

Gendered sport environments and their theoretical contributions to women's ACL injury risk: a scoping review

Joanne L Parsons¹, Olayinka Akinrolie¹, Hal Loewen², Stephanie E Coen³

1. College of Rehabilitation Sciences, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Canada
2. Neil John Maclean Health Sciences Library, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Canada
3. School of Geography, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

Abstract

Objective: To summarize the current literature that describes the gendered environments of women's sports which are higher risk for ACL injury, and determine whether the existing literature relates gendered aspects of the sport environment to injury.

Design: Scoping review.

Data sources: Electronic search of Medline, Embase, CINAHL, SPORTDiscus, SCOPUS, and Women's Studies International databases from inception to March 2024.

Eligibility criteria: Studies were included if at least 50% of the study participants were adult women participating in organized sports with higher risk for ACL injury.

Results: Of the initially identified 17,148 studies, 854 underwent full text review, and 73 were included in this scoping review. In 19 studies, reference to injury was restricted to one or two direct quotes from an athlete and the other 54 studies had no mention of injury. We identified three repeating patterns describing the gendered sport environments that women athletes encounter. Fifty-five studies described embedded stereotypes that devalue women and women's sport. Forty-five studies described ways the sport environment reproduces restrictive gender norms for women. Forty-six studies reported that gendered inequities including gendered wage inequality and provision of subpar training facilities were structurally embedded in women's sport environments.

Conclusion: Existing literature describes a range of gendered inequities that exist for women in their sport environments; however, there has been no concerted effort to date to link those gendered environmental factors to ACL injury. Such research is needed if we are serious about eliminating the ACL injury rate disparity between women and men.

Key Words: sports injuries; gender bias; environment; women

What is already known:

- Historically, gendered disparities in anterior cruciate ligament injury risk have focused on biological explanations
- Societal gendered norms and expectations of women can greatly affect their experiences and opportunities in sport environments

What are the new findings:

- Existing literature describes a range of gendered inequities that exist for women in their sport environments
- Only a small percentage (~25%) of studies describing the gendered aspects of women's sport environments mention any relation to injury
- There has been no concerted effort to link gendered aspects of sport environments to women's injury risk and experiences

INTRODUCTION

Sport participation offers numerous benefits, including improved physical and mental health, social connection, and a sense of community.¹ However, because sport opportunities were historically created for men, by men, gendered inequities are arguably an inevitable part of the sport system. This can adversely affect participation rates and the experiences that girls and women have in sport.² The negative consequences may even extend to physical injury risk, as girls and women experience anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injuries at significantly higher rates compared to boys and men.^{3,4} The consequences of these injuries can be severe. Sustaining an ACL injury can lead to early development of osteoarthritis and the need for joint replacement⁵ and has lifelong implications for health and physical activity engagement for the athlete.^{6,7} In high performance environments where training and competition are often structured around a rigid 4-year Olympic cycle, loss of player availability can compromise the sport organization's performance targets, and athletes can be at risk of losing critical funding.

Attempts to explain and remedy ACL injury rate disparities between women and men have met with limited success over the last 30 years.^{8,9} One significant factor in the lack of progress may be the overwhelming focus on biological explanations for injury risk (e.g., anatomy, menstrual cycle).¹⁰⁻¹³ Possible wider societal influences have rarely been considered, and so the majority of risk factors for injury are considered intrinsic to the athlete and their individual responsibility to address. However, wider societal gendered norms and expectations of girls and women have been shown to greatly affect their experiences, opportunities, and participation in sport environments.^{14,15} One clear example is the weight room environment – while resistance training is a key component for effective sports injury prevention and rehabilitation, many girls and women feel uncomfortable and even unwelcome in those spaces.¹⁶⁻¹⁹ Work in the public health arena suggests that injury prevention efforts are most effective when broader society and cultural norms are targeted, rather than placing the burden on individual

people to change their behaviour.^{20,21} To reconceptualize opportunities to intervene in sports injury from this widened lens, we previously developed a gendered environmental approach to illustrate how gender can operate as an extrinsic determinant of risk from the pre-sport, training, and competition environments through to injury and the treatment environment (Figure 1).²² To date, the field of sports medicine has not approached sports injury from this broader perspective, and we lack critical information regarding the gendered features of the sport environment that may be contributing to increased injury risk for women.

This scoping review primarily sought to answer the question: What literature currently exists that describes the gendered aspects of women's sports environments which are higher risk for ACL injury? We also sought to identify whether this existing literature relates gendered aspects of the sports environment specifically to injury; determine whether the described gendered sports environments align with the 4 environments depicted in our gendered environmental approach to sports injury; and summarize the gendered environments that exist for women in sport.

METHODS

Equity, diversity, and inclusion statement

Our research team is gender balanced, spans career stages from a recent PhD graduate to mid and late-career academics, and draws together expertise across social sciences, clinical health sciences, and library sciences. Our study set out to identify inequities for women in sport, and in the data collection phase we purposefully included a column in our extraction table to capture mention of any intersectionality (e.g., race/ethnicity, religion, sexuality) in the included papers.

Study design

We undertook a scoping review, as this type of review is especially useful in emerging research areas such as this in which a variety of methods are employed in the publications of interest.²³ As we were

designing the study, a preliminary search indicated that there were no similar existing reviews examining the relationship between the gendered aspects of women's sport environments and injury. We registered the scoping review on Open Science Framework (<https://osf.io/9b7kh>). The theoretical framework guiding the project is outlined below, followed by a description of the stages for our scoping review which closely follow previous authors' recommendations,²³⁻²⁶ and reflect the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) guidelines (Supplementary File 1).²⁷

Theoretical framework

The historical approach to the study and management of sports injury decontextualizes sporting bodies from the world around us, instead focusing heavily on biological explanations. To reconsider sports injury from a wider lens, we developed a gendered environmental approach that views sports injury as a *bio-social* phenomenon where sporting bodies are situated in social environments that may have 'gendering' effects, to the detriment of women and girls.²² We argue that the dominant sports injury paradigms used to date not only foreclose other possible explanations for gendered inequities in injury risk and outcomes, but can also propagate sexist beliefs that women's bodies are "unfit" for sport. Using the example of ACL injury, our model (Figure 1) illustrates how adding gender as a pervasive developmental environment²⁸ requires us to consider the many plausible ways in which wider societal forces may influence sports injury risk and outcomes, and forces us to think beyond defaulting to biological differences. Our approach²² proposes that sport consists of four potentially gendered environments – 1) Pre-sport, 2) Training, 3) Competition, and 4) Treatment. Bringing this perspective to the sports medicine realm means we require the physical sporting body to be viewed as situated in social and material environments that, overtly or not, shape injury risk, prevention, and rehabilitation in highly gendered ways. We used our gendered environmental approach to sports injury to guide the collating, summarizing, synthesis, and reporting stages of our scoping review.

Eligibility criteria

Inclusion and exclusion criteria were guided by the “Participants, Concept, Context” principles of scoping reviews²⁶ and finalized through multiple research team discussions as the screening process unfolded (Table 1). Quantitative, qualitative, and mixed method designs were considered. The first author determined those sports included in the Paris 2024²⁹ and Milan 2026³⁰ Olympic Games that are higher risk for ACL and therefore suitable for inclusion.^{4,31-44} The list of included Olympic program sports can be found in Appendix A.

Table 1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria	
Participants, Concept, Context	Exclusion criteria
Participants: adult women participating in organized Olympic program sports (i.e., any sport included in the Paris 2024 or Milan 2026 Olympics) of any level which are higher risk for ACL injury	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Abstracts, books, and book chapters • epidemiological and lab-based studies • motor sports, e-sports, and Fantasy sports • physical activity and leisure studies
Concept: gendered socio-cultural and material aspects of women’s organized Olympic program sport environments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • physical education setting • sport for development and/or peace • Covid-related studies • transgender athlete studies; gender/sex verification
Context: organized Olympic program sports of any level (recreational through to professional) which are higher risk for ACL injury	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • clinical studies assessing surgery outcomes or using clinical tests to assess injury risk • studies involving public or spectator opinion
Inclusion criteria	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • biographies of individual athletes
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • English language 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • studies on relative age effect/birthdate effect/constituent year effect
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • any geographical location 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • studies involving media, social media, marketing, or advertising and sport
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • any publication date 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • studies describing legislation and laws
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • at least 50% of study participants are women 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • studies involving the coach, administrator, sport leader, or athletic trainer as the target population
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • mean age of study participants is ≥ 18 years 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • quantitative studies of individual athlete-level cognitive constructs (e.g., attention, visual & auditory processing)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • peer-reviewed editorials 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • historical papers that describe sports environments from before the year 2000 (regardless of year of publication)

- | | |
|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none">• at least half of the study participants are involved in Olympic program sports at higher risk for ACL injury | |
|--|--|

Data sources

In consultation with the Health Sciences librarian (HL) and using key terms from our primary research question and sub-questions, we created a comprehensive initial search strategy to use across multiple electronic databases. The initial search strategy (Appendix B) was peer reviewed by an additional health sciences librarian not involved with the study to ensure subject headings, keywords, and the search syntax were correct. The search was run across the following databases - Medline, Embase, CINAHL, SPORTDiscus, SCOPUS, and Women's Studies International. The initial search was completed in December 2022 and identified approximately 10,000 potential studies. An updated search was completed in March 2024 to capture studies published after the initial search. Results were uploaded to Covidence (Veritas Health Innovation, Melbourne, Australia), a web-based software platform that facilitates the review process; duplicates were identified and removed. A total of 10,944 studies were identified from the database search that required screening (Figure 2).

Study selection

To complete the initial title and abstract screening, every reference was screened by two research team members chosen at random by Covidence. We undertook three pilot sessions where the team screened approximately 50 titles each before meeting as a group to discuss the process and resolve conflicts. The research question was refined at this point to focus on Olympic sports that were high risk to ACL injury, and we refined our exclusion criteria. Once we were satisfied with the level of agreement among reviewers, we completed the initial screening, which included both title and abstract review. If the inclusion and exclusion criteria were met, or if it was unclear to the reviewers as to the relevance of an article just by reading the abstract, the study was moved to full text review.²⁴ Initially, we met regularly

as a group to discuss challenges with deciding whether a source should be included or not.²³ We subsequently met in pairs to complete the resolving of conflicts to improve efficiency. As a significant portion of the conflicts involved studies that focused on the perspectives of sport coaches, administrators, or leadership, we decided to add this to the exclusion criteria. As we began the full text screen, we created a hierarchy of exclusion criteria to limit the number of conflicts in Covidence that appeared because the reviewers chose different reasons for exclusion. Each full text was read by two team members, and if a conflict occurred, the full text was read by at least one additional individual to make a final decision about its inclusion. The first author kept a research diary, minuted every team meeting, and archived email communication to document and describe the decisions we made along the way.²⁵

Data extraction

We collectively developed an extraction data form which captured pertinent information relevant to our research questions.²³ As with the search strategy and determining inclusion and exclusion criteria, this process was iterative in nature as we discovered additional information we wanted to capture. One team member (OA) read and charted all included articles, while two other team members (JLP and SEC) each read and charted about one half of the references. Any disagreements resulted in a consultation with the wider team to agree on relevant information to be extracted and make amendments to the extraction form as needed. Having one team member read and chart all references added consistency to the review.²⁵ Data extracted included the following categories:

- Citation details (authors, date, title)
- Country where research took place
- Study population characteristics (age, race/ethnicity, class) and sample size
- Type and level of sport

- Study aims
- Study design
- Mention of intersectionality
- Gendered environment (pre-sport, training, competition, and/or treatment)
- Gendered environmental features (socio-cultural and material)
- Mention of relationship between sports injury and environment
- Main findings

Data synthesis

To address the research questions, we descriptively summarized and presented the data in text and table format. To summarize the gendered environmental features in the included papers, the last author (SEC) qualitatively described the data using a thematic survey approach.^{45,46} We did not examine the included studies for quality or undertake a formal thematic analysis.

RESULTS

The electronic database search identified 17,148 studies for potential inclusion (Figure 2). We removed 6204 duplicates and excluded 10,090 studies after title/abstract screening. Of the 854 references that underwent full text screening, 73 were included in this scoping review (Table 2). Figure 2 shows the total number of studies at each stage of the process, and the reasons for the excluded studies.

Participant and study characteristics

The number of participants across all included studies was approximately 1600; studies that included a description of their sample size reported participant numbers ranging from 4 to 300 individuals. More than half of the studies ($n = 52$) were conducted in North America and Europe. Twenty-nine studies described aspects of the cultural or ethno-racial demographics of their sample. Seven studies described the sexual identities of their sample.⁴⁷⁻⁵³ The most commonly studied sport was football (soccer). The

level of play of the athletes in the included studies varied. Professional athletes were most often studied; recreational and club level athletes were least often the target population. More than half of the included studies discussed the intersection of gender with other identities (e.g., race, sexuality) in their results and/or discussion sections beyond simply including those identities as characteristics of the study sample. Most studies used qualitative methods, with only 5 of the 73 studies (7%) employing quantitative methods.⁵⁴⁻⁵⁸ Interviews were the most common qualitative method, but observation, focus groups, ethnography and arts-based methods were also reported. See Table 2 for a detailed description of the included studies.

Author(s)	Year	Country	Participant age	Sport	Level of sport	Sport environment (pre-sport, training, competition, treatment, or other)
Adjepong ⁵⁹	2017	USA	Median = 28 Range = 18-36	Rugby sevens	Competitive amateur or club	Competition
Ahmad ⁶⁰	2011	UK	Range = 18-26	Football	National team/play internationally	Training and competition
Aiello-Vaisberg, Kopanakis, & da Silva ⁶¹	2021	Brazil	Range = 20-35	Football	Professional	Training and competition
Allison ⁴⁷	2021	USA	Mean = 31 Range = 24-39	Football	Professional	Training and competition
Angelova-Igova & Naydenova ⁵⁵	2023	Bulgaria	Range = 18-39	Football	Professional	Training and competition
Berg, Duffy, & DuBois ⁶²	2022	USA	Not provided	Rugby sevens	University/college	Competition
Biram ⁶³	2021	Brazil	Not provided	Football	Professional	Training and competition
Bowes & Kitching ⁶⁴	2021	UK	Not provided	Golf	Professional	Competition
Chahardovali & McLeod ⁶⁵	2023	USA	Not provided	Softball and football	Professional	Other
Comley ⁶⁶	2016	USA	Range = 18-50	Surfing	Recreational	Training and competition
Cortes de Oliveira, Altmann, & Marques ⁶⁷	2019	Brazil	≥ 18 years	Rugby sevens	National team/play internationally	Pre-sport, training, and competition
Costa, Kochanek, & Erickson ⁶⁸	2023	Brazil	Mean = 26	Skateboarding	Recreational to professional	Training and competition

Couch et al. ⁶⁹	2023	USA	Mean = 48 Range = 35-56	Athletics	Olympic	Pre-sport, training, and competition
Cox & Thompson ⁷⁰	2000	New Zealand	Range = 18-33	Football	Professional	Pre-sport, training, and competition
Culvin ⁷¹	2021	England	Range = 20-34	Football	Professional	Pre-sport, training, and competition
Culvin & Bowes ⁷²	2021	England	Range = 20-34	Football	Professional	Other
de Moura et al. ⁷³	2023	Brazil	Not provided	Rugby sevens	National team/play internationally	Training and competition
Dogusan & Kocak ⁷⁴	2021	Turkey	Range = 18-31	Ice hockey	National team/play internationally	Training and competition
Dortants & Knoppers ⁷⁵	2016	Netherlands	Range = 18-30	Boxing	Competitive amateur or club	Training and competition
Ekgren et al. ⁷⁶	2020	Sweden	Mean = 31 Range = 28-34	Handball	Professional	Pre-sport, training, and competition
Engh, Settler, & Agergaard ⁷⁷	2017	Denmark	Not provided	Football	Professional	Training and competition
Ezell ⁷⁸	2009	USA	Not provided	Rugby sevens	University/college	Competition
Fisher & Dennehy ⁷⁹	2015	Brazil	Not provided	Football	Professional	Pre-sport, training, and competition
George ⁸⁰	2005	USA	Not provided	Football	University/college	Training and competition
Gill ⁸¹	2007	Britain	Not provided	Rugby sevens	Competitive amateur or club	Training and competition
Halbert ⁸²	1997	USA	Mean = 28 Range = 23-34	Boxing	Professional	Training and competition
Hanis-Martin ⁸³	2006	USA	Range = 21-32	Basketball	Professional	Training, competition, and treatment

Harkness ⁸⁴	2012	Iraq	Not provided	Football	University/college	Pre-sport and competition
Harris ⁸⁵	2001	England	Not provided	Football	University/college	Training and competition
Haselwood et al. ⁵⁷	2005	USA	Range = 18-23	Basketball, volleyball, softball, athletics, tennis, football	University/college	Training and competition
Horan et al ⁸⁶	2024	Ireland	Mean = 27	Football	Professional	Training and competition
Kavoura et al. ⁸⁷	2018	Finland	Median = 30 Range = 20-49	Judo	National team/play internationally	Training and competition
Khetan et al. ⁵⁸	2020	Nepal	Mean = 26 Range = 18-30	Basketball, badminton, volleyball, cricket, table tennis, football	Recreational to professional	Training and competition
Knez, Benn, & Alkhaldi ⁸⁸	2014	Qatar	Not provided	Football	Professional	Pre-sport, training, and competition
Knijnik ⁸⁹	2015	Brazil	Range = 16-27	Football	Competitive club to national team	Training and competition
Knijnik, Horton, & Cruz ⁹⁰	2010	Brazil	Range = 18-23	Surfing	Professional	Pre-sport, training, and competition
Kolnes ⁹¹	1995	Norway	Late teens to mid-thirties	Handball, football, athletics, cross-country skiing	National team/play internationally	Pre-sport, training, and competition
Kristiansen, Broch, & Pedersen ⁹²	2014	USA	Range = 18-37	Football	Professional	Pre-sport, training, and competition
Lafferty & McKay ⁹³	2004	Australia	Not provided	Boxing	Recreational and competitive club	Training
Lauer et al. ⁹⁴	2018	USA	Mean = 21	Basketball, cross country, softball, volleyball	University/college	Training and competition

Litchfield ⁴⁸	2011	Australia	Early 30s to early 50s	Field hockey	Competitive amateur or club	Training and competition
Litchfield & Dionigi ⁴⁹	2013	Australia	Range = 47-73	Field hockey	Competitive amateur or club	Competition
Mann & Krane ⁵⁰	2018	USA	Range = 19-23	Basketball, crew, field hockey, golf, softball, athletics	University/college	Competition
Martos-Gracia et al. ⁵¹	2023	Spain	Range = 25-30	Football, futsal	Professional	Pre-sport, training, and competition
McGannon et al. ⁵²	2019	Canada	Mean = 28 Range = 26-31	Boxing	National team/play internationally	Training and competition
McGannon & McMahon ⁹⁵	2022	North America	Mean = 41 Range = 39-42	Half-marathon, marathon, ultra-marathon	Competitive amateur or club	Training and competition
McGannon, McMahon, & Gonsalves ⁹⁶	2018	North America	Mean = 41 Range = 37-42	Triathlon, half marathon, full marathon	National team/play internationally	Training and competition
McGinnis & Gentry ⁹⁷	2006	USA	Range = 26-75	Golf	Recreational	Training and competition
McGinnis, Gentry, & McQuillan ⁹⁸	2009	USA	Range = 26-70	Golf	Recreational	Training and competition
McGinnis, McQuillan, & Chapple ⁹⁹	2005	USA	Range = 26-70	Golf	Recreational	Training and competition
Mean & Kassing ¹⁰⁰	2008	USA	Not provided	Football	Professional	Training and competition
Mirehie ¹⁰¹	2022	USA	Range = 27-61	Marathon, biathlon, triathlon	Recreational	Training and competition
Mitchell, Allen-Collinson, & Evans ¹⁰²	2016	England	Not provided	Golf	Recreational and competitive club	Training
Nuhrat ¹⁰³	2022	Turkey	Not provided	Football	Recreational and competitive club	Pre-sport, training, and competition

Oliveira Souza & Capraro ¹⁰⁴	2020	Brazil	Not provided	Football	National team/play internationally	Training and competition
Organista & Kossakowski ⁵³	2024	Poland	Range = 21-33	Football	Professional	Training and competition
Ozturk & Koca ¹⁰⁵	2021	Turkey	Range = 15-23	Football	Competitive amateur or club	Pre-sport, training, and competition
Pelak ¹⁰⁶	2002	USA	Not provided	Ice hockey	University/college	Training and competition
Rima, Weishaar, & McGladrey ¹⁰⁷	2019	USA	>18 years	Volleyball, basketball	University/college	Training and competition
Ruggiero & Lattin ¹⁰⁸	2008	USA	Mean = 23 Range = 18-24	Basketball	University/college	Training and competition
Russell & Lemon ¹⁰⁹	2012	Australia	Range = 18-26	Snowboarding	National team/play internationally	Training
Ryan ¹¹⁰	2006	New Zealand	Range = 39-55	Field hockey	Competitive amateur or club	Competition
Sanz-Marcos ¹¹¹	2021	Spain	Range = 27-41	Surfing	Recreational	Training
Schinke et al. ¹¹²	2019	Canada	Mean = 28	Boxing	National team/play internationally	Training
Scraton, Caudwell, & Holland ⁵⁶	2005	UK	Range = 20-35	Football	Competitive amateur or club	Pre-sport, training, and competition
Scraton et al. ¹¹³	1999	England, Germany, Norway, Spain	Not provided	Football	Professional	Pre-sport, training, and competition
Sisjord ¹¹⁴	2009	Norway	Range = 18-28	Snowboarding	Recreational to international/national level	Training and competition
Sisjord ¹¹⁵	2013	Norway	Range = 18-31	Snowboarding	Recreational to international/national level	Training and competition

Skogvang & Fasting ¹¹⁶	2013	Norway	Range = 19-35	Football	Competitive club to national team	Training and competition
Sohal, Gervis, & Rhind ¹¹⁷	2013	India	Mean = 29 Range = 18-21	Marathon, tennis, gymnastics, swimming, football, cricket, netball, hockey	National team/play internationally	Training and competition
Tamminen, Holt, & Neely ¹¹⁸	2013	Canada	Range = 18-23	Athletics, swimming, long-distance running, basketball	National team/play internationally	Training and competition
Tomlinson & Yorganci ⁵⁴	1997	Great Britain	Not provided	Athletics	Recreational to international/national level	Training and competition
Young ¹¹⁹	2004	Canada	Range = 18-29	Skateboarding, snowboarding	Recreational to professional	Training

Gendered sport environments

When considering the four sport environments we identified in our gendered environmental approach,²² most studies focused on the Training and Competition environments, very few touched on the Pre-sport environment, and only one included information relevant to the Treatment environment. We identified two studies that described environmental features such as labour policies that did not neatly fit into any of our four proposed sport environments; we categorized these as “other”.^{65,72}

Only 19 of the 73 included studies specifically mentioned a relationship between gendered sport environments and injury.^{54,55,59,62,63,71,72,83,85,86,93,100,101,109,114,115,117-119} Most of these 19 studies contained minimal discussion on the topic, and any mention of injury was restricted to one or two direct quotes from an athlete. Most commonly, the lack of adequate resources (first aid equipment, poor field conditions, lack of health insurance coverage) in relation to injury risk and experiences were described.

We identified three repeating patterns within the included studies when summarizing the gendered sport environments that women athletes encounter.

1) “Ladies tees” and “tarts”: Embedded stereotypes that devalue women and women’s sport

Fifty-five studies reported gender stereotypes embedded within women’s sport environments that worked to normalize women athletes as occupying a position secondary to men. Studies described how negative stereotypes that cast women as fragile, ‘dainty,’ unfit for sport, or unserious about sport permeated everyday sport experiences,^{54,67,73,84,85,87-89,91,92,97-100,109,111,112} including ingrained ideas about women as biologically inferior to men.^{47,52,54,59,63,64,86,87,93,100,102,103,119} Such stereotypical norms effectively peripheralize women within the sport setting, while benchmarking men as ‘true’ athletes.¹⁰⁰

Institutionalized practices normalized women’s secondary position, such as prioritizing men’s opportunities even when women’s teams achieved better results^{55,73,85,105,115} or not recognizing women’s

achievements.^{105,112} These disenfranchising stereotypes were entrenched in everyday language across sports, such as learning to surf by body-boarding (lying prone on a board) being called 'women's surfing'⁹⁰ or 'futebol femenino' (women's football) being used as a 'lesser than' term.⁸⁹ Outright sexism, discrimination, and harassment were commonly reported,^{48,52,54,58,66,68,72,82,83,89,91,93,97,101-103,106,108,119} such as women runners being catcalled.¹⁰¹ Two studies described gender stereotypes specifically related to coaches, where athletes believed a "protective father-figure would be best"¹⁰⁷ and male coaches were more direct in their communication style.⁵⁷ Other studies demonstrated how characteristics such as race and age intersect with these stereotypes in the sport environment to doubly disadvantage minoritized sportswomen, from exposure to everyday microaggressions to overt verbal abuse and discrimination.^{56,60,69,108,110}

Studies additionally reported how gender stereotypes (e.g., nurturing qualities) further condition women's participation on providing additional gendered labour, such as volunteer work or fan engagement whereas their male counterparts are not expected to do the same.^{47,63,92} Such "unwaged inspirational work" was tied to pressure on women to serve as role models,⁶⁵ and relates to another norm that women athletes should be grateful for their opportunities despite the myriad disadvantages and barriers they face compared with men.^{47,65,72,105} The sport environment taxes women athletes with expending affective labour, where their time could be better spent training or recovering.^{47,65}

2) "Play like a man and perform like a lady off the pitch:" Restricting or redefining femininities?

Forty-five studies described ways that the sport environment both reproduces restrictive behavioural and bodily gender norms for women, while in other ways acts as a counter-hegemonic space for more flexible femininities. One of the features of this was compulsory heterosexuality, and in particular ensuring the *appearance* of heterosexuality.^{53,59,70,73,78,80-82,92,93,98,113,116} This dimension of the gendered sport environment can impose another form of invisible labour where women feel compelled to manage

how their gender and sexuality are ‘read’, both on and off the field of play.^{59,71} Such norms could hold women back. For example, in golf, McGinnis et al. (2009) found that being ‘too good’ was seen to compromise femininity, and so some women were hesitant to be too competitive. Other studies described how the sport environment created what could be described as ‘contingent’ femininities, meaning women athletes could ‘acceptably’ exhibit traditionally masculine traits if counter-balanced with traditional feminine qualities.^{62,91,92,103,105} Studies also reported instances where sport environments rewarded the adoption of conventionally masculine traits by women, including examples from boxing,⁷⁵ handball,⁷⁶ rugby,⁷⁸ and surfing.¹¹¹ Still, such traits could be contentious off-the-field with Gill (2007), for example, reporting disapproval of women rugby players being loud, boisterous, and conspicuously consuming alcohol. There were racialized dimensions to ‘acceptable’ sport femininities, with studies reporting how Black women athletes could be expected to embody stereotypes about ‘natural’ strength⁷⁷ or speed.⁵⁶ Still, in some cases, sport environments created space for flexible femininities and were more open to women participating on their own gendered terms.^{50-52,101,119} In an example from the Canadian women’s boxing team, McGannon et al. (2019) reported how valuing aggression and skill destabilized normative femininity.

Other studies reported how sport environments regulate women’s bodily presentation through clothing and physique norms. The literature described how physique operated as a representation of a ‘real’ athlete,^{75,79} and for women this often entailed maintaining a heterosexualised feminine aesthetic.^{52,80,90} Some women exaggerated their physical feminine presentation to avoid any ‘gender trouble’⁸⁹ or engaged in body manipulation – through dieting, or excessive exercise – to conform to an idealized female athlete body.^{89,94,103,105} Clothing was a way to manage feminine bodily representations. Several studies reported how clothing was both a way to demonstrate traditional femininity (e.g., “wear skirts in competition so you could ‘tell they were women’”)^{75,82} as well as to ‘cover’ femininity to avoid sexualised attention.^{68,90,105} Negotiating different feminine presentations and styles could be a means of

acceptance (or exclusion) in sport.¹¹⁴ In boxing, Lafferty and McKay (2004) reported how women who wore revealing clothes were not taken seriously and sexualized by male boxers, while at the same time men were free to take their shirt off to box without social sanction. Clothing and uniforms could also function as exclusionary devices by being incompatible with certain cultural or religious codes for some women.^{60,88}

While the majority of gendered environments described in the included studies resulted in women facing barriers or challenges and being disadvantaged, there were exceptions where positive outcomes were noted. A gendered environment can result in an inclusive and supportive team setting where athletes feel accepted for who they are and do not need to expend energy to present themselves in a 'socially acceptable' way.^{50,51}

3) "Training on the men's pitch was seen as a 'treat.'" Gendered inequities in opportunities and resources

Forty-six studies reported ways that gendered inequities were structurally embedded in the material conditions of women's sport environments. Core among these were systemically poor labour conditions, including inadequate remuneration and gendered wage inequality,^{47,55,61,73,76,92,104} which can result in athletes having to work secondary jobs outside of sport.^{55,86,104} Horan et al. (2024) describe how this dual employment can impede athlete technical and tactical development by taking time and energy away from sport. This can be compounded by the additional burden of some athletes having to manage their own training and travel.¹¹⁷ Women also described being eligible for less prize money than men,^{68,90} and in some cases personally covering some of their own expenses.^{55,117} Studies also reported precarious labour conditions and contracts,⁴⁷ including termination if pregnant⁵⁵ or inadequate maternity protection,^{72,76} and insufficient benefits packages.^{47,63} Related to the devaluing of women's time in

Pattern 1, women athletes could also be subject to ‘extracurricular’ labour, additional role expectations, and obligations that were neither outlined in their contracts nor paid.⁶⁵

Studies across a range of different sports reported subpar training facilities, with women relegated to second-rate facilities or having limited access to facilities.^{47,56,63,71,74,79,81,82,85,86,93,103-105} Culvin (2021) reported in football how ‘training on the men’s pitch was regarded as a “treat”’, while Biram et al. (2021) observed how women’s relegation to a secondary pitch afforded a surface upon which technical training was not possible.⁶³ These inequities extended to inadequate access to specialist support staff, such as sport psychologists, high-quality medical care, and strength and conditioning professionals.^{69,86,117} There were even examples of only the men’s teams being allocated first aid kits⁸⁵ or getting preferential accommodation over the women’s teams.¹¹⁷ Inequities were further embossed in the mundane aspects of sport participation, including inadequate toilet provision^{99,105} and small dressing rooms or no dressing rooms at all.^{104,105}

DISCUSSION

We set out to identify the extent of the current literature that describes the gendered aspects of women’s organized sports environments which are higher risk for ACL injury. To our knowledge, this is the first time describing the breadth of the literature available in this area, and the first attempt to focus on potential links between injuries and the gendered socio-cultural and material aspects of women’s sport environments. Our results uncovered 73 studies, with only about a quarter of those containing any explicit mention of injury in relation to the gendered sport environment. In-depth discussion of injury risk, experiences, and outcomes emanating from women’s gendered sport environments was largely absent and not the primary focus of any of the included studies. The gendered environments in the included studies mapped well onto our existing model,²² with the exception of two studies which looked at issues that transcend a single environment (e.g., labour contracts).^{65,72}

While very few studies mentioned injury in relation to the gendered environments found in women's sport, the extensive description of those environments and how they act as barriers and disadvantage women appears to support our contention that sports injury should be considered a *bio-social* phenomenon, with both biological and social influences. Below, we outline some possible theoretical connections between the women's sport environments described in the included articles and their injury risk and experiences as examples. First, women being thought of as fragile and dainty could plausibly impact injury risk if this results in coaches, parents, or athletes themselves thinking they need to hold back during training. This could mean missing out on the opportunity to train at the appropriate intensities needed to adequately prepare them for the physical demands of their sport. Second, many of the gendered aspects of women's sport environments described in this scoping review could plausibly lead to decreased time and physical and cognitive energy availability for adequate training and recovery. This could lead to increased injury risk and/or delay in recovery from an injury. For examples, we highlight the additional gendered labour often expected of women athletes but not men; the invisible labour of women needing to keep up 'acceptable' feminine appearances by dressing and behaving in certain ways; and the need for women to take on secondary paying jobs because of inadequate sport income. Third, the existence of outright discrimination and harassment could lead to women avoiding certain locations or not training at certain times of day,¹²⁰ potentially missing out on critical training opportunities to improve fitness and physical skills and decrease injury risk. Perhaps the most obvious link to injury is the gendered inequities in opportunities and resources such as provision of less-than-ideal training facilities, inadequate professional and medical support, and inequitable travel conditions and accommodations. Poor field conditions (e.g., uneven surfaces) may contribute to injury,¹²¹ and inadequate care immediately following an injury or in rehabilitation has the potential to delay return to sport and affect future player availability.¹²² Long travel times and poor accommodations result in physical and mental fatigue for players which can translate into increased injury risk during play.¹²³

Some organizations are recognizing these gendered inequities and are taking action to improve the conditions in women's sport. For example, FIFPRO recently released a comprehensive guide for players and staff to manage athlete pregnancy and return to sport;¹²⁴ the Danish men's football team refused a pay raise to ensure the women's team was paid equitably;¹²⁵ and we recently developed an evidence-based online exhibition that is being used in high-performance sport to increase awareness of gendered environments and empower sport staff to take action.¹²⁶ This scoping review confirmed the existence of gendered aspects of women's sport across diverse settings from football to surfing to field hockey. It is clear that gendered conditions exist for women which can get in the way of them maximizing their potential; the imperative next steps are to go beyond describing the environment to more deeply exploring the relationship with injury, and subsequently taking required action to improve sport conditions for women.

Limitations, strengths, and future research

As we needed to balance the necessity to conduct a thorough search with feasibility and the resources of our team,²³⁻²⁵ we did not review the reference lists of relevant literature we found or conduct a focused grey literature search. We did not critically appraise the final articles for quality or risk of bias, as our primary purpose was to gain general knowledge of the scope of the literature in this topic area. Therefore, our reporting of how the 73 studies fall into the three patterns we identified should be considered with that in mind.¹²⁷ On the other hand, the multidisciplinary social science and clinical nature of our research team adds rigour to our study by providing varied expertise that ensured comprehensive mapping and reporting of the findings.¹²⁸

We excluded many studies that focused on the gendered environments experienced by coaches, administrators, and medical staff. There would be value in summarizing the evidence outlining their experiences, and how it could relate to the injury risk and experiences of athletes. We also focused on

adult women, but since many injuries, including ACL rupture, occur at a younger age there is a need to scrutinize the gendered environments encountered by youth athletes.

CONCLUSION

This scoping review provides a snapshot of the currently available literature describing gendered aspects of women's sport environments that are higher risk for ACL injury. Existing literature describes a range of gendered inequities that exist for women in their sport environments; however, there has been no concerted effort to date to link those gendered environmental factors to ACL injury. Such research is needed if we are serious about eliminating the ACL injury rate disparity between women and men.

Acknowledgements: Thank you to Sheree Bekker for her contributions to the project.

Funding: This work was supported by the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council through the UM/SSHRC Explore Grants Program.

Conflicts of interest: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Appendices

Appendix A: Included Sports

<u>Summer</u>	<u>Winter</u>
Athletics/track and field	Biathlon
Badminton	Ice hockey
Basketball	Skating
Boxing	Skiing
Breaking (Breakdancing)	Ski jumping
Fencing	Ski mountaineering
Football	Snowboarding
Golf	
Gymnastics	
Handball	
Hockey	
Judo	
Modern pentathlon	
Rugby sevens	
Skateboarding	
Surfing	
Table tennis	
Taekwondo	
Tennis	
Volleyball (beach and indoor)	
Weightlifting	
Wrestling	

Appendix B: Search strategy

- 1 women/
- 2 female/
- 3 wom?n*.tw,kf.
- 4 female*.tw,kf.
- 5 girl*.tw,kf.
- 6 femme*.tw,kf.
- 7 feminin*.tw,kf.
- 8 or/1-7 [female search]
- 9 exp sports/
- 10 exp organizations/
- 11 9 and 10
- 12 ((organiz* or federation or committee or (governing adj1 body) or professional or recreation* or competitive or club* or team*) and (sport* or athletic*)).tw,kf.
- 13 ((organiz* or federation or committee or (governing adj1 body) or professional or recreation* or competitive or club* or team*) and basketball).tw,kf.
- 14 ((organiz* or federation or committee or (governing adj1 body) or professional or recreation* or competitive or club* or team*) and (football or soccer)).tw,kf.
- 15 ((organiz* or federation or committee or (governing adj1 body) or professional or recreation* or competitive or club* or team*) and gymnastics).tw,kf.
- 16 ((organiz* or federation or committee or (governing adj1 body) or professional or recreation* or competitive or club* or team*) and hockey).tw,kf.
- 17 ((organiz* or federation or committee or (governing adj1 body) or professional or recreation* or competitive or club* or team*) and (handball or lacrosse or rugby)).tw,kf.
- 18 ((organiz* or federation or committee or (governing adj1 body) or professional or recreation* or competitive or club* or team*) and wrestling).tw,kf.
- 19 ((organiz* or federation or committee or (governing adj1 body) or professional or recreation* or competitive or club* or team*) and (ski or skiing)).tw,kf.
- 20 or/11-18 [sport organizations]
- 21 gender identity/ or gender role/
- 22 (gender* or sex*).tw,kf.
- 23 or/21-22 [gender]
- 24 8 and 20 and 23 [female, gender, sports]
- 25 limit 24 to english language

REFERENCES

1. Canadian Women & Sport. *The rally report - A call for better, safer sport for girls*. 2022.
2. The 2018 Tucker Center Research Report. *Developing physically active girls: an evidence-based multidisciplinary approach*. Minneapolis; 2018.
3. Lindenfeld TN, Schmitt DJ, Hendy MP, Mangine RE, Noyes FR. Incidence of injury in indoor soccer. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*. 1994;22(3):364-371.
4. Montalvo AM, Schneider DK, Yut L, et al. "What's my risk of sustaining an ACL injury while playing sports?" A systematic review with meta-analysis. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2018.
5. Suter LG, Smith SR, Katz JN, et al. Projecting lifetime risk of symptomatic knee osteoarthritis and total knee replacement in individuals sustaining a complete anterior cruciate ligament tear in early adulthood. *Arthritis Care & Research*. 2016;69(2):201-208.
6. Dunlop DD, Song J, Semanik PA, et al. Objective physical activity measurement in the osteoarthritis initiative: Are guidelines being met? *Arthritis & Rheumatism*. 2011;63(11):3372-3382.
7. Gföller P, Abermann E, Runer A, et al. Non-operative treatment of ACL injury is associated with opposing subjective and objective outcomes over 20 years of follow-up. *Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy*. 2019;27:2665-2671.
8. Cheng J, Ammerman B, Santiago K, et al. Sex-based differences in the incidence of sports-related concussion: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Health*. 2019;11(6):486-491.

9. Sanders TL, Maradit Kremers H, Bryan AJ, et al. Incidence of anterior cruciate ligament tears and reconstruction: A 21-year population-based study. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2016;44(6):1502-1507.
10. Shultz SJ, Schmitz RJ, Cameron KL, et al. Anterior Cruciate Ligament Research Retreat VIII Summary Statement: An update on injury risk identification and prevention across the anterior cruciate ligament injury continuum, March 14–16, 2019, Greensboro, NC. *Journal of Athletic Training*. 2019;54(9):970-984.
11. Price MJ, Tuca M, Cordasco FA, Green DW. Nonmodifiable risk factors for anterior cruciate ligament injury. *Current Opinion in Pediatrics*. 2017;29(1):55-64. doi: 10.1097/MOP.0000000000000444.
12. Lin C, Casey E, Herman D, Katz N, Tenforde A. Sex differences in common sports injuries. *Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation*. 2018.
13. Covassin T, Elbin R. The female athlete: The role of gender in the assessment and management of sport-related concussion. *Clinics in Sports Medicine*. 2011;30(1):125-131.
14. Roth RI, Knapp BA. Gender negotiations of female collegiate athletes in the strength and conditioning environment. *Women in Sport and Physical Activity Journal*. 2017;25(1):50-59.
15. Beckner BN, Record RA. Navigating the thin-ideal in an athletic world: Influence of coach communication on female athletes' body image and health choices. *Health Communication*. 2016;31(3):364-373.

16. Coen SE, Davidson J, Rosenberg MW. Towards a critical geography of physical activity: Emotions and the gendered boundary-making of an everyday exercise environment. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*. 2020;45(2):313-330.
17. Coen SE, Rosenberg MW, Davidson J. "It's gym, like g-y-m not J-i-m": Exploring the role of place in the gendering of physical activity. *Social Science & Medicine*. 2018;196:29-36.
18. Coen SE, Davidson J, Rosenberg MW. 'Where is the space for continuum?' Gyms and the visceral "stickiness" of binary gender. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*. 2020:1-17.
19. Parsons JR, Jacque. Understanding the experiences of girls using a high school weight room. *Physical and Health Education Journal*. 2020;86(2).
20. Lund J, Aarø LE. Accident prevention. Presentation of a model placing emphasis on human, structural and cultural factors. *Safety Science*. 2004;42(4):271-324.
21. Glass TA, McAtee MJ. Behavioral science at the crossroads in public health: Extending horizons, envisioning the future. *Social Science & Medicine*. 2006;62(7):1650-1671.
22. Parsons JL, Coen SE, Bekker S. Anterior cruciate ligament injury: Towards a gendered environmental approach. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2021;55(17):984-990.
23. Levac D, Colquhoun H, O'Brien KK. Scoping studies: Advancing the methodology. *Implementation Science*. 2010;5:1-9.
24. Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: Towards a methodological framework. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*. 2005;8(1):19-32.

25. Daudt HM, Van Mossel C, Scott SJ. Enhancing the scoping study methodology: A large, inter-professional team's experience with Arksey and O'Malley's framework. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*. 2013;13:1-9.
26. Peters MD, Marnie C, Tricco AC, et al. Updated methodological guidance for the conduct of scoping reviews. *JBI Evidence Synthesis*. 2020;18(10):2119-2126.
27. Garritty C, Moher D, Aldcroft A, et al. PRISMA extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and explanation. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 2018;169(7):467.
28. Cheslack-Postava K, Jordan-Young RM. Autism spectrum disorders: Toward a gendered embodiment model. *Social Science & Medicine*. 2012;74(11):1667-1674.
29. International Olympic Committee. Paris 2024 Olympic Competition Schedule by session. <https://press.paris2024.org/assets/paris-2024-olympic-sports-competition-schedule-pdf-f49c-7578a.html>.
30. International Olympic Committee. Official Programme of the Olympic Winter Games Milano Cortina 2026. <https://stillmed.olympics.com/media/Documents/Olympic-Games/Milano-Cortina-2026/Milano-Cortina-2026-Event-Programme.pdf>.
31. Agel J, Rockwood T, Klossner D. Collegiate ACL injury rates across 15 sports: National Collegiate Athletic Association injury surveillance system data update (2004-2005 Through 2012-2013). *Clinical Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2016;26(6):518-523. doi: 510.1097/JSM.0000000000000290.
32. Bram JT, Magee LC, Mehta NN, Patel NM, Ganley TJ. Anterior cruciate ligament injury incidence in adolescent athletes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2021;49(7):1962-1972.

33. Prodromos CC, Han Y, Rogowski J, Joyce B, Shi K. A meta-analysis of the incidence of anterior cruciate ligament tears as a function of gender, sport, and a knee injury-reduction regimen. *Arthroscopy*. 2007;23(12):1320-1325.e1326.
34. Jarrett GJ, Orwin JF, Dick RW. Injuries in collegiate wrestling. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*. 1998;26(5):674-680.
35. Fountain JL, Meyers MC. Skateboarding injuries. *Sports Medicine*. 1996;22:360-366.
36. Harmer PA. Getting to the point: Injury patterns and medical care in competitive fencing. *Current Sports Medicine Reports*. 2008;7(5):303-307.
37. Hohn E, Robinson S, Merriman J, Parrish R, Kramer W. Orthopedic injuries in professional surfers: A retrospective study at a single orthopedic center. *Clinical Journal of Sport Medicine*. 2020;30(4):378-382.
38. Kauther MD, Wedemeyer C, Wegner A, Kauther KM, von Knoch M. Breakdance injuries and overuse syndromes in amateurs and professionals. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2009;37(4):797-802.
39. Lim B-O, Kim J, Kim S-H, Cho J-H, Lim S, Lim S-T. The effects of taekwondo shoes on anterior cruciate ligament injury risk factors during jump whip kicks. *Science & Sports*. 2022;37(1):51-57.
40. Lipetz J, Kruse RJ. Injuries and special concerns of female figure skaters. *Clinics in Sports Medicine*. 2000;19(2):369-380.
41. Moon Y. Evaluation of biomechanical movements and injury risk factors in weight lifting (snatch). *Korean Journal of Sport Biomechanics*. 2016;26(4):369-375.

42. Morris PJ, Hoffman DF. Injuries in cross-country skiing: Trail markers for diagnosis and treatment. *Postgraduate Medicine*. 1999;105(1):89-101.
43. Phomsoupha M, Laffaye G. Injuries in badminton: A review. *Science & Sports*. 2020;35(4):189-199.
44. Takahashi S, Okuwaki T. Epidemiological survey of anterior cruciate ligament injury in Japanese junior high school and high school athletes: Cross-sectional study. *Research in Sports Medicine*. 2017;25(3):266-276.
45. Sandelowski M. Whatever happened to qualitative description? *Research in Nursing & Health*. 2000;23(4):334-340.
46. Sandelowski M, Barroso J. *Handbook for synthesizing qualitative research*. Springer; 2006.
47. Allison R. Privileging difference: Negotiating gender essentialism in U.S. women's professional soccer. *Sociology of Sport Journal*. 2021;38(2):158-166.
48. Litchfield C. Finding safe and affirming spaces in women's field hockey. *International Journal of Sport & Society*. 2011;2(3):35-46.
49. Litchfield C, Dionigi RA. Rituals in Australian women's veteran's field hockey. *International Journal of Sport & Society*. 2013;3(3):171-189.
50. Mann M, Krane V. Inclusion and normalization of queer identities in women's college sport. *Women in Sport & Physical Activity Journal*. 2018;26(2):76-88.
51. Martos-Garcia D, Garcia-Puchades W, Soler S, Vilanova A. From the via Crucis to paradise. The experiences of women football players in Spain surrounding gender and homosexuality. *International Review for the Sociology of Sport*. 2023;58(7):1075-1091.

52. McGannon KR, Schinke RJ, Ge Y, Blodgett AT. Negotiating gender and sexuality: A qualitative study of elite women boxer intersecting identities and sport psychology implications. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*. 2019;31(2):168-186.
53. Organista N, Kossakowski R. Enclaved non-heteronormativity and pragmatic acceptance. The experiences of Polish female football players. *International Review for the Sociology of Sport*. 2024;59(1):139-162.
54. Tomlinson A, Yorganci I. Male coach/female athlete relations: Gender and power relations in competitive sport. *Journal of Sport & Social Issues*. 1997;21(2):134-155.
55. Angelova-Igova B, Naydenova K. Contemporary challenges for women in football. The Bulgarian case. *Studia Ethnologica Croatica*. 2023;35(1):79-93.
56. Scraton S, Caudwell J, Holland S. 'BEND IT LIKE PATEL'. Centring 'race', ethnicity and gender in feminist analysis of women's football in England. *International Review for the Sociology of Sport*. 2005;40(1):71-88.
57. Haselwood DM, Joyner AB, Burke KL, et al. Female athletes' perceptions of head coaches' communication competence. *Journal of Sport Behavior*. 2005;28(3):216-230.
58. Khetan V, Nimkar N, Karn S, Saharan M. Study of sports and gender stratification. *Annals of Tropical Medicine and Public Health*. 2020;23(17):SP231753.
59. Adjepong A. 'We're, like, a cute rugby team': How whiteness and heterosexuality shape women's sense of belonging in rugby. *International Review for the Sociology of Sport*. 2017;52(2):209-222.
60. Ahmad A. British football: Where are the Muslim female footballers? Exploring the connections between gender, ethnicity and Islam. *Soccer & Society*. 2011;12(3):443-456.

61. Aiello-Vaisberg TMJ, Kopanakis AR, da Silva GRDA. Offsides in a soccer country. *Revista Estudos Feministas*. 2021;29(3).
62. Berg A, Duffy D, DuBois S. Elegant violence: The promise and peril of a new 'feminine' sport ethic. *Sport in Society*. 2022.
63. Biram MD. Mermaids in the land of the king: An ethnography of Santos FC women. *Movimento*. 2021;27:1-14.
64. Bowes A, Kitching N. 'Wow these girls can play': Sex integration in professional golf. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*. 2021;13(2):217-234.
65. Chahardovali T, McLeod CM. Manufacturing dreams and investing in future generations: Women athletes' inspirational labor in the marketing and promotion of their sport. *Sociology of Sport Journal*. 2023;40(3):302-311.
66. Comley C. "We have to establish our territory": How women surfers 'carve out' gendered spaces within surfing. *Sport in Society*. 2016;19(8/9):1289-1298.
67. Cortes De Oliveira FV, Altmann H, Rodrigues Marques RF. The women inclusion on rugby: Perceptions of Brazilian national team players. *Motriz Revista de Educacao Fisica*. 2019;25(3).
68. Costa GH, Kochanek J, Erickson K. "What does skateboarding mean to you?" An exploratory study of Brazilian skateboarders' developmental experiences. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*. 2023;15(4):566-583.
69. Couch SR, Fisher LA, McHenry LK, Moore MJ. Coming to voice: Intersections of identity for Olympic female African American sprinters. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*. 2023;35(1):63-82.

70. Cox B, Thompson S. Multiple bodies: Sportswomen, soccer and sexuality. *International Review for the Sociology of Sport*. 2000;35(1):5-20.
71. Culvin A. Football as work: The lived realities of professional women footballers in England. *Managing Sport and Leisure*. 2023;28(6):684-97.
72. Culvin A, Bowes A. The incompatibility of motherhood and professional women's football in England. *Frontiers in Sports and Active Living*. 2021;3:730151.
73. de Moura GX, Pike E, Malagutti JPM, Starepravo FA. 'Male, violent and dangerous': The gender prejudices in rugby from the perception of current and former athletes of the Brazil women's rugby 7's national team. *International Review for the Sociology of Sport*. 2024;59(3):361-80.
74. Doğusan SN, Koçak F. Standing on the ice: Experiences of women national ice hockey players in Turkey. *Physical Culture & Sport Studies & Research*. 2021;89(1):45-54.
75. Dortants M, Knoppers A. The organization of diversity in a boxing club: Governmentality and entangled rationalities. *Culture and Organization*. 2016;22(3):245-261.
76. Ekengren J, Stambulova NB, Johnson U, Carlsson IM, Ryba TV. Composite vignettes of Swedish male and female professional handball players' career paths. *Sport in Society*. 2020;23(4):595-612.
77. Engh MH, Settler F, Agergaard S. 'The ball and the rhythm in her blood': Racialised imaginaries and football migration from Nigeria to Scandinavia. *Ethnicities*. 2017;17(1):66-84.
78. Ezzell MB. "Barbie Dolls" on the pitch: Identity work, defensive othering, and inequality in women's rugby. *Social Problems*. 2009;56(1):111-131.

79. Fisher CD, Dennehy J. Body projects: Making, remaking, and inhabiting the woman's futebol body in Brazil. *Sport in Society*. 2015;18(8):995-1008.
80. George M. Making sense of muscle: The body experiences of collegiate women athletes. *Sociological Inquiry*. 2005;75(3):317-345.
81. Gill F. Negotiating 'normal': The management of feminine identities in rural Britain. *Sociological Research Online*. 2007;12(1):169-180.
82. Halbert C. Tough enough and woman enough: Stereotypes, discrimination, and impression management among women professional boxers. *Journal of Sport & Social Issues*. 1997;21(1):7-36.
83. Hanis-Martin JL. Embodying contradictions: The case of professional women's basketball. *Journal of Sport & Social Issues*. 2006;30(3):265-288.
84. Harkness G. Spring forward: Female Muslim soccer players in Iraqi Kurdistan. *Soccer & Society*. 2012;13(5/6):720-738.
85. Harris J. Playing the man's game: Sites of resistance and incorporation in women's football. *World Leisure Journal*. 2001;43(4):22-29.
86. Horan D, Delahunt E, Roe M, Hagglund M, Blake C, Kelly S. 'More than likely the men come first. That's just very frustrating'. A qualitative exploration of contextual factors affecting the implementation of injury prevention initiatives and the provision of effective injury management in elite-level women's club. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2024;58(2):89-96.

87. Kavoura A, Kokkonen M, Chroni SA, Ryba TV. "Some women are born fighters": Discursive constructions of a fighter's identity by female Finnish judo athletes. *Sex Roles*. 2018;79(3-4):239-252.
88. Knez K, Benn T, Alkhaldi S. World Cup football as a catalyst for change: Exploring the lives of women in Qatar's first national football team – A case study. *International Journal of the History of Sport*. 2014;31(14):1755-1773.
89. Knijnik J. Femininities and masculinities in Brazilian women's football: Resistance and compliance. *Journal of International Women's Studies*. 2015;16(3):54-70.
90. Knijnik JD, Horton P, Cruz LO. Rhizomatic bodies, gendered waves: Transitional femininities in Brazilian Surf. *Sport in Society*. 2010;13(7/8):1170-1185.
91. Kolnes LJ. Heterosexuality as an organizing principle in women's sports. *International Review for the Sociology of Sport*. 1995;30(1):61-79.
92. Kristiansen E, Broch TB, Pedersen PM. Negotiating gender in professional soccer: An analysis of female footballers in the United States. *Choregia*. 2014;10(1):5-27.
93. Lafferty Y, McKay J. "Suffragettes in satin shorts"? Gender and competitive boxing. *Qualitative Sociology*. 2004;27(3):249-276.
94. Lauer EE, Zakrajsek RA, Fisher LA, et al. NCAA DII female student-athletes' perceptions of their sport uniforms and body image. *Journal of Sport Behavior*. 2018;41(1):40-63.
95. McGannon KR, McMahon J. (Re)Storying embodied running and motherhood: A creative non-fiction approach. *Sport, Education & Society*. 2022;27(8):960-972.


96. McGannon KR, McMahon J, Gonsalves CA. Juggling motherhood and sport: A qualitative study of the negotiation of competitive recreational athlete mother identities. *Psychology of Sport & Exercise*. 2018;36:41-49.
97. McGinnis LP, Gentry JW. Getting past the red tees: Constraints women face in golf and strategies to help them stay. *Journal of Sport Management*. 2006;20(2):218-247.
98. McGinnis LP, Gentry JW, McQuillan J. Ritual-based behavior that reinforces hegemonic masculinity in golf: Variations in women golfers' responses. *Leisure Sciences*. 2009;31(1):19-36.
99. McGinnis L, McQuillan J, Chapple CL. I just want to play: Women, sexism, and persistence in golf. *Journal of Sport & Social Issues*. 2005;29(3):313-337.
100. Meân LJ, Kassing JW. "I would just like to be known as an athlete": Managing hegemony, femininity, and heterosexuality in female sport. *Western Journal of Communication*. 2008;72(2):126-144.
101. Mirehie M. Women's experiences of participation in mass participation sport events. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 2022;13:1027285.
102. Mitchell S, Allen-Collinson J, Evans A. 'Ladies present!': An auto/ethnographic study of women amateur golfers at an English provincial golf club. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*. 2016;8(3):273-286.
103. Nuhtrat Y. 'Girls on the field' in Turkey: Negotiating gender anxieties and norms through football. *Sport in Society*. 2022;25(10):2024-2040.
104. Oliveira Souza MT, Capraro AM. Women's football in Brazil: Memories of the national team's athletes. *International Journal of the History of Sport*. 2020;37(5/6):378-395.

105. Öztürk P, Koca C. "The club management ignores us": Gender-power relations in women's football in Turkey. *Sociology of Sport Journal*. 2021;38(3):285-292.
106. Pelak CF. Women's collective identity formation in sports: A case study from women's ice hockey. *Gender & Society*. 2002;16(1):93-114.
107. Rima M, Weishaar R, McGladrey B. An exploration of female athletes' experiences and perceptions of male and female coaches: Ten years later. *Sport Journal*. 2019;22(1).
108. Ruggiero TE, Lattin KS. Intercollegiate female coaches' use of verbally aggressive communication toward African American female athletes. *Howard Journal of Communications*. 2008;19(2):105-124.
109. Russell K, Lemon J. Doing jibber': Female snowboarders negotiate their place in the snow. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Health, Sport and Physical Education*. 2012;3(3):239-252.
110. Ryan I. Voices from the margins: 'Older' sportswomen speak out. *Women in Sport & Physical Activity Journal*. 2006;15(2):3-13.
111. Sanz-Marcos P. Can women escape the male influence when surfing? An ethnographic study of female surfers in Southern Spain. *Sport in Society*. 2021;26(4):605-17.
112. Schinke RJ, Ge Y, Petersen B, Blodgett AT, Dupuis-Latour J, Coholic D. Building a national team context based upon the identity challenges and intervention strategies of elite female boxers in their home training environments. *Journal of Sport Psychology in Action*. 2019;10(2):94-105.
113. Scraton S, Fasting K, Pfister G, Bunnell A. It's still a man's game? The experiences of top-level European women footballers. *International Review for the Sociology of Sport*. 1999;34(2):99-111.

114. Sisjord MK. Fast-girls, babes and the invisible girls. Gender relations in snowboarding. *Sport in Society*. 2009;12(10):1299-1316.
115. Sisjord MK. Women's snowboarding - some experiences and perceptions of competition. *Leisure Studies*. 2013;32(5):507-523.
116. Skogvang BO, Fasting K. Football and sexualities in Norway. *Soccer & Society*. 2013;14(6):872-886.
117. Sohal D, Gervis M, Rhind D. Exploration of organizational stressors in Indian elite female athletes. *International Journal of Sport Psychology*. 2013;44(6):565-585.
118. Tamminen KA, Holt NL, Neely KC. Exploring adversity and the potential for growth among elite female athletes. *Psychology of Sport & Exercise*. 2013;14(1):28-36.
119. Young A. Being the 'alternative' in an alternative subculture: Gender differences in the experiences of young women and men in skateboarding and snowboarding. *Avante*. 2004;10(3):69-81.
120. Kilgour L, Parker A. Gender, physical activity and fear: Women, exercise and the great outdoors. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*. 2013;5(1):43-57.
121. Straw CM, Samson CO, Henry GM, Brown CN. Does variability within natural turfgrass sports fields influence ground-derived injuries? *European Journal of Sport Science*. 2018;18(6):893-902.
122. Han F, Banerjee A, Shen L, Krishna L. Increased compliance with supervised rehabilitation improves functional outcome and return to sport after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction in recreational athletes. *Orthopaedic Journal of Sports Medicine*. 2015;3(12).

123. Janse van Rensburg DC, Jansen van Rensburg A, Fowler PM, et al. Managing travel fatigue and jet lag in athletes: A review and consensus statement. *Sports Medicine*. 2021;51(10):2029-2050.
124. FIFPRO. *The postpartum return to play guide*. 2024.
125. Burrows B. Denmark Euro 2024 squad refuse pay rise to ensure equal pay with women's team. *The Athletic*. 2024.
126. Coen SE, Downie V, Follett L, McCaig S, Parsons JL. Gendered environmental pathways to sports injury: Insights from retired athletes in the UK high performance context. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*. Under review.
127. Pollock D, Peters MD, Khalil H, et al. Recommendations for the extraction, analysis, and presentation of results in scoping reviews. *JBIM Evidence Synthesis*. 2023;21(3):520-532.
128. Anderson S, Allen P, Peckham S, Goodwin N. Asking the right questions: Scoping studies in the commissioning of research on the organisation and delivery of health services. *Health Research Policy and Systems*. 2008;6:1-12.

ACL injury cycle (Adapted from Meeuwisse et al. (2007))



Gender as a pervasive developmental environment

Figure 1. Gender as a pervasive developmental environment in the injury cycle. Reproduced from Anterior cruciate ligament injury: towards a gendered environmental approach, Parsons JL, Coen SE, Bekker S, 55:984-990;2021, with permission from BMJ Publishing Group Ltd.




Figure 2. PRISMA flow diagram indicating study identification and selection.

Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) Checklist

SECTION	ITEM	PRISMA-ScR CHECKLIST ITEM	REPORTED ON PAGE #
TITLE			
Title	1	Identify the report as a scoping review.	1
ABSTRACT			
Structured summary	2	Provide a structured summary that includes (as applicable): background, objectives, eligibility criteria, sources of evidence, charting methods, results, and conclusions that relate to the review questions and objectives.	1
INTRODUCTION			
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known. Explain why the review questions/objectives lend themselves to a scoping review approach.	3
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of the questions and objectives being addressed with reference to their key elements (e.g., population or participants, concepts, and context) or other relevant key elements used to conceptualize the review questions and/or objectives.	4
METHODS			
Protocol and registration	5	Indicate whether a review protocol exists; state if and where it can be accessed (e.g., a Web address); and if available, provide registration information, including the registration number.	5
Eligibility criteria	6	Specify characteristics of the sources of evidence used as eligibility criteria (e.g., years considered, language, and publication status), and provide a rationale.	6-7; Table 1
Information sources*	7	Describe all information sources in the search (e.g., databases with dates of coverage and contact with authors to identify additional sources), as well as the date the most recent search was executed.	7
Search	8	Present the full electronic search strategy for at least 1 database, including any limits used, such that it could be repeated.	26; Appendix B
Selection of sources of evidence†	9	State the process for selecting sources of evidence (i.e., screening and eligibility) included in the scoping review.	7-8
Data charting process‡	10	Describe the methods of charting data from the included sources of evidence (e.g., calibrated forms or forms that have been tested by the team before their use, and whether data charting was done independently or in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators.	8-9
Data items	11	List and define all variables for which data were sought and any assumptions and simplifications made.	8-9, Table 2
Critical appraisal of individual sources of evidence§	12	If done, provide a rationale for conducting a critical appraisal of included sources of evidence; describe the methods used and how this information was used in any data synthesis (if appropriate).	n/a

SECTION	ITEM	PRISMA-ScR CHECKLIST ITEM	REPORTED ON PAGE #
Synthesis of results	13	Describe the methods of handling and summarizing the data that were charted.	9
RESULTS			
Selection of sources of evidence	14	Give numbers of sources of evidence screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the review, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally using a flow diagram.	9, Figure 2
Characteristics of sources of evidence	15	For each source of evidence, present characteristics for which data were charted and provide the citations.	9-10; Table 2
Critical appraisal within sources of evidence	16	If done, present data on critical appraisal of included sources of evidence (see item 12).	n/a
Results of individual sources of evidence	17	For each included source of evidence, present the relevant data that were charted that relate to the review questions and objectives.	Table 2
Synthesis of results	18	Summarize and/or present the charting results as they relate to the review questions and objectives.	7-11
DISCUSSION			
Summary of evidence	19	Summarize the main results (including an overview of concepts, themes, and types of evidence available), link to the review questions and objectives, and consider the relevance to key groups.	21-23
Limitations	20	Discuss the limitations of the scoping review process.	23
Conclusions	21	Provide a general interpretation of the results with respect to the review questions and objectives, as well as potential implications and/or next steps.	24
FUNDING			
Funding	22	Describe sources of funding for the included sources of evidence, as well as sources of funding for the scoping review. Describe the role of the funders of the scoping review.	24

JBI = Joanna Briggs Institute; PRISMA-ScR = Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses extension for Scoping Reviews.

* Where *sources of evidence* (see second footnote) are compiled from, such as bibliographic databases, social media platforms, and Web sites.

† A more inclusive/heterogeneous term used to account for the different types of evidence or data sources (e.g., quantitative and/or qualitative research, expert opinion, and policy documents) that may be eligible in a scoping review as opposed to only studies. This is not to be confused with *information sources* (see first footnote).

‡ The frameworks by Arksey and O'Malley (6) and Levac and colleagues (7) and the JBI guidance (4, 5) refer to the process of data extraction in a scoping review as data charting.

§ The process of systematically examining research evidence to assess its validity, results, and relevance before using it to inform a decision. This term is used for items 12 and 19 instead of "risk of bias" (which is more applicable to systematic reviews of interventions) to include and acknowledge the various sources of evidence that may be used in a scoping review (e.g., quantitative and/or qualitative research, expert opinion, and policy document).

From: Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, et al. PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and Explanation. *Ann Intern Med.* 2018;169:467–473. doi: [10.7326/M18-0850](https://doi.org/10.7326/M18-0850).

