

1 Analysis of Burkina Faso's institutional framework and budget allocations for
2 NCDs control and monitoring

3 Moussa Ouedraogo^{1,2*}, Dia Sanou³, Mahamadé Goubgou⁴, Estelle Aissa Bambara²,
4 Souleymane Tirogo², Aly Savadogo¹.

5 ¹Doctoral School of Sciences and Technology, Laboratory of Applied Biochemistry and
6 Immunology (LABIA), Joseph Ki-ZERBO University, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso

7 ²Nutrition Directorate, Ministry of Health, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso

8 ³FAO, Deputy Country Representative, Bangladesh

9 ⁴National Center for Scientific and Technological Research (CNRST)/Health Sciences
10 Research Institute (IRSS), Burkina Faso

11 * **Correspondence:** edram88@yahoo.fr

12

13 **Abstract**

14 Diet-related non-communicable diseases (NCDs) are today a major public health problem and
15 a global development challenge. Yet governance responses to these diseases are still in their
16 infancy in most low-income countries like Burkina Faso. How Burkina Faso organizes itself
17 institutionally and financially to respond adequately to NCDs is unknown to the scientific
18 community. The aim was to analyze Burkina Faso's institutional framework and budget
19 allocations. This was a cross-sectional survey based on mixed (qualitative and quantitative) data
20 collection. The analysis revealed a number of difficulties in the institutional framework
21 hindering the performance of the fight against NCDs in Burkina Faso, including (i) the absence
22 of a multi-sectoral policy or strategic plan involving all stakeholders, (ii) the absence of a multi-
23 sectoral coordinating body and (iii) the lack of sufficient financial resources. A total of twenty-
24 nine (29) budget lines related to the prevention and/or management of NCDs were identified,
25 with a total budget of 17.33 billion FCFA (\$29.8 million), or an average of \$2.72 million per
26 year. This represents only 1.55% of the total budget of the Ministry of Health over the same
27 period. We recommend, among other things, the development of a national multi-sectoral
28 policy with a clear definition of the roles and responsibilities of each player, the creation of a
29 coordinating body, improved funding, and greater attention to NCDs in the provision of primary
30 healthcare services.

31

32 **Keywords:** governance, institutional framework, financial allocation, non-communicable
33 diseases.

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 1. Introduction

47 Diet-related non-communicable diseases (NCDs) are today a major public health issue and a
48 global development challenge [1]. Also known as chronic diseases, they include obesity,
49 cardiovascular disease, diabetes, cancer, hypertension, hyperglycemia and oral diseases [2].
50 According to the Global Panel's experts, the health risks of chronic food-borne diseases are
51 greater than the combined risks of tobacco, alcohol and unprotected sex [3]. NCDs account for
52 over 50% of total premature mortality (i.e. deaths under the age of 60) in most low- and middle-
53 income countries [4, 5]. This is compounded by insufficient budgetary allocations to the fight
54 against NCDs over the last ten years [6]. According to the results of STEPS surveys in Burkina
55 Faso, the prevalence of obesity (BMI>30kg/m²) rose from 11.3% in 2013 to 15.9% in 2021 in
56 urban areas [7, 8] and WHO estimates that over 35% of deaths in Burkina Faso are due to NCDs
57 [9]. The cost of inaction far outweighs the cost of action against non-communicable diseases,
58 as recommended in the global action plan proposed by the WHO [10]. However, it is
59 encouraging to note that several global initiatives have been launched to tackle the global
60 burden of NCDs [11]. The World Health Assembly has adopted a global action plan 2013-2020
61 on NCDs that calls for country-level capacity building, leadership, governance, multi-sectoral
62 action and partnerships to accelerate the fight against NCDs in countries [12]. Despite
63 international interest in the fight against NCDs, the response in most low- and middle-income
64 countries is still in its infancy [13, 14]. Yet with the rapid socio-economic transitions taking
65 place in sub-Saharan Africa, if nothing is done, there is a risk that the growing prevalence of
66 NCDs will overwhelm already struggling health services, with adverse consequences for
67 individuals and economies [2, 15]. WHO estimates that NCDs will be the leading cause of death
68 in sub-Saharan Africa by 2030 [16]. Ouedraogo et al. showed that many concerns about the
69 governance of NCDs remain unresolved in most ECOWAS countries, namely the question of
70 institutional arrangements and funding for NCD control [14]. The aim was to analyze Burkina
71 Faso's institutional framework and budget allocations for NCD control.

72 2. Methodology

73 The theoretical approach is based on Ground Theory (GT) [17]. GT proposes an approach that
74 favors analysis in data from several sources, in order to triangulate the information collected.
75 The central principle in data analysis is the constant return to comparison between the products
76 of analysis and empirical data [17]. On the one hand, it was a cross-sectional study based on
77 the mixed (quantitative and qualitative) data collection proposed by Halcomb et al.[18] with
78 key informants, combined with a literature review and an analysis of budget allocations for the
79 fight against NCDs. This second part is based on the methodological approach developed by
80 the Scaling Up Nutrition Movement [19], adapted by UNICEF [20]. This methodology,
81 developed for the purpose of monitoring investments in nutrition, has been adapted to NCDs
82 within the framework of this study. Only the budget allocations of the Ministry of Health were
83 analyzed, due to the difficulty of obtaining accurate data on funding from technical and
84 financial partners. The budget lines taken into account in this second phase are those linked to
85 the prevention and control of NCDs.

86 2.1. Target population

87 The survey mainly involved resource persons from the Ministry of Health, identified on the
88 basis of a review of the 2016-2020 integrated strategic plan to combat NCDs (PILMNT) [21].
89 These people have been identified on the basis of the involvement of the structure for which
90 they are responsible in implementing the plan.

91 The document review consisted in examining reference documents (policies, strategic plans and
92 programs) relating to NCDs in order to triangulate information. A total of 20 interviews were
93 conducted with (15) technical structures of the Ministry of Health and Public Hygiene and five

94 (5) NGOs/Associations working in the health sector. Interviewees had to (i) be in charge of
95 their structure (or have been designated by the person in charge), (ii) have given informed
96 consent to take part in the study, and (iii) have been involved in the project.

97 2.2. Tool and data collection

98 A semi-structured questionnaire built around the components of NTM governance proposed by
99 Ouedraogo et al. notably (i) involvement in the elaboration of reference documents, notably the
100 PILMNT, (ii) multi-sectoral collaboration, (iii) availability of a common results framework,
101 (iv) existence of a consultation framework, (v) availability of sufficient resources for the
102 implementation of national interventions. Interviews were recorded with a Dictaphone and
103 partially transcribed for analysis purposes. Data collection took place from January to March
104 2023.

105 With regard to budget tracking, the analysis focused on the final allocations and expenditures
106 of the Burkina Faso Ministry of Health over the period 2010 to 2020. These data were retrieved
107 from the Integrated Expenditure Circuit (CID) platform of the Ministry of Economy, Finance
108 and Development (MINEFID). The platform groups together all operations containing
109 allocations and expenditures, as well as transfers made by the government to local authorities
110 and other public establishments. At the request of the research team, data from 2010 to 2020
111 were extracted from the CID by a MINEFID agent, containing allocations and expenditures,
112 and then made available to the authors for the various analyses. The authors then carried out a
113 detailed examination of the Ministry of Health's budget to extract the budget lines relating to
114 NCDs.

115 Certain budget lines have not been taken into account in line with the SUN approach used.
116 Indeed, the methodology suggests that budget lines should not be included in the analysis when
117 they concern:

- 118 • the payment of civil servants' salaries;
- 119 • the operation of general and technical services; hospitals, health districts, training
120 establishments, etc;
- 121 • the organization of examinations and competitions;
- 122 • operating and project expenditure.

123 The research team then analyzed the budget lines selected during the data collection phase in
124 order to categorize and weight them. Categorization made it possible to classify the selected
125 budget lines into 3 categories: specific, sensitive and positive (Figure 1). The validated budget
126 lines were then weighted. This involved assigning a rate to each line according to its estimated
127 level of contribution to the prevention and control of NCDs in the country. This rate was
128 determined by the authors on the basis of the available scientific evidence, the current state of
129 the intervention implemented in the country, the context of NCDs at national and international
130 level, and finally on the basis of the interventions proposed in the Action Plan for the Prevention
131 and Control of Non-Communicable Diseases 2013-2020 [10, 22]. Budget lines considered
132 specific to NTMs were given a weighting of 100%. As for those classified as sensitive to NTMs,
133 three levels of weighting were applied according to the estimated degree of sensitivity of the
134 investments, i.e. 10%, 25% and 50% for low, medium and high sensitivity investments
135 respectively. Favorable investments were not included in total NTM expenditure.
136 Consequently, a zero rate has been applied to these lines.

137 Figure 1: Conceptual framework for categorizing pro-NCD budget lines adapted from the
138 conceptual framework for categorizing pro-Nutrition budget lines.

139 2.3. Ethical consideration

140 The study was approved by the Ethics Committee for Health Research (CERS) based in
141 Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso in its deliberation no. 2021-03-049 2021. All participants
142 interviewed as part of this study were interviewed after giving their verbal consent.

143 2.4. Data processing and analysis

144 An analysis matrix was designed, then filled in from the various transcripts. The matrix was
145 then analyzed by thematic group. This analysis concerns only actors from the Ministry of
146 Health. The level of collaboration was assessed primarily on the basis of participants'
147 statements. Verbatims were used to support the analyses.

148 For the analysis of budget allocations, the database obtained at the end of the various stages
149 was processed using Stata 12 software. The data were then subjected to descriptive analysis and
150 calculations of budget allocation frequencies. Trends in budget allocations were examined over
151 time. Observed differences were evaluated using Student's t-test, with a significance level of
152 5% and a confidence interval of 95%.

153 3. Results

154 3.1. Institutional arrangements

155 The literature review, and in particular an examination of the organizational chart of the
156 Ministry of Health and Public Hygiene, shows that Burkina Faso has set up a Department for
157 the Prevention and Control of Non-Communicable Diseases (DPCM) within the General
158 Directorate of Health and Public Hygiene, to better coordinate all NCD control programs and
159 pool energies at national, sub-regional and international levels (Figure 2). Alongside this
160 technical department, there are other departments whose missions directly or indirectly include
161 the fight against NCDs. This is the case of the Nutrition Directorate, for example, which
162 implements the multisectoral National Nutrition Policy 2020 - 2029, one of whose major
163 strategic axes is “Strengthening the fight against overnutrition and nutrition-related chronic
164 non-transmissible diseases” (Strategic Axis III) [23]. Although these structures report to the
165 same general management, they seem to function mainly as technical units, rather than as
166 specific program management and implementation entities. Indeed, it emerged from the various
167 interviews that each structure had its own action plan and annual work plan, and that the
168 preparation of these documents was in most cases carried out in a compartmentalized manner.
169 “..... *If not, as long as each department works in isolation from the other, it will be difficult to*
170 *achieve the expected results, as each department will pursue its own agenda rather than the*
171 *common agenda of the general management...*” Alongside these technical directorates, there
172 are other structures such as the National Agency for Environmental, Food, Occupational and
173 Health Product Safety (ANSSEAT), whose mission is just as important in the fight against and
174 prevention of NCDs in Burkina Faso, but no functional link between the DPCM and ANSSEAT
175 was noted by the actors interviewed.

176 Figure 2: Adapted organization chart of disease-specific structures and overall health function
177 structures within Burkina Faso's Ministry of Health.

178 3.2. Reference documents

179 The document review and interviews conducted indicate the existence of several reference
180 documents related to the fight and control of food-related NCDs in several different service
181 directorates. In 2016, the DPCM, which is also the structure in charge of the prevention and
182 control of NCDs, drew up an integrated strategic plan to combat NCDs (2016 - 2020), budgeted
183 at 5,469,734,500 FCFA or around 9.8 million dollars (\$). In addition to this integrated strategic
184 plan, the DPCM has other plans in place, notably (i) the three-year oral health strategic plan,

185 (ii) the strategic action plan to combat cancer, all of which are currently being implemented in
186 addition to the integrated strategic plan, which expires in 2020. It should be noted that the
187 integrated strategic plan to combat NCDs has not been evaluated either at mid-term or at the
188 end of its implementation, although the DPCM has initiated a review of this reference
189 document. Although all the players interviewed recognize the need to revise the plan to adapt
190 it to the new requirements in terms of control and fight against NTMs, they are unanimous
191 (100% of those interviewed) that an evaluation of the current plan should have been carried out
192 to identify shortcomings and bottlenecks, in order to correct them in the new reference system
193 currently being drawn up. *“The development of such a document should be based on evidence
194 and new WHO guidelines unfortunately the implementation of the plan has not been evaluated
195 to assess the strengths and weaknesses of the current plan prior to the update”*. In addition to
196 these documents, Burkina Faso has taken a number of measures to combat and control NCDs,
197 including (i) a smoking ban, (ii) a ban on tobacco advertising, promotion and sponsorship, (iii)
198 restrictions on the physical availability of alcohol, (iv) an increase in alcohol excise duties, (v)
199 restrictions on the marketing of breast-milk substitutes, (vi) a strong measure on cancer
200 treatment.

201 3.3. Involving stakeholders in the design process

202 Sixty percent (60%) of those interviewed said they had been involved in drawing up the
203 integrated plan to combat NCDs. It should be noted that certain technical structures of the
204 Ministry of Health declared that they had not been involved in drawing up the plan.
205 Forty percent (40%) appear not to have been involved, especially NGOs/Associations. *“the
206 drafting of the document was not as participatory; it was mainly Ministry of Health players and
207 NGOs/Associations working in the field of NCDs that were involved”*, stresses one of the
208 interviewees”.
209 *“...other ministerial departments such as agriculture, education, trade, water, hygiene and
210 sanitation have not been involved in the process, even though they have a role to play, so I don't
211 think they feel concerned, even though everyone has a role to play”*.

212 3.4. Existence of a coordinating body or mechanism

213 All those interviewed (100%) felt that the Ministry of Health was leading the fight against
214 NCDs in Burkina Faso. Analysis of the actors involved in implementing the integrated strategic
215 plan to combat NCDs suggests that planning has been multi-sectoral. Of the 32 actors identified,
216 other ministerial departments other than the Ministry of Health accounted for 28%, the
217 academic sector (9%), the private sector (6%) and United Nations agencies.
218 As far as multi-sectoral coordination or coordination mechanisms are concerned, no bodies
219 have been set up at either sectoral (Ministry of Health) or national level. All of those interviewed
220 (100%) also deplored the absence of a framework for consultation between these actors to
221 ensure better coordination of the implementation of these interventions. As one informant put
222 it: *“the fight against NCDs is multi-sectoral and cannot be dealt with by the health department
223 alone. The problem is that the roles and responsibilities of each player involved are
224 inadequately defined... the other aspect is that we don't have a framework for concerted action,
225 as in the case of the fight against malnutrition”*.

226 3.5. Resource availability

227 The interviewees (100%) clearly mentioned the inadequacy of resources allocated to the fight
228 against NCDs in Burkina Faso, both in the state budget and from technical and financial
229 partners:
230 *“...most of the interventions set out in the integrated strategic plan to combat NCDs have not
231 been implemented, due to insufficient or even non-existent resources to implement them”*,

232 “... it's as if all the efforts of both the state and its partners are directed towards combating
233 malnutrition, yet the burden of these NCDs is just as real for our populations”.

234 For them, there isn't enough enthusiasm at international level for raising funds to fight NCDs.
235 In support of this view, one interviewee emphasized: “... for example, every year, Burkina Faso
236 carries out nutritional surveys with the support of technical and financial partners to assess the
237 nutritional status of children under 5 years of age. However, in 10 years, Burkina Faso has
238 only carried out two (2) STEPS surveys, which shows how much interest people have in the
239 problems of NCDs in our country». The interviewee sees this as a clear indicator of the lack of
240 commitment and interest in the NCD issue in developing countries in general, and in Burkina
241 Faso in particular.

242 3.6. Identified budget lines

243 A total of twenty-nine (29) budget lines related to the prevention and/or management of NCDs
244 in Burkina Faso were identified. Following categorization and weighting, three (3) budget lines
245 were considered “specific” to NCDs, eighteen (18) were “sensitive” and eight (8) were
246 considered “positive investments” (Table 1).

247 Table I: Selected budget lines

248

N°	Budget lines	Allocation type	Categorization
1	Childbirth & Emergency Obstetric Care / Subsidies to other beneficiary categories	Current transfer	Positive investment
2	Acquire contraceptive products	Investment	Positive investment
3	Acquire vaccines and consumables	Investment	Sensitive
4	Acquire micronutrients	Investment	Positive investment
5	Acquisition of Hospital Equipment / STATE / CHR / Technical equipment-tools	Investment	Positive investment
6	Support Dialysis Units/Subsidies to other categories of beneficiaries	Investment	Specific
7	Ensure the construction and equipment of the Infrastructures of the Bobo-Dioulasso hemodialysis project	Investment	Sensitive
8	Ensure coverage of community-based health workers/Subsidies to other categories of beneficiaries	Current transfer	Sensitive
9	Ensure Burkina's commitments to Global Fund financing for the management of certain diseases/Subsidies to other categories of beneficiaries	Current transfer	Sensitive
10	Ensure the medical examination of workers	Current transfer	Sensitive
11	National Center for Apparatus and Orthopedics/ Subsidies to other beneficiary categories	Current transfer	Positive investment
12	National Center for the Fight against Blindness/ Subsidies to other beneficiary categories	Current transfer	Sensible
13	Build and equip a cancer center in Ouagadougou/Research and development costs	Investment	Sensible
14	Female Cancer Screening	Current transfer	Specific
15	Availability of maternal health services / STATE / Eta tranche / Other purchases of goods & services	Investment	Sensible
16	Students in 6th year of Pharmacy / Current transfers to households	Current transfer	Sensible

N°	Budget lines	Allocation type	Categorization
17	End of medical cycle students	Current transfer	Sensible
18	Free preventive care/Subsidies to other beneficiary categories	Current transfer	Positive investment
19	Hospital interns/Routine transfers to households	Current transfer	Sensible
20	National Vaccination Days/ Subsidy to public establishments	Current transfer	Sensible
21	Tobacco control/Transfers to supranational organizations & government contribution	Current transfer	Specific
22	Doctors in specialization/Routine transfers to households	Current transfer	Sensible
23	Standardization of health facilities / STATE / Standardization	Investment	Positive investment
24	Workers' Health Office/subsidies to other beneficiary categories	Current transfer	Sensible
25	Pay Burkina's contribution to the World Health Organization	Current transfer	Sensible
26	Pay Burkina's contribution to the West African Health Organization/Transfers to supranational authorities and contributions to international organizations	Current transfer	Sensible
27	Support the construction of the Tengandogo radiotherapy center	Investment	Sensible
28	Social programs / STATE / Dialysis unit / Other purchases of goods & services	Investment	Sensible
29	Care for children aged 0 to 5/Subsidies to other beneficiary categories	Current transfer	Positive investment

249 3.7. Budget allocations and expenditure

250 Over the period 2010 to 2020, the Burkina Faso Ministry of Health allocated nearly 17.33
251 billion FCFA (US\$29.9 million), or an average of US\$2.72 million per year, to the fight against
252 NCDs. This allocation represents around 1.55% of the total budget of the Ministry of Health
253 over the same period. The budget absorption rate, defined as the percentage of the allocated
254 budget actually used, was over 98% (Table 2). With this high absorption rate, we carried out
255 the analyses with budget allocations only.

256 Table II: Budget allocations and expenditure related to NCDs of the Ministry in charge of
257 Health from 2010-2020

Year (2010 – 2020)	Total	Yearly average
Total Budget allocations for NCDs (FCFA)	29 885 033,32 ¹ \$	2 716 821 \$
Total Expenses for NCDs (FCFA)	29 459 619,47 \$	2 678 147,22 \$
Absorption rate (expenses / allocations in %)	98,58%	98,58%

259

¹ 1 dollar US = 580 FCFA

260 3.8. Allocation by intervention type

261 Of this budget of 17.33 billion FCFA (\$29.8 million), 6.64 billion or 38.4% was allocated to
262 “specific NTM” interventions, while 10.68 billion or 61.6% was allocated to “sensitive”
263 interventions.

264 3.9. Budget allocation by objectives of the global action plan

265 Analysis of the budget according to the objectives of the WHO global action plan to combat
266 NCDs 2013-2020 suggests that only interventions aimed at achieving 3 of the 6 WHO
267 objectives, namely objectives 3, 4 and 5, received a budget allocation. Goal 4, aimed at
268 strengthening and orienting health systems, received the largest share of the allocation, i.e. 13.6
269 billion or 78.48% of total funding. Objective 5, which aims to promote and strengthen national
270 capacity to carry out actions to prevent and combat NCDs, was the second most financed with
271 2.08 billion, or around 12%, and finally objective 3, which aims to reduce exposure to
272 modifiable risk factors, received 1.64 billion, or 9.5%.

273 The objectives of the action plan that received no budgetary allocation include Objective 1
274 “Give greater priority to the fight against NCDs in global, regional and national agendas and in
275 internationally agreed development goals, by strengthening international cooperation and
276 awareness”, Goal 2 “Strengthen national capacity, leadership, governance, multisectoral action
277 and partnerships to accelerate action on NCDs in countries” and Goal 6 “Monitor trends and
278 determinants of NCDs and assess progress in prevention and control”.

279 3.10. Evolution of budget allocations from 2010 – 2020

280 Trend analysis of allocations shows an upward trend in annual allocations from 365 million in
281 2010 to over 5 billion in 2017, with a rollercoaster ride between 2010 and 2013 (Figure 3).
282 Allocations then underwent a drastic reduction of over 62%, from 5.019 billion in 2017 to 1.877
283 billion in 2020, virtually their 2016 value.

284 Figure 3: Evolution of budget allocations from 2010 – 2020

285

286 3.11. Allocations before and after the development of the integrated strategic plan for 287 the fight against NCDs 2016-2020

288 In 2015, the Ministry of Health drew up an integrated national strategic plan to combat NCDs
289 2016-2020. Immediately after the adoption of this integrated strategic plan, the annual budget
290 dedicated to NCDs more than doubled, from 807 million CFA francs in 2015 to 1.87 billion
291 CFA francs in 2020 (Figure 4). Funding peaked at 5.019 billion in 2017, representing a 522%
292 increase on 2015, the year before the National Strategic Plan 2016-2020 came into force.

293 A comparison of average annual budget allocations before (2010-2015) and after (2016-2020)
294 the development of the strategic plan (Figure 4) shows that, overall, budget allocations
295 improved after the strategic plan was developed. Indeed, the average annual allocation
296 increased significantly ($p=0.02$) from around 467.4 million before the adoption of the strategic
297 plan to over 2.9 billion FCFA afterwards.

298 Figure 4: Comparison of average allocations before and after the strategic plan

299 4. Discussions

300 This study provides an answer to one of the concerns raised by Ouedraogo et al, [14] about
301 institutional arrangements and budget allocations for the prevention and control of NCDs in
302 Burkina Faso.

303 Burkina Faso has drawn up a number of reference documents relating to the fight against
304 NCDs, notably the integrated plan to combat NCDs (2016-2020). This document was drawn up
305 at the level of the Ministry of Health and did not take sufficient account of other ministerial
306 departments, notably agriculture, education, water, hygiene and sanitation, and the private

307 sector, despite the fact that the multi-sectoral nature of the fight against food-related NCDs has
308 been documented by several authors [24, 25]. In Morocco and Algeria, for example, the title of
309 the reference document is very evocative. Indeed, Morocco has a national multisectoral strategy
310 for the prevention and control of non-communicable diseases and Algeria has a national
311 multisectoral strategic plan for the integrated fight against the risk factors of non-communicable
312 diseases [26, 27]. Ghana has a national policy for the prevention and control of NCDs (Ghana
313 NCD Policy 2014) [28]. Such reference documents developed in a multi-sectoral dynamic with
314 a national character allow for commitment at the highest level and better advocacy for the
315 mobilization of resources [29, 30] and helps create an environment conducive to the adoption
316 of healthy behaviors [31]. It is therefore essential that Burkina Faso equips itself as soon as
317 possible with a multisectoral policy or plan to combat and control NCDs; moreover, the WHO
318 estimates that Burkina Faso does not have any documents on this subject [9].

319 No consultation framework or common results framework that could enable
320 multisectoral action and coordination is available in Burkina Faso for the fight against NCDs,
321 yet this step is a necessity for optimal programming and implementation of interventions with
322 a guarantee of accountability of the actors involved [24, 25, 32]. This situation is not typical of
323 Burkina Faso in the ECOWAS region; Ghana, for example, which has had initiatives to combat
324 NCDs since the 1990s with a national policy to combat NCDs, has not succeeded in establishing
325 an effective multi-sectoral consultation framework [28]. The implementation of such a
326 framework allows in some cases better monitoring and better synergy of action of the partners
327 around the priorities. Some countries seem to have been able to set up this framework of
328 consultation like Morocco for example. This is probably due to the fact that the development
329 of the document was participatory and multisectoral from the base. This provision facilitates
330 multisectoral coordination and allows better mobilization of financial and human resources for
331 the implementation of the policy [6, 30, 33].

332 The absence of multi-sectoral programming and a multi-sectoral consultation body as
333 observed in Burkina Faso leads to an overlap of numerous functions making the mobilization
334 of resources ineffective [34] in a context where international development aid to meet this
335 burden remains negligible [35]. This observation was clearly made by key informants who
336 unanimously stated that there is an insufficiency of resources allocated to the issue of NCDs in
337 Burkina Faso. They even believe that NCDs do not seem to be a priority for either the state or
338 partners in terms of the resources allocated to the issue. The budget analysis carried out showed
339 that during the period 2010-2020, the Ministry of Health of Burkina Faso allocated only 1.5%
340 of its sectoral budget to the fight against NCDs in Burkina Faso, i.e. approximately 17.33 billion
341 FCFA (29.9 million US dollars), thus justifying the statements of the interviewees.
342 WHO estimates that annual investment in NCD interventions ranges from less than US\$1 per
343 person in low-income countries to US\$3 per person in upper-middle-income countries [36].
344 The most effective NCD interventions for individuals cost US\$11.4 billion per year for all low-
345 and middle-income countries [36]. Although we are unable to clearly establish the impact of
346 Burkina Faso's integrated plan on the increase in allocations observed after the adoption of the
347 plan in 2015, the process of developing the plan has enabled us to better understand the problem,
348 to properly structure and quantify the response, and therefore to clarify investment opportunities
349 [4, 24, 37]. The plan highlighted, for example, the importance of “female cancer screening,”
350 which is considered one of the most cost-effective interventions in the fight against NCDs, with
351 a cost-effectiveness ratio of \leq \$1 according to WHO [22]. The inadequate allocation of
352 resources to combat and control NCDs has been documented by the Ghana Noncommunicable
353 Diseases Alliance which identified funding as a major challenge but acknowledged that data on
354 budget allocations for NCDs in Ghana are unavailable. It is clear that there is a lack of resources
355 allocated to combat NCDs yet low and middle income countries like Burkina Faso can gain
356 \$350 billion by 2030 by increasing investments in NCD prevention and treatment [38].

357 According to WHO, for every dollar invested in scaling up NCD response in these countries,
358 society will see a return of at least \$7 in increased employment, productivity and longer lives
359 [38]. Burkina Faso would therefore benefit from investing significantly in the fight against
360 NCDs in view of the ever-increasing burden of these diseases.

361 To our knowledge, this is the only study in Burkina Faso that has provided some answers
362 to questions about the institutional environment and budgetary allocations intended for the fight
363 against NCDs despite the methodological limitations, namely (i) the insufficiency in taking into
364 account the reference documents of other ministerial departments; (ii) institutional changes in
365 Burkina Faso have led to several changes at the head of state structures, which could impact the
366 responses of interviewees depending on their seniority at the head of the structure; (iii) the
367 consideration only of state allocations in the analysis due to the difficulty of obtaining accurate
368 data on funding from technical and financial partners, (iv) the absence of a common
369 multisectoral results framework listing consensual interventions for the fight against NCDs in
370 Burkina Faso, which makes financial monitoring difficult in other contributing sectors.

371 5. Conclusion

372 The analysis of the institutional framework and budget allocations for the fight and control of
373 NCDs reveals many difficulties in the institutional system in Burkina Faso. The prevention and
374 control of NCDs must be considered as a system in which appropriate and sustainable
375 investment is required. The analysis shows many difficulties hampering the performance of the
376 fight and control of NCDs in Burkina Faso, including (i) the absence of an accurate estimate of
377 the burden of NCDs, (ii) the absence of a multisectoral policy or strategic plan involving all
378 stakeholders, (iii) the absence of a multisectoral coordination body, (iii) the insufficiency of
379 sufficient financial resources, (iv) the insufficiency of research on the problem of NCDs.
380 Although the institutional framework for combating NCDs in Burkina Faso has shortcomings,
381 it must be recognized that Burkina Faso has made some progress in the fight against NCDs with
382 numerous texts and laws aimed at reducing exposure to modifiable risk factors for non-
383 communicable diseases and the underlying social determinants. In addition, many specific and
384 sensitive budget lines for the fight and control of NCDs have been identified in the Burkinabe
385 state budget despite the difficult security and humanitarian situation that the country has been
386 going through since 2018, thus indicating political will and a favorable environment in a context
387 marked by the scarcity of resources. Much work remains to be done when we know that the
388 scale of the burden of NCDs is high and increasing in low- and middle-income countries such
389 as Burkina Faso.

390 6. Author Contributions

391 Conceptualization: Moussa Ouedraogo, Dia Sanou, Aly Savadogo

392 Investigation: Moussa Ouedraogo, Dia Sanou, Mahamadé Goubgou

393 Formal analysis: Moussa Ouedraogo, Mahamadé Goubgou

394 Methodology: Moussa Ouedraogo, Mahamadé Goubgou, Tirogo Souleymane, Estelle Aissa
395 Bambara, Aly Savadogo.

396 Validation: Moussa Ouedraogo, Mahamadé Goubgou, Tirogo Souleymane, Estelle Aissa
397 Bambara, Aly Savadogo.

398 Writing– original draft: Moussa Ouedraogo.

399 Writing– review & editing: Moussa Ouedraogo, Mahamadé Goubgou, Tirogo Souleymane,
400 Estelle Aissa Bambara, Aly Savadogo.


401 7. Acknowledgments

402 The authors express sincere gratitude to all health agencies, study participants and research
403 assistants who supported us through the data collection period.


404 8. References

- 405 1. Mendis S, Chestnov O. Costs, benefits, and effectiveness of interventions for the
406 prevention, treatment, and control of cardiovascular diseases and diabetes in Africa. *Progress*
407 *in cardiovascular diseases*. 2013;56(3):314-21.
- 408 2. Bernard M, Sandrine L, Agnès G, Francis D. Transition nutritionnelle et maladies
409 chroniques non transmissibles liées à l'alimentation dans les pays en développement. *Cahiers*
410 *d'études et de recherches francophones / Santé*. 2002;12(1):45-55.
- 411 3. Global Panel. Global panel on agriculture and food systems for nutrition: food systems
412 and diets: facing the challenges of the 21st century: London, UK, 2016 (ISBN 978-0-9956228-
413 0-7), 132pp. <http://glopan.org/sites/default/files/ForesightReport.pdf>. *Food Security*. 2017;9.
414 doi: 10.1007/s12571-017-0678-y.
- 415 4. OMS. Preventing chronic diseases: a vital investment: WHO global report: World
416 Health Organization; 2005.
- 417 5. OMS. The global burden of disease: 2004 update: World Health Organization; 2008.
- 418 6. Ouedraogo M, Sanou D, Kere IWZ, Sankara S, Thiombiano-Coulibaly N, Ouedraogo
419 O, et al. Sahel terrorist crisis and development priorities: case of financial allocations for the
420 control of non-communicable diseases in Burkina Faso. *Frontiers in public health*. 2023;11.
- 421 7. Faso MdlsdB. rapport de l'enquête nationale sur la prévalence des principaux facteurs
422 de risque communs aux maladies non transmissibles (STEPS 2013). 2013.
- 423 8. Faso MdlsdB. rapport de l'enquête nationale sur la prévalence des principaux facteurs
424 de risque communs aux maladies non transmissibles (STEPS 2021). 2021.
- 425 9. mondiale de la Santé O. Suivi des progrès dans la lutte contre les maladies non
426 transmissibles 2020. 2020.
- 427 10. Organization WH. Global action plan for the prevention and control of
428 noncommunicable diseases 2013-2020: World Health Organization; 2013.
- 429 11. UN. Déclaration politique de la Réunion de haut niveau de l'Assemblée générale sur la
430 prévention et la maîtrise des maladies non transmissibles. Assemblée Générale 2011 Contract
431 No.: A/66/L.1.
- 432 12. OMS. Projet de plan d'action pour la lutte contre les maladies non transmissibles 2013-
433 2020: Rapport du Secrétariat. 2013.
- 434 13. Alwan A. Global status report on noncommunicable diseases 2010. *Global status report*
435 *on noncommunicable diseases 2010*. 2011.
- 436 14. Ouedraogo M, Sanou DO, O; Zongo, U; , Hama-Ba F, Savadogo A. An overview of
437 Governance of noncommunicable diseases (NCDs) in West African countries. *Pan African*
438 *Medical Journal*. 2023;2023; 44:203. doi: <https://doi.org/10.11604/pamj.2023.44.203.36175>.
- 439 15. Atun R, Davies JI, Gale EA, Bärnighausen T, Beran D, Kengne AP, et al. Diabetes in
440 sub-Saharan Africa: from clinical care to health policy. *The lancet Diabetes & endocrinology*.
441 2017;5(8):622-67.
- 442 16. Curbing N. Noncommunicable diseases in africa: youth are key to curbing the epidemic
443 and achieving sustainable development. Washington: Population Reference Bureau. 2015.
- 444 17. Guillemette F. L'approche de la Grounded Theory; pour innover? *Recherches*
445 *Qualitatives*. 2006;26.
- 446 18. Halcomb EJ, Hickman L. Mixed methods research. 2015.
- 447 19. SUN. Investigating Nutrition in National Budgets: Budget Analysis for
448 Nutrition. . 2015.
- 449 20. Aho A KJ, Siri A, Tou Nana A, Gueye IN, Ndiaye S, Nébié G, Touré F, Faye Malick,
450 Diouf A, Zagré NM. Consultation ouest africaine sur la méthodologie de suivi du financement
451 de la nutrition. Bureau régional de l'UNICEF pour l'Afrique de l'Ouest et du Centre, Bureau
452 régional de Action Contre la Faim pour l'Afrique de l'Ouest, 2018 2018. Report No.


- 453 21. Ministère de la santé. Plan stratégique intégré de la lutte contre les maladies non
454 transmissibles 2016 - 2020. 2016. p. 67.
- 455 22. WHO. Combattre les MNT:«meilleurs choix» et autres interventions recommandées
456 pour lutter contre les maladies non transmissibles. Organisation mondiale de la Santé, 2017.
- 457 23. MSHP. Politique nationale multisectorielle de nutrition 2020 - 2029. In: STAN, editor.
458 <https://www.cartographie-projets.sante.gov.bf/public/documents/1665618003.pdf>;
459 Gouvernement; 2020. p. 90.
- 460 24. OMS. Global action plan for the prevention and control of noncommunicable diseases
461 2013-2020: World Health Organization; 2013.
- 462 25. OMS. Approaches to establishing country-level multisectoral coordination mechanisms
463 for the prevention and control of noncommunicable diseases. 2015.
- 464 26. CHAHBOUNE M, Elkhoudri N, Chebabe M, Benmoussa A, Tachfouti N. Estimation
465 du risque cardiovasculaire chez les consultants aux établissements des soins de santé primaire
466 à la ville de Settat, Maroc. *Revue marocaine de santé publique*. 2019;6(9).
- 467 27. MS. Stratégie Nationale Multisectorielle de Prévention et de Contrôle des Maladies Non
468 Transmissibles 2019 - 2029. In: santé Mdl, editor. 2019. p. 44.
- 469 28. Bosu W. A comprehensive review of the policy and programmatic response to chronic
470 non-communicable disease in Ghana. *Ghana medical journal*. 2012;46(2):69-78.
- 471 29. Ouedraogo M, Sanou D, Kere IWZ, Sankara S, Thiombiano-Coulilyaly N, Ouedraogo
472 O, et al. Sahel terrorist crisis and development priorities: case of financial allocations for the
473 control of non-communicable diseases in Burkina Faso. *Frontiers in public health*.
474 2023;11:1253123.
- 475 30. Ouedraogo O, Doudou MH, Drabo KM, Garnier D, Zagré NM, Sanou D, et al. Policy
476 overview of the multisectoral nutrition planning process: The progress, challenges, and lessons
477 learned from Burkina Faso. *The International Journal of Health Planning and Management*.
478 2020;35(1):120-39.
- 479 31. Mendis S. The policy agenda for prevention and control of non-communicable diseases.
480 *British Medical Bulletin*. 2010;96(1):23-43. doi: 10.1093/bmb/ldq037.
- 481 32. Irvine L, Elliott L, Wallace H, Crombie IK. A review of major influences on current
482 public health policy in developed countries in the second half of the 20th century. *The journal*
483 *of the Royal Society for the Promotion of Health*. 2006;126(2):73-8.
- 484 33. Ousmane O, Maimouna H, Koiné M, Denis G, Noel M, Kristina R, et al. Planning
485 Capacity, Determinants, and Challenges of Integrating Multisectoral Nutrition into Communal
486 Development Plans in Burkina Faso. . *Science Journal of Public Health*. 2019;Vol. 7, No. 3,
487 2019:74-82. doi: 10.11648/j.sjph.20190703.12.
- 488 34. Rani M, Nusrat S, Hawken LH. A qualitative study of governance of evolving response
489 to non-communicable diseases in low-and middle- income countries: current status, risks and
490 options. *BMC Public Health*. 2012;12(1):877. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-12-877.
- 491 35. Shanthi M, Tim Armstrong, Douglas Bettcher, Francesco Branca, Jeremy Lauer, Cecile
492 Mace, Vladimir Poznyak, Leanne Riley, Vera Da Costa E Silva, Gretchen Stevens. Rapport sur
493 la situation mondiale des maladies non transmissibles. OMS, 2014.
- 494 36. mondiale de la Santé O. Maladies non transmissibles: ce que les ministères de la finance
495 et de la fiscalité doivent savoir: note d'information pour le secteur des finances et de la fiscalité.
496 Organisation mondiale de la Santé, 2016.
- 497 37. Shilton T, Robertson G. Battre les maladies non transmissibles de manière équitable–
498 soyons sérieux. SAGE Publications Sage UK: London, England; 2018. p. 78-80.
- 499 38. Bettcher D, Krug E. Saving lives, spending less: a strategic response to
500 noncommunicable diseases. Geneva: World Health Organization. 2018:1-37.
- 501




Conceptual framework for categorizing pro-NCD investments



Adapted organization chart of disease-specific



Evolution of budget allocations from 2010



Comparison of average allocations before a