1	Title: Patient satisfaction:	Insights from the	regional referral	hosnitals in Bhutan
T		Insignus nom the	regionarreterra	i nospitais in Dilutan

- 2 **Short title:** Patient satisfaction in Bhutan.
- 3 Kuenzang Dorji ¹^{¶*}, Kinga Jamphel ²[¶], Jigme Kelzang, ¹[¶] Ugyen Pelmo ^{3&}, Hem Kumar Nepal^{4&}, Sonam Zangpo^{1&},
- 4 Sonam Wangdi ⁵, Karma Galey¹.

5

- ¹ Health Service Quality Assurance Division, Department of Health Service, Ministry of Health, Royal
 Government of Bhutan, Thimphu, Bhutan.
- 8 ² Department of Health Service, Ministry of Health, Royal Government of Bhutan, Thimphu, Bhutan.
- 9 ³ Quality Assurance Unit, Gelephu Central Regional Referral Hospital, National Medical Services, Ministry of
- 10 Health, Royal Government of Bhutan, Gelephu, Bhutan.
- ⁴ Quality Assurance Unit, Mongar Eastern Regional Referral Hospital, National Medical Services, Ministry of
- 12 Health, Royal Government of Bhutan, Mongar, Bhutan.
- ⁵ Policy Planning Division, Secretariat, Ministry of Health, Royal Government of Bhutan, Thimphu, Bhutan.
- 14
- 15
- 16 * Corresponding Author
- 17 Email: <u>kuenzangdbiomed@gmail.com</u> (KD)

18

- 19 ¶ 1st set of equal contributors
- 20 [&] 2nd set of equal contributors

21

22 Abstract

Patient satisfaction is crucial for evaluating healthcare quality and guiding continuous quality improvement. Globally, patient satisfaction has been extensively studied; however, there is limited research on this topic in Bhutan, where the healthcare system is in the early stages of developing a quality-oriented culture. To address this gap, we aimed to evaluate patient satisfaction levels among different socio-demographic and clinical groups and identify the predictors of patient satisfaction in Bhutan.

We retrospectively analysed archived patient satisfaction data from two tertiary healthcare centres in Bhutan: Mongar Eastern Regional Referral Hospital and Gelephu Central Regional Referral Hospital. The routine survey was conducted throughout April 2024 using a modified version of the Patient Satisfaction Questionnaire 18. The data were analysed using descriptive statistics, one-way ANOVA, and binary logistic regression.

34 Our study revealed significant variations in patient satisfaction across socio-demographic and 35 clinical groups. Ethnicity, occupation, and education level emerged as significant predictors of 36 satisfaction. Sharchop and other ethnic groups; farmers, religious personnel, and other occupational groups; and illiterate individuals exhibited significantly higher satisfaction levels. 37 38 While patient type, age, and marital status influenced satisfaction levels, they did not emerge as 39 significant predictors when considering other variables. Overall, patient satisfaction in Bhutan 40 was high, particularly in the financial domain; however, accessibility and convenience scored the 41 lowest.

42 Our findings underscore the importance of addressing socio-demographic disparities in patient 43 satisfaction. With anticipated changes in the socio-demographic characteristics of the 44 Bhutanese population, satisfaction levels may decline. Therefore, healthcare policy and

decision-makers should implement targeted interventions to address these shifts. To enhance overall satisfaction, healthcare policymakers should focus on improving accessibility and convenience. Strategies such as establishing dynamic limits on free services, exploring private sector engagement in high-end services, and strengthening the healthcare workforce are essential for sustainable and quality healthcare service delivery.

50 Introduction

51 Globally, the healthcare system is evolving to prioritise patient-centred care as a fundamental 52 aspect of healthcare delivery and therefore meeting patient's needs is imperative. This shift has 53 emphasized the necessity of placing a strong focus on fulfilling the patient's preferences, needs, and values to provide quality healthcare [1–3]. Starting from the early 1980s, there have been 54 55 ongoing efforts to comprehend and measure patient satisfaction, and gradually, it has been 56 acknowledged as a crucial component in delivering quality healthcare [4]. However, there is still 57 no consensus on a universally accepted definition of patient satisfaction [5,6]. Patient satisfaction is influenced by several factors, such as technique, functionality, infrastructure, 58 59 interaction, environment, and services, making it multidimensional and subjective [3,6,7]. Moreover, factors inherent to patients, such as age, education level, and health status, which 60 61 healthcare professionals and managers cannot control, exert an influence on patient 62 satisfaction, adding further complexity to the matter [3]. Despite the ambiguity, in many countries with advanced healthcare systems, patient satisfaction has been used as a standard 63 measure of healthcare quality [8–10]. Assessing the quality of healthcare services from the 64 65 patient's viewpoint is essential because they are the ultimate beneficiaries of the healthcare 66 services [3]. Patient feedback can assist in recognizing unfulfilled patient needs, providing

healthcare managers and professionals with valuable guidance for continuous qualityimprovement [7,10].

69 Bhutan, nestled between India and China, established its modern healthcare system in the 70 1960s. The present healthcare system is state-funded and structured into three tiers. It consists 71 of national or regional referral hospitals at the tertiary level, district hospitals at the secondary 72 level, and primary healthcare centres and outreach clinics at the primary level [11]. Since 2002, 73 the Ministry of Health (MoH, Royal Government of Bhutan) has strengthened its emphasis on 74 delivering quality and safe healthcare services. The MoH, in collaboration with the Bhutan Standard Bureau (Ministry of Industry, Commerce, and Employment, Royal Government of 75 76 Bhutan), developed and published the Bhutan Healthcare Standard for Quality Assurance 77 (BHSQA), a nation's first healthcare standard in 2018. The BHSQA contains 116 standards, 639 78 objective elements, and 67 key performance indicators covering both clinical and managerial 79 structures, processes, and outcomes. To raise the quality and safety of healthcare services to 80 the desired level, the Health Service Quality Assurance Division of the MoH gradually 81 implemented the BHSQA across all healthcare centres in the country.

82 At present, the Bhutanese healthcare system is in its early stages of developing a culture focused on quality and safety, necessitating constant vigilance and evaluation of its 83 84 advancement. As a result, each healthcare centre across the nation is required to evaluate 85 healthcare quality and safety using BHSQA key performance indicators, which include assessing patient satisfaction. To gauge patient satisfaction, Bhutanese healthcare centres utilize the 86 87 Patient Satisfaction Questionnaire 18 (PSQ-18), a meticulously developed tool recognized for its brevity and efficacy across various contexts [10,12,13]. However, due to various challenges, 88 89 published reports on patient satisfaction in Bhutan are scarce. This deficiency hampers the 90 development and implementation of targeted interventions necessary for establishing an

91 effective, efficient, and responsive healthcare system. To address this gap, this study aims to 92 evaluate patient satisfaction levels among different socio-demographic and clinical groups and 93 identify the predictors of patient satisfaction in Bhutan.

94 Materials and methods

95 This study retrospectively analysed patient satisfaction survey responses collected from Mongar 96 Eastern Regional Referral Hospital (MERRH) and Gelephu Central Regional Referral Hospital 97 (GCRRH). The routine paper-based surveys were conducted throughout April 2024. The survey 98 responses were subjected to basic analysis for continuous quality improvement and archived in 99 the quality assurance units of respective hospitals.

The survey sought voluntary feedback on service satisfaction from inpatients and outpatients 100 101 aged 18 and above. The survey used a modified version of the PSQ-18 questionnaire, comprising 102 two sections: patient details and satisfaction indicators. The patient details section encompasses 103 eight socio-demographic and clinical variables across 33 subgroups, while the satisfaction indicators section evaluates seven domains per the standard PSQ-18. In the satisfaction 104 105 indicator guestionnaire, the term "doctor" has been replaced with "healthcare professional" or 106 "healthcare centre" to ensure a comprehensive evaluation of service guality. The responses 107 were originally rated on a 5-point Likert scale: 1 (strongly agree), 2 (agree), 3 (uncertain), 4 108 (disagree), and 5 (strongly disagree).

Prior to analysis, data completeness and consistency were verified, and surveys lacking any information were excluded. The PSQ-18 consists of both negative and positively constructed questions and therefore to address inconsistencies in interpretation, the original Likert scale responses were re-scaled again on a 5-point Likert scale to ensure uniformity. This re-scaling

process adhered to the standard PSQ-18 conversion, where higher scores indicate greater satisfaction with healthcare services.

- 115 To assess differences in patient satisfaction levels among predefined groups in the survey, a 116 one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted. Subsequently, Dunnett grouping was 117 applied to identify statistically distinct groups within these predefined groups.
- The overall patient satisfaction score of individual patients, calculated as the average of all seven domain scores, was dichotomized into two categories: satisfied (scores above three) and dissatisfied (scores of three and below). Subsequently, binary logistic regression was performed to determine predictors of patient satisfaction among the newly established groups. The significance level was set at less than 0.005 for all statistical analyses. Descriptive statistics, including means and standard deviations were computed for each domain of patient satisfaction within each group. Data analysis was carried out using Minitab statistical software (version

125 17.1).

The study was approved by the Research Ethics Board for Health, Ministry of Health, Royal Government of Bhutan. The same board waived the requirement for informed consent due to the retrospective nature of the study and the use of anonymised data. The archived data was accessed on 15th July 2024, and the authors did not have access to any information that could identify individual participants during or after data collection.

131 **Results**

A total of 471 survey responses were collected from MERRH and 454 from GCRRH. After a thorough review, six survey responses from MERRH and four from GCRRH were excluded due to incomplete data, resulting in 915 survey responses for the final analysis. The socio-demographic composition of the respondents is presented in Table 1.

136 **Table 1.** Socio-demographic and clinical profile of the respondents.

Variables	Groups	Total respondents (Percent)
Patient type	Inpatient	313 (34.21%)
	Outpatient	602 (65.79%)
Age	18-44 years	648 (70.82%)
	45-64 years	189 (20.66%)
	65-74 years	43 (4.70%)
	≥75 years	35 (3.83%)
Sex	Male	396 (43.28%)
	Female	519 (56.72%)
Service utilisation	Once	289 (31.59%)
	More than once	626 (68.42%)
Ethnic group	Ngalop	70 (7.65%)
	Lhotshampa	209 (22.84%)
	Sharchop	522 (57.05%)
	Others	114 (12.46%)
Marital status	Unmarried	179 (19.56%)
	Married	685 (74.86%)
	Divorced	33 (3.61%)
	Widowed	18 (1.97%)
Occupation	Religious personnel	14 (1.53%)
	Corporate	19 (2.08%)
	Student	77 (8.42%)
	Business	146 (15.96%)
	Civil servant	160 (17.49%)
	Farmer	268 (29.29%)
	Others	231 (25.24%)
Education level	Illiterate	238 (26.01%)
	Non-formal education	44 (4.81)
	Secondary education or lower	417 (45.58%)
	Certificate	29 (3.17%)
	Diploma	56 (6.12%)
	Bachelor	88 (9.62%)
	Master	11 (1.20%)
	Other qualification	32 (3.50%)

137

Among the survey respondents, 65.79% were outpatients and 34.21% were inpatients, with the majority (70.82%) aged between 18-44 years. Sex-wise, 56.72% of respondents were female and 43.28% were male, with 68.42% using healthcare services more than once. Regarding ethnicity, the majority of the respondents (57.05%) were Sharchop, while married individuals constituted the largest marital group (74.86%). The largest occupational group consisted of farmers (29.29%). Educationally, 45.58% had secondary education or lower, 26.01% were illiterate, and

- the remainder held various qualifications. The one-way ANOVA analysis showed significant
 differences in overall patient satisfaction levels across different socio-demographic and clinical
 groups (Table 2).
- Table 2. One-way ANOVA analysis of patient satisfaction (average score) across socio demographic and clinical variables.

313) =602) n=647) n=189) n= 43) 36) 9) nce (n=626)	4.14 (0.73) ° 3.95 (0.62) 3.96 (0.68) ° 4.04 (0.65) ° 4.35 (0.51) 4.44 (0.50) 3.98 (0.71) ° 4.04 (0.63) °	<0.001 <0.001 0.218
=602) n=647) n=189) n= 43) 36) 9)	3.95 (0.62) 3.96 (0.68) a 4.04 (0.65) a 4.35 (0.51) 4.44 (0.50) 3.98 (0.71) a	
n=647) n=189) n= 43) 36) 9)	3.96 (0.68) a 4.04 (0.65) a 4.35 (0.51) 4.44 (0.50) 3.98 (0.71) a	
n=189) n= 43) 36) 9)	4.04 (0.65) a 4.35 (0.51) 4.44 (0.50) 3.98 (0.71) a	
n= 43) 36) 9)	4.35 (0.51) 4.44 (0.50) 3.98 (0.71) ^a	0.218
36) 9)	4.44 (0.50) 3.98 (0.71) ^a	0.218
9)	3.98 (0.71) a	0 212
9)		0.210
	4.00 (0.70) ^a	0.666
	4.02 (0.65) ^a	
)	3.76 (0.63) ^a	< 0.001
/ (n=209)	3.94 (0.66) ^a	
522)	4.06 (0.67)	
4)	4.06 (0.66)	
=179)	3.85 (0.67)	<0.001
85)	4.07 (0.66) ^a	
33)	3.80 (0.64) ^a	
18)	4.10 (0.78) ^a	
sonnel (n=14)	4.44 (0.45)	< 0.001
=19)	3.66 (0.49) ^a	
7)	3.89 (0.72) ^a	
.46)	3.82 (0.68) ^a	
n=160)	3.83 (0.71) ^a	
(8)	4.27 (0.55)	
1)	4.03 (0.64)	
38)	4.29 (0.53)	<0.001
ducation (n=44)	4.10 (0.72) ^a	
ucation or lower (n=417)	3.96 (0.65) ^a	
=29)	3.80 (0.85) ^a	
6)	3.87 (0.68) ^a	
38)	3.70 (0.75) ^a	
= /	, ,	
)		
•		<0.001
cations (n=32)		
5) cations (n=32) 55) 50)	3.77 (0.83) ^a cations (n=32) 4.01 (0.62) ^a 65) 4.17 (0.62)

149

Patient satisfaction levels exhibited significant differences between inpatients and outpatients (*P-value= <0.001*), with inpatients reporting higher satisfaction levels. Similarly, age was also identified as a significant factor influencing patient satisfaction level (*P-value= <0.001*). Subsequent Dunnett multiple comparisons, using the 18-44 years age group as the control, showed that patient satisfaction levels for individuals aged 65 years and older differed significantly from the control group's mean.

Ethnicity also significantly influenced patient satisfaction levels (*P-value= <0.001*). The comparisons, using the Ngalop group as the control, demonstrated significant differences in patient satisfaction levels for Sharchop and other ethnic groups compared to the control group's mean. Furthermore, marital status emerged as another significant factor influencing patient satisfaction level (*P-value= <0.001*). Comparisons with the married group as a control indicated that only the unmarried group significantly differed from the control group's mean.

162 We also observed significant (P-value= <0.001) differences in satisfaction levels among 163 occupational groups. Comparisons with civil servants as a control showed significant differences from the control level mean for farmers, religious personnel, and others. Education level also 164 played a significant role in influencing patient satisfaction level (P-value= <0.001). Using the 165 166 master's degree group as a control for comparisons, only the illiterate group showed a significant difference from the control level mean. Additionally, regarding center-wise 167 168 comparisons, patients at MERRH reported significantly higher satisfaction levels than those at 169 GCRRH (P-value = <0.001). Among the variables, patient satisfaction levels did not show significant differences across sexes (P-value = 0.218) and different service utilisation groups (P-170 value= 0.666). The binary logistic regression analysis identified several significant predictors of 171 172 patient satisfaction (Table 3).

173 **Table 3.** Analysis of patient satisfaction: Predictor effects using binary logistic regression.

Predictor variables	Odds Ratio	95% CI for Odds Ratio	P-value	
Patient type				
Inpatient	0.82	0.47-1.41	0.472	
Reference: Outpatient				
Age				
≥65 years	0.70	0.23- 2.18	0.553	
Reference: 18-64 years				
Sex				
Female	1.67	1.04-2.69	0.034	
Reference: Male				
Service utilisation				
More than once	1.31	0.79- 2.17	0.301	
Reference: Once				
Ethnic group				
Sharchop & Others	1.80	1.12-2.91	0.017	
Reference: Ngalop & Lhotshampa				
Marital status				
Ever married	1.24	0.71-2.17	0.448	
Reference: Unmarried				
Occupation				
Farmer, religious personnel & others	1.95	1.13-3.37	0.014	
Reference: Civil servant, business, student and				
corporate				
Education level				
Illiterate	2.65	1.09- 6.42	0.021	
Reference: Literate				

174

175	Among the predictor variables, sex (P-value= 0.034), ethnicity (P-value= 0.017), occupation (P-
176	value= 0.014), and education level (P-value= 0.021) were found to be significant predictors of
177	satisfaction among Bhutanese patients. Female patients have higher odds of satisfaction (odds
178	ratio = 1.67, 95% CI: 1.04-2.69). Similarly, patients from Sharchop and other ethnic backgrounds
179	have higher odds of satisfaction (odds ratio = 1.80, 95% CI: 1.12-2.91). Furthermore, patients
180	involved in farming, religious services, or other occupations showed higher odds of satisfaction
181	(odds ratio = 1.95, 95% Cl: 1.13-3.37), along with illiterate patients (odds ratio = 2.65, 95% Cl:
182	1.09-6.42). Table 4 displays the domain-specific patient satisfaction levels across different
183	variables and groups.

184 **Table 4.** Descriptive statistics (mean [SD], minimum and maximum) of patient satisfaction across

185 multiple domains by various socio-demographic and clinical groups.

Variables	Domain 1	Domain 2	Domain 3	Domain 4	Domain 5	Domain 6	Domain 7
Patient type		1	1	1	1	1	
Outpatient	4.10±0.85	3.93±0.79	4.05±0.89	4.09±0.87	4.35±0.83	3.93±0.94	3.60±0.82
outputient	(1.0-5.0)	(1.3-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)
Inpatient	4.15±0.91	4.06±0.86	4.24±0.96	4.26±0.92	4.38±0.91	4.02±1.00	4.04±0.84
inputient	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)
Age	(210 010)	(110 010)	(1.0 0.0)	(110 010)	(110 010)	(110 010)	(1.0 0.0)
18-64 years	4.10±0.88	3.94±0.81	4.08±0.93	4.13±0.90	4.33±0.87	3.93±0.96	3.70±0.85
20 0 . /00.0	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)
≥65 years	4.31±0.80	4.33±0.81	4.56±0.63	4.40±0.81	4.67±0.60	4.37±0.86	4.28±0.77
200 900.0	(2.0-5.0)	(1.8-5.0)	(3.0-5.0)	(2.0-5.0)	(3.0-5.0)	(1.5-5.0)	(2.0-5.0)
Sex	(/	(/	(,	(/	(/	(/	(/
Male	4.06±0.91	3.91±0.82	4.09±0.97	4.14±0.91	4.30±0.90	3.91±1.00	3.76±0.89
	(1.0-5.0)	(1.3-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)
Female	4.15±0.84	4.02±0.81	4.13±0.88	4.16±0.88	4.40±0.83	4.01±0.93	3.74±0.83
	(1.5-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)
Service utilisa						,	. ,
Once	4.08±0.89	3.97±0.82	4.10±0.96	4.14±0.91	4.26±0.92	3.89±0.97	3.80±0.89
	(1.3-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)
More than	4.13±0.86	3.97±0.81	4.12±0.90	4.16±0.88	4.40±0.82	4.00±0.96	3.72±0.84
once	(1.5-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)
Ethnicity							
Ngalop &	3.95±0.87	3.80±0.78	4.00±0.90	3.96±0.90	4.30±0.86	3.80±0.98	3.74±0.82
Lhotshampa	(1.0-5.0)	(1.3-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)
Sharchop &	4.19±0.87	4.05±0.82	4.16±0.92	4.23±0.87	4.38±0.86	4.04±0.95	3.75±0.87
others	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)
Marital status	5						
Unmarried	4.00±0.93	3.77±0.84	3.99±0.98	4.03±0.89	4.22±0.91	3.85±0.96	3.51±0.84
	(1.5-5.0)	(1.8-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.5-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.5-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)
Ever married	4.14±0.86	4.02±0.80	4.14±0.90	4.18±0.89	4.39±0.84	3.99±0.96	3.80±0.85
	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)
Occupation							
Farmer,	4.29±0.80	4.16±0.76	4.24±0.86	4.27±0.84	4.47±0.80	4.16±0.91	3.86±0.84
religious	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)
personnel &							
others							
Civil servant,	3.90±0.92	3.73±0.82	3.95±0.96	4.00±0.94	4.22±0.91	3.73±0.98	3.60±0.85
business,	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)
student &							
corporate							
Education lev		4 00 0 00					
Illiterate	4.36±0.74	4.33±0.67	4.40±0.72	4.37±0.79	4.55±0.78	4.30±0.82	4.00±0.77
	(2.0-5.0)	(2.3-5.0)	(2.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(2.0-5.0)	(2.0-5.0)
Literate	4.03±0.90	3.85±0.82	4.01±0.96	4.07±0.91	4.29±0.87	3.85±0.98	3.66±0.87
A	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)	(1.0-5.0)
Average	4.12 ±0.87	3.97±0.81	4.11 ±0.92	4.15 ±0.89	4.36 ±0.86	3.97 ±0.96	3.75±0.85

(1.0-5.0)(1.0-5.0)(1.0-5.0)(1.0-5.0)(1.0-5.0)(1.0-5.0)Domain 1, General Satisfaction; Domain 2, Technical Quality; Domain 3, Interpersonal Manner; Domain 4,
Communication; Domain 5, Financial Aspects; Domain 6, Time spent with doctor; Domain 7, Accessibility and
Convenience.

186

187 The overall patient satisfaction, computed as an average of all seven domains, is 4.06. The 188 Financial domain achieved the highest score with an average of 4.36, whereas the accessibility 189 and convenience domain received the lowest score with an average of 3.75.

190 **Discussion**

191 Patient satisfaction, despite its multifaceted, dynamic, and subjective nature, is widely regarded 192 as a significant indicator of healthcare quality. This recognition is grounded in the 193 acknowledgement that patients are the primary beneficiaries of healthcare interventions, thus 194 rendering their satisfaction a fundamental metric in evaluating the excellence of healthcare 195 services [14–16]. Aligned with this perspective, Bhutan vigorously implements the BHSQA and 196 routinely evaluates patient satisfaction to ensure optimal healthcare guality. The patient 197 satisfaction survey data of the MERRH and GCRRH captures patients from all major Bhutanese 198 socio-demographic groups, thereby making the findings relevant for national healthcare policy 199 and decision-making.

200 Our study showed that patients belonging to Sharchop and other ethnic groups; farmers, 201 religious personnel, and other occupational groups, as well as illiterate groups, significantly 202 exhibit higher levels of satisfaction and serve as significant predictors of satisfaction. Globally, 203 patient satisfaction varies among different ethnic, occupational, and educational groups 204 [14,15,17–30], highlighting the need for context-specific strategies to address disparities and 205 improve overall patient satisfaction. Among Bhutanese ethnic groups, Sharchop individuals are generally considered sensitive, while Ngalops are perceived as assertive [31]. These differences 206 207 in personality traits might have contributed to the difference in satisfaction levels observed

208 between Sharchop and Ngalop individuals. Similarly, educated populations, particularly those 209 with exposure to superior services and familiarity with stringent quality standards, may harbour 210 elevated expectations, potentially resulting in lower satisfaction levels. However, higher 211 education can also foster a more profound understanding of healthcare system challenges, 212 especially in developing countries, ultimately resulting in better satisfaction. While education 213 level has the potential to influence patient satisfaction in both directions, in the Bhutanese 214 context, our findings demonstrate a negative impact. Similar influences might have affected 215 patient satisfaction across different occupational groups. Bhutan's literacy rate rose from 66.0% in 2017 to 70.2% in 2022 [32]. As the literacy rate improves, patient satisfaction may decline 216 217 since the level of education appears to affect satisfaction inversely in Bhutan. Additionally, 218 modernization is shifting people from farming and spirituality to modern lifestyles, potentially 219 exacerbating this trend. Therefore, Bhutanese healthcare policy and decision-makers should 220 proactively anticipate these changes and implement strategies to address potential challenges in 221 the future.

222 The satisfaction level is significantly higher among inpatients, older adults, and ever-married 223 groups compared to their counterparts; however, none of these groups are found to be 224 significant predictors of satisfaction. This suggests that belonging to these categories may not 225 significantly increase the likelihood of being satisfied when accounting for other variables. 226 However, differences in analytical methodologies may also contribute to these observed 227 discrepancies. Several prior studies reported varied findings, some aligning with ours, and others 228 conflicting, leading to inconsistent conclusions on patient type, age, and marital status impact 229 on patient satisfaction [14,15,17,20,26,29,33-38].

230 In our context, it is plausible that inpatients reported higher satisfaction levels due to the 231 provision of more personalized care and supportive environments compared to outpatients. In

Bhutan, where elderly individuals are respected and exhibit spiritual tendencies, most experience a good quality of life [39]. This optimistic cultural atmosphere may have positively influenced the satisfaction level in our study. Furthermore, a study conducted in the USA found that physicians are more likely to engage in patient-centric encounters with older patients, who subsequently reported higher satisfaction levels [36]. This interpersonal dynamic might have additionally contributed to higher satisfaction levels among older adults in Bhutan.

Across nations and historical periods, married individuals generally tend to experience greater levels of happiness and satisfaction, although these emotions are subject to the dynamics within their relationships [40–42]. This heightened sense of contentment may extend to their encounters with healthcare services, positively influencing their perceptions and interactions, and ultimately contributing to higher satisfaction levels.

243 While satisfaction levels do not significantly differ between sexes in our study, females are 1.67 244 times more likely to be satisfied with healthcare services than males. The existing literature 245 presents mixed findings regarding the association between sex and patient satisfaction. Some 246 studies report no differences, while others identify one sex as a predictor of higher satisfaction [15,18,26,34,35]. Some researchers suggest that women naturally have lower expectations 247 compared to men, and this difference might have contributed to a greater likelihood of 248 249 satisfaction among females [15,34]. While it is plausible that innate sex-related characteristics 250 could influence satisfaction, in our context, the presence of healthcare programmes and services tailored for females might also have played a part in the observed higher likelihood of 251 satisfaction. 252

253 In our analysis, we found no significant differences among various service utilization groups. This 254 could be due to a consistent delivery of high-standard care by healthcare professionals 255 regardless of visit frequency. Furthermore, the perception formed during the initial encounter

256 might exert a significant influence on subsequent perceptions, leading to consistent satisfaction 257 levels. On the other hand, assessment tools may have lacked the sensitivity to detect subtle 258 differences based on service utilization frequency. Overall, our study highlights the complex 259 factors shaping patient satisfaction, emphasizing the need for further inquiry to meet the 260 distinct needs of different patient groups.

The MERRH and GCRRH are both state-owned healthcare centres with comparable infrastructure and resources; nevertheless, patient satisfaction is significantly higher at MERRH. This difference might be attributed to the socio-demographic characteristics of the population served. MERRH, located in eastern Bhutan, predominantly serves Sharchop individuals, who are significantly more satisfied than the Lhotshampa individuals primarily served by GCRRH in southcentral Bhutan.

267 Our study shows high overall satisfaction among Bhutanese patients across all seven domains. 268 This finding highlights the concerted efforts of the Bhutanese healthcare system to ensure the 269 provision of quality healthcare services through a comprehensive and systematic approach, 270 including the vigorous implementation of the BHSQA. However, it is crucial to acknowledge that the surveys are administered by the quality unit of respective healthcare centres, potentially 271 272 introducing a bias toward positive responses. Additionally, Bhutan's cultural context, deeply 273 influenced by Buddhism, emphasizes compassion in all aspects of life, potentially increasing the 274 likelihood of positive feedback over negative. These factors warrant careful consideration when interpreting the survey results and evaluating the true extent of patient satisfaction. For optimal 275 276 service delivery, patients and healthcare professionals must share equal responsibility. In terms 277 of service feedback, patients must provide truthful and reliable feedback, as misleading 278 feedback could hinder opportunities for improvement and undermine the healthcare system.

Therefore, in Bhutan, concerned agencies, whether governmental or non-governmental, should
 promote honesty and accountability in feedback to optimally enhance healthcare services.

281 The Bhutanese patients are most satisfied in the financial domain, potentially due to Bhutan's 282 provision of free healthcare services. A similar high satisfaction level in the financial domain has 283 also been observed in other studies where healthcare is provided free [17,18]. The constitution 284 of Bhutan mandates the state to provide free access to basic public health services, covering both modern and traditional medicine, for all citizens [43]. Accordingly, the Bhutanese 285 286 healthcare system provides a broad range of services, including the ex-country referral of complex cases, free of cost. This mode of service delivery, which involves allocating limited 287 288 financial, infrastructural, and human resources across a broad spectrum of services, can have 289 adverse effects on both service sustainability and guality. While free healthcare services could 290 be currently enhancing patient satisfaction levels in Bhutan, there is a risk of unsustainable 291 expectations and strain on resources. It may induce the perception that all healthcare needs will 292 be met without limits, ultimately impacting patient satisfaction levels. Given Bhutan's context, 293 establishing dynamic limits of free services is crucial for ensuring sustainable delivery of quality services. Additionally, exploring private participation in delivering high-end services beyond the 294 scope of state-owned centres could serve the public interest more effectively. The domains of 295 296 general satisfaction, interpersonal manners, and communication also achieved high satisfaction 297 levels, surpassing a rating of four. The inherent friendliness and compassion in Bhutanese society might have contributed to these positive outcomes. Furthermore, a study from Saudi 298 299 Arabia has identified a positive correlation between financial aspects and other domains, 300 including interpersonal manners and communication [17].

301 In our study, patients were least satisfied with accessibility and convenience, followed by time 302 spent with doctors and technical quality. Although patient satisfaction varies across countries

303 due to cultural contexts, resource availability, and the effectiveness of the health system 304 [15,19,44,45], studies have frequently reported dissatisfaction in these areas [18,20– 305 22,33,46,47]. Bhutan has been facing an acute shortage of healthcare professionals for a long 306 period of time. The current doctor-to-population ratio is 0.46 doctors per 1,000 individuals, 307 below the WHO's recommended ratio of 1 per 1,000. Similarly, the nurse-to-population ratio is 308 2.31 nurses per 1,000, falling short of the global average of 3.7 nurses per 1,000 [48,49]. To 309 improve the specialist healthcare workforce in Bhutan, the Khesar Gyalpo University of Medical 310 Sciences of Bhutan introduced its first Doctorate of Medicine courses in 2014, expanding to nine 311 disciplines [50]. Nonetheless, there remains a significant shortage of specialists in the country. 312 This scarcity might have contributed to lower satisfaction levels in these domains. To address 313 this, policymakers and healthcare academic institutes should consider the expansion of training 314 programmes, offering incentives, strengthening telemedicine, exploring role expansion, 315 improving working conditions, promoting healthcare careers, and establishing a user-friendly 316 online medical appointment system.

317 While patient satisfaction has demonstrated potential in identifying unmet patient needs and providing valuable insights for quality improvement, other studies have raised concerns about 318 319 unintended effects associated with satisfaction surveys. These concerns have led to resistance 320 from healthcare professionals, especially regarding their integration with compensation [51,52]. 321 In the US study, patient satisfaction surveys notably decreased physician job satisfaction, prompting some to consider leaving medicine and nearly half to believe it could lead to 322 323 inappropriate care [53]. Patient satisfaction is crucial for healthcare delivery, yet ensuring the 324 job satisfaction and security of healthcare professionals is equally vital. Hence, striking a balance 325 between these factors and exercising caution in utilising patient satisfaction within established 326 limits is imperative. In Bhutan, where the healthcare quality culture is still evolving, utilising

327	patient satisfaction for continuous quality improvement is sine qua non. However, policymakers
328	must consider potential unintended consequences if it is used to evaluate healthcare
329	professionals for any purpose.

330

331 Conclusion

332 Our study provides valuable insights into patient satisfaction levels and their predictors in 333 Bhutan. We found that ethnicity, occupation, and education level were significant predictors of satisfaction. Specifically, Sharchop and other ethnic groups, farmers, religious personnel, and 334 335 other occupational groups, as well as illiterate groups exhibited significantly higher satisfaction levels and were more likely to be satisfied with healthcare services. While the frequency of 336 337 service utilisation doesn't influence patient satisfaction, variables such as patient type, age, and 338 marital status influenced satisfaction levels. However, they did not emerge as significant 339 predictors when considering other variables, indicating the complexity of patient satisfaction and the necessity of comprehensive analysis. With the anticipated changes in certain socio-340 341 demographic characteristics of the Bhutanese population, patient satisfaction is likely to decline. 342 Therefore, healthcare policy and decision-makers should implement targeted interventions to 343 address these shifts.

344 Bhutanese patients reported the highest satisfaction in the financial domain, reflecting the 345 state's success in providing free healthcare services. Nonetheless, areas such as accessibility and 346 convenience, time spent with doctors, and technical quality require improvement to enhance 347 patient satisfaction. To address these challenges, implementing dynamic limits on free services, 348 encouraging private participation for high-end services beyond the scope of state-owned

- 349 centres, strengthening the healthcare workforce, and embracing innovative approaches can
 350 help ensure sustainability and improve service quality.
- 351 As Bhutan's healthcare system evolves, leveraging patient satisfaction data for continuous 352 quality improvement is crucial. However, a cautious approach is necessary to prevent
- 353 unintended consequences that may affect healthcare professionals' morale and care standards.
- 354

355 Acknowledgements

- We are thankful to the survey respondents for their participation and valuable feedback. Additionally, we are grateful to the hospital management of MERRH and GCRRH for their
- 358 permission to access the archived patient satisfaction data.

359 **References**

- Park HN, Park DJ, Han SY, Tae JY, Jung KH, Bae EJ, et al. Effect of inpatient experiences on patient
 satisfaction and the willingness to recommend a hospital: The mediating role of patient
 satisfaction: A cross-sectional study. Health Sci Rep. 2022 Nov;5(6):e925.
- Ebn Ahmady A, Pakkhesal M, Zafarmand AH, Lando HA. Patient satisfaction surveys in dental
 school clinics: a review and comparison. J Dent Educ. 2015 Apr;79(4):388–93.
- 365 3. Schoenfelder T. Patient Satisfaction: A Valid Indicator for the Quality of Primary Care? Primary
 366 Health Care: Open Access. 2012 Aug 27;2:e106.
- 367 4. Kash B, McKahan M. The Evolution of Measuring Patient Satisfaction. 2017;1(1).
- 368 5. Al-Abri R, Al-Balushi A. Patient satisfaction survey as a tool towards quality improvement. Oman
 369 Med J. 2014 Jan;29(1):3–7.

370	6.	Crow R, Gage H, Hampson S, Hart J, Kimber A, Storey L, et al. The measurement of satisfaction with
371		healthcare: implications for practice from a systematic review of the literature. Health Technol
372		Assess. 2002;6(32):1–244.

- Grasso MS, Del Carmen Valls Martínez M, Ramírez-Orellana A. Health Policies Based on Patient
 Satisfaction: A Bibliometric Study. Healthcare (Basel). 2021 Nov 8;9(11):1520.
- Friedel AL, Siegel S, Kirstein CF, Gerigk M, Bingel U, Diehl A, et al. Measuring Patient Experience
 and Patient Satisfaction-How Are We Doing It and Why Does It Matter? A Comparison of European

and U.S. American Approaches. Healthcare (Basel). 2023 Mar 8;11(6):797.

- Manzoor F, Wei L, Hussain A, Asif M, Shah SIA. Patient Satisfaction with Health Care Services; An
 Application of Physician's Behavior as a Moderator. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019 Sep
 9;16(18):3318.
- 381 10. Guidelines for patient satisfaction questionnaire (WP7) [Internet]. DAYSAFE; 2013. Available from:
 382 https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/chafea pdb/assets/files/pdb/20091104/20091104 d09-
- 383 00_en_ps.pdf
- 11. National Health Policy [Internet]. Ministry of Health, Royal Government of Bhutan; 2015. Available
 from: https://www.moh.gov.bt/wp-content/uploads/moh-files/2015/11/National-Health Policy.pdf
- Thayaparan AJ, Mahdi E. The Patient Satisfaction Questionnaire Short Form (PSQ-18) as an
 adaptable, reliable, and validated tool for use in various settings. Med Educ Online. 2013 Jul
 23;18:21747.

390	13.	Grant M, Hays R. The patient satisfaction questionnaire short form (PSQ-18) [Internet]. RAND;
391		1994. Available from: https://www.rand.org/content/dam/rand/pubs/papers/2006/P7865.pdf
392	14.	Cheruto B, Suge V, Nthenya J, Peinan C. Multifactorial Determinants of Patient Satisfaction Among
393		Medical and Surgical Patients at Nakuru Level 5 Hospital Amidst the Covid-19 Pandemic: A Cross-
394		Sectional Study. East African Journal of Nursing. 2023 Aug 17;1(01):1–12.
395	15.	Akthar N, Nayak S, Pai P Y. Determinants of patient satisfaction in Asia: Evidence from systematic
396		review of literature. Clinical Epidemiology and Global Health. 2023 Sep 1;23:101393.
397	16.	Marcinowicz L, Chlabicz S, Grebowski R. Patient satisfaction with healthcare provided by family
398		doctors: primary dimensions and an attempt at typology. BMC Health Services Research. 2009 Apr
399		16;9(1):63.
400	17.	Aljarallah NA, Almuqbil M, Alshehri S, Khormi AMS, AlReshaidan RM, Alomran FH, et al.
401		Satisfaction of patients with health care services in tertiary care facilities of Riyadh, Saudi Arabia: A
402		cross-sectional approach. Front Public Health. 2022;10:1077147.
403	18.	Naeem B, Nasim J, Anwar A, Noor S, Arshad A, Khan MZ, et al. Assessment of parental satisfaction
404		with paediatric health services in public sector tertiary hospitals within a low-income setting using
405		Patient Satisfaction Questionnaire (PSQ-18). BMJ Paediatrics Open. 2024 Feb 1;8(1):e002418.
406	19.	KANWAL K, RAFI Y, SARWAR MZ. Quality of Medical Care: Patient experiences and satisfaction at
407		tertiary care setting in Public Hospital in Pakistan. Age. 2019;18(29):52.
408	20.	Gaur BPS, Jahnavi G, Thatkar PV. Patient satisfaction about services obtained from a teaching
409		hospital, Port Blair: A cross-sectional study. J Family Med Prim Care. 2020 Jan;9(1):93–8.

- 21. Chakraborty SN, Bhattacherjee S, Rahaman MA. A cross-sectional study on patient satisfaction in
 an Urban Health Care Centre of Siliguri Municipal Corporation, Darjeeling, West Bengal. Medical
 Journal of Dr DY Patil University. 2016 Jun;9(3):325.
- Poudel L, Baskota S, Mali P, Pradhananga P, Malla N, Rajbhandari B, et al. Patient Satisfaction in
 Out-patient Services at a Tertiary Care Center: A Descriptive Cross-sectional Study. JNMA J Nepal
- 415 Med Assoc. 2020 May 30;58(225):301–5.
- 416 23. Magadi JP, Magadi MA. Ethnic inequalities in patient satisfaction with primary health care in
 417 England: Evidence from recent General Practitioner Patient Surveys (GPPS). PLoS One. 2022 Dec
 418 21;17(12):e0270775.
- Liao L, Chung S, Altamirano J, Garcia L, Fassiotto M, Maldonado B, et al. The association between
 Asian patient race/ethnicity and lower satisfaction scores. BMC Health Services Research. 2020 Jul
 22;20(1):678.
- Snigdha SA, Alam MM, Dilshad S, Salam SA, Biswas A, Hossain Hawlader MD. Level of patient
 satisfaction at government Unani & Ayurvedic Medical College Hospital in Dhaka, Bangladesh.
 Public Health Pract (Oxf). 2020 Aug 21;1:100037.
- 425 26. Adhikari M, Paudel NR, Mishra SR, Shrestha A, Upadhyaya DP. Patient satisfaction and its socio426 demographic correlates in a tertiary public hospital in Nepal: a cross-sectional study. BMC Health
 427 Services Research. 2021 Feb 12;21(1):135.
- 428 27. Lyratzopoulos G, Elliott M, Barbiere JM, Henderson A, Staetsky L, Paddison C, et al. Understanding
 429 ethnic and other socio-demographic differences in patient experience of primary care: evidence
 430 from the English General Practice Patient Survey. BMJ Qual Saf. 2012 Jan 1;21(1):21–9.

431	28.	. Akuamoah-Boateng J. Patients' Satisfaction a	nd its Deter	minants in Out	patient and	Inpatient
432		Departments of Tertiary Hospitals in Ghana: Cas	e Study of G	reater Accra Reg	ional Hospita	l. In 2019
433		[cited 2024 May	30].	Availa	able	from:
434		https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Patien	ts%E2%80%	99-Satisfaction-a	nd-its-Detern	ninants-
435		in-and-Akuamoah-Boateng/f513c49689a7aabcc	500e7878a2	0a95b06dea908		
436	29.	. Afzal M, Rizvi F, Azad AH, Rajput AM, Khan A,	Tariq N. Eff	ect of demogra	phic characte	ristics on
437		patient's satisfaction with health care facility. Jo	ournal of Pos	stgraduate Medi	cal Institute [I	Internet].
438		2014 Apr 17 [cited 2024	May	29];28(2).	Available	from:
439		https://www.jpmi.org.pk/index.php/jpmi/article	:/view/1528			
440	30.	. Woods SE, Bivins R, Oteng K, Engel A. The in	fluence of e	ethnicity on pat	ient satisfacti	ion. Ethn
441		Health. 2005 Aug;10(3):235–42.				
442	31.	. Monaco E. Preserving Bhutan's National Iden	tity: An Ana	alysis of Gross I	Vational Happ	piness as
443		Survival Strategy. European Journal of Sustainab	le Developm	nent. 2017 Oct 1;	6(3):81–81.	
444	32.	. BLSS Reports – National Statistics Bureau [I	nternet]. [c	ited 2024 May	31]. Availab	ole from:
445		https://www.nsb.gov.bt/publications/bhutan-liv	ing-standard	d-survey-report/		
446	33.	. Sakti DH, Firdaus AT, Utami TP, Jati KDP, Maha	yana IT, Wa	rdhana FS, et al	. Patients' Sa	tisfaction
447		with Ophthalmology Clinic Services in a Pub	lic Teaching	Hospital. Patie	nt Prefer Ad	lherence.
448		2022;16:723–35.				
449	34.	. Liang H, Xue Y, Zhang Z ruo. Patient satisfact	ion in China	a: a national sur	vey of inpati	ents and
450		outpatients. BMJ Open. 2021 Sep 1;11(9):e0495	70.			

451	35.	Hwang J, Vu GT, Tran BX, Nguyen THT, Nguyen BV, Nguyen LH, et al. Measuring satisfaction with
452		health care services for Vietnamese patients with cardiovascular diseases. PLoS One. 2020 Jun
453		25;15(6):e0235333.

- 454 36. Peck BM. Age-Related Differences in Doctor-Patient Interaction and Patient Satisfaction. Current
 455 Gerontology and Geriatrics Research. 2011 Oct 5;2011:e137492.
- 456 37. Rahmqvist M. Patient satisfaction in relation to age, health status and other background factors: a
 457 model for comparisons of care units. Int J Qual Health Care. 2001 Oct;13(5):385–90.
- 458 38. Jaipaul CK, Rosenthal GE. Are Older Patients More Satisfied With Hospital Care Than Younger
 459 Patients? J Gen Intern Med. 2003 Jan;18(1):23–30.
- 39. Dorji N, Dunne MP, Seib C, Deb S. Qualitative Inquiry into Quality of Life among Older Adults in
 Bhutan. BJSTR. 2018 Jun 19;5(4):001–8.
- 462 40. Stutzer A, Frey BS. Does marriage make people happy, or do happy people get married? The
 463 Journal of Socio-Economics. 2006 Apr 1;35(2):326–47.
- 464 41. Mäki M, Hägglund AE, Rotkirch A, Kulathinal S, Myrskylä M. Stable marital histories predict
 465 happiness and health across educational groups. MPIDR Working Papers [Internet]. 2022 [cited
 466 2024 May 31]; Available from: https://ideas.repec.org//p/dem/wpaper/wp-2022-035.html
- 467 42. Chapman B, Guven C. Marital Status is Misunderstood in Happiness Models. Deakin University,
 468 Faculty of Business and Law, School of Accounting, Economics and Finance, Economics Series. 2010
 469 Jan 1;

F. .

. . .

. . . .

472		bhutan
471		[cited 2024 May 26]. Available from: https://parliament.bt/the-constitution-of-the-kingdom-of-
470	43.	nttps://parliament.bt. The Constitution of the Kingdom of Bhutan [Internet]. Parliament of Bhutan.

c

. .

- 473 44. Gavurova B, Dvorsky J, Popesko B. Patient Satisfaction Determinants of Inpatient Healthcare. Int J
 474 Environ Res Public Health. 2021 Oct 28;18(21):11337.
- 475 45. Dinsa K, Deressa BG, Salgedo WB. Comparison of Patients Satisfaction Levels Toward Nursing Care
 476 in Public and Private Hospitals, Jimma, Ethiopia. NRR. 2022 Sep 25;12:177–89.

46. Agarwal P, Biswas R. Satisfaction of patients attending a rural hospital of Darjeeling district in West
Bengal, India. International Journal Of Community Medicine And Public Health. 2017 May
22;4(6):1932–7.

- 480 47. Kavalnienė R, Deksnyte A, Kasiulevičius V, Šapoka V, Aranauskas R, Aranauskas L. Patient
 481 satisfaction with primary healthcare services: are there any links with patients' symptoms of
 482 anxiety and depression? BMC Fam Pract. 2018 Jun 19;19:90.
- 483 48. Annual Health Bulletin | Ministry of Health [Internet]. [cited 2024 May 27]. Available from:
 484 https://www.moh.gov.bt/about/program-profiles/national-suicide-prevention-program/plans-
- 485 orders-activities/reports_trashed/annual-health-bulletin/

1. . .

- 486 49. Countries in WHO South-East Asia Region need 1.9 million more nurses, midwives to achieve 487 health for all [Internet]. [cited 2024 27]. Available from: May https://www.who.int/southeastasia/news/detail/07-04-2020-countries-in-who-south-east-asia-488
- 489 region-need-1.9-million-more-nurses-midwives-to-achieve-health-for-all

- 490 50. Faculty of Postgraduate Medicine [Internet]. [cited 2024 May 27]. Available from:
 491 https://fopgm.edu.bt/detail/milestone
- 492 51. The Patient Experience and Health Outcomes | New England Journal of Medicine [Internet]. [cited
- 493 2024 May 25]. Available from: https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMp1211775
- 494 52. Mehta SJ. Patient Satisfaction Reporting and Its Implications for Patient Care. AMA Journal of
- 495 Ethics. 2015 Jul 1;17(7):616–21.
- 496 53. Zgierska A, Rabago D, Miller MM. Impact of patient satisfaction ratings on physicians and clinical
- 497 care. Patient Prefer Adherence. 2014 Apr 3;8:437–46.

498