

Investigating dietary quality among individuals aged 15 years and over by diabetes status in South Africa

Dietary quality by diabetes status in South Africa

Matthew Burgess¹ (Corresponding author), matt.burgess1@outlook.com

Professor Nuala McGrath^{1,2,3}

1. CHERISH programme, School of Primary Care, Population Sciences and Medical Education, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK.
2. Department of Social Statistics & Demography, Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK).
3. Africa Health Research Institute, Durban, KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa.

30 **Abstract:**

31

32 Analysis of the 2016 South African Demographic and Health Survey (SADHS) estimated that 11.7% of
33 individuals aged 15+ years had poor glycaemic control, despite only 4.7% reporting a previous
34 diabetes diagnosis, and a further 64.5% had prediabetes. Diet-related lifestyle change has an
35 important role in diabetes prevention and management, however entrenched racial and
36 socioeconomic inequalities and increasing urbanisation may present barriers to a healthy diet.

37 Using data from the 2016 SADHS we investigated whether dietary choices differ by diabetes status
38 defined by previous diagnosis and survey HbA1c, and whether the diet of people living with diabetes
39 (PLWD) differs by age, gender, ethnicity and wealth quintile. Reporting of fruit, vegetable, sugar-
40 sweetened beverage, fruit juice and fast-food consumption was used to create an index of healthy
41 diet. Ordered logistic regression modelling considering the proportional odds assumption was used
42 to investigate the effect of diabetes status and sociodemographic status on healthy diet among the
43 general population and PLWD.

44 Concurrent low consumption of fruit, vegetables and fruit juice was the most common dietary
45 pattern among both the general population and people living with diabetes, with high consumption
46 of fast-food and sugar-sweetened beverage less common. Among the general population, previous
47 diabetes diagnosis, age ≥ 55 years, non-black African ethnicity and being in the wealthiest quintile
48 were significantly associated with increased odds of a healthier diet. Among PLWD, there was no
49 association between previous diabetes diagnosis and healthy diet, high wealth remained
50 significantly associated with a healthier diet, whilst female gender and having health insurance also
51 became significantly associated with a healthier diet.

52 Future public health interventions should focus on making fruit and vegetables more accessible to
53 younger, black and socioeconomically poor populations, irrespective of diabetes status.

54

55 **Keywords:**

56 Type 2 Diabetes, Diet, South Africa, Fruit and Vegetables, Sub-Saharan Africa, Ordered
57 Logistic Regression.

58

59 **List of Abbreviations:**

60 PLWD – person/people living with diabetes.

61 LMIC – low or middle-income country

62 NCD – non-communicable disease

63 T2DM – Type 2 diabetes mellitus

64 SADHS – South African Demographic and Health Survey

65 HbA1c – Glycosylated haemoglobin

- 66 EA – Enumeration area
- 67 PSU – Primary sampling unit
- 68 SSB – Sugar-sweetened beverage
- 69 UPF – Ultra-processed food
- 70 BMI – Body mass index
- 71 SADOH – South African Department of Health
- 72 SES – Socioeconomic status

73

74 **Introduction**

75

76 An epidemiological transition is currently ongoing in many low- and middle-income countries
77 (LMICs), with infectious diseases such as HIV, malaria and tuberculosis decreasing as leading causes
78 of mortality and being replaced by non-communicable diseases (NCDs), such as type two diabetes
79 mellitus (T2DM)¹. T2DM prevalence in South Africa has increased during the previous decade, from
80 7.1% in 2011 to 10.8% in 2021², with as many as 60% of cases undiagnosed³. This undiagnosed
81 population is especially vulnerable to the micro and macrovascular complications of T2DM including
82 heart attack, stroke, renal failure and retinopathy. Also vulnerable are those with a diagnosis of
83 T2DM who have not received treatment or whose diabetes remains uncontrolled despite receiving
84 treatment, although this is a considerably smaller group compared to the undiagnosed population³.

85 T2DM incidence and progression is strongly associated with obesity⁴ and interventions in high-
86 income countries such as individualised dietary advice⁵ and diets low in fat and refined
87 carbohydrates⁶ have been shown to improve glycaemic control and prevent T2DM complications.
88 These however are resource intensive and do not consider cultural differences around diet, so are
89 less generalisable to LMICs, and there is relatively little evidence trialling both individualised and
90 population-level dietary interventions to reduce T2DM incidence and progression in South African
91 adults. The prevalence of overweight and obesity in sub-Saharan Africa has risen over the past three
92 decades and South Africa has the highest obesity rates in the region⁷. These changes, coupled with
93 poor diabetes screening and surveillance in South Africa, leaves many people vulnerable to T2DM
94 and its complications.

95 Despite its classification as an upper-middle-income country⁸, the legacy of colonialism and the
96 devastating apartheid regime means that South Africa has some of the highest rates of

97 socioeconomic and racial inequality globally⁹, which are also reflected in healthcare³. Black South
98 Africans have been shown to have poorer dietary diversity than white South Africans and consume
99 energy-dense foods from informal vendors more frequently¹⁰. These findings fall among a wider
100 backdrop of poor dietary diversity, with the nationally representative SANHANES-1 study reporting a
101 low intake of fruit and vegetables (two or fewer portions of either) in a quarter of South Africans¹¹.
102 Internal migration may also have an impact on diet. South Africa now has the most urbanised
103 population in sub-Saharan Africa, with rural-urban migration resulting in 62% living in cities¹².
104 Globally, both urban residence and lifetime exposure to urban environments have been associated
105 with higher incidence of T2DM and being overweight¹³, whilst studies in urban and peri-urban South
106 Africa have documented dietary composition changing towards the ‘western diet’¹² - a high intake of
107 energy-dense and nutrient-poor foods and drinks with a low intake of nutrient-dense fresh fruit,
108 vegetables, lean meat and fish. The obesogenic environment of many urban areas may therefore
109 predispose to the dual risk of obesity and food insecurity, with intake of energy-dense foods and
110 features of food insecurity such as childhood stunting seen concurrently within neighbourhoods and
111 households¹⁴, creating an additional challenge for healthcare providers and policymakers.

112 The 2016 South African Demographic and Health Survey (SADHS)¹⁵ is a large nationally-
113 representative survey that additionally collected biomarker samples alongside survey data on a
114 range of health and social outcomes. The 2016 SADHS estimated that 13% of women and 8% of men
115 aged over 15 years had poor glycaemic control (glycosylated haemoglobin (HbA1c) $\geq 6.5\%$), despite
116 only 5% of women and 4% of men reporting a previous diagnosis of diabetes at the time of survey.
117 Biomarker sampling also showed that 64% of women and 66% of men aged over 15 years had
118 prediabetes ($5.7 \leq \text{adjusted HbA1c} \leq 6.4$)¹⁵, indicating that a large proportion of the population are at
119 risk of developing T2DM. Despite this, few statistical analyses of the associations between diabetes
120 status and diet in South Africa have been conducted, and most studies conducted are highly
121 localised or population specific. More extensive, biomarker-focused, analysis of population surveys
122 such as the SADHS may shed more light on dietary differences between sociodemographic groups in
123 relation to T2DM and inform the design of future public health interventions. This study aims to
124 investigate whether the odds of a healthy diet differs by diabetes status when controlling for
125 important sociodemographic variables (research question one), as well as investigating the
126 association between sociodemographic factors and healthy diet among people living with diabetes
127 (PLWD) (research question two).

128

129

130 **Methods**

131 Data Collection

132 Cross-sectional survey and biomarker data from the 2016 SADHS was used with this data collected
133 between 27th June and 4th November 2016. The survey was administered by Statistics South Africa
134 and the South African Medical Research Council. The sampling frame for the SADHS was created
135 using 2011 census enumeration areas (EAs), divided into Primary Sampling Units (PSUs)¹⁵. The
136 sampling frame contains information about the geographic type and the estimated number of
137 residential dwelling units (DUs) in each PSU. The SADHS 2016 followed a stratified two-stage sample
138 design with probability-proportional-to-size sampling of PSUs at the first stage and systematic
139 sampling of DUs at the second stage¹⁵. Women aged over 15 years in odd-numbered DUs were
140 eligible for the individual questionnaire, whilst both men and women aged over 15 years in even-
141 numbered DUs were eligible for the individual questionnaire. Participants gave informed verbal
142 consent for interview which was witnessed by the interviewer and documented in the questionnaire.
143 Participants aged over 15 years (with no upper age limit) in even-numbered DUs were eligible for
144 biomarker measurement, including HbA1c, with written consent. For participants aged 15-17,
145 consent was required from both the participant and their legal parent/guardian. HbA1c was
146 measured using dried blood spot sampling with $HbA1c \geq 6.5\%$ indicating diabetes, $5.7 \leq HbA1c \leq 6.4$
147 prediabetes and $HbA1c \leq 5.6$ no diabetes, consistent with WHO¹⁶ and DHS classifications¹⁵. These
148 HbA1c classifications were used to define diabetes status in all analyses, are adjusted for sample
149 type and referred to as 'HbA1c' for the remainder of this paper. Further information can be found in
150 the SADHS 2016 report¹⁵. Data was first accessed by the authors on the 20th December 2021 and the
151 authors did not have access to any information that could identify individual participants at any
152 stage of the analysis.

153 Participant-reported healthy diet group was our categorical outcome measure, with this composite
154 outcome reflecting extent of healthy diet and calculated from reports of five dietary choices:
155 consumption of fast-food, fruit, vegetable, sugar-sweetened beverage (SSB) and fruit juice. The
156 associated 2016 SADHS survey questions, survey answer options and recoding of options into
157 categories for analyses are contained within table 1. The coding of fruit and vegetable consumption
158 as high = >2 types/day reflects the national recommendation of five daily portions of fruit and
159 vegetables¹⁷ (with fruit juice as the 5th portion).

160 Healthy choices are high fruit, high vegetable, high fruit juice, low fast-food and low SSB
161 consumption. Globally, evidence shows that increased fruit and vegetable consumption reduces risk
162 of cardiovascular diseases¹⁸ that are also associated with T2DM, whilst studies of more resource-

163 intensive interventions have shown that prescribing a diet high in fruit and vegetables and low in fat
 164 and refined sugar resulted in a significant reduction in fasting glucose and HbA1c¹⁹. Unhealthy
 165 choices are low fruit, low vegetable, low fruit juice, high fast-food and high SSB consumption. SSBs
 166 have a significant dose-dependent association with obesity and T2DM²⁰ whilst fast-food
 167 consumption is positively associated with weight gain and obesity²¹. Research into the impact of
 168 ultra-processed foods (UPFs) on health also showed that SSBs and industrially prepared meals are
 169 among the leading sources of UPFs, which are collectively associated with an increased incidence of
 170 T2DM and increased obesity prevalence²². Despite being included in the SADHS, interest in lowering
 171 salt consumption was excluded from this study due to not being a direct indicator of salt
 172 consumption, whilst fried food consumption was excluded due to its similarity to fast-food
 173 consumption.

174

175 Table 1. Coding of variables comprising the healthy diet group variable and their corresponding
 176 SADHS survey question.

Variable	Survey Question	Answer Options	Considered in Analysis as
Fast food consumption	How often do you eat fast-foods or take-away foods from places like Chicken Licken, KFC, Captain DoRego's, Steers, Nando's, McDonalds, pizza delivery, etc?	'Every day', 'at least once a week', 'occasionally', 'never'.	Binary (high if every day or at least once a week, low if occasionally or never)
Fruit consumption	Yesterday, how many types of fruit did you eat?	Continuous variable	Binary (high if ≥ 2 , low if < 2)
Vegetable consumption	Yesterday, how many types of vegetables, excluding potatoes, did you eat?	Continuous variable	Binary (high if ≥ 2 , low if < 2)
Sugar-sweetened beverage consumption	Yesterday, did you drink any sugar-sweetened drinks? Sugar-sweetened drinks include fizzy drinks like Coke or drinks like Squash where water is added, but not diet or unsweetened cold drinks.	Yes/No	Binary

Fruit juice consumption	Yesterday, did you drink any fruit juice?	Yes/No	Binary
-------------------------	---	--------	--------

177

178 Individuals were initially categorised into one of six groups based on their number of healthy dietary
 179 choices (ranging from five healthy, zero unhealthy to zero healthy, five unhealthy). As there were
 180 few individuals with zero or five healthy choices, the zero healthy choice and one healthy choice
 181 groups were combined to create a single unhealthy diet group and the four healthy choices and five
 182 healthy choices groups were combined to form a single healthy diet group. The two healthy choices
 183 and three healthy choices groups were retained independently as ‘somewhat healthy’ and
 184 ‘moderately healthy’ groups respectively, creating a four-group variable of the extent of healthy
 185 diet.

186 Body mass index (BMI), doctor’s diagnosis of heart attack, doctor’s diagnosis of stroke and doctor’s
 187 diagnosis of diabetes were chosen as potential individual confounding variables, on the rationale
 188 that recognition of high BMI and NCD-related diagnoses are opportunities to initiate lifestyle
 189 change²³. Additionally, as being diagnosed with diabetes itself is an opportunity to initiate dietary
 190 change and good glycaemic control, a joint variable combining diabetes status by HbA1c and
 191 previous diagnosis was created to differentiate individuals with ‘controlled diabetes’, i.e. a diagnosis
 192 of diabetes, but an HbA1c<6.5% at time of survey. Participants who reported that they did not know
 193 if they had ever been diagnosed with diabetes (N=31) were excluded from analyses. Participants
 194 who had not had height and weight measured for BMI to be calculated were retained in analyses
 195 provided they had a valid HbA1c, with these individuals represented in models as a separate ‘not
 196 recorded’ BMI category. Age group²⁴, gender²⁴, ethnicity¹¹ and type of place of residence
 197 (urban/rural)^{11,25} were included as known demographic risk factors for healthy diet grouping and
 198 T2DM status. Highest level of education completed²⁶, wealth quintile²⁶, employment in past 12
 199 months²⁶ and health insurance coverage²⁷ were included as potential socioeconomic confounding
 200 variables. Demographic and socioeconomic variables were chosen based on their association with
 201 healthy diet or diabetes status in existing literature. Given the limited number of participants
 202 reporting doctor’s diagnosis of heart attack and doctor’s diagnosis of stroke, these reports were
 203 combined to create a single doctor’s diagnosis of heart attack and/or stroke variable, whilst age was
 204 recoded into three age categories: younger adults (15-34 years), middle-aged adults (35-54 years)
 205 and older adults (55 years and over).

206

207 Statistical Analysis

208 All analyses were carried out in Stata Standard Edition 17.0 for Windows (StataCorp, 2022).
209 Descriptive analyses used two-stage sampling weights that accounted for the sampling design. To
210 visualise clustering of dietary choices within healthy diet groups, we used the UpSetR (v1.4.0)
211 package²⁸ in R. Patterns were explored overall and for both genders independently. Two-way tables
212 with Chi-squared tests were used to investigate the initial association between potential
213 confounding variables and healthy diet group.

214 As our healthy diet outcome had four ordered categories, we initially used ordered logistic
215 regression models for the first research question to explore how diabetes status defined by our joint
216 diabetes status variable was associated with healthy diet. Bivariate analysis explored the
217 'unadjusted' association of the joint diabetes variable and each other variable of interest with
218 healthy diet. However, Brant testing identified that several (but not all) of the considered variables
219 included in bivariate analysis violated the proportional odds assumption (shown in appendix 1). Thus
220 we moved to fitting generalised ordered logistic regression models using the user-
221 written **gologit2**, with the "autofit" option allowing the proportional odds assumption to be relaxed
222 for some explanatory variables while being maintained for others²⁹. Reducing to parallel one level of
223 a variable separately is consistent with previous approaches^{30,31}.

224 A multivariable generalised ordered logistic regression model was constructed using a backwards
225 stepwise approach to add variables significant at the bivariate stage to the model³².

226 For the second research question, all individuals with an HbA1c indicating diabetes ($\geq 6.5\%$) were
227 included in the analysis. We chose to focus on this group because individuals with poor glycaemic
228 control, regardless of previous diagnosis, are at increased risk of T2DM complications and are a key
229 population of interest with regard to future public health interventions. Given the large change in
230 sample size for this subset analysis, we started by exploring univariable associations between
231 demographic and socioeconomic factors and healthy diet group, before applying the same steps as
232 for research question one to find the most parsimonious model and investigate whether any
233 variables violated the proportional odds assumption (these steps are shown in appendix 2).

234

235 **Results**

236 **Participant Characteristics**

237 The overall sample size of the 2016 SADHS is 10,336 (response rate 81.6%¹⁵). For the first research
238 question, 3596 participants were excluded from analysis due to not being selected for biomarker

239 sampling, having an invalid HbA1c sample or having an unknown previous diagnosis of diabetes,
240 leaving a sample size of 6709, representing 66% (4159) of female participants and 59% (2581) of
241 male participants¹⁵.

242 Table 2 shows the distribution of participants by number of healthy choices and further organisation
243 into healthy diet groups. Most participants demonstrated a mix of healthy and unhealthy dietary
244 choices, albeit with predominantly unhealthy choices. Nearly half of participants had two healthy
245 and three unhealthy choices, with the next largest containing those with one healthy and four
246 unhealthy choices (22.0%). Few participants had an extremely healthy or unhealthy diet with fewer
247 than 1% making five healthy choices and 3.6% five unhealthy choices.

248

249 Table 2. Distribution of participants by number of healthy dietary choices and
250 organisation into healthy diet groups.

251

No. Healthy Choices	Frequency	Percent	Healthy Diet Group
0	243	3.6	Unhealthy
1	1474	22.0	Unhealthy
2	3193	47.6	Somewhat Healthy
3	1320	19.7	Moderately Healthy
4	415	6.2	Healthy
5	64	0.9	Healthy
Total	6709	100.00	

256 Figure 1 highlights that across all healthy diet groups in both men and women, low fast food
257 consumption was the dominant healthy choice. Low fruit juice consumption was the dominant
258 unhealthy choice in both men and women across all healthy diet groups. For those in the somewhat
259 healthy diet group (those with two healthy choices), low fast food and low SSB consumption was the
260 most commonly reported combination (54% of all two healthy choice combinations) with this
261 pattern similar in men and women, whilst high fruit juice and high fruit consumption was least
262 commonly reported (4%). For those in the moderately healthy diet group (three healthy choices),
263 low fast food, low SSB and high vegetable consumption was the most commonly reported
264 combination in both men and women (7.5%) whilst high fruit juice, high fruit and high vegetable
265 consumption was least commonly reported in both men and women (0.3%).

266 Figure 1: UpSet plots showing patterns of dietary choices among adults aged 15
267 years and over.

268 Figure 1.1: All adults aged 15 years and over in South Africa (N = 6709)^{1,2}

269 ¹● – For example, a participant with only high vegetable, low SSB and low fast food
 270 consumption would be in the moderately healthy diet group.

271 ²SSB – sugar-sweetened beverages

272

273 Figure 1.2: Women aged 15 years and over in South Africa (N = 4148)

274

275

276 Figure 1.3: Men aged 15 years and over in South Africa (N = 2560)

277

278 Table 3 summarises weighted participant characteristics by healthy diet group, showing that the
 279 majority of participants had not previously received a diagnosis of diabetes and that most survey
 280 participants had an HbA1c indicating prediabetes. Among those who had received a previous
 281 diagnosis of diabetes, 71.9% had poorly-controlled diabetes ($HbA1c \geq 6.5$) and a further 25.3% had
 282 somewhat-controlled diabetes ($5.7\% \leq HbA1c \leq 6.4\%$). Of those with no previous diagnosis of
 283 diabetes, 8.7% had diabetes ($HbA1c \geq 6.5$) and 66.3% had prediabetes.

Variable	Unhealthy	Somewhat Healthy	Moderately Healthy	Healthy		P-value ³
	N (row %)	N (row %)	N (row %)	N (row %)	N (col%)	
Joint Diabetes Status Variable						<0.01
No Diabetes (No previous diabetes diagnosis and $HbA1c < 5.7\%$)	447 (28.1)	741 (46.6)	294 (18.5)	109 (6.8)	1591(23.7)	
Prediabetes (No previous diabetes diagnosis and $5.7\% \leq HbA1c \leq 6.4\%$)	1105 (26.1)	1999 (47.1)	847 (20.0)	290 (6.8)	4241 (63.20)	
Diabetes (No previous diabetes diagnosis and $HbA1c \geq 6.5$)	119 (21.3)	288 (51.4)	110 (19.6)	43 (7.7)	560 (8.4)	
Controlled Diabetes (Previous diabetes diagnosis)	2 (22.2)	5 (55.6)	1 (11.1)	1 (11.1)	9(0.1)	

284

285 Table 3. Distribution of Healthy Diet categories by characteristics of survey participants^{1,2}

and HbA1c <5.7%)						
Somewhat-Controlled Diabetes (Previous diabetes diagnosis and 5.7% ≤HbA1c ≤ 6.4%)	11 (13.8)	45 (56.2)	14 (17.5)	10 (12.5)	80(1.2)	
Uncontrolled Diabetes (Previous diabetes diagnosis and HbA1c ≥ 6.5)	33 (14.5)	115 (50.4)	54 (23.7)	26 (11.4)	228(3.4)	
Body Mass Index						<0.01
Underweight or Normal Weight	820 (26.0%)	1,575 (50.0%)	587 (18.6%)	169 (5.4%)		
Overweight	430 (26.9%)	717 (44.9%)	322 (20.2%)	128 (8.0%)		
Obese	444 (23.6%)	863 (45.8%)	397 (21.1%)	180 (9.6%)		
Not Recorded	23 (29.9%)	38 (49.4%)	14 (18.2%)	2 (2.6%)		
Diagnosis of Heart Attack and/or Stroke						0.02
No	1,662 (25.9%)	3,044 (47.4%)	1,264 (19.7%)	450 (7.0%)		
Yes	55 (19.0%)	149 (51.6%)	56 (19.4%)	29 (10.0%)		
Gender						<0.01
Male	744 (29.1%)	1,179 (46.1%)	477 (18.6%)	160 (6.3%)		
Female	974 (23.5%)	2,014 (48.5%)	843 (20.3%)	319 (7.7%)		
Age Group						<0.01
Young Adults (15-34 years)	1,030 (31.9%)	1,423 (44.1%)	581 (18.0%)	195 (6.0%)		
Middle-Aged Adults (35-54 years)	446 (23.5%)	919 (48.5%)	382 (20.2%)	148 (7.8%)		
Older Adults (55+ years)	241 (15.2%)	851 (53.7%)	357 (22.5%)	136 (8.6%)		
Type of Place of Residence						<0.01

Urban	882 (26.2%)	1,481 (44.1%)	703 (20.9%)	296 (8.8%)		
Rural	835 (24.9%)	1,712 (51.2%)	617 (18.4%)	183 (5.5%)		
Ethnicity						<0.01
Black African	1,575 (26.5%)	2,884 (48.6%)	1,106 (18.6%)	374 (6.3%)		
White	21 (8.8%)	72 (30.3%)	83 (34.9%)	62 (26.1%)		
Coloured	116 (24.2%)	214 (44.6%)	115 (24.0%)	35 (7.3%)		
Indian/Asian/other	5 (9.6%)	23 (44.2%)	16 (30.8%)	8 (15.4%)		
Highest Level of Education Completed						<0.01
No Education	104 (17.3%)	363 (60.3%)	103 (17.1%)	32 (5.3%)		
Primary	297 (22.2%)	738 (55.3%)	237 (17.8%)	63 (4.7%)		
Secondary	1,174 (27.6%)	1,933 (45.5%)	845 (19.9%)	296 (7.0%)		
Higher	142 (27.1%)	159 (30.3%)	135 (25.8%)	88 (16.8%)		
Wealth Quintile						<0.01
Poorest	341 (22.4%)	863 (56.7%)	264 (17.3%)	54 (3.5%)		
Poorer	398 (27.0%)	733 (49.8%)	255 (17.3%)	87 (5.9%)		
Middle	458 (28.8%)	745 (46.8%)	299 (18.8%)	90 (5.7%)		
Richer	329 (25.2%)	566 (43.3%)	286 (21.9%)	125 (9.6%)		
Richest	191 (23.4%)	286 (35.0%)	216 (26.5%)	123 (15.1%)		
Employment in Last 12 Months						<0.01
Unemployed Last 12 Months	982 (22.9%)	2,192 (51.1%)	829 (19.3%)	289 (6.7%)		
Employed in Last 12 Months, but not currently employed	113 (34.7%)	138 (42.3%)	62 (19.0%)	13 (4.0%)		
Currently employed ⁴	622 (29.7%)	863 (41.3%)	429 (20.5%)	177 (8.5%)		

Covered by Health Insurance						<0.01
No	1,516 (25.6%)	2,937 (49.7%)	1,116 (18.9%)	340 (5.8%)		
Yes	201 (25.1%)	256 (32.0%)	204 (25.5%)	139 (17.4%)		

286

287 ¹ Survey weighting is applied.

288 ² N=6709

289 ³ Chi-square p-values.

290 ⁴ 'Currently employed' includes participants who did not work in the past 7 days, but who
 291 are regularly employed and absent from work due to leave, illness, vacation or any other
 292 such reason¹⁵.

293

294 Appendix 1 shows results of bivariate ordered logistic analyses conducted for research question 1.

295 On bivariate analysis, education showed no pattern of association with diabetes status or healthy
 296 diet group and was dropped. Diagnosis of heart attack/stroke was also dropped at this stage as too
 297 few individuals reported a diagnosis and it could not be considered for inclusion in multivariate
 298 models. As expected, having a previous diagnosis of diabetes was associated with higher odds of
 299 being in a healthier diet group relative to individuals without a diagnosis of diabetes (OR 1.83, CI
 300 1.42 – 2.35 for those with uncontrolled diabetes, OR 1.66, CI 1.11 – 2.51 for those with somewhat-
 301 controlled diabetes). Among other significant associations, individuals with black African ethnicity
 302 had much lower odds of being in a healthier diet group relative to individuals with other ethnicities
 303 (OR of being in a healthier diet group 4.43, CI 2.64 – 7.45 for white individuals, OR 1.31, CI 1.01 –
 304 1.69 for coloured individuals and OR 2.53, CI 1.22 – 5.22 for Indian/Asian individuals) and individuals
 305 covered by health insurance had higher odds of being in a healthier diet group relative to individuals
 306 without health insurance (OR 1.48, CI 1.05 – 1.09).

307 Likelihood ratio testing of variable contribution at the bivariate stage found that all variables other
 308 than type of place of residence and BMI had a p-value<0.10, and were therefore considered in
 309 building of the multivariable model. Brant testing of remaining variables at the bivariate stage
 310 determined that age, wealth index, employment status in the last 12 months and health insurance
 311 coverage violated the parallel odds assumption.

312 Table 4 shows results of the final multivariable generalised ordered logistic regression model with
 313 the joint diabetes status variable, gender, ethnicity and employment in last 12 months reduced to
 314 parallel. Individuals with a previous diagnosis of diabetes and uncontrolled diabetes (HbA1c ≥ 6.5)
 315 remained significantly more likely to be in a healthier diet group compared to individuals without

316 diabetes (OR 2.14, CI 1.10 – 4.18). No other category of our joint diabetes status variable was
 317 statistically significantly different in odds of a healthier diet compared to the base group with no
 318 diabetes (no previous diagnosis and an HbA1c<5.7%), although the estimate for the somewhat
 319 controlled is in the same direction while numbers contributing to the controlled diabetes group
 320 estimate are very small (N=18). Middle-aged and older adults had significantly greater odds of being
 321 in the somewhat healthy, moderately healthy or healthy diet groups than the unhealthy diet group
 322 relative to younger adults (OR 1.54, CI 1.28-1.86 for middle aged adults; OR 2.06, CI 1.23-3.43 for
 323 older adults). This association is attenuated and becomes statistically insignificant when comparing
 324 individuals in the unhealthy and somewhat healthy diet groups to those in the moderately healthy
 325 and healthy diet groups by age (OR 1.04, CI 0.86 – 1.27 for middle aged adults, OR 1.22, CI 0.77 –
 326 1.95 for older adults).

327 Individuals with black African ethnicity remained significantly less likely to have healthy diet than all
 328 other ethnicities. There was a u-shaped relationship between wealth quintile and diet, with all
 329 wealth quintiles more likely to be in the unhealthy diet group than the healthy, moderately healthy
 330 or somewhat healthy diet groups relative to the poorest quintile, but higher wealth quintiles having
 331 an increasingly higher likelihood of being in the healthy diet group than the moderately healthy,
 332 somewhat healthy or unhealthy diet group compared to the poorest quintile, with this being
 333 significant for the richest wealth quintile (OR 1.95, CI 1.10-3.46). Individuals who were employed in
 334 the last 12 months but were currently unemployed were significantly less likely to have a healthy
 335 diet relative to those unemployed throughout the last 12 months (OR 0.66, CI 0.50-0.88), whilst
 336 those currently employed were significantly more likely to be in the unhealthy diet group (OR 0.76,
 337 CI 0.64-0.90).

338

339 Table 4. Final multivariable generalised ordered logistic regression model for the
 340 odds of healthy diet.

Variable	Healthy Diet Group ¹		
	Unhealthy	Somewhat Healthy	Moderately Healthy
Variable	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)
Joint Diabetes Status Variable			
(Base: No diabetes (no previous diagnosis of diabetes and HbA1c< 5.7%))			
Prediabetes (No previous diabetes)	1.05 (0.91-1.21) ²		

diagnosis and 5.7% ≤HbA1c ≤ 6.4%)			
Diabetes (No previous diabetes diagnosis and HbA1c ≥ 6.5)	0.92 (0.68-1.23)		
Controlled diabetes (Previous diabetes diagnosis and HbA1c<5.7)	0.78 (0.14-4.25)		
Somewhat-controlled diabetes (Previous diabetes diagnosis and 5.7% ≤HbA1c ≤ 6.4%)	1.35 (0.70-2.61)		
Uncontrolled diabetes (Previous diabetes diagnosis and HbA1c ≥ 6.5)	2.14 ³ (1.10-4.18)		
Gender			
(Base male)			
Female	1.03 (0.88-1.20) ²		
Age Group			
(Base young adults)			
Middle-aged adults	1.54 ⁴ (1.28-1.86)	1.04 (0.86-1.27)	0.90 (0.66-1.22)
Older adults	2.07 (1.24-3.44)	1.22 (0.77-1.95)	0.58 (0.25-1.38)
Ethnicity			
(Base black African)			
White	3.29 (2.01-5.40) ²		
Coloured	1.23 (0.96-1.59)		
Indian/Asian/Other	2.36 (1.06-5.25)		
Wealth Quintile			
(Base poorest)			
Poorer	0.64 (0.51-0.82)	0.99 (0.76-1.29)	1.23 (0.77-1.95)
Middle	0.64 (0.50-0.81)	1.07 (0.80-1.43)	1.58 (0.92-2.72)
Richer	0.68 (0.52-0.90)	1.32 (0.94-1.85)	1.70 (0.99-2.93)
Richest	0.67 (0.47-0.96)	1.17 (0.78-1.73)	1.93 (1.09-3.43)
Employment in last 12 months			
(Base unemployed during last 12 months)			
Worked in last 12 months, but not currently working	0.67 (0.50-0.88) ²		
Currently working	0.76 (0.64-0.90)	1.00 (0.81-1.22)	1.17 (0.82-1.67)
Health insurance coverage			

(Base no)			
Yes	0.83 (0.63-1.08)	1.36 (0.96-1.93)	1.46 (0.93-2.31)

341

342 ¹Uses most healthy diet group as referent.

343 ²Variable reduced to parallel, therefore one adjusted OR and CI used across all levels.

344 ³As exemplar of interpretation when parallel odds is assumed, when controlling for all other
 345 variables, individuals with a previous diagnosis of diabetes and uncontrolled diabetes by HbA1c
 346 were on average 2.14 times more likely to be in a healthier diet group, compared to individuals with
 347 no previous diagnosis of diabetes and an HbA1c <5.7%.

348 ⁴As exemplar of interpretation when parallel odds is not assumed, middle-aged adults were 1.54
 349 times more likely to be in the somewhat healthy, moderately healthy or healthy diet group than the
 350 unhealthy diet group compared to young adults, when controlling for all other variables, but were
 351 1.04 times more likely to be in the healthy or moderately healthy diet groups than the somewhat
 352 healthy or unhealthy diet group compared to young adults.

353

354 **People Living With Diabetes**

355 The distribution of individuals with uncontrolled diabetes defined by HbA1c , irrespective of previous
 356 diagnosis, was broadly similar to in the general population, with the exceptions that individuals with
 357 three healthy and two unhealthy choices were the second largest group rather than the third largest
 358 among PLWD. Overall, the distribution of PLWD by sociodemographic status was similar to that in
 359 the general population. This can be further seen in appendix 2.

360 Likelihood ratio testing found that employment status, education status, ethnicity and type of place
 361 of residence had a p-value>0.10 and these variables were dropped. Further likelihood ratio testing
 362 was conducted on smaller models in a backwards stepwise manner as for research question one.
 363 Age, gender, wealth quintile and health insurance coverage were retained for further analyses after
 364 this testing. Brant testing of the final ordered logistic regression model showed that none of the
 365 included variables violated the parallel odds assumption, meaning the ordered logistic regression
 366 model was retained, as seen in appendix 3.

367 Table 5 shows results from the final ordered logistic regression model of healthy diet among PLWD.
 368 When controlling for other variables, females living with diabetes were significantly more likely than
 369 males to be in a healthier diet group (OR 1.40, CI 1.03-1.90). Middle-aged adults were no more likely
 370 than younger adults to be in a healthier diet group, however older adults were borderline
 371 significantly more likely to be in a healthier diet group than younger adults, (OR 1.55, CI 0.99-2.43).
 372 Those in the richer (OR 1.63, CI 1.06-2.51) and richest (OR 1.85, CI 1.14-3.02) quintiles were

373 significantly more likely to be in a healthier diet group relative to the poorest group. Health
 374 insurance coverage, holding wealth quintile and all other factors constant, showed an independent
 375 statistically significant association with healthy diet group - those with coverage were almost twice
 376 as likely as those without coverage to have a healthier diet (OR 1.96, CI 1.27-3.01).

377

378 Table 5. Final Multivariable ordered logistic regression model of healthy diet among
 379 people living with diabetes^{1,2}.

Variable	Likelihood Ratio Test P-Value	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence Interval
Previous Diagnosis of Diabetes	(Variable of interest)		
No			(Base)
Yes		1.14	0.83 – 1.55
Gender	0.04		
Male			(Base)
Female		1.40 ¹	1.03 – 1.90
Age Group	<0.01		
Young adults			(Base)
Middle-Aged Adults (34-54 years)		1.03	0.64 – 1.65
Older Adults (55+)		1.55	0.99 – 2.43
Wealth Quintile	0.04		
Poorest			(Base)
Poorer		1.12	0.73 – 1.70
Middle		1.21	0.79 – 1.83
Richer		1.63	1.06 – 2.51
Richest		1.85	1.14 – 3.02
Covered by Health Insurance	<0.01		
No			(Base)
Yes		1.96	1.27 – 3.01

380

381 ¹Females were 1.37 times more likely to be in a healthier diet group than males, when controlling for
382 all other variables.

383 ²N=788.

384

385 **Discussion**

386 Having both a previous diagnosis of diabetes and an HbA1c at the time of survey indicating
387 uncontrolled diabetes was associated with a healthier diet, whilst having an HbA1c indicating
388 diabetes, but no previous diagnosis of diabetes was not associated with healthy diet group after
389 controlling for other variables. Given the role of diagnosis as an opportunity for discussing,
390 facilitating and motivating lifestyle change²³, this difference illustrates that the large number of
391 individuals with undiagnosed diabetes are at increased risk of continuing to make unhealthy dietary
392 choices. Although the majority of individuals with a diagnosis of diabetes still had poor glycaemic
393 control, it may be the case that diet change alone was insufficient to induce glycaemic control in this
394 group, whilst care cascade data reported that almost half of individuals receiving treatment still have
395 uncontrolled diabetes (HbA1c \geq 6.5%)³. Existing literature shows that black ethnicity and low socio-
396 economic status (SES) are predictors of undiagnosed diabetes²⁶ and poor healthcare access³³, whilst
397 our results also show they are associated with unhealthy diet among both PLWD and the general
398 population. This means PLWD in sociodemographic groups more likely to have unhealthy diet prior
399 to diagnosis of diabetes are also less likely to have access to healthcare professionals who can help
400 to motivate lifestyle change, in addition to being economically less able to introduce them, placing
401 this group at increased risk of T2DM complications. Encouragingly, early NCD detection in primary
402 care settings is included as a strategic action area in the new 2020-2025 NCD plan created by the
403 South African Department of Health (SADOH)³⁴. Planned strategies include the development and
404 review of a diabetes cascade system to mirror the structure used for HIV management, training
405 community health workers to conduct diabetes screening and advocating for T2DM screening to be
406 covered by national health insurance. Integrating T2DM screening with improved provision of
407 lifestyle counselling and education in primary care widens access to appropriate diabetes diagnosis
408 and management and may bring about healthier dietary choices among PLWD.

409 The pattern of concurrent low fruit, fruit juice and vegetable consumption seen among both the
410 general population and PLWD is a common issue observed in South African population studies^{11,25,35}.
411 Although these studies are limited by their cross-sectional nature, longitudinal data from a repeat

412 panel study by Ronquest-Ross et al³⁶ found low fruit and vegetable consumption at baseline and
413 showed a 7.9% reduction in vegetable consumption from 42.0 to 38.7 kg/capita/year, albeit a 6.4%
414 increase in fruit consumption 28.1 to 29.9 kg/capita/year between 1999 and 2012. Although low
415 fruit and vegetable intake is a global problem³⁶, it is of particular concern in South Africa and other
416 LMICs due to the rapid and unplanned nature of urban population growth¹², general increases in the
417 cost of living³⁷ and unemployment³⁸, and rapidly rising levels of NCDs against which fruit and
418 vegetables are protective. Although this study found low rates of SSB consumption, their intake
419 among the general population in South Africa increased by 68.9% from 55 L/capita/year to 92.9
420 L/capita/year between 1994 and 2012³⁶.

421 Analysis in both the general population and PLWD found an association between older age and
422 healthier diet group. Older age has previously been associated with higher fruit and vegetable
423 consumption²⁵ and qualitative research has suggested that older populations may have a greater
424 preference for vegetables relative to younger individuals³⁹. In contrast with these results, research
425 involving PLWD recruited at hospital clinics found no association between age and healthier diet⁴⁰.
426 Given that NCDs seen primarily in older individuals are already increasing in prevalence, evidence
427 that dietary quality is decreasing in younger age groups, combined with the high rate of prediabetes
428 seen in this study, is concerning as it confirms predictions^{1,2} that the burden of T2DM will continue
429 to grow without urgent action.

430 This study and more localised research⁴⁰ found that women with T2DM had a healthier diet than
431 men. These findings also fit into wider patterns of demographic transition in South Africa which
432 show that men have an increasingly more equal risk of obesity⁴¹, as well as a greater chance of being
433 physically inactive compared to women⁴², and point towards the future burden of NCDs becoming
434 higher among men, as in high-income countries², with females having lower albeit still burdensome
435 rates of complications.

436 Despite historic links between ethnicity and wealth in South Africa, we found that lower wealth and
437 black African ethnicity were both independently associated with a less healthy diet. This suggests
438 that the socioeconomic effects of apartheid extend further than wealth alone, but also impact
439 individuals' food environment. Previous literature has shown that those with lower wealth have
440 poorer dietary diversity⁴⁰, as well as reduced fruit and vegetable consumption²⁵. Qualitative and
441 quantitative studies have proposed that this is due to the high cost of fresh fruit and vegetables^{11,39},
442 with affordability the most important factor for South Africans when making dietary choices³⁴. Our
443 results additionally noted an increased likelihood of having unhealthy diet for those in higher wealth
444 quintiles relative to the poorest, which could be explained by the inclusion of fast-food consumption

445 in our models, which is higher among those with white ethnicity and higher SES¹⁰. This would
446 indicate that unlike in high-income countries, where those in more deprived areas have greater
447 exposure to fast-food and takeaway outlets⁴³, fast-food is currently more popular among South
448 Africans with higher SES.

449 These findings, as well as existing evidence, suggest that future public health interventions and
450 policies should focus on making fruit and vegetables more accessible, particularly to younger,
451 majority black populations with low SES. The SADOH NCD plan includes the intention to make
452 healthy foods more available and affordable, but identifies few specific target populations or
453 policies. Participation in a previous intervention giving 25% cashback on healthy dietary purchases
454 was associated with an increase in fruit and vegetable consumption and a decrease in sugary and
455 fast-foods⁴³, but was only available to members of a private health insurance company and
456 therefore targeted a demographic already more likely to have the means to purchase healthier
457 foods. Targeted government-funded interventions have so far only focused on providing nutritious
458 meals and health education for school age children⁴⁴, although these interventions do use schools as
459 a conduit for educating parents and families. Whilst this is valuable given the rising rates of
460 childhood obesity reported recently⁷, qualitative evidence shows that people in low-income areas
461 are often aware of the implications of unhealthy diet^{39,45,46} and more work is therefore needed to
462 create a more enabling food environment in such areas. Research has also documented overly
463 simplistic, and even shaming, advice from healthcare professionals in regard to improving diet⁴⁶ and
464 there is a need for additional recognition of environmental barriers when motivating lifestyle change
465 in healthcare settings. A tax on SSBs was recently introduced in South Africa alongside a levy on fruit
466 juice, with SSBs subsequently increasing in price⁴⁷. Whilst this could serve as a model for similar
467 policies on foods, no relative price decrease was detected for healthier beverages and so more far-
468 reaching policies may be required to reduce the cost and increase availability of healthy foods and
469 avoid exacerbating food insecurity among low SES households.

470 Whilst South Africa's population sub-groups and history make racial and socioeconomic inequalities
471 particularly entrenched, these findings remain increasingly generalisable to other LMICs as the
472 global epidemiological and nutritional transitions continue. Obesity rates in all Southern Africa
473 Development Community Countries increased between 1990 and 2019⁷, whilst rates of urbanisation
474 in LMICs are also rising more rapidly than in high-income countries¹³. This indicates that many of the
475 challenges faced by South Africa will also be faced by other LMICs in future years and whilst
476 morbidity and mortality attributed to T2DM remains lower in other areas of sub-Saharan Africa¹,
477 rates are beginning to increase. Proactive strategies for prevention and treatment of T2DM will

478 therefore be needed in many LMICs to address the future burden of disease, including those
479 addressing dietary risk factors.

480

481 Strengths and Limitations

482 The large, nationally representative sample size of the SADHS is a strength of this study, as is the
483 number of available variables, which allows for consideration of multiple dietary groups and
484 potential confounding factors. Using Hba1c as the primary measure of diabetes status rather than
485 self-reported diagnosis is biologically objective and enables the inclusion of individuals with
486 undiagnosed diabetes, although it is an indirect measure of blood glucose and utilises only one
487 measurement, making it less reliable than a fasting glucose test⁴⁸. The use of generalised ordered
488 and ordered logistic regression models the hierarchical nature of healthy diet groups. To the
489 authors' knowledge, this is the first study to use such modelling to investigate the associations
490 between diabetes status and diet.

491 The cross-sectional nature of the SADHS is a limitation and means it was not possible to assess
492 changes over time. Four out of five dietary variables only considered consumption during the
493 previous day, limiting the representativeness of the survey as a consistent measure of individual
494 dietary choices. The low number of individuals in the healthy diet group limited statistical power
495 when comparing with this group, whilst the use of a nationally representative sample meant that
496 sample sizes for minority groups, such as the Indian/Asian and elderly populations were small. Given
497 the low number of individuals reporting fruit juice consumption, including this with fruit and
498 vegetable consumption to create a binary variable of 5+ vs <5 portions of fruit and vegetables per
499 day (reflecting national recommendations) may have improved statistical power. Other variables
500 associated with healthier dietary outcomes in the literature, such as increased grocery expenditure²⁵
501 and increased time spent cooking⁴³, were not collected in the 2016 SADHS and could not be
502 considered in these analyses. Whilst the prevalences of other types of diabetes mellitus in South
503 Africa are very small relative to T2DM^{1,49}, the SADHS does not differentiate between types of
504 diabetes.

505 Our findings, in combination with existing evidence, should inform the development of actionable
506 public health policies in South Africa, with a particular focus on improving fruit and vegetable
507 consumption in younger, black and low-SES populations without access to health insurance clearly
508 required. Key healthcare stakeholders including the South African government, non-government
509 organisations and health insurance providers should integrate T2DM screening with individualised

510 lifestyle management, as well as population-level interventions, to combat the rising burden of the
511 disease.

512

513 **Declarations:**

514 **Human Ethics and Consent to Participate**

515 Ethical approval was received from the Faculty of Medicine Ethics Committee, University of
516 Southampton, under approval number 66804.

517 Participants gave informed verbal consent to give survey data and informed written consent to give
518 biomarker data to the SADHS. All data used in this paper is anonymised. For participants aged 15-17,
519 consent was required from both the participant and their legal parent/guardian.

520 All research was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

521 **Consent for Publication:**

522 Not applicable

523 **Author's Contribution:**

524 MB was responsible for study design and data analysis under guidance from NM. Survey and
525 biomarker data was collected by the Demographic and Health Survey program, who gave approval
526 to the authors for use in this study.

527 **Acknowledgements:**

528 The authors would also like to thank Dr Beth Stuart for some additional guidance of statistical model
529 choice and Dr Zhixin Feng for guidance on variable weighting.

530

531 **References**

532 1: GBD 2016 Causes of Death Collaborators., Global, regional and national life expectancy, all cause
533 mortality, and cause specific mortality for 249 causes of death, 1980-2015: a systematic analysis for
534 the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *Lancet*, 2017. 390: 1151-1210.

535 2: International Diabetes Federation. IDF Diabetes Atlas 2021.
536 https://diabetesatlas.org/atlas/tenthedition/?dlmodal=active&dlsrc=https%3A%2F%2Fdiabetesatlas.org%2Fidfawp%2Fresource-files%2F2021%2F07%2FIDF_Atlas_10th_Edition_2021.pdf, accessed
537 24/05/2022.
538

539 3: Stokes, A., et al., Prevalence and unmet need for diabetes care across the care continuum in a
540 national sample of South African adults: Evidence from the SANHANES-1, 2011-2012. *PLoS One*,
541 2017. 12(10): e0184264.

- 542 4: Kahn, S.E., et al., Mechanisms linking obesity to insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes. *Nature*,
543 2006. 444: 840-846.
- 544 5: Guess, N.D., Dietary Interventions for the Prevention of Type 2 Diabetes in High-Risk Groups:
545 Current State of Evidence and Future Research Needs. *Nutrients*, 2018. 10(9): 1245.
- 546 6: Copell, K.J., et al., Nutritional intervention in patients with type 2 diabetes who are
547 hyperglycaemic despite optimised drug treatment – Lifestyle Over and Above Drugs in Diabetes
548 (LOADD) study: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ*, 2010. 341: c3337.
- 549 7: Gona, P.N., et al., Changes in body mass index, obesity, and overweight in Southern Africa
550 development countries, 1990 to 2019: Findings from the Global Burden of Disease, Injuries, and Risk
551 Factors Study. *Obesity Science and Practice*, 2021. 2021: 01-16.
- 552 8: World Bank. World Bank Country and Lending Groups.
553 [https://datahelpdesk.worldbank.org/knowledgebase/articles/906519-world-bank-country-and-](https://datahelpdesk.worldbank.org/knowledgebase/articles/906519-world-bank-country-and-lending-groups)
554 [lending-groups](https://datahelpdesk.worldbank.org/knowledgebase/articles/906519-world-bank-country-and-lending-groups), accessed 24/04/2022.
- 555 9: World Inequality Lab. World Inequality Report 2022.
556 https://wir2022.wid.world/wwsite/uploads/2021/12/WorldInequalityReport2022_Full_Report.pdf
557 accessed 24/04/2022.
- 558 10: Steyn, N.P., et al., Factors which influence the consumption of street foods and fast foods in
559 South Africa-a national survey. *Nutrition Journal*, 2011. 10: 104.
- 560 11: Shisana, O., et al., South African Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (SANHANES-1). *HSRC*
561 *Press*, 2013.
- 562 12: Oni, T., et al., Patterns of HIV, TB and non-communicable disease multi-morbidity in peri-urban
563 South Africa – a cross sectional study. *BMC Infectious Diseases*, 2015. 15: 20.
- 564 13: Eckert, S., Kohler, S., Urbanisation and health in developing countries: a systematic review. *World*
565 *Health and Population*, 2014. 15(1): 07-20.
- 566 14: Misselhorn, A., et al., A systematic review of sub-national food insecurity research in South
567 Africa: Missed opportunities for policy insights. *PLoS One*, 2017. 12(8): e0182399.
- 568 15: The Demographic and Health Surveys Program. South Africa DHS, 2016 – Final Report (English).
569 <https://www.dhsprogram.com/publications/publication-FR337-DHS-Final-Reports.cfm> accessed
570 25/05/2022.
- 571 16: WHO. Classification of Diabetes Mellitus 2019.
572 <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/325182/9789241515702-eng.pdf> accessed
573 15/06/2023
- 574 17: Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations. Food-based dietary guidelines, South
575 Africa. Available from [https://www.fao.org/nutrition/education/food-dietary-](https://www.fao.org/nutrition/education/food-dietary-guidelines/regions/countries/south-africa/en/#:~:text=Food-based%20dietary%20guidelines%20-%20South%20Africa.%201%20Enjoy,foods%20and%20drinks%20high%20in%20sugar%20sparingly.%20)
576 [guidelines/regions/countries/south-africa/en/#:~:text=Food-based%20dietary%20guidelines%20-](https://www.fao.org/nutrition/education/food-dietary-guidelines/regions/countries/south-africa/en/#:~:text=Food-based%20dietary%20guidelines%20-%20South%20Africa.%201%20Enjoy,foods%20and%20drinks%20high%20in%20sugar%20sparingly.%20)
577 [%20South%20Africa.%201%20Enjoy,foods%20and%20drinks%20high%20in%20sugar%20sparingly.%](https://www.fao.org/nutrition/education/food-dietary-guidelines/regions/countries/south-africa/en/#:~:text=Food-based%20dietary%20guidelines%20-%20South%20Africa.%201%20Enjoy,foods%20and%20drinks%20high%20in%20sugar%20sparingly.%20)
578 [20](https://www.fao.org/nutrition/education/food-dietary-guidelines/regions/countries/south-africa/en/#:~:text=Food-based%20dietary%20guidelines%20-%20South%20Africa.%201%20Enjoy,foods%20and%20drinks%20high%20in%20sugar%20sparingly.%20) accessed 21/03/2021.
- 579 18: He, F., et al., Increased consumption of fruit and vegetables is related to a reduced risk of
580 coronary heart disease: meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Journal of Human Hypertension*, 2007. 21:
581 717-728.

- 582 19: Azadbakht, L., et al., Effects of the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) Eating Plan
583 on Cardiovascular Risks Among Type 2 Diabetic Patients: A randomized crossover clinical trial.
584 *Diabetes Care*, 2011. 34(1): 55-57.
- 585 20: Pei, Q., et al., Sugar and artificially sweetened beverages and risk of obesity, type 2 diabetes
586 mellitus, hypertension, and all-cause mortality: a dose–response meta-analysis of prospective cohort
587 studies. *European Journal of Epidemiology*, 2020. 35: 655-671.
- 588 21: Nago, E., et al., Association of Out-of-Home Eating with Anthropometric Changes: A Systematic
589 Review of Prospective Studies. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 2014. 54 (9): 1103-
590 1116.
- 591 22: Levy, R., et al., Ultra-processed food consumption and type 2 diabetes incidence: A prospective
592 cohort study. *Clinical Nutrition*, 2021. 40(5): 3606-3614.
- 593 23: Sebire, S., et al., “I’ve made this my lifestyle now”: a prospective qualitative study of motivation
594 for lifestyle change among people with newly diagnosed type two diabetes mellitus. *BMC Public*
595 *Health*, 2018. 18: 204.
- 596 24: Mutyambizi, C., et al., The extent and determinants of diabetes and cardiovascular disease
597 comorbidity in South Africa – results from the South African National Health and Nutrition
598 Examination Survey (SANHANES-1). *BMC Public Health*, 2017. 17: 745.
- 599 25: Okop, K., et al., Low intake of commonly available fruits and vegetables in socio-economically
600 disadvantaged communities of South Africa: influence of affordability and sugary drinks intake. *BMC*
601 *Public Health*, 2019. 19: 940.
- 602 26: Mutyambizi, C., et al., Lifestyle and socio-economic inequalities in diabetes prevalence in South
603 Africa: A decomposition analysis. *PloS One*, 2019. 14(1): e0211208.
- 604 27: Grundlingh, N., et al., Assessment of prevalence and risk factors of diabetes and pre-diabetes
605 in South Africa. *Journal of Health, Population and Nutrition*, 2022. 41: 7.
- 606 28: Conway, J., et al., UpSetR: an R package for the visualization of intersecting sets and their
607 properties. *Bioinformatics*, 2017. 33 (18): 2938-2940.
- 608 29: Richard Williams, Gologit2: A Program for Generalized Logistic Regression/ Partial Proportional
609 Odds Models for Ordinal Dependent Variables.
610 <https://www.stata.com/meeting/4nasug/gologit2.pdf> accessed 09/12/2023.
- 611 30: Vilar-Compte, M., et al., The impact of the 2008 financial crisis on food security and food
612 expenditures in Mexico: a disproportionate effect on the vulnerable. *Public Health Nutrition*, 2015.
613 18 (16): 2934-2942
- 614 31: Ziraba, A., et al., Overweight and obesity in urban Africa: A problem of the rich or the poor? *BMC*
615 *Public Health*, 2009. 9: 465.
- 616 32: Williams, R., Gologit2: A Program for Generalized Logistic Regression/ Partial Proportional Odds
617 Models for Ordinal Dependent Variables. <https://www.stata.com/meeting/4nasug/gologit2.pdf>
618 accessed 28/08/2023.
- 619 33: Harris, B., et al., Inequities in access to health care in South Africa. *Journal of Public Health Policy*,
620 2011. 32 (1): S102-S123.

- 621 34: South African Non-Communicable Diseases Alliance. South Africa National NCD Strategic Plan.
622 <https://www.sanacda.org.za/wp-content/uploads/2020/05/17-May-2020-South-Africa-NCD->
623 STRATEGIC-PLAN_For-Circulation.pdf accessed 19/05/2022.
- 624 35: Miller, V., et al., Fruit, vegetable, and legume intake, and cardiovascular disease and deaths in 18
625 countries (PURE): a prospective cohort study. *Lancet*, 2017. 390: 2037-2049.
- 626 36: Ronquest-Ross, L., et al., Food consumption changes in South Africa since 1994. *South African*
627 *Journal of Science* 2015; 111: 01-12.
- 628 37: Stats SA. Consumer Price Index April 2022.
629 https://www.statssa.gov.za/publications/P0141/P0141April2022_Tables.pdf accessed 19/05/2022.
- 630 38: Statista. Unemployment rate in South Africa from Q1 2019 to Q4 2021, by population group.
631 [https://www.statista.com/statistics/1129481/unemployment-rate-by-population-group-in-south-](https://www.statista.com/statistics/1129481/unemployment-rate-by-population-group-in-south-africa/)
632 africa/ accessed 19/05/2022.
- 633 39: Hunter-Adams, J., et al., Food insecurity in relation to obesity in peri-urban Cape Town, South
634 Africa: Implications for diet-related non-communicable disease. *Appetite*, 2019. 137: 244-249.
- 635 40: Mutyambizi, C., et al., Inequalities and factors associated with adherence to diabetes self-care
636 practices amongst patients at two public hospitals in Gauteng, South Africa. *BMC Endocrine*
637 *Disorders*, 2020. 20: 15.
- 638 41: Jaacks, L.M., et al., The Obesity Transition: Stages of the global epidemic. *Lancet Diabetes*
639 *Endocrinology*, 2019. 7(3): 231-240.
- 640 42: Tomaz, S., et al., Self-Reported Physical Activity in Middle-Aged and Older Adults in Rural South
641 Africa: Levels and Correlates. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*,
642 2020. 17(17): 6325.
- 643 43: Janssen, H., et al., Determinants of takeaway and fast-food consumption: a narrative review.
644 *Nutrition Research Reviews*, 2018. 31: 16-34.
- 645 44: Nicol, J.U., et al., Population-level interventions targeting risk factors of diabetes and
646 hypertension in South Africa: a document review. *BMC Public Health*, 2021. 21: 2283.
- 647 45: Hunter-Adams, J., Perceptions of weight in relation to health, hunger, and belonging among
648 women in periurban South Africa. *Health Care for Women international*, 2019. 40(4): 347-364.
- 649 46: Booyson, B., Sclemmer, A., Reasons for diabetes patients attending Bishop Lavis Community
650 Health Centre being non-adherent to diabetes care. *South African Family practice*, 2015. 57(3): 166-
651 171.
- 652 47: Stacey, N., et al., Sugar-based beverage taxes and beverage prices: Evidence from South Africa's
653 Health Promotion Levy. *Social Science and Medicine*, 2019. 238: 112465
- 654 48: World Health Organization. Diagnosis and Management of Type 2 Diabetes.
655 file:///C:/Users/mattb/Downloads/WHO-UCN-NCD-20.1-eng%20(1).pdf accessed 20/05/2022.
- 656 49: Macaulay, S., et al., Gestational Diabetes Mellitus in Africa: A Systematic Review. *PloS One*, 2014.
657 9(6): e97871.

Figure 1.1:




Figure 1.2





Figure 1.3



Figure