Full Title:	Assessing how women access healthcare to inform cervical cancer and HI	ſV
	screening in rural Uganda.	

Authors: Mia Sheehan^{1,2}, Hallie Dau^{2,3}, Maryam AboMoslim^{2,3}, Priscilla Naguti⁴, Nelly Mwandacha^{1,2}, Amy Booth², Candice Ruck^{2,3}, Laurie Smith^{2,5}, Jackson Orem⁴, Gina Ogilvie^{2,3,6}, Carolyn Nakisige⁴

Affiliations: ¹Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, Canada;
 ²Women's Health Research Institute, Vancouver, Canada;
 ³School of Population and Public Health, UBC, Vancouver, Canada;
 ⁴Uganda Cancer Institute, Kampala, Uganda;
 ⁵BC Cancer, Vancouver, Canada;
 ⁶BC Centre for Disease Control, Vancouver, Canada.

Gina Ogilvie, MD MSc FCFP DrPH
BC Women's Hospital and Health Centre
Box 42, Room H203G - 4500 Oak Street
Vancouver, BC, V6H 3N1
(604) 875-2424 Ext 6488
Gina.Ogilvie@bccdc.ca

1	Author contributions: MS (formal analysis, writing-original draft, writing-review and editing);
2	HD (formal analysis, writing-original draft, writing-review and editing); MA (writing-review and
3	editing); PN (project administration, data collection, writing-review and editing); NM (formal
4	analysis, writing-review and editing); AB (writing-review and editing); CR (writing-review and
5	editing); LS (writing-review and editing); JO (writing-review and editing); GO (principal
6	investigator, conceptualization, funding acquisition, writing-review and editing); CN
7	(conceptualization, project administration, writing-review and editing); All authors have read and
8	approved the manuscript, and fulfil all four criteria for authorship.

9

11 ABSTRACT

12 Objective

This study aims to compare how HIV-positive and HIV-negative women in a remote sub-country in
Uganda access health services to inform consideration of potential HIV and HPV-based cervical
cancer screening integration at the community level.

16 Methods

17 This cross-sectional study recruited women living in the South Busoga District Reserve from January 18 to August 2023. Women were eligible if they were aged 30 to 49 years old, had no history of cervical 19 cancer screening or treatment, had no previous hysterectomy, and could provide informed consent. 20 Participants completed a survey administered by village health teams, which included questions on 21 HIV status, demographics, healthcare access, and services received. The data was analyzed using 22 bivariate descriptive statistics, including chi-square and Fisher's exact tests.

23 Results

Among the 1437 participants included in the analysis, 8.8% were HIV-positive. The majority of the respondents were between 30-39 years of age, were married, had received primary education or higher, and were farmers. The majority of women in both groups had accessed outreach visits (HIVpositive = 89.0%, HIV-negative = 85.8%) and health centres (HIV-positive = 96.1%, HIV-negative = 80.2%). The most commonly received services among both groups of women at outreach visits

29 and health centres were immunization and antenatal care, respectively.

30 Conclusion

31 Our study demonstrated that there were no significant differences in healthcare access between

- 32 HIV-positive and HIV-negative women in rural Uganda. Additionally, the high usage of healthcare
- 33 services by women living with HIV suggests that the integration of cervical cancer and HIV

- 34 screening may facilitate early detection and prevention of cervical cancer among this population.
- 35 This can reduce the burden of disease in Uganda and further contribute to the World Health
- **36** Organization's initiative to eradicate cervical cancer.
- 37
- **38 Keywords:** Cervical cancer, Uganda, LMIC, HIV, HPV-based screening

40 Introduction

Cervical cancer is the most common cancer among women in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). (1, 2). Despite cervical cancer being almost entirely preventable and treatable (2), LMICs face a significant burden of disease as prevention efforts such as vaccination and screening services are often limited (3, 4). Exacerbating the disproportionate burden of cervical cancer in LMICs, women are often diagnosed at advanced stages and are unable to receive effective treatment due to limitedservice accessibility and availability (5). Uganda has one of the highest incidence rates of cervical cancer in the world, with 56.2 reported cases per 100,000 women (3).

48 In 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) announced its global strategy to eliminate cervical 49 cancer (6), with a key target of 70% of women screened with high-performance screening tests by the 50 age of 35 (6). Although cervical cancer is one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality among 51 women in Uganda, less than 10% of women have been screened (5), highlighting the urgent need for 52 an accessible screening program across the country. Many women in LMICs also experience personal, 53 logistical, and healthcare system barriers, leading to a low rate of screening uptake (8). Self-collected 54 human papillomavirus (HPV)-based cervix screening has been proposed as an effective and cost-55 efficient alternative strategy to overcome existing barriers to cervical cancer screening and further 56 reduce cervical cancer rates in LMICs (9,10).

57 HPV-based cervical cancer screening allows for the identification of high-risk HPV, which is a primary 58 cause of cervical cancer (1). Self-collection offers a less invasive, low-barrier approach to cervical 59 screening that can be performed by women on their own, in the privacy of their homes or wherever 60 convenient (11). It has been shown to be as accurate as cervical samples collected by clinicians and 61 more sensitive than visual inspection with acetic acid (VIA), which is currently the most commonly 62 used method to screen women in LMICs (11-13). With these benefits, HPV-based screening may

allow for increased access and coverage of high-quality cervical cancer screening in LMICs, such asUganda (12).

65 Women living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) are six times more likely to develop invasive 66 cervical cancer compared to women without HIV infections, making cervical cancer prevention 67 among this population a key priority (1,14,15). Additionally, women living with HIV face many 68 barriers to accessing health services such as financial burdens (16), limited awareness (17) availability 69 of specialized care (16), and social stigma (16,18). Ensuring access to cervical cancer screening for 70 HIV-positive women may contribute to reducing HIV-attributable cervical cancer cases, and further 71 alleviate the heightened burden of disease in Uganda (19). To effectively facilitate cervical cancer 72 prevention amongst this population, the integration of HIV and HPV-based cervical cancer screening 73 may be beneficial. Sarah Maria et al. found that less than half of HIV-positive women in urban Uganda 74 had ever been screened for cervical cancer (20), a number that is likely to be lower in rural areas. 75 Integration could ultimately reduce barriers, specifically among women living in rural Uganda who 76 experience additional challenges such as long distances to health facilities and lack of health 77 information access (21). Additionally, literature from LMICs demonstrates that integration can 78 improve the utilization of both HIV care and cervical cancer screening services, alleviate stigma, and 79 enhance the overall quality of care received by patients (22).

The potential for successful and effective integration of HIV and HPV-based cervical cancer screening services in Uganda relies on multiple factors, including understanding how women with HIV currently access health services compared to women without HIV. However, there is limited research on this topic, particularly in rural areas. The objective of this study is to compare how HIV-positive and HIVnegative women in a remote sub-country in Uganda access health services to inform consideration of potential HIV and HPV-based cervical cancer screening integration at the community level.

86 Methods

87 Design, Setting, and Study Population

88 This cross-sectional study is part of a pragmatic cluster-randomized trial in Malongo, a rural and 89 remote sub-county within the Mayuge district of Uganda focused on HPV-based self-collection for 90 cervical cancer screening. The survey data used for this study is part of a baseline survey given to 91 participants at enrollment in the pragmatic trial to assess knowledge and attitudes about cervical cancer 92 and screening. Women were recruited door-to-door by village health teams (VHTs) between January 93 23 to August 24, 2023 from eleven villages in the South Busoga Forest Reserve in Malongo. Women 94 were eligible for the study if they were aged 30-49 years old, had not previously been screened for 95 cervical cancer, did not have a previous hysterectomy, and could provide informed consent. VHTs 96 administered the survey on REDCap software (23) using electronic tablets to ensure secure data 97 collection. All questions were read aloud in Lusoga or English, based on the language preference of 98 the participant.

99 Survey

The survey tool used in this study is informed by the Improving Data for Decision Making in Global
 Cervical Cancer Programs Toolkit-Part 2 (IDCCP) (24). The survey included questions on participant
 demographics, medical history, knowledge about cervical cancer, and perceived barriers to screening.

103 The explanatory variable used in the study was self-reported HIV status. Participants of the survey 104 were asked if they had ever tested positive for HIV and responded with either yes, no, or prefer not 105 to say. Women who answered yes (HIV-positive) or no (HIV-negative) were included in the study.

106 Several variables were used to assess the outcome of access to health services. First, we asked women 107 whether they had attended either a healthcare outreach visit or visited a health centre. Healthcare 108 outreach visits included organized community health events or other instances where health services 109 were available at the community level. Health centres refer to established clinics or hospitals that 110 deliver healthcare services to patients. Response options for both variables were yes, no, and do not 111 know. If a woman indicated do not know, it was recoded as no. Women were asked what services 112 they received at the outreach visit and health centre visit, if applicable. Response options for both 113 variables were antenatal, HIV, family planning, immunization, and other. Additionally, if applicable, 114 women were asked about how they were recruited to a health outreach visit. Response options 115 included: VHTs, radio, and other, which combined community leader, community member, 116 family/friend, and other. Women were also asked, if applicable, how they traveled to health centers. 117 Response options included: walking, boda boda, and other.

Secondly, several variables related to cervical cancer screening were included to assess the potential integration of HIV care and cervical cancer screening. These variables included: prior awareness of cervical cancer, the barriers to cervical cancer screening (did not know how/where to get the test, embarrassment, too expensive, didn't have time, clinic too far away, poor service quality, afraid of the procedure, afraid of social stigma, cultural beliefs, family member would not allow, other, do not know), and perceived importance of early cervical cancer detection.

124 The following demographic variables were included in the analysis: survey language, age, education
125 level, occupation, relationship status, partner education level, age at first pregnancy, and number of
126 pregnancies.

127 *Data analysis*

128 Bivariate descriptive statistics were used to analyze the findings of the survey data collected in the

- 129 study. All missing values were included in the results. Chi-square and Fisher's exact test were used to
- 130 determine statistically significant variables (25). P-values ≤ 0.05 were considered statistically significant.
- 131 R Studio (R 4.3.0) was used to conduct the analysis (26).

132 *Ethics Statements*

133 Ethics approval was obtained from the University of British Columbia Children's and Women's

134 Research Ethics Board (H22-01634), the Uganda Cancer Institute Research Ethics Committee (UCI-

135 2022-56), and the Uganda National Council for Science and Technology. All participants provided

136 informed consent for their inclusion in the study.

137 Results

Overall, 1437 participants completed the survey, of which 127 women were HIV-positive (8.8%). The
majority of the respondents in both groups (HIV-negative and HIV-positive) were between 30-39
years of age, had obtained primary education or more (HIV-positive n=76, 59.8%; HIV-negative n=900, 68.7%; p=0.123), were married (HIV-positive n=107, 84.3%; HIV-negative n=1136, 86.7%;
p=0.865), and were farmers (HIV-positive n=99, 78.0%; HIV-negative n=1117, 85.3%; p=0.06).
Additional information on the demographic characteristics of the survey respondents is provided in
Table 1.

When participants were asked about their history of attendance at healthcare outreach visits, the majority of women, regardless of their HIV status, responded yes to attending (HIV-positive n=113, 89.0%; HIV-negative n=1124, 85.8%; p=0.596). Among the 1237 women who attended a health outreach visit, immunization was reported as the most commonly accessed service for both groups (HIV-positive n=59, 46.5%; HIV-negative n=551, 42.1%; p=0.388), followed by antenatal care (HIV-

positive n=47, 37.0%; HIV-negative n=517, 39.5%; p=0.655). When asked about how they were
informed of health care outreach visits, the vast majority in both groups indicated VHT (HIV-positive
n=102, 80.3%; HIV-negative n=1051, 80.2%; p=0.036).

153 More women attended a health centre than healthcare outreach visits (HIV-positive n=122, 96.1%; 154 HIV-negative n=1267, 96.7%; p=0.289). Among the 1389 women who attended a health center, 155 antenatal care was reported as the most commonly accessed service for both groups (HIV-positive 156 n=79, 62.2%; HIV-negative n=839, 64.0%; p=0.752) followed by HIV services for HIV-positive 157 women (HIV-positive n=41, 32.3%; p<0.001) and family planning for HIV-negative women (HIV-158 negative n=311, 23.7%; p=0.749) (**Table 2**). The respondents in both groups reported relying mainly 159 on walking as their primary means of transportation to reach health centres (HIV-positive n=84, 160 66.1%; HIV-negative n=839, 64.0%; p=0.373).

The majority of women across both groups answered that they had prior awareness of cervical cancer (HIV-positive n= 103, 81.1%; HIV-negative n= 1137, 86.8%; p=0.321). Furthermore, the majority believed that early detection was important (HIV-positive n= 116, 91.3%; HIV-negative n= 1185, 90.6%; p=0.01). When asked about the barriers to screening (**Figure 1**), the most common response among both groups was not knowing where to get tested (HIV-positive n= 37, 29.1%; HIV-negative n= 522, 39.8%) followed by the cost (HIV-positive n= 36, 28.3%; HIV-negative n= 356, 27.2%), and prohibitive distance to the clinic (HIV-positive n= 19, 15.0%; HIV-negative n= 191, 14.6%) (p=0.023).

168 Discussion

169 This study aimed to assess the differences in healthcare access by HIV status. We found that the 170 majority of women in both HIV-positive and HIV-negative groups attended health care outreach 171 visits and health centres and utilized similar services at both.

172 The majority of HIV-positive and HIV-negative women had prior awareness of cervical cancer and 173 understood the importance of early cervical cancer detection. The lack of cervical cancer knowledge 174 is likely not a barrier to accessing screening among never-screened women in Malongo. Research 175 conducted in Zimbabwe (27), Ghana (28), and Western Uganda (29) on cervical cancer knowledge 176 and attitudes of women found that women generally had good levels of understanding of HPV, 177 cervical cancer, and screening (27-29). However, findings demonstrated that knowledge and 178 information on cervical cancer did not lead to behaviour change for screening, as represented by the 179 low rates of screening among the women in these countries (27, 29). Additionally, there was no 180 significant difference in knowledge between HIV-positive and HIV-negative women (28). In Uganda, 181 cervical cancer screening rates are less than 10% (5), despite the findings of our study indicating 182 widespread awareness of cervical cancer in women, regardless of HIV status. There is a need for 183 programming to bridge the gap between knowledge and behaviour change to ensure that awareness is 184 translated into increased screening to alleviate the burden of disease among women in Uganda (30).

185 The majority of women in both groups who attended health outreach visits were informed about these 186 visits by VHTs. Current literature demonstrates the critical role that VHTs play in disseminating health 187 information and facilitating access to health services in Uganda (31). As a primary point of contact for 188 health and social services, VHTs assist community members in understanding available healthcare 189 services and options and mobilize individuals in the community to actively participate in accessible 190 health programs (31, 32). Their role in the healthcare of Uganda can help overcome barriers to 191 participation and encourage community members to access services available to them. The findings 192 from our study along with the evidence provided in the literature suggest that VHTs could play a 193 critical role in raising awareness of HPV-based cervical cancer screening and available screening 194 options in both HIV-positive and negative populations. Moreover, VHTs may contribute to the

promotion and establishment of the successful integration of HIV and HPV-based cervical cancerscreening services in rural communities.

197 Our study indicated that all women had a history of pregnancy and that a high proportion of HIV-198 positive and HIV-negative women had received antenatal services. Studies that have shown a high 199 rate of attendance to antenatal care among pregnant women in Uganda (34). In addition to the notable 200 overlap of the age of women attending antenatal care and the age of women recommended to be 201 screened for cervical cancer (35), pregnancy is a period where women experience heightened medical 202 supervision and prioritize their own health conditions (36). Furthermore, women attending antenatal 203 care show a strong commitment to adhere to follow-up visits and care instructions throughout their 204 pregnancy (37). Therefore, the antenatal period may provide an opportunity for increased uptake of 205 HPV-based cervical cancer screening, both in HIV-negative and HIV-positive women in Uganda (36).

206 Given that HIV-positive women are at a higher risk for developing cervical cancer, it is critical to 207 prioritize and cervical cancer prevention amongst this population (1,13,14). Investigating the 208 differences in healthcare access and service utilization between HIV-positive and HIV-negative 209 women allows for a better understanding of additional barriers that women living with HIV may be 210 facing in accessing cervical cancer prevention services. This study highlighted that there were no 211 significant differences in health service access and usage between HIV-positive and HIV-negative 212 women. This demonstrates the ways in which women living with HIV are generally similarly engaged 213 with the healthcare system in rural Uganda compared to HIV-negative women. This may further 214 suggest that health inequities faced by women living with HIV are not being exacerbated by barriers 215 to accessing and utilizing available health services, suggesting that lack of access does not fully explain 216 the low uptake rates of cervical cancer screening reported among HIV-positive women (20). These 217 findings can inform additional investigation into the barriers that prevent the uptake of cervical cancer

screening in this population., as well as the development of a comprehensive HPV-based cervical cancer screening in Uganda that effectively targets women regardless of their HIV status. Further, this may lead to increased access to reproductive services among both HIV-positive and negative women in rural and remote communities, allowing for expanded health access for all. Integrated, concurrent screening for HIV and HPV may be more effective in the early detection and treatment of precancerous lesions among women living with HIV, reducing the burden of disease that these women face (40).

225 The findings of this study are strengthened by the experience and the expertise of the study team. 226 Additionally, study recruitment and survey administration were also conducted door-to-door, meaning 227 women were involved in the study from the safety and comfort of their own homes. Despite the 228 strengths of this study, some limitations exist. As the prevalence of HIV was much higher in the 229 women who were surveyed in comparison to the population data of women living in Uganda, the 230 results of this study may not be generalizable (41). This difference in HIV prevalence may be due to 231 self-reporting of HIV status, which could impact the results of the study. Similarly, the collected survey 232 data was based on self-reported information, which may be subject to response biases that influence 233 the results of the study (42). As women in the study responded to the survey questions to VHTs 234 verbally, this may have resulted in social desirability bias (43).

The results from our study demonstrate that women in remote Uganda had high attendance to health
services offered at both outreach visits and health centres, with no significant differences between
HIV-positive and HIV-negative women. Further research is needed on how to effectively integrate
HIV and HPV-based cervical cancer screening programs into rural health systems at the community
level.

241 Conflict of interests

242 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

243

Funding

- 245 Funding for this project was provided by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR FDN-
- 246 143339) and BC Women's Health Foundation. Hallie Dau received a Canada Graduate Doctoral
- 247 Award from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research for salary support. The funders had no role
- in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

249

- 250
- 251
- 252
- 253
- 254

255

256

258

References

259	1.	Cervical cancer [Internet]. World Health Organization; 2020 [cited 2023 Jun 20]. Available
260		from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/cervical-cancer
261	2.	Mitchell S, Ogilvie G, Steinberg M, Sekikubo M, Biryabarema C, Money D. Assessing
262		women's willingness to collect their own cervical samples for HPV testing as part of the
263		ASPIRE cervical cancer screening project in Uganda. International Journal of Gynecology
264		& Obstetrics. 2011;114(2):111–5.
265	3.	Gottschlich A, Payne BA, Trawin J, Albert A, Jeronimo J, Mitchell-Foster S, et al.
266		Community-integrated self-collected HPV-based cervix screening in a low-resource rural
267		setting: a pragmatic, cluster-randomized trial. Nat Med. 2023 Apr;29(4):927-35.
268	4.	Mitchell SM, Sekikubo M, Biryabarema C, Byamugisha JJK, Steinberg M, Jeronimo J, et al.
269		Factors associated with high-risk HPV positivity in a low-resource setting in sub-Saharan
270		Africa. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology. 2014 Jan;210(1):81.e1-81.e7.
271	5.	Hull R, Mbele M, Makhafola T, Hicks C, Wang S, Reis R, et al. Cervical cancer in low and
272		middle-income countries (Review). Oncol Lett. 2020 Jun 19:20(3):2058–74.
273	6.	WHO Global strategy to accelerate the elimination of cervical cancer as a public health
274		problem [Internet]. World Health Organization: 2020 [cited 2023 Jun 29]. Available from:
275		https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240014107
276	7.	Mungo C. Barker E. Randa M. Ambaka I. Osongo CO. Integration of cervical cancer
277		screening into HIV/AIDS care in low-income countries: a moral imperative.
278		Econcermedicalscience 2021 May 20:15:1237
279	8	Teng FF Mitchell SM Sekikubo M Birvabarema C Byamugisha IK Steinberg M et al
280	0.	Understanding the role of embarrassment in gynaecological screening: a qualitative study
281		from the ASPIRE cervical cancer screeping project in Uganda BMI Open 2014 Apr
282		1.4/4) e004783
283	9	Oketch SV Kwena Z Choi V Adewumi K Moghadassi M Bukusi FA et al Perspectives
284	<i>)</i> .	of women participating in a cervical cancer screening campaign with community-based
285		HPV self-sampling in rural western Kenva: a qualitative study BMC Women's Health 2019
286		Jup 13.10(1).75
287	10	Megersa BS Bussmann H Bärnighausen T Muche AA Alemu K Deckert A Community
288	10.	certical cancer screening: Barriers to successful home based HDV self sampling in Dabat
280		district North Condar, Ethiopia, A qualitative study, PLOS ONE, 2020 Dec.
209		11.15(12). a0243036
290	11	Religning A. Reach V. Muraisalaga I. Linda DS. "The best thing is that you are doing it for
202	11.	voursel?' perspectives on acceptability and feasibility of HDV self sampling among corrical
292		yoursen – perspectives on acceptability and reasibility of HPV sen-sampling among cervical
293		Cancer screening chemis in Tanzania. a quantative phot study. Divid women's Freattin. 2020
294	10	Dec,20(1):05.
290	12.	brandt 1, wublien 5D, Handebo S, Debaikie G, Ayanaw 1, Alemu K, et al. Genital self-
290		sampling for HPV-based cervical cancer screening: a qualitative study of preferences and
297	12	barriers in rural Etniopia. BMC Public Health. $2019 \text{ Jul } 31;19(1):1020.$
298	13.	WOO YL, Gravitt P, Knor SK, Ng CW, Saville M. Accelerating action on cervical screening
∠99 200		in lower- and middle-income countries (LIVIICs) post COVID-19 era. Prev Med. 2021
300	14	
301	14.	Mitchell SM, Pedersen HN, Eng Stime E, Sekikubo M, Moses E, Mwesigwa D, et al. Self-
302		collection based HPV testing for cervical cancer screening among women living with HIV

303		in Uganda: a descriptive analysis of knowledge, intentions to screen and factors associated					
304		with HPV positivity. BMC Women's Health. 2017 Dec;17(1):4.					
305	15.	Mungo C, Barker E, Randa M, Ambaka J, Osongo CO. Integration of cervical cancer					
306		screening into HIV/AIDS care in low-income countries: a moral imperative.					
307		Ecancermedicalscience. 2021 May 20;15:1237.					
308	16.	30uabida K, Chaves BG, Anane E. Challenges and barriers to HIV care engagement and					
309	17	care cascade: viewpoint. Front Reprod Health. 2025 Jul 20;5:1201087.					
31U 211	1/.	Luseno WK, weensberg WM, Kline TL, Ellerson KM. Health Services Utilization Among					
312		Behaviors AIDS Patient Care STDS 2010 Apr 24(4):257_64					
313	18	Loforen SM Tsui S. Atuvambe L. Ankunda L. Komuhendo R. Wamala N. et al. Barriers to					
314	10.	HIV Care in Uganda and Implications for Universal Test-and-Treat: A Qualitative Study.					
315		AIDS Care. 2022 May:34(5):597–605.					
316	19.	Stelzle D, Tanaka LF, Lee KK, Ibrahim Khalil A, Baussano I, Shah ASV, et al. Estimates of					
317		the global burden of cervical cancer associated with HIV. The Lancet Global Health. 2021					
318		Feb;9(2):e161–9.					
319	20.	Sarah Maria N, Olwit C, Kaggwa MM, Nabirye RC, Ngabirano TD. Cervical cancer					
320		screening among HIV-positive women in urban Uganda: a cross sectional study. BMC					
321		Women's Health. 2022 May 10;22(1):148.					
322	21.	Ndejjo R, Mukama T, Musabyimana A, Musoke D. Uptake of Cervical Cancer Screening					
323		and Associated Factors among Women in Rural Uganda: A Cross Sectional Study. PLoS					
324		One. 2016;11(2):e0149696.					
325	22.	Huchko MJ, Maloba M, Nakalembe M, Cohen CR. The time has come to make cervical					
326		cancer prevention an essential part of comprehensive sexual and reproductive health					
327		services for HIV-positive women in low-income countries. Journal of the International					
328 320	22	AIDS Society. 2015;18(055):20282. Dataidae EE Bardyn TD Bassanch Electronic Data Capture (BEDCap). I Med Libr Assoc					
329	23.	Pathoge EF, Bardyn TP. Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap). J Med Libr Assoc					
330		[Internet]. 2018 Jan 12 [cited 2023 Jun 23];106(1). Available from:					
331		http://jmla.pitt.edu/ojs/jmla/article/view/319					
332	24.	World Health Organization. Improving data for decision-making: a toolkit for cervical					
333		cancer prevention and control programmes [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization;					
334		2018 [cited 2023 Jun 23]. 289 p. Available from:					
335		https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/279420					
336	25.	Andrade C. The P Value and Statistical Significance: Misunderstandings, Explanations,					
337		Challenges, and Alternatives. Indian J Psychol Med. 2019;41(3):210-5.					
338	26.	R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing [Internet]. Vienna,					
339		Austria: 2023. Available from: https://www.R-project.org/					
340	27	Fitzpatrick M Pathipati MP McCarty K Rosenthal A Katzenstein D Chirenie ZM et al					
341	21.	Knowledge attitudes and practices of cervical Cancer screening among HIV positive and					
240		LIW assetius we man participating in human papilla maxima sensoring in mul Zimbehwa					
342		HIV-negative women participating in numan papillomavirus screening in rural Zimbabwe.					
343	• •	BMC Women's Health. 2020 Jul 25;20(1):153.					
344	28.	Stuart A, Obiri-Yeboah D, Adu-Sarkodie Y, Hayfron-Benjamin A, Akorsu AD, Mayaud P.					
345		Knowledge and experience of a cohort of HIV-positive and HIV-negative Ghanaian women					
346		after undergoing human papillomavirus and cervical cancer screening. BMC Women's					
347		Health. 2019 Oct 23;19(1):123.					

348	29.	Usman IM, Chama N, Aigbogun Jr EO, Kabanyoro A, Kasozi KI, Usman CO, et al.
349		Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice Toward Cervical Cancer Screening Among Female
350		University Students in Ishaka Western Uganda. Int J Womens Health. 2023 Apr 14;15:611-
351		20.
352	30.	Mukama T, Ndejjo R, Musabyimana A, Halage AA, Musoke D. Women's knowledge and
353		attitudes towards cervical cancer prevention: a cross sectional study in Eastern Uganda.
354		BMC Womens Health. 2017 Jan 31;17(1):9.
355	31.	Perry S, Fair CD, Burrowes S, Holcombe SJ, Kalyesubula R. Outsiders, insiders, and
356		intermediaries: village health teams' negotiation of roles to provide high quality sexual,
357		reproductive and HIV care in Nakaseke, Uganda. BMC Health Services Research. 2019 Aug
358		13;19(1):563.
359	32.	Musinguzi LK, Turinawe EB, Rwemisisi JT, de Vries DH, Mafigiri DK, Muhangi D, et al.
360		Linking communities to formal health care providers through village health teams in rural
361		Uganda: lessons from linking social capital. Human Resources for Health. 2017 Jan
362		11;15(1):4.
363	33.	Turinawe EB, Rwemisisi JT, Musinguzi LK, de Groot M, Muhangi D, de Vries DH, et al.
364		Selection and performance of village health teams (VHTs) in Uganda: lessons from the
365		natural helper model of health promotion. Human Resources for Health. 2015 Sep
366		7;13(1):73.
367	34.	Babughirana G, Gerards S, Mokori A, Nangosha E, Kremers S, Gubbels J. Maternal and
368		newborn healthcare practices: assessment of the uptake of lifesaving services in Hoima
369		District, Uganda. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth. 2020 Nov 11;20(1):686.
370	35.	Filade TE, Dareng EO, Olawande T, Fagbohun TA, Adebayo AO, Adebamowo CA.
371		Attitude to Human Papillomavirus Deoxyribonucleic Acid-Based Cervical Cancer Screening
372		in Antenatal Care in Nigeria: A Qualitative Study. Front Public Health. 2017;5:226.
373	36.	Kuczborska K, Kacperczyk-Bartnik J, Wolska M, Pluta M, Bartnik P, Dobrowolska-Redo A,
374		et al. Secondary cervical cancer prevention in routine prenatal care — coverage, results and
375		lessons for the future. Ginekologia Polska. 2019;90(7):396-402.
376	37.	Brun-Micaleff E, Coffy A, Rey V, Didelot MN, Combecal J, Doutre S, et al. Cervical cancer
377		screening by cytology and human papillomavirus testing during pregnancy in french women
378		with poor adhesion to regular cervical screening. Journal of Medical Virology.
379		2014;86(3):536–45.
380	38.	Tchounga B, Boni SP, Koffi JJ, Horo AG, Tanon A, Messou E, et al. Cervical cancer
381		screening uptake and correlates among HIV-infected women: a cross-sectional survey in
382		Côte d'Ivoire, West Africa. BMJ Open. 2019 Aug;9(8):e029882.
383	39.	White HL, Meglioli A, Chowdhury R, Nuccio O. Integrating cervical cancer screening and
384		preventive treatment with family planning and HIV-related services. Int J Gynecol Obstet.
385		2017 Jul;138:41–6.
386	40.	Ninsiima M, Nyabigambo A, Kagaayi J. Acceptability of integration of cervical cancer
387		screening into routine HIV care, associated factors and perceptions among HIV-infected

388 women: a mixed methods study at Mbarara Regional Referral Hospital, Uganda. BMC

- **389** Health Serv Res. 2023 Apr 3;23(1):333.
- 390 41. Uganda Population-Based HIV Impact Assessment [Internet]. PHIA Project; 2022 [cited
 391 2023 July 2]. Available from: <u>https://phia.icap.columbia.edu/wp-</u>
 392 content/uploads/2022/08/UPHIA-Summary-Sheet-2020.pdf
- 42. Rosenman R, Tennekoon V, Hill LG. Measuring bias in self-reported data. Int J Behav
 Healthc Res. 2011 Oct;2(4):320–32.
- 395 43. Althubaiti A. Information bias in health research: definition, pitfalls, and adjustment396 methods. JMDH. 2016 May;211.
- 397

Table 1. Demographics stratified by HIV status

	Overall	HIV-positive	HIV-negative	
	N = 1437	N = 127	N = 1310	p-value
	n (%)	n (%)	n (%)	
Survey Language				< 0.001
English	38 (2.6)	14 (11.0)	24 (1.8)	
Lusoga	1399 (97.4)	113 (89.0)	1286 (98.2)	
Age				0.143
30-34	612 (42.6)	47 (37.0)	565 (43.1)	
35-39	319 (22.2)	35 (27.6)	284 (21.7)	
40-44	249 (17.3)	17 (13.4)	232 (17.7)	
45-49	257 (17.9)	28 (22.0)	229 (17.5)	
Education				0.123
No Education	451 (31.4)	50 (39.4)	401 (30.6)	
Primary Education or more	976 (67.9)	76 (59.8)	900 (68.7)	
Missing	10 (0.7)	1 (0.8)	9 (0.7)	
Occupation				0.060
Farmer	1216 (84.6)	99 (78.0)	1117 (85.3)	
Other	178 (12.4)	21 (16.5)	157 (12.0)	
Missing	43 (3.0)	7 (5.5)	36 (2.7)	
Relationship Status				0.865
Married/In a relationship	1243 (86.5)	107 (84.3)	1136 (86.7)	
Single	116 (8.1)	12 (9.4)	104 (7.9)	
Separated/Divorced/Widowed	77 (5.4)	8 (6.3)	69 (5.3)	
Missing	1 (0.1)	0 (0.0)	1 (0.1)	
Partner Education				0.813
No Education	189 (13.2)	17 (13.4)	172 (13.1)	
Primary Education or more	1037 (72.2)	89 (70.1)	948 (72.4)	
Missing	211 (14.7)	21 (16.5)	190 (14.5)	
Age at first pregnancy				0.16
< 16	262 (43.3)	28 (35.4)	234 (44.5)	
≥ 16	313 (51.7)	45 (57.0)	268 (51.0)	
Missing	25 (4.1)	4 (5.1)	21 (4.0)	
Number of pregnancies		. /		0.875
1-6	40 (2.8)	28 (35.4)	255 (48.5)	
7-12	256 (17.8)	21 (16.5)	235 (17.9)	
≥13	1141 (79.4)	103 (81.1)	1038 (79.2)	

		Health			Health	
		Outreach			Centre	
	HIV+	HIV-		HIV+	HIV-	
	N = 127	N = 1310	p-value	N = 127	N = 1310	p-value
	n (%)	n (%)		n (%)	n (%)	
Attendance	113 (89.0)	1124 (85.8)	0.596	122 (96.1)	1267 (96.7)	0.239
Services Accessed						
Antenatal Care	47 (37.0)	517 (39.5)	0.655	79 (62.2)	839 (64.0)	0.752
HIV	40 (31.5)	116 (8.9)	< 0.001	41 (32.3)	147 (11.2)	< 0.001
Family Planning	30 (23.6)	315 (24.0)	1.000	28 (22.0)	311 (23.7)	0.749
Immunizations	59 (46.5)	551 (42.1)	0.388	38 (29.9)	308 (23.5)	0.132

401 Table 2. Results of survey on attendance to healthcare services stratified by HIV status

402



