1	Temporal and Thematic Analysis of Promotional Waterpipe-Related Posts on
2	Twitter/X in the US
3	Puhua Ye, BS ¹ , Mengwei Wu, BS ¹ , Yiwei Han, BS ¹ , Yuka Shimazaki, BS ¹ , Jennifer
4	Cornacchione Ross, PhD ² , Erin L. Sutfin, PhD ³ , Dongmei Li, PhD ⁴ and Zidian Xie, PhD ⁴ *
5	¹ Goergen Institute for Data Science, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY, USA
6	² Department of Health Law, Policy and Management, Boston University School of Public
7	Health, Boston, MA, USA
8	³ Department of Social Sciences and Health Policy, Wake Forest University School of Medicine,
9	Winston-Salem, NC, USA
10	⁴ Department of Clinical and Translational Research, University of Rochester Medical Center,
11	Rochester, NY, USA
12	
13	Correspondence to:
14	Dr. Zidian Xie
15	Department of Clinical and Translational Research, University of Rochester Medical Center,
16	Rochester, NY 14642-0708, USA
17	E-mail: <u>zidian_xie@urmc.rochester.edu</u>
18	Telephone: 585-275-4398
19	
20	
21	Abstract Word Count: 249
22	Text Word Count: 3,343

23 Abstract

24 Introduction

25 Waterpipe tobacco smoking (WTS), also known as hookah, shisha, or narghile, is particularly

26 popular among young people in the United States (US). WTS poses serious health risks similar

to those of cigarette smoking.

28 Methods

29 Using the Twitter/X streaming API (Application Programming Interface), we collected

30 4,853,562 tweets between March 9, 2021, and March 14, 2023, using waterpipe-related

31 keywords, such as "hookah" and "waterpipe". After geographical filtering to identify tweets

32 from the US and keyword filtering for the promotional content, we identified 23,803 promotional

33 waterpipe-related tweets. We examined trends in the posting time of these promotional

34 waterpipe-related tweets and identified prevalent topics from these tweets using the BERTopic

35 (Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers) modeling.

36 **Results**

37 The number of promotional waterpipe-related tweets showed an overall decreasing trend during

38 the study period. The posting of promotional waterpipe-related tweets was more active later in

39 the day. Major topics in the promotional tweets included "Promotion from hookah lounges and

40 online hookah business" (63.97%, 15,227/23,803), "promoting hookah parties and events"

41 (32.26%, 7,679/23,803), and "promoting engineered and durable hookah products" (3.77%,

42 897/23,803). Twitter/X accounts posting waterpipe-related promotional content have substantial

- 43 variations in the number of relevant tweets (mean = 2.28, SD = 12.22) and followers (mean =
- 44 5,937, SD = 76,770).

45 Conclusions

This study demonstrates a significant social media activity in promoting waterpipe tobacco
smoking. Our findings underscore the urgent need to regulate the promotional content of WTS
on social media and promote public health education messages on social media to counteract the
promotion of WTS.

50

51 Introduction

52 Waterpipe tobacco smoking (WTS), also known as hookah, shisha, or narghile is a traditional smoking device that originated in India over a millennium ago and has recently gained popularity 53 54 in the United States (US) (1). In 2021, approximately 0.9% of US adults, which equates to an estimated 2.2 million people, reported currently using hookah (2). Among those who use 55 56 waterpipe, young people, especially college students, make up the majority of users (3). Around 57 8-17% of college students currently use waterpipe tobacco, and about 35-64% have tried it at 58 least once. A recent systematic review showed that waterpipe use among young people in the US 59 is increasing by up to 1% annually (4). Among university students in the US, waterpipe tobacco 60 smoking is the second most prevalent form of tobacco use, following cigarettes (5). Another 61 study suggests that college students are at a higher risk of smoking waterpipe and there is also a 62 notable knowledge gap and misperception regarding the potential harm of hookah, particularly 63 among young, college-educated, and never-smokers (6).

64

Most waterpipe users believe that smoking waterpipe tobacco is less harmful than other forms of tobacco use (6). This misconception is particularly prevalent among college students (6-8). In a study of college students, less than 37% of them correctly answered any questions about the toxins produced by a 45-minute waterpipe session compared to a five-minute cigarette session

69 (8). Some studies report that college students mistakenly believe that waterpipe smoking is less 70 harmful than cigarette smoking due to the perceived filtering effect of water, the social and 71 intermittent use patterns, and the smooth, aromatic smoke (9, 10). However, in reality, one 72 session of waterpipe tobacco smoking consistently exposes users to larger volumes of smoke and 73 higher levels of toxic substances, such as nicotine, tar, and carbon monoxide (CO) compared to 74 smoking a single cigarette (11). WTS is also likely associated with lung cancers, as well as 75 respiratory diseases, low birth weight, metabolic syndrome, cardiovascular disease, and mental 76 health issues (1, 12, 13). Additionally, the manner in which waterpipe tobacco is used, along 77 with the common practice of sharing the mouthpiece, leads to additional health risks compared to 78 other tobacco products. Sharing the mouthpiece during WTS can transmit pathogens such as 79 viruses, bacteria, and fungi, increasing the risk of diseases like hepatitis C, tuberculosis, peptic 80 ulcers, pneumonia, and periodontal diseases (1, 14-17).

81

82 An important factor contributing to the misconceptions about WTS and its widespread popularity 83 is the influence of media (18). In the early 1990s, satellite TV broadcasts from cafés and 84 restaurants during Ramadan helped to advertise and popularize the waterpipe, making it more 85 familiar to people in the Middle East (18). Today, social media has become a major avenue for 86 promoting waterpipe (19, 20). There is substantial evidence that exposure to tobacco marketing 87 and promotions, including on social media, is associated with tobacco product initiation and use, reduced harm perceptions, and increased positive tobacco product attitudes (21, 22). Promotions 88 89 are used to increase interest in and sales of products. However, research has also demonstrated 90 social media to be a promising channel for disseminating risk messages about WTS by 91 counteracting the impact of promotional content (23). Therefore, understanding how waterpipe is

92 promoted on social media is crucial to inform policies about marketing restrictions and93 identifying targets for risk messaging.

94

95 Twitter/X is a widely-used social media platform with over 500 million monthly active users 96 worldwide. In the US, it has more than 50.5 million monthly active users in 2023. Around 60% of Twitter/X users are youth and young adults aged 13 - 34 (24). Twitter/X data have been 97 98 utilized to examine public perceptions and discussions regarding tobacco products, including 99 waterpipe (25-28) and related regulatory policies (29-31). This study aims to provide important 100 insights into how waterpipe is promoted on social media. By analyzing promotional waterpipe-101 related tweets, this research seeks to identify the main themes and trends in waterpipe promotion 102 on Twitter/X. The findings could inform future regulatory measures, such as potential 103 promotional marketing restrictions, and public health policies to reduce waterpipe use and 104 associated health risks.

105

106 Materials and Methods

107 Ethics Statement

This study was reviewed and approved by the Research Subjects Review Board of the
Office for Human Subject Protection at the University of Rochester (STUDY00006570). Patient
consent for publication is not required as the data were analyzed anonymously.

111

112 Data Collection and Preprocessing

113 Twitter/X data related to waterpipe were collected in real-time using the Twitter/X streaming

114 API (Application Programming Interface) between March 9, 2021, and March 14, 2023, using

115 waterpipe-related keywords such as "hookah" and "waterpipe" (26, 32). The initial dataset 116 contains 4.853,562 tweets. To focus on tweets from the US we applied a geographical filter 117 based on the user location metadata. Specifically, we used the names and abbreviations of the 50 118 US states and major US cities to identify tweets originating from the US (33, 34). Following 119 geographical filtering, we further filtered the dataset to identify tweets related to waterpipe 120 smoking. A comprehensive list of keywords was used, including terms such as "waterpipe," 121 "hookah," "shisha," "narghile," "argileh," "goza," and "hubble-bubble." These keywords were 122 identified within the tweet text. Furthermore, several data-cleaning steps were performed. For 123 example, duplicate tweets and retweets have been identified and removed by checking for tweets 124 starting with "RT @" and comparing the text of tweets to ensure uniqueness. 125 126 Tweets were classified into promotional and non-promotional categories using a rule-based 127 approach. We defined 'promotional' tweets as those that explicitly or implicitly promote 128 waterpipe use, including tweets from waterpipe brands, online retailers, hookah bars/lounges, 129 and consumers engaging in promotional activity. This classification was based on the presence of 130 specific keywords indicative of promotional content in the tweet text, user screen name, and 131 username. Keywords included terms such as "dealer," "deal," "promotion," "sale," "discount," 132 "store," "promo," and others (27). The final dataset consisted of 323,347 waterpipe-related 133 tweets from the US, with 299,544 non-promotional tweets and 23,803 promotional tweets. 134 135 **Data Analysis** 136 We conducted a temporal analysis of the tweets to understand the distribution of promotional

137 waterpipe-related tweets over time. The timestamp (adjusted for the local time zone) of each

tweet is in the 'timestamp_ms' field. We grouped the tweets based on this timestamp to analyze
their frequency by hour, day, and month. This clustering allowed us to identify periods of high
and low activity. We visualized the results using Matplotlib and Tableau to create time series
plots and heatmaps.

142

For user analysis, we collected the unique user ID, follower count, and the number of tweets
posted by each Twitter/X user. The follower count for each user was determined by extracting
the highest follower count reported in the relevant tweets by this user. The total number of tweets
posted by each user was calculated by aggregating the tweets during our study period based on
the user ID.

148

149 Topic Modeling Analysis

150 We utilized BERTopic (Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers) to identify 151 popular topics in promotional waterpipe-related tweets. BERTopic is a topic modeling technique 152 that generates the embedding (numerical representations of the text data in a high-dimensional 153 space that capture the semantic meaning of the words and phrases) with a pre-trained language 154 model, clusters these embedding, and generates topic representations with the class-based TF-155 IDF procedure (35). To ensure consistency in the preprocessing steps, we converted all 156 characters to lowercase and lemmatized all words using WordNetLemmatizer. Additionally, we 157 removed stop words (e.g. a, an, and, but, in), punctuation, web links, and emojis using the 158 Natural Language Toolkit (NLTK) and Regular Expression (RE). We initially applied K-Means 159 clustering to group the tweets and set the 'hdbscan model' parameter to the model trained with 160 K-Means. We experimented with the number of clusters from three to ten and determined the

optimal number of clusters using coherence scores and visualization maps to observe theintertopic distance.

163

164 **Results**

165 Temporal Analysis of Promotional Waterpipe-Related Tweets

166 We collected a total of 4,853,562 tweets from March 9, 2021, to March 14, 2023 using

167 waterpipe-related keywords, of which 323,347 waterpipe-related tweets were identified as

168 originating from the United States. There were 299,544 non-promotional waterpipe-related

tweets (92.64%) and 23,803 promotional waterpipe-related tweets (7.36%). In this study, we

170 focused on promotional waterpipe-related tweets. Fig 1 illustrates the monthly frequency of

171 promotional waterpipe-related tweets, revealing distinct trends over the study period. From April

172 2021 to July 2021, there was a general upward trend, followed by an overall gradual decrease.

173 However, we observed two noticeable peaks, one is in November 2021 and the other one is in

174 April 2022. From S1 Figure, we can see that the overall trend in the number of users is similar to

the trend in the number of tweets except that in November 2021 the number of users did not

176 show a noticeable peak.

177

178 Figure 1. Temporal Trend in Promotional Waterpipe-Related Tweets.

179

Fig 2 presents the distribution of promotional waterpipe-related tweets by hour in the day and day of the week, with a color gradient representing tweet volume—darker shades indicate higher activity while lighter shades represent lower activity. The overall activity patterns show some variation in tweet frequency throughout the day and the week. The tweet volume is generally

184	lower during the early morning and increases as the day progresses, peaking in the late afternoon
185	and evening. The highest activity is observed on Tuesdays at 6:00 PM, with 327 tweets. Across
186	most weekdays, particularly from Tuesday to Thursday, the high posting activity consistently
187	appears from 4:00 PM to 8:00 PM. Those weekdays, especially Tuesday to Thursday, experience
188	higher posting activity than the weekend.
189	
190	Figure 2. Number of Promotional Waterpipe-Related Tweets Posted at Different Times in a
191	Day and Days of the Week.
192	
193	Analysis of Twitter/X Accounts for Promoting Waterpipe
194	From 23,803 promotional waterpipe-related tweets, we have identified 10,524 unique Twitter/X
195	accounts. The median number of promotional waterpipe-related tweets per Twitter/X account is
196	1, with a range from 1 to 690. In our analysis of 10,524 accounts with available follower counts,
197	the average number of followers per account was $5,937$ (the median = 768), with a range from 0
198	to 4,008,382. The follower counts varied significantly (SD=76,770), with 3.2% of accounts
199	having fewer than ten followers and 5.7% having more than 10,000 followers.
200	
201	S1 Table provides the top ten Twitter/X users with the most promotional waterpipe-related
202	tweets during our study period. Among these top ten Twitter/X users, five accounts belong to

hookah business owners who use Twitter/X to advertise their online waterpipe shops, four
accounts are associated with hookah lounges promoting events at their establishments, and one
account falls into the "other" category, focusing on teaching people how to smoke hookah. The
number of tweets posted by these users varied significantly, ranging from 204 to 690 tweets. In

- addition, we observe a wide range of follower counts among the users, from as few as three
- 208 followers to as many as 5,884 followers.
- 209

210 Major Topics Discussed in Promotional Waterpipe Related Tweets

- 211 To understand the main themes in the promotional waterpipe-related tweets, we performed a
- topic modeling analysis using BERTopic. As shown in Table 1, three major topics were
- 213 identified, including "Promotion from hookah lounges and online hookah business" (63.97%,
- 214 15,227/23,803), "Promoting hookah parties and events that offer hookah" (32.26%,
- 215 7,679/23,803), and "Promoting engineered and durable hookah products" (3.77%, 897/23,803).

Major topic (percentage, n/N)	Description	Keywords	Example tweets						
Topic 1: Promotion from hookah lounges and online hookah business. (63.97%, 15,227/23,803)	Tweets promote various hookah lounges and their offerings, emphasizing the events such as special nights, often featuring different shisha flavors and special deals, and various hookah business and their products, emphasizing the quality and discounts.	hookah, smoke, lounge, come, store, night, flavor, free, like, shisha	 "BOOM: New 21+ Friday Night Wave For The People \$4 Sex On The Beach \$7 Henny & amp; Patron \$20 Hookah Catered Food LITERALLY NO COVER CHARGE MEANING FREE ENTRY ALL NIGHT NO GAMES NO GIMMICKS #WeBackOutsideFridays Culture Lounge" 2. "New Product - Delta 8 Shisha \$39.99 Available Now in 2 Flavors - Mighty Mint and Pink Lemonade" 						

Topic 2:	Tweets advertise parties	free,	1. "PLS RTD K Brunch +
Promoting parties	and gatherings, often	hookah,	Mimosas + Drink Specials
and events that	offering free entry,	night,	+ Hookah +
offer hookah.	complimentary food, and	shisha,	#SunDAYFUNday
(32.26%,	shisha. These events are	party, come,	#BrunchaholicsAnonymou
7,679/23,803)	typically scheduled for	tonight,	s Reservations/Info:"
	weekends, creating a lively	drink,	
	and social atmosphere for	nappy, Saturday	2. "FIS IIS
		Saturday	HOMECOMING DAY
			March 13, 2021
			2pm -7pm
			Greeks \$5 all day
			Get the pre-sales now
			Hookah and Live Dj ——
			Txt Number on flyer for
			the addy
			#ASUTwitter #FVSU"
Topic 3:	The tweets emphasize the	revolutionar	1. "Engineered to be the
Promoting	advanced design and	у,	smoothest, most durable,
engineered and	quality of the waterpipe	engineered,	and revolutionary
durable hookah	tobacco, making it a	durable,	waterpipe available!"
products. (3.77%) ,	standout product in the	smooth,	
897/23,803)	market.	waterpipe,	2. "Our hookan's are
		available,	data nights. Valentina's
		whatsa	Day girls nights & amn:
		calhounmem	baecations! All hookah's
		orial, suite	come with shisha, coals
		, ,	& hookah tips! We
			also sell custom shisha
			mixes and jolly rancher
			candy hookah tips!"

217

Figure 3 shows the distribution of these main topics over the study period. We observed that the
topic of promoting hookah lounges and shisha flavors had the highest number of tweets

throughout the study period, peaking several times. The topic of promoting hookah parties and

events showed periodic peaks, particularly during months with major holidays. The topic of

222	promoting engineered and durable hookah products had the lowest volume but maintained a
223	steady presence over time. From S2 Figure, we can observe that the proportion of Topic 1
224	consistently remains between 60% and 70%, maintaining a dominant presence during the study
225	period. Noticeable, Topic 2 shows several significant increases, such as in November 2021, April
226	2022, and October 2022. These peaks also coincide with the overall tweet trend peaks during
227	those periods.

228

Figure 3. Major Topics in Promotional Waterpipe-Related Tweets over Time. Topic 1:

230 Promotion from hookah lounges and online hookah business; Topic 2: Promoting parties and

events that offer hookah; Topic 3: Promoting engineered and durable hookah products.

232

233 Discussion

234 Using Twitter/X data from March 9, 2021, to March 14, 2023, this study examined waterpipe 235 tobacco-related promotions on social media. The temporal trend analysis indicates an initial 236 increase in the number of promotional tweets until July 2021, followed by a general decline 237 except for two significant peaks in November 2021 and April 2022. The topic modeling analysis 238 identified three primary themes in the promotional tweets, including hookah lounges promoting 239 their establishments through discounts and events, party events or other venues emphasizing the 240 availability of hookah as part of their offerings, and the promotion of specific hookah products. 241 The frequency of promotional tweets did not appear to be related to the number of followers, 242 suggesting that businesses of various sizes, irrespective of their social media following, utilize 243 Twitter/X to promote waterpipe smoking.

245 During the study period, two significant peaks in the number of promotional waterpipe-related 246 tweets appeared in November 2021 and April 2022. After manually reviewing the tweet content 247 from these two months, we found that The November 2021 peak corresponded with heightened 248 promotional activity, while the April 2022 peak coincided with a rising trend of enjoying the 249 waterpipe tobacco during brunch, exemplified by tweets such as: "#TheBrunchMarket returns to 250 #BeatsBrunchandBubbly TODAY from 12pm to 4pm at RainDogs in #FivePointsJax!• Beats by 251 EnerJi• Brunch by: High Elevated Eatz• Bubbly: \$12 AYCD #BottomlessMimosas• Hookah 252 Service• Featuring Local Vendors•: Come thru & amp; catch the vibes!!" and "Brunch n Boozy 253 Sundays 12pm - 6pm, Section 6 People with hookah & amp; bottomless mimosas \$175, High Top 254 4 People with hookah & amp; bottomless mimosas \$125, Section 6 People 1 Bottle \$250". The 255 two peaks are consistent with the similar peaks in Topic 1 (promotion of hookah lounge) and 256 Topic 2 (promotion of parties with hookah). There might be two main factors contributing to the 257 peak in November 2021. First, we observed that some hookah lounges began engaging in direct 258 marketing on social media by responding to users' tweets to promote their services. In 259 November, the number of promotional tweets that began with "@", which means direct replies to 260 specific users, increased from 112 in the previous month to 465 tweets. This finding aligns with 261 one previous study showing that direct marketing is a crucial channel for tobacco companies 262 (36). For instance, 16 million (7.1%) US adults reported receiving e-cigarette promotions via 263 mail or email between 2013 and 2014 (37). In addition, the presence of Black Friday and 264 Thanksgiving holidays in November led to an increase in tweets from hookah lounges offering 265 discounts and event organizers promoting free hookah at parties. Another study on e-cigarette 266 marketing on Twitter/X reported that about a third of all commercial e-cigarette tweets during 267 two months (May–June 2012) contained mentions of prices or discounts (38). We suspect that

another surge in promotional tweets in April 2022 might partially result from the celebration of
the Spring season. Specifically, there was a notable rise in tweets promoting enjoying waterpipe
tobacco during brunch.

271

272 We noticed a surge of promotional waterpipe tobacco-related tweets in the late afternoon and 273 evening, especially Thursday and Tuesday. Several factors may explain the observed patterns. 274 The increased activity during late afternoons and evenings on weekdays could be attributed to 275 users being more active on social media after working or school hours, making these times 276 optimal for promoting WTS. The high volume of tweets on Thursdays might be due to hookah 277 bars or lounges posting announcements for upcoming weekend events to attract customers. 278 Similarly, the peak on Tuesdays could be related to promotional strategies targeting early week 279 engagement to boost midweek and weekend attendance at venues. To counteract the impact of 280 waterpipe tobacco promotional activity on social media, the waterpipe tobacco prevention 281 messages should be encouraged during these peak days and times of promotional tweets, 282 ensuring they reach the target audience when promotional activity is at its highest.

283

The topic analysis revealed that waterpipe-related promotions predominantly occurred through hookah lounges and other social event organizers, which is consistent with Ziyad's study showing that promotional posts often highlighted events with 37.4% promoting activities held at hookah lounges or bars and 22.2% featuring general events where hookah was also available (39). In contrast, traditional tobacco products often focus on the product's attributes, such as quality, flavor, and health claims (e.g., e-cigarettes marketed as safer alternatives to smoking) (40). The difference in the promotion strategy between waterpipe and other tobacco products can

291 be attributed to the unique usage method of waterpipes and its inherent social attributes. Unlike 292 portable tobacco products, waterpipes consist of a head (bowl), a vertical stem (body), and a 293 flexible hose with a mouthpiece. The waterpipe tobacco is heated by burning charcoal, producing 294 smoke that the user inhales through the hose (41), a setup that is less convenient for on-the-go 295 use compared to cigarettes or e-cigarettes. In addition, WTS has a strong social component (7, 296 42-44). A previous study involving café patrons and internet forum users found that one of the 297 most common perceived positive attributes of waterpipe tobacco smoking was the opportunity to 298 socialize with friends, with 79.6% of participants highlighting this aspect (45). This social nature 299 makes waterpipe lounges and events ideal venues for promoting WTS, differentiating it from 300 other tobacco products often marketed based on individual usage and convenience. The direct 301 and active promotion of WTS on social media by hookah lounges and other businesses can 302 potential promote the waterpipe tobacco use, which should be closely monitored and regulated 303 by public health authorities to protect public health.

304

305 Among those Twitter/X users posting promotional waterpipe-related tweets, including the top 10 306 user accounts, there was a significant variation in the number of waterpipe-related tweets and 307 followers. This finding aligns with a previous study on e-cigarettes on Instagram, which revealed 308 that the number of followers varied greatly for accounts promoting e-cigarettes (38). These 309 results indicate that both large and small businesses are actively utilizing social media platforms 310 to promote tobacco products, including WTS. This trend highlights the diverse range of social 311 media users engaged in marketing activities, regardless of their follower base size. Moreover, in 312 the top 10 user accounts, there is an equal representation of hookah lounges and hookah online 313 retailers, illustrating that waterpipe tobacco promotion involves both online sales, similar to other

tobacco products, and a substantial presence from hookah lounges. The widespread use of social
media for promoting waterpipe tobacco smoking underscores the need for government regulation
to effectively monitor and manage these activities.

317

318 Our study has several limitations. First, using the keywords to filter and classify promotional vs. 319 non-promotional tweets may have inherent biases and inaccuracies. Future research could use 320 machine learning or deep learning methods to improve the accuracy of tweet classification. 321 Second, our data collection was limited to Twitter/X, so our findings may not reflect promotional 322 activities on other social media platforms due to different user behaviors and demographics. 323 Future work is warranted to investigate the waterpipe promotional activity on other social media 324 platforms (such as Instagram and TikTok) that are more likely to target at the youth and young 325 adults. Third, although we filtered for tweets from the United States, some tweets might have 326 been inaccurately geo-tagged or lacked geolocation information, leading to potential 327 misrepresentation of the geographic distribution of promotional activities. Fourth, when 328 analyzing the user types of Twitter/X users promoting waterpipe, we only categorized the top 10 329 users with the highest follower counts, which represents a biased sample and may not reflect the 330 full spectrum of users promoting waterpipe tobaccos. Future studies should aim to analyze all 331 users promoting waterpipe tobaccos to better understand these Twitter/X users. Last, Twitter/X 332 does not provide demographic information (such as age, gender, and race/ethnicity) on 333 Twitter/X, making it impossible to determine the demographics of followers of waterpipe 334 tobacco-promoting accounts. Future studies should consider addressing these limitations by 335 employing more advanced classification techniques and expanding the scope to include multiple

social media platforms. In addition, with the rapid change in the waterpipe tobacco marketing,continuous monitoring of waterpipe tobacco promotion on social media become necessary.

338

339 Conclusion

340 This study examined the promotion activity of waterpipe tobacco smoking (WTS) on Twitter/X. 341 The analysis indicated an initial increase in promotional tweets until July 2021, followed by a 342 general decline. The tweets mainly focus on hookah lounges promoting their establishments 343 through discounts and events, party events, or other venues emphasizing the availability of 344 hookah, and the promotion of specific hookah products. User analysis revealed that businesses of 345 various sizes, irrespective of the size of their social media followers, utilize Twitter/X to promote 346 waterpipe tobacco smoking. These findings highlight the strategic use of social media platforms 347 like Twitter/X to promote waterpipe tobacco smoking, particularly among younger audiences, 348 and emphasize the necessity for targeted public health interventions to address this issue. 349 Continuous monitoring of promotional activities and understanding the factors driving these 350 trends can inform policy decision-making and public health initiatives, such as regulating the 351 content of online advertisements of waterpipe tobacco smoking. By addressing these promotional 352 strategies, policymakers can better regulate waterpipe tobacco marketing and reduce its 353 associated health risks. 354 355 Acknowledgements

356 None.

357

358 Funding

	359	This work was supported b	the National Cancer Institute ((R01CA285482), the National Car	ncer
--	-----	---------------------------	---------------------------------	---------------------------------	------

- 360 Institute of the National Institutes of Health (NIH) and the Food and Drug Administration (FDA)
- 361 Center for Tobacco Products under Award Number (U54CA228110).
- 362

363 Contributions

- 364 ZX, DL: conceived and designed the study. PY, MW, YH, YS, ZX, DL: analyzed the data. PY,
- 365 JCR, ELS, ZX, and DL: assisted with interpretation of analyses and wrote and edited the
- 366 manuscript.

367

368 Disclaimer

- 369 The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the
- 370 official views of the NIH or the FDA.
- 371

372 Declaration of Interests

373 None declared.

374

- 375 Data Availability
- 376 The data present in this study can be requested from the corresponding author.

377 Ethics approval

- 378 This study has been reviewed and approved by the Office for Human Subject Protection
- 379 Research Subjects Review Board (RSRB) at the University of Rochester (Study ID:
- 380 STUDY00006570).
- 381

383 **REFERENCES**

384

Qasim H, Alarabi AB, Alzoubi KH, Karim ZA, Alshbool FZ, Khasawneh FT. The effects of
 hookah/waterpipe smoking on general health and the cardiovascular system. Environ Health
 Prev Med. 2019;24(1):58.

Cornelius ME, Loretan CG, Jamal A, Davis Lynn BC, Mayer M, Alcantara IC, et al.
 Tobacco Product Use Among Adults - United States, 2021. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.
 2023;72(18):475-83.

391 3. Kates FR, Salloum RG, Thrasher JF, Islam F, Fleischer NL, Maziak W. Geographic
392 Proximity of Waterpipe Smoking Establishments to Colleges in the U.S. Am J Prev Med.
393 2016;50(1):e9-e14.

3944.Sidani JE, Shensa A, Yabes J, Fertman C, Primack BA. Waterpipe tobacco use in395college and non-college young adults in the USA. Fam Pract. 2019;36(2):103-9.

Primack BA, Shensa A, Kim KH, Carroll MV, Hoban MT, Leino EV, et al. Waterpipe
 smoking among U.S. university students. Nicotine Tob Res. 2013;15(1):29-35.

Majeed BA, Sterling KL, Weaver SR, Pechacek TF, Eriksen MP. Prevalence and harm
 perceptions of hookah smoking among U.S. adults, 2014-2015. Addict Behav. 2017;69:78-86.
 Akl FA, Jawad M, Jam WX, Co CN, Obaid P, Irapi J, Matiwas baliafa and attitudes

400 7. Akl EA, Jawad M, Lam WY, Co CN, Obeid R, Irani J. Motives, beliefs and attitudes 401 towards waterpipe tobacco smoking: a systematic review. Harm Reduct J. 2013;10:12.

Lipkus IM, Eissenberg T, Schwartz-Bloom RD, Prokhorov AV, Levy J. Relationships
among factual and perceived knowledge of harms of waterpipe tobacco, perceived risk, and
desire to quit among college users. J Health Psychol. 2014;19(12):1525-35.

405 9. Heinz AJ, Giedgowd GE, Crane NA, Veilleux JC, Conrad M, Braun AR, et al. A
406 comprehensive examination of hookah smoking in college students: use patterns and contexts,
407 social norms and attitudes, harm perception, psychological correlates and co-occurring
408 substance use. Addict Behav. 2013;38(11):2751-60.

409 10. Sutfin EL, McCoy TP, Reboussin BA, Wagoner KG, Spangler J, Wolfson M. Prevalence
410 and correlates of waterpipe tobacco smoking by college students in North Carolina. Drug
411 Alcohol Depend. 2011;115(1-2):131-6.

412 11. Primack BA, Carroll MV, Weiss PM, Shihadeh AL, Shensa A, Farley ST, et al.
413 Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Inhaled Toxicants from Waterpipe and Cigarette
414 Smoking. Public Health Rep. 2016;131(1):76-85.

415 12. Mahfooz K, Vasavada AM, Joshi A, Pichuthirumalai S, Andani R, Rajotia A, et al.
416 Waterpipe Use and Its Cardiovascular Effects: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of
417 Case-Control, Cross-Sectional, and Non-Randomized Studies. Cureus. 2023;15(2):e34802.

418 13. Waziry R, Jawad M, Ballout RA, Al Akel M, Akl EA. The effects of waterpipe tobacco

smoking on health outcomes: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Epidemiol.
2017;46(1):32-43.

421 14. Blachman-Braun R, Del Mazo-Rodriguez RL, Lopez-Samano G, Buendia-Roldan I. 422 Hookah, is it really harmless? Respir Med. 2014;108(5):661-7.

Habib M, Mohamed MK, Abdel-Aziz F, Magder LS, Abdel-Hamid M, Gamil F, et al.
Hepatitis C virus infection in a community in the Nile Delta: risk factors for seropositivity.
Hepatology. 2001;33(1):248-53.

Hepatology. 2001;33(1):248-53.
16. Szyper-Kravitz M, Lang R, Manor Y, Lahav M. Early invasive pulmonary aspergillosis in a leukemia patient linked to aspergillus contaminated marijuana smoking. Leuk Lymphoma.

428 2001;42(6):1433-7.

429 17. Urkin J, Ochaion R, Peleg A. Hubble bubble equals trouble: the hazards of water pipe 430 smoking. ScientificWorldJournal. 2006;6:1990-7.

431 18. Nakkash RT, Khalil J, Afifi RA. The rise in narghile (shisha, hookah) waterpipe tobacco

432 smoking: a qualitative study of perceptions of smokers and non smokers. BMC Public Health.433 2011;11:315.

434 19. Donaldson EA, Hoffman AC, Zandberg I, Blake KD. Media exposure and tobacco
435 product addiction beliefs: Findings from the 2015 Health Information National Trends Survey
436 (HINTS-FDA 2015). Addict Behav. 2017;72:106-13.

437 20. Link AR, Cawkwell PB, Shelley DR, Sherman SE. An Exploration of Online Behaviors
438 and Social Media Use Among Hookah and Electronic-Cigarette Users. Addict Behav Rep.
439 2015;2:37-40.

21. Clendennen SL, Mantey DS, Wilkinson AV, Perry CL, Harrell MB, Loukas A. Digital
marketing of smokeless tobacco: A longitudinal analysis of exposure and initiation among young
adults. Addict Behav. 2021;117:106850.

22. Donaldson SI, Dormanesh A, Perez C, Majmundar A, Allem JP. Association Between
Exposure to Tobacco Content on Social Media and Tobacco Use: A Systematic Review and
Meta-analysis. JAMA Pediatr. 2022;176(9):878-85.

446 23. Johnson AC, Mays D. Testing the Effects of Hookah Tobacco Social Media Risk

447 Communication Messages Among Young Adults. Health Educ Behav. 2021;48(5):627-36.
448 24. Center PR. Social Media Fact Sheet 2024 [Available from:

449 https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/fact-sheet/social-media/.

450 25. Chen J, Xue S, Xie Z, Li D. Perceptions and Discussions of Snus on Twitter:

451 Observational Study. JMIR Med Inform. 2022;10(8):e38174.

452 26. Feliciano JR, Li D, Xie Z. Public Perceptions of Flavored Waterpipe Smoking on Twitter. 453 Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2023;20(7).

454 27. Lu X, Chen L, Yuan J, Luo J, Luo J, Xie Z, et al. User Perceptions of Different Electronic
455 Cigarette Flavors on Social Media: Observational Study. J Med Internet Res.
456 2020;22(6):e17280.

457 28. Sun L, Tao C, Xie Z, Li D. Promotion of Disposable Electronic Cigarette Flavors and 458 Topics on Twitter. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17(24).

459 29. Lu X, Sun L, Xie Z, Li D. Perception of the Food and Drug Administration electronic
460 cigarette flavor enforcement policy on Twitter: observational study. JMIR Public Health and
461 Surveillance. 2022;8(3):e25697.

30. Sun L, Lu X, Xie Z, Li D. Public reactions to the new York state policy on flavored
electronic cigarettes on Twitter: observational study. JMIR Public Health and Surveillance.
2022;8(2):e25216.

465 31. Zhou R, Tang Q, Xie Z, Li D. Public Perceptions of the Food and Drug Administration's
466 Proposed Rules Prohibiting Menthol Cigarettes on Twitter: Observational Study. JMIR
467 Formative Research. 2023;7(1):e42706.

468 32. Zheng Z, Xie Z, Li D. Discussion of waterpipe tobacco smoking on reddit. Heliyon. 469 2022;8(9):e10635.

470 33. Lu X, Sun L, Xie Z, Li D. Perception of the Food and Drug Administration Electronic

471 Cigarette Flavor Enforcement Policy on Twitter: Observational Study. JMIR Public Health472 Surveill. 2022;8(3):e25697.

473 34. Zhang S, Sun L, Zhang D, Li P, Liu Y, Anand A, et al. The COVID-19 Pandemic and

474 Mental Health Concerns on Twitter in the United States. Health Data Sci. 2022;2022:9758408.
475 35. Grootendorst MJapa. BERTopic: Neural topic modeling with a class-based TF-IDF
476 mental Health Concerns on Twitter in the United States. Health Data Sci. 2022;2022:9758408.
477 35. Grootendorst MJapa. BERTopic: Neural topic modeling with a class-based TF-IDF

476 procedure. 2022.

477 36. Lewis MJ, Ling PM. "Gone are the days of mass-media marketing plans and short term
478 customer relationships": tobacco industry direct mail and database marketing strategies. Tob
479 Control. 2016;25(4):430-6.

480 37. Dai H, Hao J. Direct Marketing Promotion and Electronic Cigarette Use Among US

481 Adults, National Adult Tobacco Survey, 2013-2014. Prev Chronic Dis. 2017;14:E84.

482 38. Huang J, Kornfield R, Szczypka G, Emery SL. A cross-sectional examination of

483 marketing of electronic cigarettes on Twitter. Tob Control. 2014;23 Suppl 3(Suppl 3):iii26-30.

- 484 39. Ben Taleb Z, Laestadius LI, Asfar T, Primack BA, Maziak W. #Hookahlife: The Rise of 485 Waterpipe Promotion on Instagram. Health Educ Behav. 2019;46(1):106-13.
- 486 40. Collins L, Glasser AM, Abudayyeh H, Pearson JL, Villanti AC. E-Cigarette Marketing and 487 Communication: How E-Cigarette Companies Market E-Cigarettes and the Public Engages with 488 E-cigarette Information. Nicotine Tob Res. 2019;21(1):14-24.
- 489 41. Kassem NO, Kassem NO, Liles S, Reilly E, Kas-Petrus F, Posis AIB, et al. Waterpipe
- 490 device cleaning practices and disposal of waste associated with waterpipe tobacco smoking in 491 homes in the USA. Tob Control. 2020;29(Suppl 2):s123-s30.
- 492 42. Ahmed B, Jacob P, 3rd, Allen F, Benowitz N. Attitudes and practices of hookah smokers 493 in the San Francisco Bay Area. J Psychoactive Drugs. 2011;43(2):146-52.
- 494 43. Braun RE, Glassman T, Wohlwend J, Whewell A, Reindl DM. Hookah use among
- college students from a Midwest University. J Community Health. 2012;37(2):294-8.
- 496 44. Maziak W, Taleb ZB, Bahelah R, Islam F, Jaber R, Auf R, et al. The global epidemiology 497 of waterpipe smoking. Tob Control. 2015;24 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):i3-i12.
- 498 45. Smith-Simone S, Maziak W, Ward KD, Eissenberg T. Waterpipe tobacco smoking:
- 499 knowledge, attitudes, beliefs, and behavior in two U.S. samples. Nicotine Tob Res.500 2008;10(2):393-8.
- 501

502 Supporting Information

- 503 S1 Fig. Temporal trend in promotional waterpipe-related users
- 504 S2 Fig. Proportion of major topics in promotional waterpipe related tweets over time. Topic
- 1: Promotion from hookah lounges and online hookah business; Topic 2: Promoting hookah parties
- and events; Topic 3: Promoting engineered and durable hookah products.

507 S1 Table. Top Twitter/X accounts with the most promotional waterpipe-related tweets.



Figure 1

vebuc	- 171	152	114	161	78	71	53	29	40	26	27	67	115	109	216	149	232	216	194	233	193	192	219	197		- 30(
av Mc																										
/ Tuesd	- 228	167	157	119	91	51	48	33	25	45	26	101	94	140	192	216	199	216	327	287	205	295	256	209		- 25
eek Wednesdav	- 178	227	180	172	138	104	70	56	44	24	28	89	97	125	156	178	225	234	215	230	259	249	288	229		- 20
y of the Wo	- 175	183	181	213	92	85	65	50	35	24	27	88	91	142	164	225	259	218	206	208	253	185	257	193		
Da	- 163	188	169	115	109	58	68	41	43	21	32	79	109	129	151	214	226	178	189	220	220	238	260	228		- 15
Saturdav	- 186	174	142	138	125	99	60	57	35	37	27	38	48	107	170	153	184	208	160	197	189	165	177	173		- 10
Sunday	- 153	162	173	148	108	101	83	126	54	31	22	29	43	92	108	197	165	177	169	144	142	172	176	136		- 50
	ò	i	ź	3	4	5	6	ż	8	9	10 Ho	11 ur of	12 the I	13 Day	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23		

Figure 2



Figure 3