

Instrumented dual-task tests for concussion assessment in Ice Hockey

Frederic Meyer^{1,*}, Nicolas Baehler², Dario Sciacca¹, Lea Chabrowski¹, Mathieu

Falbriard², Anisoara Ionescu¹

1. Signal Processing Laboratory 5, Swiss Federal School of Technology (EPFL),
1015 Lausanne, Switzerland
2. Bearmind SA, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

* Corresponding author: frederic.meyer@epfl.ch

Abstract

Background: Sport-related concussions are a public health concern and can lead to long-term health impairment. Nevertheless, assessing concussions in sports such as ice hockey can be challenging during games. Dual-task (DT) tests, quantifying interference between cognitive and motor performance, have been used to detect cognitive impairment after a concussion.

Methods: in this work, 114 Swiss elite ice hockey players performed DT tests at regular interval during the season and within the days following a diagnosed concussion. The DT test consisted of a static balance and a self-paced walking, both combined with counting backward by 3. In total, 265 DT tests were performed, including 38 tests between 1 day and 2 weeks after the 15 diagnosed concussions.

Results: Cognitive abilities during gait were mainly affected after a concussion. A DT performance metric was defined based on features significantly associated with worsening DT performance after the concussion ($p < 0.001$, effect size $d = 1.50$). Finally, an individual and a global model were proposed to estimate the risk of concussion based on a DT test outcome.

Conclusion: These tests provide objective insights to the medical staff, ensuring a secure and trusted decision-making process when deciding if the player should be removed from the game for recovery. Dual-task tests could also improve the return to play evaluation by detecting remaining cognitive deficiencies even in the absence of symptoms.

Keywords: mild traumatic brain injury, sport-related concussion, cognitive abilities, gait, balance, human motion analysis, smartphone, inertial measurement units (IMU)

1. Introduction

Mild Traumatic Brain Injuries (mTBI) often interchangeably called concussions, are one of the prevailing injury risks in contact sports such as American football, ice hockey and rugby¹⁻³. In ice hockey, the incidence of mTBI is estimated to be between 5.8 and 6.1 per 100 games⁴.

Sport-related concussions (SRC) are caused by a direct blow to the head, neck or body, resulting in a sudden linear or rotational acceleration of the head. Such an event causes an excitation of the neurotransmitters in the brain that induces a sudden metabolic demand and can lead to axonal injury, blood flow and brain inflammation⁵. The resulting symptoms vary among individuals and can appear instantaneously or up to a few hours after the incident. The type of symptoms depends on several factors such as the location and severity of the impact, as well as the age or sex of the patient⁵. Common symptoms include concentration problems (in 40-90% cases), headaches (in 70-80% cases), vertigo, dizziness and balance issues (in 37-81% cases), neck pain (in 20-50% cases), visual problems (in 20% cases), fatigue (in 20-50% cases) and autonomic dysfunctions (in 20-60% cases)⁶.

The majority of the assessment methods used today are based on a questionnaire-type diagnosing, which covers and evaluates different aspects of the condition. The Sport Concussion Assessment Tool 6 (SCAT6)⁷, Concussion Recognition Tool (CRT5)⁸, Standardized Assessment of Concussion (SAC)⁹, and Balance Error Scoring System (Modified BESS)¹⁰ are a few standard assessment tools. SCAT6 requires more than 15 minutes to be conducted and requires medically trained personnel to evaluate and score the questionnaire.

Once a diagnosis is made, the medical staff sets up a return-to-play procedure (RTP). The International Ice Hockey Federation (IIHF) published a dedicated protocol in six steps ¹¹. After a mandatory 24-hour to 48-hour rest period, each step should be performed with a minimum of 24 hours in between. If a step is not validated, it should be repeated the day after. The whole procedure takes, therefore, a minimum of one week, and the player is cleared for RTP when all the clinical symptoms have disappeared. Similar RTP protocols are applied in other sports, but recent work showed limitations to this process, as it has been observed that players who sustained a concussion were more prone to suffer a second musculoskeletal injury, suggesting that proper recovery is oftentimes not achieved with symptoms disappearance ¹². Recently, a literature review highlighting the absence of neuro-cognitive testing in the RTP protocols hypothesized that focusing only on clinical symptoms could lead to too early RTP clearing, as athletes could still suffer from neurocognitive impairment ¹³. Moreover, it was recently observed that athletes with lower neurocognitive functions had more anterior cruciate ligament injuries ¹². Testing neurocognitive functions before the RTP seems to be an essential step reducing the risk of future injuries on athletes.

Dual-task (DT) tests have been used to detect concussions in contact sports ¹⁴. The test consists of performing a cognitive task while concurrently performing a motor task. To complete both cognitive and motor functions simultaneously, attention needs to be shared, leading to increased attentional demands and inducing interference between the two tasks ^{15,16}. This interference, called cognitive-motor or DT interference, reduces performance in one or both tasks ^{18,19}. The specific choice of attentional resource allocation is called prioritization. This phenomenon emerges spontaneously when no explicit instruction is given to prioritize one task over the other. Healthy adults tend to naturally adopt a "motor first" approach when subjected to a DT gait situation ²⁰.

Cognitive tasks such as counting backwards, list of words recall, reaction time, auditory Stroop test and verbal fluency task are commonly used in DT assessment¹⁷. As motor tasks, dynamic balance during walking or keeping static postural balance is often used^{16,17}. Static balance is most commonly evaluated using force plates, but several studies have also used inertial measurement units (IMU)²¹ and even smartphone IMUs^{22,23}. While IMUs can be placed on different body parts, they are usually placed in the lower lumbar region of the participant, which is considered to track the subject's center of mass optimally²⁴. Gait spatio-temporal parameters can also be determined using IMUs to quantify gait quality. Walking speed and variability were affected up to ten days after a concussion before returning to normal²⁵.

Therefore, this study aims to validate a DT assessment procedure based on the use of smartphone-embedded sensors to record raw DT data and employ data processing algorithms to extract metrics for a direct and quick evaluation of ice hockey players.

2. Methods

2.1 Participants

In total, 114 male professional ice hockey players (age: 27.6, \pm 4.6 years, height: 182.5 \pm 6.1 cm, weight: 85.8 \pm 7.5 Kg) active in both the highest and the second-highest Swiss ice hockey leagues participated in the study. They were informed of the benefits and risks of this investigation before giving their written informed consent. Our Institutional Ethics Committee previously approved the experiment (CCER-VD 2023-00039) and complied with the Declaration of Helsinki.

2.2 Experimental setup

The protocol makes use of one cognitive and two motor tasks. The cognitive task consists of continuously subtracting 3 from a randomly generated number between 300

and 900. The first motor task consists of keeping balance in a bipedal stance, looking at a sticker on a wall at 1.6 m height and 2.5 m away. The second motor task consists of self-paced walking around two marks placed at a 10 m distance. This result in five different tests: (1) The single-task (ST) cognition test: 60 seconds (s) in a sitting position and counting backwards in steps of three. (2) The ST balance test: 60 s in bipedal stance. (3) The ST gait test: 60 s self-paced walking with 5 s still phase at the end. (4) The DT balance: standing up in bipedal stance and counting backwards in steps of three for 60 s. (5) The DT gait: self-paced walking for 60 s while counting backwards in steps of 3.

Regarding the cognitive task, serial subtractions by 3 is a practical cognitive task in the context of DT testing¹⁷. It belongs to a category of cognitive tasks often referred to as question and answer-type tasks, which are effective for DT testing^{26–28}. Moreover, it is convenient as it does not require any additional gear, and does not require inputs from the instructor during the 60 seconds. Picking different starting numbers is also very versatile, and the participants cannot develop disruptive strategies to improve their performance.

2.3 Equipment

Two smartphones (iPhone 8, Apple, USA), two wireless microphones (Callstel HZ-2789, Pearl, Switzerland) and a laptop (T14s, Lenovo, China) were used for this experiment. The first smartphone was placed in a waist bag and strapped to the participants' lower back, in the L5 region. The height of the smartphone's centre above ground was measured before starting the test. The Sensor Logger app (Kelvin Choi, Sensor Logger, App Store, USA) was used to record the smartphone's 3D accelerometer (100Hz) and 3D gyroscope (100Hz). The audio was also recorded using the wireless microphone placed on the participant's collar. To facilitate the data

collection process, we used a custom terminal application (Bearmind, Switzerland) to guide the instructor through the different stages of the test. The application aims to store all the necessary information about the trial, use timers to ensure the correct durations for each task and record the timestamps at the beginning and the end of each test. The system also recorded a backup audio file using the second wireless microphone in case of smartphone audio recording failure.

2.4 Data processing

2.4.1 Cognitive task:

The audio of the cognitive test phase and the two DT test phases was first extracted. The experimenter then transcribed each file to determine the number of correct answers (*correct number*), the rate of correct answers (*correct rate*), the mean and variability of the answers duration (*durations mean and std*), and the mean and variability of the pauses between answers (*pause mean and std*). The different phases of the test were also determined using the audio file.

2.4.2 Gait task:

A Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was performed on the acceleration data of the first two seconds of the walking period to determine the smartphone's orientation. The first component of the PCA indicates the vertical axis, as most of the acceleration is due to gravity. The second component is attributed to the antero-posterior (AP) axis, as the participant starts his motion in the AP direction. The third component is finally attributed to the medio-lateral (ML) axis, where lower accelerations are visible²⁹.

Once phases and sensor orientation were determined, walking turns were detected using a 10 degree-per-second threshold on the angular velocity norm. Different gait metrics were then computed on each of the straight walking bouts. *Cadence* was

calculated based on the detection of step initial contacts and provided for a granularity of a one-second window. A Hampel filter was applied to the step frequency to remove outliers²⁹. The *step length* was computed using the method proposed by Zijlstra *et al.*³⁰, using an inverted pendulum to model human gait:

$$\text{step length} = k \cdot 2 \sqrt{2lh - h^2} \quad \text{eq. 1}$$

Where k is a correction factor ($k = 1.25$), l is the pendulum length (i.e. the sensor height), and h is the vertical displacement of the center of mass obtained by double integration of the vertical acceleration component during step duration. The *step length* estimation is also computed at a granularity of one second windows. The gait *speed* is finally calculated as half the *step length* times the *cadence* per second.

2.4.3 Static Balance task:

A set of metrics were computed on both medio-lateral (*ML*) and antero-posterior (*AP*) acceleration components to assess the static balance performance. We choose these metrics for their relevance in characterizing body sway. They are indeed commonly used to assess postural sway in patients with neurological disorders²¹, making our research directly relevant to real-world scenarios (Table 1). The frequency below which 95% of the power spectra of the acceleration signal is present (*F95*) and the *spectral centroid* belong to the frequency domain features, whereas all the other metrics belong to the time domain features³¹.

The acceleration signals measured with the Smartphone were filtered with a 4th-order Butterworth lowpass filter with a cutoff frequency of 3.5 Hz to compute the linear metrics³¹. This lowpass filtering allowed the removal of tremors, if any. Before computing the *acceleration range* with the formula shown in Table 1, we removed the

outliers by only taking the values that were between 1% and 99% of the acceleration distribution.

In order to compute the *median value* and the *integrated squared jerk* with the formulas shown in Table 1, the acceleration signals were filtered with a 4th-order Butterworth high pass filter with a cutoff frequency of 0.5 Hz before integration to attenuate the drift effect³¹. The *spectral centroid* was computed based on a function from the Matlab Audio Analysis Library³². It provides a noise-robust estimate of how the dominant frequency of a signal changes over time.

2.4.4 Dual-task effect:

To quantify the cognitive-motor and the cognitive-balance interferences under DT conditions, the DT effect has usually been computed as the difference between the DT and the ST performance divided by the ST performance³³. In the current work, we also normalized the DT effect using the Bland-Altman method (eq. 2)³⁴. This process removes extreme values when the ST performance is close to zero.

$$DT\ effect = \frac{(Perf_{DT} - Perf_{ST})}{\frac{(Perf_{DT} + Perf_{ST})}{2}} * 100\% \quad eq. 2$$

Where *Perf_{DT}* is the performance under DT conditions, and *Perf_{ST}* is the performance under ST conditions. For most features, a smaller value indicates a better performance (e.g. the variability, the *RMS* and other metrics related to the balance). The corresponding effect is, therefore, positive when DT performance has higher values. For the features where a higher value represents better performance (i.e. *correct number*, *correct rate*, *speed* and *step length*), the sign was inverted to keep positive effects when the performance under DT condition is worse than in ST. Therefore, positive values of the DT effect always indicate better performance in ST than in DT³⁵.

2.5 Analysis

2.5.1 Single-task vs dual-task

The difference between the ST and the DT was assessed using the paired Wilcoxon signed rank tests applied to each feature, as normal distribution was not observed for each feature. A significant difference was set for $p < 0.05$.

2.5.2 Intra-subject variability

Players performed multiple DT tests during the season. In order to highlight a possible learning effect of the test, the variability between the first and the second test was compared if the tests were performed in the first month of the season. Tests conducted later in the season were not considered in the analysis to remove possible fatigue effects that can happen during the season. The pre-season baselines were compared with those performed at the end of the season (more than 18 weeks after the beginning) to highlight possible long-term fatigue effects. Paired Wilcoxon signed rank tests were applied on each feature effect with a significant difference set for $p < 0.05$. Cohen's effects size d were also calculated³⁶. Values from less than 0.2 indicate a very weak effect, from 0.2 to 0.5: weak, 0.5 to 0.8: moderate, 0.8 to 1.2: strong, 1.2 to 2: very strong and more than 2: extremely strong³⁷.

2.5.3 Intra-subject concussion analysis

For players that sustained a concussion assessed by the club medical doctor during the season, paired Wilcoxon signed rank tests were applied on each feature effect to compare their baseline test with those performed right after the concussion. Moreover, the athlete's baseline was also compared to a DT test performed five to seven days after the concussion to see if the players were still affected. A significant difference was set for $p < 0.05$. Cohen's effects size d was also calculated. Features with statistically significant differences were added and averaged to determine the total DT effect. This

total DT effect was then subtracted to 100 to calculate the DT performance index (in %). A performance lower than 100% indicates an overall DT cost. The normal distribution of the baselines and the concussion groups was assessed to build a specific concussion risk model. If normal distribution of both groups is observed, the probability density function (*PDF*) of the standard normal distribution of the DT performance index will be used (eq.3)³⁸. Otherwise, the empirical cumulative distribution function will be used to build the concussion risk model.

$$\text{Concussion risk} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{\text{PDF}_{\text{conc}} - \text{PDF}_{\text{base}}}{\text{PDF}_{\text{conc}} + \text{PDF}_{\text{base}}} + 1 \right) \quad \text{eq. 3}$$

2.5.4 Inter-subject concussion analysis

In actual-life situations, some athletes will sustain a concussion during the season but will not have performed a baseline before the season starts. It is, therefore, interesting to compare the group that performed a DT test right after sustaining a concussion with the group of players that performed a baseline pre-season but did not sustain a concussion. Therefore, the total DT effect of the two groups was compared with a Wilcoxon signed rank test and a significant difference set for $p < 0.05$. Cohen's effect size d was also calculated. A global model to estimate concussion risk was also built with the distribution of the pre-season baselines (without the baselines corresponding to athletes that sustained a concussion later in the season), as described in eq.3.

Finally, the concussion group was compared to the group of athletes who did not sustain a concussion during the season and made a baseline at the end of the season. Paired Wilcoxon signed rank tests were applied on each feature effect to compare the baseline test with the test performed right after the concussion. A significant difference was set for $p < 0.05$. Cohen's effects size d were also calculated.

3. Results

In total, 265 DT tests were performed, including 38 tests between 1 day and 2 weeks after the 15 diagnosed concussions.

3.1 Single-task vs dual-task

For the gait features, significant differences between ST and DT performance were observed for the *cadence mean*, *step length mean*, *turning time mean* and *speed mean*, estimated over the walking path (all $p < 0.05$). The features concerning the variability of the different gait parameters were not significant (all $p > 0.2$). For the cognitive features, only the *correct rate* showed a significant difference between ST and DT during gait ($p = 0.014$) (Figure 1).

For the balance features, significant differences between ST and DT performance were found for all the features ($p < 0.05$) except the estimated *root mean square* in the AP direction ($p = 0.11$) and the *spectral centroid* in the AP direction ($p = 0.76$). The cognitive features were not significantly different between ST and DT for balance tests (all $p > 0.06$), except for the *durations std* ($p = 0.004$) (Figure 2).

3.2 Intra-subject variability

Twenty-three players performed two baseline tests in the first month of the season. The paired Wilcoxon signed rank tests showed no significant DT effect differences for the gait and the balance, except for the *step length std* ($p = 0.030$, $d = 0.37$).

Twenty-eight players who did not sustain a concussion performed a baseline before the start of the season and a baseline at the end of the season (i.e. more than 18 weeks after the beginning of the season). The paired Wilcoxon signed rank tests showed a significantly increased DT effect for *correct number* during balance ($p = 0.025$, $d = 0.42$) and gait ($p < 0.001$, $d = 0.38$), the *pauses std* during gait ($p = 0.022$, $d = 0.45$), the

durations std during gait ($p= 0.021$, $d = 0.47$), and the *correct rate* during gait ($p = 0.002$, $d = 0.19$).

3.3 Intra-subject concussion analysis

Thirteen players who made a baseline before the start of the season sustained a concussion during the season. The paired Wilcoxon signed rank tests showed no significant DT effect differences during balance (Table 2). For the DT effect differences during gait, the effect of *speed std* ($p = 0.036$, $d = 0.62$), the effect of *correct answer* ($p = 0.021$, $d = 0.75$), the effect of *pauses mean* ($p = 0.031$, $d = 0.69$), and the effect of *durations std* ($p = 0.040$, $d = 0.71$) showed significant differences (Figure 3). The calculated total DT effect showed a significant increase after the concussions compared to their respective baselines ($p < 0.001$, $d = 1.5$). Nine of the 13 players performed a DT test between five and seven days after the concussion. Their total DT effect was still significantly higher than their baseline ($p = 0.038$, $d = 0.65$). Figure 4A indicates the probability of sustaining a concussion based on the DT test outcome for a player that performed a pre-season baseline.

3.4 Inter-subject concussion analysis

Sixty-three players made a baseline test before the start of the season but did not get a concussion. This group was compared with the group of 15 players who sustained a concussion during the season. The paired Wilcoxon signed rank tests significantly increased the total DT effect ($p = 0.001$, $d = 1.4$). Figure 4B indicates the probability of sustaining a concussion based on the DT test outcome for players who did not perform a baseline.

4. Discussion

The objective of this study was to assess the capacity of a DT test to identify hockey players who sustained a concussion. First, most of the features showed a higher effect for DT than for ST (figure 1 and 2), supporting results of previous studies highlighting the need for shared brain attention when performing two different tasks^{15,16}. The DT affected the gait and the balance features, but not the cognitive features. This can be explained through a cognition-first strategy adopted intuitively by the players during the baselines, as the experimenter provided no specific indications concerning prioritization. A similar observation was highlighted by Yogev-Seligmann et al.³⁹.

For the 23 players that performed two baseline assessments before the season, only the *step length std* showed a significant difference between the two tests but with a weak effect size. It indicates that there is no learning effect of the procedure by the hockey players. A similar outcome was highlighted for a healthy control group when analyzing the reliability of spatio-temporal parameters in people with multiple sclerosis⁴⁰. In our study, no correction for multiple variables testing was applied to the *p*-values to provide a more conservative outcome. In this context, obtaining only one feature with a *p*-value lower than 0.05 indicates a good test-retest consistency.

The comparison of the 28 un-concussed players that performed a DT test before the start of the season and at the end of the season indicates that the effects of five features (i.e. the *correct number* during balance and during gait, the *correct rate*, the *pauses std* and *durations std* during gait) increased at the end of the season, with a very weak or weak effect size. This outcome may indicate slight mental fatigue of the athletes at the end of the season, as observed for cognitive performance under DT conditions after an acute fatigue protocol⁴¹.

The tests performed right after a concussion compared to their corresponding baseline highlighted significant increases in the DT effects with moderate effect size for the gait

speed std, the *correct number*, the *pause mean* and the *durations std* during gait. It resulted in a significant increase in total DT effect for the concussion group, with a very strong effect size. These results provide clear evidence that the DT test applied in this study can identify the acute effect of concussion on DT performance compared to its own baseline. The effect was still observed one week after the concussion. Similar results were observed after 8,5 days in a study where participants had to talk during walking⁴². In our study, the cognitive features were the most affected by the concussion during gait, showing that after a concussion, hockey players are not able to keep their cognitive level while walking. This outcome also aligns with the gait-first strategy observed in healthy adults²⁰. Moreover, the gait *speed std* was also affected, meaning that both tasks (gait and cognitive) were saturated when performing the DT with a concussion. The fact that no effect differences were observed during the static balance task could indicate that this part needed to be more challenging to observe changes with elite players. For example, it was shown that older adults have lower dual-task performance than younger adults¹⁶. The difficulty of the static balance could be further enhanced by performing a tandem stance or with closed eyes to see if increasing the difficulty of the motor task affects the outcome of the DT after a concussion. A recent review highlighted that the complexity of the cognitive task was not affecting healthy individuals, but differences were found depending on the age of the participants and the kind of postural task⁴³. Moreover, other studies found significant DT effects for balance and cognitive tasks with concussed patients^{14,44}.

The model built using the DT performance to estimate concussion risk based on the normal distribution of the baseline and the concussion groups provides a practical tool for in-field use. Indeed, the outcome of a DT test performed by an athlete can be directly interpreted using the paired model (i.e., if the player has a baseline) or the global model. As observed in Figure 4A, a decrease of 5% in the DT performance of a

test compared to its baseline gives a detection rate of 70% of the concussions. When the DT performance decrease by 10%, the detection rate reaches 95. If the athlete don't have a baseline to compare with, a 5% decrease in the DT performance gives a detection rate of to 65%. Therefore, the paired model (i.e. when the athlete has a baseline) is more precise and should be promoted for regular use. The model also indicates the risk of missing a concussion, as the normal distribution of the baselines and the concussion intersect. With the paired model, there is a risk of 30% missing a concussion if the DT performance is identical between the two tests. Increasing the number of DT tests included in the model with new data could progressively improve the robustness of the model, as the normal distribution of the two groups will be more precise.

Improving the global model would also be very useful for in-field application, as performing baselines regularly with athletes is sometimes challenging. Moreover, working with professional athletes can be difficult, as they have tight schedules, and everything has to serve a quantifiable purpose. Conducting these DT tests in a non-clinical environment could have increased variability in results, underscoring the need for both rigor and adaptability in test administration. However, this study demonstrates feasibility and validity of the test, which is very important, as in-field analysis is essential for coaches to better understand real-world dynamics ⁴⁵. We also emphasize the practicality and usefulness of the test by providing a performance index for the DT centred on 100% that is easily understandable by practitioners, as this is key for proper use in the field ⁴⁶.

Finally, higher sensitivity may be reached by looking at the concussion history of the hockey players, as this can affect DT performance ⁴⁷⁻⁴⁹. Long-term effects of a concussion are also essential to consider and monitor, as too early return to play can lead to disastrous consequences for the athlete ^{50,51}. As observed in the current study,

the DT test proposed in this study could help better understand these long-term effects for even longer than one week. It has been shown that DT tests can detect remaining cognitive, balance and gait disorders for several weeks after a concussion, whereas ST tests only allow for the detection of acute effects^{48,52,53}. Further investigations should implement DT follow-up tests for up to one month after the concussion.

5. Conclusion:

Dual-task tests are effective in detecting and monitoring concussions in elite ice hockey players. When performing a DT test right after a concussion, athletes showed reduced cognitive performance while walking and this impairment remained noticeable even one week later. Finally, individual and global models can estimate concussion risk based on DT test outcomes, enabling efficient on-field screening of athletes.

Acknowledgement:

The authors want to thank all the players that participated in this study.

This project was funded by the Innosuisse (grant number 100.098 IP-ICT).

Authors' contributions:

FM designed the study, processed the data, performed the statistical analysis and drafted the manuscript; NB carried out the tests and processed the data; DS carried out the tests and helped to draft the manuscript; LC participated in the data processing and analysis; MF conceived and coordinated the study and helped draft the manuscript. AI conceived the study, and participated in its design and helped to draft the manuscript.

All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript, and agree with the order of presentation of the authors.

Competing interests:

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References:

1. Covassin T, Swanik CB, Sachs ML. Epidemiological Considerations of Concussions Among Intercollegiate Athletes. *Applied Neuropsychology*. 2003;10(1):12-22. doi:10.1207/S15324826AN1001_3
2. Cross M, Kemp S, Smith A, Trewartha G, Stokes K. Professional Rugby Union players have a 60% greater risk of time loss injury after concussion: A 2-season prospective study of clinical outcomes. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2016;50(15):926-931. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2015-094982
3. Ruff J, Taeymans J, Blasimann A, Rogan S. Analysis of Injuries in the Swiss U20 Elite Ice Hockey Season 2019/2020—A Retrospective Survey. *Sports*. 2024;12(4):88. doi:10.3390/sports12040088
4. Andrews E, Jildeh TR, Abbas MJ, Lindsay-Rivera K, Berguson J, Okoroha KR. Concussions in the National Hockey League: Analysis of Incidence, Return to Play, and Performance. *Orthopaedic Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2022;10(1):1-6. doi:10.1177/23259671211052069
5. Patricios JS, Schneider KJ, Dvorak J, et al. Consensus statement on concussion in sport: the 6th International Conference on Concussion in Sport—Amsterdam, October 2022. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2023;57(11):695 LP - 711. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2023-106898
6. Feddermann-Demont N, Palla A, Ettlin T, et al. Diagnosis and therapy of sport-related concussion. *Swiss Med Forum*. 2020;20(3134)(2):449-454. doi:10.4414/smf.2020.08563
7. Echemendia RJ, Brett BL, Broglio S, et al. Sport concussion assessment tool™–6 (SCAT6). *British journal of sports medicine*. 2023;57(11):622-631.
8. Echemendia RJ, Meeuwisse W, McCrory P, et al. The Concussion Recognition Tool 5th Edition (CRT5): Background and rationale. *Br J Sports Med*. 2017;51(11):870-871.
9. McCrea M, Kelly JP, Randolph C, et al. Standardized Assessment of Concussion (SAC): On-Site Mental Status Evaluation of the Athlete. *The Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation*. 1998;13(2):27-35.
10. Azad AM, Juma SA, Bhatti JA, Delaney JS. Modified Balance Error Scoring System (M-BESS) test scores in athletes wearing protective equipment and cleats. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med*. 2016;2(1):e000117.
11. IIHF - Medical. IIHF International Ice Hockey Federation. Accessed October 2, 2024. <https://www.iihf.com/en/static/5070/medical>
12. McPherson AL, Nagai T, Webster KE, Hewett TE. Musculoskeletal Injury Risk After Sport-Related Concussion: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Am J Sports Med*. 2019;47(7):1754-1762. doi:10.1177/0363546518785901
13. Wellm D, Zentgraf K. Diagnostic tools for return-to-play decisions in sports-related concussion. *Journal of Concussion*. 2023;7:20597002231183234. doi:10.1177/20597002231183234

14. Kleiner M, Wong L, Dubé A, Wnuk K, Hunter SW, Graham LJ. Dual-Task Assessment Protocols in Concussion Assessment: A Systematic Literature Review. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*. 2018;48(2):87-103. doi:10.2519/jospt.2018.7432
15. Huxhold O, Li SC, Schmiedek F, Lindenberger U. Dual-tasking postural control: Aging and the effects of cognitive demand in conjunction with focus of attention. *Brain Research Bulletin*. 2006;69(3):294-305. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainresbull.2006.01.002>
16. Ruffieux J, Keller M, Lauber B, Taube W. Changes in standing and walking performance under dual-task conditions across the lifespan. *Sports Medicine*. 2015;45:1739-1758.
17. Brustio PR, Magistro D, Zecca M, Rabaglietti E, Liubicich ME. Age-related decrements in dual-task performance: Comparison of different mobility and cognitive tasks. A cross sectional study. *PloS one*. 2017;12(7):e0181698.
18. Goh HT, Pearce M, Vas A. Task matters: an investigation on the effect of different secondary tasks on dual-task gait in older adults. *BMC geriatrics*. 2021;21:1-12.
19. Lin YP, Lin II, Chiou WD, et al. The Executive-Function-Related Cognitive-Motor Dual Task Walking Performance and Task Prioritizing Effect on People with Parkinson's Disease. *Healthcare*. 2023;11(4). doi:10.3390/healthcare11040567
20. Al-Yahya E, Dawes H, Smith L, Dennis A, Howells K, Cockburn J. Cognitive motor interference while walking: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*. 2011;35(3):715-728.
21. Ghislieri M, Gastaldi L, Pastorelli S, Tadano S, Agostini V. Wearable inertial sensors to assess standing balance: a systematic review. *Sensors (Switzerland)*. 2019;19(19):1-25. doi:10.3390/s19194075
22. Hou YR, Chiu YL, Chiang SL, Chen HY, Sung WH. Development of a Smartphone-Based Balance Assessment System for Subjects with Stroke. *Sensors*. 2020;20(1):88. doi:10.3390/s20010088
23. Ozinga SJ, Linder SM, Alberts JL. Use of Mobile Device Accelerometry to Enhance Evaluation of Postural Instability in Parkinson Disease. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*. 2017;98(4):649-658. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2016.08.479
24. Zampogna A, Mileti I, Palermo E, et al. Fifteen years of wireless sensors for balance assessment in neurological disorders. *Sensors (Switzerland)*. 2020;20(11):1-32. doi:10.3390/s20113247
25. Fino PC, Parrington L, Pitt W, et al. Detecting gait abnormalities after concussion or mild traumatic brain injury: A systematic review of single-task, dual-task, and complex gait. *Gait and Posture*. 2018;62(September 2017):157-166. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2018.03.021
26. Catena RD, Donkelaar P van, Chou LS. Altered balance control following concussion is better detected with an attention test during gait. *Gait & Posture*. 2007;25(3):406-411. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2006.05.006>
27. Catena RD, Van Donkelaar P, Chou LS. Cognitive task effects on gait stability following concussion. *Experimental brain research*. 2007;176:23-31.
28. Parker TM, Osternig LR, Van Donkelaar P, Chou L. Gait stability following concussion. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise*. 2006;38(6):1032.
29. Falbriard M, Meyer F, Mariani B, Millet GP, Aminian K. Drift-Free Foot Orientation Estimation in Running Using Wearable IMU. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol*. 2020;8. doi:10.3389/fbioe.2020.00065
30. Hampel FR. The Influence Curve and its Role in Robust Estimation. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*. Published online June 1, 1974. Accessed July 11, 2024. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/01621459.1974.10482962>

31. Zijlstra W, Hof AL. Assessment of spatio-temporal gait parameters from trunk accelerations during human walking. *Gait & Posture*. 2003;18(2):1-10. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0966-6362\(02\)00190-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0966-6362(02)00190-X)
32. Mellone S, Palmerini L, Cappello A, Chiari L. Hilbert–Huang-based tremor removal to assess postural properties from accelerometers. *IEEE transactions on biomedical engineering*. 2011;58(6):1752-1761.
33. Peeters G. A large set of audio features for sound description (similarity and classification) in the CUIDADO project. *CUIDADO 1st Project Report*. 2004;54(0):1-25.
34. Mclsaac TL, Lamberg EM, Muratori LM. Building a Framework for a Dual Task Taxonomy. *BioMed Research International*. 2015;2015(1):591475. doi:10.1155/2015/591475
35. Martin Bland J, Altman DouglasG. STATISTICAL METHODS FOR ASSESSING AGREEMENT BETWEEN TWO METHODS OF CLINICAL MEASUREMENT. *The Lancet*. 1986;327(8476):307-310. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(86)90837-8
36. Fallahtafti F, Boron JB, Venema DM, Kim HJ, Yentes JM. Task specificity impacts dual-task interference in older adults. *Aging clinical and experimental research*. 2021;33:581-587.
37. Cohen J. The effect size. *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*. Published online 1988:77-83.
38. Sawilowsky S. New Effect Size Rules of Thumb. *Theoretical and Behavioral Foundations of Education Faculty Publications*. Published online November 1, 2009. https://digitalcommons.wayne.edu/coe_tbf/4
39. Parzen E. On Estimation of a Probability Density Function and Mode. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*. 1962;33(3):1065-1076.
40. Yogev-Seligmann G, Rotem-Galili Y, Mirelman A, Dickstein R, Giladi N, Hausdorff JM. How does explicit prioritization alter walking during dual-task performance? Effects of age and sex on gait speed and variability. *Phys Ther*. 2010;90(2):177-186.
41. Monticone M, Ambrosini E, Fiorentini R, et al. Reliability of spatial-temporal gait parameters during dual-task interference in people with multiple sclerosis. A cross-sectional study. *Gait Posture*. 2014;40(4):715-718. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2014.06.015
42. Wolkorte R, Heersema DJ, Zijdewind I. Reduced Dual-Task Performance in MS Patients Is Further Decreased by Muscle Fatigue. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair*. 2015;29(5):424-435. doi:10.1177/1545968314552529
43. Yang S, Johnson PK, Hansen CR, et al. Talking while Walking After Concussion: Acute effects of concussion on speech pauses and gait speed. Published online August 7, 2024:2024.08.06.24311478. doi:10.1101/2024.08.06.24311478
44. D K, Tijjani JSSA, Hill. Effect of cognitive task complexity on dual task postural stability: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Experimental Brain Research*. 2022;240(3):703-731. doi:10.1007/s00221-021-06299-y
45. Dorman JC, Valentine VD, Munce TA, Tjarks BJ, Thompson PA, Bergeron MF. Tracking postural stability of young concussion patients using dual-task interference. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*. 2015;18(1):2-7. doi:10.1016/j.jsams.2013.11.010
46. Meyer F, Sandbakk Ø, Millet GP. Editorial: Sport performance analysis: from the laboratory to the field. *Front Sports Act Living*. 2024;6. doi:10.3389/fspor.2024.1372080
47. Bartlett JD, Drust B. A framework for effective knowledge translation and performance delivery of Sport Scientists in professional sport. *European Journal of Sport Science*. 2021;21(11):1579-1587. doi:10.1080/17461391.2020.1842511
48. Howell DR, Beasley M, Vopat L, Meehan WP. The Effect of Prior Concussion History on Dual-Task Gait following a Concussion. *Journal of Neurotrauma*. 2017;34(4):838-844. doi:10.1089/neu.2016.4609

49. Martini DN, Sabin MJ, DePesa SA, et al. The Chronic Effects of Concussion on Gait. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*. 2011;92(4):585-589. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2010.11.029
50. Martini DN, Goulet GC, Gates DH, Broglio SP. Long-term effects of adolescent concussion history on gait, across age. *Gait & Posture*. 2016;49:264-270. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2016.06.028
51. Carson JD, Lawrence DW, Kraft SA, et al. Premature return to play and return to learn after a sport-related concussion: Physician's chart review. *Canadian Family Physician*. 2014;60(6):e310-e315.
52. McLendon LA, Kralik SF, Grayson PA, Golomb MR. The Controversial Second Impact Syndrome: A Review of the Literature. *Pediatric Neurology*. 2016;62:9-17. doi:10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2016.03.009
53. Fait P, Swaine B, Cantin JF, Leblond J, McFadyen BJ. Altered integrated locomotor and cognitive function in elite athletes 30 days postconcussion: a preliminary study. *J Head Trauma Rehabil*. 2013;28(4):293-301. doi:10.1097/HTR.0b013e3182407ace
54. Halterman CI, Langan J, Drew A, et al. Tracking the recovery of visuospatial attention deficits in mild traumatic brain injury. *Brain*. 2006;129(Pt 3):747-753. doi:10.1093/brain/awh705
55. Prieto TE, Myklebust JB, Hoffmann RG, Lovett EG, Myklebust BM. Measures of postural steadiness: differences between healthy young and elderly adults. *IEEE Transactions on biomedical engineering*. 1996;43(9):956-966.
56. Mancini M, Horak FB, Zampieri C, Carlson-Kuhta P, Nutt JG, Chiari L. Trunk accelerometry reveals postural instability in untreated Parkinson's disease. *Parkinsonism & related disorders*. 2011;17(7):557-562.
57. Paillard T, Pau M, Noé F, González LM. Rehabilitation and improvement of the postural function. *BioMed Research International*. 2015;2015.

Table 1: Body sway metrics computed from the acceleration signals recorded with the Smartphone IMU.

Parameter	Formula	Meaning
Root Mean Square [mm/s ²]	$\sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N a(t)^2}$	Quantifies the magnitude of the acceleration signal ^{54,55}
Range of acceleration [mm/s ²]	$ \max(a(t)) - \min(a(t)) $	Quantifies the magnitude of the acceleration signal ^{31,54}
Median Value [mm/s]	$\text{median}(\int_T a(t) dt)$	Quantifies the efficacy of postural control ⁵⁶
Integrated squared Jerk [m ² /s ⁵]	$\frac{1}{2} \left(\int_T \left(\frac{da(t)}{dt} \right)^2 dt \right)$	Indicator of the smoothness of the postural sway ⁵⁵
F95 [Hz]	$\sum_n^u G[n] \geq 0.95\mu_0$	Frequency below which 95% of power of the power spectra of the acceleration signal is present ⁵⁵
Spectral Centroid [Hz]	$\sqrt{\frac{\mu_2}{\mu_0}}$	Frequency at which the spectral mass is concentrated ²¹

$a(t)$ is the acceleration signal in the medio-lateral or antero-posterior direction, $G[n]$ is the discrete power spectral density of the acceleration signal, μ_0 and μ_2 are the power (area under the power spectral density) and the second spectral moments respectively.

Table 2: Comparison of the dual task effect for the 13 hockey players who sustained a concussion during the season and their pre-season baseline.

Dual task effect (%)	Baseline	Concussion	<i>p</i>
	mean ± sd	mean ± sd	
Balance features			
root mean square ML	8,50 ± 27,82	17,08 ± 21,02	0,505
integrated squared jerk ML	30,94 ± 32,52	36,10 ± 26,47	0,608
range of acceleration ML	11,72 ± 26,33	19,58 ± 19,61	0,412
median value ML	18,17 ± 21,67	19,18 ± 19,54	0,644
F95 ML	3,04 ± 21,65	6,90 ± 17,35	0,608
spectral centroid ML	-2,90 ± 36,56	14,83 ± 30,19	0,238
root mean square AP	2,68 ± 18,54	6,51 ± 30,10	0,837
integrated squared jerk AP	48,53 ± 18,24	48,28 ± 19,39	1,000
range of acceleration AP	4,54 ± 15,37	8,63 ± 28,17	0,682
median value AP	14,20 ± 16,87	13,27 ± 13,81	0,608
F95 AP	29,40 ± 37,05	23,50 ± 37,81	0,626
spectral centroid AP	10,64 ± 39,02	25,46 ± 37,83	0,330
balance correct number	3,72 ± 7,76	0,23 ± 4,60	0,069
balance correct rate	1,41 ± 3,66	1,07 ± 2,04	0,749
balance pauses mean	-5,79 ± 16,75	6,70 ± 15,81	0,081
balance pauses std	0,94 ± 23,66	4,61 ± 24,10	0,538
balance durations mean	-2,16 ± 6,21	-0,60 ± 8,00	0,758
balance durations std	-6,52 ± 19,78	-1,55 ± 13,17	0,473
Gait features			
cadence mean	-1,53 ± 1,80	-1,54 ± 2,10	1,000
cadence std	6,93 ± 32,78	9,96 ± 20,67	0,356
step length mean	-1,27 ± 2,06	-1,47 ± 2,57	0,758
step length std	-1,79 ± 27,94	19,26 ± 27,75	0,112
speed mean	-2,81 ± 3,57	-2,97 ± 4,19	0,798
speed std	-3,61 ± 24,17	17,56 ± 23,50	0,036
turning time mean	2,37 ± 1,93	2,39 ± 2,01	0,959
turning time std	-9,98 ± 23,52	-2,36 ± 14,27	0,473
gait correct number	-0,75 ± 5,00	4,69 ± 6,16	0,021
gait correct rate	1,11 ± 3,66	-0,41 ± 4,24	0,300
gait pauses mean	-4,00 ± 14,27	13,94 ± 22,56	0,031
gait pauses std	-0,59 ± 19,88	16,96 ± 28,11	0,112
gait durations mean	2,23 ± 6,87	2,64 ± 7,64	0,837
gait durations std	-5,05 ± 13,14	7,08 ± 12,80	0,040
total dual task effect	-0,75 ± 5,00	4,69 ± 6,16	< 0,001

DCom is the displacement of the center of mass ML is medio-lateral direction and AP is antero-posterior direction. Grey areas indicates features that are significantly different between Baseline and Concussion tests ($p < 0.05$).




Figure 1 : Single-task (ST) and dual-task (DT) features distribution for the gait test. Grey areas indicates features that are significantly different between ST and DT ($p < 0.05$).




Figure 2 : Single-task (ST) and dual-task (DT) features distribution for the balance test. Balance features are calculated for medio-lateral (ML) and antero-posterior (AP) directions. Grey areas indicates features that are significantly different between ST and DT ($p < 0.05$).




Figure 3: Dual-task effects for the features significantly differed between the baselines performed before the start of the season and their corresponding concussion sustained during the season. The Dual-task performance is 100 minus the mean of the four significant feature effects.




Figure 4: Probability of sustaining a concussion based on the calculated total Dual Task effect.

A. A 5% decrease in the Dual Task test performance compared to the athlete pre-season baseline give a probability of 70% to have a concussion. A 10% decrease in the Dual Task performance effect give a concussion risk of more than 95%. **B.** A 5% decrease in the Dual Task performance compared to the overall baselines give a probability of 65% to have a concussion. A 10% decrease in the Dual Task performance give a concussion risk of 80%.