It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

#### Validation of the PREDICT Breast Version 3.0 Prognostic Tool in US Breast Cancer Patients

Yi-Wen Hsiao<sup>1</sup>, Gordon C. Wishart<sup>2</sup>, Paul D.P. Pharoah<sup>1</sup>, Pei-Chen Peng<sup>1†</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Computational and Biomedicine, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, West Hollywood, CA, USA

<sup>2</sup> School of Medicine, Anglia Ruskin University, Cambridge, UK

<sup>†</sup> Corresponding author: Pei-Chen Peng, Department of Computational Biomedicine, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, California, USA; email: <u>pei-chen.peng@cshs.org</u>

Short title: Validation of PREDICT v3 using the SEER

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

#### ABSTRACT

**Background:** PREDICT Breast v3 is the latest updated prognostication tool, developed from the breast cancer registry of approximately 35,000 women diagnosed between 2000 and 2018 in the United Kingdom. However, its performance in the United States (US) population is unknown. This study aims to validate PREDICT Breast v3 using newly released Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) outcome data for US breast cancer patients and to address potential health disparities.

**Methods:** Over 860,000 female patients diagnosed between 2000 and 2018 with primary breast cancer and followed for at least 10 years were selected from the SEER database. Predicted and observed 10- and 15-year breast cancer-specific survival outcomes were compared for the overall cohort, stratified by estrogen receptor (ER) status, and predefined subgroups. Discriminatory accuracy was determined through the area under the receiver-operator curves (AUC).

**Results:** PREDICT Breast v3 demonstrated good calibration and discrimination for long-term breast cancer-specific mortality. It provided accurate mortality estimates (within a  $\pm 10\%$  error range) across the entire US population for 10-year (-8% in ER-positive and 4% in ER-negative patients) and 15-year (-3 % in ER-positive and 5% in ER-negative patients) all-cause mortality, for both ER statuses. The model also showed good performance for 10- and 15-year all-cause mortality across the U.S. population, with AUC of 0.769 and 0.793 for ER-positive breast cancer as well as AUC of 0.738 and 0.746 for ER-negative breast cancer. However, recalibration is needed for specific groups, such as non-Hispanic Asian and non-Hispanic Black patients with ER-negative status.

**Conclusions:** PREDICT v3 accurately predicts 10- and 15-year overall survival in contemporary US breast cancer patients. Future work should focus on promoting equitable care by addressing disparities that are observed in predictive tools.

Keywords: women's cancer; breast cancer; survival; prognosis

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

### 1 Background

Breast cancer is the most common type of cancer diagnosed among women worldwide, with around 2.3 million new cases diagnosed in 2022<sup>1</sup>. It also has the highest incidence rates and the second-largest mortality rates among women in the US, regardless of race or ethnicity <sup>2</sup>: in the United States, a total of 310,720 new female breast cases and 42,250 breast cancer-related deaths among women are estimated in 2024<sup>2</sup>. A key decision for women with a new diagnosis of breast cancer, made in discussion with their clinicians, is whether to undergo a course of systemic treatment.

Adjuvant systemic treatment after surgery for early-stage breast cancer patients is aimed at reducing the risk of recurrence and mortality <sup>3</sup>. Accurate estimates of survival and the benefit of such treatment in early-stage breast cancer ensures that potentially harmful treatment is targeted to those most likely to benefit. These estimates can help oncologists to support optimal clinical decision making which reduces the side effects and maintain the quality of life for breast cancer patients <sup>4</sup>. Prediction models such as PREDICT Breast <sup>5</sup>, Adjuvant! Online <sup>6</sup>, and CancerMath <sup>7</sup> were developed to help decide which adjuvant systemic therapy is most suitable for the patient depending on the patient and tumor characteristics, including tumor size, node status, hormone receptor status, and other factors <sup>8</sup>. Adjuvant! Online is no longer available and CancerMath has not been updated since it was first released. PREDICT Breast v3 (breast.v3.predict.cam) <sup>9</sup>, released in May 2024, is the most recent version.

PREDICT Breast v1 and v2 have been validated in breast cancer cases from multiple countries, including UK <sup>10,11</sup>, Canada <sup>12</sup>, Malaysia <sup>13</sup>, the Netherlands <sup>14,14,15</sup>, Japan <sup>16</sup>, Indian <sup>17</sup>, Spain <sup>18</sup> and New Zealand <sup>19</sup> and the USA <sup>20,20</sup>. However, PREDICT Breast v3, has only been validated in breast cancer cases from the UK – the population used to develop the model. It is important that this version should be validated in other populations including the USA and, given the diversity of the population in the USA, it is important to evaluate the performance of PREDICT Breast v3 in the different racial groups within the USA. Thus, this study aims to address this gap by conducting an external validation of PREDICT Breast v3 using the latest release of the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) data. This validation will assess the model's accuracy in predicting patient outcomes across diverse populations of breast cancer patients in the United States. We have also compared the performance of PREDICT Breast v3 with that of PREDICT v2.2 and CancerMath.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

### 2 Methods

#### 2.1 Study population

The study population was from the SEER Research Plus data (2000-2018; November 2023 Submission)<sup>21</sup>. SEER is a comprehensive cancer registry program in the US that collects information about cancer patients from multiple cancer registries across the country. In total, 1,291,324 breast cancer cases were recorded in this latest release. The breast cancer registry captures information on patient demographics, the tumor site, time since initial cancer diagnosis, tumor histology and tumor behavior.

In this study, women aged 25 to 84 diagnosed with primary breast cancer in 2000 through 2018 were included. Patients with distant metastasis at the time of diagnosis, tumor size exceeding 500 mm or more than 50 positive lymph nodes were excluded, as were those with missing information necessary for PREDICT Breast prognostic score calculations. We also excluded the patients with missing data on survival time or cause of death. The final cohort comprised 628,753 female breast cancer patients: 62,402 Hispanic (All Races), 51,136 Non-Hispanic Asian or Pacific Islander, 57,039 Non-Hispanic Black and 453,297 Non-Hispanic White.

#### 2.2 SEER prognostic variables used in the PREDICT Breast model

The minimum set of input variables for PREDICT Breast v3 are patient demographics (diagnosis year and age at diagnosis), tumor characteristics (tumor size, histologic grade, number of positive lymph nodes, estrogen receptor status), and treatment types (radiation therapy, adjuvant hormone therapy, adjuvant chemotherapy, trastuzumab and bisphosphonates). Adjuvant chemotherapy is either classified as standard anthracycline based or high-dose anthracycline/taxane based. Optional variables are mode of detection (clinical or screen detected) and tumor HER2 status, progesterone receptor status and KI67 status. KI67 data are not available in SEER and so KI67 status was set to unknown for all cases. Mode of detection is also unavailable and was assumed to be screening for 20% of cases aged 45-69 and clinically detected for all others.

SEER also provides information on race and ethnicity encoded as non-Hispanic White, non-Hispanic Black, non-Hispanic American Indian or Alaska Native, non-Hispanic Asian or Pacific Islander, and Hispanic. Each variable is either quantitative or categorical, as detailed in Appendix 1.

Treatment data in SEER are limited to indicator variables for radiotherapy and adjuvant chemotherapy with no data on adjuvant hormone therapy, trastuzumab or bisphosphonate therapy. We assumed that: 1) all cases diagnosed under age 65 who received chemotherapy had a high-dose anthracycline/taxane based and all those 65 and over had a standard anthracycline based regimen; 2) all ER-positive patients received hormone therapy, (3) those diagnosed after 2000 with HER2-positive cancer were assumed to have been prescribed trastuzumab; and (4) no patients received bisphosphonate treatment.

#### It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

#### 2.3 Calculating the PREDICT breast v3 predicted survival probabilities

Predicted all-cause mortality for PREDICT Breast v3 was calculated using a custom script based on the model described in Grootes at al <sup>9</sup>. PREDICT takes the form of a competing risk Cox survival model, with fractional polynomial baseline cumulative hazards. The competing risks are breast cancer mortality and other mortality.

The estimated survival from breast cancer at t years after surgery for each patient is given by

$$S_C(t) = exp(-exp(PI + Rx)H_C(t))$$

PI is the breast cancer prognostic index (log hazard ratio) given by

$$PI = \sum_{i} \beta_i f(i)$$

for prognostic factors 1...i where  $\beta_i$  and f(i) are the log relative hazards and the function of *i* respectively.

Rx is the effect of treatment (log hazard ratio) given by

$$Rx = \sum_{j} \beta_{j} j$$

for treatments 1.*j*, where  $\beta_j$  is the log relative hazard for treatment *j*. H<sub>C</sub>(t) is the baseline hazard for breast cancer mortality. The estimated survival from other causes is given by

$$S_O(t) = exp(-exp(MI)H_O(t))$$

MI is the mortality index

$$MI = \sum_{k} \beta_i f(k)$$

for other mortality prognostic factors 1...k where  $\beta_k$  and f(k) are the coefficients and the function of *k*.

The <u>all-cause survival function</u> at time *t* assumes independent, competing risks from breast and other mortality and is given by

$$S(t) = S_O(t).S_C(t)$$

Thus, predicted all-cause mortality at time t is given by

$$1 - S(t)$$

The baseline hazard functions, and coefficients and functions for all breast cancer and non-breast cancer risk factors were taken from Grootes et al <sup>9</sup>.

Predicted all-cause mortality for PREDICT Breast v2.2 was calculated using the *nhs.predict* R package <sup>15</sup>. The predicted all-cause mortality for CancerMath was calculated using a custom R

script derived from the JavaScript extracted from the online tool. The output of the R script was verified comparing them with those generated by the online tool for a small set of cases.

#### 2.4 Predictive model performance

Model performance was evaluated using calibration, goodness-of-fit and discrimination. Model calibration is given by the ratio of the observed number of events divided by the number of events predicted by the model. Goodness-of-fit was assessed graphically by plotting the observed number of deaths against the predicted number of deaths within quintiles of risk. Model discrimination was evaluated by calculating the area under the receiver-operator characteristic curve (AUC) which measures the probability that the predicted mortality score for a randomly selected patient who died will be higher than that for a randomly selected patient who survived. An AUC value ranges between 0.5 to 1, with a higher AUC indicating a better model in identifying patients with a worse survival. AUC statistics were calculated separately for different ER status and different populations.

All analyses were conducted using the R software <sup>22</sup> implemented in the R Studio version 4.3.3 <sup>23</sup> and the packages *survival* <sup>24</sup>, *pROC* <sup>25</sup> and *tidyverse* <sup>26</sup>.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

### **3 RESULTS**

#### 3.1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of breast cancer patients in SEER

The study population included 628,753 women diagnosed with breast cancer during 2000 to 2018 in the SEER cancer registry, with 712,233 having ER–positive status and 148,751 having ER–negative status. Of these, 413,280 had a minimum of 10 years follow up (83,084 ER-positive and 330,196 ER-negative) and 220,022 had a minimum of 15 years follow up (172,307 ER+ and 47,715 ER-). Table 1 summarizes patients demographics, tumor characteristics, and treatment types, stratified by ER status.

#### 3.2 Calibration

Overall, PREDICT Breast v3 was well-calibrated. The predicted number of deaths at 10 years was within 10% of the observed deaths in patients with ER-positive cancers (68,114 predicted/74,326 observed) and in patients with ER-negative cancer (26,244 predicted/25,190 observed). At 15-years the predictions were within 5% (ER-positive patients 61,770 predicted/63,718 observed; ER-negative patients 20,191 predicted/19,217 observed). The observed and predicted number of deaths from all-causes at 10 and 15 years stratified by patient demographics, tumor characteristics, and treatment are shown in Table 2 for ER-positive patients and Table 3 for ER-negative patients. In most subgroups the observed and predicted number of deaths were within 10%. However, calibration was poor in non-Hispanic Asians with ERnegative cancer, with PREDICT Breast v3 over-predicting the number of deaths at 10- and 15years by more than 30%. Similarly, calibration in non-Hispanic black women with ER-positive breast cancer was poor with PREDICT Breast v3 under-predicting the number of deaths by 20% or more at 10- and 15-years. The observed and predicted numbers of deaths from breast cancer are shown in Appendix 2 for ER-positive breast cancer and in Appendix 3 for ER-negative breast cancer, with deaths from other causes shown in Appendix 4 for ER-positive breast cancer and in Appendix 5 for ER-negative breast cancer. In general, breast cancer specific mortality tended to be over-estimated whereas mortality from other causes tended to be under-estimated. Results of calibration at 5-years follow-up for all-cause, breast cancer-specific and other-cause mortalities are shown in Appendix 6 for ER-positive breast cancer and in Appendix 7 for ERnegative breast cancer. PREDICT breast v3 tended to under-estimate both breast cancer and other deaths at five years with a more substantial mis-calibration for ER-positive patients.

**Table 1. Breast cancer patients' demographics, tumor characteristics, and treatment types in SEER, stratified by estrogen receptor status.** Characteristics were summarized using the proportions for categorical variables and mean (standard derivation, SD) for continuous variables.

	Total		<b>ER-positive</b>		<b>ER-negative</b>	
Ν	623,874		509,245		114,629	
Age at diagnosis (mean (SD))	60	12	60	12	57	13
Follow-up time (year; mean (SD))	9	1.5	9	1.5		1.4
Tumor size (mean (SD))	20.8	17.5	19.7	16.5	25.5	20.5
Race and ethnicity (%)						
Hispanic (All Races	62,402	10.0	49,105	9.6	13,297	11.6
Non-Hispanic Asian or Pacific Islander	51,136	8.2	42,061	8.3	9,075	7.9
Non-Hispanic Black	57,039	9.1	38,899	7.6	18,140	15.8
Non-Hispanic White	453,297	72.7	379,180	74.5	74,117	64.7
Tumor grade (%)						
1	145,255	23.3	142,123	27.9	3,132	2.7
2	272,557	43.7	250,385	49.2	22,172	19.3
3	206,062	33.0	116,737	22.9	89,325	77.9
HER2 status (%)						
Negative	586,332	94.0	482,824	94.8	103,508	90.3
Positive	37,542	6.0	26,421	5.2	11,121	9.7
PR status (%)						
Negative	179,517	29.1	72,459	14.4	107,058	93.9
Positive	436,348	70.9	429,426	85.6	6,922	6.1
Radiotherapy (%)						
No	267,742	42.9	214,016	42	53,726	46.9
Yes	356,132	57.1	295,229	58	60,903	53.1
Chemotherapy (%)						
No	355,516	57.0	322,576	63.3	32,940	28.7
Yes	268,358	43.0	186,669	36.7	81,689	71.3
Vital status (%)						
Alive	454,822	72.9	378,243	74.3	76,579	66.8
Died breast cancer	72,034	11.5	49,490	9.7	22,544	19.7
Died other causes	97,018	15.6	81,512	16.0	15,506	13.5

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

	10-year					15-year				
Characteristics	Ν	0	Р	D	%	Ν	0	Р	D	%
Total	327,873	74,326	68,114	-6,212	-8.4	171,194	63,378	61,770	-1,608	-2.5
Age at diagnosis										
<36y	5,925	1,214	1,017	-197	-16	3,108	932	877	-55	-5.9
36-40 y	11,900	1,759	1,566	-193	-11	6,481	1,465	1,456	-9	-0.6
41-50 y	63,908	7,053	6,894	-159	-2.3	34,024	5,925	6,598	673	11
51-60 y	84,863	11,570	10,465	-1,105	-10	44,634	9,962	10,087	125	1.3
>60 y	161,277	52,730	48,170	-4,560	-8.6	82,947	45,094	42,752	-2,342	-5.2
Race and ethnicity										
Hispanic (All Races)	28,532	5,865	5,479	-386	-6.6	13,381	4,438	4,470	32	0.7
Non-Hispanic Asian or Pacific Islander	24,937	3,862	4,406	544	14	12,043	3,188	3,729	541	17
Non-Hispanic Black	23,508	6,978	4,912	-2,066	-30	11,185	5,011	4,019	-992	-20
Non-Hispanic White	250,896	57,621	53,315	-4,306	-7.5	134,585	50,741	49,552	-1,189	-2.3
Tumor size										
0-10 mm	93,252	15,047	14,306	-741	-4.9	47,998	14,396	13,903	-493	-3.4
11-20 mm	130,997	26,320	24,226	-2,094	-8.0	70,300	24,086	23,288	-798	-3.3
21-50 mm	88,621	26,684	23,785	-2,899	-11	45,588	20,765	20,276	-489	-2.4
>50 mm	15,003	6,275	5,795	-480	-7.6	7,308	4,131	4,302	171	4.1
Tumor nodes										
0	222,802	42,409	39,008	-3,401	-8.0	114,026	38,060	36,521	-1,539	-4.0
1	45,003	10,333	9,218	-1,115	-11	24,062	8,708	8,490	-218	-2.5
2-4	35,956	10,221	9,382	-839	-8.2	19,786	8,458	8,442	-16	-0.2
5-9	14,881	6,213	5,607	-606	-10	8,232	4,635	4,660	25	0.5
10+	9,231	5,150	4,897	-253	-4.9	5,088	3,517	3,658	141	4.0
Tumor grade										
1	89,562	16,134	14,074	-2,060	-13	45,032	14,671	13,271	-1,400	-10
2	159,627	35,749	31,758	-3,991	-11	83,313	30,726	29,037	-1,689	-5.5
3	78,684	22,443	22,281	-162	-0.7	42,849	17,981	19,462	1,481	8.2
HER2 status										
negative	52,502	11,420	9,347	-2,073	-18	-	-	-	-	
positive	6,577	1,316	1,038	-278	-21	-	-	-	-	
PR status										
negative	49,184	13,766	11,627	-2,139	-16	26,729	11,254	10,679	-575	-5.1
positive	271,694	58,946	54,979	-3,967	-6.7	139,421	50,236	49,260	-976	-1.9
Chemotherapy	124,751	25,039	23,260	-1,779	-7.1	66,451	20,405	20,752	347	1.7
Radiotherapy	186,235	36,103	35,904	-199	-0.6	97,582	31,920	32,806	886	2.8

### Table 2. Cumulative observed and predicted all-cause mortality at 10 and 15 years follow up for ER-positive patients.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

	10-year					15-year				
Characteristics	Ν	0	Р	D	%	Ν	0	Р	D	%
Total	82,450	25,190	26,244	1,054	4.2	47,374	19,217	20,191	974	5.1
Age at diagnosis										
<36y	3,668	902	1,263	361	40	2,239	615	935	320	52
36-40 y	5,369	1,251	1,507	256	20	3,316	946	1,155	209	22
41-50 y	19,165	4,470	4,670	200	4.5	11,530	3,351	3,641	290	8.7
51-60 y	23,735	5,829	5,895	66	1.1	13,592	4,376	4,582	206	4.7
>60 y	30,513	12,738	12,909	171	1.3	16,697	9,929	9,879	-50	-0.5
Race and ethnicity										
Hispanic (All Races)	8,962	2,588	2,818	230	8.9	4,801	1,842	2,005	163	8.8
Non-Hispanic Asian or Pacific Islander	6,067	1,325	1,821	496	37	3,271	999	1,319	320	32
Non-Hispanic Black	12,548	4,513	4,050	-463	-10	6,903	3,123	2,929	-194	-6.2
Non-Hispanic White	54,873	16,764	17,556	792	4.7	32,399	13,253	13,938	685	5.2
Tumor size										
0-10 mm	14,252	2,599	2,558	-41	-1.6	7,967	2,419	2,261	-158	-6.5
11-20 mm	27,611	6,949	7,059	110	1.6	16,354	5,771	5,922	151	2.6
21-50 mm	33,872	12,048	12,635	587	4.9	19,399	8,776	9,426	650	7.4
>50 mm	6,715	3,594	3,991	397	11	3,654	2,251	2,583	332	15
Tumor nodes										
0	52,840	12,317	12,603	286	2.3	29,602	10,090	10,170	80	0.8
1	10,953	3,598	3,597	-1	0.0	6,369	2,634	2,750	116	4.4
2-4	10,102	4,120	4,409	289	7.0	6,123	2,994	3,306	312	10
5-9	4,866	2,659	2,878	219	8.2	3,005	1,844	2,084	240	13
10+	3,689	2,496	2,756	260	10	2,275	1,655	1,880	225	14
Tumor grade										
1	2,475	531	539	8	1.5	1,573	526	520	-6	-1.1
2	15,955	4,726	4,334	-392	-8.3	9,315	3,866	3,605	-261	-6.8
3	64,020	19,933	21,372	1,439	7.2	36,486	14,825	16,067	1,242	8.4
HER2 status										
negative	8,849	2,808	2,409	-399	-14	-	-	-	-	-
positive	2,932	700	685	-15	-2.1	-	-	-	-	-
PR status										
negative	76,941	23,729	24,615	886	3.7	43,808	17,928	18,803	875	4.9
positive	4,903	1,292	1,434	142	11	3,116	1,105	1,199	94	8.5
Chemotherapy	56,687	15,971	17,012	1,041	6.5	31,552	11,665	12,624	959	8.2
Radiotherapy	43,083	12,014	12,880	866	7.2	25,196	9,259	10,093	834	9.0


## Table 3. Cumulative observed and predicted all-cause mortality at 10 and 15 years follow up for ER-negative patients.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

#### 3.3 Goodness-of-fit

The comparison between predicted and observed all-cause mortality by quintiles of predicted risk is shown in Figure 1. Overall, PREDICT Breast demonstrated good calibration across most quartiles. Mis-calibration was greatest in patients at highest risk. Goodness-of-fit plots for breast cancer-specific and other causes of mortality at 10 and 15 years are shown in Appendix 8. Goodness-of-fit plots for all-cause mortality, breast cancer-specific mortality and other causes of mortality are shown in Appendix 9.

Figure 1. Calibration plot for all-cause mortality by follow up time and tumor ER-status. (A) 10-year, ER-positive, (B) 15-year, ER-positive, (C) 10-year, ER-negative, (D) 15-year, ER-negative.



#### 3.4 Discrimination

Overall model discrimination was very good in women with both ER-positive breast cancer (AUCs of 0.769 for 10-year follow-up and 0.793 for 15-year follow-up) and ER-negative breast cancer (AUCs of 0.738 for 10-year follow-up and 0.746 for 15-year follow-up) (Table 4). There was little difference in discrimination by race. Discrimination was slightly better for other cause

mortality than for breast cancer specific mortality is shown in Appendix 10. AUCs for 5-year mortality were similar (Appendix 11).

Race	<b>ER-positive</b>			
	10-year	15-year	10-year	15-year
Total	0.769	0.793	0.738	0.746
Non-Hispanic White	0.774	0.800	0.725	0.727
Non-Hispanic Asian	0.758	0.764	0.725	0.756
Hispanic (All Races)	0.755	0.767	0.746	0.717
Non-Hispanic Black	0.737	0.754	0.742	0.737

Table 4. Model discrimination (area under receiver operator characteristic curve) for 10-
year and 15-year all-cause mortality by race and tumor ER-status.

#### 3.5 Comparison of performance of PREDICT breast v3 with v2.2 and CancerMath

Calibration and discrimination for all three models for all-cause mortality at 10 and 15 years are shown in Table 5. Both PREDICT v2.2 and CancerMath substantially over predicted the number of deaths with calibration being particularly poor for CancerMath. Discrimination was good for all three models, with PREDICT v3 slightly outperforming the other two models.

# Table 5. Performance comparison of other breast cancer prognostication tools with PREDICT v3 in the US population, in terms of the 10-year and 15-year all-cause mortality, stratified by ER status.

	<b>ER-positive</b>		ER-negative	
	Calibration (%)	Discrimination	Calibration (%)	Discrimination
10-year				
PREDICT Breast v3	-8.4	0.769	4.2	0.738
PREDICT Breast v2	31	0.758	39	0.732
CancerMath	83	0.740	32	0.710
15-year				
PREDICT Breast v3	-2.5	0.793	5.1	0.746
PREDICT Breast v2	19	0.774	23	0.741
CancerMath	112	0.740	64	0.710

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

### **4 DISCUSSION**

This study is the first validation of PREDICT Breast v3 in a non-UK population. Overall, the model performed well with good calibration and discrimination at 10 and 15 years for ER-positive and ER-negative patients. The overall performance was similar to that in a large series of patients in the United Kingdom <sup>9</sup>. Discrimination was generally very good in all populations for both ER-negative and ER-positive patients, but calibration was poorer in specific populations. In particular, the model over estimated mortality in non-Hispanic Asian patients with ER-negative disease and under-estimated mortality in non-Hispanic black patients with ER-positive disease. The latter finding is consistent with findings from a validation of PREDICT breast v2 in the US population <sup>20</sup>.

The primary purpose of PREDICT breast is to provide estimates of the absolute survival benefit associated with adjuvant therapies to aid shared decision making between patients and their oncologists. Model performance indicates that PREDICT breast v3 is sufficiently accurate in the US non-Hispanic white population for it to be incorporated into the routine practice of oncologists. However, the model is likely to over-estimate the benefits of adjuvant therapy in non-Hispanic Asian patients with ER-negative disease and under-estimate the benefits of adjuvant therapy in the non-Hispanic black patients with ER-positive disease. The under/over-estimates are by about one third at 10 years, and this should be taken into account when using the model for decision making in these populations.

There are two main components to the PREDICT breast model. The first is the baseline hazard and the second is the set of coefficients (log hazard ratios) for each prognostic factor in the model. Poor calibration is primarily dependent on misspecification of the baseline hazard, whereas discrimination depends on the set of coefficients. Given that discrimination was good across all population groups, completely refitting a model to generate different sets of population specific coefficients is unlikely to improve the fit of the model substantially. However, improvements in calibration could easily be achieved by simply modifying the baseline hazard to be population specific.

A further limitation is a limitation of the PREDICT breast v3 model itself. There are many markers that have been shown to be prognostic in addition to the variables included in the model. Of particular note are tumor gene expression profiles or genomic risk scores (GRS) such as EndoPredict <sup>27</sup>, Mammaprint <sup>28</sup>, and OncotypeDx <sup>29</sup>. While there are many published 'validation' studies of GRS, there has only been one study to evaluate the benefit of adding GRS to standard clinical variables as measured by change in discrimination or reclassification <sup>30</sup>; in this study, adding GRS to PREDICT breast v2 had a small effect on the discrimination of the model and reclassification was limited. It seems unlikely that adding GRS to PREDICT breast v3 would make much difference to the performance.

We have shown that PREDICT breast v3 works well for the majority of breast cancer patients in the USA. Future work will involve evaluating the benefit of adding GRS to the model and modification of the model to ensure that performance is good in all ancestries to reflect the diverse ancestries of the population.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was supported by the Cedars-Sinai Cancer Center through the 2024 Cancer Prevention and Control Program Research Developmental Funds Award.

#### DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY

The data used in this study are available from the National Cancer Institute SEER program, at <u>https://seer.cancer.gov/</u>. The R script utilized for data analysis can be accessed on GitHub at <u>https://github.com/pengpclab/PREDICTv3</u>.

#### REFERENCES

- Bray F, Laversanne M, Sung H, et al. Global cancer statistics 2022: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2024;74(3):229-263. doi:10.3322/caac.21834
- Siegel RL, Giaquinto AN, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2024. CA Cancer J Clin. 2024;74(1):12-49. doi:10.3322/caac.21820
- 3. Effects of chemotherapy and hormonal therapy for early breast cancer on recurrence and 15year survival: an overview of the randomised trials. *The Lancet*. 2005;365(9472):1687-1717. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(05)66544-0
- 4. Katz SJ, Morrow M. Addressing overtreatment in breast cancer: The doctors' dilemma. *Cancer*. 2013;119(20):3584-3588. doi:10.1002/cncr.28260
- 5. Wishart GC, Azzato EM, Greenberg DC, et al. PREDICT: a new UK prognostic model that predicts survival following surgery for invasive breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res.* Published online 2010.
- Campbell HE, Taylor MA, Harris AL, Gray AM. An investigation into the performance of the Adjuvant! Online prognostic programme in early breast cancer for a cohort of patients in the United Kingdom. *Br J Cancer*. 2009;101(7):1074-1084. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6605283
- Chen LL, Nolan ME, Silverstein MJ, et al. The impact of primary tumor size, lymph node status, and other prognostic factors on the risk of cancer death. *Cancer*. 2009;115(21):5071-5083. doi:10.1002/cncr.24565
- Liao GS, Chou YC, Hsu HM, Dai MS, Yu JC. The prognostic value of lymph node status among breast cancer subtypes. *Am J Surg*. 2015;209(4):717-724. doi:10.1016/j.amjsurg.2014.05.029
- Grootes I, Wishart GC, Pharoah PDP. An updated PREDICT breast cancer prognostic model including the benefits and harms of radiotherapy. *Npj Breast Cancer*. 2024;10(1):6. doi:10.1038/s41523-024-00612-y
- POSH Steering Group, Maishman T, Copson E, et al. An evaluation of the prognostic model PREDICT using the POSH cohort of women aged ≤40 years at breast cancer diagnosis. Br J Cancer. 2015;112(6):983-991. doi:10.1038/bjc.2015.57

- 11. the SATURNE Advisory Group, Gray E, Marti J, Brewster DH, Wyatt JC, Hall PS. Independent validation of the PREDICT breast cancer prognosis prediction tool in 45,789 patients using Scottish Cancer Registry data. *Br J Cancer*. 2018;119(7):808-814. doi:10.1038/s41416-018-0256-x
- Wishart GC, Bajdik CD, Azzato EM, et al. A population-based validation of the prognostic model PREDICT for early breast cancer. *Eur J Surg Oncol EJSO*. 2011;37(5):411-417. doi:10.1016/j.ejso.2011.02.001
- Wong HS, Subramaniam S, Alias Z, et al. The Predictive Accuracy of PREDICT: A Personalized Decision-Making Tool for Southeast Asian Women With Breast Cancer. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2015;94(8):e593. doi:10.1097/MD.00000000000593
- Van Maaren MC, Van Steenbeek CD, Pharoah PDP, et al. Validation of the online prediction tool PREDICT v. 2.0 in the Dutch breast cancer population. *Eur J Cancer*. 2017;86:364-372. doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2017.09.031
- De Glas NA, Bastiaannet E, Engels CC, et al. Validity of the online PREDICT tool in older patients with breast cancer: a population-based study. *Br J Cancer*. 2016;114(4):395-400. doi:10.1038/bjc.2015.466
- Zaguirre K, Kai M, Kubo M, et al. Validity of the prognostication tool PREDICT version 2.2 in Japanese breast cancer patients. *Cancer Med.* 2021;10(5):1605-1613. doi:10.1002/cam4.3713
- Nair NS, Kothari B, Gupta S, et al. Validation of PREDICT Version 2.2 in a Retrospective Cohort of Indian Women With Operable Breast Cancer. *JCO Glob Oncol.* 2023;(9):e2300114. doi:10.1200/GO.23.00114
- Aguirre U, García-Gutiérrez S, Romero A, et al. External validation of the PREDICT tool in Spanish women with breast cancer participating in population-based screening programmes. *J Eval Clin Pract.* 2019;25(5):873-880. doi:10.1111/jep.13084
- Grootes I, Keeman R, Blows FM, et al. Incorporating progesterone receptor expression into the PREDICT breast prognostic model. *Eur J Cancer*. 2022;173:178-193. doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2022.06.011
- Deng Z, Jones MR, Wolff AC, Visvanathan K. Evaluation of Predict, a prognostic risk tool, after diagnosis of a second breast cancer. *JNCI Cancer Spectr*. 2023;7(6):pkad081. doi:10.1093/jncics/pkad081
- Murphy PK, Sellers ME, Bonds SH, Scott S. The SEER Program's longstanding commitment to making cancer resources available. *JNCI Monogr*. 2024;2024(65):118-122. doi:10.1093/jncimonographs/lgae028
- 22. Chan BKC. Data Analysis Using R Programming. In: Chan BKC, ed. *Biostatistics for Human Genetic Epidemiology*. Springer International Publishing; 2018:47-122. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-93791-5\_2

- 23. Kronthaler F, Zöllner S. Data Analysis with RStudio: An Easygoing Introduction. Springer; 2021. doi:10.1007/978-3-662-62518-7
- 24. Therneau T. A package for survival analysis in R.
- Robin X, Turck N, Hainard A, et al. pROC: an open-source package for R and S+ to analyze and compare ROC curves. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 2011;12(1):77. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-12-77
- 26. Kabacoff RI. *R in Action, Third Edition: Data Analysis and Graphics with R and Tidyverse.* Simon and Schuster; 2022.
- Filipits M, Rudas M, Jakesz R, et al. A New Molecular Predictor of Distant Recurrence in ER-Positive, HER2-Negative Breast Cancer Adds Independent Information to Conventional Clinical Risk Factors. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2011;17(18):6012-6020. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-11-0926
- Van De Vijver MJ, He YD, Van 'T Veer LJ, et al. A Gene-Expression Signature as a Predictor of Survival in Breast Cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2002;347(25):1999-2009. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa021967
- 29. Paik S, Kim C, Baehner FL, Park T, Wickerham DL, Wolmark N. A Multigene Assay to Predict Recurrence of Tamoxifen-Treated, Node-Negative Breast Cancer. *N Engl J Med.* Published online 2004.
- Chowdhury A, Pharoah PD, Rueda OM. Evaluation and comparison of different breast cancer prognosis scores based on gene expression data. *Breast Cancer Res.* 2023;25(1):17. doi:10.1186/s13058-023-01612-9

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Terms	Subgroups	Data type	Units/coding
Patients demographics	age at diagnosis	Quantitative	year
	Tumor size	Quantitative	mm
	Histologic grade	Categorical	1: well differentiated; 2: moderately differentiated;
			3: poorly differentiated
Tumor characteristics	Number of positive lymph nodes	Quantitative	counts
	Estrogen receptor status	Categorical	1: positive; 2: negative
	HER2 status	Categorical	1: positive; 2: negative; 9: unknown
	Progesterone receptor status	Categorical	1: positive; 2:negative
Treatment type	Radiation therapy	Categorical	1: yes; 0: none/unknown/refused (1988+
	Chemotherapy	Categorical	1: yes; 0: no/unknown

### Appendix 1. Summary of standard input variables used in the PREDICT Breast model.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Chauseteristics	10-year					15-year				
Characteristics	Ν	0	Р	D	%	Ν	0	Р	D	%
Total	327,873	30,932	31,129	197	0.6	171,194	23,221	26,000	2,779	12
Age at diagnosis										
<36y	5,925	1,121	941	-180	-16	3,108	838	785	-53	-6.3
36-40 y	11,900	1,552	1,390	-162	-10	6,481	1,263	1,238	-25	-2
41-50 y	63,908	5,512	5,548	36	0.7	34,024	4,402	4,978	576	13
51-60 y	84,863	7,058	6,901	-157	-2.2	44,634	5,518	5,959	441	8
>60 y	161,277	15,689	16,348	659	4.2	82,947	11,200	13,039	1,839	16
Race and ethnicity										
Hispanic (All Races)	28,532	3,152	3,058	-94	-3	13,381	2,135	2,315	180	8.4
Non-Hispanic Asian or Pacific Islander	24,937	1,971	2,293	322	16	12,043	1,477	1,814	337	23
Non-Hispanic Black	23,508	3,552	2,730	-822	-23	11,185	2,319	2,099	-220	-9.5
Non-Hispanic White	250,896	22,257	23,047	790	3.6	134,585	17,290	19,771	2,481	14
Tumor size										
0-10 mm	93,252	3,078	3,063	-15	-0.5	47,998	2,624	2,864	240	9.2
11-20 mm	130,997	8,755	9,212	457	5.2	70,300	7,325	8,406	1,081	15
21-50 mm	88,621	14,705	14,466	-239	-1.6	45,588	10,569	11,649	1,080	10
>50 mm	15,003	4,394	4,387	-7	-0.2	7,308	2,703	3,080	377	14
Tumor nodes										
0	222,802	11,589	12,103	514	4.4	114,026	9,139	10,651	1,512	17
1	45,003	4,788	4,650	-138	-2.9	24,062	3,579	3,999	420	12
2-4	35,956	6,062	6,094	32	0.5	19,786	4,658	5,143	485	10
5-9	14,881	4,407	4,251	-156	-3.5	8,232	3,110	3,344	234	7.5
10+	9,231	4,086	4,030	-56	-1.4	5,088	2,735	2,863	128	4.7
Tumor grade										
1	89,562	3,486	2,855	-631	-18	45,032	2,871	2,507	-364	-13
2	159,627	14,022	13,367	-655	-4.7	83,313	10,869	11,348	479	4.4
3	78,684	13,424	14,906	1,482	11	42,849	9,481	12,145	2,664	28
HER2 status										
negative	52,502	4,509	3,718	-791	-18	-	-	-	-	-
positive	6,577	654	485	-169	-26	-	-	-	-	-
PR status										
negative	49,184	6,837	5,623	-1,214	-18	26,729	4,651	4,676	25	0.5
positive	271,694	23,361	24,819	1,458	6.2	139,421	17,836	20,580	2,744	15
Chemotherapy	124,751	17,098	15,253	-1,845	-11	66,451	12,641	12,600	-41	-0.3
Radiotherapy	186,235	15,968	15,961	-7	0	97,582	12,267	13,339	1,072	8.7

# Appendix 2. Cumulative observed and predicted breast cancer-specific mortality at 10 and 15 years follow up for ER-positive patients.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Chausetaristics	10-year					15-year				
Characteristics	Ν	0	Р	D	%	Ν	0	Р	D	%
Total	82,450	16,599	19,527	2,928	18	47,374	11,045	13,188	2,143	19
Age at diagnosis										
<36y	3,668	839	1,217	378	45	2,239	555	872	317	57
36-40 y	5,369	1,131	1,431	300	26	3,316	825	1,049	224	27
41-50 y	19,165	3,810	4,279	469	12	11,530	2,624	3,117	493	19
51-60 у	23,735	4,468	4,938	470	11	13,592	2,987	3,398	411	14
>60 y	30,513	6,351	7,662	1,311	21	16,697	4,054	4,752	698	17
Race and ethnicity										
Hispanic (All Races)	8,962	1,953	2,285	332	17	4,801	1,221	1,466	245	20
Non-Hispanic Asian or Pacific Islander	6,067	956	1,403	447	47	3,271	638	901	263	41
Non-Hispanic Black	12,548	3,144	3,231	87	2.8	6,903	1,995	2,121	126	6.3
Non-Hispanic White	54,873	10,546	12,608	2,062	20	32,399	7,191	8,700	1,509	21
Tumor size										
0-10 mm	14,252	1,083	1,221	138	13	7,967	811	870	59	7.3
11-20 mm	27,611	3,949	4,707	758	19	16,354	2,783	3,393	610	22
21-50 mm	33,872	8,602	10,080	1,478	17	19,399	5,691	6,804	1,113	20
>50 mm	6,715	2,965	3,518	553	19	3,654	1,760	2,121	361	21
Tumor nodes										
0	52,840	6,453	8,126	1,673	26	29,602	4,386	5,544	1,158	26
1	10,953	2,552	2,777	225	8.8	6,369	1,655	1,886	231	14
2-4	10,102	3,175	3,667	492	16	6,123	2,134	2,516	382	18
5-9	4,866	2,228	2,486	258	12	3,005	1,456	1,663	207	14
10+	3,689	2,191	2,470	279	13	2,275	1,414	1,578	164	12
Tumor grade										
1	2,475	214	257	43	20	1,573	167	197	30	18
2	15,955	2,704	2,711	7	0.3	9,315	1,880	1,897	17	0.9
3	64,020	13,681	16,559	2,878	21	36,486	8,998	11,094	2,096	23
HER2 status										
negative	8,849	1,825	1,687	-138	-7.5	-	-	-	-	-
positive	2,932	409	452	43	10	-	-	-	-	-
PR status										
negative	76,941	15,672	18,301	2,629	17	43,808	10,347	12,277	1,930	19
positive	4,903	833	1,084	251	30	3,116	614	793	179	29
Chemotherapy	56,687	12,087	13,459	1,372	11	31,552	7,896	8,949	1,053	13
Radiotherapy	43,083	8,424	9,555	1,131	13	25,196	5,646	6,552	906	16

# Appendix 3. Cumulative observed and predicted breast cancer-specific mortality at 10 and 15 years follow up for ER-negative patients.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

	10-year					15-year				
Characteristics	Ν	0	Р	D	%	Ν	0	Р	D	%
Total	327,873	43,394	36,985	-6,409	-15	171,194	40,157	35,770	-4,387	-11
Age at diagnosis										
<36y	5,925	93	76	-17	-18	3,108	94	92	-2	-2.4
36-40 у	11,900	207	176	-31	-15	6,481	202	218	16	7.9
41-50 у	63,908	1,541	1,346	-195	-13	34,024	1,523	1,620	97	6.4
51-60 y	84,863	4,512	3,564	-948	-21	44,634	4,444	4,128	-316	-7.1
>60 y	161,277	37,041	31,822	-5,219	-14	82,947	33,894	29,713	-4,181	-12
Race and ethnicity										
Hispanic (All Races)	28,532	2,713	2,421	-292	-11	13,381	2,303	2,155	-148	-6.4
on-Hispanic Asian or Pacific Islander	24,937	1,891	2,113	222	12	12,043	1,711	1,915	204	12
Non-Hispanic Black	23,508	3,426	2,182	-1,244	-36	11,185	2,692	1,920	-772	-29
Non-Hispanic White	250,896	35,364	30,268	-5,096	-14	134,585	33,451	29,781	-3,670	-11
Tumor size										
0-10 mm	93,252	11,969	11,243	-726	-6.1	47,998	11,772	11,039	-733	-6.2
11-20 mm	130,997	17,565	15,014	-2,551	-15	70,300	16,761	14,882	-1,879	-11
21-50 mm	88,621	11,979	9,319	-2,660	-22	45,588	10,196	8,627	-1,569	-15
>50 mm	15,003	1,881	1,408	-473	-25	7,308	1,428	1,222	-206	-14
Tumor nodes										
0	222,802	30,820	26,905	-3,915	-13	114,026	28,921	25,870	-3,051	-11
1	45,003	5,545	4,568	-977	-18	24,062	5,129	4,491	-638	-12
2-4	35,956	4,159	3,288	-871	-21	19,786	3,800	3,299	-501	-13
5-9	14,881	1,806	1,356	-450	-25	8,232	1,525	1,316	-209	-14
10+	9,231	1,064	867	-197	-18	5,088	782	795	13	1.6
Tumor grade										
1	89,562	12,648	11,219	-1,429	-11	45,032	11,800	10,764	-1,036	-8.8
2	159,627	21,727	18,391	-3,336	-15	83,313	19,857	17,689	-2,168	-11
3	78,684	9,019	7,375	-1,644	-18	42,849	8,500	7,317	-1,183	-14
HER2 status										
negative	52,502	6,911	5,629	-1,282	-19	-	-	-	-	-
positive	6,577	662	553	-109	-16	-	-	-	-	-
PR status										
negative	49,184	6,929	6,004	-925	-13	26,729	6,603	6,003	-600	-9.1
positive	271,694	35,585	30,160	-5,425	-15	139,421	32,400	28,680	-3,720	-11
Chemotherapy	124,751	7,941	8,007	66	0.8	66,451	7,764	8,152	388	5
Radiotherapy	186,235	20,135	19,943	-192	-1	97,582	19,653	19,467	-186	-0.9

# Appendix 4. Cumulative observed and predicted other causes of mortality at 10 and 15 years follow up for ER-positive patients.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

	10-year					15-year				
Characteristics										
	Ν	0	Р	D	%	Ν	0	Р	D	%
Total	82,450	8,591	6,717	-1,874	-22	47,374	8,172	7,003	-1,169	-14
Age at diagnosis										
<36y	3,668	63	46	-17	-28	2,239	60	63	3	4.5
36-40 y	5,369	120	76	-44	-36	3,316	121	106	-15	-12
41-50 y	19,165	660	391	-269	-41	11,530	727	524	-203	-28
51-60 y	23,735	1,361	957	-404	-30	13,592	1,389	1,184	-205	-15
>60 y	30,513	6,387	5,247	-1,140	-18	16,697	5,875	5,127	-748	-13
Race and ethnicity										
Hispanic (All Races)	8,962	635	533	-102	-16	4,801	621	539	-82	-13
Ion-Hispanic Asian or Pacific Islander	6,067	369	418	49	13	3,271	361	418	57	16
Non-Hispanic Black	12,548	1,369	819	-550	-40	6,903	1,128	808	-320	-28
Non-Hispanic White	54,873	6,218	4,948	-1,270	-20	32,399	6,062	5,238	-824	-14
Tumor size										
0-10 mm	14,252	1,516	1,337	-179	-12	7,967	1,608	1,391	-217	-14
11-20 mm	27,611	3,000	2,352	-648	-22	16,354	2,988	2,529	-459	-15
21-50 mm	33,872	3,446	2,555	-891	-26	19,399	3,085	2,622	-463	-15
>50 mm	6,715	629	473	-156	-25	3,654	491	462	-29	-5.9
Tumor nodes										
0	52,840	5,864	4,477	-1,387	-24	29,602	5,704	4,626	-1,078	-19
1	10,953	1,046	820	-226	-22	6,369	979	864	-115	-12
2-4	10,102	945	742	-203	-22	6,123	860	790	-70	-8.1
5-9	4,866	431	392	-39	-9	3,005	388	421	33	8.4
10+	3,689	305	286	-19	-6.2	2,275	241	302	61	25
Tumor grade										
1	2,475	317	282	-35	-11	1,573	359	323	-36	-10
2	15,955	2,022	1,623	-399	-20	9,315	1,986	1,708	-278	-14
3	64,020	6,252	4,813	-1,439	-23	36,486	5,827	4,973	-854	-15
HER2 status										
negative	8,849	983	722	-261	-27	-	-	-	-	-
positive	2,932	291	233	-58	-20	-	-	-	-	-
PR status										
negative	76,941	8,057	6,314	-1,743	-22	43,808	7,581	6,526	-1,055	-14
positive	4,903	459	350	-109	-24	3,116	491	406	-85	-17
Chemotherapy	56,687	3,884	3,553	-331	-8.5	31,552	3,769	3,675	-94	-2.5
Radiotherapy	43,083	3,590	3,325	-265	-7.4	25,196	3,613	3,541	-72	-2

# Appendix 5. Cumulative observed and predicted other causes of mortality at 10 and 15 years follow up for ER-positive patients.

Characteristics	A	All-cause				brea	st cancer	-specific			othe	r causes			
Characteristics	Ν	0	Р	D	%	Ν	0	Р	D	%	Ν	0	Р	D	%
Total	509,245	45,812	37,858	-7,954	-17	509,245	21,154	18,332	-2,822	-13	509,245	24,658	19,526	-5,132	-21
Age at diagnosis															
<36y	9,226	739	589	-150	-20	9,226	683	554	-129	-19	9,226	56	35	-21	-37
36-40 y	17,517	1,017	832	-185	-18	17,517	901	755	-146	-16	17,517	116	77	-39	-34
41-50 у	94,377	4,113	3,570	-543	-13	94,377	3,231	2,980	-251	-7.8	94,377	882	590	-292	-33
51-60 y	130,589	7,270	5,521	-1,749	-24	130,589	4,669	3,869	-800	-17	130,589	2,601	1,652	-949	-36
>60 y	257,536	32,673	27,347	-5,326	-16	257,536	11,670	10,175	-1,495	-13	257,536	21,003	17,172	-3,831	-18
Race and ethnicity															
Hispanic (All Races)	49,105	3,919	3,325	-594	-15	49,105	2,254	1,938	-316	-14	49,105	1,665	1,387	-278	-17
Non-Hispanic Asian or Pacific Islander	42,061	2,477	2,617	140	5.7	42,061	1,341	1,422	81	6	42,061	1,136	1,195	59	5.2
Non-Hispanic Black	38,899	5,127	2,921	-2,206	-43	38,899	2,748	1,680	-1,068	-39	38,899	2,379	1,241	-1,138	-48
Non-Hispanic White	379,180	34,289	28,995	-5,294	-15	379,180	14,811	13,292	-1,519	-10	379,180	19,478	15,703	-3,775	-19
Tumor size															
0-10 mm	145,622	7,946	7,404	-542	-6.8	145,622	1,839	1,614	-225	-12	145,622	6,107	5,790	-317	-5.2
11-20 mm	199,458	14,497	12,732	-1,765	-12	199,458	4,996	4,961	-35	-0.7	199,458	9,501	7,771	-1,730	-18
21-50 mm	139,779	18,224	13,888	-4,336	-24	139,779	10,501	8,739	-1,762	-17	139,779	7,723	5,149	-2,574	-33
>50 mm	24,386	5,145	3,835	-1,310	-25	24,386	3,818	3,019	-799	-21	24,386	1,327	816	-511	-38
Tumor nodes															
0	354,128	24,335	21,062	-3,273	-13	354,128	7,424	6,800	-624	-8.4	354,128	16,911	14,262	-2,649	-16
1	69,549	6,559	5,122	-1,437	-22	69,549	3,286	2,692	-594	-18	52,020	2,509	1,687	-822	-33
2-4	52,020	6,584	5,191	-1,393	-21	52,020	4,075	3,504	-571	-14	20,766	1,178	694	-484	-41
5-9	20,766	4,356	3,278	-1,078	-25	20,766	3,178	2,584	-594	-19	69,549	3,273	2,430	-843	-26
10+	12,782	3,978	3,206	-772	-19	12,782	3,191	2,753	-438	-14	12,782	787	453	-334	-42
Tumor grade															

Appendix 6. Observed and predicted all-cause, breast cancer-specific and other causes of mortality at 5 years follow up for ERpositive patients.


	A	ll-cause				brea	st cancer	-specific			othe	er causes			
Characteristics	Ν	0	Р	D	%	Ν	0	Р	D	%	Ν	0	Р	D	%
2	250,385	21,101	17,594	-3,507	-17	250,385	8,645	7,767	-878	-10	250,385	12,456	9,827	-2,629	-21
3	116,737	15,975	12,840	-3,135	-20	116,737	10,510	8,992	-1,518	-14	116,737	5,465	3,848	-1,617	-30
HER2 status															
negative	205,716	16,943	12,677	-4,266	-25	205,716	7,462	5,359	-2,103	-28	205,716	9,481	7,318	-2,163	-23
positive	26,421	2,307	1,457	-850	-37	26,421	1,340	694	-646	-48	26,421	967	763	-204	-21
PR status															
negative	72,459	9,591	6,332	-3,259	-34	72,459	5,634	3,287	-2,347	-42	72,459	3,957	3,045	-912	-23
positive	429,426	35,522	30,902	-4,620	-13	429,426	15,171	14,728	-443	-2.9	429,426	20,351	16,174	-4,177	-21
Chemotherapy	186,669	16,011	13,031	-2,980	-19	186,669	11,527	8,893	-2,634	-23	186,669	4,484	4,138	-346	-7.7
Radiotherapy	295,229	20,966	20,203	-763	-3.6	295,229	10,504	9,544	-960	-9.1	295,229	10,462	10,659	197	1.9

Characteristics Total Age at diagnosis <36y	N 114,629 5,087	<b>O</b> 22,461	<b>P</b> 21,634	D	%	Ν	0	_		<b>A</b> (		0	-	D	
Age at diagnosis		22,461	21.634			14	0	Р	D	%	Ν	0	Р	D	%
0 0	5 087			-827	-3.7	114,629	16,978	18,285	1,307	7.7	114,629	5,483	3,349	-2,134	-39
~26.	5 087														
<30y	5,007	953	1,137	184	19	5,087	898	1,118	220	25	5,087	55	19	-36	-66
36-40 y	7,074	1,207	1,277	70	5.8	7,074	1,128	1,247	119	11	7,074	79	30	-49	-62
41-50 y	25,289	4,192	3,846	-346	-8.3	25,289	3,740	3,689	-51	-1.4	25,289	452	157	-295	-65
51-60 y	32,816	5,376	4,797	-579	-11	32,816	4,486	4,389	-97	-2.2	32,816	890	408	-482	-54
>60 y	44,363	10,733	10,578	-155	-1.4	44,363	6,726	7,843	1,117	17	44,363	4,007	2,735	-1,272	-32
Race and ethnicity															
Hispanic (All Races)	13,297	2,542	2,512	-30	-1.2	13,297	2,118	2,237	119	5.6	13,297	424	275	-149	-35
Non-Hispanic Asian or Pacific Islander	9,075	1,215	1,583	368	30	9,075	964	1,362	398	41	9,075	251	221	-30	-12
Non-Hispanic Black	18,140	4,454	3,525	-929	-21	18,140	3,484	3,099	-385	-11	18,140	970	426	-544	-56
Non-Hispanic White	74,117	14,250	14,013	-237	-1.7	74,117	10,412	11,587	1,175	11	74,117	3,838	2,426	-1,412	-37
Tumor size															
0-10 mm	20,052	1,725	1,678	-47	-2.7	20,052	922	1,035	113	12	20,052	803	643	-160	-20
11-20 mm	37,466	5,310	5,214	-96	-1.8	37,466	3,570	4,068	498	14	37,466	1,740	1,146	-594	-34
21-50 mm	47,520	11,348	10,760	-588	-5.2	47,520	8,952	9,450	498	5.6	47,520	2,396	1,310	-1,086	-45
>50 mm	9,591	4,078	3,982	-96	-2.4	9,591	3,534	3,733	199	5.6	9,591	544	249	-295	-54
Tumor nodes															
0	76,015	9,773	9,688	-85	-0.9	76,015	6,244	7,429	1,185	19	76,015	3,529	2,259	-1,270	-36
1	15,011	3,438	2,957	-481	-14	15,011	2,733	2,547	-186	-6.8	15,011	705	410	-295	-42
2-4	12,951	3,926	3,700	-226	-6	4,594	2,395	2,575	180	7.5	12,951	648	355	-293	-45
5-9	6,058	2,666	2,576	-90	-3.4	12,951	3,278	3,345	67	2.1	6,058	338	186	-152	-45
10+	4,594	2,658	2,714	56	2.1	6,058	2,328	2,390	62	2.7	4,594	263	139	-124	-47
Tumor grade															
1	3,132	297	337	40	13	3,132	155	213	58	37	3,132	142	124	-18	-13


Appendix 7. Cumulative observed and predicted all-cause, breast cancer-specific and other causes of mortality at 5 years follow up for ER-negative patients.

	А	ll-cause				brea	st cancer	-specific			other	causes			
<b>Characteristics</b>	Ν	0	Р	D	%	Ν	0	Р	D	%	Ν	0	Р	D	%
2	22,172	3,643	3,262	-381	-10	22,172	2,440	2,463	23	1	22,172	1,203	799	-404	-34
3	89,325	18,521	18,035	-486	-2.6	89,325	14,383	15,609	1,226	8.5	89,325	4,138	2,426	-1,712	-41
HER2 status															
negative	31,183	6,373	4,756	-1,617	-25	31,183	4,761	3,859	-902	-19	31,183	1,612	897	-715	-44
positive	11,121	1,453	1,346	-107	-7.4	11,121	1,002	1,042	40	4	11,121	451	304	-147	-33
PR status															
negative	107,058	21,182	20,302	-880	-4.2	107,058	16,035	17,153	1,118	7	107,058	5,147	3,149	-1,998	-39
positive	6,922	1,165	1,198	33	2.8	6,922	868	1,019	151	17	6,922	297	179	-118	-40
Chemotherapy	32,940	7,268	7,096	-172	-2.4	81,689	12,660	12,711	51	0.4	81,689	2,533	1,827	-706	-28
Radiotherapy	60,903	10,862	10,708	-154	-1.4	60,903	8,705	9,009	304	3.5	60,903	2,157	1,699	-458	-21

Appendix 8. Calibration plots for (A) 10-year and (B) 15-year breast cancer-specific mortality and (C) 10-year and (D) 15-year other mortality for ER-positive breast cancer, as well as (E) 10-year and (F) 15-year breast cancer-specific mortality and (G) 10-year and (H) 15-year other mortality for ER-positive breast cancer.



Appendix 9. Calibration plots for 5-year mortality in ER-positive breast cancer: all-cause mortality for (A) ER-positive breast cancer and (B) ER-negative breast cancer, breast cancer-specific mortality for (C) ER-positive breast cancer and (D) ER-negative breast cancer, and mortality from other causes for (E) ER-positive breast cancer and (F) ER-negative breast cancer.



Appendix 10. Model discrimination (area under receiver operator characteristic curve) for 10-year and 15-year breast cancer-specific and other cause mortality by race and tumor ER-status.

Race	Ε	<b>R-positive</b>	E	R-negative				
	10-year	15-year	10-year	15-year				
Breast cancer specific mortality								
All	0.768	0.745	0.73	0.714				
Non-Hispanic White	0.767	0.742	0.722	0.711				
Non-Hispanic Asian	0.775	0.752	0.725	0.714				
Hispanic (All Races)	0.771	0.747	0.737	0.709				
Non-Hispanic Black	0.753	0.74	0.73	0.713				
Other cause mortality								
All	0.794	0.817	0.769	0.782				
Non-Hispanic White	0.795	0.819	0.73	0.766				
Non-Hispanic Asian	0.804	0.818	0.777	0.788				
Hispanic (All Races)	0.792	0.813	0.801	0.74				
Non-Hispanic Black	0.752	0.773	0.773	0.805				

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Race		ER-j	positive	ER-negative			
	All- causes	Breast cancer- specific	Other causes	All- causes	Breast cancer- specific	Other causes	
All	0.758	0.797	0.763	0.742	0.751	0.739	
Non-Hispanic White	0.76	0.795	0.764	0.734	0.739	0.696	
Non-Hispanic Asian	0.75	0.793	0.76	0.737	0.744	0.755	
Hispanic (All Races)	0.772	0.819	0.782	0.744	0.754	0.743	
Non-Hispanic Black	0.736	0.784	0.734	0.755	0.763	0.758	

Appendix 11. The discrimination for 5-year all-causes, brea	st cancer-specific and other
causes of mortality by race and tumor ER-status.	