

1 **Infectivity and persistence of influenza viruses in raw milk**

2
3 **Alessandro Zulli^{1**}, Mengyang Zhang^{1**}, Sehee Jong¹, Catherine Blish², Alexandria**
4 **B. Boehm^{1*}**

5
6 1. Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Stanford University, 473 Via
7 Ortega, Stanford, California, 94305

8 2. Department of Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA
9 94305, United States

10
11 * Corresponding author: aboehm@stanford.edu

12 ** Authors contributed equally to this study

13

14

15 **Abstract**

16

17 Influenza A viruses present a significant public health risk, with recent outbreaks of
18 highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) H5N1 in dairy cattle raising concerns about
19 potential transmission through raw milk consumption. This study investigated the
20 persistence of influenza A virus PR8 (IAV PR8) in raw cow milk at 4 °C. We found that
21 IAV PR8 remained infectious in raw milk for up to 5 days, with a decay rate constant of -
22 2.05 day⁻¹. In contrast, viral RNA remained detectable and stable for at least 57 days,
23 with no significant degradation. Pasteurization (63°C for 30 minutes) significantly
24 reduced detectable viral RNA concentrations, but reduction was less than 1 log. These
25 findings highlight the potential risk of zoonotic virus transmission through raw milk
26 consumption and underscore the importance of milk pasteurization. The prolonged
27 persistence of viral RNA in both raw and pasteurized milk has implications for food
28 safety assessments and environmental monitoring, particularly in the context of
29 environmental surveillance for influenza viruses.

30

31 **Keywords: influenza A, milk, persistence, infectivity, avian influenza**

32

33 **Synopsis:** Influenza A RNA is persistent in milk, even after pasteurization, and it
34 remains infectious for 5 days in refrigerated milk.

35

36

37

38 **Introduction**

39

40 Influenza A remains one of the leading causes of mortality and morbidity worldwide,
41 with the World Health Organization estimating that it causes up to 650,000 deaths and 1
42 billion cases each year.¹ In the United States, it is responsible for an estimated annual
43 41 million infections, and 51,000 deaths, infecting approximately 8% of the US
44 population.^{2,3} In addition, it is estimated to be responsible for \$11.2 billion dollars in
45 economic losses each year within the United States.^{4,5}

46

47 Influenza A has demonstrated high rates of mutation and zoonotic potential, making it
48 difficult to take appropriate preventative measures.^{6,7} The zoonotic potential of influenza
49 A viruses is best demonstrated by the first influenza pandemic of the 21st century, the
50 2009 H1N1 virus, commonly known as “swine flu”.⁸ This outbreak is estimated to have
51 been responsible for 700 million to 1.4 billion infections in the 2009-2010 season.⁹ As its
52 name implies, genetic analysis of the 2009 H1N1 virus revealed its origin to be
53 reassortment and subsequent zoonotic transmission from swine to humans.^{8,10,11} Highly
54 pathogenic avian influenza H5N1 (HPAI), has demonstrated similar reassortment and
55 zoonotic transmission to the 2009 H1N1 virus, and has recently been cause for
56 significant concern in the United States and worldwide.^{7,12} Specifically, H5N1 clade
57 2.3.4.4 has affected over 100 million poultry since 2022, and, more recently, 194 herds
58 of cattle, having made the interspecies jump.^{13–17} Prior to 2024, H5N1 infection in cows
59 had not been documented.¹⁵

60

61 Interestingly, infectious H5N1 is shed into milk of infected, lactating cows.¹⁷ Several
62 studies have shown pasteurization is effective in inactivating influenza virus in milk,
63 however, consumption of raw (i.e., unpasteurized) milk is common.¹⁸ The latest United
64 States Department of Agriculture survey from 2019 reported 4.4% of Americans
65 consume raw milk at least once a year, and 1.6% (approximately 5 million people)
66 report consuming raw milk once a month. We reviewed the literature on October 7,
67 2024 using keywords “influenza raw milk”, “influenza pasteurization” and “infectivity
68 influenza raw milk”. We identified no studies documented persistence of infective
69 viruses in raw milk, and limited studies documenting the effect of pasteurization of
70 influenza A infectivity in raw milk, and two of these have not been peer-reviewed (pre-
71 prints).^{18–20} Given the high consumption of raw milk in the United States, and increasing
72 trends in outbreaks associated with raw milk consumption, understanding the
73 persistence of infectious influenza A virus in milk could be particularly useful to better
74 understanding infection risks associated with this environmental exposure route.^{21–23}

75

76 Genetic material of H5N1 has been detected in pasteurized milk products across the
77 United States.^{19,20,24} The presence of H5N1 RNA in milk products has been linked to its
78 appearance in wastewater in a number of states, and served to alert public health
79 officials to the potential of nearby infected cattle herds.^{14,25} Our literature review
80 identified no papers examining the effect of pasteurization on influenza A viral RNA in
81 milk; such information will be useful in advancing the use of wastewater for tracking the
82 spread of H5N1.^{26,27}

83
84 In this study, we used influenza A H1N1, to assess the persistence of influenza A virus
85 in raw milk. Our results demonstrate that IAV PR8 remains infectious in raw milk for up
86 to 5 days at 4 °C, while their genetic material remains detectable in raw milk for 8
87 weeks, showing little to no degradation at 4 °C. Lastly, the RNA of H1N1 showed
88 significant degradation after heating at 63 °C for 30 min, which represents the standard
89 process used for milk pasteurization.

90

91 **Methods**

92

93 **Virus propagation and purification**

94 IAV PR8 was propagated in Madin Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells.²⁸ MDCK cells
95 (CCL-34, ATCC) were purchased from ATCC and IAV PR8 was kindly provided by Dr.
96 Jeffrey Glenn. Details of virus propagation are provided in the supporting information
97 (SI).

98

99 IAV PR8 virus stock was purified and concentrated using ultracentrifugation with 30%
100 sucrose cushion.²⁹ Briefly, the crude virus suspension collected from the infected MDCK
101 cells was centrifuged at 4,000 g for 15 minutes to remove cell debris before
102 ultracentrifugation. Then 180 mL of the supernatant was processed by
103 ultracentrifugation with a 30% of sucrose cushion at 112,400 g for 90 minutes at 4 °C.
104 The IAV PR8 virus pellets were resuspended in 1 mL of PBS buffer and stored at -80 °C
105 until use.

106

107 **Influenza A virus persistence in raw milk**

108 Raw milk was collected from a local dairy farm in California and stored at 4 °C until
109 persistence experiments (used within 24 hours). Purified IAV PR8 was spiked into the
110 raw milk at the ratio of 1:10 to achieve final IAV PR8 concentrations of around 10⁵-10⁶
111 TCID₅₀/mL. The mixture of IAV PR8 and raw milk was incubated at 4 °C for 57 days.
112 The experiment was performed in triplicate. During the incubation period, at day 0, 1, 3
113 and 5, 60 µL of the sample was withdrawn from each experiment for 50% tissue culture
114 infectious dose (TCID₅₀) assay to measure the concentration of viable IAV PR8 ; at day

115 0, 1, 3, 5, 7, 21, 28, and 35, 60 μL of the sample was withdrawn from each tube for RNA
116 extraction to measure the concentration of IAV PR8 RNA. TCID₅₀ assay and RNA
117 extraction was performed immediately after the samples were withdrawn.

118
119 The decay rate constant of viable IAV PR8 ($k_{infectivity}$) was estimated by fitting a first-order
120 decay model to the corresponding virus infectivity data following equation (1):

$$121 \ln\left(\frac{C}{C_0}\right) = \beta_0 + k_{infectivity} t \quad (1)$$

122 where C is the average concentration of viable IAV PR8 measured at each time point
123 (TCID₅₀ mL⁻¹); C_0 is the initial concentration of viable IAV PR8 measured at day 0
124 (TCID₅₀ mL⁻¹); β_0 is the intercept; $k_{infectivity}$ is the first-order decay rate constant for viable
125 IAV PR8 (day⁻¹); t is the incubation time (day).

126
127 The decay rate constant of IAV PR8 RNA (k_{RNA}) was estimated by fitting a first-order
128 decay model to the corresponding viral RNA data following equation (2):

$$129 \ln\left(\frac{N}{N_0}\right) = \beta_1 + k_{RNA} t \quad (2)$$

130 where N is the average concentration of IAV PR8 RNA measured at each time point
131 (gene copies mL⁻¹); N_0 is the initial concentration of IAV PR8 RNA measured at day 0
132 (gene copies mL⁻¹); β_1 is the intercept; k_{RNA} is the first-order decay rate constant for IAV
133 PR8 RNA (day⁻¹); t is the incubation time (day).

134
135 $k_{infectivity}$ and k_{RNA} , as well as their standard error, were determined using the function
136 “lm” in R (version 4.2.2) and Rstudio (Version 2022.12.0+353), and the goodness of fit
137 of the first-order decay models was assessed through examination of the coefficient of
138 determination (R^2).

139
140 **Pasteurization experiment.** Pasteurization process is designed to inactivate the
141 pathogens in food products and one of the most common methods used for milk
142 pasteurization is heating the milk to 63 °C for at least 30 minutes.¹⁸ In this study, the raw
143 milk spiked with IAV PR8 was heat in a water bath at 63 °C for 30 minutes to mimic the
144 milk pasteurization process. Three independent tubes were used as experimental
145 triplicates. 60 μL of sample was collected before and after heating and proceeded to
146 RNA extraction immediately. The degradation of IAV PR8 RNA during the
147 pasteurization process was determined by comparing the IAV PR8 RNA concentration
148 before and after treatment.

149
150 **TCID₅₀ for virus titration.** TCID₅₀ assay quantifies the viable virus by determining the
151 highest dilution of the sample that can cause 50% infection in cell culture.

152

153 **Nucleic acid extraction.** Extraction was performed using a ZymoBIOMICS DNA/RNA
154 miniprep kit (#R2002, Zymo Research). Sixty microliters of milk sample were collected
155 from the 3 replicates at each timepoint and combined with 750 μ L of DNA/RNA shield
156 (#R1100-250, Zymo Research). Samples were lysed through agitation on a Vortex
157 Genie 2 at maximum speed for 15 minutes (#SI-0236, Scientific Industries). Negative
158 extraction controls were included consisting of 750 μ L of DNA/RNA shield and 60 μ L of
159 ultrapure water and extraction of 60 μ L of raw milk which did not have spiked influenza.
160 Positive controls were included by extracting 10 μ L of IAV PR8 stock. Total nucleic acid
161 purification was then performed and samples were eluted into 100 μ L of DNase/RNase
162 free water. Nucleic acid quality and concentration were assessed through
163 spectrophotometry (#ND-1000, ThermoScientific).

164
165 **Viral quantification.** Viral RNA quantification was performed using singleplex reverse
166 transcription digital droplet polymerase chain reaction (RT-ddPCR) using an AutoDG
167 Automated Droplet Generator (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA), Bio-Rad C1000 Touch Thermal
168 (Bio-Rad) thermocycler, and a QX200 Droplet Reader (Bio-Rad). An influenza A
169 primer/probe set targeting the M1 gene was used, consisting of CAA GAC CAA TCY
170 TGT CAC CTC TGA C for forward primer, GCA TTY TGG ACA AAV CGT CTA CG for
171 reverse primer, and 5'-/FAM/TGC AGT CCT /ZEN/ CGC TCA CTG GGC
172 ACG/3IABkFQ/-3 for the probe. The probe used a FAM, 6- fluorescein amidite as the
173 fluorescent molecule with an internal ZEN quencher (proprietary from IDT) and a 3' Iowa
174 Black FQ quencher.³⁰ Neat cultured Influenza A PR8 was used as a positive control to
175 validate assay performance, and no template controls were included in all runs. All
176 extracts were diluted 100x before quantification to avoid detector saturation. Each of the
177 triplicate extractions were run in individual wells. Thresholds on the FAM channel were
178 set at 2,700 relative fluorescence units (RFU). Though no plates failed QA/QC, in the
179 case of a plate failing QA/QC (i.e., positive controls and negative controls not positive
180 and negative, respectively), we would have reported the reason for the failure and noted
181 the re-run of any samples. Thermocycling conditions were as follows: reverse
182 transcription at 50°C for 60 minutes, enzyme activation at 95°C for 5 minutes, 40 cycles
183 of denaturation at 95°C for 30 seconds and annealing and extension at 61°C for 30
184 seconds, enzyme deactivation at 98°C for 10 minutes then an indefinite hold at 4°C.

185 **Results and discussion**

186
187 **Persistence of influenza A viral infectivity in raw milk.** The persistence of influenza
188 viruses in raw milk was evaluated by monitoring the infectivity of IAV PR8 incubated
189 with raw cow milk, collected from a dairy farm, at 4°C for up to 5 days. As shown in
190 **Figure 1**, IAV PR8 decayed to below 5.0 TCID₅₀ mL⁻¹ after 5 days in raw milk at 4°C,

191 with an initial concentration of approximately 4.98×10^5 TCID₅₀ mL⁻¹. The decay rate
192 constant $k_{infectivity}$ was estimated by fitting a first-order decay model and was $-2.05 \pm$
193 0.45 day⁻¹ (estimated value \pm standard error, $p < 0.05$, $R^2 = 0.865$). This value is greater
194 compared to those found for influenza A virus decay in other aqueous matrices; a
195 systematic review of enveloped virus decay in water found mean influenza virus decay
196 to be -0.3 day⁻¹ across all tested conditions ($n = 560$).³¹

197
198 The persistence of influenza viruses in raw milk causes concern, as the consumption of
199 raw milk remains high in the United States due to cultural factors and several popular
200 misconceptions.^{22,32} Some of these misconceptions include beliefs that raw milk could
201 cure lactose intolerance or asthma, enhance the immune system, and have greater
202 nutritional value compared to pasteurized milk.^{33–36} Hundreds of outbreaks and deaths
203 have occurred because of the pathogens contained in raw milk.²³ Previously, only
204 bacteria were reported in raw milk-associated disease outbreaks including
205 campylobacteriosis, salmonellosis, tuberculosis, and *Escherichia coli* 0157:H7
206 infection.^{21,37–39} The results of this study show influenza A virus may remain infectious in
207 raw milk for a prolonged period of time under refrigerated conditions, representing an
208 potential exposure route.

209
210 Highly pathogenic avian influenza H5N1 clade 2.3.4.4b (HPAI) has been a cause for
211 concern worldwide due to its newly observed ability to infect dairy cattle herds
212 throughout the United States, with 243 herds in 14 states affected as of October 6,
213 2024.^{15,40–42} HPAI H5N1 also has a propensity to replicate in the mammary gland of
214 dairy cattle, due to the high expression of HPAI H5N1 receptors, ultimately resulting in
215 the shedding of HPAI H5N1 in the milk.¹⁷ Mammal-to-mammal transmission has been
216 observed in the form of cats ingesting raw milk on dairy farms, and subsequently being
217 infected with HPAI, further supporting that HPAI H5N1 can be shed into milk.⁴³ Le Sage
218 et al. reported that influenza H5N1 and H1N1 remained infectious in unpasteurized milk
219 on stainless steel and rubber surfaces for up to 5 hours.²⁰ The data presented here
220 significantly contributes to existing knowledge by demonstrating that influenza A can
221 remain infectious in raw milk for up to 5 days at 4°C. This shows that there could be
222 significant risk of inter-species transmission of the virus due to environmental spillage of
223 milk. The recommended shelf life of raw milk under refrigeration is 7-10 days.⁴⁴ Based
224 on our results, it took 2.3 days to achieve 99% reduction in infectivity (T99) of IAV PR8
225 in raw cow milk (Figure S1).

226
227 One limitation of this study is that we measured the decay of exogenous human IAV
228 spiked into raw cow milk. The decay of endogenous HPAI H5N1 in the milk from HPAI
229 H5N1-infected dairy cattle could potentially be affected by presence of antibodies in
230 milk. It is known that the influenza-specific antibodies in human breast milk can provide

231 protective immunological properties for the infants and play an essential role in
232 increasing resistance of infants to influenza virus infection.⁴⁵ However, little is known
233 about the impact of antibodies present in milk on the persistence of influenza viruses
234 shed in milk, which call for further research.


235
236 **Persistence of influenza A viral RNA in raw milk.** IAV PR8 nucleic acids remained
237 detectable and stable for 57 days in raw milk at 4°C (Figure 1). The calculated decay
238 rate constant k_{RNA} is -0.00049 ($p=0.709$). This value is not significantly different from 0.
239 Further, we took samples from time point 0 and pasteurized them as described above.
240 The resulting pasteurized milk samples were extracted and IAV RNA quantified using
241 the same protocol as the raw milk. The resulting ddPCR concentrations showed a
242 significant reduction from an average of $2.9 * 10^8$ copies/mL in the raw milk samples to
243 $9.1 * 10^7$ copies/mL in the pasteurized milk samples, a decrease of 70% (Fig 2, $p<0.01$,
244 Welch's t-test).

245
246 HPAI has been extensively detected in wastewater streams across the United States,
247 and the source of these detections was determined to most likely have occurred due to
248 industrial discharge from local milk processing facilities.^{27,46} However, inputs from
249 residential or commercial discarded milk are also possible sources.⁴⁶ It is estimated that
250 17 kg of milk and dairy products are discarded per capita per year by consumers,
251 translating to 15,500 tons per day.⁴⁷ Given our findings of the stability of influenza A
252 RNA in milk, residential or commercial milk discarded through drains could represent a
253 source of H5N1 RNA in wastewater. This high level of stability potentially indicates that
254 environmental spillage of milk could also lead to detections within the environment.


255
256 Our study has significant implications for both environmental and food safety. The
257 extremely prolonged persistence of IAV PR8 RNA in raw milk, compared to that of virus
258 infectivity, may complicate efforts to assess the safety of raw milk and other dairy
259 products. This is because the detection of viral genomic materials, a detection method
260 that is rapid and relatively easy to implement, may not necessarily indicate the presence
261 of viable viruses. Pasteurization has been shown to successfully inactivate influenza
262 viruses, including HPAI, in milk.²⁴ However, HPAI has been consistently detected
263 through molecular biology methods in pasteurized milk from affected cattle herds,⁴⁸
264 which could be explained by the high resistance of IAV RNA to pasteurization reported
265 herein. Moreover, source tracking might be essential when interpreting wastewater
266 surveillance data for influenza viruses; industrial discharges may be a source of H5N1,
267 but so could household or commercial milk discarding, and also potentially inputs from
268 other sources including infected humans or birds.²⁷

269

270 Overall, our study demonstrates that influenza viruses remain infectious in raw milk for
271 up to 5 days, which could pose a significant human health risk, and concentrations
272 measured through molecular biology methods remain constant for at least 57 days. The
273 results presented here will help both public health officials understand the risks of raw
274 milk consumption, and provide context for environmental scientists and engineers
275 working in the environmental detection of influenza. It is important to note that this study
276 used H1N1 influenza A subtype because H5N1 is a select agent and working with it in a
277 laboratory requires extensive safety protocols and certifications. Results of experiments
278 using other subtypes could vary. However, a systematic review of the literature on
279 influenza A decay in water suggested that decay of most subtypes was similar in that
280 matrix.³¹
281
282



283
284 Figure 1. Decay of infectivity of IAV PR8 as measured by the natural logarithm of the
285 fraction of viable IAV PR8 compared to initial time point assessed by TCID₅₀ in raw milk
286 at 4°C (1a), and decay of IAV PR8 genome copies in raw milk at 4°C (1b). The red
287 dashed lines represent linear regression used to calculate decay rate constants. Error
288 bars represent standard deviation based on 3 experimental replicates.
289



290
291 Figure 2. Measured concentrations (in gene copies per ml of milk) of influenza in raw
292 milk samples (blue), pasteurized samples (red), and negative no template controls
293 (green). The horizontal dashed lines represent the mean of the data points. All negative
294 controls were found to be negative.
295

296

297 References

298

- 299 (1) *Influenza (Seasonal)*. [https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/influenza-](https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/influenza-(seasonal))
300 (seasonal) (accessed 2024-08-30).
- 301 (2) CDC. *About Estimated Flu Burden*. Flu Burden. [https://www.cdc.gov/flu-](https://www.cdc.gov/flu-burden/php/about/index.html)
302 burden/php/about/index.html (accessed 2024-08-30).
- 303 (3) Tokars, J. I.; Olsen, S. J.; Reed, C. Seasonal Incidence of Symptomatic Influenza in the
304 United States. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **2018**, *66* (10), 1511–1518.
305 <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cix1060>.
- 306 (4) Molinari, N.-A. M.; Ortega-Sanchez, I. R.; Messonnier, M. L.; Thompson, W. W.; Wortley,
307 P. M.; Weintraub, E.; Bridges, C. B. The Annual Impact of Seasonal Influenza in the US:
308 Measuring Disease Burden and Costs. *Vaccine* **2007**, *25* (27), 5086–5096.
309 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2007.03.046>.
- 310 (5) Putri, W. C. W. S.; Muscatello, D. J.; Stockwell, M. S.; Newall, A. T. Economic Burden of
311 Seasonal Influenza in the United States. *Vaccine* **2018**, *36* (27), 3960–3966.
312 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2018.05.057>.
- 313 (6) Nobusawa, E.; Sato, K. Comparison of the Mutation Rates of Human Influenza A and B
314 Viruses. *J. Virol.* **2006**, *80* (7), 3675–3678. [https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.80.7.3675-](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.80.7.3675-3678.2006)
315 3678.2006.
- 316 (7) Alexander, D. J. An Overview of the Epidemiology of Avian Influenza. *Vaccine* **2007**, *25*
317 (30), 5637–5644. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2006.10.051>.
- 318 (8) Al, H. S.; McIntosh, K. The First Influenza Pandemic of the 21st Century. *Ann. Saudi Med.*
319 **2010**, *30* (1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0256-4947.59365>.
- 320 (9) Kelly, H.; Peck, H. A.; Laurie, K. L.; Wu, P.; Nishiura, H.; Cowling, B. J. The Age-Specific
321 Cumulative Incidence of Infection with Pandemic Influenza H1N1 2009 Was Similar in
322 Various Countries Prior to Vaccination. *PLOS ONE* **2011**, *6* (8), e21828.
323 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0021828>.
- 324 (10) Novel Swine-Origin Influenza A (H1N1) Virus Investigation Team. Emergence of a Novel
325 Swine-Origin Influenza A (H1N1) Virus in Humans. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2009**, *360* (25), 2605–
326 2615. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0903810>.
- 327 (11) Zhang, H.; Chen, L. Possible Origin of Current Influenza A H1N1 Viruses. *Lancet Infect.*
328 *Dis.* **2009**, *9* (8), 456–457. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(09\)70181-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(09)70181-1).
- 329 (12) Itoh, Y.; Shinya, K.; Kiso, M.; Watanabe, T.; Sakoda, Y.; Hatta, M.; Muramoto, Y.; Tamura,
330 D.; Sakai-Tagawa, Y.; Noda, T.; Sakabe, S.; Imai, M.; Hatta, Y.; Watanabe, S.; Li, C.;
331 Yamada, S.; Fujii, K.; Murakami, S.; Imai, H.; Kakugawa, S.; Ito, M.; Takano, R.; Iwatsuki-
332 Horimoto, K.; Shimojima, M.; Horimoto, T.; Goto, H.; Takahashi, K.; Makino, A.; Ishigaki,
333 H.; Nakayama, M.; Okamatsu, M.; Takahashi, K.; Warshauer, D.; Shult, P. A.; Saito, R.;
334 Suzuki, H.; Furuta, Y.; Yamashita, M.; Mitamura, K.; Nakano, K.; Nakamura, M.;
335 Brockman-Schneider, R.; Mitamura, H.; Yamazaki, M.; Sugaya, N.; Suresh, M.; Ozawa,
336 M.; Neumann, G.; Gern, J.; Kida, H.; Ogasawara, K.; Kawaoka, Y. In Vitro and in Vivo
337 Characterization of New Swine-Origin H1N1 Influenza Viruses. *Nature* **2009**, *460* (7258),
338 1021–1025. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08260>.
- 339 (13) CDC. *USDA Reported H5N1 Bird Flu Detections in US Backyard and Commercial Poultry*.
340 Avian Influenza (Bird Flu). [https://www.cdc.gov/bird-flu/situation-summary/data-map-](https://www.cdc.gov/bird-flu/situation-summary/data-map-commercial.html)
341 commercial.html (accessed 2024-08-30).
- 342 (14) *HPAI Confirmed Cases in Livestock | Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service*.
343 <https://www.aphis.usda.gov/livestock-poultry-disease/avian/avian-influenza/hpai->

- 344 detections/hpai-confirmed-cases-livestock (accessed 2024-08-30).
- 345 (15) Neumann, G.; Kawaoka, Y. Highly Pathogenic H5N1 Avian Influenza Virus Outbreak in
346 Cattle: The Knowns and Unknowns. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **2024**, *22* (9), 525–526.
347 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-024-01087-1>.
- 348 (16) Uyeki, T. M.; Milton, S.; Hamid, C. A.; Webb, C. R.; Presley, S. M.; Shetty, V.; Rollo, S. N.;
349 Martinez, D. L.; Rai, S.; Gonzales, E. R.; Kniss, K. L.; Jang, Y.; Frederick, J. C.; Cruz, J. A.
350 D. L.; Liddell, J.; Di, H.; Kirby, M. K.; Barnes, J. R.; Davis, C. T. Highly Pathogenic Avian
351 Influenza A(H5N1) Virus Infection in a Dairy Farm Worker. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2024**, *390* (21),
352 2028–2029. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc2405371>.
- 353 (17) Nelli, R. K.; Harm, T. A.; Siepker, C.; Groeltz-Thrush, J. M.; Jones, B.; Twu, N.-C.;
354 Nenninger, A. S.; Magstadt, D. R.; Burrough, E. R.; Piñeyro, P. E.; Mainenti, M.;
355 Carnaccini, S.; Plummer, P. J.; Bell, T. M. Sialic Acid Receptor Specificity in Mammary
356 Gland of Dairy Cattle Infected with Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza A(H5N1) Virus.
357 *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **2024**, *30* (7), 1361–1373. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid3007.240689>.
- 358 (18) Alkie, T.; Naseri, N.; Romero-Barrios, P.; Catford, A.; Krishnan, J.; Pama, L.; Hooper-
359 McGrevy, K.; Nfon, C.; Cutts, T.; Berhane, Y. Effectiveness of Pasteurization for the
360 Inactivation of H5N1 Influenza Virus in Raw Whole Milk. medRxiv July 24, 2024, p
361 2024.07.23.24310825. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.07.23.24310825>.
- 362 (19) Guan, L.; Einfeld, A. J.; Pattinson, D.; Gu, C.; Biswas, A.; Maemura, T.; Trifkovic, S.;
363 Babujee, L.; Presler, R.; Dahn, R.; Halfmann, P. J.; Barnhardt, T.; Neumann, G.;
364 Thompson, A.; Swinford, A. K.; Dimitrov, K. M.; Poulsen, K.; Kawaoka, Y. Cow's Milk
365 Containing Avian Influenza A(H5N1) Virus — Heat Inactivation and Infectivity in Mice. *N.*
366 *Engl. J. Med.* **2024**, *391* (1), 87–90. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc2405495>.
- 367 (20) Le Sage, V.; Campbell, A. J.; Reed, D. S.; Duprex, W. P.; Lakdawala, S. S. Influenza
368 H5N1 and H1N1 Viruses Remain Infectious in Unpasteurized Milk on Milking Machinery
369 Surfaces. *medRxiv* **2024**, 2024.05.22.24307745.
370 <https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.05.22.24307745>.
- 371 (21) Headrick, M. L.; Korangy, S.; Bean, N. H.; Angulo, F. J.; Altekruze, S. F.; Potter, M. E.;
372 Klontz, K. C. The Epidemiology of Raw Milk-Associated Foodborne Disease Outbreaks
373 Reported in the United States, 1973 through 1992. *Am. J. Public Health* **1998**, *88* (8),
374 1219–1221. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.88.8.1219>.
- 375 (22) LANDO, A. M.; BAZACO, M. C.; PARKER, C. C.; FERGUSON, M. Characteristics of U.S.
376 Consumers Reporting Past Year Intake of Raw (Unpasteurized) Milk: Results from the
377 2016 Food Safety Survey and 2019 Food Safety and Nutrition Survey. *J. Food Prot.* **2022**,
378 *85* (7), 1036–1043. <https://doi.org/10.4315/JFP-21-407>.
- 379 (23) Program, H. F. The Dangers of Raw Milk: Unpasteurized Milk Can Pose a Serious Health
380 Risk. *FDA* **2024**.
- 381 (24) Caceres, C. J.; Gay, L. C.; Faccin, F. C.; Regmi, D.; Palomares, R.; Perez, D. R. Early
382 Release - Influenza A(H5N1) Virus Resilience in Milk after Thermal Inactivation - Volume
383 30, Number 11—November 2024 - Emerging Infectious Diseases Journal - CDC.
384 <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid3011.240772>.
- 385 (25) Nutrition, C. for F. S. and A. Updates on Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza (HPAI). *FDA*
386 **2024**.
- 387 (26) Louis, S. Wastewater Surveillance for Influenza A Virus and H5 Subtype Concurrent with
388 the Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza A(H5N1) Virus Outbreak in Cattle and Poultry and
389 Associated Human Cases — United States, May 12–July 13, 2024. *MMWR Morb. Mortal.*
390 *Wkly. Rep.* **2024**, *73*. <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7337a1>.
- 391 (27) Wolfe, M. K.; Duong, D.; Shelden, B.; Chan, E. M. G.; Chan-Herur, V.; Hilton, S.; Paulos,
392 A. H.; Xu, X.-R. S.; Zulli, A.; White, B. J.; Boehm, A. B. Detection of Hemagglutinin H5
393 Influenza A Virus Sequence in Municipal Wastewater Solids at Wastewater Treatment
394 Plants with Increases in Influenza A in Spring, 2024. *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* **2024**, *11*

- 395 (6), 526–532. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.4c00331>.
- 396 (28) Balish, A. L.; Katz, J. M.; Klimov, A. I. Influenza: Propagation, Quantification, and Storage.
- 397 *Curr. Protoc. Microbiol.* **2013**, 29 (1), 15G.1.1-15G.1.24.
- 398 <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780471729259.mc15g01s29>.
- 399 (29) David, S. C.; Schaub, A.; Terrettaz, C.; Motos, G.; Costa, L. J.; Nolan, D. S.; Augugliaro,
- 400 M.; Wynn, H. K.; Glas, I.; Pohl, M. O.; Klein, L. K.; Luo, B.; Bluvshstein, N.; Violaki, K.;
- 401 Hugentobler, W.; Krieger, U. K.; Peter, T.; Stertz, S.; Nenes, A.; Kohn, T. Stability of
- 402 Influenza A Virus in Droplets and Aerosols Is Heightened by the Presence of Commensal
- 403 Respiratory Bacteria. *J. Virol.* **2024**, 98 (7), e00409-24. [https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.00409-](https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.00409-24)
- 404 [24](https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.00409-24).
- 405 (30) Wolfe, M. K.; Duong, D.; Bakker, K. M.; Ammerman, M.; Mortenson, L.; Hughes, B.; Arts,
- 406 P.; Luring, A. S.; Fitzsimmons, W. J.; Bendall, E.; Hwang, C. E.; Martin, E. T.; White, B.
- 407 J.; Boehm, A. B.; Wigginton, K. R. Wastewater-Based Detection of Two Influenza
- 408 Outbreaks. *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* **2022**, 9 (8), 687–692.
- 409 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.2c00350>.
- 410 (31) Silverman, A. I.; Boehm, A. B. Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of the Persistence of
- 411 Enveloped Viruses in Environmental Waters and Wastewater in the Absence of
- 412 Disinfectants. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2021**, 55 (21), 14480–14493.
- 413 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c03977>.
- 414 (32) Program, H. F. Raw Milk Misconceptions and the Danger of Raw Milk Consumption. *FDA*
- 415 **2024**.
- 416 (33) Lin, M. Y.; Savaiano, D.; Harlander, S. Influence of Nonfermented Dairy Products
- 417 Containing Bacterial Starter Cultures on Lactose Maldigestion in Humans. *J. Dairy Sci.*
- 418 **1991**, 74 (1), 87–95. [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(91\)78147-2](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(91)78147-2).
- 419 (34) Waser, M.; Michels, K. B.; Bieli, C.; Flöistrup, H.; Pershagen, G.; von Mutius, E.; Ege, M.;
- 420 Riedler, J.; Schram-Bijkerk, D.; Brunekreef, B.; van Hage, M.; Lauener, R.; Braun-
- 421 Fahrländer, C.; PARSIFAL Study team. Inverse Association of Farm Milk Consumption
- 422 with Asthma and Allergy in Rural and Suburban Populations across Europe. *Clin. Exp.*
- 423 *Allergy J. Br. Soc. Allergy Clin. Immunol.* **2007**, 37 (5), 661–670.
- 424 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2222.2006.02640.x>.
- 425 (35) Michalski, M.-C.; Januel, C. Does Homogenization Affect the Human Health Properties of
- 426 Cow's Milk? *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* **2006**, 17 (8), 423–437.
- 427 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2006.02.004>.
- 428 (36) Mullin, G. E.; Belkoff, S. M. Survey to Determine Why People Drink Raw Milk. *Glob. Adv.*
- 429 *Health Med.* **2014**, 3 (6), 19–24. <https://doi.org/10.7453/gahmj.2014.041>.
- 430 (37) Heuvelink, A. E.; van Heerwaarden, C.; Zwartkruis-Nahuis, A.; Tilburg, J. J. H. C.; Bos, M.
- 431 H.; Heilmann, F. G. C.; Hofhuis, A.; Hoekstra, T.; de Boer, E. Two Outbreaks of
- 432 Campylobacteriosis Associated with the Consumption of Raw Cows' Milk. *Int. J. Food*
- 433 *Microbiol.* **2009**, 134 (1), 70–74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2008.12.026>.
- 434 (38) Guh, A.; Phan, Q.; Randall, N.; Purviance, K.; Milardo, E.; Kinney, S.; Mshar, P.; Kasacek,
- 435 W.; Cartter, M. Outbreak of Escherichia Coli O157 Associated with Raw Milk, Connecticut,
- 436 2008. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **2010**, 51 (12), 1411–1417. <https://doi.org/10.1086/657304>.
- 437 (39) Ursini, T.; Moro, L.; Requena-Méndez, A.; Bertoli, G.; Buonfrate, D. A Review of Outbreaks
- 438 of Cryptosporidiosis Due to Unpasteurized Milk. *Infection* **2020**, 48 (5), 659–663.
- 439 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s15010-020-01426-3>.
- 440 (40) Ly, H. Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza H5N1 Virus Infections of Dairy Cattle and
- 441 Livestock Handlers in the United States of America. *Virulence* **2024**, 15 (1), 2343931.
- 442 <https://doi.org/10.1080/21505594.2024.2343931>.
- 443 (41) Caserta, L. C.; Frye, E. A.; Butt, S. L.; Laverack, M.; Nooruzzaman, M.; Covalada, L. M.;
- 444 Thompson, A. C.; Koscielny, M. P.; Cronk, B.; Johnson, A.; Kleinhenz, K.; Edwards, E. E.;
- 445 Gomez, G.; Hitchener, G.; Martins, M.; Kapczynski, D. R.; Suarez, D. L.; Alexander Morris,

- 446 E. R.; Hensley, T.; Beeby, J. S.; Lejeune, M.; Swinford, A. K.; Elvinger, F.; Dimitrov, K. M.;
447 Diel, D. G. Spillover of Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza H5N1 Virus to Dairy Cattle.
448 *Nature* **2024**, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07849-4>.
- 449 (42) Eisfeld, A. J.; Biswas, A.; Guan, L.; Gu, C.; Maemura, T.; Trifkovic, S.; Wang, T.; Babujee,
450 L.; Dahn, R.; Halfmann, P. J.; Barnhardt, T.; Neumann, G.; Suzuki, Y.; Thompson, A.;
451 Swinford, A. K.; Dimitrov, K. M.; Poulsen, K.; Kawaoka, Y. Pathogenicity and
452 Transmissibility of Bovine H5N1 Influenza Virus. *Nature* **2024**, 633 (8029), 426–432.
453 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07766-6>.
- 454 (43) Burrough, E. R.; Magstadt, D. R.; Petersen, B.; Timmermans, S. J.; Gauger, P. C.; Zhang,
455 J.; Siepker, C.; Mainenti, M.; Li, G.; Thompson, A. C.; Gorden, P. J.; Plummer, P. J.; Main,
456 R. Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza A(H5N1) Clade 2.3.4.4b Virus Infection in Domestic
457 Dairy Cattle and Cats, United States, 2024 - Volume 30, Number 7—July 2024 - Emerging
458 Infectious Diseases Journal - CDC. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid3007.240508>.
- 459 (44) Li, Y.; Weng, P.; Wu, Z.; Liu, Y. Extending the Shelf Life of Raw Milk and Pasteurized Milk
460 with Plantaricin FB-2. *Foods* **2023**, 12 (3), 608. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12030608>.
- 461 (45) Atyeo, C.; Alter, G. The Multifaceted Roles of Breast Milk Antibodies. *Cell* **2021**, 184 (6),
462 1486–1499. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2021.02.031>.
- 463 (46) Zambrana, W.; Boehm, A. B. Occurrence of Human Viruses on Fomites in the
464 Environment: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *ACS Environ. Au* **2023**, 3 (5), 277.
465 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsenvironau.3c00025>.
- 466 (47) Buzby, J. C.; Hyman, J. Total and per Capita Value of Food Loss in the United States.
467 *Food Policy* **2012**, 37 (5), 561–570. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2012.06.002>.
- 468 (48) Giménez-Lirola, L. G.; Cauwels, B.; Mora-Díaz, J. C.; Magtoto, R.; Hernández, J.; Cordero-
469 Ortiz, M.; Nelli, R. K.; Gorden, P. J.; Magstadt, D. R.; Baum, D. H. Detection and
470 Monitoring of Highly Pathogenic Influenza A Virus 2.3.4.4b Outbreak in Dairy Cattle in the
471 United States. *Viruses* **2024**, 16 (9), 1376. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v16091376>.