Home Blood Pressure and Asymptomatic Intracranial and Extracranial Arterial

Stenosis in Hypertension Patients

Enheng Cai¹, Dewei An¹, Jin Zhang¹, Xiaofeng Tang¹, Yan Li¹, Yan Wang^{1*},

Dingliang Zhu¹

1. Research Center for Hypertension Management and Prevention in Community,

Shanghai Key Laboratory of Hypertension, Shanghai Institute of Hypertension, State

Key Laboratory of Medical Genomics, Ruijin Hospital, Shanghai Jiaotong University

School of Medicine, Shanghai, China

2. Xinzhuang Community Health Service Center, Shanghai, China

*Correspondence should be addressed to Dingliang Zhu, PhD, Shanghai Institute of

Hypertension, Ruijin Hospital, Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine,

Shanghai, 200025, China. Yan Wang, PhD, Shanghai Institute of Hypertension,

Ruijin Hospital, Shanghai Jiaotong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, 200025,

China. Tel: +86- 21-54654498; Fax: +86- 21-54654498

Email: Yan Wang: yanwangshid@163.com

Running title: HBP level and variability with arterial stenosis

Keywords: Asymptomatic intracranial artery stenosis; Asymptomatic extracranial

artery stenosis; Home blood pressure; Home blood pressure variability

Word count: abstract -151; text -2176

Tables: 3

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Abstract

Background: We aim to analyze the effect of home blood pressure (HBP) level and

variability on both asymptomatic intracranial (aICAS) and extracranial arterial

stenosis (aECAS).

Methods: A total of 534 patients completed HBP measurements (HBP) on at least 3

days. The stenoses of ICAS and ECAS were evaluated by CTA. HBP variability

(HBPV) was quantified using the standard deviation and maximum--minimum

difference between measures. The association of HBP level and variability with both

aICAS and aECAS was evaluated using multivariate logistic regression.

Results: Morning HBPV was significantly associated with isolated aICAS, isolated

aECAS, and concurrent aICAS and aECAS, respectively, even after being adjusted

for HBP level. Besides, HBP were independently related to concurrent aICAS and

aECAS (P<0.05). Instead, neither morning nor evening HBP associated with isolated

aICAS or aECAS.

Conclusion: The findings of this study confirmed the strong correlation of

aICAS/aECAS with HBP in the hypertensive population, particularly morning HBPV.

Introduction

Stroke is one of the common causes of mortality worldwide, causing around 6.17 million deaths every year¹. Asymptomatic intracranial (aICAS) and extracranial arterial stenosis (aECAS), which are the early phase of symptomatic injury, are considered as the risk factors for stroke and related cardiovascular diseases²⁻⁵. Notably, the prevalence and risk factors of ICAS and ECAS are different among populations, whereas ICAS is more frequently affected Asians, blacks, and Hispanics, and ECAS is more prevalent among whites.⁶

Elevated blood pressure (BP) is a well-established risk factor for both ischemic and hemorrhagic forms of stroke, as well as for aICAS and aECAS⁷. In a hospital-based multi-center case-control study, Li et al. find that with every increase of 10 mmHg in office systolic BP (SBP) and diastolic BP (DBP), the odds of ICAS increase by 32% and 28%8. In our previous study, we report a significant association of ambulatory early morning SBP with aICAS in patients with hypertension, with a 10-mmHg increment of SBP, the risk of aICAS increases by 30%9. Both ambulatory and HBP monitoring (HBPM), are recognized as important and practical tools to detect and manage hypertension, and to monitor BP variability (BPV)¹⁰, among which HBPM could provide BP values that are more relevant to daily-life circumstances. However, although amounts of works have illustrated the predictive value of HBP and HBPV for cardiovascular events and mortality¹¹, few studies focus on the relationships between HBP and aICAS or aECAS. A recent study performed in general Japanese men finds the association of aICAS with HBP value but not HBPV¹². Therefore, in the present study, we perform an association study in Chinese hypertension patients, aiming to analyze the effect of HBP and HBPV on both aICAS and aECAS, which were assessed by computed tomography angiography (CTA).

Methods

Study population

This study was undertaken within the framework of an ongoing cross-section and prospective study in China, which was a CTA-based study of aICAS and aECAS in stroke-free patients, who suffered from hypertension. The details and methodology have been previously reported¹³. Between May 2017 and November 2020, we performed the follow-up study on subjects recruited between May 2012 and December 2015, which were outpatients in Xinzhuang Community Hospital and then scheduled for a visit to Ruijin Hospital, a general hospital in Shanghai. The subjects at baseline were included if they were willing to take brain CTA, guaranteed long-term follow-up, and had at least two cardiovascular risk factors as defined in Chinese hypertension guidelines¹⁴. Subjects were excluded if they had a previous stroke, transient ischemic attack, atrial fibrillation, or iodine allergy.

Ethics statement

The study was performed according to the guidelines of the Helsinki Declaration and was approved by the Ethics Committee of Ruijin Hospital. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

HBP measurements

HBP was self-measured using a validated, memory-equipped, automatic oscillometric device (Omron HEM-7080IC; Omron Corp, Tokyo, Japan), which was able to transfer the readings to the computer via a universal serial USB connection. Patients received oral and written instructions on how to conduct HBP monitoring correctly. They were instructed to take three measurements with a 1-minute interval, each morning (4:00-10:00 am, just before taking antihypertensive drugs and breakfast, after urination) and evening (6:00-12:00 pm, before sleep) for a period of 7

consecutive days, whereas relaxed in the sitting position with back and arm supported and legs uncrossed for 5 minutes. All the HBP readings with date and hour were downloaded after the patients returned the device. Among the 627 patients enrolled in the study, 534 patients who completed HBP measurements on at least 3 days and measured at least 2 consecutive measurements every morning and evening¹⁵, were included in the final analysis. For separate and conjunct analysis of morning and evening self-measured BP at home, the average of corresponding readings was considered as morning or evening HBP. HBPV was quantified by calculating the standard deviation (SD), coefficient of variation (CV), and maximum-minimum difference (MMD) of morning or evening HBP between the mean BP across 7 days, respectively. Only systolic blood pressure (SBP) was included in the analysis as it is a stronger risk factor for stroke than diastolic blood pressure (DBP)¹⁶.

CTA examination

A 64-section helical CT scanner (GE FX/I, General Electric, Fairfield, CT) as previously described¹⁷, was used to perform brain CTA. CTA acquisitions of every patient were obtained after a single bolus intravenous injection of 70 ml Optiray Ioversol 320 into the antecubital vein at a rate of 3 ml/sec. Scanning covered the whole brain down to the level of the aortic arch with a 5-mm slice thickness. Images were reformatted in axial, sagittal, and coronal planes with 1.25-mm slice thickness. All images were read by two experienced radiologists who were blinded to the clinical data of the patients. Stenosis was defined as a lesion that decreased arterial internal diameter and the worst stenosis at a cerebral artery was chosen as the representative for each subject. The percentage of stenosis was calculated as the ratio of the diameter of the diseased artery at its most severe site divided by the diameter of a nearby normal segment. The number of arteries with stenosis for each patient was

also counted. The intracranial arteries included the intracranial segment of the internal carotid artery and vertebral artery, basilar artery, anterior cerebral artery, middle cerebral artery, and posterior cerebral artery. The extracranial arteries included the extracranial segment of the internal carotid artery and vertebral artery, external carotid artery, common carotid artery, and subclavian artery. The two radiologists had a good agreement in the designation of stenosis ($\kappa = 0.93$, P < 0.001). All disagreements were reviewed and adjudicated by a senior radiologist to reach a consensus. According to the presence of intra- and extracranial stenosis, patients were divided into four groups: no stenosis, isolated ICAS, isolated ECAS, and concurrent intra- and extracranial stenosis.

Demographic and clinical measurements

Clinical information about the history of diabetes, current drug intake, smoking and drinking, and so on was collected by questionnaires in accordance with the standard procedure. Current smokers were defined as those who had smoked cigarettes on one or more days in the past 30 days. Body mass index (BMI) was body weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared, and body weight and height were both recorded with participants wearing light indoor clothing and no shoes. Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL), fasting blood glucose, and serum creatinine were performed in the Central Laboratory of Ruijin Hospital (Shanghai, China) using the standard protocols.

Statistical analysis

For database management and statistical analysis, we used R software (version 4.0.2, R core team, Vienna, Austria) with the R package base, nnet, and lmtest. Quantitative descriptive statistics were compared between patients in accordance with the presence of aICAS/aECAS by using ANOVA, and categorical variables were

compared using the chi-square test. Multivariate-adjusted multinomial logistic regression analyses were used to examine the association of aICAS/aECAS with HBP indexes. Participants without stenosis were taken as the reference group. Separate analyses were performed for morning and evening home SBP, and for different HBPV indexes, adjusting for age, sex, BMI, LDL, smoking history, alcohol consumption, history of diabetes, and antihypertensive treatment. In further analyses, home SBP was forced into the model including corresponding HBPV. Improvement in the fit of logistic regression models was assessed using the log-likelihood ratio. Statistical significance was a 2-tailed α of ≤ 0.05 .

Results

Participants characteristics

The clinical characteristics of the study participants were presented according to the presence or absence of aICAS/aECAS in Table 1. Among 534 patients, 116 had isolated aICAS, 73 had isolated aECAS, and 168 had concurrent aICAS and aECAS. Subjects without intra- or extracranial stenosis were significantly younger and with lower fasting blood glucose, while subjects with concurrent ICAS and ECAS were more likely to suffer a history of diabetes. Among 534 participants, a relatively high proportion (471, 88.2%) had received antihypertensive treatment. Moreover, subjects with concurrent aICAS and aECAS were with higher HBP and HBPV than the other three groups.

Association of aICAS and aECAS with HBP indexes

Table 2 exhibited the association of HBP and HBPV with aICAS/aECAS estimated by multivariate-adjusted multinomial logistic regression. The increased morning HBPV was significantly associated with the presence of isolated aICAS and aECAS after adjusting for age, sex, BMI, LDL, smoking history, alcohol consumption, history

of diabetes, and antihypertensive treatment. Each 1-mmHg increment of HBPV increased by 10% (95% CI, 1.01-1.19; P = 0.021) odds for isolated aICAS, 14% (95%

CI, 1.04-1.25; P=0.004) for isolated aECAS, and 14% (95% CI, 1.06-1.23; P<0.001)

for concurrent aICAS and aECAS, respectively. Similar results were found in the

analyses according to CV and MMD of morning HBP. However, neither morning nor

evening HBP showed association with isolated aICAS or aECAS. Even additional

adjustment for morning HSBP, the correlation of morning HBP SD with isolated

ICAS (OR, 1.10; 95% CI, 1.01-1.19; P=0.025), isolated aECAS (OR, 1.14; 95% CI,

1.04-1.25; P=0.004) remained statistically significant.

Both morning and evening HBP and HBPV were independently related to concurrent aICAS and aECAS in separate regression analyses (P<0.05), except for CV with evening HBP. While compared to those without aICAS or aECAS, higher morning (95% CI, 1.00-1.04; P=0.035) and evening (95% CI, 1.01-1.04; P=0.008) HBP accounted for 2% odds increment of concurrent aICAS and aECAS. The identical outcomes were repeated for CV and MMD of morning HBP. With respect to evening HBPV, the relationship with concurrent ICAS and ECAS turned out to be clearly insignificant after additionally adjusting for evening HBP (P>0.05), whichever HBPV indexes were calculated.

The log-likelihood ratios (Table 3) confirmed that adding morning HBPV indexes to models including all confounding factors increased model fitness with P-values ranging from 0.007 to 0.002.

Discussion

In the present study, we for the first time estimated the association of aICAS and aECAS with HBP and HBPV in stroke-free patients with hypertension. Morning

HBPV was associated with isolated and concurrent aICAS and aECAS after adjusting for covariates, even after adjusting for the level of morning HBP.

In accordance with our results, a piece of recent research in the general population shed light on that each 17.7 mmHg increase in morning HBP corresponded to an odds ratio of 1.33 for subclinical intracranial cerebrovascular disease ¹⁸. As for asymptomatic extracranial cerebrovascular disease, a nationwide study from Japan has demonstrated an increment of morning HBP was related to extracranial cerebrovascular damage ¹⁹. However, a significant association of evening home SBP with stenotic lesions, to some extent, was not detected in this study. Although the overwhelming viewpoint is that subjects with higher morning home SBP, instead of higher evening home SBP, are more likely to suffer from cardiovascular diseases ^{20,21}, our observation suggested that both morning and evening HBP had an influence on intra- and extracranial arteries of subjects with hypertension. Actually, research focused on the relationship of evening home SBP with subclinical cerebrovascular disease was lacking, and more evidence is needed.

It was recognized that higher BPV from visit-to-visit or within 24-h, gave rise to a more severe cerebrovascular damge²². When it comes to HBPV, previous studies have mainly concentrated on the adverse effects of increased HBPV on the stiffness of the large arteries, aggravating cardiovascular disease burden^{23, 24}. In agreement with the present studies, some existing research has revealed the positive correlation between HBPV and isolated aECAS^{25, 26}. Unfortunately, the clinical relevance of mid-term BPV in asymptomatic individuals with ICAS remains controversial. A recent study involving 677 healthy men determined that there was no significant association between HBPV and aICAS¹². On the contrary, the key finding to emerge from our study was that morning HBPV was confirmed to increase the odds of isolated aICAS

by 3 to 13 percent in stroke-free patients with hypertension. A possible explanation for the disparity might owe to different characteristics of the study populations. For example, a larger proportion of our participants have received anti-hypertension treatment, which have a higher morning HBPV in our research. Incidentally, we speculated that HBPV may reflect the increased stiffness and decreased compliance of the large elastic arteries, leading to endothelial dysfunction and inflammation, which accompany the growth of cerebral atherosclerosis^{25, 27, 28}. Considering stenoses developing and progressing silently over the years, thus, the importance of paying close attention to HBPV of hypertensive patients was worth being stressed.

Limitations are acknowledged in this study and go as follows. Firstly, further validations are supposed to be undertaken in prospective cohort studies or randomized controlled trials for it is a cross-sectional study. Secondly, considering the disparity in the prevalence of aICAS and aECAS in different ethnic populations, discrepant results may occur. Thirdly, with a relatively small sample size, findings from this study should be interpreted with caution. Finally, information on participants' sleeping patterns was not collected, as well as office BP.

In conclusion, the findings of this study confirmed the strong correlation of aICAS/aECAS with HBP in the hypertensive population, particularly morning HBPV. In the era of personalized medicine, these relations are well worth further investigation to mitigate the staggering burden of stroke posed at both individual and societal levels, with the widespread application of out-of-office measurements, especially cost-effective monitoring at home.

Contributors: EC and DA, JZ, XT, and YL are joint first authors. DZ and YW

obtained funding. YL, DZ, and YW designed the study. EC, DA, JZ, XT, YW, and

YL collected the data. EC and WY were involved in data cleaning, mortality follow-

up, and verification. EC and DA analyzed the data. EC drafted the manuscript. YW

and DZ contributed to the interpretation of the results and critical revision of the

manuscript for important intellectual content and approved the final version of the

manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Fundings: This project was supported by grants from NRCTM(SH)-2021-05, the

National Natural Science Foundation of China (81670213), National Key Program for

Basic Research (2009CB521905), Shanghai Municipal Health Bureau Foundation

(201640243). The funders had no role in the design of the study and collection,

analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declared no conflicts of interest.

Reference

Global, regional, and national age-sex-specific mortality for 282 causes of death in 195 countries and territories, 1980-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. Lancet. 2018;392:1736-1788.

perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

- 2. Adams HP, Jr., Bendixen BH, Kappelle LJ, Biller J, Love BB, Gordon DL and Marsh EE, 3rd. Classification of subtype of acute ischemic stroke. Definitions for use in a multicenter clinical trial. TOAST. Trial of Org 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment. *Stroke*. 1993;24:35-41.
- 3. Planas-Ballvé A, Crespo AM, Aguilar LM, Hernández-Pérez M, Canento T, Dorado L, Alzamora MT, Torán P, Pera G, Muñoz-Ortiz L, Arenillas JF, Castañón M, Dávalos A, Millán M and López-Cancio E. The Barcelona-Asymptomatic Intracranial Atherosclerosis study: Subclinical intracranial atherosclerosis as predictor of long-term vascular events. *Atherosclerosis*. 2019;282:132-136.
- 4. Zavoreo I, Bašić Kes V, Lisak M, Maršić N, Ciliga D and Trošt Bobić T. Cognitive decline and cerebral vasoreactivity in asymptomatic patients with severe internal carotid artery stenosis. *Acta Neurol Belg.* 2013;113:453-8.
- 5. O'Leary DH, Polak JF, Kronmal RA, Kittner SJ, Bond MG, Wolfson SK, Jr., Bommer W, Price TR, Gardin JM and Savage PJ. Distribution and correlates of sonographically detected carotid artery disease in the Cardiovascular Health Study. The CHS Collaborative Research Group. *Stroke*. 1992;23:1752-60.
- 6. Khan M, Naqvi I, Bansari A and Kamal AK. Intracranial atherosclerotic disease. *Stroke Res Treat*. 2011;2011:282845.
- 7. Jin H, Peng Q, Nan D, Lv P, Liu R, Sun W, Teng Y, Liu Y, Fan C, Xing H, Xu K and Huang Y. Prevalence and risk factors of intracranial and extracranial artery stenosis in asymptomatic rural residents of 13 villages in China. *BMC Neurol*. 2017;17:136.
- 8. Li HQ, Wang X, Wang HF, Zhang W, Song JH, Chi S, Sun YP, Zhong XL, Ma T, Dai GZ, Tan L, Dong Q and Yu JT. Dose-response relationship between blood pressure and intracranial atherosclerotic stenosis. *Atherosclerosis*. 2021;317:36-40.
- 9. Chen CT, Li Y, Zhang J, Wang Y, Ling HW, Chen KM, Gao PJ and Zhu DL. Association between ambulatory systolic blood pressure during the day and asymptomatic intracranial arterial stenosis. *Hypertension*. 2014;63:61-7.
- 10. Kario K, Park S, Buranakitjaroen P, Chia YC, Chen CH, Divinagracia R, Hoshide S, Shin J, Siddique S, Sison J, Soenarta AA, Sogunuru GP, Tay JC, Turana Y, Wong L, Zhang Y and Wang JG. Guidance on home blood pressure monitoring: A statement of the HOPE Asia Network. *J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich)*. 2018;20:456-461.
- 11. Ntineri A, Kalogeropoulos PG, Kyriakoulis KG, Aissopou EK, Thomopoulou G, Kollias A and Stergiou GS. Prognostic value of average home blood pressure and variability: 19-year follow-up of the Didima study. *Journal of hypertension*. 2018;36:69-76.
- Hisamatsu T, Ohkubo T, Hozawa A, Fujiyoshi A, Torii S, Segawa H, Kondo K, Kadota A, Takashima N, Shitara S, Arima H, Nakagawa Y, Watanabe Y, Shiino A, Nozaki K, Ueshima H and Miura K. Association of self-measured home, ambulatory, and strictly measured office blood pressure and their variability with intracranial arterial stenosis. *Journal of hypertension*. 2021.
- 13. Zhang J, Li Y, Wang Y, Niu W, Zhang Y, Gao P, Zhang L, Lin H, Chen K and Zhu D. Arterial stiffness and asymptomatic intracranial large arterial stenosis and calcification in hypertensive chinese. *Am J Hypertens*. 2011;24:304-9.
- 14. Liu LS. [2010 Chinese guidelines for the management of hypertension]. *Zhonghua Xin Xue Guan Bing Za Zhi*. 2011;39:579-615.
- 15. Wang Y, Chen L, Wang Y, Qain Y, Zhang J, Tang X, Li Y and Zhu D. Morning hypertension is more common in elderly hypertensive patients with controlled documented office blood pressure in primary care clinics: the Minhang study. *Journal of hypertension*. 2017;35:2192-2198.
- 16. Rothwell PM, Howard SC, Dolan E, O'Brien E, Dobson JE, Dahlöf B, Sever PS and Poulter NR. Prognostic significance of visit-to-visit variability, maximum systolic blood pressure, and episodic hypertension. *Lancet*. 2010;375:895-905.

- Wang Y, Zhang J, Qian Y, Tang X, Ling H, Chen K, Gao P and Zhu D. Association of 17. Lp-PLA2 Mass and Aysmptomatic Intracranial and Extracranial Arterial Stenosis in Hypertension Patients. *PloS one*. 2015;10:e0130473.
- Salman E, Kadota A, Hisamatsu T, Segawa H, Torii S, Fujiyoshi A, Kondo K, Watanabe Y, Arima H, Shiino A, Nozaki K, Ueshima H, Miura K and The Sessa Research Group F. Relationship of Four Blood Pressure Indexes to Subclinical Cerebrovascular Diseases Assessed by Brain MRI in General Japanese Men. Journal of atherosclerosis and thrombosis. 2021.
- Hoshide S, Kario K, Yano Y, Haimoto H, Yamagiwa K, Uchiba K, Nagasaka S, Matsui 19. Y, Nakamura A, Fukutomi M, Eguchi K and Ishikawa J. Association of morning and evening blood pressure at home with asymptomatic organ damage in the J-HOP Study. Am J Hypertens. 2014;27:939-47.
- Narita K, Hoshide S and Kario K. Relationship between Home Blood Pressure and the Onset Season of Cardiovascular Events: The J-HOP Study (Japan Morning Surge-Home Blood Pressure). Am J Hypertens. 2021.
- Hoshide S, Yano Y, Haimoto H, Yamaqiwa K, Uchiba K, Naqasaka S, Matsui Y, Nakamura A, Fukutomi M, Equchi K, Ishikawa J and Kario K. Morning and Evening Home Blood Pressure and Risks of Incident Stroke and Coronary Artery Disease in the Japanese General Practice Population: The Japan Morning Surge-Home Blood Pressure Study. Hypertension. 2016;68:54-61.
- Kario K, Pickering TG, Umeda Y, Hoshide S, Hoshide Y, Morinari M, Murata M, Kuroda T, Schwartz JE and Shimada K. Morning surge in blood pressure as a predictor of silent and clinical cerebrovascular disease in elderly hypertensives: a prospective study. Circulation. 2003;107:1401-6.
- Park JS, Shin JH, Park JB, Choi DJ, Youn HJ, Park CG, Kwan J, Ahn Y, Kim DW, Rim SJ, Park SW, Sung J and Bae JH. Relationship between arterial stiffness and variability of home blood pressure monitoring. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2020;99:e21227.
- Fukui M, Ushigome E, Tanaka M, Hamaguchi M, Tanaka T, Atsuta H, Ohnishi M, Oda Y, Hasegawa G and Nakamura N. Home blood pressure variability on one occasion is a novel factor associated with arterial stiffness in patients with type 2 diabetes. Hypertens Res. 2013;36:219-25.
- Liu Z, Zhao Y, Lu F, Zhang H and Diao Y. Day-by-day variability in self-measured blood pressure at home: effects on carotid artery atherosclerosis, brachial flow-mediated dilation, and endothelin-1 in normotensive and mild-moderate hypertensive individuals. Blood pressure monitoring. 2013;18:316-25.
- Hisamatsu T, Miura K, Ohkubo T, Arima H, Fujiyoshi A, Satoh A, Kadota A, Zaid M, Takashima N, Ohno S, Horie M and Ueshima H. Home blood pressure variability and subclinical atherosclerosis in multiple vascular beds: a population-based study. Journal of hypertension. 2018;36:2193-2203.
- Kim J, Cha MJ, Lee DH, Lee HS, Nam CM, Nam HS, Kim YD and Heo JH. The association between cerebral atherosclerosis and arterial stiffness in acute ischemic stroke. Atherosclerosis. 2011;219:887-91.
- Ross R. Atherosclerosis--an inflammatory disease. N Engl J Med. 1999;340:115-26. 28.

Table 1. The characteristics of participants according to with or without intracranial and extracranial arterial stenosis.

Variables	No	Isolated	Isolated	concurrent ICAS	P
	stenosis	ICAS	ECAS	and ECAS	value

	(n=177)	(n=116)	(n=73)	(n=168)	
Age, y	66.95	70.53	69.36	71.54 (5.38)	< 0.001
	(5.27)	(4.76)	(6.11)		
Female, n, %	101 (57.1)	54 (46.6)	35	68 (40.5)	0.021
			(47.9)		
BMI, kg/m ²	24.64	25.20	24.86	24.82 (2.71)	0.475
_	(3.02)	(2.93)	(3.35)		
Smoking, n, %	20 (11.3)	10 (8.6)	10	19 (11.3)	0.743
O , .			(13.7)		
Drinking, n, %	21 (11.9)	17 (14.7)	9 (12.3)	28 (16.7)	0.600
Low-density	2.92	2.93	3.39	2.91 (0.91)	0.106
lipoprotein,	(0.86)	(0.92)	(3.39)	, ,	
mmol/L	` ,	` ,	` ,		
Fasting blood	5.49	5.89	5.08	5.61 (1.63)	0.004
glucose, mmol/L	(1.43)	(1.75)	(0.81)	, ,	
Serum creatinine,	67.47	73.01	71.46	71.14 (18.19)	0.059
mmol/L	(18.15)	(17.47)	(19.02)	` ,	
Diabetes, n, %	35 (19.8)	32 (27.6)	7 (9.6)	47 (28.0)	0.007
Antihypertension	149 (84.2)	104	64	154 (91.7)	0.176
treatment, n, %	, ,	(89.7)	(87.7)	,	
Lipid-lowering	30 (16.9)	23 (19.8)	21	50 (29.8)	0.019
treatment, n, %		· /	(28.8)	,	
Antiplatelet	20 (11.3)	16 (13.8)	14	48 (28.6)	< 0.001
treatment, n, %	, ,	· /	(19.2)	,	
Home SBP, mmHg					
Moring	132.76	135.85	134.80	139.30 (12.92)	< 0.001
8	(13.65)	(13.44)	(13.65)		
Evening	128.91	133.71	131.86	135.63 (14.34)	< 0.001
8	(14.13)	(14.39)	(12.38)		
Home SD SBP,	(==)	(= ::= /	()		
mmHg					
Moring	6.62	7.66	7.75	8.15 (3.69)	< 0.001
8	(3.04)	(3.74)	(3.32)	(0.00)	
Evening	7.87	8.42	8.79	8.77 (3.92)	0.092
_,,,,,,,,	(3.26)	(3.59)	(3.53)	(0.7. (0.7. –)	****
Home CV SBP,	(8.28)	(5.5)	(0.00)		
mmHg					
Moring	4.98	5.60	5.77	5.85 (2.56)	0.006
111011118	(2.16)	(2.53)	(2.41)	(2.00)	0.000
Evening	6.12	6.27	6.63	6.44 (2.65)	0.452
2 vennig	(2.43)	(2.50)	(2.49)	0.11 (2.05)	0.152
Home MMD SBP,	(2.13)	(2.50)	(2.17)		
mmHg					
Moring	18.33	21.12	21.51	22.60 (10.20)	< 0.001
nioinig	(8.63)	(10.07)	(8.96)	22.00 (10.20)	\0.001
Evening	21.53	22.74	23.67	24.42 (11.21)	0.063
Lvoming	(9.60)	(9.89)	(9.36)	21.12 (11.21)	0.005
	(2.00)	().0)	(7.30)		

Data are expressed as mean (SD) for continuous variables and number (%) for categorical variables.

ICAS, intracranial arterial stenosis; ECAS, extracranial arterial stenosis; BMI

indicates body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SD, standard deviation; CV, coefficient of variation; MMD, maximum-minimum difference.

Table 2. Associations of home SBP and variability with asymptomatic intra- and extracranial arterial stenosis.

	Isolated ICAS		Isolated ECAS		concurrent ICAS and ECAS	
Variables	Odds ratio (95%CI)	P value	Odds ratio (95%CI)	P value	Odds ratio (95%CI)	P value
Basic model						
Morning	1.01 (0.99-	0.612	1.00 (0.98-	0.666	1.03 (1.01-	0.004
SBP	1.02)		1.03)		1.04)	
Morning	1.10 (1.01-	0.021	1.14 (1.04-	0.004	1.14 (1.06-	< 0.001
SD SBP	1.19)		1.25)		1.23)	
Morning	1.13 (1.01-	0.032	1.21 (1.07-	0.003	1.18 (1.06-	0.002
CV SBP	1.26)		1.37)		1.31)	
Morning	1.03 (1.00-	0.032	1.05 (1.01-	0.005	1.05 (1.02-	< 0.001
MMD SBP	1.06)		1.08)		1.08)	
Evening	1.02 (1.00-	0.064	1.01 (0.99-	0.232	1.03 (1.01-	0.002
SBP	1.04)		1.03)		1.05)	
Evening SD	1.04 (0.97-	0.282	1.08 (1.00-	0.053	1.08 (1.01-	0.030
SBP	1.12)		1.17)		1.15)	
Evening CV	1.03 (0.93-	0.626	1.09 (0.98-	0.116	1.06 (0.97-	0.195
SBP	1.13)		1.23)		1.17)	
Evening	1.01 (0.98-	0.547	1.02 (0.99-	0.153	1.03 (1.00-	0.026
MMD SBP	1.03)		1.05)		1.05)	
Full model						
Morning	1.10 (1.01-	0.025	1.14 (1.04-	0.004	1.12 (1.04-	0.003
SD SBP	1.19)		1.25)		1.21)	
Morning	1.13 (1.01-	0.029	1.21 (1.07-	0.003	1.18 (1.06-	0.002
CV SBP	1.27)		1.37)		1.31)	
Morning	1.03 (1.00-	0.036	1.05 (1.01-	0.005	1.04 (1.01-	0.003
MMD SBP	1.06)		1.08)		1.07)	
Evening SD	1.02 (0.95-	0.569	1.07 (0.99-	0.097	1.05 (0.98-	0.193
SBP	1.10)		1.17)		1.12)	
Evening CV	1.02 (0.92-	0.689	1.09 (0.97-	0.130	1.06 (0.96-	0.252
SBP	1.13)		1.22)		1.16)	
Evening	1.00 (0.98-	0.918	1.02 (0.99-	0.252	1.02 (0.99-	0.160
MMD SBP	1.03)		1.05)		1.04)	

Basic models adjust factors including age, sex, history of diabetes, BMI, the status of smoking and drinking, low-density lipoprotein, and use of antihypertensive drugs; full models include the aforementioned covariables and corresponding home SBP to account for the relationship between stenosis and home BPV. ICAS, intracranial arterial stenosis; ECAS, extracranial arterial stenosis; CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic

blood pressure; SD, standard deviation; CV, coefficient of variation; MMD, maximumminimum difference.

Table 3. Fit of multinomial logistic models of home SBP and variability with asymptomatic intra- and extracranial arterial stenosis.

Models	-2log L	χ2	P value
Basic model	1323.86		
+ Morning SBP	1314.2	9.7	0.021
+ Morning SD SBP	1308.8	15.1	0.002
+ Morning CV SBP	1310.7	13.1	0.004
+ Morning MMD SBP	1308.8	15	0.002
+ Evening SBP	1313.5	10.3	0.016
+ Evening SD SBP	1317.8	6.11	0.107
+ Evening CV SBP	1320.7	3.15	0.369
+ Evening MMD SBP	1318	5.89	0.117
Basic model + Morning SBP	1314.2		
+ Morning SD SBP	1302.1	12.1	0.007
+ Morning CV SBP	1301.2	13	0.005
+ Morning MMD SBP	1302.1	12.1	0.007
Basic model + Evening SBP	1313.5		
+ Evening SD SBP	1310.2	3.32	0.345
+ Evening CV SBP	1310.7	2.8	0.423
+ Evening MMD SBP	1310.4	3.11	0.374

Basic models adjust factors including age, sex, history of diabetes, BMI, the status of smoking and drinking, low-density lipoprotein, and use of antihypertensive drugs; BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SD, standard deviation; CV, coefficient of variation; MMD, maximum-minimum difference.