Health worker acceptability of an HIV testing mobile health application

within a rural Zambian HIV treatment programme

Authors: Andrés Montaner*1, 3; Mulundu Mumbalanga²; Marie-Chantal Umuhoza²; Constance Wose Kinge^{1,3}; Emeka Okonji¹; Godfrey Ligenda⁴; Eula Mothibi¹; Ben Chirwa²; Pedro Pisa^{1,5}; Charles Chasela^{1,4}

Affiliations: ¹Right to Care, Centurion, South Africa; ²Right to Care Zambia, Action HIV, Lusaka, Zambia; ³Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand; ⁴United States Agency for International Development (USAID), Zambia; ⁵Department of Human Nutrition, University of Pretoria;

- * Corresponding author
- Email: AndresM@gmail.com (Andrés Montaner)

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice. **Key Words**: Acceptability, Implementation Science, HIV Testing, Mobile Health, mHealth, Lynx

Author Contributions

The below table outlines the authorship roles and contributions to the submitted manuscript.

Author	Contributor Role
Andrés Montaner	Conceptualization, Data Curation, Formal
	Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing –
	Original Draft Preparation
Mulundu Mumbalanga	Investigation, Project Administration
Marie-Chantal Umuhoza	Project Administration, Resources
Constance Kinge	Methodology, Supervision
Emeka Okonji	Methodology, Supervision
Godfrey Ligenda	Funding Acquisition, Project Administration,
	Resources
Eula Mothibi	Writing – Review & Editing, Funding
	Acquisition, Resources
Ben Chirwa	Funding Acquisition, Project Administration,
	Resources
Pedro Pisa	Conceptualization, Methodology, Supervision,
	Writing – Review & Editing
Charles Chasela	Conceptualization, Methodology, Supervision,
	Writing – Review & Editing

3

38 List of Abbreviations

- 39 AIDS: Acquired Immunodeficiency Syndrome
- 40 **ART:** Antiretroviral Treatment
- 41 GIS: Global Information System
- 42 HIV: Human Immunodeficiency Virus
- 43 **KPI:** Key Person Interview
- 44 NGO: Non-Government Organisation
- 45 **PEPFAR:** President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief
- 46 **RTCZ:** Right to Care Zambia
- 47 **TAM:** Technology Acceptance Model
- 48
- 49

50 Definition of Terms

- 51 **mHealth**: Mobile health
- 52 Modality: Health facility care entrance point leading to HIV test (e.g. paediatric ward,
- antenatal care ward, inpatient ward, outpatient ward, index client intake)
- 54 **Tablet**: Mobile device through which a mobile application is utilised
- 55 **Yield**: % of positive tests in a given period (tests positive/total tests)
- 56

57 Abstract

58

59 Background:

60 As more people living with HIV are identified and prescribed antiretroviral treatment in Zambia, detecting new HIV infections to complete the last mile of epidemic control is 61 challenging. To address this, innovative targeted testing strategies are essential. Therefore, 62 Right to Care Zambia developed and implemented a novel digital health surveillance 63 application, Lynx, in three Zambian provinces-Northern, Luapula, and Muchinga in 2018. 64 Lynx offers real-time HIV testing data with geo-spatial analysis for targeted testing, and has 65 proven effective in enhancing HIV testing yield. This cross-sectional mixed methods study 66 assessed the acceptability of Lynx among HIV testing healthcare workers in Zambia. 67

68 Methods:

A quantitative Likert scale (1–5) survey was administered to 176 healthcare workers to gauge Lynx's acceptability. Additionally, six qualitative key person interviews and five focus group discussions were conducted to gain an in-depth understanding of acceptability, and identify relevant barriers and facilitators. Quantitative data were analysed by averaging survey responses and running descriptive statistics. Qualitative data were transcribed and analysed in thematic coding. Data triangulation was utilised between the data sources to verify findings.

75 Results:

Overall, the average survey score of perceived ease of use was 3.926 (agree), perceived usefulness was 4.179 (strongly agree) and perceived compatibility was 3.574 (agree). Survey questions related to network requirements, resource availability, and IT support had the most "strongly disagree" responses. The qualitative data collection revealed that Lynx was perceived as useful, and easy to use. Training for staff and regular updates were identified as facilitators, while conflicting work priorities and inconsistent IT support were identified barriers.

82 Conclusion:

Lynx was identified as acceptable by health workers due to its perceived usefulness, staff trainings, and regular updates. For a mobile health intervention to be embraced in rural Zambian settings, key facilitators include robust IT support, comprehensive training, user feedback-based updates, and consideration of facility staff priorities.

5

87 Introduction

As of 2021, the global burden of HIV remains significant, with an estimated 37.7 million people living with the virus worldwide, and the African region continues to be the most affected, accounting for approximately two-thirds of new cases (1,2). Despite substantial progress in managing the HIV epidemic, more than 8.1 million individuals remain unaware of their HIV status, contributing to ongoing transmission and mortality, with 1.5 million new infections reported in 2020 (3,4). This underscores the critical importance of expanding HIV testing efforts.

Zambia has demonstrated remarkable strides in aligning with UNAIDS goals,
particularly the ambitious 95-95-95 targets, aiming for 95% of all people living with HIV to be
aware of their status, 95% of diagnosed individuals to receive sustained antiretroviral therapy
(ART), and 95% of those on ART to achieve viral suppression by 2025(5,6). In 2021, 88.7%
of adults living with HIV in Zambia were aware of their status, with 98% on ART and 96.3%
achieving viral suppression (7). To reach the final milestone in identifying HIV-positive
individuals, increasing testing has been identified as a pivotal strategy (8,9).

102 While mass testing and community outreach strategies have been instrumental in managing the HIV epidemic in Zambia, novel and efficient innovations such as index tracing 103 104 and moonlighting are now being deployed by HIV treatment organisations to access harder-toreach populations (10). The PEPFAR funded Zambian HIV treatment program, Right to Care-105 Zambia (RTCZ) has implemented the "Lynx" intervention, a mobile health (mHealth) 106 application designed for targeted HIV testing. This application furnishes the HIV treatment 107 programme with real-time HIV testing data aggregations, individual staff performance metrics, 108 and geographic information systems (GIS) maps pinpointing targeted populations. 109

However, the success of such technological interventions hinges on the acceptance or 110 "willingness to use" of their target users. Therefore, accounting for and ensuring high 111 acceptability is crucial for maximising the application's potential in improving targeted HIV 112 113 testing efficiency (11). This study aims to evaluate the acceptability of the Lynx HIV testing mobile application among healthcare workers within a rural HIV treatment programme 114 115 spanning three Zambian provinces. Acceptability is assessed through users' perceived ease of use, usefulness, and compatibility, while also investigating barriers and facilitators to inform 116 117 future implementation considerations. The goal is to enhance our understanding of how

6

healthcare providers engage with and perceive this innovative tool, optimising its integrationinto the healthcare system for more effective HIV testing strategies.

120

121 Materials and Methods

122 Design

The study employed a mixed-method, cross-sectional design, integrating both 123 quantitative and qualitative research components involving public health workers. In the 124 125 quantitative phase, a survey was utilised, adapted from a well-established and validated tool known as the technology acceptance model (TAM) (12,13). This tool comprises closed-ended 126 127 questions designed to assess the acceptability of a given intervention, considering factors such as perceived ease of use, usefulness, and compatibility within a specific context. This survey 128 draws on similar methodologies previously employed for assessing mHealth applications in 129 sub-Saharan Africa, establishing its appropriateness for this study (14). 130

131 Complementing the quantitative approach, the qualitative component involved semi-132 structured key person interviews (KPI) and focus group discussions (FGD). These qualitative 133 methods aimed to delve deeper into participants' perceptions and provide a richer and more 134 nuanced understanding of their experiences with the intervention. The ensuing analysis 135 incorporated data triangulation, reconciliation and validation of findings obtained from both 136 quantitative and qualitative data collection methods.

This comprehensive mixed-method design ensures a multifaceted exploration of the acceptability of the intervention among public healthcare workers, offering a more holistic perspective that combines quantitative metrics with qualitative insights. Such an approach enhances the study's robustness and facilitates a more thorough comprehension of the complex dynamics surrounding the acceptance of the mHealth intervention in this public health context.

142 Intervention Assessed

In pursuit of optimising HIV testing programme resources through targeted testing, RTCZ has introduced an innovative HIV testing mHealth application in Zambia. This intervention is strategically aligned with the initial goal of the 95-95-95 targets, specifically focusing on HIV awareness. mHealth is meticulously tailored to adhere to the National Zambian HIV testing guidelines, serving as a comprehensive tool for both healthcare facilities and community-based HIV testing staff (15). This application is installed on mobile tablets and

7

digitally captures detailed patient testing information, including demographics, sexually
 transmitted disease (STD) and tuberculosis (TB) screening, GIS coordinates, client care point
 modality, HIV test kit details, HIV test results, and the completion time for testing and
 counselling.

The captured HIV testing data is then aggregated in a central online data warehouse, facilitating its review and analysis by the programme staff. Local staff engage in regular assessments, scrutinising demographic trends among HIV testing clients, evaluating facility entry points, appraising facility staff performance, and identifying GIS hotspots within the surrounding community. The overarching aim of this mHealth intervention is to enhance operational efficiency by streamlining the processing of in-depth patient information, thereby maximising available resources within Zambia.

160 Intervention sites were selected based on the HIV testing performance of facilities within the broader HIV treatment programme. Among the 168 HIV treatment facilities covered 161 by the programme, a subset of 55 "priority facilities" emerged, contributing a significant 85% 162 of the total newly identified HIV-positive clients. The mHealth intervention has been 163 strategically implemented across all 55 priority facilities, distributed across Luapula (17 164 facilities), Muchinga (21 facilities), and Northern (17 facilities) provinces. To ensure seamless 165 integration, all HIV testing and treatment staff in these priority facilities have undergone 166 thorough training and have been equipped with the mHealth application, paving the way for 167 comprehensive utilisation. 168

169 Setting

170 RTCZ's HIV care and treatment initiatives operate actively in the northeast region of Zambia, spanning Luapula, Muchinga, and Northern provinces. This geographical area is 171 characterised by its rural nature, sparse population, and constrained resources. It is situated 172 approximately 14 hours by car from the nation's capital, Lusaka. Within this region, the HIV 173 174 programme, serving as the backdrop for the research, extends support to a total of 168 public health HIV treatment facilities. These facilities encompass both primary and secondary care 175 176 levels, collectively contributing to the comprehensive healthcare services delivered in this challenging and resource-limited environment. 177

178 Participant Selection

179 Data were collected at purposively sampled HIV treatment facilities. Most of the 180 participant population is comfortable in reading and speaking English. All invitations were first sent to facility heads and managers of the relevant health workers for approval. All HIV testing
healthcare workers using the HIV testing application were invited to participate in the survey
over email from January 1st to February 28th 2023. One HIV testing staff manager from two
new random lottery selected facilities was invited in English from each province for six planned
KPIs in November 2022, though only five were completed due to the unavailability of staff.

The largest facility of each province was invited to partake in the FGDs in November 2022. Mansa General Hospital was invited in Luapula province, Nakonde Urban Health Center was invited in Muchinga province, and Mpulungu Urban Health Center was invited in Northern province. Three additional FGDs were conducted during data collection to ensure data saturation of the qualitative themes. Each discussion included all mHealth intervention staff from the facility. All potential participants were invited in English over email. The total number of participants for each collection method is outlined in Table 3.

193 *Table 1: Sample Sizes of Data Collection*

Data Collection Tool	Sample Size
Surveys (Quantitative)	176 persons
Key Person Interviews (Qualitative)	5 persons
Focus Group Discussions (Qualitative)	6 groups (31 persons)

194

195 Data collection

196 Quantitative

All identified survey participants received a link to the online survey with an implied 197 consent participation form. The survey was anonymised, and self-administered in English on a 198 user-friendly platform, REDCap. All healthcare workers had access to a computer with 199 200 internet. The survey consisted of 15 statements written in plain English, four on the ease of use, 201 four on usefulness, and seven on compatibility (Supporting Information 1). Participants were asked to rate their level of agreement on a 5-point Likert scale, where one was "strongly 202 disagree" and five was "strongly agree". Before data collection, the survey was reviewed and 203 adapted by five local Zambian experts with experience in HIV care and treatment to ensure 204 that the survey questions were comprehendible and perceived clearly. The adapted survey was 205 then pilot tested with five users of the application from a randomly selected facility. Following 206 the piloting, the participants regarded the tool as comprehensible, and relevant, and perceived 207 the questions. 208

9

Qualitative 209

A semi-structured interview and group discussion guide (Supporting Information 2 and 210 3) was developed to guide the KPIs and FGDs. Questions focused on participants' perceptions 211 relating to barriers and facilitators of the application's compatibility, and ease of use and 212 usefulness. KPIs and FGDs were conducted in English, on-site at the participant's facility and 213 took 20-40 minutes. All participants provided written consent. Before data collection, the 214 interview guide was reviewed and adapted by five local Zambian experts with experience in 215 HIV care and treatment to ensure the interview questions were comprehendible and perceived 216 217 clearly. The reviewed interview guide was then pilot tested with two users of the application from a randomly selected facility. 218

Data analysis 219

Survey data were inputted online through the REDCap platform and subsequently 220 extracted into an Excel file for cleaning and analysis using R. Internal reliability and validity 221 of the survey questions were assessed using Cronbach's alpha. The analysis focused 222 exclusively on participants who had utilised the mHealth intervention (144 out of 176), with 223 outliers identified and removed through z-scores. Utilising a Likert scale ranging from one to 224 225 five, response averages fell within the range of 1.00 to 4.99. Each question received a mean score with standard deviations recorded, and responses were categorised into "agree" (averages 226 3.00-3.99), "strongly agree" (averages 4.00-4.99), "disagree" (averages 2.00-2.99), and 227 "strongly disagree" (averages 1.00–1.99). 228

The questions within each factor group were further averaged, accounting for standard 229 deviations. To assess the significance of participant demographics on acceptability factors, 230 231 Kruskal-Wallis and Wilcoxon rank sum tests were employed.

As for qualitative data, the KPIs and FGDs transcriptions underwent coding in 232 MAXQDA, utilising both inductive and deductive coding methods based on themes related to 233 234 perceived usefulness, ease of use, and compatibility. Codes were applied to segments of the text representing the beginning and conclusion of relevant topics or transition to another 235 subject. These codes were then organised into themes associated with the acceptability factors. 236 Data triangulation, comparing findings from each data collection tool, was employed to 237 238 validate key insights and ensure consistency across the diverse data sources. This robust approach enhances the reliability and credibility of the study's findings. 239

10

240 Ethical Considerations

This study was approved by the University of the Witwatersrand Human Research Ethics Committee (Medical) (Clearance certificate number M220720) and ERES Converge IRB in Lusaka Zambia (Ref No. 2021-Oct-003). All participants were over 18 years of age, implied consent was utilized for the anonymous online survey, and written consent was collected for the KPIs and FGDs.

246

- 247 **Results**
- 248 Quantitative
- 249 Survey Participant Characteristics

250 Cronbach's Alpha of the 15-item survey was 0.663. Table 4 outlines the socio-251 demographic characteristics of the survey participants, the majority of whom are women, who

came from Luapula and Northern Provinces, and were aged 25–34.

	Luapula	Muchinga	Northern	Total
	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)	N (%)
Sex				
Men	23 (33%)	3 (21%)	24 (39%)	50 (35%)
Women	46 (66%)	11 (79%)	37 (61%)	94 (65%)
Age Group				
18–24	4 (1%)	0 (0%)	2 (3%)	6 (4%)
25-34	23 (33%)	7 (50%)	24 (39%)	54 (38%)
35–44	13 (19%)	2 (14%)	13 (21%)	28 (19%)
45-54	16 (23%)	2 (14%)	10 (16%)	28 (19%)
55+	13 (19%)	3 (21%)	12 (20%)	28 (19%)
Total				
	69 (48%)	14 (10%)	61 (42%)	144

253 *Table 2: Demographic Characteristics of Survey Participants*

254

255 Acceptability of Lynx

Each statement has an averaged response score between 1.00 and 4.99. As shown 256 (Table 5), most of the responses averaged 3.00–3.99 "agree" followed by 4.00–4.99 "strongly 257 agree". A review of the frequency of the strongest scores, "strongly agree/disagree", in the 258 responses indicated that over 10% of respondents strongly agreed with 11/15 statements, and 259 over 10% of respondents strongly disagreed with 1/15 statements. These scores indicate that 260 the intervention was perceived as easy to use and useful to the HIV testing staff, but there were 261 factors, which could improve the compatibility with the context. These included issues related 262 to statements 9, 11, and 14 of Table 3. Statement 9, relating to reliance on network connectivity, 263

11

had the lowest response average of all questions, and the most "strongly disagree" responses. 264

Statements 11 and 14 relating to healthcare workers' adequate resources and support to 265

- complete the application at work also scored lower. 266
- 267 Table 3: Survey Responses

	Acceptability	Question	Averaged (1–5)	Frequency of 1	Frequency of
	Factor	Question	(SD)	"Strongly	5 "Strongly
				Disagree"	Agree" (%n)
				(%n)	
1	Perceived Ease	Lynx is easy to use	4.242 (0.430)	0 (0%)	31 (21.5%)
	of Use				
2	Perceived Ease	It was (not*) hard for me to learn to	3.590* (1.132)	8*(5.5%)	21*(14.6%)
	of Use	use Lynx	. ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
3	Perceived Ease	The application layout is simple	4.16 (0.368)	0 (0%)	20 (13.9%)
4	of Use Perceived Ease	when moving between questions Whenever I made a mistake using	2 721 (0.05()	1 (0 70/)	20 (12 00/)
4	of Use	Lynx, I could correct the mistake	3.721 (0.956)	1 (0.7%)	20 (13.9%)
	01 030	quickly and easily			
5	Perceived	Lynx is useful for my HIV testing	4.233 (0.425)	0 (0%)	28 (19.4%)
	Usefulness	practice			
6	Perceived	Lynx helped me manage my	4.000 (0.790)	0 (0%)	28 (19.4%)
	Usefulness	patient's health effectively	. ,	, , ,	. ,
7	Perceived	Lynx improved my access to	4.175 (0.479)	0 (0%)	26 (18.1%)
8	Usefulness Perceived	delivering health care [sic] services Lynx has provided a helpful way to	4 100 (0 400)	0 (00()	10 (12 20/)
8	Usefulness	deliver healthcare services	4.129 (0.428)	0 (0%)	19 (13.2%)
9	Perceived	I could use Lynx even when the	2.188 (1.085)	33 (22.9%)	4 (2.8%)
Í	Compatibility	internet connection was poor or not	2.100 (1.005)	55 (22.970)	4 (2.070)
	1 5	available			
10	Perceived	I think that Lynx fits well with the	3.940 (0.794)	0 (0%)	21 (14.6%)
	Compatibility	way I work		, <i>, ,</i>	``´´´
11	Perceived	I have the resources necessary to use	3.407 (1.207)	12 (8.3%)	14 (9.7%)
10	Compatibility	Lynx		0 (00 ()	
12	Perceived Compatibility	I have the knowledge necessary to use Lynx	4.202 (0.447)	0 (0%)	24 (1.67%)
13	Perceived	Lynx is not compatible (is	3.712* (0.962)	1* (0.7%)	18* (12.5%)
15	Compatibility	compatible*) with the way I work	5.712" (0.902)	1 (0.770)	10' (12.3%)
14	Perceived	A specific person (or group) is	3.661 (1.134)	11 (7.6%)	18 (12.5%)
	Compatibility	available for assistance if I have	5.001 (1.151)	11 (7.070)	10 (12.570)
		difficulties concerning Lynx			
15	Perceived	If I had the opportunity, I prefer	3.492* (1.076)	4*(2.8%)	13* (9%)
	Compatibility	(not*) working on paper			

268

* Response scale of the question (2,13,15) was inverted to align with the overall scale of "5/strongly agree" 269 indicating higher acceptability

Overall, statements under the "perceived usefulness" category had the most "strongly 270

agree" responses, as well as slightly higher overall response averages as seen in Table 6. 271

272 Table 4: Averaged Acceptability Factor Scores

Characteristic	$N = 144^{1}$
Perceived Ease of Use	3.926 (0.436)
Perceived Usefulness	4.179 (0.422)
Perceived Compatibility	3.574 (0.467)

12

273

¹ Mean (SD)

Table 7 visualises the intervention's three acceptability factors by province. All factors 274 scored over three, "agree" and "strongly agree". Yet, across all provinces, the perceived 275 compatibility scored the lowest. Muchinga had the highest scores but also had the smallest 276 sample size (n = 14). The data set failed the Shapiro-Wilk test for normality resulting in the 277 use of medians, and the Kruskal-Wallis revealed none of the provinces to be significant on the 278 acceptability factors. 279

280 Table 5: Survey Findings by Province

Characteristics	Province					
	Luapula, $N = 69^{1}$	Muchinga, $N = 14^{1}$	Northern, $N = 61^{1}$			
Perceived Ease of Use	4.000 (0.500)	4.000 (0.500)	4.000 (0.500)	0.089		
Perceived Usefulness	4.000 (0.500)	4.375 (0.500)	4.000 (0.000)	0.11		
Perceived Compatibility	3.714 (0.429)	3.857 (0.429)	3.571 (0.536)	0.5		
¹ Median (<i>IQR</i>)						
² Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test						

281

Both genders matched the trend of lower perceived compatibility of the intervention 282 compared to ease of use and usefulness as seen in Table 8. The Wilcoxon revealed neither 283 gender to be significant on the outcomes. 284

285 Table 6: Survey Findings by Gender

Characteristics	G	<i>p</i> -value ²	
	Male, $N = 50^{1}$	Female, $N = 94^{1}$	
Perceived Ease of Use	4.000 (0.500)	4.000 (0.500)	0.7
Perceived Usefulness	4.000 (0.750)	4.000 (0.250)	0.5
Perceived Compatibility	3.714 (0.571)	3.571 (0.571)	0.5
¹ Median (<i>IQR</i>)			
² Wilcoxon rank sum test			

286

Table 9 indicates a tendency among all age groups to score perceived compatibility 287 lower, but 18–24 also had lower scores overall. It is worth noting that 18–24 also had the 288 289 smallest group sample size (n = 6). The Kruskal-Wallis revealed none of the age groups to be significant on the outcomes. 290

²⁹¹ Table 7: Survey Findings by Age

Characteristics		Age Group						
	18–24,	18–24, 25–34, 35–44, 45–54, 55+,						
	$N = 6^{1}$	$N = 54^{1}$	$N = 28^{1}$	$N = 28^{1}$	$N = 28^{1}$			
Perceived Ease of	3.875	4.000	4.000	4.000	4.000	0.5		
Use	(0.313)	(0.500)	(0.500)	(0.500)	(0.500)			

13

Perceived	3.875	4.000	4.000	4.000	4.000	0.14	
Usefulness	(0.438)	(0.250)	(0.000)	(1.000)	(0.500)		
Perceived	3.357	3.714	3.571	3.500	3.571	0.4	
Compatibility	(0.286)	(0.429)	(0.571)	(0.714)	(0.643)		
¹ Median (<i>IQR</i>)							
² Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test							

292

293

Qualitative 294

Six themes were identified concerning the acceptability of the Lynx intervention. These 295 included efficiency gains, training, network/IT, feedback-based updates, community vs 296 297 facility, and work priorities.

Efficiency Gains 298

299 The KPI and FGD findings revealed an overall perception that the Lynx innovation was easy to use and incredibly useful for a range of work benefits. The main healthcare uses 300 highlighted were the live reporting function and the production of local GIS HIV testing maps. 301 The HIV testing staff noted that Lynx allows for live programme reporting through the tablet, 302 which saves data recording and submission times, and enables managers to see each staff 303 member's work performance in real-time. 304

- 305 "it [sic] has simplified matters because if we are using hard copies to send this information it would take a long 306 time to reach you, and maybe in the process we lose [sic] the documents but this is instant, so it's good" -Kasama General health worker. 307
- 308 "Its [sic] not (difficult) because paperwork is tedious and using paperwork you can easily lose the 309 information" - Mpika Urban health worker.
- "And the paper will pass through many people, our supervisor, the district in the process it may get lose [sic] 310 311 and things like that so redo this, but this is just direct I think it's good" - Kasama General health worker.
- "well [sic] no it's a good tool, it was effective, at time [sic] it could give us accurate information that we could 312 313 get better results" - Luwingu District Hospital health worker.

Training 314

While most participants perceived Lynx as easy to complete, it was clear that 315 comprehensive training facilitated the HIV testing staff's ease of use of the application. Those 316 who received training appreciated the capacity building and those who did not receive training 317 expressed their desire to be trained. 318

319 "For the first time it was quite difficult for me but when I got used to it, it was very nice" - Tazara Rural Health Clinic health worker. 320

321	"Lynx it is easy, so what can I say" - Lubwe Mission Hospital health worker.
322 323 324	"No no we did not train, I think that was the biggest challenge more [sic] especially with me because I am not too conversant with smart phones so some applications where [sic] difficult to use" - Mpika Urban health worker.
325	
326	Network and IT
327	Some participants faced a barrier to using Lynx due to network and IT challenges that
328	come with rural community work. It was noted that IT repair times varied and could prevent
329	HIV testing staff from using Lynx for weeks at a time.
330 331 332 333	"For me since I was always based at the facility it was easy because of network [sic], except for the counsellors that [sic] were going in the field. Yes we have a bit of a challenge because you find that they have to be there and it can be something where you test somebody and you record but then you find that sometimes you don't have network [sic]., so, it's a bit of a challenge" - Mpepo Rural Health Clinic health worker.
334	"I think for me as well especially when the tablet is ok, there is no problem" - Kasama Urban health worker.
335 336	"When they take it to the office [for repair], but for those people to bring it back, it takes ages. That's the only thing" - Tazara Rural Health Clinic health worker.
337 338 339	"Lynx has stopped working as right [sic] now so that I can make a request to the office so they can bring us in [sic] the normal system so that Lynx would start working again, that is the biggest challenge I have seen." - Lubwe Mission Hospital health worker.
340 341	"sometimes [sic] we need upgrading when you take these tablets to the office they are not done in time" - Kasama General health worker.
342 343	"he [sic] is just alone using the tablet so mostly he only captures the ones he has tested himself" - Chibansa Urban health worker.
344	Feedback-based Updates
345	Several HIV testing staff noted that a previous update of the application further
346	facilitated Lynx's compatibility and ease of use in their work setting. The update was based on
347	user feedback.
348 349	"At first, it was a bit difficult to use Lynx, because it was too long and the questions were too many" - Chibansa Urban health worker.
350 351	"Now that the questions have been simplified and some parts have been updated, it made the usage a little bit familiar and user friendly" - Chibansa Urban health worker.
352 353	"Today some of the questions have been removed. They are not necessarily because some are a duplication of information. But as it is now, it's ok" - Kasama Urban health worker.

15

354 Facility vs Community

In general, the tablet application was described as compatible with rural settings, but there were different opinions on whether Lynx was a better fit for the community or the facility. Some counsellors prefer using Lynx in a more structured facility as opposed to using it in the community.

359 "so {sic] you don't have a place where you can properly work on the Lynx, unlike the facility, because at the
360 facility obviously I will have a room where each client has privacy... and the client is siting [sic] comfortable

361 [sic] as I enter. For the community its [sic] different" - Mpepo Rural Health Clinic health worker.

By contrast, for some, it was the lack of disturbance in the community that made the use of the app more feasible in the community.

364 "in [sic] the community we are free, there are no disturbances but here some we are disturbed by people
365 coming in this room coming in, come knocking knocking" - Lubwe Mission Hospital health worker.

Additional concerns revolved around patient's negative perceptions, and feelings of discomfort
and distress with their information being captured into a tablet.

- 368 "...where we are as a set up the clients would find it a bit rude when you are on the phone they would not
 369 understand that it's the application that I am using, yes so it was a bit difficult" Mpepo RHC health worker.
- 370 *"That is what I am saying I am saying it's the area, maybe in other places it might be different where these*
- 371 *people are acquainted to [sic] electronics, but for [sic] here it's kind of difficult it's like you are on the phone*
- 372 *you are not paying attention to the client (inaudible) hinder us in a way" Mpepo RHC health worker.*

373 Work Priorities

A few of the HIV testing staff noted that the application required too much time to complete in a busy facility setting, especially since the staff are required to double capture the data into paper registers and the Lynx tablet. This challenge was often brought up about the previously mentioned training and IT challenges.

- 378 "what she is saying [sic] maybe we are not in the ward, there is [sic] a lot of patients whom I need to do, to test,
 379 now that is a challenge for me to enter those clients in the Lynx because it take [sic] 45 minutes for me to
- complete a session because what we were told is that we should take 45 minutes for one clients [sic], so for me I
 don't know" Mansa General Hospital health worker.
- ''double [sic] capture which is more of time consuming [sic] in that way maybe Lynx could be consistently [sic]
 if we just use it that data are able to extract it from there of the same client'' Luwingu District Hospital health
- 384

worker.

16

"when [sic] it's a busy day he doesn't enter directly on the Lynx application so that it quickens the process he
would just use the hard copy to screen into and capture all the details that he needs to use" - Chibansa Urban
health worker.

388 "especially with the facility like this there is too much work to do mostly we use registers" - Kasama Urban
389 health worker.

390 "the [sic] only challenge that we have is time management, you know when you need to counsel a person and

391 we need that information the client feels like you are delaying them, for us to do the exact way but the client

feels you are delaying them. For an [sic] example if you ask this question you ask this one you ask this one, and
 they feel you are wasting their time" - Mansa General Hospital health worker.

394

395 Discussion

This study aimed to evaluate the acceptability of a targeted HIV testing mobile 396 application within a Zambian rural HIV treatment programme. The results indicate that a 397 substantial majority of the participating HIV testing staff found the mHealth intervention 398 acceptable within their setting. Survey findings underscored the strong perception of the 399 intervention's usefulness, ease of use, and compatibility with the demands of a rural HIV 400 testing environment. Barriers and facilitators influencing the application's use and overall 401 acceptability were identified. Notably, no significant differences were observed between 402 provinces, age groups, or genders among survey participants. 403

Qualitative data echoed the perceived usefulness of the intervention, emphasising the theme of efficiency gained through its implementation. While the GIS capability was acknowledged, the clear benefit highlighted by participants was the live digital data-capturing feature. Existing studies on data digitalisation and real-time reporting in healthcare underscore the efficiency gains associated with such technological advancements (16–18). Participants valued the instantaneous review of individual performance and the efficiency of digital data submission for HIV testing programme data.

The ease of use of the mHealth intervention was acknowledged by survey participants, aligning with the broader trend of healthcare workers in sub-Saharan Africa finding mobilebased interventions accessible (19). Training emerged as a critical facilitator, a well-established factor in the success of mHealth interventions, with repeated training sessions over time being suggested in some studies (20). Additionally, the study participants appreciated user-based

17

updates, ensuring the intervention's adaptation to their evolving contextual and workrequirements.

Perceived compatibility differed between facility and community settings, with some participants expressing a preference for the structured environment of a facility, while others favoured the more flexible community work setting. These insights suggest the need for future investigations and consideration in design strategies, including tailored updates or training to address specific contextual challenges.

Despite overall positive perceptions of the application's potential, instances were reported where its use could make HIV testing clients uncomfortable or feel judged, particularly in rural communities. This finding suggests a need for nuanced strategies, incorporating new feedback-based updates, adapting the intervention to specific settings, or providing additional training to address contextual challenges.

Within facility settings, competing priorities and IT challenges emerged as barriers to 428 consistent use. Some staff did not consistently prioritise the time to complete the application 429 due to multiple demands during a work shift and data capturing requirements on different 430 platforms. IT support, particularly issues related to internet reliance, power availability, and 431 equipment functionality, surfaced as significant barriers. This emphasises the importance of 432 clear IT support systems to overcome technical challenges and ensure sustained use. The study 433 highlights the need for strategic placement of trained personnel onsite to assist with common 434 IT challenges, particularly in settings where technical knowledge for maintenance remains 435 436 limited despite the widespread adoption of mobile technology in Africa (19,21,22).

The study provides valuable insights into the acceptability of the targeted HIV testing mobile application within a Zambian rural HIV treatment programme. The findings emphasise the multifaceted nature of the challenges and opportunities associated with mHealth interventions, suggesting the importance of tailored strategies and ongoing support to enhance their effectiveness and acceptance within diverse healthcare settings.

442

443 Conclusion

The Lynx HIV testing application received favourable perceptions from HIV testing staff, who found it easy to use, compatible with a rural HIV programme, and incredibly useful. This study underscores the significance of providing comprehensive training for all users and

18

emphasises the crucial role of feedback-based updates to address evolving programme needs
and user contexts. While the intervention has gained acceptance among its targeted users
through effective training and updates, challenges persist, particularly concerning competing
work priorities and consistent IT support.

Although acceptability is conventionally regarded as a user's perception and willingness 451 to use an intervention, this study illuminates how acceptability levels can be influenced by 452 contextual barriers or facilitators. Understanding these dynamics is vital for the RTCZ HIV 453 treatment programme to navigate challenges and leverage facilitators effectively, enhancing 454 the acceptability of the mHealth HIV testing intervention among its staff. By incorporating 455 these findings on the barriers and facilitators of acceptability, the RTCZ programme can tailor 456 strategies to boost acceptability levels, leading to improved outcomes in the implementation of 457 458 the mHealth HIV testing intervention.

459

460 Acknowledgements

We express our gratitude to the study participants for their valuable contribution to the study as well as the Zambia Action HIV and Right to Care Organisations for their support in the study design.

464

465 References

- Global HIV & AIDS statistics Fact sheet | UNAIDS [Internet]. [cited 2022 Mar 30].
 Available from: https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/fact-sheet
- 468 2. WHO | Regional Office for Africa [Internet]. [cited 2022 May 4]. HIV/AIDS. Available from: https://www.afro.who.int/health-topics/hivaids
- ReliefWeb [Internet]. [cited 2022 Mar 30]. Communities at the Centre: Defending Rights,
 Breaking Barriers, Reaching People with HIV Services Global Aids Update 2019 World.
 Available from: https://reliefweb.int/report/world/communities-centre-defending-rights breaking-barriers-reaching-people-hiv-services
- 474 4. De Cock KM, Barker JL, Baggaley R, El Sadr WM. Where are the positives? HIV testing in sub-Saharan Africa in the era of test and treat. AIDS. 2019 Feb 1;33(2):349–52.
- 476 5. AVERT [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2019 Jul 14]. HIV and AIDS in Zambia. Available from: https://www.avert.org/professionals/hiv-around-world/sub-saharan-africa/zambia
- Frescura L, Godfrey-Faussett P, Feizzadeh A. A, El-Sadr W, Syarif O, Ghys PD. Achieving the
 95 95 95 targets for all: A pathway to ending AIDS. PLoS ONE. 2022 Aug 4;17(8):e0272405.

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.10.12.24315385; this version posted October 14, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

- 480 7. ZAMPHIA-2021-Summary-Sheet-December-2022 [Internet]. [cited 2023 Oct 3]. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/globalhivtb/what-we-do/phia/ZAMPHIA-2021-Summary-Sheet-481 December-2022.pdf 482
- 8. Mwango LK, Stafford KA, Blanco NC, Lavoie MC, Mujansi M, Nyirongo N, et al. Index and 483 targeted community-based testing to optimize HIV case finding and ART linkage among men in 484 Zambia. J Int AIDS Soc. 2020;23(S2):e25520. 485
- Zambia UNAIDS [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2020 Jul 24]. Available from: 486 9. 487 https://www.unaids.org/en/regionscountries/countries/zambia
- Kamanga J, Stankevitz K, Martinez A, Chiegil R, Nyirenda L, Mulenga F, et al. Improved HIV 10. 488 489 case finding among key populations after differentiated data driven community testing approaches in Zambia. PLoS ONE. 2021 Dec 2;16(12):e0258573. 490
- 491 11. Al-Youssef IY. Student Acceptance and Use of Internet-Based Distance Education in Saudi 492 Electronic University (SEU): A Mixed Method Study. undefined [Internet]. 2015 [cited 2022 Mar 17]; Available from: https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Student-Acceptance-and-Use-493 494 of-Internet-Based-in-A-Al-Youssef/5022369db03c5372187a916dc5c49fc3ba01fab1
- Marangunić N, Granić A. Technology acceptance model: a literature review from 1986 to 2013. 495 12. 496 Univers Access Inf Soc. 2015 Mar 1;14(1):81–95.
- 497 13. Klingberg A, Sawe HR, Hammar U, Wallis LA, Hasselberg M. m-Health for Burn Injury 498 Consultations in a Low-Resource Setting: An Acceptability Study Among Health Care Providers. Telemed J E Health. 2020 Apr 1;26(4):395-405. 499
- Gous N, Fischer AE, Rhagnath N, Phatsoane M, Majam M, Lalla-Edward ST. Evaluation of a 500 14 mobile application to support HIV self-testing in Johannesburg, South Africa. South Afr J HIV 501 502 Med. 2020 Jun 30;21(1):1088.
- 15. Zambia-Consolidated-Guidelines2018.pdf [Internet]. [cited 2023 Nov 25]. Available from: 503 504 https://www.prepwatch.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/Zambia-Consolidated-505 Guidelines2018.pdf
- van Heerden A, Norris S, Tollman S, Richter L, Rotheram-Borus MJ. Collecting Maternal 506 16. 507 Health Information From HIV-Positive Pregnant Women Using Mobile Phone-Assisted Face-508 to-Face Interviews in Southern Africa. J Med Internet Res. 2013 Jun 10;15(6):e116.
- 17. Chin CD, Cheung YK, Laksanasopin T, Modena MM, Chin SY, Sridhara AA, et al. Mobile 509 Device for Disease Diagnosis and Data Tracking in Resource-Limited Settings. Clin Chem. 510 2013 Apr 1;59(4):629-40. 511
- Owoyemi A, Osuchukwu JI, Azubuike C, Ikpe RK, Nwachukwu BC, Akinde CB, et al. Digital 512 18. 513 Solutions for Community and Primary Health Workers: Lessons From Implementations in Africa. Front Digit Health. 2022 Jun 3;4:876957. 514
- 515 19. Aranda-Jan CB, Mohutsiwa-Dibe N, Loukanova S. Systematic review on what works, what does not work and why of implementation of mobile health (mHealth) projects in Africa. BMC 516 517 Public Health. 2014 Feb 21;14:188.
- Haberer JE, Kiwanuka J, Nansera D, Wilson IB, Bangsberg DR. Challenges in using mobile 518 20. phones for collection of antiretroviral therapy adherence data in a resource-limited setting. AIDS 519 520 Behav. 2010 Dec;14(6):1294–301.

- Leon N, Schneider H, Daviaud E. Applying a framework for assessing the health system 521 21. challenges to scaling up mHealth in South Africa. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak. 2012 Nov 522 5;12(1):123. 523
- Ag Ahmed MA, Gagnon MP, Hamelin-Brabant L, Mbemba GIC, Alami H. A mixed methods 524 22. systematic review of success factors of mhealth and telehealth for maternal health in Sub-525 Saharan Africa. mHealth. 2017;3:22. 526

21

Supporting Information 528

520	Supporting information
529	S1 Lynx Acceptability Survey
530 531	Facility:
532 533	Please select one answer for each question. For questions with the 1-5 scale select based on if you agree or disagree with the statement, 1 being strongly disagree up to 5 bring strongly agree.
534 535 536	Gender: Man Woman Other
537 538 539	Age Group:
540	18-25 26-35 36-45 46-55 56+
541 542 543	Perceived Ease of Use
544	1. Lynx is easy to use
545	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
546	2. It was easy for me to learn to use Lynx
547	1 2 3 4 5
548	3. The application layout is consistent when moving between questions
549	1 2 3 4 5
550	4. Whenever I made a mistake using Lynx, I could correct the mistake easily and quickly
551	4. Whenever I made a mistake using Lynx, I could concet the mistake easily and quickly
552	1 2 3 4 5
553	Perceived Usefulness
554	5. Lynx is useful for my HIV testing practice
555	1 2 3 4 5
556	6. Lynx helped me manage my patient's health effectively
557	1 2 3 4 5
558	7. Lynx improved my access to delivering health care services
559	1 2 3 4 5
560	8. Lynx has provided an acceptable way to deliver healthcare services
561	1 2 3 4 5
562	Perceived Compatibility
502	r erceived Compatibility
563	
564	9. I could use Lynx even when the internet connection was poor or not available
565	1 2 3 4 5
566	10. I think that using Lynx fits well with the way I like to work
567	1 2 3 4 5
568	11. I have the resources necessary to use Lynx
569	1 2 3 4 5

570	12. I have the	e knowled	lge nece	ssary to	o use Lynx
571	1	2	3	4	5
572	13. Lynx is n	ot compa	tible wit	th the w	ay I work
573	1	2	3	4	5
574 575	14. A specific concerning L	•	(or grouj	p) shoul	d be available for assistance with difficulties
576	1	2	3	4	5
577	15. If I had th	e opport	unity, I p	orefer w	orking on paper
578	1	2	3	4	5
579	This is the end	of the sur	vey, than	k you fo	r your participation.
580					
581					
582					

583	S2 Key Person Interview Guide		
584 585 586	Note to researcher: questions are not to be completed in particular order but can be completed based on the natural progression of the dialogue		
587	Usefulness and Usability of Lynx application		
588 589 590 591 592 593 594 595 596 597 598 599 600 601	 What is your experience of using the Lynx system? a. Probe on: outputs of Lynx (testing services, testing strategies) b. Probe on: why positive or negative How does the Lynx mobile capturing process differ from the traditional paperbased capturing? a. Probe on: resources required and available for each b. Probe on: time of capturing process, effect of time use (more or less) c. Probe on: benefits or weaknesses of Lynx instead of paper How difficult is it to complete Lynx data capturing? a. Probe on: time required b. Probe on: resources (network, power) c. Probe on: software difficulty (education and training) 		
602	Compatibility for completing client capturing on Lynx		
603 604 605 606 607 608 609 610	 4. Can you discuss the conditions in which it is more easy and more difficult to complete Lynx capturing? a. Probe on: different work settings (rural or urban, facility or community) b. Probe on: staff objectives and support 5. What could be improved to increase compatibility? a. Probe on: software and hardware of application b. Probe on: work setting 		
611 612	6. Do you have any final comments on your experience using Lynx?		
613			
614			
615			
616			
617			
618			

619 620 621 622	S3 Focus Group Discussion Guide Note to researcher: questions are not to be completed in particular order but can be completed based on the natural progression of the dialogue			
623	Usefulness and Usability of Lynx application			
624 625 626 627 628 629 630 631 632 633 634 635 636 637 638 639	 What is your experience of using the Lynx system? a. Probe on: outputs of Lynx (testing services, testing strategies) b. Probe on: why positive or negative c. Probe on: why one participant's experience is different How does the Lynx mobile capturing process differ from the traditional paperbased capturing? a. Probe on: resources required and available for each b. Probe on: time of capturing process, effect of time use (more or less) c. Probe on: benefits or weaknesses of Lynx instead of paper d. Probe on: why one participant's experience is different How difficult is it to complete Lynx data capturing? a. Probe on: time required b. Probe on: resources (network, power) c. Probe on: software difficulty (education and training) d. Probe on: why one participant's experience is different 			
640 641	Compatibility for completing client capturing on Lynx			
642 643 644 645	 4. Can you discuss the conditions in which it is more easy and more difficult to complete Lynx capturing? a. Probe on: different work settings (rural or urban, facility or community) b. Probe on: staff objectives and support 			
646	c. Probe on: why one participant's experience is different			
647 648 649 650	5. What could be improved to increase compatibility?a. Probe on: software and hardware of applicationb. Probe on: work setting			
651 652	6. Do you have any final comments on your experience using Lynx?			

perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

25

653 S4 University of Witwatersrand Ethical Clearance Certificate



R14/49 Mr Andres Luis Larson Montaner

HUMAN RESEARCH ETHICS COMMITTEE (MEDICAL)

CLEARANCE CERTIFICATE NO. M220720

<u>NAME:</u> (Principal Investigator)	Mr Andres Luis Larson Montaner		
DEPARTMENT:	School of Public Health		
PROJECT TITLE:	Health worker acceptability of an HIV testing mobile health application within a rural Zambian HIV treatment program		
DATE CONSIDERED:	29/07/2022		
DECISION:	Approved unconditionally		
CONDITIONS:			
SUPERVISOR:	Prof Pedro Pisa and Prof Charles Chasela		
APPROVED BY:	Dr CB Penny Champerson, HREC (Medical)		
DATE OF APPROVAL:	04/10/2022		

This clearance certificate is valid for 5 years from date of approval. Extension may be applied for.

DECLARATION OF INVESTIGATORS

To be completed in duplicate and **ONE COPY** returned to the Research Office Secretary on the Third Floor, Faculty of Health Sciences, Phillip Tobias Building, 29 Princess of Wales Terrace, Parktown, 2193, University of the Witwatersrand. I/we fully understand the conditions under which I am/we are authorized to carry out the above-mentioned research and I/we undertake to ensure compliance with these conditions. Should any departure be contemplated, from the research protocol as approved, I/we undertake to resubmit the application to the Committee. <u>I agree to submit a yearly progress report</u>. The date for annual re-certification will be one year after the date of convened meeting where the study was initially reviewed. In this case, the study was initially reviewed in <u>July</u> and will therefore be due in the month of <u>July</u> each year. Unreported changes to the application may invalidate the clearance given by the HREC (Medical).

24

Principal Investigator Signature

PLEASE QUOTE THE PROTOCOL NUMBER IN ALL ENQUIRIES

654

26

S5 ERES Converge Zambia Ethical Clearance Certificate 656



19th September, 2022.

Ref. No. 2021-Oct- 003

The Principal Investigator Dr.Cheswa V.walika Plot 22877 leopards Hill Road, Ibex Hill LUSAKA

Dear Dr. Vwalika

RE: AMENDMENT, RENEWAL, END OF YEAR AND 6 MONTHS PROGRESS REPORT FORM: END TERM EVALUATION OF THE EXTENDING QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (EQUIP)

We would like to acknowledge receipt of your end of year progress, 6 months and Amendment report dated 15th September, 2022.

The study is extended for another year. The new expiry date is 14th September, 2023.

Yours faithfully, ERES CONVERGE IRB

Dr. Jason Mwanza Dip. Clin. Med. Sc., BA., M.Sc., PhD CHAIRPERSON

657 658