weight loss exhibit higher average SD of heart rate, blood pressure, and BMI variability compared to those with stable weight. Differences in baseline demographics and characteristics of the study cohort based on quartiles of ASV and HF subtypes are provided in Tables S2 and S3 in the Supplement.

The granular patterns of weight change were examined in relation to the corresponding percentage of patients within each initial BMI categories. Figure 3 illustrates the proportion of patients within these groups. By the end of the 5-year measurement phase, patients in the Obesity Group III category at the index date were more likely to experience a weight loss or gain of more than 10%. Conversely, a higher proportion of patients in the overweight category maintained stable weight over the same period.

THE ASSOCIATION BETWEEN BMI VARIABILITY AND HF SUBTYPES

Table 2 presents the adjusted HRs of ASV of BMI for the incident HFpEF and HFrEF in the entire study cohort and within groups of three patterns of BMI changes. In the overall study cohort, a significant association was found between increasing BMI variability and the risk of incident HFpEF and HFrEF. The adjusted HRs for incident HFpEF were 1.26 (95% CI: 1.17-1.36), 1.21 (95% CI: 1.11-1.33), and 1.37 (95% CI: 1.21-1.56), and for incident HFrEF were 1.13 (95% CI: 1.00-1.27), 1.18 (95% CI: 1.04-1.33), and 1.30 (95% CI: 1.09-1.54), corresponding to BMI variability (ASV) as a continuous variable, and the higher median and

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

highest quartile compared to the lower median and lowest quartile, respectively, in the overall study cohort.

When the study cohort was grouped by weight change patterns, continuous BMI variability was positively associated with an increased risk of developing HFpEF in both the weight loss and weight gain groups. The HRs of HFpEF for each 1-SD increment in ASV of BMI were 1.27 (95% CI, 1.10-1.47) in the weight loss group and 1.22 (95% CI, 1.09-1.37) in the stable weight group. However, in the group of weight gain, there was no significant association between BMI variability and incident HFpEF or HFrEF.

When BMI variability was measured as a categorical variable, patients in the abovemedian BMI variability group had an increased risk of incident HFpEF compared to those below the median, with HRs of 1.46 (95% CI, 1.20-1.77) for the weight loss group and 1.17 (95% CI, 1.04-1.31) for the stable weight group. Additionally, in the weight loss group patients in the fourth quartile had a higher risk of incident HFpEF compared to those in the first quartile, with HRs of 1.51 (95% CI, 1.15-1.99) for the stable weight group it was 1.33 (95% CI, 1.03-1.45). No significant associations were observed between categorical BMI variability and incident HF in the weight gain group. However, a greater delta BMI increase within this group was significantly associated with a higher risk of incident HFpEF and HFrEF, with HRs of 1.09 (95% CI, 1.04– 1.13) and 1.09 (95% CI, 1.00–1.18) for HFpEF and HFrEF, respectively, when BMI variability was measured as a continuous variable.

Importantly, there was no significant association between ASV of BMI and incident HFrEF across any weight change pattern of BMI. In the gender-specific effect analyses, results

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

for both female and male patients showed no significant differences, with no notable interaction between gender * continuous BMI variability in the weight loss group (Table S4).

THE ASSOCIATION OF BASELINE BMI WITH HFPEF and HFrEF

We examined the relationship between baseline BMI categories and the risk of HF subtypes as reported in Table 3. Patients with severe obesity at baseline, defined as Obesity Class III, exhibited a significantly increased risk of incident HFpEF across all three subgroups. Specifically, the HRs for Obesity Class III, compared to those with a BMI less than 27, were 2.21 (95% CI, 1.59-3.07) in the weight loss group and 2.13 (95% CI, 1.42-3.17) in the stable weight group. Furthermore, the HR for HFpEF associated with Obesity Class III relative to Obesity Class I was 4.47 (95% CI, 2.84-7.03). Patients in Obesity Class II displayed a 1.58-fold increased risk of incident HFpEF compared to those with a BMI less than 27 in the weight loss group. Those in Obesity Classes I and II faced higher risks for incident HFpEF compared to patients categorized as overweight in the weight gain group, with HRs of 2.07 (95% CI, 1.30-3.29) and 2.49 (95% CI, 1.57-3.96), indicating a trend of escalating HRs with higher obesity classes.

Additionally, in the weight loss group, patients with Obesity Class II were associated with an increased risk of HFrEF compared to those with a BMI less than 27, with an HR of 1.49 (95% CI, 1.01-2.20). In the stable weight group, patients in Obesity Class III had a higher risk for HFrEF compared to those with a BMI less than 27, with an HR of 1.75 (95% CI, 1.06-2.90). No significant association between baseline BMI and HFrEF was observed in the weight gain group.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

DISCUSSION

A comprehensive study was conducted to examine the relationship between long-term BMI variability and the risk of developing HFpEF and HFrEF in a large cohort of overweight and obese individuals, with particular consideration given to their weight change patterns. The primary findings revealed that greater BMI variability was independently associated with an increased risk of both HFpEF and HFrEF within this cohort. These associations remained significant even after adjusting for all potential confounding factors, including baseline BMI and changes in BMI over time. Furthermore, the analysis demonstrated that the link between BMI variability and future HFpEF risk persisted in patients with specific weight loss or stable weight patterns. Among patients with weight gain, BMI variability was not significantly associated with the risk of incident HFpEF or HFrEF; however, a greater magnitude of delta BMI increase was significantly linked to an increased risk of incident HFpEF and HFrEF in this group. These findings expand the previous evidence that has focused primarily on the association between BMI variability and cardiovascular disease and overall HF.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ Our findings contribute to a deeper understanding of the complex mechanisms of HF subtypes and may inform obesity management strategies.

To our knowledge, our study is the first study to explore the link between BMI variability with incident HFpEF and HFrEF. Several previous studies provided evidence of the association of weight variability on the risk of overall HF, mostly in patients with T2DM, although granular analyses focusing on HF subtypes were not performed due to the absence of non-invasive imaging data, such as echocardiogram or cardiac MRI. ^{17,18,27,28} The availability of

echocardiogram report in this study permitted accurate identification based on the specific subtype of incident HF cases. It is important to note that HF is a clinical syndrome and cannot be solely identified by a normal LVEF. Importantly, in our study, patients were selected from hospital EHRs and identified cases with a confirmed diagnostic assessment of HF based on ICD coding. Therefore, we addressed the current gap in evidence regarding the relationship between BMI variability and the risks of HFpEF and HFrEF in a large cohort of individuals with obesity and overweight over an extended period. Additionally, BMI variability was captured using frequent measurements, averaging 19.69 records per person, collected across various clinical settings (inpatient and outpatient visits). This approach provided a detailed and accurate picture of weight changes and BMI variability over time. Our finding that BMI variability significantly increases the risk of developing HFpEF and HFrEF is in line with previous studies that have shown a positive relationship between BMI variability and cardiovascular diseases, including HF in general.¹⁷⁻¹⁹

The findings of this study have significant clinical implications for both healthcare providers and overweight or obese individuals. In clinical settings, weight reduction counseling is commonly recommended to control risk factors for obesity-related diseases and mortality.²⁷ Previous studies have reported that BMI variability, regardless of the direction of weight change, can predict adverse cardiovascular events.^{17,18} However, obesity and weight change patterns differ among individuals due to various factors like genetics, unsustainable diets, psychological influences, metabolic rate changes, medication effects, medical procedures, or hormonal changes. Our approach enables a more granular analysis of how distinct weight change patterns—such as weight loss, stable weight, and weight gain—impact the risk and progression of HFpEF and HFrEF in patients with varying BMI trajectories. By addressing each group's unique weight

trajectory, this approach offers valuable insights into patient outcomes and informs the development of tailored strategies for managing cardiovascular risks associated with BMI variability. Our findings from this design offer real-world evidence underscoring the importance of minimizing body weight fluctuations when attempting to lose weight or maintain a stable weight, thereby mitigating potential adverse cardiovascular outcomes.

Moreover, as GLP-1 receptor agonists are increasingly used alongside lifestyle changes for obesity management, our findings provide valuable supportive evidence for consistent adherence to these treatments for stable and continuous weight loss. Our study suggests that reducing weight cycling could help achieve the optimal effectiveness of intervention in preventing HF.

The patient characteristics of our randomly selected group of patients align with the widely recognized pattern of higher obesity rates among women. Our study also confirmed that baseline BMI is a risk factor for HF, with a strong correlation to HFpEF than to HFrEF.²⁰ This association with HFpEF is particularly pronounced in patients who gain weight gain, indicating that a higher BMI increases the risk of developing HFpEF. Interestingly, there was no significant association between BMI variability and the onset of either HFpEF or HFrEF in the weight gain group.

The exact mechanisms between BMI variability and HF, particularly HFpEF, remain incompletely understood. These mechanisms are likely complex and involve multiple factors, especially considering the prevalence of comorbidities and the markedly different outcomes of HFpEF and HFrEF to standard medical treatments. One potential mechanism may involve the long-term effects of body weight fluctuation on the development and progression of metabolic syndrome, as patients with metabolic syndrome are known to have a substantially higher risk of

developing HFpEF.²⁹⁻³² Another potential pathway could involve chronic , low-grade systemic inflammation, as evidenced by higher concentrations of C-reactive protein in patients who experience large weight fluctuations.³³ Indeed, preclinical research has demonstrated that weight cycling (i.e. weight loss followed by weight gain) is associated with impaired energy hemostasis and a rise in hunger, and fall in satiety hormones, resulting in adipocyte hyperplasia and maladaptive excess visceral fat accumulation.³⁴ Thus, the adipocyte hyperplasia and weight regain associated with weight cycling enhance the inflammatory capacity of the adipose tissue mass. Further research needs uncover precise mechanisms.

The present study has the following limitations. 1.) It is unknown whether the observed weight changes in patients were intentional or unintentional. This distinction is important because intentional weight loss from lifestyle changes is seen beneficial, while unintentional weight loss often indicates serious illness or metabolic disorder 2.) This study specifically focused on classifying the HF by ejection fraction but other HF classifications, such as New York Heart Failure classification, acute vs chronic, ischemic vs non-ischemic, or primary myocardial disease vs secondary neurohormonal activity were not available, due to the lack of data.³⁵ 3.) As this was a retrospective observational study, causal inferences cannot be drawn from the results. Additionally, no significant association was found between BMI variability and the incidence of HFrEF across any weight change pattern, which may be attributed to the high heterogeneity among patients with overweight or obesity and the HFrEF phenotype. 4.) EHRs often contain entry errors, leading to the underdiagnosis of certain comorbidities.

CONCLUSION

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

In this retrospective study of a large cohort of overweight and obese patients, we observed that greater variability in BMI was associated with a higher risk of developing HFpEF and HFrEF, particularly with HFpEF in patients who maintained a stable weight or lost weight. Our findings suggest that these patients should minimize weight cycling while attempting to reduce or maintain their body weight.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Reference:

- 1. Horwich TB, Fonarow GC, Hamilton MA, MacLellan WR, Woo MA, Tillisch JH. The relationship between obesity and mortality in patients with heart failure. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2001;38(3):789-795.
- 2. Borlaug BA, Jensen MD, Kitzman DW, Lam CS, Obokata M, Rider OJ. Obesity and heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: new insights and pathophysiological targets. *Cardiovascular research.* 2022;118(18):3434-3450.
- 3. Ho JE, Lyass A, Lee DS, et al. Predictors of new-onset heart failure: differences in preserved versus reduced ejection fraction. *Circulation: heart failure.* 2013;6(2):279-286.
- 4. Adamczak DM, Oduah MT, Kiebalo T, et al. Heart Failure with Preserved Ejection Fraction-a Concise Review. *Curr Cardiol Rep.* 2020;22(9):82.
- 5. Borlaug BA, Paulus WJ. Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: pathophysiology, diagnosis, and treatment. *Eur Heart J.* 2011;32(6):670-679.
- 6. Tsao CW, Aday AW, Almarzooq ZI, et al. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics-2022 Update: A Report From the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2022;145(8):e153-e639.
- 7. America HFSo. Heart Failure Facts & Information. <u>https://hfsa.org/patient-hub/heart-failure-facts-information</u>. Accessed March 12, 2023.
- 8. Prevention CfDCa. Heart Failure. <u>https://www.cdc.gov/heartdisease/heart_failure.htm</u>. Accessed November, 23, 2023.
- 9. Ward ZJ, Bleich SN, Cradock AL, et al. Projected U.S. State-Level Prevalence of Adult Obesity and Severe Obesity. *N Engl J Med.* 2019;381(25):2440-2450.
- 10. Abarca-Gómez L, Abdeen ZA, Hamid ZA, et al. Worldwide trends in body-mass index, underweight, overweight, and obesity from 1975 to 2016: a pooled analysis of 2416 population-based measurement studies in 128.9 million children, adolescents, and adults. *The lancet.* 2017;390(10113):2627-2642.
- 11. Srivastava G, Kushner RF, Apovian CM. Use of the Historial Weight Trajectory to Guide an Obesity Focused Patient Encounter. In: Feingold KR, Anawalt B, Blackman MR, et al., eds. *Endotext.* South Dartmouth (MA)2000.
- 12. Zheng H, Tumin D, Qian Z. Obesity and mortality risk: new findings from body mass index trajectories. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2013;178(11):1591-1599.
- 13. Ferrannini G, Pollock C, Natali A, Yavin Y, Mahaffey KW, Ferrannini E. Extremes of both weight gain and weight loss are associated with increased incidence of heart failure and cardiovascular death: evidence from the CANVAS Program and CREDENCE. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* 2023;22(1):100.
- 14. Lavie CJ, Milani RV, Ventura HO. Obesity and cardiovascular disease: risk factor, paradox, and impact of weight loss. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2009;53(21):1925-1932.
- Peng Y, Li H, Liao F, et al. Association between weight change and the predicted 10-year risk for atherosclerosis cardiovascular disease among U.S. older adults: data from National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 1999-2018. *Front Public Health*. 2023;11:1183200.

- 16. Massey RJ, Chen Y, Panova-Noeva M, et al. BMI variability and cardiovascular outcomes within clinical trial and real-world environments in type 2 diabetes: an IMI2 SOPHIA study. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* 2024;23(1):256.
- 17. Kaze AD, Erqou S, Santhanam P, et al. Variability of adiposity indices and incident heart failure among adults with type 2 diabetes. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* 2022;21(1):16.
- 18. Kwon S, Lee SR, Choi EK, et al. Visit-to-visit variability of metabolic parameters and risk of heart failure: A nationwide population-based study. *Int J Cardiol.* 2019;293:153-158.
- 19. Massey RJ, Siddiqui MK, Pearson ER, Dawed AY. Weight variability and cardiovascular outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* 2023;22(1):5.
- 20. Savji N, Meijers WC, Bartz TM, et al. The Association of Obesity and Cardiometabolic Traits With Incident HFpEF and HFrEF. *JACC Heart Fail.* 2018;6(8):701-709.
- 21. Petria I, Albuquerque S, Varoquaux G, et al. Body-weight variability and risk of cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 1 diabetes: a retrospective observational analysis of data from the DCCT/EDIC population. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* 2022;21(1):247.
- 22. Abebe TB, Gebreyohannes EA, Tefera YG, Abegaz TM. Patients with HFpEF and HFrEF have different clinical characteristics but similar prognosis: a retrospective cohort study. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord.* 2016;16(1):232.
- 23. Kompaniyets L, Freedman DS, Belay B, et al. Probability of 5% or Greater Weight Loss or BMI Reduction to Healthy Weight Among Adults With Overweight or Obesity. *JAMA Netw Open.* 2023;6(8):e2327358.
- 24. Yannakoulia M, Panagiotakos D. Weight loss through lifestyle changes: impact in the primary prevention of cardiovascular diseases. *Heart*. 2021;107(17):1429-1434.
- 25. Wilding JPH, Calanna S, Kushner RF. Once-Weekly Semaglutide in Adults with Overweight or Obesity. Reply. *N Engl J Med.* 2021;385(1):e4.
- 26. Wadden TA, Bailey TS, Billings LK, et al. Effect of Subcutaneous Semaglutide vs Placebo as an Adjunct to Intensive Behavioral Therapy on Body Weight in Adults With Overweight or Obesity: The STEP 3 Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA*. 2021;325(14):1403-1413.
- Aucott LS, Philip S, Avenell A, et al. Patterns of weight change after the diagnosis of type
 2 diabetes in Scotland and their relationship with glycaemic control, mortality and
 cardiovascular outcomes: a retrospective cohort study. *BMJ Open.* 2016;6(7):e010836.
- 28. Ceriello A, Lucisano G, Prattichizzo F, et al. Variability in body weight and the risk of cardiovascular complications in type 2 diabetes: results from the Swedish National Diabetes Register. *Cardiovasc Diabetol.* 2021;20(1):173.
- 29. Purwowiyoto SL, Prawara AS. Metabolic syndrome and heart failure: mechanism and management. *Med Pharm Rep.* 2021;94(1):15-21.
- 30. Chin YR, So ES. The effects of weight fluctuation on the components of metabolic syndrome: a 16-year prospective cohort study in South Korea. *Arch Public Health*. 2021;79(1):21.
- 31. Vergnaud AC, Bertrais S, Oppert JM, et al. Weight fluctuations and risk for metabolic syndrome in an adult cohort. *Int J Obes (Lond).* 2008;32(2):315-321.
- 32. Zhang H, Tamakoshi K, Yatsuya H, et al. Long-term body weight fluctuation is associated with metabolic syndrome independent of current body mass index among Japanese men. *Circ J.* 2005;69(1):13-18.

- 33. K Tamakoshi HY, T Kondo, M Ishikawa, H Zhang, C Murata, R Otsuka, T Mabuchi, Y Hori, S Zhu, T Yoshida, H Toyoshima. Long-term body weight variability is associated with elevated C-reactive protein independent of current body mass index among Japanese men. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2003;27(9):1059-65.
- 34. MacLean PS, Higgins JA, Jackman MR, et al. Peripheral metabolic responses to prolonged weight reduction that promote rapid, efficient regain in obesity-prone rats. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol.* 2006;290(6):R1577-1588.
- 35. Banerjee A, Dashtban A, Chen S, et al. Identifying subtypes of heart failure from three electronic health record sources with machine learning: an external, prognostic, and genetic validation study. *Lancet Digit Health.* 2023;5(6):e370-e379.

Table1. Demographic ar	nd Baseline Cha	racteristics of	Patients Cat	egorized by Bl	MI Change Pa	tterns
			Weight	Weight	Weight	P-
		Overall	loss	stable	gain	Value
n		52286	9451	30105	12730	
		57.94	60.14	59.25	53.19	
Age, mean (SD)		(14.67)	(14.77)	(13.98)	(15.13)	< 0.001
		31879	6071	17219	8589	
Gender, n (%)	Female	(60.97)	(64.24)	(57.20)	(67.47)	< 0.001
		20407	3380	12886	4141	
	Male	(39.03)	(35.76)	(42.80)	(32.53)	
		45248	8151	26271	10826	
Race, n (%)	White	(86.54)	(86.24)	(87.26)	(85.04)	< 0.001
		6364	1183	3439	1742	
	Black	(12.17)	(12.52)	(11.42)	(13.68)	
	Other	674 (1.29)	117 (1.24)	395 (1.31)	162 (1.27)	
			1548			
Baseline BMI class, n (%)	<27	2223 (4.25)	(16.38)	675 (2.24)		< 0.001
		11132	1747	8101	1284	
	27-30 kg/m ²	(21.29)	(18.48)	(26.91)	(10.09)	

Tables

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

	Obesity class	14657	2733	8264	3660	
	Ι	(28.03)	(28.92)	(27.45)	(28.75)	
	Obesity class	12458	1927	7522	3009	
	Π	(23.83)	(20.39)	(24.99)	(23.64)	
	Obesity class	11816	1496	5543	4777	
	Ш	(22.60)	(15.83)	(18.41)	(37.53)	
Smoking status, mean						
(SD)		0.13 (0.33)	0.15 (0.36)	0.12 (0.32)	0.13 (0.33)	< 0.001
Num of records, mean		19.65	21.36	18.79	20.40	
(SD)		(10.85)	(11.76)	(10.22)	(11.37)	< 0.001
		10875	2308	6450	2117	
ASCVD, n (%)		(20.80)	(24.42)	(21.43)	(16.63)	< 0.001
AFIB, n (%)		3624 (6.93)	783 (8.28)	2126 (7.06)	715 (5.62)	< 0.001
			1077			
CKD, n (%)		4509 (8.62)	(11.40)	2524 (8.38)	908 (7.13)	< 0.001
		37643	7297	21997	8349	
HTN, n (%)		(71.99)	(77.21)	(73.07)	(65.59)	< 0.001
		37512	7079	22305	8128	
HLP, n (%)		(71.74)	(74.90)	(74.09)	(63.85)	< 0.001
NAFLD, n (%)		4361 (8.34)	919 (9.72)	2363 (7.85)	1079 (8.48)	< 0.001
		11489	2160	6237	3092	
OSA, n (%)		(21.97)	(22.85)	(20.72)	(24.29)	< 0.001
		16226	3954	9158	3114	
T2DM, n (%)		(31.03)	(41.84)	(30.42)	(24.46)	< 0.001
Mean heart rate, mean		76.69	76.77	76.10	78.00	
(SD)		(8.69)	(8.74)	(8.54)	(8.85)	<0.001
Mean SBP, mean (SD)		128.74	128.90	128.98	128.06	< 0.001

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

	(9.42)	(9.42)	(9.40)	(9.43)	
SD of heart rate, mean					
(SD)	7.89 (2.75)	8.01 (2.72)	7.76 (2.70)	8.12 (2.89)	< 0.001
SD of SBP, mean (SD)	9.66 (3.00)	9.88 (3.05)	9.65 (3.02)	9.51 (2.93)	< 0.001
ASV of BMI, mean (SD)	1.04 (0.51)	1.13 (0.55)	0.97 (0.47)	1.14 (0.55)	< 0.001
Mean BMI of the BMI					
measurement phase, mean	35.37	35.56	34.57	37.11	
(SD)	(6.59)	(6.67)	(6.13)	(7.21)	< 0.001
		-3.55			
Delta BMI, mean (SD)	0.24 (2.75)	(2.12)	0.05 (0.96)	3.50 (2.07)	< 0.001
Follow_up, mean (Years,					
SD)	4.81 (2.21)	4.83 (2.23)	4.91 (2.23)	4.58 (2.13)	< 0.001

Values are mean (SD) or count (percentage)

SD=standard deviation; BMI=body mass index; ASCVD=atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease;

AFIB=atrial fibrillation; T2DM=type 2 diabetes mellitus; HTN=hypertension;

HLP=hyperlipidemia; CKD=chronic kidney disease; NAFLD/NASH=nonalcoholic fatty

liver disease/nonalcoholic steatohepatitis; OSA=obstructive sleep apnea; SBP=systolic blood

pressure; ASV=average successive variability.

Table 2. Association of BMI ASV with Incident HFpEF and HFrEF in the Study Cohort and Across Weight Change Patterns										
		Categories	HFpEF				HFrEF			
			No Events/No at	Rate/1000-person		Р	No Events/No at	Rate/1000-person		Р
			risk	year	Hazard Ratio	value	risk	year	Hazard Ratio	value
	Continuous				1.26 (1.17-				1.13 (1.00-	
	ASV		2295/52286	9.12 (8.74-9.49)	1.36)	<.001	1189/52286	4.72 (4.46-4.99)	1.27)	0.048
Study Cohort	Median	Lower								
(n=52286)	ASV	Median	1053/26143	8.33 (7.83-8.83)	Reference		600/26143	4.75 (4.37-5.13)	Reference	

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

Image: borner			Higher			1.21 (1.11-	1	I		1.18 (1.04-	1
Qi 39613072 299 (3.98.490) Reference 31213072 4.93 (4.38-3.46) Reference Q2 54713071 8.67 (754-9.39) 1.17 0.260 28813071 4.56 (4.04.509) 1.18 0.92 Q4 66213071 1.67 (754-9.39) 1.77 0.260 28813071 4.56 (4.04.509) 1.19 (0.03.5) 0.295 Q4 66213071 1.68 (0.79+11.41) 1.59 0.205 28813071 4.56 (4.04.509) 1.29 0.035 Continorest Q4 66213071 1.69 (0.79+11.41) 1.59 0.001 28913071 4.55 (4.05.08) 1.29 0.035 Continorest ASV 914941 1.250 1.47 <.000			Median	1242/26143	9.91 (9.36-10.47)	1.33)	<.001	589/26143	4.70 (4.32-5.08)	1.33)	0.008
weight basis $100 001$ $100 001$ $100 001$ $100 005$ $100 005$ $1100 005$			Q1	506/13072	7.99 (7.30-8.69)	Reference		312/13072	4.93 (4.38-5.48)	Reference	
Image: space						1.03 (0.91-				1.00 (0.85-	
Nome Nome <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>Q2</td><td>547/13071</td><td>8.67 (7.94-9.39)</td><td>1.17)</td><td>0.620</td><td>288/13071</td><td>4.56 (4.04-5.09)</td><td>1.18)</td><td>0.992</td></t<>			Q2	547/13071	8.67 (7.94-9.39)	1.17)	0.620	288/13071	4.56 (4.04-5.09)	1.18)	0.992
Image: base in the section of the section						1.13 (0.996-				1.09 (0.93-	
Quartic ASV Q4 662/3071 1.06(0,07):1141 1.59 001 30313071 4.85 (4.31.5.40) 1.50 0.003 Continuous ASV 5149451 12.25 1.27 (1.16) 001 30913071 4.85 (4.31.5.40) 1.53 0.003 ASV S149451 12.25 1.27 (1.16) 001 30913071 4.85 (4.31.5.40) 1.52 0.85 Median Higher 23.04726 10.13 (8.32.11.44) Reference 1614725 6.31 (5.27.34) 1.400 0.82 ASV Median 23.04726 10.3 (8.51.11.40) Reference 1814725 6.31 (5.27.34) 1.400 0.82 ASV Median 23.04726 10.43 (8.55.12.31) Reference 8.52363 7.34 (5.56.82) Reference 1.000 (0.66- Q2 1122/163 9.83 (8.01-11.60 1.15 0.374 7.92363 6.85 (5.33.8.37) 1.23 0.599 Q3 134/2462 11.60 (6.1.357) 1.65 0.074 7.72363 6.87 (5.18.16) 1.44 9.82 <			Q3	580/13072	9.23 (8.48-9.98)	1.28)	0.060	286/13072	4.55 (4.02-5.08)	1.29)	0.295
Index Index Quart Quart Index		Quartile				1.37 (1.21-				1.30 (1.09-	
Continuous Reference Continuous Reference Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuous Continuou		ASV	Q4	662/13071	10.60 (9.79-11.41)	1.56)	<.001	303/13071	4.85 (4.31-5.40)	1.54)	0.003
A8V 5149451 1223 147 <00 309491 6.70 (5.957.45) 12.20 0.885 Median Median 2304726 10.13 (8.82-11.4) Reference 10.14726 7.90 (0.05.97.45) Reference 10.90 (8.55 Median 140410 145.1003 1.461.20 10.90 (8.55 6.31 (5.29-7.30) Reference 10.90 (8.55 6.31 (5.29-7.30) Reference 10.90 (8.55 7.34 (5.76.39.20) Reference 10.90 (8.55 7.34 (7.96.39.20) Reference 10.90 (8.5 7.34 (7.96.39.20) Reference 10.90 (8.5 7.34 (7.96.39.20) 10.90 (8.5 7.34 (7.96.39.20) 10.90 (8.5 7.34 (7.96.39.20) 10.90 (8.5 7.34 (7.96.39.20) 10.90 (8.5 7.34 (7.96.39.20) 10.90 (8.5 7.34 (7.96.39.20) 10.90 (8.5 7.34 (7.96.39.20		Continuous			11.26 (10.28-	1.27 (1.10-				0.95 (0.74-	
Neight los(n=945) Lower Lower 1013 (382-11.40 Reference 1614726 7.09 (6.00.8.19) Reference Median Higher 12.37 (10.93) 1.46 (1.20) 1014 (342) 1454725 6.31 (5.297.34) 140 (0.58) 14.60 (1.20) ASV Median 2844725 13.80 (0.11.60) 1.77) <.001		ASV		514/9451	12.23)	1.47)	<.001	306/9451	6.70 (5.95-7.45)	1.22)	0.685
Image: Median Median Signation of the section of the sectin of the section of the sectin of the section of the sec			Lower								
Median Higher 12.37 (10.93- 1.46 (1.20- N N 109 (0.85- 109 (0.85- ASV Median 2844725 13.80 1.77) <.001			Median	230/4726	10.13 (8.82-11.44)	Reference		161/4726	7.09 (6.00-8.19)	Reference	
ASV Median 284/4725 13.80 1.77 -001 145/4725 6.31 (5.29.7.34) 1.40 0.482 Reference 432363 7.34 (5.76.892) Reference 532363 7.34 (5.76.892) Reference 6.88 (5.33.837) 1.23 0.50 Quartile Quartile 1122263 9.83 (8.01-16.6) 1.57 0.37 7.82363 6.85 (5.33.837) 1.23 0.59 Quartile Quartile 1120263 116.0 (9.64-13.57) 1.65 0.074 772362 6.67 (5.18.816 1.44) 0.824 Quartile Quartile Quartile 112020105 8.67 (8.19.9.14) 1.51(1.57) 0.003 682303 5.96 (4.54.7.37) 1.431 0.939 ASV Quartile I28020105 8.67 (8.19.9.14) 1.37 -001 68530105 4.64 (4.29.498) 2.23 0.067 Median Median 6821750 9.20 (8.57.9.96) 1.31 0.01 3381/952 4.59 (4.19.5.18) Reference 1.77 (1.08.7) 1.77 (1.08.7) 1.77 (1.08.7)		Median	Higher		12.37 (10.93-	1.46 (1.20-				1.09 (0.85-	
QI 118/2363 10.43 (8.55-12.31) Reference 8.32363 7.34 (5.76.892) Reference 0.90 (0.66- Q2 112/2363 9.83 (8.01-11.66) 1.15 0.374 78/263 6.85 (5.33.8.37) 1.23) 0.509 Quartile Quartile 13.42362 11.60 (9.64-13.57) 1.65) 0.074 77/2362 6.67 (5.18.8.16) 1.44 (0.75- Quartile Quartile 15.02363 15.24) 1.99 0.003 68/2363 5.96 (4.54-7.37) 1.43) 0.990 (0.69- Continuous ASV Q4 15.02363 1.52(1.15- 0.003 68/2363 5.96 (4.54-7.37) 1.43) 0.958 Continuous ASV Q4 1502363 1.52(1.09- 1.17 (1.37- 1.17 (1.37- 1.17 (1.37- 1.13) 0.01 68/540155 4.64 (4.29.498) 2.23) 0.067 Median 598/15053 8.07 (7.43-8.72) Reference 347/15053 4.69 (4.19-5.18) Reference 1.17 (1.00- 1.17 (1.00- 1.17 (1.00- 1.17 (1.00- 1.17 (1.00-		ASV	Median	284/4725	13.80)	1.77)	<.001	145/4725	6.31 (5.29-7.34)	1.40)	0.482
$ \begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			Q1	118/2363	10.43 (8.55-12.31)	Reference		83/2363	7.34 (5.76-8.92)	Reference	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						0.89 (0.68-				0.90 (0.66-	
			Q2	112/2363	9.83 (8.01-11.66)	1.15)	0.374	78/2363	6.85 (5.33-8.37)	1.23)	0.509
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$						1.27 (0.98-				1.04 (0.75-	
Quartile Quartile 13.14 (11.04- 1.51 (1.15- 0003 68/2363 5.96 (4.54.7.37) 1.43) 0.998 (0.69- Weight los(n=9451) ASV Q4 150/2363 15.24) 1.99) 0.003 68/2363 5.96 (4.54.7.37) 1.43) 0.958 Continuous ASV 1280/30105 8.67 (8.19-9.14) 1.37) -001 685/30105 4.64 (4.29-4.98) 2.23) 0.067 Median For the for the for the form of the for			Q3	134/2362	11.60 (9.64-13.57)	1.65)	0.074	77/2362	6.67 (5.18-8.16)	1.44)	0.824
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Quartile			13.14 (11.04-	1.51 (1.15-				0.99 (0.69-	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Weight lost(n=9451)	ASV	Q4	150/2363	15.24)	1.99)	0.003	68/2363	5.96 (4.54-7.37)	1.43)	0.958
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Continuous				1.22 (1.09-				1.17 (1.37-	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		ASV		1280/30105	8.67 (8.19-9.14)	1.37)	<.001	685/30105	4.64 (4.29-4.98)	2.23)	0.067
Median Median 598/15053 8.07 (7.43-8.72) Reference 347/15053 4.69 (4.19-5.18) Reference 1.17 (1.00- Median Higher 9.26 (8.57-9.96) 1.31) 0.011 338/15052 4.59 (4.10-5.08) 1.38) 0.050 Median ASV Q1 282/527 7.67 (6.78-8.57) Reference 184/7527 5.00 (4.28-5.73) Reference 0.90 (0.66- Q2 316/7526 8.47 (7.54-9.41) 1.19) 0.906 163/7526 4.37 (3.70-5.04) 1.23) 0.366 Meigin(n=30105) Q4 319/7526 8.42 (7.54-9.41) 1.19) 0.906 163/7526 4.57 (3.88-5.26) 1.44) 0.506 Stable Quartile Q3 319/7526 8.62 (7.68-9.57) 1.33 0.160 169/7526 4.57 (3.88-5.26) 1.44) 0.506 Stable Quartile Q4 363/7526 9.90 (8.88-10.92) 1.45 0.021 169/7526 4.61 (3.92-5.31) 1.43) 0.185 ASV Q4 363/7526 9.90 (8.			Lower								
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			Median	598/15053	8.07 (7.43-8.72)	Reference		347/15053	4.69 (4.19-5.18)	Reference	
ASV Median 682/1505 9.26 (8.57-9.96) 1.31) 0.011 338/15052 4.59 (4.10-5.08) 1.38) 0.050 Reference Q1 282/7527 7.67 (6.78-8.57) Reference 1.84/7527 5.00 (4.28-5.73) Reference 0.990 (0.66- Q2 316/7526 8.47 (7.54-9.41) 1.19) 0.906 163/7526 4.37 (3.70-5.04) 1.23) 0.366 Stable Quartile Q3 319/7526 8.62 (7.68-9.57) 1.33) 0.160 169/7526 4.57 (3.88-5.26) 1.44) 0.506 Stable Quartile Q4 363/7526 9.90 (8.88-10.92) 1.45) 0.021 169/7526 4.51 (3.92-5.31) 1.43) 0.185 Keight(n=30105) ASV Q4 363/7526 9.90 (8.88-10.92) 1.45) 0.021 169/7526 4.61 (3.92-5.31) 1.43) 0.185 Keight(n=30105) ASV Q4 363/7526 9.90 (8.88-10.92) 1.45) 0.021 169/7526 4.61 (3.92-5.31) 1.43) 0.185 Keight(n=		Median	Higher			1.17 (1.04-				1.17 (1.00-	
No. Q1 282/7527 7.67 (6.78-8.57) Reference 184/7527 5.00 (4.28-5.73) Reference 9.00 (0.66- Q2 316/7526 8.47 (7.54-9.41) 1.19 0.906 163/7526 4.37 (3.70-5.04) 1.23) 0.366 Stable Quartile Q3 319/7526 8.62 (7.68-9.57) 1.33 0.160 169/7526 4.57 (3.88-5.26) 1.04 (0.75- Stable Quartile Q4 363/7526 9.90 (8.88-10.92) 1.45) 0.021 169/7526 4.57 (3.88-5.26) 1.44) 0.506 Stable Q4 363/7526 9.90 (8.88-10.92) 1.45) 0.021 169/7526 4.61 (3.92-5.31) 1.43) 0.185 Continuous ASV Q4 363/7526 9.90 (8.88-10.92) 1.08 (0.91- 3.39 (2.92-3.87) 1.44) 0.527 ASV S01/12730 8.59 (7.84-9.34) 1.28) 0.387 198/12730 3.39 (2.92-3.87) 1.44) 0.527 Median 224/6365 7.67 (6.66-8.67) Reference 92/6365 3		ASV	Median	682/1505	9.26 (8.57-9.96)	1.31)	0.011	338/15052	4.59 (4.10-5.08)	1.38)	0.050
Image: Note of the state of the st			Q1	282/7527	7.67 (6.78-8.57)	Reference		184/7527	5.00 (4.28-5.73)	Reference	
Stable weight(n=30105) Q2 3167526 8.47 (7.54.9.41) 1.19 0.906 163/7526 4.37 (3.70-5.04) 1.23 0.366 Stable weight(n=30105) Quartile ASV 319/7526 8.62 (7.68-9.57) 1.33 0.160 169/7526 4.57 (3.88-5.26) 1.44) 0.506 Median ASV Q4 363/7526 9.90 (8.88-10.92) 1.45) 0.021 169/7526 4.61 (3.92-5.31) 1.43) 0.185 Continuous ASV Q4 363/7526 9.90 (8.88-10.92) 1.45) 0.021 169/7526 4.61 (3.92-5.31) 1.43) 0.185 Lower Median 501/12730 8.59 (7.84-9.34) 1.28) 0.387 198/12730 3.39 (2.92-3.87) 1.44) 0.527						1.01 (0.86-				0.90 (0.66-	
Stable Quartile Qa 319/7526 8.62 (7.68-9.57) 1.13 (0.95- 1.33) 0.160 169/7526 4.57 (3.88-5.26) 1.04 (0.75- 1.44) 0.506 Stable Quartile Q4 363/7526 9.90 (8.88-10.92) 1.45) 0.021 169/7526 4.57 (3.88-5.26) 1.44) 0.506 Keight(n=30105) ASV Q4 363/7526 9.90 (8.88-10.92) 1.45) 0.021 169/7526 4.61 (3.92-5.31) 1.43) 0.185 Continuous ASV 501/12730 8.59 (7.84-9.34) 1.28) 0.387 198/12730 3.39 (2.92-3.87) 1.44) 0.527 Median 224/6365 7.67 (6.66-8.67) Reference 92/6365 3.15 (2.51-3.79) Reference 4.61			Q2	316/7526	8.47 (7.54-9.41)	1.19)	0.906	163/7526	4.37 (3.70-5.04)	1.23)	0.366
Stable Qa 319/7526 8.62 (7.68-9.57) 1.33) 0.160 169/7526 4.57 (3.88-5.26) 1.44) 0.506 weight(n=30105) ASV Q4 363/7526 9.90 (8.88-10.92) 1.45) 0.021 169/7526 4.57 (3.88-5.26) 1.44) 0.506 Keight(n=30105) ASV Q4 363/7526 9.90 (8.88-10.92) 1.45) 0.021 169/7526 4.61 (3.92-5.31) 1.43) 0.185 Continuous ASV 501/12730 8.59 (7.84-9.34) 1.28) 0.387 198/12730 3.39 (2.92-3.87) 1.44) 0.527 Lower Median 224/6365 7.67 (6.66-8.67) Reference 92/6365 3.15 (2.51-3.79) Reference 1.44) 0.527						1.13 (0.95-				1.04 (0.75-	
Stable weight(n=30105) Quartile ASV Quartile Q4 ASV Quartile Q4 1.33 (1.03- 9.90 (8.88-10.92) 1.33 (1.03- 1.45) 1.69/7526 4.61 (3.92-5.31) 0.99 (0.69- 1.43) 0.185 Continuous ASV Continuous S01/12730 501/12730 8.59 (7.84-9.34) 1.28 0.387 198/12730 3.39 (2.92-3.87) 1.44) 0.527 Lower Median 224/6365 7.67 (6.66-8.67) Reference 92/6365 3.15 (2.51-3.79) Reference Reference			Q3	319/7526	8.62 (7.68-9.57)	1.33)	0.160	169/7526	4.57 (3.88-5.26)	1.44)	0.506
weight(n=30105) ASV Q4 363/7526 9.90 (8.88-10.92) 1.45) 0.021 169/7526 4.61 (3.92-5.31) 1.43) 0.185 Continuous ASV S01/12730 8.59 (7.84-9.34) 1.08 (0.91- 1.28) 1.98/12730 3.39 (2.92-3.87) 1.44) 0.527 Lower Median 224/6365 7.67 (6.66-8.67) Reference 92/6365 3.15 (2.51-3.79) Reference	Stable	Quartile				1.33 (1.03-				0.99 (0.69-	
Continuous Continuous 501/12730 8.59 (7.84-9.34) 1.08 (0.91- 1.28) 0.387 198/12730 3.39 (2.92-3.87) 1.04) 0.527 Lower Median 224/6365 7.67 (6.66-8.67) Reference 92/6365 3.15 (2.51-3.79) Reference	weight(n=30105)	ASV	Q4	363/7526	9.90 (8.88-10.92)	1.45)	0.021	169/7526	4.61 (3.92-5.31)	1.43)	0.185
ASV 501/12730 8.59 (7.84-9.34) 1.28) 0.387 198/12730 3.39 (2.92-3.87) 1.44) 0.527 Lower Lower Reference 92/6365 3.15 (2.51-3.79) Reference		Continuous				1.08 (0.91-				1.09 (0.83-	
Lower Median 224/6365 7.67 (6.66-8.67) Reference 92/6365 3.15 (2.51-3.79) Reference		ASV		501/12730	8.59 (7.84-9.34)	1.28)	0.387	198/12730	3.39 (2.92-3.87)	1.44)	0.527
Median 224/6365 7.67 (6.66-8.67) Reference 92/6365 3.15 (2.51-3.79) Reference			Lower								
			Median	224/6365	7.67 (6.66-8.67)	Reference		92/6365	3.15 (2.51-3.79)	Reference	
Median Higher 1.07 (0.88- 1.30 (0.96-		Median	Higher			1.07 (0.88-				1.30 (0.96-	
ASV Median 277/6365 9.51 (8.39-10.63) 1.30) 0.516 106/6365 3.64 (2.95-4.33) 1.77) 0.095		ASV	Median	277/6365	9.51 (8.39-10.63)	1.30)	0.516	106/6365	3.64 (2.95-4.33)	1.77)	0.095
Q1 102/3183 6.95 (5.60-8.30) Reference 53/3183 3.61 (2.64-4.59) Reference			Q1	102/3183	6.95 (5.60-8.30)	Reference		53/3183	3.61 (2.64-4.59)	Reference	
1.02 (0.78- 0.91 (0.73-					1	1.02 (0.78-				0.91 (0.73-	
Q2 122/3182 8.39 (6.90-9.88) 1.34) 0.867 39/3182 2.68 (1.84-3.52) 1.12) 0.305			Q2	122/3182	8.39 (6.90-9.88)	1.34)	0.867	39/3182	2.68 (1.84-3.52)	1.12)	0.305
			-			1.01 (0.77-				1.08 (0.87-	-
Q3 127/3182 8.75 (7.23-10.28) 1.33) 0.922 52/3182 3.58 (2.61-4.56) 1.34) 0.518			Q3	127/3182	8.75 (7.23-10.28)	1.33)	0.922	52/3182	3.58 (2.61-4.56)	1.34)	0.518
Weight Ouartile 1.17 (0.88-1) 1.17 (0.93-1)	Weight	Quartile	È		(1.17 (0.88-				1.17 (0.93 -	
gain(n=12730) ASV Q4 150/3183 10.26 (8.62-11.90) 1.57) 0.276 54/318 3.69 (2.71-4.68) 1.47) 0.411	gain(n=12730)	ASV	Q4	150/3183	10.26 (8.62-11.90)	1.57)	0.276	54/318	3.69 (2.71-4.68)	1.47)	0.411
			-						,		l

Table 2. Association of BMI ASV with Incident HFpEF and HFrEF in the Study Cohort

and Across Weight Change Patterns: The models were adjusted for age, gender, race, smoking status, and comorbidities (atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, atrial fibrillation, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, chronic kidney disease, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease/nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, obstructive sleep apnea, and type 2 diabetes mellitus), the mean and standard deviation of heart rate and systolic blood pressure and delta weight change.

BMI=body mass index; HFpEF=heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; HFrEF=heart failure with reduced ejection fraction.

Table 3. The Association of Baseline BMI Categories with the Risk of Incident HFpEF vs HFrEF by Weight Change Patterns									
	BMI Categories	HFpEF		HFrEF					
		Hazard Ratio P value		Hazard Ratio	P value				
	<=27 kg/m ²	reference reference		reference	reference				
	$27-30 \text{ kg/m}^2$	0.83 (0.60-1.16)	0.273	1.21 (0.83-1.77)	0.319				
Weight lost(n=9451)	Obesity class I	1.23 (0.93-1.64) 0.154		0.92 (0.63-1.34)	0.615				
	Obesity class II	1.58 (1.17-2.14)	0.003	1.49 (1.01-2.20)	0.047				
	Obesity class III	2.21 (1.59-3.07)	< 0.001	1.54 (0.98-2.42)	0.06				
	<=27 kg/m ²	reference	reference	reference	reference				
	27-30 kg/m ²	0.86 (0.58-1.28)	0.458	0.92 (0.57-1.49)	0.734				
Stable weight(n=30105)	Obesity class I	1.08 (0.73-1.60)	0.696	0.99 (0.61-1.61)	0.981				
	Obesity class II	1.38 (0.93-2.05)	0.111	1.10 (0.67-1.79)	0.717				
	Obesity class III	2.13 (1.42-3.17)	< 0.001	1.75 (1.06-2.90)	0.029				

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

	$27-30 \text{ kg/m}^2$	reference	reference	reference	reference
Weight gain(n=12730)	Obesity class I	2.07 (1.30-3.29)	0.002 0.98 (0.61-1.59)		0.946
	Obesity class II	2.49 (1.57-3.96)	< 0.001	1.00 (0.60-1.65)	0.989
	Obesity class III	4.47 (2.84-7.03)	< 0.001	1.21 (0.74-2.00)	0.444

Table 3. The Association of Baseline BMI Categories with the Risk of Incident HFpEF vs							
HFrEF by Weight Change Patterns: The models were adjusted for age, gender, race, smoking							
status, and comorbidities (atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, atrial fibrillation, hypertension,							
hyperlipidemia,	chronic	kidney	disease,	nonalcoholic	fatty	liver	disease/nonalcoholic
steatohepatitis, obstructive sleep apnea, and type 2 diabetes mellitus)							

BMI=body mass index; HFpEF=heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; HFrEF=heart failure with reduced ejection fraction.

Figures

Figure 1: Study design scheme.



Figure 1: Study design scheme. The initial BMI value represents the earliest available BMI record that fall into the range of each group and serves as the index (i.e., the starting point of the

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

BMI variability measurement period). The baseline of the study was established at the end of this BMI variability measurement period (i.e., the ending point of the BMI variability measurement period and the beginning of the follow-up period). From this point forward, the occurrence of heart failure was monitored until the earliest occurrence of a HF diagnosis or HF event, death, the last encounter date, or October 31st, 2021, whichever occurred first. Abbreviation: BMI=body mass index; HF=heart failure; SD=standard deviation; SBP=systolic blood pressure.

Figure 2: Flow Diagram for Included Patient



Figure 2: Flow Diagram for Included Patients. This flowchart summarizes the clinical and

data inclusion/exclusion criteria applied in the study.

Abbreviation: BMI=body mass index; EHR=electronical health record; HF=heart failure;

ASCVD=atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; AFIB=atrial fibrillation; T2DM=type 2 diabetes mellitus;

LVEF=left ventricle ejection fraction.

Figure 3. Percentage of Patients with Different Weight Changes Across Initial BMI Categories

