On-scalp magnetoencephalography based on optically pumped magnetometers to investigate temporal lobe epilepsy

(<br>|
| Odile Feys<sup>42</sup>, Vincent Wens<sup>43</sup>, Chantal Depondt<sup>4</sup>, Estelle Rikir<sup>4</sup>, Nicolas Gaspard<sup>474</sup>, Wim Van<br>Paesschen<sup>5</sup>, Alec Aeby<sup>6</sup>, Olivier Bodart<sup>7</sup>, Evelien Carrette<sup>8</sup>, Niall Holmes<sup>9</sup>, Matthew Brookes<sup>9</sup>, Maxime<br>Ferez<sup>2</sup> Paesschen", Alec Aeby", Olivier Bodart', Evelien Carrette", Niall Holmes", Matthew Brookes", Maxime<br>Ferez<sup>2</sup>, Pierre Corvilain<sup>2</sup>, Xavier De Tiège<sup>2,3</sup><br><sup>1</sup> Université libre de Bruxelles (ULB), Hôpital Universitaire d

Ferez", Pierre Corvilain", Xavier De Tiège<sup>2,3</sup><br><sup>1</sup> Université libre de Bruxelles (ULB), H<sub>'</sub><br>Department of neurology, Bruxelles, Belgiu  $\frac{1}{2}$ t,

Université libre de Bruxelles, Belgium.<br>Université libre de Bruxelles (ULB), ULB Neuroscience Institute (UNI), Laboratoire de neuroanatomie<br>et neuroimagerie translationnelles (LN2T), Bruxelles, Belgium. Provident of the state of the set of the set of the neuroimagerie translationnelles (LN2T), Brussel<br>Bruxelles (LN2T), Brussel<br>Bruxelles (ULB), Hôpit 2

Université libre de Bruxelles (LN2T), Bruxelles, Belgium.<br>Université libre de Bruxelles (ULB), Hôpital Universitaire de Bruxelles (HUB), Hôpital Erasme,<br>Department of translational neuroimaging, Bruxelles, Belgium. et neuroimagene translationnelles (ULB), Hôpital Universitaire<br>Department of translational neuroimaging, Bruxelles, Belgium.<br><sup>4</sup> Yale University, Department of neurology, New Haven, CT, US. Ĭ University of translational neuroimaging, Bruxelles, Belgium.<br>Vale University, Department of neurology, New Haven, CT, USA.<br>Katholieke Universiteit Leuven (KULeuven), Universitair Ziekenhuis Leuven (UZ Leuven), Department

1

<sup>4</sup> Yale University, Department of neurology, New Haven, CT, USA<br><sup>5</sup> Katholieke Universiteit Leuven (KULeuven), Universitair Zieker<br>of neurology, Leuven, Belgium Yale Universiteit Leuven (KULeuven), Universitair Ziekenh<br>Statholieke Universiteit Leuven (KULeuven), Universitair Ziekenh<br>State Université libre de Bruxelles (ULB), Hôpital Universitaire de Brux Ĭ,

Katholieke Université libre de Bruxelles (ULB), Hôpital Universitaire de Bruxelles (HUB), Hôpital universitaire des<br>Enfants Reine Fabiola (HUDERF), Department of pediatric neurology, Bruxelles, Belgium. of Université libre de Bruxelles (<br>enfants Reine Fabiola (HUDERF)<br><sup>7</sup> Université de Liège (ULiège), Ĭ

Université de Liège (ULIège), Department of pediatric neurology, Bruxelles, Belgium.<br>Université de Liège (ULIège), Centre hospitalier universitaire de Liège (CHU Liège), Department of<br>Nopital universitaire de Liège (CHU Li enfants Reine Fabiola (Huderf), Sentre Fabiola (Huderf), Sendinia (Huderf), Diplometrology, Liège, Belgium.<br>The pediatric neurology, Liège, Belgium.<br>Referèncient dent des Reinessen (Huderf), Department of neurology, Ge  $\overline{a}$ Universiteit Gent, Universitair Ziekenhuis Gent (UZ Gent), Department of neurology, Gent, Belgium<br>University of Nottingham, School of Physics and Astronomy, Sir Peter Mansfield Imaging Centre,

 $\ddot{\phantom{0}}$ 

and the gy, 2007 2008<br><sup>9</sup> Universiteit Gent, Univers<br>Nottingham, United Kingdo University of Nottingham, School of Physics and Astronomy, Sir Peter Mansfield Imaging Centre<br>Nottingham, United Kingdom  $\overline{ }$ University of Nottingham, School of Physics and Astronomy, Sir Peter Manusca Imaging Centre,<br>Nottingham, United Kingdom<br>Corresponding author: Odile Feys, Laboratoire de neuroanatomie et neuroimagerie translationnelles,

Nottingham, United Kingdom<br>Corresponding author: Odile F<br>808 Lennik Street, 1070 Bruxe (<br>} Corresponding and the Sorresponding and Sorresponding and Sorresponding and Sorresponding and Sorresponding and<br>The Feys Multiple Corresponding translations of the neuroinnelles of the neuroinnelles. This preprint reports<br>

#### Abstract

extra-TLE (ETLE). The advent of optically pumped magnetometers (OPMs) might change this thanks to<br>on-scalp MEG, which allows sensors to be placed closer to the brain and the design of bespoke sensor<br>arrays to target specif extra-TLE (ETLE). The adventus of the state of optically pumped mandial on-scalp MEG, which allows sensors to be placed closer to the brain and the design of bespoke sensor<br>arrays to target specific brain regions. This stu on-scalp MEG, which allows sensors to be placed close to the brain and meaning. The periodicity<br>arrays to target specific brain regions. This study aims to investigate the detection and localization<br>accuracy of interictal arrays to target specific train regions. This study aims to investigate the detection and resultation<br>accuracy of interictal epileptiform discharges (IEDs) using on-scalp MEG in TLE and the added-value of<br>face-OPMs for tem

face-OPMs for temporal IED detection/localization.<br>Eleven patients underwent a 1-h MEG recording with OPMs placed both on the scalp (flexible cap,<br>scalp-OPMs) and on-the face (3D-printed-glass-like structure, face-OPMs). N face-or-interaction-promate interaction, resultation.<br>Fleven patients underwent a 1-h MEG recording w<br>scalp-OPMs) and on the face (3D-printed glass<br>underwent cryogenic MEG. IEDs were visually de Eleven paneling underwent a 2-h MEG recording with OPMs patients and on the face (3D-printed glass-like structure, face-OPMs). Nine patients also<br>underwent cryogenic MEG. IEDs were visually detected, averaged and localized scale and order went cryogenic MEG. IEDs were visually detected, averaged and localized using distributed<br>Source reconstruction. On-scalp MEG IED amplitude and signal-to-noise (SNR) were assessed and<br>Compared with cryogeni source reconstruction. On-scalp MEG IED amplitude and signal-to-noise (SNR) were assessed and<br>compared with cryogenic MEG when more than 10 IEDs were detected. Neural sources with and<br>without face-OPMs were compared. The c source reconstruction. On-scalp MEG Imaginality and signal-to-noise (SNR) were detected and<br>compared with cryogenic MEG when more than 10 IEDs were detected. Neural sources with and<br>without face-OPMs were compared. The cor

without face-OPMs were compared. The correlation between face- and scalp-OPMs was assessed.<br>A mean of 13 IEDs/patient was detected using on-scalp MEG (mean amplitude: 3.3pT, mean SNR: 9.4)<br>and localized in the (medial, ant A mean of 13 IEDs/patient was detected using on-scalp MEG (mean amplitude: 3.3pT, mean SNR: 9<br>and localized in the (medial, anterior, basal, lateral or posterior) temporal lobe. Three patients ł<br>>10 IEDs in on-scalp and cr A mean of 13 IEDs, patient was detected using on-scalp MEG (mean amplitude stop), mean start with and<br>and localized in the (medial, anterior, basal, lateral or posterior) temporal lobe. Three patients had<br>5.10 IEDs in on-s and leads in on-scalp and cryogenic MEG signals with amplitude and SNR that were either higher or<br>similar for the on-scalp MEG recording compared with cryogenic MEG, and sources were separated<br>by 8-11 mm. In two other pati similar for the on-scalp MEG recording compared with cryogenic MEG, and sources were separated<br>by 8-11 mm. In two other patients, on-scalp MEG source locations were confirmed by gold-standard<br>methods (surgical resection ca by 8-11 mm. In two other patients, on-scalp MEG source locations were confirmed by gold-standard<br>methods (surgical resection cavity, n=1; stereo-electroencephalography, n=1). Face-OPMs had a clear<br>added-value (i.e., IED de methods (surgical resection cavity, n=1; stereo-electroencephalography, n=1). Face-OPMs had a clear<br>added-value (i.e., IED detection and localization) in one patient with antero-medial TLE. Face-OPM<br>signals were correlated added-value (i.e., IED detection and localization) in one patient with antero-medial TLE. Face-OPM<br>signals were correlated with scalp-OPM signals in most patients, showing that face-OPMs recorded<br>brain activity. brain activity.<br>This study shows that on-scalp MEG is able to detect and localize IEDs in TLE and to discriminate

shain activity.<br>This study shows that on-scalp MEG is able to detect and localize IEDs in TLE and to discriminate<br>irritative zones from different key (medial, anterior, basal, lateral or postero-lateral) temporal areas brain activity.<br>This study sho<br>irritative zone<br>with similar o This study shows that one study (medial, anterior, basal, lateral or postero-lateral) temporal areas<br>irritative zones from different key (medial, anterior, basal, lateral or postero-lateral) temporal areas<br>with similar or inferent and different medial in the temporal of the temporal state is provided with similar or enhanced SNR than cryogenic MEG. Face-OPMs have a clear added-value in patients with anterior/medial TLE and increase the spat with anterior/medial TLE and increase the spatial coverage of the temporal lobe. This study paves the<br>with anterior/medial TLE and increase the spatial coverage of the temporal lobe. This study paves the with anterior medial TLE and increase the spatial coverage of the temporal lobe. This study part increase the spatial coverage of the temporal lobe. This study part is study part increase the spatial coverage of the spati

affecting the temporal lobe such as, e.g., Alzheimer's disease. affecting the temporal lobe such as, e.g., Alzheimer's disease.

#### Introduction

Erry Cryogen assessment of refractory focal epilepsy (RFE), but with a lower yield in temporal lobe epilepsy (TLE)<br>compared with extratemporal lobe epilepsy (ETLE) (1,2). This is partly because brain magnetic field<br>amplitu compared with extratemporal lobe epilepsy (ETLE) (1,2). This is partly because brain magnetic field<br>amplitude decreases with the square of brain-to-sensor distance and due to the low coverage of the<br>temporal lobe leading t compared with extramely control open.<br>
amplitude decreases with the square of brain-to-sensor distance and due to the low coverage of the<br>
temporal lobe leading to partial pick-up of the magnetic field pattern (3). The loc temporal lobe leading to partial pick-up of the magnetic field pattern (3). The localization accuracy of<br>cryogenic MEG for medial temporal interictal epileptiform discharges (IEDs) is thus limited due to<br>their deep onset t temporal MEG for medial temporal interictal epileptiform discharges (IEDs) is thus limited due to<br>their deep onset that reduces their detection sensitivity and to rapid anterior or latero-posterior<br>temporal neocortical pro cryogenic MEG for meaning cryogenic MEG for medial temporal interior or latero-posterior<br>temporal neocortical propagation pathways that are more easily picked-up by MEG sensors (4,5).<br>Cryogenic cooling requires MEG sensors temporal neocortical propagation pathways that are more easily picked-up by MEG sensors (4,5).<br>Cryogenic cooling requires MEG sensors to be housed in an adult-sized rigid helmet with a thermally<br>insulated space that negati the correction of the more in the correction of the correction pathways experience cooling requires MEG sensors to be housed in an adult-sized rigid helmet with a therm<br>insulated-space that negatively impacts MEG signal-to Insulated space that negatively impacts MEG signal-to-noise ratio (SNR; especially in medial TLE) (6)<br>and complicates MEG recordings in non-compliant or low head circumference patients (7). The<br>advent of miniaturized optic insulated space that negatively impacts MEG signal-to-noise ratio (stin) supporting (MR). The<br>advent of miniaturized optically pumped magnetometers (OPMs) paved the way to the development<br>of on-scalp MEG (8) with a possibl advent of miniaturized optically pumped magnetometers (OPMs) paved the way to the development<br>of on-scalp MEG (8) with a possible increase in SNR due to reduced brain-to-sensor distance (9) and a<br>higher lifespan/movement c advent of on-scalp MEG (8) with a possible increase in SNR due to reduced brain-to-sensor distance (9) and a<br>higher lifespan/movement compliance (10,11). Case-series demonstrated that on-scalp MEG is able<br>to detect and loc of one start and localize (8) with a possible increased to reduced a taking to state the due to detect and localize IEDs with similar or increased SNR compared with cryogenic MEG (9,12,13).<br>Furthermore, simultaneous on-sca to detect and localize IEDs with similar or increased SNR compared with cryogenic MEG (9,12,13).<br>Furthermore, simultaneous on-scalp MEG and stereo-electroencephalography (SEEG) recordings<br>ultimately demonstrated that on-sc to detect and localize IEDs with similar or increased SNR compared with cryogenic MEG (9,12,13). Furthermore, simultimately demonstrated that on-scalp MEG is able to accurately detect and localize IEDs from medial<br>Examporal structures (14) similarly to cryogenic MEG (15). Still, the demonstration of the ability of ontemporal structures (14) similarly to cryogenic MEG (15). Still, the demonstration of the ability of on-<br>scalp MEG to record IEDs from medial temporal lobe is limited to one case (14) and requires further<br>confirmation.

A clear strength of on-scalp MEG is that it allows the design of bespoke sensor arrays tailored to scalp MEG to record IEDs from medial temporal temporal is limited to the temporal temporal temporal confirmation.<br>A clear strength of on-scalp MEG is that it allows the design of bespoke sensor arrays tailored to target sp A clear streng<br>target specific<br>(16). For exam A standard of ones, the only that is that it allows the design of design of the density and the design that it<br>target specific brain regions with high sensor density or specific OPM locations to improve sensitivity<br>(16). F target specific start regions with high sensor density of specific or the text is in procedured.<br>(16). For example, mouth OPMs enhanced on-scalp MEG sensitivity to task-based hippocampal theta-<br>band neural oscillations (17 electroencephalography (EEG) electrodes that were historically used to increase the detection<br>electroencephalography (EEG) electrodes that were historically used to increase the detection electroencephalography (EEG) electrodes that were historically used to increase the detection sensitivity of mesial temporal epileptiform discharges (18). Similarly, high-density (256 electrodes)<br>EEG involves electrodes that cover the face to increase sensitivity to certain neural sources (19). Further, theoretical considerations on the physics of neuromagnetism demonstrated the benefits of increasing sensor coverage area from a purely scalp coverage by increasing the field-of-view of MEG<br>and making MEG more sensitive to neuromagnetic fields (20). By bringing sensors closer to the brain<br>and allowing the place and making MEG more sensitive to neuromagnetic fields (20). By bringing sensors closer to the brain<br>and allowing the placement of additional OPMs on the face, on-scalp MEG could thus increase the<br>yield of MEG in TLE.

This study thus aimed at (i) investigating the ability of on-scalp MEG based on OPMs to detect and yield of MEG in TLE.<br>This study thus aimed at (i) investigating the ability of on-scalp MEG based on OPMs to detect and<br>localize IEDs in eleven patients with TLE, (ii) assessing the added-value of OPMs on the face for This study thus aime<br>localize IEDs in elevent<br>temporal IED detect This study thus anti-aimed at (i) interagating the annity of on-scalp the ability of on-scalp and localize IEDs in eleven patients with TLE, (ii) assessing the added-value of OPMs on the face for temporal IED detection, an temporal IED detection, and (iii) compared the SNR of temporal IED between on-scalp MEG and<br>cryogenic MEG. temporal IED detection, and (iii) compared the SNR of temporal IED between on-scale<br>International IED between on-scale Methods<br>IED between on-scale IED between on-scale MEG and the SNR of temporal IED between on-scale IED

# cryogenic MEG.<br>Methods<br>*Patients* Methods

#### Patients

|
|<br>E Eleven patients with TLE underwent a 1-h on-scalp MEG recording based on the following inclusion<br>criteria: (i) clinical follow-up in a tertiary university hospital, (ii) occurrence of IEDs originating from<br>the temporal lob criteria: (i) clinical follow-up in a tertiary university insperiency (ii) clearance of IEDs originating from<br>the temporal lobe during previous clinical short-term EEG, 24-h video-EEG or clinical MEG, (iii) ability<br>to rema to remain still for a 1-h recording (adults and children > 6-years-old), and (iv) written informed<br>consent from the patient and legal representative for children. Patients optionally underwent a 1-h<br>cryogenic MEG recording to remain the patient and legal representative for children. Patients optionally underwent a 1-h<br>cryogenic MEG recording when not done for clinical purpose.<br>This study was approved by the institutional ethics committee fro cryogenic MEG recording when not done for clinical purpose.<br>This study was approved by the institutional ethics committee from CUB Hôpital Erasme (P2019/426).

cryogenic MEG recording when not done for clinical purpose.<br>This study was approved by the institutional ethics committee.<br>Data acquisition

## Data acquisition

On-scalp MEG was performed using a combination of both bi-axial and tri-axial OPMs (2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> |
|
|} On-scalp MEG was performed using a combination of both bi-axial and tri-axial OPMs (2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>nd</sup><br>generation (QuSpin Inc, USA), with a number depending on technical availability; gain: 2.7 V/nT, signal<br>fed to a digital a generation (Quispin Inc. USA), with a number of technical instruments), sampling rate: 1,200Hz, no band-pass filter)<br>fed to a digital acquisition unit (National Instruments), sampling rate: 1,200Hz, no band-pass filter) fed to a digital acquisition unit (National Instruments), sampling rate: 1,200Hz, no band-pass filter),  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

placed on the scalp using a flexible EEG-like cap (EasyCap GmbH, Germany) on which home-made 3Dprinted OPM sensor holders were sewn. OPMs were preferentially positioned to cover the temporal<br>lobes according to the conventional 10-10 placement, and at additional positions around the ears on<br>the flexible EEG-like cap the flexible EEG-like cap (Figure 1). Additionally, following the framework of mouth OPMs and<br>sphenoidal EEG electrodes, four OPMs were positioned at level of the maxillary sinuses (henceforth<br>referred to as "face-OPMs" in the flexible EEG-like cap (Figure 1). Additionally, following the framework of mouth OPMs and sphenoidal EEG electrodes, four OPMs were positioned at level of the maxillary sinuses (henceforth<br>referred to as "face-OPMs" in contrast with "scalp-OPMs" for those positioned on the scalp, still we<br>shall continue to refe sphenolal EEG electrodes, four OPMs were positioned at level of the maximum, sinuscry (henceforth)<br>referred to as "face-OPMs" in contrast with "scalp-OPMs" for those positioned on the scalp, still we<br>shall continue to refe referred to as "face-OPMs" in contrast with "scalp-OPMs" for those positioned on the scalp, still we<br>shall continue to refer to "on-scalp MEG" as the OPM montage that includes both face-OPMs and<br>scalp-OPMs) using a 3D-prin scalp-OPMs) using a 3D-printed homemade designed glasses-like structure (Figure 1). These face-<br>OPMs were used to maximize patients' comfort (compared with mouth-OPMs) and increase the<br>sensitivity to the spatial characteri opensitivity to the spatial characteristics of hippocampal magnetic fields (17). On-scalp MEG recordings<br>took place in a dedicated magnetically shielded room (MSR; Compact MuRoom (Cerca Magnetics Ltd,<br>UK)) equipped with ac sensitivity of the spatial characteristics field<br>
17. On-scalip MEG recording MEG recording CON-sc took place in a dedicated magnetically shielded room (MSR; Compact MuRoom (Cerca Magnetics Ltd, UK)) equipped with active shielding using field nulling coils that reduced the remnant magnetic field<br>
<1 nT (21). Digitization of OPMs' localization/orientation and face/head points was based on 3D<br>
optical scans (Einscan UK)<br>
Version of COMS (Incalization/orientation and face/head points was based on 3D<br>
optical scans (Einscan (Shining 3D); Figure 1). The set-up was adapted from (9–11,14). Patients were<br>
in comfortable sitting position, ey optical scans (Einscan (Shining 3D); Figure 1). The set-up was adapted from (9–11,14). Patients were<br>in comfortable sitting position, eyes closed, encouraged to fall asleep in order to induce IEDs (22), but<br>not sleep depri optical scans (Einscan (Einscan (Shining 3D); Figure 1). The set-up was analyzed from (9–11,14). Patients were<br>in comfortable sitting position, eyes closed, encouraged to fall asleep in order to induce IEDs (22), but<br>not s in component on-scalp MEG recording after<br>in component on-scalp MEG recording after<br>their clinical cryogenic MEG recording (Triux, MEGIN, Finland; 204 planar gradiometers, 102<br>magnetometers; sampling rate, 1,000 Hz; band-p their clinical cryogenic MEG recording (Triux, MEGIN, Finland; 204 planar gradiometers, 102 magnetometers; sampling rate, 1,000 Hz; band-pass filter, 0.1-300 Hz, supine position) performed in a lightweight MSR (Maxshield (MEGIN), set-up detailed in (23)) in the context of presurgical assessment<br>of RFE (as described in (2)). Six other patients were proposed to undergo a cryogenic MEG in the<br>sitting position after of RFE (as described in (2)). Six other patients were proposed to undergo a cryogenic MEG in the sitting pocurrence/duration during the subsequent second recording. During cryogenic MEG, patients' head<br>position was continuously tracked using four head position indicator coils. These coils and  $\sim$ 300<br>face/scalp point occurrence/duration during the subsequent second recording. During cryogenic MEG, patients' head<br>position was continuously tracked using four head position indicator coils. These coils and ~300 position was continuously tracked using four head position indicator coils. These coils and ~300 face/scalp points were digitized relative to anatomic fiducials using an electromagnetic tracker (Fastrack Polhemus, USA). Tw position was continuously tracked using four head position indicator coils. These coils and ∼300<br>face/scalp points were digitized relative to anatomic fiducials using an electromagnetic tracker<br>(Fastrack Polhemus, USA). T (Fastrack Polhemus, USA). Two patients (patients 1 and 6) did not undergo cryogenic MEG due to the unavailability of the device for technical reasons (Table 1). Structural 3D T1-wheighted brain magnetic unavailability of the device for technical reasons (Table 1). Structural 3D T1-wheighted brain magnetic

were offered a new structural brain MRI if the previous one was  $\geq$ 10 years old for adults or  $\geq$ 2 years old for children. were offered a new structural brain MRI if the previous one was ≥10 years old for adults or ≥2 years<br>old for children.<br><mark>Data preprocessing and analyses</mark>

## Data preprocessing and analyses

<mark>Data preprocess</mark><br>On-scalp MEG ( ו<br>)<br>( On-scalp MEG data were denoised using principal component analysis (removal of the first 15<br>components, optimal number based in visual inspection). Cryogenic MEG data used signal space separation with movement correction (Maxfilter, MEGIN, Finland) (25). MEG data were restricted to<br>the 102 magnetometers for comparability (9). Both on-scalp and cryogenic MEG data were then<br>band-pass filtered between 3-40 the 102 magnetometers for comparability (9). Both on-scalp and cryogenic MEG data were then<br>band-pass filtered between 3-40 Hz.<br>Patients' structural brain MRI's were segmented using Freesurfer (26) and co-registered with o

the 102 magnetometers for comparation, (9). Both on-scalp land cryogenic measurable land were mean<br>band-pass filtered between 3-40 Hz.<br>MEG digitalization using the face surface based on the method (27) implemented in the o patients' structural brain MRI's were<br>MEG digitalization using the face sur<br>Blender software, and with cryogen MEG digitalization using the face surface based on the method (27) implemented in the open-source<br>Blender software, and with cryogenic MEG digitalization using anatomic fiducials and head surface<br>points via the MRIIab soft MEG MgCMMERIER and with cryogenic MEG digitalization using anatomic fiducials and head surface<br>points via the MRIIab software (MEGIN, Finland). Forward models were computed using the one-layer<br>boundary element method (28). Blender software, and with cryogenic MEG anglication and grandenic material and head surface<br>points via the MRIIab software (MEGIN, Finland). Forward models were computed using the one-layer<br>boundary element method (28).<br>I

poundary element method (28).<br>IEDs were visually detected in both on-scalp and cryogenic MEG signals. Data were epoched around<br>the peak of each detected IED and IEDs with similar spatio-temporal features were averaged. Sou because, estably detected in both<br>IEDs were visually detected in both<br>the peak of each detected IED and<br>localization was performed on t IEDS WERE VISUALLY MEDICALLY DETAILS WERE DRIVING IN DEPTATION AND THE USAN WERE PERSONALLY AROUND THE USE ON-<br>Include the peak of each detected IED and IEDs with similar spatio-temporal features were averaged. Source<br>Ioca localization was performed on the averaged IED magnetic pattern at the peak time using custom-<br>made dynamic statistical parametric mapping (29) with estimation of the noise covariance from<br>baseline data (-100 to -50ms befo Peak IED amplitude and SNR (i.e., ratio of peak amplitude over baseline standard deviation) were baseline data (-100 to -50ms before the peak of IED) and regularization from the global SNR (30).<br>Peak IED amplitude and SNR (i.e., ratio of peak amplitude over baseline standard deviation) were<br>assessed at the channel sho baseline data (-100 to -50ms before the peak of the regularization) in Peak IED amplitude and SNR (i.e., ratio of peak amplitude over baseline standard deviation) is<br>assessed at the channel showing maximal IED response. Re assessed at the channel showing maximal IED response. Resulting values from on-scalp MEG and cryogenic MEG were compared using two-sided unpaired t tests (significance at  $p < 0.05$ ) when a<br>sufficient number ( $\geq$ 10) of IEDs was available in both data sets to allow proper statistical comparison<br>and avoid small sa sufficient number  $(\geq 10)$  of IEDs was available in both data sets to allow proper statistical comparison sufficient number (≥10) of IEDs was available in both data sets to allow proper statistical comparison<br>and avoid small sample biases. The location of IED neural source obtained with on-scalp MEG was<br>qualitatively describe qualitatively described and its distance to that of cryogenic MEG IED was quantitatively compared.<br>qualitatively described and its distance to that of cryogenic MEG IED was quantitatively compared. qualitatively described and its distance to that of cryogenic MEG IED was quantitatively compared.

With the aim to investigate the differences between ETLE and TLE, we compared the IED amplitude<br>and SNR of patients from this study (TLE: n=11) to other patients from other studies that used the<br>same set-up with flexible same set-up with flexible cap (9,10,31) (ETLE: n=7) using unpaired t-test. The low number of IEDs similar comparison for the distance between cryogenic and on-scalp MEG sources using unpaired t-<br>test (TLE: n=9; ETLE: n=5 data extracted from (9)). (<10) in some patients with TLE is acceptable for this analysis at the group level. We also performed a<br>similar comparison for the distance between cryogenic and on-scalp MEG sources using unpaired *t-*<br>test (TLE: n=9; ETL

similar comparison for the distance between cryogenic and on-scalp MEG sources using unpaired t-<br>test (TLE: n=9; ETLE: n=5 data extracted from (9)).<br>To investigate the added-value of face-OPMs, the distance between the neu To investigate the added-value of face-OPMs, the<br>from both face- and scalp-OPMs vs. scalp-OPMs of<br>Changes in OPM proximity to brain source brough

from both face- and scalp-OPMs vs. scalp-OPMs only was reported.<br>Changes in OPM proximity to brain source brought by face-OPMs was also assessed by reporting the<br>distance between the IED source localization (based on both from both face- and scalp-OPMs to brain source brought by face-OPMs w<br>distance between the IED source localization (based on both face-<br>or scalp-OPMs for each patient and comparing them with two-side Changes in OPM proximity of brain source independently the OPM material and scalp-OPMs) and either face-<br>OPM proximity face-OPMs for each patient and comparing them with two-sided paired *t*-test (p < 0.05). A larger<br>dista to IED activity. We further assessed whether face- and scalp-OPMs sense similar brain activity using or scalp Or Ms for each patient and comparing them with two-sided paircult test (p < 0.05). A larger<br>distance for face-OPMs compared to scalp-OPMs would likely indicate lesser sensitivity of face-OPMs<br>to IED activity. We f canonical correlation, i.e., a multivariate measure of temporal correlation between the set of signals<br>(averaged IED time course) recorded by face-OPMs and the set of signals recorded by scalp-OPMs. to IED activity. The further assessed whence where an<br>action permutation between the set of signals (averaged IED time course) recorded by face-OPMs and the set of signals recorded by scalp-OPMs.<br>For each patient, statisti canonical correlation, i.e., a multiparty correlation is extended to the set of signals recorded by scalp-OPMs.<br>For each patient, statistical significance of this canonical correlation was established non-<br>parametrically b For each patient, statistical significance of this canonical correlation was established non-<br>parametrically by generating 10,000 sets of surrogate face- and scalp-OPMs rendered independent by<br>global randomization of the F structure within each set) and estimating the  $p$  value as the fraction of surrogates whose canonical parametrically by generating 10,000 sets of same galaxies and scalp-of the correlation structure within each set) and estimating the p value as the fraction of surrogates whose canonical correlation exceeded the original e global randomization of the Fourier phase of each set of signals (hence preserving the correlation<br>structure within each set) and estimating the p value as the fraction of surrogates whose canonical<br>correlation exceeded th structure with the correlation exceeded the original estimate.<br>
Results correlation exceeded the original estimate.<br>
Results<br>
Eleven patients (4F/7M) with TLE were included. Demographic and clinical data are detailed in Table

#### Results

 $\begin{array}{c} \n\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \n\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{$ 1. Depending on technical availability, from 43 to 53 OPMs were used, leading to 98 to 127 usable<br>channels/patient (Table 2). IEDs were detected with on-scalp MEG in all patients (mean number of 1. Depending on technical availability, from 43 to 53 to 12 to 13 to 13, to 127 a latents<br>channels/patient (Table 2). IEDs were detected with on-scalp MEG in all patients (mean number of<br>IEDs: 13 IEDs/patient, mean amplitu EDs: 13 IEDs/patient, mean amplitude of IEDs: 3.3 pT, mean SNR of IEDs: 9.4) and localized in the<br>IEDs: 13 IEDs/patient, mean amplitude of IEDs: 3.3 pT, mean SNR of IEDs: 9.4) and localized in the IEDs: 13 IEDs/patient, mean amplitude of IEDs: 3.3 pT, mean SNR of IEDs: 9.4) and localized in the

temporal lobe (anterior, n=2; medial, n=2; basal, n=3; lateral, n=2; posterior, n=2; Table 2). Four<br>patients had a sufficient number ( $\geq 10$ ) of IEDs to have amplitude/SNR statistically compared with<br>cryogenic MEG IEDs, patients had a sufficient number (≥ 10) of IEDs to have amplitude/SNR statistically compared with<br>cryogenic MEG IEDs, but one of them did not undergo cryogenic MEG due to a technical issue.<br>Among the three other patients, cryogenic Meganized SMR of IEDs with on-scalp MEG,<br>Among the three other patients, Patient 3 had higher amplitude and SNR of IEDs with on-scalp MEG,<br>Patient 9 had higher amplitude but similar SNR, and Patient 10 had simila Patient 9 had higher amplitude but similar SNR, and Patient 10 had similar amplitude and SNR with<br>both modalities (Table 2). On-scalp and cryogenic MEG sources were separated by 6-16 mm (Figure<br>2). Patient 6 underwent ster Patient 9 had higher amplitude but similar SNR, and Patient 21 had similar amplitude and SNR with<br>both modalities (Table 2). On-scalp and cryogenic MEG sources were separated by 6-16 mm (Figure<br>2). Patient 6 underwent ster both modalities (Table 2). On strategies and cryogenic measurements to repond the localization of<br>2). Patient 6 underwent stereo-electroencephalography (SEEG), which confirmed the localization of<br>the irritative zone highli the irritative zone highlighted by on-scalp MEG. Patient 11 underwent resective surgery with spatial<br>concordance between the irritative zone highlighted by on-scalp MEG and the resection cavity (Figure 3). In 10/11 patients, face-OPMs did not substantially modify the IED source localization compared<br>with scalp-OPMs only (mean distance between sources for patients 2 to 11: 3.5 mm, range: 0-11 mm; concordance between the institution and ingitiative processing the the intertestion compared<br>3). In 10/11 patients, face-OPMs did not substantially modify the IED source localization compared<br>with scalp-OPMs only (mean dis with scalp-OPMs only (mean distance between sources for patients 2 to 11: 3.5 mm, range: 0-11 mm;<br>Table 3). In Patient 1, IEDs were mostly observed on left face-OPMs compared with scalp-OPMs,<br>which substantially impacted s Table 3). In Patient 1, IEDs were mostly observed on left face-OPMs compared with scalp-OPMs,<br>which substantially impacted source reconstruction (Table 3 & Figure 4). Overall, face-OPMs were<br>significantly more distant fro Table 3). In Patient 1, IED with the investment the state fact fact fact fact fact face-OPMs were<br>significantly more distant from IED neural sources than scalp-OPMs (Table 3, p = 3.26x10<sup>-7</sup>). In 9 of<br>these 10 patients, a significantly more distant from IED neural sources than scalp-OPMs (Table 3,  $p = 3.26 \times 10^{-7}$ ). In 9 of these 10 patients, a significant correlation was found between face- and scalp-OPM signals suggesting that face-OPMs significantly more distant from IED neural sources than scalp-OPMs (Table 3, p = 3.26x10 '). In 9 of<br>these 10 patients, a significant correlation was found between face- and scalp-OPM signals<br>suggesting that face-OPMs were

these 10 patients, a significant correlation was found activity (Table 3 & Figure 5).<br>Suggesting that face-OPMs were recording corresponding brain activity (Table 3 & Figure 5).<br>The reported amplitudes of IEDs (mean: 3.3 p The reported amplitudes of IEDs (mean: 3.3 pT, range:  $1.4$ -7.2 pT) detected by on-scalp ME<br>to be smaller than ETLE IEDs (mean: 6.0 pT, range:  $2.5$ -9.9 pT,  $p = 0.05$ ), with significantly l<br>(TLE, mean: 9.4, range: 3.4-21. The report of the smaller than ETLE IEDs (mean: 6.0 pT, range: 2.5-9.9 pT,  $p = 0.05$ ), with significantly lower SNR<br>(TLE, mean: 9.4, range: 3.4-21.5; ETLE, mean: 14. (TLE, mean: 9.4, range: 3.4-21.5; ETLE, mean: 14.3, range: 11.1-21.3;  $p = 0.02$ ). The distance between cryogenic and on-scalp MEG sources did not differ significantly between TLE and ETLE (TLE: 6 to 16 mm, ETLE: 4 to 16 m cryogenic and on-scalp MEG sources did not differ significantly between TLE and ETLE (TLE: 6 to 16<br>mm, ETLE: 4 to 16 mm, p = 0.23). cryogenic and on-scalp MEG sources divisions and  $\log$  metallity between TLE (TLE: 6 to 16 mm, p = 0.23).  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ 

#### **Discussion**

This study demonstrates in a group of 11 patients with TLE that on-scalp MEG can accurately detect and localize temporal IEDs. It also shows that additional face-OPMs may play a key role in some patient for the detection o patient for the detection of antero-mesial temporal IEDs.<br>It also shows that additional face-OPMS may play a key role in that additional face-OPMS may play a key role i<br>It also shows that a key role in some in the sound of

#### Yield of on-scalp MEG in TLE

To the best of our knowledge, this study reports the most important population of epileptic patients To the best of our knowledge, this study reports the incerting change population of epileptic patients<br>investigated using on-scalp MEG to date. The lower SNR and tendency for a lower amplitude in TLE<br>compared with ETLE is compared with ETLE is probably due to the deep location and partial coverage of the irritative zone in<br>some of the included patients (Patients 1, 8-10; Table 2). Two previous case-studies also investigated<br>patients with TL some of the included patients (Patients 1, 8-10; Table 2). Two previous case-studies also investigated<br>patients with TLE using on-scalp MEG (12,14). Amplitudes and SNR of epileptiform discharges cannot<br>be compared with our patients with TLE using on-scalp MEG (12,14). Amplitudes and SNR of epileptiform discharges cannot<br>be compared with our data due to the use of rigid helmets in both of them, the study of temporal<br>ictal discharges on the on patients with TLE and CO is temporated and state of spinghetering at entirely increased be compared with our data due to the use of rigid helmets in both of them, the study of temporal ictal discharges on the one hand (12) ictal discharges on the one hand (12), and the presence of simultaneous SEEG electrodes on the<br>other hand (14). The distance between cryogenic and on-scalp MEG neural sources does not<br>significantly differ between TLE patie other hand (14). The distance between cryogenic and on-scalp MEG neural sources does not<br>significantly differ between TLE patients and ETLE patients, which confirms the ability of on-scalp<br>MEG to discriminate a large varie significantly differ between TLE patients and ETLE patients, which confirms the ability of on-scalp<br>MEG to discriminate a large variety (medial, anterior, basal, lateral, posterior) of temporal sources.<br>Among the 11 includ Significantly different of the different of temporal sources.<br>Significantly different patients, and a sufficient number of IEDs detected with on-scalp and<br>Cryogenic MEG to allow proper comparison between the two modalities MEG TO discriminate a large variety (medial, anterior, based, number of IEDs detected with on-scalp a<br>cryogenic MEG to allow proper comparison between the two modalities. In contrast with a<br>previous studies, this compariso cryogenic MEG to allow proper comparison between the two modalities. In contrast with our<br>previous studies, this comparison was based on a similar number of magnetic field sensors (i.e., 102<br>cryogenic magnetometers vs. 102 previous studies, this comparison was based on a similar number of magnetic field sensors (i.e., 102 cryogenic magnetometers vs. 102-116 OPM channels,  $p = 0.31$ ). It showed that IED amplitude and<br>SNR were either similar or higher with on-scalp MEG than with cryogenic MEG, similarly to previous<br>results in children with ET SNR were either similar or higher with on-scalp MEG than with cryogenic MEG, similarly to previous<br>results in children with ETLE (9). First, Patient 3 had a higher IED amplitude and higher IED SNR with<br>on-scalp MEG than cr results in children with ETLE (9). First, Patient 3 had a higher IED amplitude and higher IED SNR with<br>on-scalp MEG than cryogenic MEG, which is comparable to our previous study in school-aged children<br>(9) despite higher h on-scalp MEG than cryogenic MEG, which is comparable to our previous study in school-aged children<br>(9) despite higher head circumference and lower IED frequency. Second, Patient 9 had a higher IED<br>amplitude with on-scalp M on-scalp MEG than cryogenic MEG than cryogenic MEG, which is comparable to our previous study in school-aged children<br>amplitude with on-scalp MEG but similar SNR using both on-scalp and cryogenic MEG. The cryogenic<br>MEG was amplitude with on-scalp MEG but similar SNR using both on-scalp and cryogenic MEG. The cryogenic MEG was recorded in the supine position before (in the morning) on-scalp MEG recording in the MEG was recorded in the suppression before  $\langle i \rangle$  and  $\langle j \rangle$  are cording in the morning in the morn

sitting position (in the afternoon, same day). This could have negatively impacted sleep quality during<br>on-scalp MEG due to IEDs (32) and/or noise modifications due to movement differences between sleep and wakefulness. Third, Patient 10 had similar IED amplitude/SNR, but with an interval between<br>on-scalp and cryogenic MEG of 15 months, which renders difficult the interpretation of this<br>comparison. Similarly, the de on-scalp and cryogenic MEG of 15 months, which renders difficult the interpretation of this<br>comparison. Similarly, the detection of a second irritative zone in that patient is difficult to interpret<br>because of this delay. comparison. Similarly, the detection of a second irritative zone in that patient is difficult to interpret<br>because of this delay. Despite those limitations, these comparative results are of critical importance<br>as they sugg because of this delay. Despite those limitations, these comparative results are of critical importance<br>as they suggest, as shown previously in other cases of ETLE (9), that on-scalp MEG is non-inferior, and<br>in some cases s as they suggest, as shown previously in other cases of ETLE (9), that on-scalp MEG is non-inferior, and<br>in some cases superior, to cryogenic MEG for the amplitude/SNR of detected IEDs.<br>The neural sources of IEDs reconstruc

as they suggest, as shown previously in other cases of the amplitude/SNR of detected IEDs.<br>The neural sources of IEDs reconstructed based on on-scalp and cryogenic MEG were separated by<br>~10 mm, which is slightly higher tha In states superior, to cryogenizate superior amplitude, superior detected in the amplitude, The neural sources of IEDs reconstructed based on on-scalp and cryogenic MEG<br>~10 mm, which is slightly higher than the recognized  $-10$  mm, which is slightly higher than the recognized spatial resolution of cryogenic MEG (~5 mm)<br>(16)) but close to what has been previously showed in children with ETLE (9). Possible hypotheses for<br>this increased dista ~10 mm, which is slightly higher than the recognized spatial resolution of cryogenic MEG (~5 mm 10 mm, which is slightly higher than the recognized spatial resolution of cryogenic MEG (∼5 mm<br>16)) but close to what has been previously showed in children with ETLE (9). Possible hypotheses for<br>nis increased distance co (16))<br>this increased distance could be the higher spread of IEDs during sleep (33) or the distinct spatial<br>pattern depending on the vigilance state during recordings (34). These hypotheses are supported by<br>the fact that pa the fact that patients reported difficulties to fall asleep twice in a row. It could also be due to the fact<br>that recordings were not done simultaneously and that they thus did not sample similar IEDs from pattern depending on the vigilance state during recordings (34). These hypothese are supported as  $\frac{1}{2}$ . The fact that recordings were not done simultaneously and that they thus did not sample similar IEDs from the irr that recordings were not done simultaneously and that they thus did not sample similar IEDs from<br>the irritative zones. It could also be due to inaccuracies in the estimation of OPM<br>location/orientation/calibration (35), wh the irritative zones. It could also be due to inaccuracies in the estimation of OPM<br>location/orientation/calibration (35), which could be alleviated by the development of automatic<br>OPM calibration/co-registration, or a low the interaction and the interaction of the interaction in the estimation of automatic<br>location/orientation/co-registration, or a lower spatial resolution for on-scalp MEG because of lesser<br>spatial sampling and less efficie OPM calibration/co-registration, or a lower spatial resolution for on-scalp MEG because of lesser<br>spatial sampling and less efficient noise cancellation (36).<br>Of note, similar findings (amplitude and SNR levels, distance b

Spatial sampling and less efficient noise cancellation (36).<br>Of note, similar findings (amplitude and SNR levels, distance between reconstructed neural sources)<br>between on-scalp and cryogenic MEG were obtained in the other of note, similar findings (amplitude and SNR levels, dista<br>between on-scalp and cryogenic MEG were obtained in t<br>with a low (<10) IED number (no formal statistical compar Of note, similar findings (amplitude and SNR levels, distance between reconstructed neural sources)

between on-scalp and cryogenic MEG were obtained in the other patients (Patients 2, 4, 5, 1, 7, 7, 1, 1, 11)<br>with a low (<10) IED number (no formal statistical comparison due to the low IED number).<br>In two patients, we wer In two patients, we were able to compare the results of on-scalp MEG with "gold-standard"<br>of localization of the epileptogenic zone. The epileptogenic zone has two possible defin<br>smallest cortical area to be resected to ma In the condization of the epileptogenic zone. The epileptogenic zone has two possible definitions: the<br>In two smallest cortical area to be resected to make the patient seizure-free (37) that can be approximated<br>by the rese smallest cortical area to be resected to make the patient seizure-free (37) that can be approximated by the resected area, or the area where seizures started and primary organized (38) based on SEEG by the resected area, or the area where seizures started and primary organized (38) based on SEEG

data. In Patient 11, the surgical resection cavity comprised the neural source of IEDs highlighted by<br>on-scalp MEG (Figure 3). The patient being seizure-free (Engel class IA) and IED-free at 1-year post-<br>surgery, this find on-scalp MEG source (Figure 3). irritative zone involved the plots of depth electrodes (red circles on Figure 3) that were located at the informative zone involved the plots of depth electrodes (red circles on Figure 3) that were located at the<br>on-scalp MEG source (Figure 3).<br>Added value of face-OPMs

## Added value of face-OPMs

A clear advantage of on-scalp MEG compared with cryogenic MEG is that OPMs can be placed at  $\frac{1}{2}$ various locations on the head to improve the sensitivity of OPM arrays to specific neural sources<br>(39,40). We previously demonstrated using a low-number of tri-axial OPMs that different OPM<br>position at/around the irritativ various (39,40). We previously demonstrated using a low number of tri-axial OPMs that different OPM<br>position at/around the irritative zone can lead to differences (involved axes, amplitude, SNR) in IED<br>detection between OP position at/around the irritative zone can lead to differences (involved axes, amplitude, SNR) in IED<br>detection between OPMs (31). Our innovative placement of face-OPMs on a 3D-printed glass-like<br>structure makes it more co position at, around the intention controlled the involved and the irritric areas, and application at the irrit<br>detection between OPMs (31). Our innovative placement of face-OPMs on a 3D-printed glass-like<br>structure makes i detecture makes it more comfortable and adapted to clinical applications than mouth-OPM (17), and<br>non-invasive compared with sphenoidal electrodes (18). Increasing the density of sensors around the<br>area of interest is know structure mander invisive compared with sphenoidal electrodes (18). Increasing the density of sensors around the<br>area of interest is known to increase the sensitivity of the technique (41), and so does increasing<br>sensor co non-invariant complete that sphenoidal electrodes (19). Interesting the density of comparison<br>area of interest is known to increase the sensitivity of the technique (41), and so does increasing<br>sensor coverage (17,20). Fac area of interest is an area of interest is unique opportunity to increase the yield of on-<br>scalp MEG in anterior TLE due to the vicinity of sensors with the investigated brain area or medial TLE<br>due to the lead field patte OPMs is less clear in case of posterior neocortical TLE, although their signals were correlated with  $\sim$ scalp to the lead field pattern of hippocampal activity on the palate (17). The added-value of face-<br>OPMs is less clear in case of posterior neocortical TLE, although their signals were correlated with<br>scalp-OPM IEDs. Whil able to capture brain signals as shown by this correlation between their signals (Table 3 & Figure 5). SCALP-OPM IEDS. While face-OPMs are more distant from the brain than scalp-OPMs, they are still<br>able to capture brain signals as shown by this correlation between their signals (Table 3 & Figure 5)<br>and thus effectively inc scalp-OPM IEDs. While face-OPM IS are more distance of the brain from their signals (Table 3 & Figure 5)<br>and thus effectively increased OPM spatial sampling. Of note, the correlation was not found<br>significant in patient 1 and thus effectively increased OPM spatial sampling. Of note, the correlation was not found<br>significant in patient 1 and patient 8, which does not necessarily mean that brain activity was<br>undetected by face-OPMs, but that significant in patient 1 and patient 8, which does not necessarily mean that brain activity was undetected by face-OPMs, but that different cerebral activity could be detected by face-OPMs. The<br>only way to confirm this hypothesis with certainty would be to compare face-OPMs and scalp-OPMs<br>with simultaneous intracrani only way to confirm this hypothesis with certainty would be to compare face-OPMs and scalp-OPMs. only with simultaneous intracranial EEG.<br>With simultaneous intracranial EEG.

Patient 1 particularly benefited from face-OPMs for IED detection and source reconstruction (Figure<br>4). Indeed, IEDs were mainly captured by left face-OPMs compared with scalp-OPMs leading to completely different source reconstruction results when including face-OPMs or not. Moreover, the<br>neural source location obtained when including face-OPMs was in agreement with results of previous<br>scalp-EEG (i.e., electrod neural source location obtained when including face-OPMs was in agreement with results of previous<br>scalp EEG (i.e., electrodes F7-T3). While benefiting from a higher SNR than EEG for temporal pole<br>sources, cryogenic MEG de neural source location obtained when including face-OPMs was in agreement with results of previous<br>scalp EEG (i.e., electrodes F7-T3). While benefiting from a higher SNR than EEG for temporal pole<br>sources, cryogenic MEG de sources, cryogenic MEG demonstrates lower SNR at this cortical area compared with other<br>neocortical sources (42). The difference in IED detection between face- vs. scalp-OPM in this patient<br>could be related to the localiza sources (42). The difference in IED detection between face- vs. scalp-OPM in this patient<br>could be related to the localization of sources (i.e., temporal pole) or to the orientation of sources<br>(that is not assessed using d neocould be related to the localization of sources (i.e., temporal pole) or to the orientation of sources<br>(that is not assessed using distributed source reconstruction). The orientation of cortical sources<br>could be partly could be partly related to their localization, brain morphology and cortical folding. As the cortical sources could be partly related to their localization, brain morphology and cortical folding. As the cortical surface is (that is not perfectly spherical, the use of a realistic head model is known to be useful for<br>surface is not perfectly spherical, the use of a realistic head model is known to be useful for<br>orientation sources to which the surface is not perfectly spherical, the use of a realistic head model is known to be useful for<br>orientation sources to which the MEG is less sensitive (43) and the addition of face-OPMs on glass-<br>like structure could also surface is not perfectly spherical, the use of a realistic head model is included to be useful for<br>orientation sources to which the MEG is less sensitive (43) and the addition of face-OPMs on glass-<br>like structure could al cortical extent of the IED activity. Indeed, the minimal cortical surface needed to generate a magnetic In the structure of the structure of face-OPMs, that could be due to the orientation of the source or to the<br>Cortical extent of the IED activity. Indeed, the minimal cortical surface needed to generate a magnetic<br>field det no real added-value of the IED activity. Indeed, the minimal cortical surface needed to generate a magnetic<br>field detectable on scalp depends on the depth of the source (44) and propagation of the activity to a<br>larger cort field detectable on scalp depends on the depth of the source (44) and propagation of the activity to a<br>larger cortical area renders detection by MEG more probable. Cryogenic MEG is completely blind to<br>only 5% of the neocor larger cortical area renders detection by MEG more probable. Cryogenic MEG is completely blind to<br>only 5% of the neocortical activity that originates from sources with orientation that deviates from larger cortical activity that originates from sources with orientation that deviates from<br>less than 15° compared to the head radial direction (45). Still, the increased spatial coverage of the<br>temporal lobe may be of inter only 15% of the nead radial direction (45). Still, the increased spatial coverage of the<br>1995 temporal lobe may be of interest in patients with TLE, even if no substantial difference is found<br>1997 between on-scalp MEG with temporal lobe may be of interest in patients with TLE, even if no substantial difference is found<br>between on-scalp MEG with or without face-OPMs. The maximal yield of face-OPMs could thus be<br>reached in patients with suspec between on-scalp MEG with or without face-OPMs. The maximal yield of face-OPMs could thus be between on-scalp MEG with or without face-OPMs. The maximal yield of face-OPMs could thus be

#### Limitations

This study is first limited by the absence of simultaneous gold-standard (i.e., SEEG) or conventional  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ (i.e., scalp EEG) recordings to assess that similar IEDs were recorded between both on-scalp and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  recordings to assess that similar IEDs were recorded by two recorded between both on-scalp and

cryogenic MEG (46) and to be used as a ground-truth confirmation (for SEEG only) of the source<br>localization (14). Second, the absence of cryogenic MEG, that is the most similar type of recording, in patients 1 and 6 and the delay between on-scalp and cryogenic MEG in patients 2 and 10 makes the comparison between both methods impossible or difficult in 40% of the patients. Additionally, the<br>low-number of detected IEDs in seven patients impedes the quantitative comparison in these<br>patients due to the risk of small low number of detected IEDs in seven patients impedes the quantitative comparison in these low number of detected IEDs in seven patients impede the quantitative comparison in these<br>patients due to the risk of small samples biases.<br>Conclusion and perspectives

#### Conclusion and perspectives

On-scalp MEG is able to detect and localize IEDs originating from medial and neocortical temporal (<br>(<br>จ areas. It is thus a promising tool to investigate patients with refractory TLE, which provides similar or<br>superior findings compared with cryogenic MEG. The use of face-OPMs proved useful to detect<br>anterior temporal epilep superior findings compared with cryogenic MEG. The use of face-OPMs proved useful to detect<br>anterior temporal epileptiform discharges. This study thus paves the way for further application of<br>on-scalp MEG in RFE and in oth anterior temporal epileptiform discharges. This study thus paves the way for further application of<br>on-scalp MEG in RFE and in other neurological disorders involving the temporal lobe such as, e.g.,<br>Alzheimer's disease (47 on-scalp MEG in RFE and in other neurological disorders involving the temporal lobe such as, e.g., on-scale<br>Alzheimer's disease (47). Alzheimer's disease (47).

#### Authors contribution

O.F. designed and conceptualized the study. O.F., C.D., E.R., N.G., W.V.P., A.A., O.B., E.C., X.D.T.<br>contributed to the patients' recruitment. O.F., V.W., N.H., M.B., M.F., P.C., X.D.T. contributed to the set-<br>up of acquis  $N.G., W.V.P., A.A., O.B., E.C., N.H., M.B., M.F., P.C., X.D.I.$  contributed to draft or review the manuscript. X.D.T. interpretated the data. X.D.T. supervised the study. X.D.T. obtained funding. O.F., V.W., C.D., E.R., N.G., W.V.P., A.A., O.B., E.C., N.H., M.B., M.F., P.C., X.D.T. contributed to draft or review the manuscript.<br>Conflicts of interest

#### Conflicts of interest

N.H. and M.B. hold founding equity in Cerca Magnetics Limited, a spin-off company whose aim is to ا<br>ا commercialize aspects of on-scalp MEG technology. The remaining authors have no conflicts of<br>interest. commercializations of one-scale<br>interest.<br>Acknowledgments

## Acknowledgments

O.F. is supported by the Fonds pour la formation à la recherche dans l'industrie et l'agriculture (FRIA,  $\frac{1}{2}$ Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique (FRS-FNRS), Brussels, Belgium). P.C. was supported by the Fonds<br>Erasme (Convention « Alzheimer », Brussels, Belgium). P.C. is supported by a research grant of<br>INNOVIRIS (Brussels, Belgium Erasme (Convention « Alzheimer », Brussels, Belgium). P.C. is supported by a research grant of<br>INNOVIRIS (Brussels, Belgium; "DetectDem" research grant). M.F. is supported by the Fonds Erasme<br>(Convention « Alzheimer », Bru ERASHE (CONVERTS (Brussels, Belgium; "DetectDem" research grant). M.F. is supported by the Fonds Erasme<br>(Convention « Alzheimer », Brussels, Belgium). X.D.T. is Clinical Researcher at the FRS-FNRS. The<br>other authors receiv INNOVIRIAL (Convention « Alzheimer », Brussels, Belgium). X.D.T. is Clinical Researcher at the FRS-FNRS. The other authors received no additional funding.<br>The on-scalp MEG project at the Hôpital Universitaire de Bruxelles other authors received no additional funding.<br>The on-scalp MEG project at the Hôpital Universitaire de Bruxelles and Université libre de Bruxelles is

(Confer authors received no additional funding).<br>The on-scalp MEG project at the Hôpital Universitaire de Bruxelles and Université libre de Bruxelles is<br>financially supported by the Fonds Erasme (Projet de Recherche Cliniq The on-scalp MEG project at the Hopital Universitative at the Michael Michael Med Drammer is<br>financially supported by the Fonds Erasme (Projet de Recherche Clinique et Convention « Les Voies<br>du Savoir ») and by the FRS-FNR du Savoir ») and by the FRS-FNRS (Crédit de Recherche: J.0043.20F, Crédit Équipement: U.N013.21F). du Savoir ») and by the FRS-FNRS (Crédit de Recherche: J.0043.20F, Crédit Équipement: U.N013.21F).

## References

ここ ロース・スーミード 1. Rampp S, Stefan H, Wu X, Kaltenhäuser M, Maess B, Schmitt FC, et al.<br>
Magnetoencephalography for epileptic focus localization in a series of 1000 cases. Brain J Neurol.<br>
2019 Oct 1;142(10):3059–71.<br>
2. De Tiège X, Carre 0019 Oct 1;142(10):3059–71.<br>2. De Tiège X, Carrette E, Legros B, Vonck K, Op de Beeck M, Bourguignon M, et al. Clinical<br>added value of magnetic source imaging in the presurgical evaluation of refractory focal epile 2. De Tiège X, Carrette E<br>added value of magnetic sour<br>Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.<br>3. Hämäläinen M, Hari R<br>theory, instrumentation, and<br>Mod Phys. 1993 Apr 1;65(2):4

2. But all the present of magnetic source imaging in the presurgical evaluation of refractory focal epileps<br>2. Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2012 Apr;83(4):417–23.<br>3. Hämäläinen M, Hari R, Ilmoniemi RJ, Knuutila J, Lounasma and a Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2012 Apr;83(4):417–23.<br>
Additional Meurosurg Psychiatry. 2012 Apr;83(4):417–23.<br>
3. Hämäläinen M, Hari R, Ilmoniemi RJ, Knuutila J, Lounasmaa OV. Magnetoencephalography<br>
1993 Apr 1;65(2):

Neurol Neuro 3. Hari Raman Hari R, Indiana, Thalamas, Thalamas Critingher Disputer Arabia (heory, instrumentation, and applications to noninvasive studies of the working human brain. Rev Mod Phys. 1993 Apr 1;65(2):413–97.<br>4. Navasaki M theory, instrumental application, and the working of the working in the working of the Wasaki M, Nakasato N, Shamoto H, Nagamatsu Kichi, Kanno A, Hatanaka K, et al. Surgical<br>implications of neuromagnetic spike localization

4. Iwasaki M, Nakasato N, Sham<br>implications of neuromagnetic spike I<br>Apr;43(4):415–24.<br>5. Carrette E, Op de Beeck M, B<br>temporal lobe epileptic activity with I<br>Jun;20(5):414–8. 4. Implications of neuromagnetic spike localization in temporal lobe epilepsy. Epilepsia. 2002<br>Apr;43(4):415–24.<br>5. Carrette E, Op de Beeck M, Bourguignon M, Boon P, Vonck K, Legros B, et al. Recording<br>temporal lobe epilep implications of properties of properties in temporal spirits of properties and the corrected E, Op de Beeck M, Bourguignon M, Boon P, Vonck K, Legros B, et al. Record temporal lobe epileptic activity with MEG in a light-we 5. Carrette E,<br>temporal lobe epile<br>Jun;20(5):414–8.<br>6. Irimia A, Er<br>measures as a func<br>Neurophysiol. 2014

Frameworld lobe epileptic activity with MEG in a light-weight magnetic shield. Seizure. 2011<br>Jun;20(5):414–8.<br>6. Irimia A, Erhart MJ, Brown TT. Variability of magnetoencephalographic sensor sensitivity<br>measures as a functi Jun;20(5):414–8.<br>
6. Irimia A, Erhart MJ, Brown TT. Variability of magnetoencephalographic sensor sensitivity<br>
measures as a function of age, brain volume and cortical area. Clin Neurophysiol Off J Int Fed Clin<br>
Neurophysi Neurophysiol. 2014 Oct;125(10):1973-84.

measures as a function of age, brain volume and cortical area. Clin Neurophysiol Off J Int Fed Clin<br>Neurophysiol. 2014 Oct;125(10):1973–84.<br>7. Feys O, De Tiège X. From cryogenic to on-scalp magnetoencephalography Neurophysiol. 2014 Oct;125(10):1973–84.<br>7. Feys O, De Tiège X. From cryogenic to on-scalp magnetoencephalography for the evaluation of paediatric epilepsy. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2023 Jul 8;<br>8. Boto E, Hol of paediatric epilepsy. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2023 Jul 8;<br>
8. Boto E, Holmes N, Leggett J, Roberts G, Shah V, Meyer SS, et al. Moving<br>
magnetoencephalography towards real-world applications with a wearable system. Nature. of paediatric epilepsy. Dev Med Child Neurol. 2023 Jul 8;<br>8. Boto E, Holmes N, Leggett J, Roberts G, Shah V, Meyer SS, et al. Moving<br>magnetoencephalography towards real-world applications with a wearable system. Nature. 20

8. Boto E, Holmes N, Leggett J, Roberts G, Shah V, N<br>magnetoencephalography towards real-world applicatior<br>Mar 29;555(7698):657–61.<br>9. Feys O, Corvilain P, Aeby A, Sculier C, Holmes N, I<br>Magnetometers versus Cryogenic Magn magnetoencephalography towards real-world applications with a wearable syst<br>Mar 29;555(7698):657–61.<br>9. Feys O, Corvilain P, Aeby A, Sculier C, Holmes N, Brookes M, et al. On-Sc<br>Magnetometers versus Cryogenic Mag magnetoencephalography for the diagnostic evaluation of epilepsy<br>Mar 29;555(7698):657–61.<br>9. Feys O, Corvilain P, Aeby A, Sculier C, Holmes N, Brookes M, et al. On-Scalp Optically Pum<br>Magnetometers versus Cryogen

9. Feys O, Corvilain P,<br>Magnetometers versus Crys<br>School-aged Children. Radio<br>10. Feys O, Corvilain P,<br>magnetoencephalography f<br>2023 Aug 26;155:29–31. Magnetometers versus Cryogenic Magnetoencephalography for Diagnostic Evaluation of Epilepsy in<br>School-aged Children. Radiology. 2022 Aug;304(2):429–34.<br>10. Feys O, Corvilain P, Bertels J, Sculier C, Holmes N, Brookes M, et School-aged Children. Radiology. 2022 Aug;304(2):429–34.<br>10. Feys O, Corvilain P, Bertels J, Sculier C, Holmes N, Brookes M, et al. On-scalp<br>magnetoencephalography for the diagnostic evaluation of epilepsy during infancy. School-agentical Philometers, Press J. Sculier C, Holmes N, B<br>School-aged Children. Radiology. Radiology. 2023 Aug 26;155:29–31.<br>2023 Aug 26;155:29–31.<br>11. Feys O, Corvilain P, Van Hecke A, Sculier C, Rikir E, L<br>Activity U magnetoencephalography for the diagnostic evaluation of epilepsy during infancy. C<br>2023 Aug 26;155:29–31.<br>11. Feys O, Corvilain P, Van Hecke A, Sculier C, Rikir E, Legros B, et al. Recording<br>Activity Using on-Scalp Magneto

magnetoencephalography for the diagnostic evaluation of epilepsy during inducts provided prior 2023 Aug 26;155:29–31.<br>11. Feys O, Corvilain P, Van Hecke A, Sculier C, Rikir E, Legros B, et al. Recording of Ictal Epileptic<br> 2023 Aug 26;155:29–31.<br>
11. Feys O, Corvilain P, Van Hecke A, Sculier C, Rikir E, Legros B, et al. Recording of Ictal Epileptic<br>
Activity Using on-Scalp Magnetoencephalography. Ann Neurol. 2023 Feb;93(2):419–21.<br>
12. Hille 11. Hillebrand A, Holmes N, Sijsma N, O'Neill GC, Tierney TM, Liberton N, et al. Non-invasive<br>12. Hillebrand A, Holmes N, Sijsma N, O'Neill GC, Tierney TM, Liberton N, et al. Non-invasive<br>12. Hillebrand A, Holmes N, Sijsma 12. Hillebrand A, Holmes N, Sijsma N, O'Neill GC, Tierney TM, Liberton N, et al. Non-<br>measurements of ictal and interictal epileptiform activity using optically pumped magne<br>Rep. 2023 Mar 21;13(1):4623.<br>13. Badier JM, Schw

measurements of ictal and interictal epileptiform activity using optically pumped magnetometer:<br>Rep. 2023 Mar 21;13(1):4623.<br>13. Badier JM, Schwartz D, Bénar CG, Kanzari K, Daligault S, Romain R, et al. Helium Optica Rep. 2023 Mar 21;13(1):4623.<br>13. Badier JM, Schwartz D, Bénar CG, Kanzari K, Daligault S, Romain R, et al. Helium Optically<br>Pumped Magnetometers Can Detect Epileptic Abnormalities as Well as SQUIDs as Shown by<br>Intrac

Intracerebral Recordings. eNeuro. 2023 Dec;10(12):ENEURO.0222-23.2023.<br>
14. Feys O, Ferez M, Corvilain P, Schuind S, Rikir E, Legros B, et al. On-scalp<br>
magnetoencephalography based on optically pumped magnetometers can de Pumped Magnetometers Can Detect Epileptic Abnormalities as Well as SQUIDs as Shown by<br>Intracerebral Recordings. eNeuro. 2023 Dec;10(12):ENEURO.0222-23.2023.<br>14. Feys O, Ferez M, Corvilain P, Schuind S, Rikir E, Legros B, e Intracerebral Recordings. eNeuro. 2023 Dec;10(12):ENEURO.0222-23.2023.<br>14. Feys O, Ferez M, Corvilain P, Schuind S, Rikir E, Legros B, et al. On-scalp<br>magnetoencephalography based on optically pumped magnetometers can dete Interaction, Feys O, Ferez M, Corvilain P, Schuind S, Rikir E, Legros B, et al. On-sc<br>magnetoencephalography based on optically pumped magnetometers can c<br>lobe epileptiform discharges. Ann Neurol. 2023 Dec 5;<br>15. Pizzo F,

magnetoencephalography based on optically pumped magnetometers can dete<br>lobe epileptiform discharges. Ann Neurol. 2023 Dec 5;<br>15. Pizzo F, Roehri N, Medina Villalon S, Trébuchon A, Chen S, Lagarde S, et<br>activities can be d

lobe epileptiform discharges. Ann Neurol. 2023 Dec 5;<br>15. Pizzo F, Roehri N, Medina Villalon S, Trébuchon A, Chen S, Lagarde S, et al. Deep brain<br>activities can be detected with magnetoencephalography. Nat Commun. 2019 Feb 15. Pizzo F, Roehri N, Medina Villalon S, Trébuchor<br>activities can be detected with magnetoencephalograp<br>16. Brookes MJ, Leggett J, Rea M, Hill RM, Holmes<br>with optically pumped magnetometers (OPM-MEG): th<br>Trends Neurosci. activities can be detected with magnetoencephalography. Nat Commun. 2019 Feb 27;10(1):97<br>16. Brookes MJ, Leggett J, Rea M, Hill RM, Holmes N, Boto E, et al. Magnetoencephalograp<br>with optically pumped magnetometers (OPM-MEG

activities can be detected with magnetic lines in the detection of the detection of functional neuroimagnetic with optically pumped magnetometers (OPM-MEG): the next generation of functional neuroimagnetic magnetoencephalo 16. Brookes Multipum and magnetometers (OPM-MEG): the next generation of functional neuroimaging<br>16. Brends Neurosci. 2022 Aug;45(8):621–34.<br>17. Interney TM, Levy A, Barry DN, Meyer SS, Shigihara Y, Everatt M, et al. Mouth Trends Neurosci. 2022 Aug;45(8):621–34.<br>17. Tierney TM, Levy A, Barry DN, Meyer SS, Shigihara Y, Everatt M, et al. Mouth<br>magnetoencephalography: A unique perspective on the human hippocampus. Neuroimage. 2021 Jan<br>1 Trends Neuroscient Neuroscient Neuroscient Neuroscient<br>17. Tierney TM, Levy A, Barry DN, Mey<br>magnetoencephalography: A unique persp<br>15;225:117443.<br>18. Sperling MR, Guina L. The necessit<br>temporal lobe epilepsy: pro position 11. The contract of the property of the properties of the human hippocampus. Neuroinagnetoencephalography: A unique perspective on the human hippocampus. Neuroinal 15;225:117443.<br>18. Sperling MR, Guina L. The necessity for

magnetoence of the team of the set of the specifies.<br>18. Sperling MR, Guina L. The necessity for sphenoidal electrodes in the presurgical evaluation of<br>temporal lobe epilepsy: pr 18. Sperling<br>temporal lobe e<sub>l</sub><br>. 18. Sperling MR, Guina L. The necessity, for spiritual according to the president of the spherical electrones in the president of the spherical electrones in the president of the procession of the presume of the presume of temporal lobe epilepsy: pro position. J Clin Neurophysiol Off Publ Am Electroencephalogr Soc.

2003;20(5):299–304.<br>
2003;20(5):299–304.<br>
2003;20(5):299–304.<br>
20. Song J, Davey C, Poulsen C, Luu P, Turovets S, Anderson E, et al. EEG source localization:<br>
20. Wens V. Exploring the limits of MEG spatial resolution with Sensor density and head surface coverage. J Neurosci Methods. 2015 Dec 30;256:9–21.<br>20. Wens V. Exploring the limits of MEG spatial resolution with multipolar expansions.<br>NeuroImage. 2023 Apr 15;270:119953.<br>21. Sensor Wens V. Exploring the limits of MEG spatial resolution with multipolar expansion<br>Neurolmage. 2023 Apr 15;270:119953.<br>21. Holmes N, Rea M, Chalmers J, Leggett J, Edwards LJ, Nell P, et al. A lightweight n<br>shielded ro NeuroImage. 2023 Apr 15;270:119953.<br>21. Holmes N, Rea M, Chalmers J, Leggett J, Edwards LJ, Nell P, et al. A lightweight mag<br>shielded room with active shielding. Sci Rep. 2022 Aug 9;12(1):13561.<br>22. Frauscher B

epilepsy. Neurobiol Dis. 2019 Jul;127:545–53.<br>23. De Tiège X, Op de Beeck M, Funke M, Legros B, Parkkonen L, Goldman S, et al. Recording<br>epileptic activity with MEG in a light-weight magnetic shield. Epilepsy Res. 2008 Dec Shielded Frauscher B, Gotman J. Sleep, oscillations, interictal discharges<br>epilepsy. Neurobiol Dis. 2019 Jul;127:545–53.<br>23. De Tiège X, Op de Beeck M, Funke M, Legros B, Parkkonen L, G<br>epileptic activity with MEG in a lig

Bernasconi A, Cendes F, Theodore WH, Gill RS, Koepp MJ, Hogan RE, et al. Recommendations 22. Frauscher B, Gotman J. Sleep, S. Sleep epilepsy. Neurope Times Control Discover Cases 23. De Tiège X, Op de Beeck M, Funke M, lepileptic activity with MEG in a light-weight m<br>24. Bernasconi A, Cendes F, Theodore WH<br>for the use of structural magnetic resonance i 23. Depileptic activity with MEG in a light-weight magnetic shield. Epilepsy Res. 2008 Dec;82(2–3):227-<br>24. Bernasconi A, Cendes F, Theodore WH, Gill RS, Koepp MJ, Hogan RE, et al. Recommendati<br>5 for the use of structural epileptic activity of the use of structural magnetic resonance imaging in the care of patients with epilepsy: A<br>for the use of structural magnetic resonance imaging in the care of patients with epilepsy: A<br>consensus report 26. Bernasconi A, Central Magnetic resonance imaging in the care of patients with epilepsy: A<br>consensus report from the International League Against Epilepsy Neuroimaging Task Force. Epilepsia.<br>2019 Jun;60(6):1054–68.<br>25. For the use of structural magnetic resonance imaging the care of patients into epitepsitions consensus report from the International League Against Epilepsy Neuroimaging Task Force. E<br>2019 Jun;60(6):1054–68.<br>25. Taulu S, K

Separation Method. Brain Topogr. 2004;16(4):269-75.

2019 Jun;60(6):1054–68.<br>
25. Taulu S, Kajola M, Simola J. Suppression of interference and artifacts by the Signal Space<br>
Separation Method. Brain Topogr. 2004;16(4):269–75.<br>
26. Fischl B. FreeSurfer. Neurolmage. 2012 Aug 1 Separation Method. Brain Topogradicty, 1912<br>26. Separation Method. Brain Method. 2012 Aug 15;<br>27. Zetter R, livanainen J, Parkkonen L. Optical Co-1<br>2019 Apr 2;9(1):5490.<br>28. Gramfort A, Luessi M, Larson E, Engemann DA,<br>dat 27. Zetter R, livanainen J, Parkkonen L. Optical Co-registration of I<br>2019 Apr 2;9(1):5490.<br>28. Gramfort A, Luessi M, Larson E, Engemann DA, Strohmeier D,<br>data analysis with MNE-Python. Front Neurosci. 2013 Dec 26;7:267.<br>2

27. Zetter R, Iivanainen J, Parkkonen L. Optical Co-registration of MRI and On-scalp MEG. Sci Rep.<br>2019 Apr 2;9(1):5490.<br>28. Gramfort A, Luessi M, Larson E, Engemann DA, Strohmeier D, Brodbeck C, et al. MEG and EEG<br>data an 2019 Apr 2;9(1):5490.<br>28. Gramfort A, Luessi M, Larson E, Engemann DA, Strohmeier D, Brodbeck C, et al. MEG and EEG<br>data analysis with MNE-Python. Front Neurosci. 2013 Dec 26;7:267.<br>29. Dale AM, Sereno MI. Im

2019 Apr 2;9(1):5490. 28. Gramman March A, Lucescien and Dec 26;7:267.<br>29. Gramman Dale AM, Sereno MI. Improved Localizadon of Cortical Activity by Combining EEG and MEG<br>29. Grammann Dale AM, Sereno MI. Improved Localizadon of Cortical Activity Dale AM, Sereno MI. Improved Localizadon of Cortical Activis<br>with MRI Cortical Surface Reconstruction: A Linear Approach. J Cogn<br>30. Wens V, Marty B, Mary A, Bourguignon M, Op de Beeck M, Correction scheme for spatia with MRI Cortical Surface Reconstruction: A Linear Approach. J Cogn Neurosci. 1993;5(2):162–76.<br>30. Wens V, Marty B, Mary A, Bourguignon M, Op de Beeck M, Goldman S, et al. A geometric<br>correction scheme for spatial l

Wens V, Marty B, Mary A, Bourguignon M, Op de Beeck M, Goldman S, et al. A geometric<br>correction scheme for spatial leakage effects in MEG/EEG seed-based functional connectivity<br>mapping. Hum Brain Mapp. 2015 Nov;36(11):4604 correction scheme for spatial leakage effects in MEG/EEG seed-based functional connectivity<br>mapping. Hum Brain Mapp. 2015 Nov;36(11):4604–21.<br>31. Feys O, Corvilain P, Labyt E, Mahmoudzadeh M, Routier L, Sculier C, et al. T mapping. Hum Brain Mapp. 2015 Nov;36(11):4604–21.<br>31. Feys O, Corvilain P, Labyt E, Mahmoudzadeh M, Routier L, Sculier C, et al. Tri-axial rub<br>and helium optically pumped magnetometers for on-scalp magnetoencephalography r

mapping. Hum Brain Mapp. 2015 Nov;36(11):4604–21.<br>
31. Feys O, Corvilain P, Labyt E, Mahmoudzadeh M, Routier L, Sculier C, et al. Tri-axial rubidium<br>
and helium optically pumped magnetometers for on-scalp magnetoencephalog 31. The metallically pumped magnetometers for on-scalp magnetoencephalography recording of<br>32. Whitmarsh S, Nguyen-Michel VH, Lehongre K, Mathon B, Adam C, Lambrecq V, et al. Sleep<br>32. Whitmarsh S, Nguyen-Michel VH, Lehong interictal epileptiform discharges: a case study. Front Neurosci. 2023;17:1284262.<br>32. Whitmarsh S, Nguyen-Michel VH, Lehongre K, Mathon B, Adam C, Lambrecq V, et al. Sleep<br>increases firing rate modulation during intericta interictal epileptiform discharges: a case of the UH, Lehongre K, Mathon B, Adam C, Lambred increases firing rate modulation during interictal epileptic activities in mesial temp [Internet]. bioRxiv; 2024 [cited 2024 Jul 2 33. Conrad EC, Revell AY, Greenblatt AS, Gallagher RS, Pattnaik AR, Hartmann N, et al. Spike<br>1932. Conrad EC, Revell AY, Greenblatt AS, Gallagher RS, Pattnaik AR, Hartmann N, et al. Spike<br>1933. Conrad EC, Revell AY, Greenb

33. Conrad EC, Revell AY, Greenblatt AS, Gallagher RS, Pattnaik AR, Hartmann N, et al. Spike<br>patterns surrounding sleep and seizures localize the seizure-onset zone in focal epilepsy. Epilepsia.<br>2023 Mar;64(3):754–68.<br>34. .<br>https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.12.30.522096v2<br>33. Conrad EC, Revell AY, Greenblatt AS, Gallagher RS, Pattnaik AR, Hartmann N<br>patterns surrounding sleep and seizures localize the seizure-onset zone in focal 33. Conrad EC, Revell AY, Greenblatt AS, Gallagher RS, Pattna<br>patterns surrounding sleep and seizures localize the seizure-onset<br>2023 Mar;64(3):754–68.<br>34. Fouad A, Azizollahi H, Le Douget JE, Lejeune FX, Valderran<br>epilept

patterns surrounding sleep and seizures localize the seizure-onset zone in focal epilepsy. Epilepsi<br>2023 Mar;64(3):754–68.<br>34. Fouad A, Azizollahi H, Le Douget JE, Lejeune FX, Valderrama M, Mayor L, et al. Interict patterns surrounding surrounding surrounding surrounding surrounding surrounding S2023 Mar;64(3):754–68.<br>
34. Fouad A, Azizollahi H, Le Douget JE, Lejeune FX, Valderrama M, Mayor L, et al. Interictal<br>
epileptiform dis

sleep. Brain Commun. 2022;4(5):fcac183.<br>
2023;4(5):fcac183.<br>
2021 Gibsonne J, et al. Determining sensor<br>
2024 Mill RM, Rivero GR, Tyler AJ, Schofield H, Doyle C, Osborne J, et al. Determining sensor<br>
2024 Oct 17]. Availabl epileptiform discharges show distinct spatiotemporal and morphological patterns across wake a<br>sleep. Brain Commun. 2022;4(5):fcac183.<br>35. Hill RM, Rivero GR, Tyler AJ, Schofield H, Doyle C, Osborne J, et al. Determ epileptiform discharges and the USBN processes patterns across short and more than the set of the Bileptiform Bileptiform distinct spation of the Bileptiform: http://arxiv.org/abs/2410.08718<br>The set of the system [Internet 35. Hill RM, Rivero GR, Tyler AJ, Schof<br>geometry and gain in a wearable MEG sys<br>from: http://arxiv.org/abs/2410.08718<br>36. Seymour RA, Alexander N, Mellor<br>suppression techniques for OPM-based M<br>15;247:118834.

geometry and gain in a wearable MEG system [Internet]. arXiv; 2024 [cited 2024 Oct 17]. Avail:<br>from: http://arxiv.org/abs/2410.08718<br>36. Seymour RA, Alexander N, Mellor S, O'Neill GC, Tierney TM, Barnes GR, et al. In geometry and gain in a wear of the system in the system in the sequence of the Seymour RA, Alexander N, Mellor S, O'Neill GC, Tierney TM, Barnes GR, et al. Interference<br>suppression techniques for OPM-based MEG: Opportuniti From: Help, Johnson, Mell<br>36. Seymour RA, Alexander N, Mell<br>suppression techniques for OPM-based<br>15;247:118834.<br>37. Lüders HO, Najm I, Nair D, Widd<br>principles. Epileptic Disord. 2006 Aug;8<br>38. Kahane P, Landré E, Minotti L 36. Suppression techniques for OPM-based MEG: Opportunities and challenges. Neurolmage. 2022 Fe<br>15;247:118834. Lüders HO, Najm I, Nair D, Widdess-Walsh P, Bingman W. The epileptogenic zone: general<br>principles. Epileptic Di

37. Lüders F<br>principles. Epile<sub>l</sub><br>38. Kahane<br>epileptogenic zo<br>39. Barry DM<br>hippocampus wi

suppression techniques for OPP Protation techniques and challenges. The example of the suppression of the same<br>spinciples. Epileptic Disord. 2006 Aug;8 Suppl 2:S1-9.<br>38. Kahane P, Landré E, Minotti L, Francione S, Ryvlin P 38. Chileptic Disord. 2006 Aug;8 Suppl 2:S1-9.<br>38. Kahane P, Landré E, Minotti L, Francione S, Ryvlin P. The Bancaud and Talairach view on the<br>pileptogenic zone: a working hypothesis. Epileptic Disord. 2006 Aug;8 Suppl 2:S principles. Epideptic Disorder E, Minotti L, Francione S, Ry<br>2006 - Kahane P, Landré E, Minotti L, Francione S, Ry<br>epileptogenic zone: a working hypothesis. Epileptic D<br>39. Barry DN, Tierney TM, Holmes N, Boto E, Rob<br>hippo

epileptogenic zone: a working hypothesis. Epileptic Disord. 2006 Aug;8 Suppl 2:S16-26.<br>39. Barry DN, Tierney TM, Holmes N, Boto E, Roberts G, Leggett J, et al. Imaging the human<br>hippocampus with optically-pumped mag Barry DN, Tierney TM, Holmes N, Boto E, Roberts G, Leggett J, et al. Imaging the<br>hippocampus with optically-pumped magnetoencephalography. NeuroImage. 2019 Dec<br>procampus with optically-pumped magnetoencephalography. NeuroI 39. Barry DN, Holmes N, Holmes N, DOC D, Roberts G, Leggett J, Drawinging the human<br>hippocampus with optically-pumped magnetoencephalography. Neurolmage. 2019 Dec;203:116 hippocampus with optically-pumped magnetoencephalography. NeuroImage. 2019 Dec;203:116192.

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) . **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. medRxiv preprint doi: [https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.10.21.24315793;](https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.10.21.24315793) this version posted October 30, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint

41. Lin Ch, Tierney Theory and Superinty 2004 of the Binals in the human cerebellum. J Physiol.<br>2019 Aug;597(16):4309–24.<br>41. Seedat ZA, Pier KSt, Holmes N, Rea M, Al-Hilaly L, Tierney TM, et al. Simultaneous whole-<br>head e magnetone of measure magnetic parameters of the setter of Aug, 597(16):4309–24.<br>
11. Seedat ZA, Pier KSt, Holmes N, Rea M, Al-Hilaly L, Tierney TM, et al. Simultaneous whole-<br>
head electrophysiological recordings using EEG 2019 Aug;597(16):4309–24.<br>
41. Seedat ZA, Pier KSt, Holmes N, Rea M, Al-Hilaly L, Tierney TM, et al. Simultaneous whole-<br>
head electrophysiological recordings using EEG and OPM-MEG. Imaging Neurosci. 2024 May 20;2:1-<br>
15.<br>

head electrophysiology of the signal-to-noise-ratios of cortical sources in magnetoencephalography and<br>the signal-to-noise-ratios of cortical sources in magnetoencephalography and<br>electroencephalography. Hum Brain Mapp. 20 --<br>42.<br>the<br>elec<br>43.<br>0rie 42. Goldenholdenholands in magnetoencephalography and<br>delectroencephalography. Hum Brain Mapp. 2009 Apr;30(4):1077–86.<br>43. Ahlfors SP, Han J, Belliveau JW, Hämäläinen MS. Sensitivity of MEG and EEG to source<br>orientation. B

43. Ahlfors SP, Han J, Belliveau JW, Hamalainen MS. Sensitivity of MEG and EEG to source the signal-to-noise-rational-particle electroencephalography. Hum Brain Mapp. 2009 Apr;30(4):1077–86.<br>43. Ahlfors SP, Han J, Belliveau JW, Hämäläinen MS. Sensitivity of MEG an<br>orientation. Brain Topogr. 2010 Sep;23(3):227– electroencephalography. Hum Brain Mappen Computer, (1992)<br>1998 - Ahlfors SP, Han J, Belliveau JW, Hämäläinen MS. Sensitivity of<br>orientation. Brain Topogr. 2010 Sep;23(3):227–32.<br>19. Barkley GL, Baumgartner C. MEG and EEG i

orientation. Brain Topogr. 2010 Sep;23(3):227–32.<br>
44. Barkley GL, Baumgartner C. MEG and EEG in Epilepsy. J Clin Neurophysiol. 2003<br>
Jun;20(3):163–78.<br>
45. Hillebrand A, Barnes GR. A quantitative assessment of the sensiti

44. Barkley GL, Baumgartner C. MEG and EEG i<br>Jun;20(3):163–78.<br>45. Hillebrand A, Barnes GR. A quantitative ass<br>activity in the adult human cortex. Neurolmage. 20<br>46. Feys O, Wens V, Corvilain P, Ferez M, Holm<br>with on-scalp Jun;20(3):163–78.<br>45. Hillebrand A, Barnes GR. A quantitative assessment of the sensitivity of whole-h<br>activity in the adult human cortex. Neurolmage. 2002 Jul;16(3 Pt 1):638–50.<br>46. Feys O, Wens V, Corvilain P, Ferez M, H Final Hillebrand<br>45. Hillebrand<br>46. Feys O, We<br>with on-scalp mag<br>Epilepsia. 2023 Oct<br>47. Nous A, Se activity in the adult human cortex. NeuroImage. 2002 Jul;16(3 Pt 1):638–50.<br>46. Feys O, Wens V, Corvilain P, Ferez M, Holmes N, Brookes M, et al. Where do we stand exactl<br>with on-scalp magnetoencephalography in the presurg activity in the adult human correlation in the adult of the SN, Brookes M, et al. W<br>with on-scalp magnetoencephalography in the presurgical evaluation of refractivity in the Alzheimer continuum: association with disease, c

with on-scalp magnetoencephalography in the presurgical evaluation of refractory focal epilepsy?<br>Epilepsia. 2023 Oct 20;<br>47. Nous A, Seynaeve L, Feys O, Wens V, De Tiège X, Van Mierlo P, et al. Subclinical epileptiform<br>act with on-scalp magnetoence product product may be refracted and the products. The properties at 2023 Oct 20;<br>47. Nous A, Seynaeve L, Feys O, Wens V, De Tiège X, Van Mierlo P, et al. Subclinical epileptiform<br>activity in the Epilepsia. 2023 Oct 20;<br>47. Nous A, Seynaeve L, Feys O, Wens V, De Tiège X, Van Mierlo P, et al. Subclinical epileptiform<br>activity in the Alzheimer continuum: association with disease, cognition and detection method.<br>Alzhe activity in the Alzheimer continuum: association with disease, cognition and detection method.<br>Alzheimers Res Ther. 2024 Jan 23;16(1):19.<br>. Alzheimers Res Ther. 2024 Jan 23;16(1):19.<br>Alzheimers Res Ther. 2024 Jan 23;16(1):19.  $A = \frac{1}{2}$ 



#### Table 1, Demographic and clinical data

Scalp EEG electrodes involved in IEDs is reported according to clinical EEG follow-up using the conventional 10-20 placement including inferior temporal electrodes. IED localization based on cryogenic MEG is reported similarly to the clinical MEG protocol. Patients 1 and 6 did not benefit from a cryogenic MEG recordi cryogenic MEG is reported similarly to the clinical MEG protocol. Patients 1 and 6 did not benefit from<br>a cryogenic MEG recording. The delay with on-scalp MEG recording is notified under brackets. Some<br>MEG recordings are p Expressive MEG recording. The delay with on-scalp MEG recording is notified under brackets. Some<br>MEG recordings are performed in seating position to keep similar with on-scalp MEG recordings, after<br>the on-scalp MEG recordi MEG recordings are performed in seating position to keep similar with on-scalp MEG recordings, after<br>the on-scalp MEG recording when performed on the same day. MEG recordings performed in supine<br>position were used for the MEG recording when performed on the same day. MEG recordings performed in supine<br>position were used for the clinical assessment of refractory focal epilepsy, before the on-scalp MEG<br>recording when performed on the same day position were used for the clinical assessment of refractory focal epilepsy, before the on-scalp MEG<br>recording when performed on the same day.<br>IED, interictal epileptiform discharge; MEG, magnetoencephalography; y, years;

precording when performed on the same day.<br>IED, interictal epileptiform discharge; MEG, magnetoencephalography; y, years; F, female; M, male;<br>SEEG, stereo-electroencephalography.<br>SEEG, stereo-electroencephalography. recording when performed on the same day.<br>IED, interictal epileptiform discharge; MEG,<br>SEEG, stereo-electroencephalography. IED, interior episperson discharge; MEG, magnetoencephalography; y, years; F, female; M, male; SEEG, stereo-electroencephalography; SEEG, stereo-electroencephalography.

$N^{\circ}$	<b>OPMs</b>	IEDs	IED slocalization	IEDs amplitude		<b>IEDs SNR</b>		Distance between
	(channels)	frequency		OPM <sub>s</sub>	Cryogenic (p- value)	<b>OPMs</b>	Cryogenic (p-value)	on scalp and cryogenic MEG
$\mathbf{1}$	48(113)	Rare	Left temporal pole	$72 \pm 10$ pT		$11.5 \pm 2.6$		
$\overline{2}$	53 (127)	Rare	Left middle T3-T4 sulcus	$3.1 \pm 1.2$ pT	$1.3 \pm 0.03$ pT	$7.9 \pm 1.6$	$3.8 \pm 0.5$	16 mm
3	44 (102)	Frequent	Left posterior T4 gyrus	$4.0\pm0.4$ pT	$2.2 \pm 0.3$ pT $(6.0x10^{4})$	$11.5 \pm 2.0$	$5.4 \pm 0.9$ $(7.0 \times 10^{-3})$	9 <sub>mm</sub>
4	43 (98)	Few	Left anterior T1-T2 sulcus	$2.7 \pm 0.3$ pT	$0.3 \pm 0.07$ pT	$11.6 \pm 2.1$	$2.7 \pm 1.4$	$11 \text{ mm}$
5	53 (127)	Few	posterior T3 Right gyrus	$2.4 \pm 0.4$ pT	$2.3 \pm 0.2$ pT	$3.4 \pm 0.5$	$5.5 \pm 1.2$	15 mm
6	53 (127)	Frequent	Right middle T1-T2 sulcus	$2.9 \pm 0.3$ pT $\vert$ /		$7.1 \pm 1.0$		$\prime$
$\overline{7}$	51(124)	Few	Left posterior T2 gyrus	$2.1 \pm 0.3$ pT	$12 \pm 0.4$ pT	$60 \pm 16$	$3.9 \pm 0.7$	14 mm
8	52 (124)	Rare	Right peri-amygdala and peri-hippocampal	$4.0 \pm 2.0$ pT	$1.5 \pm 0.3$ pT	$21.5 \pm 16.3$	$22.5 \pm 10.7$	6 <sub>mm</sub>
9	44 (105)	Frequent	Right temporal pole	$2.4 \pm 0.2$ pT	$10 \pm 0.03$ pT $(6.7 \times 10^{-7})$	$9.6 \pm 1.2$	$13.5 \pm 3.6$ (0.32)	8 mm
10	48 (116)	Abundant	Right <b>peri-</b> hippocampal	$14 \pm 1.7$ pT	$12 \pm 17$ pT (0.31)	$3.6 \pm 0.6$	$44 \pm 0.5$ (0.26)	$11 \, \text{mm}$
			Right posterior T1	$19 \pm 0.1$ pT		$7.5 \pm 1.2$		
11	43 (102)	Rare	Right temporal opercula	$6.0 \pm 1.7$ pT	$17 \pm 0.08$ pT	$11.2 \pm 6.2$	$9.0 \pm 3.3$	7 <sub>mm</sub>

Table 2. On-scalp MEG based on OPMs results and comparison with cryogenic MEG.

Legend for the IEDs frequency: rare, <5; few, <10; frequent,  $\geq$ 10; abundant, >50 IEDs during 1-hour on-scalp MEG recording. Amplitudes and SNR are reported as mean and standard error on the mean.<br>MEG, magnetoencephalography; OPM, optically pumped magnetometer; IED, interictal epileptiform<br>discharge; SNR, signal-to-noise MEG, magnetoencephalography; OPM, optically pumped magnetometer; IED, interictal epileptiform Muscharge; SNR, signal-to-noise ratio.  $\sigma$ , signal-to-noise ratio.



## Table 3. Contribution of face-OPMs to recorded brain signals.

All distances are reported in millimeters.

Scalp-OPM, optically pumped magnetometer positioned on the scalp; face-OPM, optically pumped magnetometers positioned on the face. Scalp-OPM, optically pumped magnetometers positioned on the scale, pumped magnetometers positioned on the scale<br>magnetometers positioned on the face. magnetometers positioned on the face.

It is made available under a [CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) . **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. medRxiv preprint doi: [https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.10.21.24315793;](https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.10.21.24315793) this version posted October 30, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint

Figure 1. On-scalp MEG set-up including both scalp-OPMs and face-OPMs<br>Top. Three-dimensional-printed glass-like structure that allows to place 4 OPMs on the face, right below the eyes (face-OPMs). **Bottom.** Healthy adult subject wearing flexible EEG-cap like (3D-printed holders sewn on the EEG-cap to place OPMs on the scalp (scalp-OPMs)) with 48 OPMs (28 Gen-2 OPMs (white upper cover) and holders sewn on the EEG-cap to place OPMs on the scalp (scalp-OPMs)) with 48 OPMs (28 Gen-2 OPMs (white upper cover) and 20 Gen-3 OPMs (blue upper cover)) and the glass-like structure with 4<br>Gen-3 OPMs. A cap with 2 reflexive markers is placed on top of each OPM to measure the localization<br>and the orientation of Gen-3 OPMs. A cap with 2 reflexive markers is placed on top of each OPM to measure the localization<br>and the orientation of the OPMs relative to the patients' scalp using an optical scanner.<br>Figure 2. On-scalp vs. cryogenic

Figure 2. On-scalp vs. cryogenic MEG<br>Gen-scalp (yellow) vs. cryogenic MEG<br>On-scalp (yellow) vs. cryogenic MEG (blue) neural IED source localization superimposed on individual<br>T1-weighted brain MRI. Left. Sagittal slice thr Figure 2. On-scalp vs. cryogenic MEG<br>On-scalp (yellow) vs. cryogenic MEG<br>T1-weighted brain MRI. Left. Sagittal slice through the left hemisphere of Patient 3.<br>Slice of Patient 9. Right. Coronal slice of Patient 10. MRIs ar |
| (<br>|
| Figure 2. On-scalp vs. cryogenic MEG<br>On-scalp (yellow) vs. cryogenic MEG (<br>T1-weighted brain MRI. Left. Sagittal<br>slice of Patient 9. Right. Coronal slice of<br>Figure 3. Comparison of on-scalp MEG<br>Left. On-scalp MEG source lo T1-weighted brain MRI. Left. Sagittal slice through the left hemisphere of Patient 3. Middle. Axial<br>Slice of Patient 9. **Right.** Coronal slice of Patient 10. MRIs are shown in radiological convention.<br>Figure 3. Comparison

The weighted brain MRI. Left. Sagittal slice through the left hemisphere of Patient 3. Middle. Axial<br>slice of Patient 9. **Right.** Coronal slice of Patient 10. MRIs are shown in radiological convention.<br>**Figure 3. Compariso** Figure 3. Comparison of on-scalp MEG with invasive gold-standards<br>Left. On-scalp MEG source localization (yellow) displayed on a sagittal slice of T1-wheighted<br>MRI through the right hemisphere of patient 6 (top) and depth | | |
| | |
| | Figure 3. Comparison of on-scalp MEG with invasive gold-standards<br>Left. On-scalp MEG source localization (yellow) displayed on a sagi<br>MRI through the right hemisphere of patient 6 (top) and depth el<br>hemisphere during the S Left. On-scalp MEG source localization (yellow) displayed on a sagittal slice of T1-wheighted brain<br>MRI through the right hemisphere of patient 6 (top) and depth electrodes implanted in the right<br>hemisphere during the SEEG hemisphere during the SEEG subsequent to the on-scalp MEG recording on a co-registered pre-<br>implantation T1-wheighted brain MRI and post-implantation brain computed tomography (bottom).<br>The two corresponding depth electrod **(bottom)**. The on-scalp MEG source was involved in the lesion and included in the area of resection, In the two corresponding depth electrodes (red circle) were involved in the irritative zone during SEEG<br>recording, which validates the on-scalp MEG IED neural source. **Right.** On-scalp MEG source<br>localization (yellow) disp recording, which validates the on-scalp MEG IED neural source. **Right.** On-scalp MEG source localization (yellow) displayed on a coronal slice of T1-wheighted brain MRI of patient 11 suffering from grade 1 ganglioglioma (t recording, which validates the on-scalp MEG IED hediar source. Right. On-scalp MEG source<br>localization (yellow) displayed on a coronal slice of T1-wheighted brain MRI of patient 11 suffering<br>from grade 1 ganglioglioma (top localization (yellow) displayed on a coronal slice of T1 wheighted brain MRI of patient 11 suffering<br>from grade 1 ganglioglioma (top) and post-resection coronal slice of T1-wheighted brain MRI<br>(bottom). The on-scalp MEG so from grade 1 gangliognoma (top) and post-resection coronal slice of T1-wheighted brain MRI<br>(bottom). The on-scalp MEG source was involved in the lesion and included in the area of resection,<br>leading to seizure-freedom (Eng leading to seizure-freedom (Engel class IA) and IED-freedom at one-year post-surgery. Pre- and post-implantation look different due to mass effect on the pre-implantation imaging and brain shift on the post-implantation im

OPMs compared with left scalp-OPMs (yellow arrows). **Right.** On-scalp MEG IED source localizations post-implantation imaging. MRIs are shown in radiological convention.<br>Figure 4. On-scalp MEG signals and IED neural sources with/without face-OPMs.<br>Left. On-scalp MEG signals of Patient 1 showing an anterior temporal IED b Figure 4. On-scalp MEG signals and IED neural sources with/without face<br>1997 Left. On-scalp MEG signals of Patient 1 showing an anterior tempora<br>1997 Compared with left scalp-OPMs (yellow arrows). Right. On-scalp<br>1997 Infe |
| |
| ( Figure 4. On-scalp MEG signals and IED hedial sources with/without face-OPMs.<br>Left. On-scalp MEG signals of Patient 1 showing an anterior temporal IED best s<br>OPMs compared with left scalp-OPMs (yellow arrows). **Right.** On-Left. On-scalp MEG signals of Patient 1 showing an anterior temporal IED best sensed by left face-<br>OPMs compared with left scalp-OPMs (yellow arrows). **Right.** On-scalp MEG IED source localizations<br>inferred from both faceinferred from both face-OPMs and scalp-OPMs (yellow) and from scalp-OPMs only (blue) displayed<br>on T1-wheighted brain MRI (top, axial slice; middle, coronal slice; bottom, sagittal slice through the<br>left hemisphere) in radi inferred from both face-open terms of the coronal slice; bottom, sagittal slice through the<br>left hemisphere) in radiological convention.<br>Figure 5. Correlation between scalp-OPMs and face-OPMs.<br>On-scalp MEG signals averaged

Figure 5. Correlation between scalp-OPMs and face-OPMs.<br>Tigure 5. Correlation between scalp-OPMs and face-OPMs.<br>On-scalp MEG signals averaged at the peak of the IEDs of Patient 9. Top. Signals including all OPMs<br>and their Figure 5. Correlation between scalp-OPMs and their principal component (yellow). Nomponent (red). Bottom. Signals including りく こく Figure 5. Correlation between scalp-OFMs and face-OFMs.<br>On-scalp MEG signals averaged at the peak of the IEDs of F<br>and their principal component (yellow). **Middle.** Signals in<br>component (red). **Bottom.** Signals including f On-scalp MEG signals averaged at the peak of the IEDs of Patient 9. Top. Signals including an OPMs<br>and their principal component (yellow). Middle. Signals including scalp-OPMs and their principal<br>component (red). Bottom. S component (red). Bottom. Signals including face-OPMs and their principal component (green). component (red). Bottom. Signals including face-OPMs and their principal component (green).



#### Removed as requested by medRxiv







Patient 10









Patient 6

Patient 11



