# Reference interval of optimal vitamin D level for an adult population of Bangladesh

- 3 Authors: Wasim Md Mohosin Ul Haque<sup>1\*</sup>, Jalaluddin Ashraful Haq<sup>2</sup>, Md. Faruque Pathan<sup>3</sup>,
- 4 Mohammed Abu Sayeed<sup>4</sup>
- <sup>5</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Nephrology, Bangladesh Institute of Research and Rehabilitation for Diabetes
- 6 Endocrine and Metabolic Disorders, Dhaka, Bangladesh
- <sup>7</sup> <sup>2</sup>Department of Microbiology, Ibrahim Medical College, Dhaka, Bangladesh
- 8 <sup>3</sup>Department of Endocrinology, Bangladesh Institute of Research and Rehabilitation for Diabetes
- 9 Endocrine and Metabolic Disorders, Dhaka, Bangladesh
- 10 <sup>4</sup>Department of Community Medicine, Ibrahim Medical College, Dhaka, Bangladesh
- 11 \*Corresponding author
- 12 Email: <u>wmmhaque@live.com</u>
- 13

14

15

16

## 17 Abstract

18	Vitamin D deficiency presents a significant public health concern, especially in regions where
19	reference intervals from Western populations may not apply due to differences in sun exposure
20	and ethnicity. This study aimed to establish population-specific reference intervals for serum 25-
21	hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D] and to determine a deficiency cutoff for healthy adults in
22	Bangladesh. In a cross-sectional design, we assessed serum 25(OH)D and intact parathyroid
23	hormone (iPTH) levels in 125 coastal fishermen (Group 1) and 371 urban residents (Group 2),
24	comprising healthy adults aged 18 years or older. Group 1 served as a reference to establish
25	baseline vitamin D levels, while Group 2 data aided in determining the deficiency cutoff.
26	Measurements were conducted using chemiluminescent immunoassay, and reference intervals
27	were calculated according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) Guidelines C28-
28	A3. The deficiency cutoff was identified at the deflection point of iPTH levels. Results indicate a
29	reference interval for serum 25(OH)D of 15.88-45.27 ng/ml among coastal fishermen. Among
30	urban residents, mean serum $25(OH)D$ was $21.53 \pm 15.98$ ng/ml, with iPTH levels showing
31	significant increases below 12.16 ng/ml (95% CI: 11.04–13.28), establishing this as the
32	deficiency cutoff. Urban residents exhibited significantly lower vitamin D levels than coastal
33	fishermen (21.53 ng/ml vs. 27.36 ng/ml, p < 0.001). Limitations include potential selection bias
34	due to convenience sampling and the use of chemiluminescent immunoassay instead of the gold-
35	standard LC-MS/MS assay. This study provides the first population-specific reference intervals
36	for serum 25(OH)D in Bangladesh, accounting for unique sun exposure patterns and ethnic

- 37 factors, and sets a deficiency threshold at 12.16 ng/ml. These findings are critical for guiding
- 38 targeted interventions against vitamin D deficiency in this region.
- 39 Keywords: Vitamin D, parathyroid hormone, reference interval, cut-off value, deflection point,
- 40 coastal fishermen, urban population, Bangladesh

## 41 Introduction

42 Vitamin D, a crucial fat-soluble vitamin, plays a vital role in various physiological functions,

43 including calcium and phosphorus homeostasis, immune function, and cell growth modulation(1-

44 4). Consequently, its deficiency can lead to a range of diseases and disabilities (3, 5, 6). Despite

45 Bangladesh's favourable geographical location, the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency remains

46 high even among seemingly healthy individuals (7, 8).

47 Current reference intervals for vitamin D are predominantly derived from studies conducted in 48 Western populations and may not accurately reflect the physiological needs or health outcomes 49 of diverse global populations (9, 10). Variations in genetics, skin pigmentation, dietary habits, 50 and sun exposure significantly impact vitamin D levels, rendering universal reference intervals 51 potentially inappropriate for many non-Western populations. Genetic polymorphisms affecting 52 vitamin D metabolism and receptor activity vary among ethnic groups, leading to different optimal levels of serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D] required for health (11, 12). 53 54 Consequently, a one-size-fits-all approach can result in incorrect diagnoses, unnecessary 55 supplementation, potential toxicity, or under-treatment and persistence of deficiency-related 56 health issues.

57 Therefore, establishing a vitamin D reference interval specific to Bangladesh is crucial for 58 accurate diagnosis and effective management of vitamin D deficiency. This study aims to 59 establish the reference interval of serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D] levels for the adult 60 population of Bangladesh by examining two distinct groups: adequately sun-exposed healthy 61 coastal fishermen and urban individuals with limited sun exposure. 62 Also, the study determined the lower cut-off value of vitamin D deficiency level in Bangladeshi 63 adults by detecting the deflection point of serum intact parathyroid hormone (iPTH) level 64 compared to serum 25(OH)D level. Vitamin D deficiency physiologically induces increased

65 synthesis/production of parathyroid hormone.

66

### 67 Methodology

68 The study was conducted from January 1, 2017, to December 31, 2021. The study protocol was 69 approved by the institutional ethics review board. Informed consent was obtained from all 70 participants, and data confidentiality was strictly maintained.

#### 71 Study site and population:

The study was conducted in two geographical locations: Cox's Bazar, a coastal district, about
298 km south of Dhaka city along the coast of Bay of Bengal with abundant sunlight; and Dhaka,
the capital city, characterized by limited sun exposure of its inhabitants due to urbanization. The
study was conducted from January 1, 2017, to December 31, 2021.

76

77

#### 78 Study design:

79 Cross-sectional study design was employed to assess vitamin D levels in two population groups: 80 adequately sun-exposed healthy coastal fishermen (Group-1) and urban residents with limited 81 sun exposure (Group-2). Group-1 consisted of healthy adult coastal fishermen aged 18 years and 82 above from Cox's Bazar, who are regularly and adequately exposed to sunlight and consume 83 marine fish, a rich source of vitamin D. Adequate sun exposure was defined as exposure to direct 84 sunlight at least for 30 minutes, between 11 am and 2 pm, three times a week (13). Group-1 85 individuals (coastal fishermen) were considered as reference population for determining the 86 vitamin D reference interval of local population. Group-2 comprised of ostensibly healthy urban 87 residents from Dhaka, aged 18 years and above, with limited sun exposure due to lifestyle and 88 environmental factors.

#### 89 Exclusion Criteria:

90 Any individual who refused to participate in the study or who were physically and

91 psychologically handicapped, had known acute or chronic illnesses like diabetes mellitus,

92 hypertension, liver disease or gastrointestinal disorders, kidney disease, malignancy, metabolic

93 bone disease, primary hyperparathyroidism or lactose intolerance were excluded. Anyone with

94 relevant biochemical abnormalities and on anti-epileptic drugs was also excluded.

95 For Group-1, anyone who was consuming either vitamin D or calcium-containing products or

96 who had ever consumed such drugs in the past was excluded.

97

#### 98 Sampling technique and sample size:

99 Convenience sampling was used to select participants from both groups. The sample size was

100 determined based on the desired confidence limits and the variability in vitamin D levels

101 observed in preliminary studies. Adequate sample sizes were ensured to provide statistically

102 significant results.

#### **Data collection tools and procedures:**

104 Sociodemographic and clinical data were collected using structured questionnaires. Height,

105 weight, and blood pressure were measured, and blood samples were collected for estimation of

106 serum 25(OH)D, iPTH levels and relevant biochemical parameters. The biochemical analyses

107 were conducted using standardized procedures to ensure accuracy and reliability.

#### 108 **Collection of blood and biochemical investigations:**

About 10 ml ofvenous blood was collected aseptically from each participant after counselling and thorough clinical evaluation. Serum was separated immediately and was preserved at -60<sup>o</sup>C for biochemical analysis. Chemiluminescent micro-particle immunoassay (CMIA) was used to estimate serum 25(OH)D level (14) by Architect analyser. Based on the Endocrine Society guideline (9), vitamin D level was categorised as: adequate/normal range: 75-100 nmol/L (30-

114 40ng/ml), insufficient: 50 – 74.9 nmol/L (20ng/ml-29.99ng/ml) and deficient: <50 nmol/L

115 (<20ng/ml). Samples were tested for random blood glucose (RBG), serum creatinine, calcium,

- 116 PO4, albumin, alkaline phosphatase and iPTH by Architect autoanalyser at the biochemistry
- 117 laboratory of BIRDEM.

#### 118 Statistical Analyses:

119 Statistical analyses were conducted using descriptive and inferential methods to summarize the

120 data and assess factors influencing vitamin D levels. Chi-square tests, correlation analyses, and

121 regression models were employed to explore these relationships. MedCalc version 14 statistical

122 software was utilized to calculate reference intervals (95%, double-sided) following parametric,

- non-parametric, and robust methods as outlined in the CLSI C28-A3 guideline(15). A 90%
- 124 confidence interval (CI) was used for these reference intervals, applying the appropriate
- 125 statistical techniques.
- 126 To determine the lower cutoff value of vitamin D deficiency, the deflection point of serum iPTH

127 levels was identified using a non-linear model. Piecewise linear regression, applied through the

- 128 Python plugin, was used to fit two separate lines before and after the deflection point (or "break"
- 129 point), where the relationship between vitamin D and PTH shifts.
- 130 The equation used in this case represented as follows:

131  $y = (k1 \cdot x + y0 - k1 \cdot x0f \text{ or} x < x0k2 \cdot x + y0 - k2 \cdot x0f \text{ or} x \ge x0$ 

132 In this model:

- x represents Vitamin D level (independent variable).
- y represents PTH level (dependent variable).

135 •	$x_0$ is the	deflection	point	where	the two	lines meet.
-------	--------------	------------	-------	-------	---------	-------------

- 136  $y_0$  is the PTH level at the deflection point.
- $k_1$  is the slope of the line before the deflection point (for x<x<sub>0</sub>).
- K<sub>2</sub> is the slope of the line after the deflection point (for  $x \ge x_0$ ).
- 139 The steps for calculating the deflection point include:
- 140 1. Initial estimation: A starting guess for  $x_0$  is made based on visual inspection or prior
- 141 knowledge.

Curve fitting: The SciPy curve fit function optimizes x<sub>0</sub>, y<sub>0</sub>, k<sub>1</sub>and k<sub>2</sub> by minimizing the
 difference between the model and observed data.

144 3. Confidence intervals: Standard errors are used to calculate 95% confidence intervals for
145 the estimates.

146 This approach effectively identifies the point where the relationship between vitamin D and PTH147 levels changes.

#### 148 Ethical Consideration

149 The Ethics Review Committee (ERC) of the Centre for Higher Studies and Research,

150 Bangladesh University of Professionals and Diabetic Association of Bangladesh has approved

- 151 the protocol. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to study
- 152 enrolment and blood sample collection. The aims and objectives of the study, as well as its
- 153 procedure, were explained to each participant. Participants were assured that all information and

- 154 records would be kept confidential and only used for research purposes. Participants had the
- 155 right to withdraw from the study at any time.

156

157

#### Result 158

- 159 Total 125 and 371 individuals were enrolled in Group 1 and Group 2 respectively. Group-1 study
- 160 population had a mean age of 37.98±11.61 years, (95% CI :35.93, 40.04), and a mean BMI of
- 161 22.22±3.15 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, (95% CI:21.66, 22.77). Detail anthropometric and biochemical parameters of
- 162 Group-1 study population is shown in Table 1.

105	Table 1: Anthropome	tric and block	nemical paramete	ers of Group-I (coastal fish	nermen) study population (n=1
	Parameters	n(%)	Mean±SD	95% CI of Mean	
	Age(years)				
	18-30	40(32.0)	25.48±3.68	24.3, 26.65	
	31-40	40(32.0)	35.90±2.97	34.95, 36.85	
	41-50	28(22.4)	46.68±3.32	45.39, 47.97	
	>50	17(13.6)	58.00±3.35	56.28, 59.72	
	Total	125	37.98±11.61	35.93, 40.04	
	Height(cm)	125	161.10±7.57	159.76, 162.44	
	Weight(kg)	125	57.52±8.37	56.04, 59.00	
	BMI				
	<18.5	10(8.0)	16.69±1.69	15.48, 17.90	
	18.5-24.9	91(72.8)	21.57±1.74	21.21, 21.93	
	25-29.9	24(19.2)	26.97±1.46	26.35, 27.58	
	RBS (mmol/l)		6.01±0.87	5.85, 6.16	
	S creatinine (mg/dl)		.8992±0.11	0.88, 0.92	
	S albumin (g/L)		45.4024±2.92	44.89, 45.92	
	S calcium (mg/dl)		9.3720±0.44	9.29, 9.45	
	S PO4 (mg/dl)		3.2888±0.54	3.19, 3.38	
	S Alk Phos (U/L)		79.86±16.38	76.96, 82.76	
	S iPTH (pg/ml)		20.6264±8.85	19.06, 22.19	

Table 1: Anthronometric and biochemical parameters of Group-1(coastal fishermen) study population (n=125) 162

- 164 Note: RBS= random blood sugar, Alk Phos= alkaline phosphatase (Normal:44 to 147 IU/L),
- 165 iPTH= intact parathyroid hormone. (Normal:10-65 pg/ml)

- 167 Group-2 included a total of 371 urban healthy adults. Among the 371 participants, 47.2% were
- 168 male and 52.8% were female, with a mean age of  $44.19 \pm 11.48$  years. BMI of the majority of
- 169 the participants were between 18.5 to 29.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>). Detail socio-demographic and anthropometric
- 170 characteristics of Group-2 study population is shown in Table 2.
- 171

Variables	Total N (%)	Mean±SD	95% CI of Mean
Category			
Gender			
Male	175 (47.2)	-	
Female	196 (52.8)	-	
Age group* (years)		-	
19 to 44	173 (46.6)	34.34±6.68	33.34, 35.34
45 to 64	182 (49.1)	51.65±4.69	50.97, 52.34
65 to 79	14 (3.8)	68.21±2.75	66.63, 69.80
> 80	1 (0.3)	$80.00\pm$	-
Total	371	$44.19 \pm 11.48$	??
Height (cm)	371(100)	161.65±7.86	160.85, 162.45
Weight (kg)	371(100)	68.61±10.86	67.51, 69.72
BMI**(kg/m <sup>2</sup> )			
<18.5	4(1.1)	17.55±0.85	16.20, 18.91
18.5-24.9	146(39.4)	23.08±1.45	22.84, 23.32
25-29.9	164(44.2)	27.18±1.36	26.98, 27.39
>30	57(15.4)	32.49±2.60	31.80, 33.18

- 173 \*MeSH classification, \*\*WHO classification. CI = confidence interval
- 174

- However, there was a significant difference among BMI groups (F = 3.377, p = .037). Post-hoc
- testing using Bonferroni correction revealed that individuals with the highest BMI (25-29.9
- 179 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) had significantly (p = .037) lower serum 25(OH)D levels compared to those with the
- 180 lowest BMI (<18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), with a mean difference of -6.82 ng/ml. No significant differences
- 181 were observed between other BMI groups (Table 3).

<sup>175</sup> The mean serum vitamin D level of Group 1 study population was 27.36±7.27 ng/ml, (95% CI

<sup>176 :26.08, 28.65).</sup> No significant difference was found in vitamin D levels amongst age groups.

182

25(OH)D n (%) 95% CI of mean ANOVA Mean  $\pm$  SD (ng/ml) Age(years) 18-30 40  $28.30 \pm 7.77$ 25.82, 30.78 31-40  $27.21 \pm 7.48$ 24.82, 29.60 40 F = 0.506, p = .67941-50 28 23.63, 28.57  $26.10 \pm 6.37$ >50 17  $27.59 \pm 7.30$ 23.84, 31.34 BMI (kg/m2) <18.5 10  $32.76 \pm 8.71$ 26.53, 39.00 F = 3.37, p = .03718.5-24.9 91  $27.14 \pm 7.14$ 25.66, 28.63 25-29.9 24  $25.95\pm6.43$ 23.23, 28.66 All 125  $27.36 \pm 7.27$ 26.08, 28.65

183	Table 3. Age and BMI-specific serum Vitamin D levels of Group-1 study population (n=	=125)
-----	--	-------

184  $\overline{\text{CI}} = \text{confidence interval},$ 

185

186 There was no correlation between serum vitamin D level and age (r = -.05511; p = .5416; 95%

187 CI: -0.2285, 0.1217). A significant negative linear correlation was found between vitamin D and

BMI in the Pearson correlation coefficient test (r = -.2677; p = .0025; 95% CI: -0.4234, -

189 0.09662) (Figure 1). There was no significant correlation between serum 25(OH) D and serum

190 iPTH (r = -.1635; p = .0685; 95%CI: -0.3296, 0.01249), and other relevant biochemical

191 parameters (Table 4). Based on the Endocrine Society cut-off value (9), 86 (68.8%) participants

192 had < 30ng/ml vitamin D Levels (Table 5). There was no significant difference in serum iPTH

193 level and other relevant biochemical parameters amongst different vitamin D status groups (Table

194 6).

195

190 Figure 1: Correlation between serum 25(OH)D and BI	196	Figure 1: Correlation bet	tween serum 25(OH)D	and BMI
--	-----	---------------------------	---------------------	---------

I	98	
1	00	

200	biochemical parameters	

Parameters	r	p-value	95% CI for r
S. Calcium (mg/dl)	06803	.4510	-0.2408, 0.1089
S. PO4 (mg/dl)	.06004	.5060	-0.1168, 0.2332

S. Alk Phos (U/L)	1612	.0725	-0.3275, 0.01484
S. iPTH (pg/ml)	1635	.0685	-0.3296, 0.01249
CI = confidence interval,			
Table 5: Serum Vitamin the Endocrine Society cu	D status o t-off value	f the Group e (9)	-1 (coastal fishermen) stu
Status		n (%)	Serum 25(OH)D
Status		п (70)	Mean±SD (ng/ml)
Deficient (<20ng/ml)		23 (18.4)	17.96±1.69
Insufficient (21ng/ml-29	.9ng/ml)	63 (50.4)	25.52±2.53
Adequate(≥30ng/ml)		39 (31.2)	35.90±5.16
All cases		125	27.36±7.27

<sup>212</sup> 

Table 6. Levels of different serum biochemical markers related to vitamin D status of Groups-1 study population (n=125)

- · · ·	Serum 25-hyd	lroxy vitamin D	1		
Parameters	Deficient	Insufficient	Adequate	ANOVA	
S Calcium (mg/dl)	9.42±0.37	9.37±0.42	9.34±0.51	F = .202	p = .818
S PO4 (mg/dl)	3.25±0.67	3.26±0.52	$3.36 \pm 0.48$	F = .483	p = .618
S Alk Phos (U/L)	80.61±20.14	80.89±12.17	77.77±19.24	F = .462	p = .631
S iPTH (pg/ml)	21.63±7.49	20.81±9.46	$19.73 \pm 8.68$	F = .361	p = .698

215

216 Reference interval of vitamin D of coastal fishermen (Reference population) Based on CLSI

217 Guidelines C28-A3, 90% CI was used to calculate the reference interval of the Group-1

218 reference population (coastal fishermen). The calculated reference interval of serum vitamin D

value by parametric, non-parametric and robust methods were 15.78-44.29, 15.88-45.27 and

220 15.69-44.68 ng/ml, respectively (Figure 2, Table 7). Later in the study, the vitamin D status of the

urban population was determined based on the fishermen's reference interval.

222

223 Figure 2: Reference interval of vitamin D. (95% Reference interval, Double-sided)

224

225Table 7. Reference interval of vitamin D levels calculated by different methods using serum 25(OH)D level of226Group-1 study population

_	Methods	Serum 25(OH)D (ng/ ml)
	A. Based on Normal distribution	
	Lower limit (90% CI)	15.78 (14.7581, 16.8828)

	Upper limit (90% CI)	44.29 (41.4114, 47.3733)			
	B. Non-parametric percentile method (CLSI C28-A3)				
	Lower limit (90% CI) 15.87 (14.2600, 16.9200)				
	Upper limit (90% CI)	45.2670 (41.1100, 51.8500)			
	C. Robust method (CLSI C28-A3)				
	Lower limit (90% CI <sup>a</sup> )	15.6855 (14.6794, 16.8548)			
	Upper limit (90% CI <sup>a</sup> )	44.6759 (41.8912, 47.5948)			
227 228 229	90% CI = 90% confidence interval. <sup>a</sup> Bootstrap confidence interval (10000 iteration	s; random number seed: 978).			
230	The mean vitamin D level in the urban population was 21.53±15.98 ng/ml (95% CI:19.9, 23.16),				
231	with a median of 17.18 ng/ml and a range of 3.48 to 147.32 ng/ml. There was no significant				
232	difference in vitamin D levels between male (20.16±16.18 ng/ml) and female (22.76±15.74				
233	ng/ml) participants using parametric methods (p $> .05$ , 95% CI: -5.86, 0.66). However,				
234	nonparametric methods indicated significantly higher levels in females (p=.008). Table 8 shows				
235	that mean serum vitamin D levels were significantly higher in older age groups ( $p = .001$ ) and				
236	positively correlated with age in urban populations ( $r = .174$ , $p = .001$ , 95% CI:0.073,				
237	0.271;Figure-3). There were no signific	cant differences in vitamin D levels between BMI groups			
238	(F = 0.173, p > .05).				

Table 8. Age (MeSH classification) and BMI (WHO classification) specific serum Vitamin D levels of Group-2
 study population (n=371)

Variable	n (%)	Mean±SD	95% CI for	t-test/ANOVA
		(ng/ml)	Mean	
Gender				
Male	175	20.16±16.18	596066	
Female	196	22.76±15.74	-5.80, 0.00	p = .118
Age groups (years)*				
19 to 44	173(46.6)	18.35±15.67	15.99, 20.70	
45 to 64	182(49.1)	23.46±15.32	21.21, 25.70	F=5.990, p=.001
65 to 79	14(3.8)	30.15±15.00	21.49, 38.81	
80 years and over	1(.3)	50.49.		
BMI (kg/m2)				
<18.5	4(1.1)	19.29±10.57	2.47, 36.12	
18.5-24.9	146(39.4)	20.88±15.67	18.32, 23.44	F = .173, p = .915

	25-29.9	164(44.2)	21.94±17.67	19.21, 24.66	
	>30	57(15.4)	22.18±11.60	19.10, 25.26	
	Total Gr-2 study population	371(100)	21.53±15.98	19.90, 23.16	
242 243 244 245	*One subject aged 18 years ex samples t-test) and non-param **Parametric (independent-sa	ccluded; **Pa etric (indeper mples t-test)	rametric (indepo ndent-samples M	endent-samples t-test); *Both paran Iann-Whitney U test) methods.	netric (independent-
246	Figure-3: Correlation bet	ween age a	nd vitamin D		
247		C			
248	According to the Endocri	ine Society	cut-off value	(9), 83.6% of the urban popu	lation had below-
	C C	2			
249	normal (inadequate) vita	min D leve	ls. However,	using the fishermen's referen	ce intervals
			,	5	
250	established in the first ph	ase of this	study only 44	4.2% of the urban population	were found to
200	•••••••				
251	have below-normal vitan	nin D levels	(Table 9) A	pproximately 47% of individ	uals classified as
-01			(10010 )).11		
252	having inadequate vitami	in D levels	by the Endoc	rine Society's criteria were ac	tually within the
202	nuting indequate than			the Society's criteria were ac	tually within the
253	adequate range based on	the fisherm	en's reference	e interval (Error! Reference	source not
235	adequate range based on	the fisherin	ien s reference		source not
254	found) The mean serun	n vitamin D	level was sig	mificantly lower in the urban	aroun
234	iound.). The mean serun		level was sig	sinneantry lower in the droan	group
255	$(21.52\pm15.09 \text{ mg/ml})$ com	marad to fi	aharman (27	$26\pm7.27$ mg/ml): 0.59/ CI [ 7.0	)1  2.761  n < 1000
233	$(21.33\pm13.98 \text{ lig/lill})$ coll	ipared to In	shermen (27	$50\pm7.27$ lig/lill), 95% CI [-7.5	/1, <b>-</b> 5./0], p <
250	001				
230	.001.				

Table 9. Vitamin D status of the urban people based on the Endocrine society cut-off value and fishermen's
 reference interval

	Vitamin D status of the Gr-2 people	Below normal	Normal	Above normal
		n (%)	n (%)	n (%)
	Based on ES cut-off value	310 (83.6)	27(7.3)	34(9.2)
		Deficiency 221(59.6)		
		Insufficiency 89(24.0)		
	Based on fishermen's cut-off value	164(44.2)	184(49.6)	23(6.2)
259	$\Theta$ ES = Endocrine society, FM = coastal fishermen (Gr-1)			

<sup>260</sup> 

261 The mean serum iPTH level in the urban population was  $62.80 \pm 31.67$  pg/ml, with a median of

262 56.40 pg/ml, ranging from 10.20 to 227.54 pg/ml. There was a significant negative correlation

263 between serum vitamin D and serum iPTH (r = -0.233, 95% CI: -0.3273, -0.1347; p < .001)

- 264 (Figure ). However, the significant differences in PTH values among different vitamin D
- 265 segments indicate a nonlinear relationship between vitamin D and PTH (Table 10).

266

- Figure -4: Correlation between serum vitamin D and serum iPTH in urban population 267
- 268

269	Table 10. Mean PTH level in different vitamin D segments based on
270	Endocrine society cut-off value

2				
Vit D status	ng/mL	n	iPTH(pg/ml)	95% CI of
			Mean*	mean
Vitamin D deficiency	<20	221	66.94	62.68, 71.21
Vitamin D insufficiency	20 - 29.9	89	60.47	53.68, 67.26
Vitamin D normal range	30-40	27	59.89	49.89, 69.90
Vitamin D above normal range	>40	34	44.33	35.90, 52.76
* D'00 0 · · · 0	1 1 10/1	1 0 .	· C (E	5.5.5 0.0.1)

271 \* Difference of means is significant at <1% level of significance (F=5.55, p=.001)

272

273	The LOWESS (locally weighted scatter plot smoothing) method was employed to illustrate the
274	relationship between serum iPTH and serum 25(OH)D. It was found that as vitamin D level
275	decreased, PTH level decreased until reaching a plateau (Figure-5). This plateau, known as the
276	PTH deflection point, indicates the lower cut-off for optimal serum vitamin D levels. Using
277	piecewise linear regression with the Python plugin in SPSS, the visually estimated deflection
278	point of 12.5 ng/ml was refined to 12.16 ng/ml, with a 95% confidence interval ranging from
279	11.04 ng/ml to 13.28 ng/ml.

280

281 Figure-5: The scatterplot with LOESS fitting (50%) illustrates the relationship between vitamin D and PTH, with 282 283 vitamin D (ng/ml) on the x-axis and serum iPTH (pg/ml) on the y-axis. The vertical line indicates the PTH deflection point using the raw data.

284

#### 285 **Discussion**

#### 286 Health

287 The study focused on the health of Group-1 (coastal fishermen), ensuring all participants were 288 clinically and biochemically healthy. This prerequisite allowed for an accurate determination of 289 the reference interval for vitamin D. The coastal environment, with its pollution-free air, 290 excellent sun exposure, and consumption of marine fish, ensured vitamin D adequacy for this 291 group. Prior studies, such as the 1958 birth cohort study in Scotland, have also linked coastal 292 climates with higher levels of 25(OH)D due to increased sun exposure (16). The inclusion of 293 only male participants in Group 1 to ensure adequate sun exposure might be seen as a limitation. 294 However, other studies indicate no significant gender-specific differences in vitamin D levels (8, 295 17).

Overweight subjects were excluded from Group 1, yet a significant negative correlation between vitamin D and BMI was observed, consistent with previous findings (18-21). Studies consistently show an inverse relationship between BMI and vitamin D levels, highlighting the impact of body weight on vitamin D status (22-24). The lack of correlation between age and serum vitamin D levels in Group 1 suggests the overall good health of the study population. This may obscure the typical association between lower vitamin D levels and older age, often attributed to reduced outdoor activity and impaired kidney function in older adults (25).

Health status of the Group-2 participants was not as strictly controlled, with only those with
acute illnesses being excluded. This approach aligns with other studies examining the correlation
between PTH and vitamin D, which often include people with a broader range of health statuses
(26-32).

#### 307 Vitamin D Status

308

fishermen and urban groups had low vitamin D levels, with means of 27.36±7.27 ng/ml and
21.53±15.98 ng/ml, respectively. This high prevalence of vitamin D inadequacy aligns with
recent studies reporting widespread vitamin D insufficiency or deficiency in Bangladesh (8, 33-

In the present study, irrespective of the adequacy of sun exposure, most participants in both the

312 39). For instance, Mahmood et al. (2017) found that 100% of garment workers and 97% of

313 agricultural and construction workers had low vitamin D levels. Garment workers, in particular,

are at high risk due to limited sun exposure and poor nutritional status (40).

Within urban participants, hospital staff had lower vitamin D levels and a higher prevalence of inadequacy compared to healthy volunteers. This finding is supported by multiple studies indicating a high prevalence of vitamin D inadequacy among health personnel (41-46). The positive correlation between vitamin D and age in the urban population may be explained by higher supplement use among older individuals (43, 47, 48). Vitamin D supplementation, as shown in various studies, significantly increases serum 25(OH)D levels (43, 49).

The higher vitamin D levels in coastal fishermen compared to urban dwellers underscore the importance of sunlight exposure. Similar studies, such as those by Lee et al. (2018) and Haddad and Chyu (1971), also reported higher vitamin D levels in individuals with significant sun

exposure. Despite this, around 70% of coastal fishermen in this study had low vitamin D levels
according to the Endocrine Society's cut-off, raising questions about the validity of the use of
current threshold values. Previous studies in heavily sun-exposed regions in India, report similar
findings of low vitamin D levels despite abundant sun exposure (50, 51).

#### 328 **Reference Interval and Cut-off Point**

The reference intervals for vitamin D established in this study using healthy coastal fishermen population may represent the optimal levels for the Bangladeshi population. The reasoning behind this conclusion lies in both the nature of the studied group and the limitations of previous definitions of "optimal" vitamin D status.

333 Historically, earlier studies that defined vitamin D thresholds were methodologically flawed.

Those studies failed to adequately consider factors such as sun exposure, health, and geographic

variation (52-54). The use of populations with insufficient sun exposure led to a skewed

understanding of vitamin D requirements. For example, previous normative ranges (10-55

337 ng/mL) did not accurately capture true vitamin D deficiency, especially in groups with limited

338 sun exposure. In contrast, populations like coastal fishermen, who have high exposure to

339 sunlight, provide a more accurate picture of what might be the "natural" or optimal vitamin D

340 levels for people living in sun-rich environments like Bangladesh.

341 In this study, a reference interval of 15.88 ng/mL (90% CI: 14.26–16.92) to 45.27 ng/mL (90%

342 CI: 41.11–51.85) was determined using non-parametric methods aligning with the Clinical and

343 Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) Guidelines(55). The non-parametric approach is

344 appropriate given the asymmetry of the data, providing a robust understanding of the distribution

in the fishermen population. The upper limit of 45.27 ng/mL aligns with the commonly accepted
upper limit proposed by the Endocrine Society, suggesting the upper threshold of vitamin D
status is consistent across various populations.

However, the lower limit of 15.88 ng/mL stands below the traditionally accepted threshold levels
(often cited as 20-30 ng/mL). This finding is supported by evidence from other population where
lower cut-off values for vitamin D sufficiency have been proposed. For instance, studies from
South Korea (56), India (30), China (28), and Greece (29) have consistently shown lower
thresholds of 13-20 ng/mL. The range in this study is closer to those findings, implying that
vitamin D requirements are likely influenced by both environmental and genetic factors, and that
a universal cut-off point may not be applicable globally.

355 Moreover, PTH dynamics provide additional support for these lower thresholds. Vitamin D 356 inadequacy is often marked by increased PTH levels, which serve as a surrogate marker for 357 deficiency. However, in this study, no significant correlation between vitamin D and PTH levels 358 was found in the coastal fishermen, unlike in the urban population, where a deflection point in 359 PTH occurred at levels far below the Endocrine Society's recommended 30 ng/mL. Studies 360 involving other population have noted similar findings: PTH rises as vitamin D level drops 361 below 8-21.1 ng/mL (26, 28-30, 32, 57-60). These studies suggest that a threshold for PTH 362 deflection, and thus vitamin D sufficiency, may indeed be lower than previously thought. The 363 absence of PTH deflection in coastal fishermen may indicate the overall vitamin D adequacy of 364 this population.

365	Additional support in favour of our low reference interval and lower cut-off values for vitamin D
366	sufficiency comes from recent randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and cohort studies. For
367	instance, post hoc analyses from trials like VITAL and ViDA revealed that vitamin D
368	supplementation benefited participants only when baseline levels were below 12-15 ng/mL (61-
369	63). Martineau et al. (2017) noted that vitamin D supplementation was most effective in
370	preventing respiratory infections in participants with baseline levels below 10 ng/mL (64). These
371	findings argue against higher supplementation thresholds and support a more moderate cut-off
372	for deficiency. Furthermore, based on epidemiological evidence, Manson et al. (2016) and the
373	Institute of Medicine (IOM), supports a deficiency threshold closer to 12.5 ng/mL(63, 65).
374	Studies in pregnancy and diabetes prevention also point toward 15 ng/mL as a sufficient level for
375	avoiding adverse outcomes (31, 66).
376	Based on this evidence, it is logical to conclude that the reference interval identified in the
377	current study (15.88–45.27 ng/mL) could reflect the optimal range for the Bangladeshi
378	population. The high sun exposure in coastal fishermen makes this population an ideal reference
379	group, better reflecting the biological requirements of individuals in sun-rich environments like
380	Bangladesh. Moreover, the lower limit of this range is consistent with thresholds suggested by
381	contemporary studies that challenge higher vitamin D cut-off points. Thus, considering the
382	surrogate evidence, PTH dynamics, and recent trial data, this study provides a more region-
383	specific, evidence-backed approach in determining optimal vitamin D levels.

## 384 Strengths and Limitations of this Study

385	•	The study employed a cross-sectional design, sampling both coastal fishermen and urban
386		residents to establish the reference interval of vitamin D and determine the lower cutoff
387		value.
388	•	Reference intervals were established following the Clinical and Laboratory Standards
389		Institute (CLSI) Guidelines C28-A3 for precise comparison across populations, and the
390		lower cutoff value was identified using the PTH deflection point.
391	•	Data collection included structured questionnaires, anthropometric measurements, and
392		standardized biochemical testing to ensure accuracy and reliability.
393	•	The study did not employ strict random sampling, which may introduce selection bias
394		and limit generalizability.
395	•	The absence of a gold-standard LC-MS/MS vitamin D assay may affect the precision of
396		biochemical measurements.

397 Conclusion and Recommendations

Vitamin D, an essential micronutrient, is endogenously produced when exposed to sunlight.
Despite the global prevalence of vitamin D deficiency/insufficiency, landmark trials have not
justified routine vitamin D supplementation for the general population. The optimal vitamin D
level remains controversial and may vary depending on population and geography. Bangladesh,
with its ample sunlight, still faces widespread vitamin D inadequacy according to current
reference values. This study's findings on healthy coastal fishermen provide critical insights into
the optimal serum vitamin D levels for Bangladeshis. Additionally, the study has defined the

- 405 lower normal limit of vitamin D sufficiency by determining the serum iPTH deflection point,
- 406 suggesting a lower optimal range than currently used.
- 407 Thus, the findings of the present study would help in guiding clinicians and policymakers in
- 408 developing appropriate treatment and supplementation guidelines for Bangladeshi population.

### 409 Data Availability Statement

- 410 The dataset supporting the conclusions of this study is available in the Zenodo repository, DOI:
- 411 <u>10.5281/zenodo.13950605</u>. Additional materials, such as the technical appendix and statistical code,
- 412 can also be accessed at this DOI.

## 413 Funding Statement

414 The research received funding from the Bangladesh Medical Research Council (BMRC).

### 415 **Conflict of Interest**

416 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

### 417 Author Contributions

- 418 Professor Wasim Md Mohosin Ul Haque made substantial contributions as the primary
- 419 researcher, leading the data collection, analysis, and drafting of the manuscript. Professors
- 420 Jalaluddin Ashraful Haq, Md. Faruque Pathan, and Mohammed Abu Sayeed contributed
- 421 equally to the conception, design, interpretation of the data, and critical revision of the

- 422 manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors provided final approval of the version
- 423 to be published and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work, ensuring that any
- 424 questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part are appropriately investigated and
- 425 resolved.

### 426 **Corresponding author**

- 427 Professor Wasim Md Mohosin Ul Haque
- 428 E-mail ID: <u>wmmhaque@live.com</u>
- 429 Mobile number: +8801915472750

## 430 **Co-authors:**

- 431 Professors Jalaluddin Ashraful Haq
- 432 Email: jahaq54@yahoo.com
- 433 Professors Md. Faruque Pathan
- 434 Email: pathan279@yahoo.com
- 435 Professors Mohammed Abu Sayeed
- 436 Email: sayeed1950@gmail.com
- 437

## 438 **References:**

439	1.	Layana AG, Minnella AM, Garhöfer G, Aslam T, Holz FG, Leys A, et al.
440	Vitamin D and Age-Related Macu	Ilar Degeneration. Nutrients. 2017;9(10):1120.
441 442	2. pharmacology & pharmacothera	Nair R, Maseeh A. Vitamin D: The "sunshine" vitamin. Journal of peutics. 2012;3(2):118-26.
443	3.	Rebelos E, Tentolouris N, Jude E. The Role of Vitamin D in Health and
444	Disease: A Narrative Review on tl	he Mechanisms Linking Vitamin D with Disease and the Effects of
445	Supplementation. Drugs. 2023;83	3(8):665-85.
446 447	4. Res. 2014;7:69-87.	Yin K, Agrawal DK. Vitamin D and inflammatory diseases. J Inflamm
448	5.	Ahmed S, Goldberg GR, Raqib R, Roy SK, Haque S, Braithwaite VS, et
449	al. Aetiology of nutritional rickets	s in rural Bangladeshi children. Bone. 2020;136:115357.
450	6.	Islam MZ, Bhuiyan N, Akhtaruzzaman M, Lamberg-Allardt C,
451	Fogelholm M. Vitamin D deficien	cy in Bangladesh: A review of prevalence, causes and recommendations
452	for mitigation. Asia Pacific journa	Il of clinical nutrition. 2022;31:167-80.
453	7.	Haque WMMU, Pathan MF, Sayeed M. Vitamin D status of healthy
454	coastal fishermen of Bangladesh.	IMC Journal of Medical Science. 2019;13(2):35-9.
455	8.	Acherjya GK, Ali M, Tarafder K, Akhter N, Chowdhury MK, Islam DU, et
456	al. Study of vitamin D deficiency a	among the apparently healthy population in Jashore, Bangladesh.
457	Mymensingh medical journal. 202	19;28(1):214-21.
458	9.	Holick MF, Binkley NC, Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Gordon CM, Hanley DA,
459	Heaney RP, et al. Evaluation, Trea	atment, and Prevention of Vitamin D Deficiency: an Endocrine Society
460	Clinical Practice Guideline. The Jo	ournal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism. 2011;96(7):1911-30.
461	10.	Chopra B, Singh S, Singh K. Is there A Need to Reasses Reference
462	Levels of Vitamin D for India ?-A I	Preliminary Survey of Vitamin D Levels in the Normal Population of
463	Punjab. International Journal of S	Science and Research. 2015;4(1):1246-8.
464 465 466	11. Bone mineral density and the risk 2005;293(17):2102-8.	Cauley JA, Lui LY, Ensrud KE, Zmuda JM, Stone KL, Hochberg MC, et al. k of incident nonspinal fractures in black and white women. Jama.
467 468 469	12. for alteration of the vitamin D-en 1985;76(2):470-3.	Bell NH, Greene A, Epstein S, Oexmann MJ, Shaw S, Shary J. Evidence adocrine system in blacks. The Journal of Clinical Investigation.

470 471	13. D status and sun exposure in Ind	Harinarayan CV, Holick MF, Prasad UV, Vani PS, Himabindu G. Vitamin ia. Dermato-endocrinology. 2013;5(1):130-41.
472 473	14. Abbott 25-OH-vitamin D assay or	Hutchinson K, Healy M, Crowley V, Louw M, Rochev Y. Verification of the Architect system. Pract Lab Med. 2017;7:27-35.
474 475	15. verifying reference intervals in th	CLSI CJD, establishing,, laboratory vriitc. Defining, establishing, and ne clinical laboratory; approved guideline. 2008.
476 477 478	16. climate is associated with elevate international. 2015;77:76-84.	Cherrie MP, Wheeler BW, White MP, Sarran CE, Osborne NJ. Coastal ed solar irradiance and higher 25(OH)D level. Environment
479 480 481	17. Prevalence and determinants of 2016;53(2):543-50.	Souberbielle J-C, Massart C, Brailly-Tabard S, Cavalier E, Chanson PJE. vitamin D deficiency in healthy French adults: the VARIETE study.
482 483	18. deficiency in US adults. Nutrition	Forrest KY, Stuhldreher WL. Prevalence and correlates of vitamin D research (New York, NY). 2011;31(1):48-54.
484 485	19. surgical patient: a review. Obesit	Compher CW, Badellino KO, Boullata JI. Vitamin D and the bariatric y surgery. 2008;18(2):220-4.
486	20.	Vanlint S. Vitamin D and obesity. Nutrients. 2013;5(3):949-56.
487 488	21. Dependency of Vitamin D Status	LAGUNOVA Z, POROJNICU AC, LINDBERG F, HEXEBERG S, MOAN J. The on Body Mass Index, Gender, Age and Season. 2009;29(9):3713-20.
489 490 491 492	22. Srinivasamurthy S, Kamalanathar Indian population and analysis of 2017;21(5):765-75.	Selvarajan S, Gunaseelan V, Anandabaskar N, Xavier AS, n SK, et al. Systematic review on vitamin D level in apparently healthy f its associated factors. <i>Indian journal of endocrinology and metabolism</i> .
493 494 495	23. Vitamin D insufficiency is associa North India. Diabetes technology	Bhatt SP, Misra A, Sharma M, Guleria R, Pandey RM, Luthra K, et al. ted with abdominal obesity in urban Asian Indians without diabetes in v & therapeutics. 2014;16(6):392-7.
496 497	24. vitamin D levels. <i>The Journal of t</i> i	Leary PF, Zamfirova I, Au J, McCracken WH. Effect of latitude on <i>he American Osteopathic Association</i> . 2017;117(7):433-9.
498 499	25. 2013;42(2):319-32.	Gallagher JC. Vitamin D and aging. Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am.
500 501	26. Estimation of the cutoff value of	Choi SW, Kweon SS, Choi JS, Rhee JA, Lee YH, Nam HS, et al. vitamin D: the Dong-gu study. J Physiol Anthropol. 2015;34:10.

502 503	27. medicine. 2007;357(3):266-81.	Holick MF. Vitamin D deficiency. The New England journal of
504	28.	Hu Y, Li S, Liu Z, Yang X, Yang L. [Exploring study on the cutoff of
505	vitamin D deficiency in Chinese ad	dults]. Wei Sheng Yan Jiu. 2020;49(5):699-704.
506 507 508	29. D levels in a large Mediterranean 2016;15(2):205-23.	Katrinaki M, Kampa M, Margioris A, Castanas E, Malliaraki N. Vitamin cohort: reconsidering normal cut-off values. Hormones (Athens).
509	30.	Mukhopadhyay P, Ghosh S, Bhattacharjee K, Chowdhury S. Inverse
510	Relationship Between 25 Hydroxy	v Vitamin D and Parathormone: Are there Two Inflection Points? Indian
511	J Endocrinol Metab. 2019;23(4):4	22-7.
512	31.	Rostami M, Simbar M, Amiri M, Bidhendi-Yarandi R, Hosseinpanah F,
513	Ramezani Tehrani F. The optimal	cut-off point of vitamin D for pregnancy outcomes using a generalized
514	additive model. Clin Nutr. 2021;4	0(4):2145-53.
515	32.	Shah S, Chiang C, Sikaris K, Lu Z, Bui M, Zebaze R, et al. Serum 25-
516	Hydroxyvitamin D Insufficiency in	Search of a Bone Disease. The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology &
517	Metabolism. 2017;102(7):2321-8.	
518	33.	Islam MZ, Shamim AA, Kemi V, Nevanlinna A, Akhtaruzzaman M,
519	Laaksonen M, et al. Vitamin D det	ficiency and low bone status in adult female garment factory workers in
520	Bangladesh. Br J Nutr. 2008;99(6)	:1322-9.
521	34.	Mahmood S, Rahman M, Biswas SK, Saqueeb SN, Zaman S,
522	Manirujjaman M, et al. Vitamin D	and Parathyroid Hormone Status in Female Garment Workers: A Case-
523	Control Study in Bangladesh. Bior	ned Res Int. 2017;2017:4105375.
524	35.	Ahmed AS, Haque WMMU, Uddin KN, Abrar FA, Afroz F, Huque HF, et
525	al. Vitamin D and bone mineral de	ensity status among postmenopausal Bangladeshi women. <i>IMC Journal</i>
526	<i>of Medical Science</i> . 2018;12(2):44	I-9.
527 528 529	36. vitamin D level in different socio- 2018;19(1):22-9.	Hossain HT, Islam QT, Khandaker MAK, Ahasan HN. Study of serum demographic population-A pilot study. Journal of Medicine.
530 531 532	37. Bangladeshi adult Muslim female 2018;8(3):203-9.	Shefin SM, Qureshi NK, Nessa A, Latif ZA. Vitamin D status among s having Diabetes and using hijab. BIRDEM Medical Journal.
533	38.	Khan AU, Hossain MA, Rahman MA, Rahman HW, Reza MA, Khan MK,
534	et al. Estimation of vitamin D leve	els among physicians working in a tertiary Level hospital of Bangladesh.
535	Mymensingh medical journal. 201	19;28(2):322-7.

536 39. Siddigee MH, Bhattacharjee B, Siddigi UR, MeshbahurRahman M. High 537 prevalence of vitamin D deficiency among the South Asian adults: a systematic review and meta-538 analysis. BMC Public Health. 2021;21(1):1823. 539 40. Akter S, Zubair M, Haque M. Assessment of nutritional status and food 540 intake pattern of the selected adolescent industrial female workers in Bangladesh. Journal of Science 541 and Technology. 2017;7(1):171-7. 542 41. Bishnoi S, Gombar S, Ahuja V, Bhardwaj N, Kaur J. Vitamin D levels of 543 anesthesiologists working in tertiary care hospital of South Asian country: An observational study. 544 Journal of Anaesthesiology Clinical Pharmacology. 2021;37(2):237-42. 545 42. Dogruel F, Gonen ZB, Canpolat DG, Ocak H, Bayram F. Investigation of 546 Vitamin D levels in medical staff in a dental clinic. Niger J Clin Pract. 2019;22(4):573-7. 547 43. Farooka MW, Aslam SS, Tarar MA, Rafi M, Ahmad A, Rafique N, et al. 548 Vitamin D perspective in front line healthcare workers amid COVID-19. European Journal of Medical and 549 Health Sciences. 2021;3(4):65-72. 550 44. MATINI E, SHAYEGHI F, LAZEMI V, MOJRI N, ESMAEILI H, BAGHER A, et 551 al. Vitamin D Deficiency Among Operating Room Staffs. International Journal of Pharmaceutical 552 Research. 2021;13(1). 553 45. SHAKIBA M, RAFIEI P. PREVALENCE OF VITAMIN D DEFICIENCY 554 AMONG MEDICAL STAFF IN SHAHID SADOUGHI HOSPITAL IN YAZD, IRAN. TOLOO-E-BEHDASHT. 555 2009;7(3-4 (25)):-. 556 46. Sowah D, Fan X, Dennett L, Hagtvedt R, Straube S. Vitamin D levels 557 and deficiency with different occupations: a systematic review. BMC Public Health. 2017;17(1):519. 558 47. Nasri H, Ardalan MR. Association of serum vitamin D level with age in 559 individuals with normal renal function. J Nephropharmacol. 2012;1(1):7-9. 560 48. Khazaei Z, Khazaei S, Beigrezaei S, Nasri H. Vitamin D deficiency in 561 healthy people and its relationship with gender and age. J parathyr dis. 2018;6(1):16-8. 562 49. Whiting SJ, Bonjour JP, Payen FD, Rousseau B. Moderate amounts of 563 vitamin D3 in supplements are effective in raising serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D from low baseline levels in 564 adults: a systematic review. Nutrients. 2015;7(4):2311-23. 565 50. Rattan R, Sahoo D, Mahapatra S. Prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in 566 adults in the coastal regions of Odisha, India. IOSR Journal of Pharmacy and Biological Science. 567 2016;Volume 11(Issue 6):49-52. 568 51. Harinarayan C, Ramalakshmi T, Prasad U, Sudhakar DJIJoMR. Vitamin 569 D status in Andhra Pradesh: a population based study. 2008;127(3):211.

570	52.	Malabanan A, Veronikis IE, Holick MF. Redefining vitamin D
571	insufficiency. Lancet. 1998;351(9	105):805-6.
572 573	53. Important for Health. Public Heal	Holick MF. The Vitamin D Deficiency Pandemic: a Forgotten Hormone th Reviews. 2010;32(1):267-83.
574	54.	Haddad JG, Chyu KJ. Competitive protein-binding radioassay for 25-
575	hydroxycholecalciferol. The Jourr	nal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism. 1971;33(6):992-5.
576	55.	Henny J, Vassault A, Boursier G, Vukasovic I, Mesko Brguljan P,
577	Lohmander M, et al. Recommend	lation for the review of biological reference intervals in medical
578	laboratories. Clin Chem Lab Med.	2016;54(12):1893-900.
579 580 581	56. occupational sun exposure on ser 2018;97(27):e11419.	Lee DH, Park KS, Cho MC. Laboratory confirmation of the effect of rum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentration. Medicine.
582 583 584	57. BT, et al. Hypovitaminosis D in mo 1998;338(12):777-83.	Thomas MK, Lloyd-Jones DM, Thadhani RI, Shaw AC, Deraska DJ, Kitch edical inpatients. The New England journal of medicine.
585 586 587 588	58. Hosseinpanah F, et al. The relatio children and adolescents with ove 2019;14(11):e0225717.	Asghari G, Yuzbashian E, Wagner CL, Mahdavi M, Shamsi R, on between circulating levels of vitamin D and parathyroid hormone in erweight or obesity: Quest for a threshold. PLOS ONE.
589	59.	Atapattu N, Shaw N, Hogler W. Relationship between serum 25-
590	hydroxyvitamin D and parathyroi	d hormone in the search for a biochemical definition of vitamin D
591	deficiency in children. Pediatr Res	s. 2013;74(5):552-6.
592	60.	Metzger M, Houillier P, Gauci C, Haymann JP, Flamant M, Thervet E, et
593	al. Relation between circulating le	evels of 25(OH) vitamin D and parathyroid hormone in chronic kidney
594	disease: quest for a threshold. Th	ne Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism. 2013;98(7):2922-8.
595	61.	LeBoff MS, Chou SH, Murata EM, Donlon CM, Cook NR, Mora S, et al.
596	Effects of Supplemental Vitamin I	D on Bone Health Outcomes in Women and Men in the VITamin D and
597	OmegA-3 TriaL (VITAL). Journal or	f bone and mineral research : the official journal of the American
598	Society for Bone and Mineral Res	rearch. 2020.
599	62.	Reid IR, Horne AM, Mihov B, Gamble GD, Al-Abuwsi F, Singh M, et al.
600	Effect of monthly high-dose vitan	nin D on bone density in community-dwelling older adults substudy of a
601	randomized controlled trial. Journ	nal of internal medicine. 2017;282(5):452-60.
602	63.	Manson J, Brannon PM, Rosen CJ, Taylor CLJTNEjom. Vitamin D
603	deficiency-is there really a pande	mic? 2016;375(19):1817.

604 64. Martineau AR, Jolliffe DA, Hooper RL, Greenberg L, Aloia JF, Bergman
 605 P, et al. Vitamin D supplementation to prevent acute respiratory tract infections: systematic review and
 606 meta-analysis of individual participant data. BMJ (Clinical research ed). 2017;356:i6583.

607 65. Institute of Medicine Committee to Review Dietary Reference Intakes
608 for Vitamin D, Calcium. The National Academies Collection: Reports funded by National Institutes of
609 Health. In: Ross AC, Taylor CL, Yaktine AL, Del Valle HB, editors. Dietary Reference Intakes for Calcium
610 and Vitamin D. The National Academies Collection: Reports funded by National Institutes of Health.
611 Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US)

- 612 Copyright © 2011, National Academy of Sciences.; 2011.
- 61366.Pittas AG, Dawson-Hughes B, Sheehan P, Ware JH, Knowler WC, Aroda614VR, et al. Vitamin D Supplementation and Prevention of Type 2 Diabetes. N Engl J Med. 2019;381(6):520-
- 615 30.
- 616





#### 160.00 ٠ 140.00 Serum Vit-D level (ng/ml) 120.00 ٠ 100.00 80.00 60.00 40.00 20.00 0.00 10 20 30 50 90 0 40 60 70 80 Age



