An institutional COVID-19 initiative: creation of a biobank and serological data analysis in pre- and post-vaccination cohorts

Lorena O Fernandes-Siqueira¹; Raphael RRA Melo¹; Luciana S Wermelinger²; Fabio CL Almeida¹; Didier Salmon¹; Gustavo C Ferreira¹; Andrea T Da Poian^{1*}

¹Instituto de Bioquímica Médica Leopoldo de Meis, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, 21941-902, Brazil;

²Faculdade de Farmácia, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, 21941902, Brazil.

Keywords: bank of sera; COVID-19; retrospective observational cohort study; serological surveillance

*Corresponding author: Andrea T Da Poian, Instituto de Bioquímica Médica Leopoldo de Meis, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, 21941-902, Brazil, <u>dapoian@bioqmed.ufrj.br</u>, +55 21 996962402

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

ABSTRACT

The COVID-19 pandemic has left a legacy in the management of health emergencies, but sentinel surveillance was relatively underused, despite its significant role in decision-making during epidemics. Here we describe a sentinel SARS-CoV-2 antigens' serosurveillance carried out on a cohort of 395 individuals at a Brazilian institution, from October 2020 to December 2022. A total of 1,507 serum samples were analyzed for IgG and IgA against SARS-CoV-2 Spike (S) or nucleocapsid (N) proteins, in the pre- and post-vaccination periods. The latter included two doses of CoronaVac (group 1, G1), or ChAdOx-1 or BNT162b2 (group 2, G2), followed by heterologous booster doses. In the pre-vaccination phase, 26.5% of participants showed IgG positivity for S and 13.7% for N. After the vaccines' first dose, S IgG response was positive in 66.6% or 98% of G1 or G2 participants, respectively, while 100% of the participants showed S IgG positivity after the second dose, and S IgG and IgA after the booster. This initiative enabled the examination of viral transmission beyond hospital environments, which is rarely explored in existing literature, and established protocols for managing future emergencies. In addition, a serum bank and a comprehensive database were established, now available to the scientific community.

INTRODUCTION

In December 2019, the world faced the biggest health challenge of the last 100 years: the COVID-19 pandemic caused by the new coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 (Tan et al., 2020). Since its onset, 775 million cases and more than 7,000,000 deaths have been reported worldwide (WHO, 2022). Vaccines against COVID-19 were rapidly developed and vaccination began one year after the description of the first cases of the disease (WHO, 2022). Although mass vaccination is the most effective way to control the disease (Fiolet et al., 2022), mitigation policies, such as social distancing, masks usage, hygiene practices, and extensive testing, were enormously important, mainly until high immunization percentages were reached (Güner et al., 2020). Moreover, the need to manage an emerging pandemic that rapidly assumed global proportions required that many measures adopted in other health emergencies, such as influenza outbreaks, were employed (Marcenac et al., 2022).

Sentinel surveillance is a widely used strategy to deal with influenza outbreaks. This strategy is characterized by testing volunteer people across a given community, including those who did not present any disease symptoms, and without necessarily searching for a diagnosis. Its main goal is to discover unseen disease transmission. Although this approach has not been widely used during the COVID-19 pandemic, some sentinel studies carried out in different countries have generated robust epidemiological results that were very useful for decision-making (Vega-Alonso et al., 2023; Cooksey et al., 2021; Miyadahira et al., 2023). In Brazil, for instance, genomic surveillance identified that transition from Delta to the Omicron SARS-CoV-2 variants was faster in healthcare professionals than in other groups of the population (Padilha et al., 2023), while a serosurveillance in low-resource communities in Rio de Janeiro city revealed a seroprevalence much higher than expected in those populations (Brasil et al., 2022; Coelho et al, 2022)

The results obtained from sentinel surveillance become even more significant when there is some difficulty in implementing mitigation strategies, as occurs with workers in essential services who were unable to interrupt their activities during the first months of pandemic, a period characterized by few information and lack of mass diagnosis. Although nowadays the pandemic scenario is different, with more than half of the world's population vaccinated (WHO, 2022), we must consider that the different initiatives that took place during pandemics also provide valuable learning experience for addressing future emergencies.

In this perspective, our group at the Instituto de Bioquímica Médica Leopoldo de Meis of the Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (IBqM, UFRJ) developed an *in-house* serological test (ELISA) that detects antibodies elicited by SARS-CoV-2 antigens (Fernandes-Siqueira et al., 2022a) to promote serosurveillance of our community. Here, we report a retrospective serological follow-up of a cohort of workers and students from IBqM, UFRJ, spanning the period before the introduction of vaccination in Brazil as well as after the participants undergo different vaccination regimens, namely two doses of CoronaVac, ChAdOx-1 or BNT162b2 as the initial vaccination protocol, followed by heterologous booster doses with ChAdOx-1 or BNT162b2.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This work consists of a retrospective observational cohort study, approved by the local ethics committees (CEP approvals HUCFF/UFRJ n° 35.303.120.5.0000.5257). All the participants had access to the informed consent form.

Study design

The cohort of this study consists of 395 participants, members of IBqM, UFRJ, Brazil, whose serum samples were evaluated to detect antibodies against the spike (S) and nucleocapsid (N) proteins of SARS-CoV-2. The IBqM community was invited by email to participate in the study. All those over 18 years old who accepted the invitation were selected to participate in the study, without exclusion criteria. At the day of the blood collection, they completed an identification form providing information on personal and clinical data. During the 27 months of monitoring (from October 2020 to December 2022), the participants were contacted via a messaging app to schedule blood collection. They had access to their serology results by email. In total, we analyzed 1,507 sera samples from two phases of the pandemic: the pre- and post-vaccination periods.

A total of 242 participants underwent blood collections during the pre-vaccination phase of the study, between October 2020 and April 2021. All these participants had at least one blood sample taken, with a second sample taken for those who tested negative in the first sample, for a total of 313 collections in the whole period. It is important to mention that, although COVID-19 vaccination in Brazil started on January 17, 2021, vaccine application prioritized the population groups more exposed to infection or presenting higher risk of severe disease (healthcare and essential workers, homeless and indigenous people, and elderly and people with chronic health conditions), being extended to the entire population according to age decrease (Santos et al., 2023). As few individuals in our cohort were included in the priority groups, 63% of study participants received the first dose of the vaccine only in April 2021. In the period between January 2021 to December 2022, 118 participants of the previous group plus 104 new volunteers (total of 222 subjects) participated in the serological

monitoring after receiving CoronaVac, ChAdOx-1 or BNT162b2 vaccines against SARS-CoV-2. The general scheme of blood collections in this study phase is presented in Figure

5

1. All the participants underwent a blood collection 15 to 30 days before receiving the first dose of the vaccine (D1). Post-vaccination collections were divided according to the immunization protocols adopted by the Brazilian Ministry of Health for each vaccine. The blood samples from the CoronaVac group (G1) were collected 20 days after D1, and 20, 60 and 90 days after the second dose (D2). Since the immunization protocol for ChAdOx-1 and BNT162b2 recommended 12 weeks between D1 and D2 (Health Ministry, Brazil, 2024), two blood samples were collected from G2 participants after D1: one between 20 to 45 days after D2. Both G1 and G2 underwent the following additional collections: 6 months after receiving D1; 20 days and 3 months after receiving the first booster dose (D3); and 20 days after receiving the second booster dose (D4). Therefore, participants vaccinated with CoronaVac underwent a maximum of 8 blood collections (Fig. 1).

Please insert Figure 1 here

Serological analysis (ELISA)

The serological analysis carried out throughout this work were performed using an *in-house* ELISA previously established by our group (Fernandes-Siqueira et al, 2022a). In this assay, we quantified IgG and IgA antibodies against the SARS-CoV-2 trimeric Spike (S) and the N-terminal domain of the nucleocapsid (N) proteins. Briefly, 96 well-plates were previously coated overnight, at 4 °C, with each of the antigens diluted in PBS (50 μ L of a 4 μ g/mL solution). After 1h blocking with 3% BSA in PBS-T, sera samples, diluted 1:50 in 1% BSA

solution in PBS-T, were added to each well and incubated for 2 h, followed by the addition of the detection antibodies (anti-IgG or anti-IgA). The reactivity was quantified spectrophotometrically at 450 nm after the addition of the chromogenic substrate (3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine dihydrochloride, TMB). The cut-off for each analysis was calculated as the mean + 3 SD of the absorbance values of 42 pre-pandemic sera.

RESULTS

The cohort demographic characteristics is presented in Table I. The participants' median age was 36.5 years old (IQR 27-48), 68% (n=269) of them were women and 32% (n=126) were men. The ethnic-racial self-declaration showed that most individuals consider themselves as "white" (71.6%; n=282), followed by "brown" (20.6%; n=82). Only 6.5% (n=24) self-declared as "black", 1% (n=4) as "Asian" and 0.25% (1) as "indigenous". The analysis of participants' self-reported ABO blood type showed that the predominant blood group in our cohort was type O (40%, n=158), followed by A (35.4%, n=140). B type is less predominant (10.8%, n=21) and AB is the rarest (2.5%, n=10). The blood type distribution in the cohort agrees with that observed in Brazil (World Population Review, 2024). Curiously, 11.1% (n=44) of the participants (20.5%) declared one or more comorbidities, being hypertension the most prevalent (n=42). Of the participants who declared this comorbidity, 47.6% (n=20) were over 60 years, 30.9% (n=13) were between 40 and 59 years, and 21.4% (n=9) were under 40 years (not shown). The second most declared comorbidity was lung diseases (23.7%; n=19), being most of them asthma (n=15) (not shown).

Please insert Table I here

Pre-vaccination cohort analysis

Using an *in-house* ELISA developed by our group (Fernandes-Siqueira et al., 2022a) we evaluated IgG and IgA responses against SARS-CoV-2 S and N proteins in blood samples collected during the pre-vaccination phase of the study (October 2020 and April 2021, n=242 subjects and 313 samples). Figure 2A shows IgG or IgA reactivity against S or N proteins obtained for each individual, organized in chronological order of blood collections. The results showed a total serum positivity of 26.5% for S IgG, 16% for S IgA, 13.7% for N IgG and 5.1% for N IgA. Data from the identification form filled by the participants revealed that 8.6% had flu-like symptoms and a molecular (PCR) or serological diagnosis for SARS-CoV-2; 34.2% had flu-like symptoms without diagnosis; 55.7% did not have any flu-like symptoms; and 1.2%, although asymptomatic, were PCR- or serology-positive for SARS-CoV-2 (Fig. 2B). We found that 100% of participants who had a positive diagnosis for SARS-CoV-2 infection, 38.8% of the symptomatic participants without a diagnosis, and 11.1% of the asymptomatic participants were positive for S IgG in our test (Fig. 2C). Among the asymptomatic individuals who presented IgG against S, 62.9% also showed IgA reactivity against S, but only 22.2% were positive for N IgG and 11.1% for N IgA, while for symptomatic individuals who tested positive for S IgG, the rate of positivity for S IgA, N IgG and N IgA was 63.4%, 48.7% and 21.9% respectively (Fig. 2D). The double increase in N IgG and IgA could potentially be linked to the onset of symptoms, but further investigation would be required to establish a direct link. Figure 2E shows the main symptoms reported by the symptomatic participants. In agreement with other reports in the literature (Pierron et al., 2020; Reses et al., 2021), anosmia and ageusia were the symptoms most frequently 8

declared by the participants either previously diagnosed with SARS-CoV-2 infection or showing positive serology in our test. Data provided by the Rio de Janeiro health secretariat (Health Secretariat of The Rio De Janeiro State Government, 2024) showed that between November 2020 and January 2021 there was a pronounced increase in COVID-19 case notifications in the state. This agrees with the seroconversion profile observed for our cohort participants, which reached the highest value in the pre-vaccination period between December 2020 and February 2021 (72 participants) (Fig. 2F). The shift of about one month observed between the two curves in the graph is explained by the fact that the data generated by the Rio de Janeiro's health secretariat resulted from molecular tests at the onset of symptoms, while participants in our cohort attended blood collection 15 to 30 days after the onset of symptoms.

Please insert Figure 2 here

Post-vaccination cohort analyses

Between January 2021 to December 2022, a total of 222 subjects participated in the serological monitoring after receiving the CoronaVac (n=58) (group 1, G1), or ChAdOx-1 (n=145) or BNT162b2 (n=19) (group 2, G2) vaccines against SARS-CoV-2 (Fig. 3A). The Brazilian vaccination program started with CoronaVac and ChAdOx-1, followed by BNT162b2 and finally Ad26.COV2.S (Health Ministry, Brazil, 2024). In the first months of the vaccination campaign, the majority of the doses administered was of CoronaVac, explaining why most of the individuals of our population received this vaccine from January to March (Fig. 3B). The rapid engagement of Bio-Manguinhos/Fiocruz Immunobiological

Technology Institute in the entire production of ChAdOx1 enabled the distribution of this vaccine in Brazil to be largely expanded (Medeiros et al., 2022), explaining the predominance of ChAdOx1 among the vaccines received by our population after March (Fig. 3B). Finally, BNT162b2 was added to the vaccination campaign only in the end of April 2021, resulting in the administration of this vaccine to some participants after May 2021 (Fig. 3B). When inquired about adverse effects they experienced after receiving the vaccines, approximately 70% of the participants who received either the CoronaVac or BNT162b2 vaccines reported no adverse effects following either D1 or D2, while among the individuals who received the ChAdOx-1 vaccine, 59.1% (n=68) declared adverse effects from 24 to 48 hours after the first dose, and 26.9% (n=31) after the second dose (Fig. 3C). Moreover, 20.8% (n=20) of these participants experienced more prolonged adverse effects beyond 48 hours following the first dose of the ChAdOx-1 vaccine. Among the effects, nausea, fatigue, chills, and fever were the most reported (Fig. 3D).

Before starting the serological follow-up after vaccination, all participants underwent a blood collection to verify the presence of antibodies against S and N proteins. We observed IgG reactivity to S in 20% of G1 participants (Fig. 3E) and 25% of G2 participants (Fig. 3F), indicating that these individuals underwent previous infection with SARS-CoV-2. After the first dose of the vaccines (D1), S IgG has been detected in 66.6% of the G1 and 98% of G2 participants. On the other hand, S IgA was detected in only 4% or 6.9% of the G1 or G2 participants, respectively. After the second dose of the vaccines (D2), the percentage of S IgG detection increased to 100% in both groups, while S IgA appeared in 66% of the G1 and 96.9% of the G2 participants. Six months after receiving D1, detection of S IgG dropped to 87.5% in G1 participants, while IgG reactivity to S was maintained in 100% in G2 individuals (Figs. 3E-F).

Please insert Figure 3 here

Previous studies have shown that immunization with the three vaccines used in this work, including CoronaVac, which uses the whole inactivated virus, does not elicit a significant serological response against the N protein (Bochnia-Bueno et al., 2022; Huergo et al., 2022). Therefore, the detection of N IgG in the population analyzed in the vaccination cohort may be an indicative of new cases of COVID-19 during the vaccination period. Before vaccination, 17.2% of the G1 participants and 11.6% of the G2 participants presented N IgG, while six months later, the percentage of N IgG positivity increased to 20% and 15.2% in G1 and G2, respectively. This suggests a low incidence of infection among vaccinated individuals, as the increase in N IgG positivity over time may be attributed to new cases rather than vaccine induced responses.

All participants who participated in post-vaccination monitoring in this study received the heterologous first booster dose (D3), following the immunization protocol adopted by the Brazilian Ministry of Health. This protocol involved individuals vaccinated with CoronaVac received either ChAdOx-1 or BNT162b2 vaccines, those vaccinated with ChAdOx-1 receiving BNT162b2, and those vaccinated with BNT162b2 receiving ChAdOx-1. The booster dose was very effective in inducing the humoral immune response, with 100% of participants in both groups testing positive for S IgG and IgA. This high percentage was maintained up to three months after D3 and after receiving the second booster dose (D4). Although these high levels of antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 attest that the booster dose promoted a very effective immunization, increased rates of N IgG were observed after D3

and D4 (66.6% and 76.4% in G1, and 51.4% and 62.9% in G2), suggesting a rise in COVID-19 cases during this period. However, no participant reported severe symptoms (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

Viral elimination and mitigation policies during the first year of COVID-19 pandemic were the most important strategies for controlling the disease (Oliu-Barton et al., 2021). Although the presence of antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 in the pre-vaccination period did not assure protection to justify the relaxation of quarantine or social distancing measures (West et al., 2021), the rapid implementation of serological tests is an important tool for surveillance of viral spread and for the management of health emergencies (Novello et al., 2021). Here, we followed the serological response against SARS-CoV-2 antigens in a cohort of 395 healthy individuals over a 27-months period, before and after the vaccination. Although our cohort represents almost 80% of the entire IBqM social body, the small number of participants would represent a limitation for some data interpretation. Nevertheless, here we monitored seroprevalence outside hospital environments, which is unusually reported in the literature specially before COVID-19 vaccines became available, representing important sentinel-like surveillance that tracks viral spread even in asymptomatic individuals.

The results obtained during the pre-vaccination period of our study indicated a low incidence of individuals with positive serology (26.1%) in the first year of the pandemic, as also reported for a similar cohort (Brasil et al., 2022; Coelho et al., 2022; Henriques-Santos et al., 2022) Nevertheless, we identified 11% of positive cases among the asymptomatic individuals, which supported the recommendation of molecular testing and social distancing

independently of the presence of symptoms. Indeed, the increase in seroconversion between December 2020 and February 2021 seemed to be related to the relaxation of protective measures during festive periods (Geenen et al., 2023), as well as the emergence of the first variant of concern, P1 (Carvalho et al. 2022). Additionally, the correlation between this observation and the increase in COVID-19 cases in the State of Rio de Janeiro reinforces the usefulness of serological tests to alert the growing number of infected people. It is also important to mention that, during the first year of the pandemic, the number of serological tests carried out worldwide, and mainly in Brazil, was low due to their high cost and limited availability (West et al., 2021) In this context, the development of a lower-cost *in-house* ELISA (Fernandes-Siqueira et al., 2022a) by our group was crucial for conducting the tests during that period.

Safety and efficiency of the vaccination regimens using CoronaVac, ChAdOx-1, and BNT162b2, as well as the complementary booster doses, have been demonstrated in studies carried out in Brazil and other countries (Bochnia-Bueno et al., 2022; De Sousa et al., 2022; Shrotri et al., 2022; Paula et al., 2022). ChAdOx-1 and BNT162b2 promoted a stronger immune response when compared to CoronaVac (Romero-Ibarguengoitia et al. 2022; Lin-Wang et al., 2022; Fernandes-Siqueira et al., 2022b), although our data showed that two doses of all three vaccines provided a good humoral response in our cohort. After D3 and D4, anti-S antibody levels remained quite high across the entire cohort, regardless of the vaccination regimen, consistent with findings from other studies (Da Penha Gomes Gouvea et al., 2023; Yechezkel et al., 2023). Remarkably, the considerable increase in anti-N antibodies during this period possibly reflects the emergence of the highly transmissible Omicron variant (Jalali et al., 2022; Murari et al., 2022).

In conclusion, this study supports that monitoring seroconversion in a cohort composed of healthy individuals during a pandemic situation, before and after the implementation of different vaccination regimens, is a strategy that can be applied in the surveillance of immune coverage in new situations. This strategy can be applied to both small centers and larger populations, considering the regional differences and government measures (Fawole et al., 2023; Muttamba et al., 2022). Our work also generated a biobank with over 1,500 sera samples, resulting from up to 8 blood collections from the same individual during different pandemic phases. Furthermore, this biobank, as well as its respective demographic and serological data, could be useful for the scientific community in future health emergencies.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Lavinia Reif Correa de Oliveira and Lilian Cristine de Ferreira (UFRJ, Brazil) for helping with sample organization; Dr. Marcos Fleury and all the members of Laboratório de Análises Clínicas, Faculdade de Farmácia (LACFar, UFRJ, Brazil) for performing the blood collections and sample preparation; our colleagues from Instituto de Bioquímica Médica Leopoldo de Meis (IBqM, UFRJ, Brazil), for financial support and encouragement; all the members of Laboratório de Bioquímica de Vírus for providing recombinant N protein; and Dr. Leda Castilho research group (COPPE, UFRJ, Brazil) for kindly providing recombinant S protein.

This work was supported by Fundação Carlos Chagas Filho de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (FAPERJ), Brazil [grant numbers E-26/211.128/2021, E-26/201.173/2021]; and Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Brazil [grant number 312650/2021-3].

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

LOFS: conceptualisation, investigation, methodology, data curation, formal analysis, writing - original draft, review & editing. RRAM: methodology, data curation, formal analysis. LSW: conceptualisation, investigation, methodology, writing — original draft, review & editing. FCLA: conceptualization, resources. DS: conceptualization; writing: review & editing. GCF: conceptualisation, investigation, writing - review & editing. ATDP: conceptualization, investigation, writing: review and editing, resources, funding acquisition, supervision, project administration. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

REFERENCES

BOCHNIA-BUENO, L., DE ALMEIDA, S. M., RABONI, S. M., ADAMOSKI, D., AMADEU, L. L. M., CARSTENSEN, S., & NOGUEIRA, M. B. 2022. Dynamic of humoral response to SARS-CoV-2 anti-Nucleocapsid and Spike proteins after CoronaVac vaccination. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 102(3):115597

BRASIL, P. ET AL 2022. Cohort-profile: Household transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in a lowresource community in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. BMJ open 12(12):e067212

CARVALHO, M. S. ET AL. 2022. Incidence of SARS-CoV-2 over four epidemic waves in a low-resource community in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil: A prospective cohort study. Lancet Reg Health 12:100283

COELHO, L. E ET AL 2022. Prevalence and predictors of anti-SARS-CoV-2 serology in a highly vulnerable population of Rio de Janeiro: A population-based serosurvey Lancet Reg Health Am 15:100338

COOKSEY, G. L. S ET AL 2022. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 and Respiratory Virus Sentinel Surveillance, California, USA, May 10, 2020-June 12, 2021. Emerg Infect Dis 28(1):9-19

DA PENHA GOMES GOUVEA ET AL. 2023. Timeline kinetics of protective immunity to SARS-CoV-2 upon primary vaccination and humoral response to variants after booster dose. Vaccine 41(44):6514-6528

DE SOUSA, G. F. ET AL. 2022 The long-term dynamics of serum antibodies against SARS-CoV-2. PeerJ 10:e14547

FAWOLE, O.I. ET AL. 2023. COVID-19 surveillance in Democratic Republic of Congo, Nigeria, Senegal and Uganda: strengths, weaknesses and key Lessons. BMC Public Health 23(1):835

FERNANDES-SIQUEIRA, L. O., FERREIRA, F. A. P., SOUSA, B. G., MEBUS-ANTUNES, N. C., NEVES-MARTINS, T. C., ALMEIDA, F. C. L., FERREIRA, G. C., SALMON, D., WERMELINGER, L. S., & DA POIAN, A. T. 2022a. On the caveats of a multiplex test for SARS-CoV-2 to detect seroconversion after infection or vaccination. Sci rep 12(1):10366

FERNANDES-SIQUEIRA, L. O. ET AL 2022b. IgA quantification as a good predictor of the neutralizing antibodies levels after vaccination against SARS-CoV-2. J Clin Virol 2(4):100121

FIOLET, T., KHERABI, Y., MACDONALD, C. J., GHOSN, J., & PEIFFER-SMADJA, N. 2022. Comparing COVID-19 vaccines for their characteristics, efficacy and effectiveness against SARS-CoV-2 and variants of concern: a narrative review. Clin Microbiol Infect. 28(2):202-221

GEENEN, C., THIBAUT, J., LAENEN, L., RAYMENANTS, J., CUYPERS, L., MAES, P., DELLICOUR, S., & ANDRÉ, E. 2023. Unravelling the effect of New Year's Eve celebrations on SARS-CoV-2 transmission. Sci rep 13(1):22195

GÜNER, R., HASANOĞLU, I., & AKTAŞ, F. 2020. COVID-19: Prevention and control measures in community. Turk J Med Sci. 50(SI-1):571-577

HEALTH MINISTRY, BRAZIL 2024. <u>https://www.gov.br/saude/pt-br/assuntos/covid-19</u>, (accessed 07 June 2024).

HEALTH SECRETARIAT OF THE RIO DE JANEIRO STATE GOVERNMENT 2024 https://sistemas.saude.rj.gov.br/tabnetbd/dhx.exe?covid19/esus_sivep.def, (accessed 15 May 2024)

HENRIQUES-SANTOS, B. M., FARJUN, B., CORRÊA, I. A., FIGUEIREDO, J. B., FIDALGO-NETO, A. A., & KURIYAMA, S. N. 2022. SARS-CoV-2 Variant Determination Through SNP Assays in Samples From Industry Workers From Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Front Microbiol 12:757783

HUERGO, L. F. ET AL. 2022. SARS-CoV-2 Seroconversion in Response to Infection and Vaccination: a Time Series Local Study in Brazil. Microbiol Spectr 10(4):e0102622

JALALI, N., BRUSTAD, H. K., FRIGESSI, A., MACDONALD, E. A., MEIJERINK, H., FERUGLIO, S. L., NYGÅRD, K. M., RØ, G., MADSLIEN, E. H., & DE BLASIO, B. F. 2022. Increased household transmission and immune escape of the SARS-CoV-2 Omicron compared to Delta variants. Nat Commun 13(1):5706

LIN-WANG, H. T., LEMES, R. C., DA SILVA FARIAS, E., BAJGELMAN, M. C., FRANCHINI, K. G., VIANA, R., & GUN, C. 2022 Sequential IgG antibody monitoring for virus-inactivated and adenovirus-vectored COVID-19 vaccine in Brazilian healthcare workers. J Med Virol 94(8):3714-3721

MARCENAC, P ET AL. 2022. Leveraging International Influenza Surveillance Systems and Programs during the COVID-19 Pandemic. Emerg Infect Dis 28(13):S26-S33 MEDEIROS, M. Z., SOARES, P. F., FIALHO, B. C., GAUSS, L., PIRAN, F. S., & LACERDA, D. P. 2022. Vaccine innovation model: A technology transfer perspective in pandemic contexts. Vaccine 40(33):4748-4763

MIYADAHIRA, M. Y. ET AL 2023. Monitoring SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence over time among pregnant women admitted to delivery units: Suitability for surveillance. PloS one 18(1):e0280109

MURARI, T. B. ET AL. 2022. Retrospective Cohort Study of COVID-19 in Patients of the Brazilian Public Health System with SARS-CoV-2 Omicron Variant Infection. Vaccines 10(9):1504

MUTTAMBA, W., O'HARE, B. A., SAXENA, V., BBUYE, M., TYAGI, P., RAMSAY, A., KIRENGA, B., & SABIITI, W. 2022 A systematic review of strategies adopted to scale up COVID-19 testing in low-, middle- and high-income countries. BMJ open 12(11):e060838

NOVELLO, S. ET AL. 2021 Humoral immune response to SARS-CoV-2 in five different groups of individuals at different environmental and professional risk of infection. Sci Rep 11(1):24503

OLIU-BARTON M ET AL. 2021. SARS-CoV-2 elimination, not mitigation, creates best outcomes for health, the economy, and civil liberties. Lancet 397(10291):2234-2236

PADILHA, D. A., ET AL 2023. Genomic Surveillance of SARS-CoV-2 in Healthcare Workers: A Critical Sentinel Group for Monitoring the SARS-CoV-2 Variant Shift. Viruses 15(4):984

18

PAULA N. M. ET AL. 2022. Population-Based Analysis of the Immunoglobulin G Response to Different COVID-19 Vaccines in Brazil. Vaccines (Basel) 11(1):21

PIERRON D ET AL 2020. Smell and taste changes are early indicators of the COVID-19 pandemic and political decision effectiveness. Nat Commun, 11(1):5152

RESES, H.E. ET AL 2021.Performance of existing and novel surveillance case definitions for COVID-19 in household contacts of PCR-confirmed COVID-19. BMC Public Health 21(1):1747

ROMERO-IBARGUENGOITIA, M. E., ET AL. 2022. Analysis of immunization time, amplitude, and adverse events of seven different vaccines against SARS-CoV-2 across four different countries. Front Immunol 13:894277

SANTOS, C. V. B. D., NORONHA, T. G., WERNECK, G. L., STRUCHINER, C. J., & VILLELA, D. A. M. 2023. Estimated COVID-19 severe cases and deaths averted in the first year of the vaccination campaign in Brazil: A retrospective observational study. Lancet Reg Health Am 17:10041814

SHROTRI, M. ET AL. 2022. Spike-antibody responses to COVID-19 vaccination by demographic and clinical factors in a prospective community cohort study. Nat Commun 13(1):5780

TAN, W. ET AL. 2020. A Novel Coronavirus Genome Identified in a Cluster of Pneumonia Cases - Wuhan, China 2019-2020. China CDC weekly; 2(4): 61–62.

VEGA-ALONSO T, LOZANO-ALONSO JE, ORDAX-DÍEZ A; VIGIRA RESEARCH GROUP 2023. Comprehensive surveillance of acute respiratory infections during the COVID-19 pandemic: a methodological approach using sentinel networks, Castilla y León, Spain, January 2020 to May 2022. Euro Surveill 28(21):2200638

WEST, R., KOBOKOVICH, A., CONNELL, N., & GRONVALL, G. K. 2021. Covid-19 Antibody Tests: A Valuable Public Health Tool with Limited Relevance to Individuals. Trends Microbiol, 29(3):214-223

WHO 2024. https://data.who.int/dashboards/covid19/ (accessed 19 March 2022)

WORLD POPULATION REVIEW 2024. Blood Type by country <u>https://worldpopulationreview.com/country-rankings/blood-type-by-country</u>, (accessed 14 June 2024).

YECHEZKEL, M., MOFAZ, M., PAINSKY, A., PATALON, T., GAZIT, S., SHMUELI,

E., & YAMIN, D. 2023. Safety of the fourth COVID-19 BNT162b2 mRNA (second booster)

vaccine: a prospective and retrospective cohort study. Lancet Respir Med 11(2):139-150

LEGENDS

Figure 1. Scheme of blood collections in the post-vaccination period. Schematic representation of blood collections for serological monitoring of participants who received CoronaVac (upper panel), or ChAdOx-1 or BNT162b2 (lower panel).

Figure 2. Serological panel and symptomatology of the cohort participants in the prevaccination period. (A) Heat map representing sera IgG or IgA reactivity against S or N, detected using an *in-house* ELISA (Fernandes-Siqueira et al., 2022a), in blood samples collected between October 2020 and April 2021. The grey scale used in the heat map represents the optical density (OD) values obtained in the test, being the highest values represented in black, while the values similar to those obtained for the pre-pandemic sera represented in white. The results are organized in chronological order of blood collections. (B) Participants' grouping according to symptomatology: no flu-like symptoms and no diagnosis (blue); flu-like symptoms without diagnosis (green); flu-like symptoms with previous diagnosis for SARS-CoV-2 (light blue); and asymptomatic with previous diagnosis for SARS-CoV-2 (grey). (C) Participants' S IgG positivity according with symptomatology and SARS-CoV-2 diagnosis. (D) Positivity for S IgA, N IgG and N IgA among asymptomatic or symptomatic participants who were positive for S IgG. (E) Symptoms declared by the participants showing: molecular diagnosis (PCR+) for SAR-CoV-2 (blue); detection of S IgG by serology (green); or negative result for S IgG (grey). (F) Comparison between the percentage of reactive tests for S IgG in our cohort (green) and the number of confirmed COVID-19 cases in the State of Rio de Janeiro (blue) in the period between October 2020 to April 2021. Data provided by the Health Secretariat of the Rio de Janeiro State Government (2024).

Figure 3. Monitoring of cohort participants in the post-vaccination period. (A) Participants' grouping according to the vaccine protocol received (first and second dose): CoronaVac (green); ChAdOx-1 (blue); or BNT162b2 (light blue); (B) Vaccine type received as the first dose in each month. (C) Occurrence of adverse effects declared by the participants after receiving the vaccines. (D) Symptoms declared by the participants after receiving the

vaccines. (E, F) Heat maps representing sera IgG or IgA reactivity against S or N proteins,

detected using an *in-house* ELISA (Fernandes-Siqueira et al., 2022a) in blood samples serially collected (as shown in Fig. 1) between January 2020 and December 2022. The grey scale used in the heat maps represents the optical density (OD) values obtained in the test, being the highest values represented in black, while the values similar to those obtained for the pre-pandemic sera represented in white. The results are organized in ascending order of S IgG OD value (see bars shown on the left). The heat maps are grouped according to the vaccines received: (E) CoronaVac; and (F) ChAdOx-1 or BNT162b2.



vaccination



