ASSESSMENT OF KNOWLEDGE, ATTITUDE, AND PRACTICE TOWARDS

THE FIRST AID MANAGEMENT OF FOREIGN BODY ASPIRATION AND

OBSTRUCTION Among parents of Children Visited SPHMMC, Addis

Ababa, Ethiopia.

Yohannes Hailu₁, Sisay Yifru₂

1 Department of pediatrics, St. Paul Hospital Millennium Medical College, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia,

2 Department of pediatrics, St. Paul Hospital Millennium Medical College, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia,

yohanneshailu@98gmail.com

Abstract

Background: Foreign body aspiration and obstruction are the main cause of accidental death in childhood, and Foreign bodies (FBs) in the aerodigestive tract provide diagnostic and

therapeutic problems and are significant sources of morbidity and mortality. Lack of

community awareness toward the FBA presentation and its management further aggravate the

problem. Despite this, there are very few studies in this area which necessitates further study.

Therefore the present study may help as an output to increase parents' awareness towards

immediate first aid intervention, in preventing delayed healthcare access and intervention at a

community level in general.

Objective: Assessing the knowledge, attitude, and practice towards the first aid

management of foreign body aspiration and obstruction among parents of children coming to

St. Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College.

Methods: A descriptive-based cross-sectional study was carried out in the study area using

pretested, structured, and self-administered questionnaires. The collected data was analyzed

using SPSS version 25. Multiple logistic regression analysis was used to identify factors

NOTE: This prepried reports hewereness before the confidence of th

of foreign body aspiration and obstruction.

Results: A total of 423 parents were involved in the study. Only 218 (51.5%) of them were

knowledgeable. Most of the respondents (76.3%) had a positive attitude towards foreign body

aspiration and obstruction first aid. 123 (29.1%) and 233 (51.1%) agreed and strongly agreed that foreign body aspiration needs immediate intervention, and 75 (17.7%) faced a child who aspirated foreign body. Of these, only 53 (70.7%) had provided first aid to the victim. Most of the respondents 40 (75.5%)had scored below 80% of practice towards foreign body aspiration and obstruction. Multiple Logistic Regression Analysis showed that parents who are literates were 3.6 times more knowledgeable than those illiterates. (AOR:3.612, 95% CI: 1.758, 7.420, P≤0.05).

Conclusions: The level of knowledge and skills for providing first aid for foreign body aspiration, and obstruction in children among parents are low. So education and increasing awareness among them to reduce morbidity and mortality in children suffering from aspiration and obstruction from foreign bodies has to be one of the strategies.

Keywords: foreign body aspiration, awareness, attitude, practice

Background

Inhaling foreign bodies or items into the respiratory tract is known as foreign body aspiration, or FBA. [1] FBA is frequently seen in pediatric populations. [2] Children often use their tongues to investigate new objects when they are between the ages of six months and four years old. [3] A variety of foreign objects have been documented in the literature; toys, candies, gums, batteries, rocks, and magnets are the most commonly aspirated alien objects. [4] In our setup a study showed plastic tips, seed, metallic tips, balloon inflating tips, peanuts, chicken peas, and hijab pins in our setup. (25) Pediatric FBA is a potentially fatal illness that claims many lives each year, particularly in children under the age of two. [5] Foreign body obstruction can result in compromised ventilation and oxygenation, which may cause a considerable amount of morbidity or death. Pulmonary hemorrhage is the second most common cause of mortality, behind hypoxic-ischemic brain damage.[6,7] Neurologic impairment, pulmonary abscess, bronchiectasis, and recurrent pneumonia are Complications following FBA. [8-10] A comprehensive retrospective assessment conducted in the United States in 2016 found that the death rate for pediatric patients with FBA was 2.5 percent. [8] an aspiration of a foreign body is a dramatic event that can have deadly consequences. The most effective preventive strategy to lower the problem's incidence is education. [25]. According to the National Safety Council, there are 0.43 and 20.4 fatal and nonfatal choking incidents for every 100,000 American children in the general population, respectively. [9] The prevalence of foreign body aspiration (FBA) in our study setting is unknown. A previous study conducted in our area involving 81 patients revealed that only 18% of cases were reported within 24 hours of the occurrence, while 82% were reported after that time. Reasons for the delayed presentation included delayed transportation, delayed detection, and parents' or caregivers' lack of awareness and of them, 93.8% experienced complications, such as pneumothorax, atelectasis, and lung infections .[19].

perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

The prompt identification and appropriate management of FBA have produced in a significant reduction in the morbidity and mortality rates associated with FBA [10-13].

3

Parents are the primary caregivers for young children and FBA is a potentially fatal yet avoidable disorder in the pediatric age group that calls for greater parent education and awareness. One significant factor putting kids at increased risk for FBA and related problems is parents' lack of knowledge about risk factors and how to prevent FBA. To date, there are no published reports on awareness among parents about FBA in children in our local setting.

Methods

Study setting and period

This study was conducted at St. Paul's hospital millennium medical college. SPHMMC is established in 1961 G.C. as a medical college and is a tertiary hospital under federal minister of health Ethiopia. With over 2800 staff, it provides clinical and preclinical training and specialized services. The pediatrics department is a core area, providing outpatient and inpatient services and academic activities. The study was conducted from September 1, 2023, to October 30, 2023 GC.

Study Design

A descriptive-based cross-sectional study was carried out on parents of children visiting St Paul Hospital Millennium Medical College, from September 1, 2023 to October 30, 2023 GC.

Sampling

the responses.

Parents of children who visited SPHMMC were included in the study. A convenient sampling technique was used. Parents whose children were severely ill (admitted to ICU or ED(Red)) were excluded from the study.

The sample size was calculated using a single population proportion formula $n = (Z_{\alpha/2})^2 \times P(1-P) / d2$, by taking the assumptions as $Z\alpha/2 = 1.96$ (standard normal value corresponding to

95% level of confidence), p = 0.5 (estimate of prevalence for KAP of foreign body aspiration first aid to be 50%, since there is no similar study conducted in the study area), and d (margin of error) = 5%, so the n value is 384 and after adjusting 10% for possible nonresponse rate made the final sample size 423.

Data Collection Instruments and Techniques

A self-structured and designed questionnaire was used, which was pre-tested before use for the present study. A questionnaire from previous investigations was modified and used [17, 20, 28]. The questionnaire has four parts. It included demographic profile (age, gender of parent, age of youngest child, number of kids, level of education of parents), questions regarding basic knowledge about foreign bodies (knowing about common age groups, foods that may cause FBA (carrot, seeds, walnut, hazelnut, grapes, cucumber, hard nuts), small toys, leaving kids unsupervised, letting the child hold the toy while crying, talking, or laughing), knowledge about the prevention of FBA, and first aid given after foreign body ingestion. The educational status was stratified into literate and illiterate, where illiterate means a person who is unable to read and write. The questionnaire was translated into Amharic as well, and the data collector explained the respondent and recorded

perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

Operational Definitions

Foreign body aspiration: the act of inhaling or breathing foreign bodies into the air system or

4

aerodigestive system

Adequate knowledge: a participant who scored a mean and above for knowledge questions.

Inadequate knowledge: a participant who scored below the mean for knowledge questions.

Positive Attitude: a participant who answered agree and strongly agree for attitude questions and

scored mean or above

Negative attitude: a participant who answered disagree and strongly disagree for attitude questions

and scored below the mean.

Good Practice: a participant who scored 80% and above on practical questions (according to AHA

pediatric basic life support and advanced life support 2020)

Poor practice: a participant who scored below 80% of practical questions (AHA, PBLS and PALS 2020)

First aid: help given to a foreign body aspirated child until full medical treatment is available.

Critically ill: those who are admitted to PICU and at ED (red patients)

Illiteracy: unable to read and write

Data Analysis

Parents Knowledge of first aid provision for foreign body aspiration and obstruction was assessed using

nine questions. The questions were dichotomized into knowledgeable and not-knowledgeable. A score of

the mean value or above was considered knowledgeable, while a score less than the mean value was

taken as not-knowledgeable. Knowledge was taken as a dependent variable, and independent variables

were the sex and age of participants, educational status, and occupation. The variables were taken from

previously studied literature. Parents' attitude towards first aid management for a choking child was

assessed using a four-point Likert scale. Strongly agree-4, agree-3, disagree-2, and strongly disagree-1. A

score of 3 or 4 was given. For positive attitude, while 2 and 1 were for negative attitude, and the order of

scoring for negative statements was reversed. Then, the score was dichotomized into positive and negative

attitudes for each question. The practices of teachers were described and summarized using descriptive

statistics.

The data was analyzed using the Statistical Package of Social Sciences (SPSS) version 27.0 for Microsoft

Windows. Means, percentages, and ratios were calculated. Univariate and bivariate analyses between

dependent and independent variables were performed using binary logistic regression. A multivariate

analysis was done to control for the possible confounding variable. Microsoft Excel 2019 was used to make

graphs and charts. In this study, all tests with a P-value < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. The results were presented using charts, tables, and texts.

5

Ethical Consideration

Ethical clearance was obtained from the institutional review board of St Paul's Hospital Millennium Medical College. Parents were informed about the aim, benefits, and possible inconveniences of the study. They were assured the information they gave would be confidential. Before data collection begins, verbal consent is obtained, and participants can withdraw at any time if the need arises.

Results

Socio-demographic characteristics

As shown on table 1,in this study, a total of 423 participants were involved, and the response rate was 100%. Most respondents, 225 (53.2%), were female, with a female-to-male ratio of 1.15:1. The average age of the respondents was 32.41 years. Regarding the educational level of the participants, the majority (379; 89.6%) are literate, 44 (10.4%) are illiterate, 43 (10.2%) are health professionals, and 380 (89.4%) are nonhealth professionals. The majority of participants (308; 72.8%) have more than 3 children, and most of them (201; 47.7%) are in the youngest child age group of 1–5 years.

1. Socio-demographic characteristics

Variable		Frequency	Percentage	
Gender	1.Male	225	53.2%	
	2. Female	198	46.8%	
Age	1.18-30	213	50.3%	
	2.31-50	171	40.4%	
	3.Above 50	39	9.2%	
Marital status	1. Married	353	83.5%	
	2. Single	48	11.3%	
	3. Divorced	21	5%	
	4. Widowed	1	0.2%	
Religion	1. Orthodox	218	52%	
	2. Muslim	103	24.3%	
	3. Protestant	88	20.8%	
	4. Catholic	9	2.1%	
	5.0thers	3	0.7%	
Level of Education	1.literate	379	89.6%	
	2.illiterate	44	10.4%	
Occupation	1. Health professional	43	10.2%	
Religion	2. Non-health professional	378	89.4%	
Number of children	1.1-3	114	27%	
	2.>3	308	72.8%	
Age of the youngest child	1.<1 year	146	34.5%	
	2.1-5 years	201	47.5%	
	3.6-10 years	49	11.6%	
	4.>10 years	27	6.4%	

The mean \pm SD knowledge score was 5.51 (1.02)/9 points, and only 51.5% of parents scored above the mean value of knowledge questions. Forty-eight (11.3%) of participants had a child aspirate a foreign body, and two hundred and thirty (68.8%) heard about foreign body aspiration, and two hundred twenty-seven (53.7%) heard about first aid for foreign body aspiration and obstruction. The majority of parents 276 (65.2%) correctly identified that children aged 1-5 years were at the highest risk of FBA. Most 320 (75.7%) agreed that children should not be given peanuts until they reach 4 years old. On the other hand, half of them (49.2%) disagreed the idea that the absence of choking is an assuring sign that the item is gone, and a majority proportion (68.3%) even more disagreed with the statement, "If the foreign body causes no symptoms, it is okay to delay removal." Furthermore, 82.7% of parents agreed that talking while chewing may lead to aspiration. Additionally, a great proportion of parents (67.8%) believed that both non-organic and organic items could cause aspiration in children.

TABLE 2: Knowledge on FBA and Obstruction among parents

Variables	Response	Frequency	Percentage
Have any of your children aspirated a foreign body?	1. Yes	48	11.3%
	2.No	375	88.7%
Have you heard about foreign body aspiration?	1. Yes	293	68.8%
	2.No	130	30.7%
Heard about first aid related to FBA and FBAO	1. Yes	227	53.7%
	2.No	195	46.1%
Children can aspirate foreign bodies.	1. Yes	366	86.5%

	2.No	58	13.5%
At what age children are at the highest risk	1. <1 year	116	27.4%
to aspirate foreign bodies?	2. 1-5 years	276	65.2%
	3. 6-10	30	7.1%
	years		
	4. >10 years	1	0.2%
Children shouldn't be offered peanut seeds	1. Yes	320	75.7%
until they are 4 years old		320	7 3.7 70
	2.No	103	24.3%
The absence of choking is an assuring sign		215	50.8%
that the item has gone away	1. Yes		
	2.No	208	49.2%
If the foreign body causes no symptoms it is		134	31.7%
okay to delay the removal	1. Yes		
	2.No	289	68.3%
Talking while chewing may lead to		350	82.7%
aspiration	1. Yes		
	2.No	73	17.3%
X-rays can detect all foreign bodies		260	61.5%
	1. Yes		
	2.No	163	38.5%
Which of the following items are children at	1. Organic	119	28.1%
risk to aspirate?	like nuts,		

				9
	2. Non-organic like small plastic toys	15	3.5%	
	3. Both	287	67.8%	
What types of symptoms do you observe from a person facing foreign body aspiration and obstructed?	1. Unable to stand (falling)	26	6.1%	
	2. Difficulty of producing sounds	79	18.7%	
	3. Inability to cough forcefully	59	13.9%	
	4. Hands clutched to the throat	36	8.5%	
	5.Difficulty of breathing	134	31.7%	
	6. All	89	21%	

The attitude of parents on first aid management of foreign body aspiration and obstruction

The mean \pm SD attitude score was 13.8 (4.29)/24 points. Of the total participants, the majority (76.7%) of them have a positive attitude towards providing first aid for a child with foreign body aspiration and obstruction. 233 (55.1%) and 123 (29.5%) of participants strongly agreed and agreed that foreign body

aspiration needs immediate management ,respectively. Similarly, most of the respondents, 203 (48%) and 140 (33.1%) strongly agreed and agreed that everybody should know about first aid management of foreign body aspiration, and obstruction, respectively. 164 (38.8) participants strongly disagree that choking causes death or a life-threatening condition if not treated. 127 (37%), agreed that it was possible to manage foreign body aspiration at home without taking the victim to the health institution. 92 (21.7%) strongly disagree that they should sweep their fingers blindly into the throat of a foreign body-aspirated victim, and 85 (20.1%) strongly agree with it. The majority of respondents, 125 (29.5%) and 121 (23.9%) disagreed or strongly disagreed with providing first aid without proper knowledge on how to do it.

TABLE 3:Attitude on first aid management of FBA and Obstruction among parents

	Strongly			Strongly
Statement	Disagree	Disagree	Agree	Agree
			123	233
Foreign body aspiration should need immediate management	46 (10.9%)	20 (4.7%)	(29.1%)	(55.1%)
Everyone should know about first aid management of foreign body			140	
aspiration and obstruction	41 (9.7%)	39 (9.2%)	(33.1%)	203 (48%)
Foreign body aspiration does not cause death/life-threatening	164	157	45	57
conditions even if not treated	(38.8%)	(37.1%)	(10.6%)	(13.5%)
It is possible to manage foreign body aspiration and obstruction at		176	127	
home without taking a victim to the health care setup	87 (20.6%)	(41.6%)	(30%)	33 (7.8%)
We should sweep our fingers blindly into the throat of foreign				
body aspirated and obstructed victim & take the victim to health		100	146	85
Institution	92 (21.7%)	(23.6%)	(34.5%)	(20.1%)
You must not provide foreign body aspiration and obstruction first	101	125	124	73
aid without knowledge	(23.9%)	(29.6%)	(29.3%)	(17.3%)
If first aid is not given to foreign body obstruction within golden			173	170
time, it may lead to death	59 (13.9%)	21 (5.0%)	(40.9%)	(40.2%)

Practice of parents on first aid management of foreign body aspiration and obstruction

Of the participants, 75 (17.7%) faced foreign body-aspirated or obstructed children. Among these, 53 (70.6%) had provided first aid. Based on practice for a child chocking with an object not visible, 9 (17%) gave a glass of water, while 5 (9.4%) did a finger sweep to identify and remove the object, and 14 (24.5%) slapped at the back of the neck, 11 (20.8%) did an abdominal thrust, and 14 (26.4%) slapped at the back, and 1 (1.9%) took the child to the hospital. Based on the assessed practical question for which a child obstructed, developed talking and breathing difficulties with a visible and accessible foreign body, 8 (15.1%) gave a glass of water, while 13 (24.5%) did a finger sweep to identify and remove the object, 10 and slapped at the back of the neck, 10 (18.9%) did an abdominal thrust, 11 (20.8%) slapped at the back, and 1 (1.9%) did not know what to do or took to the hospital. Based on the assessed practical question for a child with choking and coughing only 10 (18.9%) gave a glass of water, while 6 (11.3%) did a finger sweep to identify and remove objects, 7 and slapped at the back of the neck, 10 (18.9%) did an abdominal thrust, 18 (34%), slapped at the back, and 2 (3.8%) didn't know what to do.

Based on the assessed practical question for child became obstructed, became breathless, and became unconscious. 15 (28.3%) did CPR, while 8 (15.1%) did a finger sweep to identify and remove objects, and 5 (9.4%) slapped at the back of the neck, 7 (13.2%) did an abdominal thrust, 8 (15.1%) slapped at the back, and 10 (18.9%) did not know what to do or took to the hospital.

Overall, 75.5% of study participants scored below 80% of practice towards foreign body aspiration and obstruction, and they are considered to have poor practice towards first aid management of foreign body aspiration and obstruction.

TABLE 4 Response of parents practice on FBAO first aid management

Have you faced foreign body aspiration or obstruction	Yes	75	17.7%
	No	348	82.3%
	Yes	53	12.5%

			12
Have you Given first aid for FBA or Obstruction child (if yes proceed to q 3 to 6)	No	371	87.7%
Action taken when faced child choking with complete Airway obstruction, object no	Giving a glass of water	9	17%
visible	Do a finger sweep to identify & remove the Object	5	9.4%
	Hitting at the back of the neck	13	24.5%
	Abdominal thrust	11	20.8%
	Slapping at the back	14	26.4%
	Took to hospital	1	1.9%
Action taken when faced with a child obstructed, develop talking and breathing	Giving a glass of water	8	15.1%
difficulty with a visible and accessible foreign body.	Do a finger sweep to identify & remove the Object	13	24.5%
	Hitting at the back of the neck	10	18.9%
	Abdominal thrust	10	18.9%
	Slapping at the back	11	20.8%

			13
	Took to hospital	1	1.9%
Action taken when a child is choking and coughing	Giving a glass of water	10	18.9%
	Do a finger sweep to identify & remove the Object	6	11.3%
	Hitting at the back of the neck	7	13.2%
	Abdominal thrust	10	18.9%
	Slapping at the back	18	34%
	Took to hospital	2	3.8%
The child obstructed, became breathlessness and unconsciousness	Do CPR	15	28.3%
	Do a finger sweep to identify & remove the Object	8	15.1%
	Hitting at the back of the neck	5	9.4%
	Abdominal thrust	7	13.2%
	Slapping at the back	8	15.1%
	Took to hospital	10	18.9%

Factors affecting the knowledge ,attitude and practice of parents on first aid management of FBA and Obstruction

Binary and multiple logistic regression analyses were done to analyze factors associated with Knowledge, attitude, and practice in providing first aid management towards foreign body aspiration and obstruction. In the binary logistic regression analysis, sex, age, occupation, and educational status were all associated with knowledge, attitude, and practice of first aid management for a foreign-aspirated child. Parents' knowledge of first aid management of foreign body aspiration and obstruction was significantly correlated with literacy and health professionalism (AOR:3.612, 95% CI: 1.758, 7.420, P ≤0.05) and (AOR:3.166, 95% CI: 1.453, 6.263, P≤0.05), respectively. Health professionals were significantly associated with parents good practices (AOR: 3.317,95% CI: $0.834,12.263,P \le 0.05$). The demographic data of parents has no association with their attitude and practice of FBAO first aid.

TABLE 5:Binary and multiple logistic regression analysis of selected factors affecting knowledge on FBA and obstruction

Variables		Knowledge	level	Linear regression (95% CI)			
		Adequate	inadequate	COR(p<0.25)	p-value	AOR(P<0.05)	p-value
		Freq(%)	Freq(%)	_			
Sex	Male	103(24.3)	95(22.5)	1		1	
	Female	117(27.7)	108(25.5)	1.010(0.836- 1.196)	0.997	1.075(0.721- 1.623)	0.722
Age	<30 years	104(24.6)	109(28.8)	1		1	
	30-50 years	109(28.8)	89(21)	0.875(0.268- 2.851)	0.824	0.591(0.160- 2.185)	0.431
	>50 years	7(1.7)	5(1.18)	1.400	0.566	1.335	0.682
	Illiterate	11(2.6)	33 (7.8)	1		1	
Education	Literate	209(49.4)	170(40)	3.688(1.810- 7.515)	<0.001+	3.612(1.758- 7.420)	<0.001++

							15
	Non health	188(44.4)	192(45.3)	1		1	
Occupation	professional						
	Health	32(7.6)	11(2.6)	2.971(1.455-	0.003+	3.016(1.453-	0.003++
	professional			6.067)		6.263)	

TABLE 6: Binary and multiple logistic regression analysis of selected factors affecting attitude on FBA and obstruction

	attitude leve	1	Linear regression (95% CI)				
	Adequate	inadequate	COR(p<0.25)	p-value	AOR(P<0.05)	p-value	
	Freq(%)	Freq(%)	_				
Male	146(34.5)	52(12.2)	1		1		
Female	158(37.3)	67(15.8)	1.191(0.777- 1.824)	0.423	1.172	0.476	
<30 years	150(35.4)	63(14.9)	1		1		
30-50 years	145(34.2)	53(12.5)	1.149(0.747- 1.768)	0.527	1.141	0.559	
>50 years	9(2.1)	3(0.7)	1.260(0.330- 4.809)	0.735	0.591(0.160- 2.185)	0.431	
Illiterate	21(4.9)	23 (5.4)	1		1		
	Female <30 years 30-50 years >50 years	Freq(%) Male 146(34.5) Female 158(37.3) <30 years 150(35.4) 30-50 years 145(34.2) >50 years 9(2.1)	Freq(%) Freq(%) Male 146(34.5) 52(12.2) Female 158(37.3) 67(15.8) 67(15.8) 30-50 years 145(34.2) 53(12.5) >50 years 9(2.1) 3(0.7)	Freq(%) Freq(%) Male 146(34.5) 52(12.2) 1 Female 158(37.3) 67(15.8) 1.191(0.777- 1.824) 	Freq(%) Freq(%) Male 146(34.5) 52(12.2) 1 Female 158(37.3) 67(15.8) 1.191(0.777- 0.423 1.824) <30 years 150(35.4) 63(14.9) 1 30-50 years 145(34.2) 53(12.5) 1.149(0.747- 0.527 1.768) >50 years 9(2.1) 3(0.7) 1.260(0.330- 0.735 4.809)	Freq(%) Freq(%) Male 146(34.5) 52(12.2) 1 1 Female 158(37.3) 67(15.8) 1.191(0.777- 0.423 1.172 1.824) 1 30-50 years 145(34.2) 53(12.5) 1.149(0.747- 0.527 1.141 1.768) 1.260(0.330- 0.735 0.591(0.160-4.809) 2.185)	

^{++ =} significant at $p \le 0.05$

⁺⁼ associated at p \leq 0.25, COR-crude odes ration, AOR-adjusted odes ration.

							16
Education	Literate	283(66.9)	96(22.7)	3.229(1.711-	<0.001+	1.198(0.595-	0.613
				6.094)		2.413)	
	Non health	274(64.8)	106(25.1)	1		1	
		27 1(0 1.0)	100(20.1)				
Occupation	professional						
	Health	30(7.1)	13(3.0)	1.120(0.562-	< 0.001	1.224(0.575-	0.003++
	professional			2.229)		2.238)	
I .			1				

TABLE 7:Binary and multiple logistic regression analysis of selected factors affecting practice on FBA and obstruction

17

variables		practice level		Linear regression (95% CI)			
		adequate	inadequate	COR(p<0.25)	p-value	AOR(P<0.05)	p-value
		Freq(%)	Freq(%)				
sex	Male	6(11.3)	16(30.2)	1		1	
	Female	7(13.2)	24(45.2)	1,268(0.364- 4.536)	0.696	1,755(0.442- 6.966)	0.424
age	<30 years	6(11.3)	21(39.6)	1		1	
	30-50 years	7(13.2)	18(34)	0.875(0.268- 2.851)	0.824	0.591(0.160- 2.185)	0.431
	>50 years	0(0)	1(1.9)	1.361(0.386- 4.794)	0.566	1	0.00
Education	Illiterate	0(0)	1 (1.9)	1		1	
	Literate	13(24.5)	39(73.6)	1.122(0.0374- 25.4)	0.9753	0.999(0.0325-24.8)	0.982
Occupation	Non health professional	15(28.3)	27(50.9)	1		1	
	Health professional	7(13.2)	4(7.5)	3.150.250(0.79 1-12.172)	0.104+	3.317(0.834- 12.263)	0.008+-

⁺⁺ = significant at p ≤ 0.05

⁺⁼ associated at p \leq 0.25, COR-crude odes ration, AOR-adjusted odes ration.

perpetuity.
It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

18

Discussion

FBA is considered the leading cause of morbidity and mortality among young children. (15;

16) Based on the report by CDC (Center for Disease Control), it is among the leading causes of fatal home

accidents in children between one and four years of age (between 2000 and 2006).

(30) Hence, assessing and increasing the awareness of parents regarding foreign body aspiration and

obstruction has a vital role in decreasing the rate of morbidity and mortality associated with foreign body

aspiration (10; 17; 18; 15)In this study, we measured knowledge, attitude, and practice among parents

towards first aid management of foreign body aspiration and obstruction for children.

Only 51.5% of parents had adequate knowledge about foreign body aspiration and obstruction. Our

results were consistent with the findings in Turkey; where 50% of the mothers who participated in the

study had adequate information on foreign body aspiration. On the other hand, the study done in Al Qassim

, Saudi Arabia (20) showed against our finding, where 36.9% of parents found good knowledge. In our study,

a significant majority (68.8%) of parents were familiar with foreign body aspiration (FBA) .

As parents' knowledge plays an important role in lowering the incidence of FBA among children, we

assessed their knowledge in our study. We found that most parents (75.7%) believed that children should

not be introduced to peanuts before the age of four, and a vast majority (67.8%) recognized nuts, seeds, and

plastic toys as potential aspiration hazards. These findings are consistent with several international and

local studies. (2,14,20).

In our study, the majority (76.7%) of them had a positive attitude towards providing first aid for a child

with foreign body aspiration and obstruction. It is slightly lower than kindergarten teachers in Addis Ababa

governmental schools (17), with 95.1% having a positive attitude towards choking first aid. This difference

may be due to difference in education level. In our study, 84.6% of participants agreed that foreign body

aspiration needs immediate management. Similarly, a significant majority of respondents (48%) strongly

agreed, and an additional 33.1% agreed, that knowledge of first-aid management for foreign body aspiration

and obstruction is essential for everyone, which is comparable with kindergarten teachers (17), where 80%

of the participants agreed that everyone should have basic first aid knowledge.

With regard to parents' FBA practices, our study found that only 24.5% of the 53 parents who

participated had scores above 80%. This is similar to a study conducted in Makkah (29), where only 22.4%

of participants had good practices, and marginally lower than the Al Qassim study (20), which discovered

that 44.7% of parents had good FBA practices and 55.3% had poor behaviors. This may be due to a low

literacy level. Multiple logistic regression analysis showed that literate parents were 3.6 times more

19

knowledgeable than those who were illiterate. (AOR:3.612, 95% CI: 1.758, 7.420, P ≤0.05). Furthermore, a

significant number of parents used risky and ineffective first aid techniques for treating patients who had

aspirated foreign bodies or were obstructed, such as tapping the back of the neck, blindly sticking fingers

down the victim's throat, and offering water to drink. Based on these results, it is crucial to educate the

public and make them aware of the dangers and poor behaviors that could cause this incident in order to

lower the risk and incidence of FBA.

Limitation of the study

One of the limitations is the study design being cross- sectional so, that cause and effect association

cannot be studied. Second, there may be a bias related to participants' level of understanding since the data

collection tool was a self-administered questionnaire and interview for those who cannot read. Different

mechanisms were tried to minimize bias by performing pre-tests before actual data collection.

Conclusion

As there is very few study in our country, the purpose of this research was to examine the parents

knowledge, attitudes, and practice toward the first aid management of foreign body aspiration and

obstruction. It is hoped that the information gained from this study will assist the concerned bodies who are

responsible. Since foreign body aspiration in children is an avoidable condition preventive care awareness

by health care professionals in general and training and educating of the families is very important. Foreign

body aspiration awareness needs to be enhanced, especially among mothers, through the use of both visual

and verbal tools to reduce mortality and prevent complications. Women should be the primary target

population for this educational initiative, as higher female educational attainment is associated with

improved public welfare.

Recommendations

This study identified low awareness and practice regarding foreign body aspiration (FBA) among the

target population, likely attributed by high illiteracy rates. So based on the identified gaps form the study

the following recommendation are made:

Most importantly, physicians and health care workers can play a significant role in spreading awareness about

FBA in the community. Sensitizing the community toward the need of awareness regarding FBA through educational

campaigns involving during routine visits to the health care settings.

• Educational campaign or educational message should be carried out through television and radio broadcasts,

20

leaflets, interviews in newspapers, community gatherings, and mobile phone messaging services to reach a wider audience.

Creating visual aids (pictograms, short videos, demonstrations) alongside translated mes-

sages in local languages to overcome literacy barriers.

• Integrate FBA awareness into existing programs like parenting workshops, maternal health

initiatives, and early childhood development programs.

Acknowledgments

We thank SPHMMC for providing us to conduct this study and its ethical approval. We also thank to

Supervisor, Data collectors and study participants for their immense cooperation during data collection

period.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Yohannes Hailu, Sisay Yifru

Data curation: Yohannes Hailu, Sisay Yifru

Formal analysis: Yohannes Hailu

Investigation: Yohannes Hailu

Methodology: Yohannes Hailu, Sisay Yifru

Software: Yohannes Hailu, Sisay Yifru

Supervision: Sisay Yifru

Validation: Yohannes Hailu, Sisay Yifru

Visualization: Yohannes Hailu, Sisay Yifru

Writing – original draft: Yohannes Hailu

Writing - review & editing: Yohannes Hailu, Sisay Yifru

References

- 1. Ferrari LR. The pediatric airway: Anatomy, challenges, and solutions. In: Mason KP, editor. Pediatric Sedation Outside of the Operating Room. 2nd ed. New York: Springer; 2015. p. 95-109.
- 2. Jayachandra S, Eslick GD. A systematic review of pediatric foreign body ingestion: Presentation, complications, and management. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 2013;77:311-7.
- 3. McKinney OW, Heaton PA, Gamble J, Paul SP. Recognition and management of foreign body ingestion and aspiration. Nurs Stand 2017;31:42-52.
- 4. Gregori D, Salerni L, Scarinzi C, Morra B, Berchialla P, Snidero S, et al. Foreign bodies in the nose causing complications and requiring hospitalization in children 0-14 age: Results from the European survey of foreign bodies injuries study. Rhinology 2008:46:28-33.
- 5. Singh JK, Vasudevan V, Bharadwaj N, Narasimhan KL. Role of tracheostomy in the management of foreign body airway obstruction in children. Singapore Med J 2009;50:871-4.
- 6. Committee on Injury, Violence, and Poison Prevention. Prevention of choking among children. Pediatrics 2010;125:601-7. Journal
- 7. Wu X, Wu L, Chen Z, Zhou Y. Fatal choking in infants and children treated in a pediatric intensive care unit: A 7- year experience. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 2018;110:67-9.
- 8. Johnson K, Linnaus M, Notrica D. Airway foreign bodies in pediatric patients: Anatomic location of the foreign body affects complications and outcomes. Pediatr Surg Int 2017;33:59-64.
- 9. Chapin MM, Rochette LM, Annest JL, Haileyesus T, Conner KA, Smith GA. Nonfatal choking on food among children 14 years or younger in the United States, 2001-2009. Pediatrics 2013;132:275-81.
- 10. Bittencourt PF, Camargos PA, Scheinmann P, de Blic J. Foreign body aspiration: Clinical, radiological findings and factors associated with its late removal. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 2006;70:879-84.
- 11. Cohen S, Avital A, Godfrey S, Gross M, Kerem E, Springer C. Suspected foreign body inhalation in children: What are the indications for bronchoscopy? J Pediatr 2009;155:276-80.
- 12. Metrangelo S, Monetti C, Meneghini L, Zadra N, Giusti F. Eight years' experience with foreign-body aspiration in children: What is really important for a timely diagnosis? J Pediatr Surg 1999;34:1229-31.
- 13. Tan HK, Brown K, McGill T, Kenna MA, Lund DP, Healy GB. Airway foreign bodies (FB): A 10-year review. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol Otorhinolaryngol 2000;56:91-9.
- 14. Algethami, Nada Alsulaiman, Abeer Altalhi, Wahaj Alkhaldi, Layla Bayoumy, Eman 2021/10/01 Level of Parental Awareness Regarding Aerodigestive Pediatric Foreign Bodies, Western Region, Saudi Arabia 19 10.5742/MEWFM.2021.94141 World Family

Medicine Journal /Middle East Journal of Family Medicine

- 15. Alshakhs FA, Alyahya KA, Alsaeed AS, Alsultan MS. Parental Awareness Regarding Aerodigestive Pediatric Foreign Bodies . Eastern Province, Saudi Arabia. 2018;70(January):1511-1517. doi:10.12816/0044677
- 16. Salman F, Javaid H, Ismail S, Salman S. Parental Role in Recognition, Prevention and First Aid Management of Foreign Body Aspiration amongst Children. 2022;3(2). doi:10.53685/jshmdc.v3i2.127
- 17. Issack AM, Jiru T, Aniley AW. Assessment of knowledge, attitude and practice on first aid management of choking and associated factors among kindergarten teachers in Addis Ababa governmental schools, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. A crosssectional institution-based study. PLoS One. 2021;16(7 July). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0255331
- 18. Soares BA, Alziro N, Fares K, et al. Foreign body aspiration in children: assessment of parent and caregiver knowledge. Published online 2020:1-6. doi:10.25060/residpediatr-2020.v10n3-87
- 19. Ozdogan S, Author C, Ozdogan S. MOTHERS 'KNOWLEDGE ON FOREIGN BODY Original Article YABANCI CİSİM. 2015;11(36):935-944. doi:10.15659/yeditepemj.16.10.314
- 20. Almutairi AT, Alharbi FS. Parental knowledge and practices toward foreign body aspiration in children in the Al Qassim region of Saudi Arabia. Published online 2021:199-204. doi:10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc
- 21. http://www.sphmmc.edu.et/
- 22.Brkic F, Umihanic S, Altumbabic H, Ramas A, Salkic A, Umihanic S, et al. Death as aconsequence of foreign body aspiration inchildren. Medical Archives. 2018; 72(3):220-223. doi: 10.5455/medarh.2018.72.220-223
- 23. Montana A, Salerno M, Feola A, Asmundo A, Di Nunno N, Casella F, et al. Risk Management and Recommendations for the Prevention of Fatal Foreign Body

Aspiration: Four Cases Aged 1.5 to 3 Years and Mini-Review of the Literature. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020; 17(13): 4700. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17134700

- 24. Cui Y, Cui X, Yu T, Zhu Z, Wang X.Importance of patient history in diagnosis offoreign body aspiration in children: Twocase reports. Medicine. 2019; 98(17).e15326 doi:10.1097/MD.000000000015326
- 25. Tadesse, A., & Hailemariam, B. (2014). ISSN 2073-2073-9990 East Cent. Afr. J. s urg Management of Foreign Body Aspiration in Pediatric Patients: An Experience from a Tertiary Hospital in Ethiopia ISSN 2073-2073-9990 East Cent. Afr. J. s urg. 19(August), 36–43.
- 26. Anyanwu, C.H. 1985: Foreign body airway obstruction in nigerian children Journal of Tropical Pediatrics (1980) 31(3): 170-173
- 27. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. Oct 2011; 63(4): 313–316. Published online May 13, 2011. doi: 10.1007/s12070-011-0227-5, PMCID: PMC3227816

23 28. Dula BYA. Assessment Of Knowledge, Attitude, And Practice Towards The First Aid Management Of Foreign Body Aspiration And Obstruction Among The Community Living In Addis Alem Town Of Ejere Wereda Of West Shewa Zone. 2015;1-49.

- 29. Bin Laswad BM, Alsulaimani HM, Alomairi MM, Alsulami RR, Alobaidi SF, Aljabri H, Alsaidi ST, Ageel MH. Parental Knowledge and Practices Related to Foreign Body Aspiration in Children in Makkah, Saudi Arabia. Cureus. 2023 Feb 9;15(2):e34816. doi: 10.7759/cureus.34816. PMID: 36915846; PMCID: PMC10008119.
- 30. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. CDC Childhood Injury Report: Patterns of Unintentional Injuries Among 0-19 Years Old in The United States, 2000-2006. Atlanta: National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention;2008. p.1-109