Identifying barriers to care and child mortality in urban and rural

areas: a mixed method study in Sierra Leone.

Corresponding author: Dr Grazia Caleo Grazia.Caleo@london.msf.org

Authors: James W.T. Elston (1), Kostas Danis (2, 3), Nell Gray (4), Kim West (4), Kamalini Lokuge

(5), Benjamin Black (4, 6), Beverley Stringer (4); Augustine S. Jimmisa (7), Aiah

Biankoe (7), Mohammed O. Sanko (7), Donald S. Kazungu (8), Sibylle Sang (8), Holly Baker (4),

Grazia Caleo (4).

Author affiliations

Field Epidemiology Training Programme (FETP), Public Health England, UK

European Programme for Interventional Epidemiology Training (EPIET), European Centre for

Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC), Stockholm, Sweden

Santé Publique France, the French national public health agency (SP France), Saint-Maurice,

France

Manson Unit, Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF), London, UK

National Centre for Epidemiology and Population Health, Research School of Population Health,

Australian National University, Canberra, Australia

The Whittington Hospital, London, UK

District Health Management Team, Ministry of Health and Sanitation, Tonkolili, Sierra Leone

MSF, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Author qualifications (selected):

Dr James Elston MBBS MRCP MFPH CertMedEd DTM&H DLSTM MSc

Kostas Danis MD MSc PhD

Nell Gray MA MA

Kim West BSc MPH

Grazia Caleo MD MSc DTM&H

Key words: barriers, health care, under-five mortality, Ebola, Sierra Leone

Abbreviated running title: Child <5 years health after Ebola: needs and barriers

Key messages:

- Child <5 years mortality near to the humanitarian emergency threshold and substantially high among rural children.
- Inequity in healthcare access and inequality in health between urban and rural areas.
- Barriers, including costs of healthcare and physical inaccessibility of healthcare facilities, delayed or prevented 90% of rural and 48% of urban carers from accessing care for a febrile child.
- Just 8% of rural children <5 years used Long-Lasting Insecticide-Treated bednets.
- Mistrust of healthcare workers was widely expressed primarily due to payment demanded for "free" healthcare. Healthcare workers described lack of pay and poor conditions precluding provision of quality care.

Abstract

Background

Reducing mortality of children <5 years in Sierra Leone is a priority. Despite an enabling policy

environment, health indicators have remained poor. Evidence on barriers to care is limited.

Objectives

This study describes barriers to care, health-seeking behaviour, and health outcomes of children <5

years.

Methods

From October 2016 to January 2017, we conducted a sequential mixed-methods study in urban and

rural areas of Tonkolili District comprising: household survey targeting carers of children <5 years; and

in-depth interviews (IDIs) targeting community leaders and healthcare workers (HCWs). We chose 30

clusters in urban and 30 clusters in rural areas. Topics that were identified during the survey were

examined further through IDIs.

Results

We surveyed 643 carers of 1092 children <5 years and conducted 72 IDIs. Of children <5 years, 62%

had experienced febrile illness in the 2 weeks prior, and mortality was higher rurally (1.55/10,000/day

vs urban 0.26/10,000/day). Barriers, including costs and physical inaccessibility of healthcare facilities,

delayed or prevented 90% (287/318; 95%CI: 80-96) rural and 48% (155/325; 95%CI: 37-58) urban

carers from accessing care for a febrile child. Mistrust of HCWs was frequent, primarily due to their

requests for payment. HCWs described lack of pay and holistic support precluding provision of quality

care.

Conclusions

Children <5 faced important barriers to healthcare, particularly in rural areas, contributing to high

preventable mortality near to the emergency threshold. Access to healthcare was important to carers,

however available services were costly and unreachable. Equally, HCWs experienced structural

3

barriers to provide quality care.

Background

Sierra Leone is one of the poorest countries in the world, ranking 182nd out of 189 countries in the

Human Development Index in 2020.[1] The population has amongst the highest reported mortality

rates for children under 5 years of age worldwide.[2] The vast majority of these deaths are

preventable, with infections, in particular malaria, the leading cause of death.[3] To improve access to

care, the Sierra Leonean government introduced the Free Health Care Initiative (FHCI) in 2010

mandating free provision of healthcare for children under 5 years.[4] Reducing child mortality has

been a long-term priority for health strategy.[5,6,7,8] However, despite an enabling policy

environment, health indicators have remained poor. Furthermore, the 2014-2016 Ebola outbreak

resulted in: a substantial number of healthcare worker deaths; reduced services including vaccination

coverage; a change in health seeking behaviour at population level with reduced attendances to health

facilities among febrile children under 5 years; all of this leading to health consequences probably

worse than those attributed to Ebola.[9,10,11,12,13,14,15] Post-Ebola, evidence for health status and

health seeking behaviour for children remain lacking.

Delay in receiving adequate care during febrile illness is perceived to be a major contributor to

morbidity and mortality in children.[16] The "three delays" model (delay in: i) deciding to seek care,

ii) in reaching care, and iii) in receiving adequate healthcare), was developed to better understand

contributors to high maternal mortality in resource poor settings, though can be similarly applied to

child mortality.[16]

Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF) has been providing maternal and child healthcare in Tonkolili District

since January 2016, in collaboration with the MoHS. The aim of this study was to describe health of

children under 5 years, exploring health-seeking behaviours and barriers to healthcare, using the

"three delays" model in a rural and urban area of Sierra Leone since the Ebola outbreak. The findings

4

will inform health policy and service planning.

Methods

Setting

The study was conducted in Tonkolili District. Tonkolili (area~7003 km²) is located in the centre of the country and has a mostly rural population of ~435,000 (2004 census projections). Most of the population is of Temne ethnicity, however there are also minority groups of Koranko, Kono and Limba ethnicity. The capital and largest city is Magburaka. Tonkolili is an under-resourced district with a poor road network that floods during rainy season, causing many areas to become inaccessible. During the Ebola outbreak, the district reported 406 EVD cases that included 162 deaths.[17,18]

This study was implemented separately in Magburaka town, hereby referred to as 'urban area(s)'; and Yoni chiefdom, hereby referred to as 'rural area(s)'.

Study design and data collection

A mixed methods sequential explanatory design was employed, consisting of two distinct phases conducted in urban and rural areas. Phase 1 was quantitative, comprising a household survey.

Survey questionnaires were carried out with caregivers of children under 5 years. In the household survey, information on health seeking behaviour, barriers to healthcare, and child mortality was collected, although information regarding cause of death was not collected. Mortality estimation included children who were live born but died from any cause during the two years preceding the day of the survey and were aged under 5 years at the time of death. In Phase 2, qualitative in-depth interviews (IDIs) explored barriers and enablers to access care. Implementation of Phase 2 was informed by the findings of Phase 1. This study was performed concurrently with a maternal health survey, the result of which have been published.[19]

Household survey (Phase 1)

From October to November 2016, we conducted the household survey using a two-stage cluster

sampling methodology, with minimum target sample size of 450 children under 5 years in each of two

areas (rural and urban), assuming a design effect of 4 and that almost all children under 5 years in this

setting would have experienced fever in a three-month period.[7] Thirty clusters were selected from

each area, so we aimed to get information on 15 children of under 5 years per cluster. We estimated

that ten caregivers per cluster were sufficient to provide this information. This was calculated using

population estimates derived from national census projections and household composition from the

Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) 2013.[7] The main outcome was utilisation of health facilities

by children aged under 5 years during their most recent febrile illness in the preceding three months

(assumption, from extrapolation of previous survey results, being that almost all children under 5

years in this setting would have experienced fever in a three-month period.[7] The 190 women in each

of the two areas that were included in the concurrent maternal survey were additionally asked their

birth history to estimate infant mortality for the purposes of this study.[19]

Cluster, household and individual sampling methodology was as per the concurrent maternal health

survey.[19] Clusters were sampled by selection of random GPS points in the urban area, and by

random village selection, stratified by population size, (either ≥500 or <500), in the rural area. Survey

participants were i) caregivers of children under 5 years within the selected household and ii)

women who had given birth in Tonkolili District since the Ebola outbreak was declared in Sierra

Leone in mid-May 2014 (these women were participants of the concurrent maternal health

survey).[19]

A maximum of one eligible caregiver and one eligible woman per household was included; in

households with more than one eligible carer or woman, lots were drawn to determine who would

participate. A number of carers sufficient to provide information relating to 15 children under 5 years

and ten women were recruited for each cluster. Bespoke questionnaires were used to collect data on

household demographics, use of malaria bed nets, health behaviour during most recent febrile illness,

barriers to healthcare and whether these barriers impacted access to quality health care; child health

outcome, and vaccination status (carers were asked to provide vaccination cards if available). Most

recent febrile illness was defined as 'illness with fever (an abnormally high body temperature usually

accompanied by shivering)' that had affected a child under 5 years within the preceding 3 months

(from the day of the survey). Health behaviours were collected on children who had experienced

febrile illness, however barriers to healthcare were asked only once of the carer and was concerning

the most recent episode of febrile illness of the children under their care. Women were asked about

the status of all children born within the past eight years, to identify all children who had been under

5 years of age at any point during the study period. Questionnaires were uploaded to Sony Experia

tablets in English and administered verbally in the local language (Temne, Mende or Krio) by trained

data collectors. Responses were entered into Dharma platform software by the survey team, that was

of comprised eight data collection teams (two people in each team) and two to four supervisors per

day. Piloting and training of the survey team was conducted.[19]

In-depth interviews (Phase 2)

From December 2016 to January 2017, IDIs were conducted with caregivers for a child under 5 years;

women who had a live birth or stillbirth since the start of the Ebola outbreak in Sierra Leone;

community leaders; and skilled or unskilled healthcare workers (HCW).

Selection of locations for IDIs was purposive, informed by preliminary analysis of the survey data to

target those with the highest frequency and severity of barriers, and to include a broad range of

characteristics (i.e. good/poor access to a health facility; on a main road/remote).[19]

The required sample size was established as the study progressed; [20] interviews were conducted

7

until theoretical saturation.[21,22]

Participants were selected purposively. Maximum variation sampling was used. Topic guides were

piloted and IDIs conducted, translated, transcribed and quality controlled.[19]

Interviews were conducted by one researcher and one research assistant. The IDI teams also

included transcribers.

Data analysis

Means or medians (range) were calculated for numerical variable and proportions were calculated for

categorical variables, using the non-missing values as denominators and 95% confidence intervals

(95%CI) allowing for clustering. Adjusted prevalence ratios (PR) were calculated using Poisson

regression to assess potential associations. STATA v14 (Stata Corporation, Texas, USA) was used for

data cleaning and data analysis.

Open coding was conducted to identify any emerging phenomena and patterns emerging from IDIs.

Codes were grouped as per the three delays model, whilst also allowing for the identification of

categories/themes. Variations across sub-groups were assessed. All irregular cases were analysed to

confirm the emerging patterns. Qualitative data was analysed using NVivo ©11 software.

Results

Overview

In urban areas, information on 529 children under 5 years was provided by 325 carers; 301 women

who had given birth since Ebola were also included. In rural areas, 318 carers provided information on

8

563 children under 5 years; 307 women who had given birth since Ebola were included (Table 1).

Table 1: Overview of household and study participants by place

Number included Study component Participant group Urban Rural Total 742 Survey Households 386 356 Carers of children <5 years 325 318 643 Children <5 years* 1092 529 563 Women (given birth since 301 307 608 Ebola) In-depth Mothers 9 10 19 interviews 6 6 12 Caregivers Community leaders 8 9 17

Health workers

72 IDIs were conducted in survey cluster sites: 5 urban locations and 6 rural villages (Table 1). More interviews were conducted with HCWs compared to other groups as they represented the most heterogeneous group (Table 1). No refusals to participate were reported.

12

12

Household demographics in terms of household size and age were similar in the two areas (table 1 – report difference only): Rural carers overall had lower levels of literacy and lower educational attainment and were more likely to be married (Table 2). The age of women (providing information for child mortality) was also similar: median 25 years urban vs 26 years rurally; their demographics are described in detail elsewhere.[19] IDI participants were aged between 18 and 40 years; of these, 15 (79%) were aged between 18 and 30.

^{*}Information provided by carer

Table 2: Demographics of carers and children <5 years included in survey

Participant group	Characteristic	Variable		Urban				Rural		
			#	Total	%	95%CI	#	Total	%	95%CI
Carers of children	Sex	Female	315	325	97%	94-98	307	318	97%	94-98
<5years	Literacy and education	Literate (able to read and write)	164	325	50%	43-58	49	318	15%	9.7-24
		Educated to primary	160	325	49%	42-57	251	318	79%	70-86
		Educated to secondary	21	325	6.5%	3.8-11	28	318	8.8%	5.4-14
		Educated to higher level	126	325	39%	33-45	39	318	12%	7.8-19
	Marital status	Never married	65	325	20%	16-25	19	318	6.0%	3.1-11

		Married	245	325	75%	69-81	285	318	90%	85-93
		Divorced	1	325	0.3%	0.0-2.3	2	318	0.6%	0.2-2.6
		Widowed	13	325	4.0%	2.2-7.1	12	318	3.8%	2.1-6.6
	Relationship to	Parent	435	529	82%	75-88	469	563	83%	71-91
	child									
		Grandparent	44	529	8.3%	5.5-13	29	563	5.2%	3.0-8.7
		Sibling	4	529	0.8%	0.3-2.0	12	563	2.1%	0.7-6.5
		Aunt/uncle/cousin	39	529	7.4%	3.6-15	38	563	6.7%	3.7-12
		non-related	7	529	1.3%	0.5-3.5	15	563	2.7%	0.8-8.2
Child <5years	Sex	Female	245	529	46%	42-51	306	563	54%	51-58

Health outcomes

Overall, 62% of children under 5 years had experienced febrile illness in the 2 weeks prior to the day of the survey, and 90% within the preceding 3 months (Table 3). Whilst the frequency of illness was similar between areas, almost all urban children had recovered from their most recent febrile illness on the day of the survey, whilst 14% of rural children had shown no signs of improvement or had got worse (Table 3). 17 (3%) of live born urban children had died in the preceding 8-year period compared with 122 (16%) of rural children (Table 3). The under 5 years mortality rate in the urban area was 0.26/10,000/day (95%CI: 0.16-0.43) vs 1.55/10,000/day (95%CI: 1.30-1.86) rurally.

Table 3: Health outcomes, health behaviours, healthcare experiences and vaccine coverage for children <5 years, and household LLITN coverage

			U	Irban		Rural			
Category	Factor	#	Total	%	95% CI	#	Total	%	95% CI
Febrile illness (cumulative)	Within last 2 weeks	311	529	59%	51-66	366	563	65%	59-71
for children <5 years	Within last 4 weeks	379	529	72%	66-77	446	563	79%	74-84
	Within last 3 months	482	529	91%	88-94	507	563	90%	86-93
Status of child <5 years	Improved	473	482	98%	96-99	437	507	86%	79-91
febrile illness on day of survey	No change or worse	9	482	1.9%	0.8-4.4	70	507	14%	8.9-21
Child death	Death among children live								
	born in preceding 8 years	17	545	3.1%	1.6-6.1	122	756	16%	13-20
Care seeking in child <5years	Carer sought advice or								
febrile illness	treatment during illness	460	482	95%	91-98	404	507	80%	63-90

Place(s) (all) where carer	Healthcare facility	420	460	91%	86-95	360	404	89%	80-94
sought advice or treatment	Pharmacy	52	460	11%	6.7-18	24	404	5.9%	2.2-15
for ill child <5 years ^a	Community health worker (CHW)	12	460	2.6%	1.1-5.9	10	404	2.5%	0.8-7.5
	'Drug peddler'/'private								
	health worker'	4	460	0.9%	0.3-2.9	11	404	2.7%	0.7-10
	Traditional healer	2	460	0.4%	0.1-3.3	37	404	9.2%	3.8-20
	Shop/ market	0	460	0%	-	7	404	1.7%	0.5-5.8
Place where carer first	Formal health sector ^b	407	460	88%	82-93	346	401	86%	77-92
sought advice or treatment for ill child <5 years	Informal health sector ^c	53	460	12%	7.4-18	21	401	5.2%	2.3-11
	Traditional sector ^d	0	460	0%	-	34	401	8.5%	3.8-18
	Care refused at health facility	1	420	0.2%	0-1.8	4	360	1.1%	0.3-3.7

	Received blood spot test ^e	397	418	95%	93-97	329	365	90%	84-94
Healthcare experience	Payment made for blood spot test ^e	6	397	1.5%	0.5-4.9	110	329	33%	23-46
during child <5 year febrile illness	Received medications	389	421	92%	88-95	352	365	96%	93-98
	Payment made for medications	19	389	4.9%	2.9-8.2	145	352	41%	29-55
Vaccine coverage children <5	Vaccination card available								
years		294	529	56%	45-65	297	563	53%	41-64
	Received at least one dose measles vaccine ^f	425	497	86%	80-90	411	497	83%	59-94
	Received at least one dose polio vaccine	520	529	98%	97-99	504	563	90%	62-98

Long lasting insecticide	Household had one or more	121	386	31	27-36	43	356	12	9.1-16
treated bed net (LLITN)	intact LLITN								
coverage	Child <5 years slept under LLITN the night before	195	688	28	24-33	62	786	7.8	6.0-10
	Pregnant woman slept under LLITN the night before	13	57	23	14-35	7	95	7.4	3.6-15

a. All places attended at least once during febrile illness (may be multiple)

- b. Any health facility or a government CHW
- c. Pharmacy, shop/market, drug peddler or 'private outreach worker'
- d. Traditional healer
- e. Blood taken from fingertip or heel usually for malaria rapid test
- f. Among those eligible for vaccine by WHO recommendations- age and no contraindications

Barriers to healthcare

48% (155/325; 95%CI: 37-58) of urban and 90% (287/318; 95%CI: 80-96) of rural carers experienced

at least one problem which delayed or prevented them from being able to receive healthcare for a

febrile child under 5 years under their care, at any stage during the most recent illness. Rural carers

generally reported a greater number of barriers, and attendances for children under their care were

delayed and prevented to a more substantial degree compared with urban carers (Figure 1). Lack of

money to pay for a consultation and/or transport was reported as a barrier for 32% (95%CI: 24-41)

of urban carers and 86% (95%CI: 76-93) of rural carers. A substantial proportion of carers, especially

in the rural areas, cited distance to a health facility, fear of contracting Ebola, not wanting to travel

alone and concerns about being treated disrespectfully by HCWs as reasons for delaying or

abandoning their attempt to access healthcare (Figure 1).

Lack of medications and HCW absences were also reported as barriers.

Care seeking and delays in deciding to seek care

95% of urban vs 80% of rural carers sought advice or treatment (from any source) when the child

was unwell with their (most recent) febrile illness (Table 3).

In the rural area, more educated carers were more likely to have sought advice/ treatment for their

febrile child but those who cared for more children were less likely to have done so: the proportion

increased by 10% for each increasing level of the carers educational attainment but decreased by

14% for each additional child they cared for (Table 4). No such associations were identified for urban

17

carers (Table 4).

Table 4: Demographic associations with health seeking behaviour

			Urban					
			Adjusted ^a			Adjusted*		
Behaviour/ healthcare	Explanatory		prevalence			prevalence		
experience	factor	Category	ratio	95% CI	P value	ratio	95% CI	P value
Sought advice/		per unit						
treatment for febrile child	Educational level	increase ^b	1.00	0.98-1.03	0.73	1.10	1.03-1.16	0.001
	Canad farmana	Each						
	Cared for more	additional						
	children	child	0.98	0.94-1.03	0.48	0.86	0.78-0.95	0.002
Child received measles	Cared for more	Each						
vaccine	children	additional						
vaccine	ciliuren	child	1.02	0.96-1.08	0.54	0.86	0.79-0.94	0.001

Child received po	olio Cared for more	Each						
emia receivea pe	carea for more	additional						
vaccine	children	child	1.02	0.00.1.04	0.16	0.00	0.82-0.94	<0.001
		Child	1.02	0.99-1.04	0.16	0.88	0.82-0.94	<0.001

a. adjusted by age, additional childbirths and marital status

b. from none to primary, secondary and higher

All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

In both urban and rural areas, IDI participants reported the main factors for delaying care-seeking

were concerns about prohibitive costs associated with seeking care and previous experiences of poor

care (e.g. described as being treated disrespectfully by HCWs and not being given perceived necessary

medication) (Box 1). Additionally, care seeking was delayed by concerns about long waiting times in

urban areas, and distance to health facilities, lack of transport options, poor roads, and absent staff in

rural areas. Mothers also described the difficult decisions they faced in allocating scarce resources

(money and time) to attend a health facility for a sick child in the face of competing responsibilities to

care for large families and to generate income.

Box 1: Themes and quotes relating to delayed care seeking

Concerns about practical barriers and poor treatment

When I don't have money I have to search around; this has delayed me a lot, to have the money

before going to the hospital. [I have to take] at times ten thousand Leones... the money is paid for

the drugs. Unless you have to show a token of gratitude to the person you meet in the clinic.'

[Rural Mother (RMO) 17]

Your child is sick and you are preparing to go [to the clinic], but then person who has been there

previously will tell you that the nurse is not around. When that information is given to me, I will

not risk going there again because the distance is long.' [RMO 18]

Collective decision making processes

'For under-five children, wherein both parents are farmers and the child develops fever, the father

will say he doesn't have money. Eventually because of the delay the illness will become worse

since there is no money to take the child to the clinic as early as possible. By the time the father

puts things in place to take the child to the clinic, the child might not make it because of the delay.'

20

[Urban Community Leader (UCL) 43]

Waiting for severe symptoms and limited knowledge of causes and treatment of illness

'We don't know; only God know. Sometimes when they are sick we give them medicines, we give

them blood medicines, but only God knows.' [RMO13]

'For some children we found out that they are pale, some have symptoms of malaria. And why I

think they have malaria is because they are not cleaning their compounds, this is because some of

them are not taken the advice we gave them...' [Rural Healthcare Worker (RHCW) 26]

Both rural and urban IDI participants reported time to reach a consensus within the family on choice

of care provider as a delaying factor (Box 1). Husbands played a critical role in decision making as they

generally controlled family finances. Additionally, participants reported that extended family

members (notably mothers and mothers-in-law) as well as influential community members frequently

advised on appropriate care provision. Notably, several participants indicated that the 'older

generation' often advocated for 'traditional' care whereas peers and health messaging promoted

attendance of formal services, and that managing this varied and often contradictory advice was

challenging. Faith also played a role in care seeking behaviour, with several participants explaining

that the life or death of their child was in the hands of God (Box 1). Participants described waiting for

severe symptoms before making decisions to seek care and limited knowledge of causes and course

of illness was evident. A fear of Ebola was also reported as a deterrent from visiting health facilities

during the outbreak. In general, participants recognised that Ebola was over and reported that it was

21

no longer a concern.

Delays in reaching healthcare facilities

IDI participants in rural areas reported that accessing care was a challenge. Reasons cited were lack

of transport, cost of transport, and bad or unpassable roads, particularly during the rainy season. In

rural health facilities, referrals were described as slow and contributed to delays in reaching

appropriate care. Slow referrals were reported caused by transportation issues (a lack of available

transport, combined with poor ambulance coverage and slow response time), as well as a lack of

expertise among health staff in rural areas who did not make referrals until the situation became

critical, often when it was too late (Box 2). HCWs also reported referral delays due to carers being

unable to take decisions on referrals alone due to the financial implications, and/or feeling concerned

about leaving their families (Box 2).

Box 2: Themes and quotes relating to delays in reaching health facilities

Hard to reach facilities

'In this area we cannot reach any medical care by foot; we have to use transportation to get to the

clinic... As for me, I am a lactating mother. With the time to get to the hospital, the money for

transport, usually I do not have the chance. If I have a little money, one thousand Leones, I usually

buy some medicine that people sell in our area [from drug peddlers]. UMO45

Delayed referrals

"...They [rural HCWs] think it's something they can contain, so by the time they refer, the children

come with severe anaemia. Any child they refer from the [rural health post], mostly comes with an

HB of less than two... almost asking to die....' [Urban healthcare worker (UHCW) 71]

'The wife comes [to the rural health post] with the child, but she alone cannot give the go ahead to

come [to the hospital]; she has to go back to inform the husband. If the husband is not there, she

will go with the other person, or else she will not come. So, that again causes a delay.... They are

worried about the transportation, they are worried about food when they come, because some

people know nobody here, where would they have money to purchase food? So, that is another

problem in a list of problems.' [UHCW67]

Places where healthcare was sought and care preferences

Of those who stated that they sought advice/ treatment for a febrile child under 5 years, 90% reported

that they sought care at a health facility at least once during the illness (Table 3). Of those who

reported that they attended a health facility, 70% (297/425) of urban children vs 0.5% (2/365) of rural

children attended a hospital. Carers in both areas also sought advice/ treatment from alternatives

including pharmacies, shop/markets, state (official) community health workers (CHWs), and 'drug

peddlers' (persons selling medications unofficially/ privately) (Table 3). Use of traditional healers was

only reported by 2 (0.4%) urban carers but by 37 (9.2%) rurally (Table 3). The formal health sector

(healthcare facility or state CHW) was reported as the first pattern of resort for 88% of urban and 86%

of rural carers who did seek treatment and advice for the febrile child; and whilst no urban carers

reported going first to a traditional healer, 8.5% rural carers did so (Table 3).

In contrast to the findings of the survey, IDI participants explained that due to the numerous barriers

to accessing health facility based care they often sought care from an alternative provider first:

generally a local pharmacy or off-duty nurse in the urban area, and a traditional practitioner or drug

peddler in the rural area (Box 3). As a result, seeking care from the formal sector and health facilities

was typically delayed until initial treatment was deemed to be ineffective and/or when symptoms

23

were perceived to be severe (usually described as convulsions or acute anaemia) (Box 3).

Box 3: Themes and quotes relating to care preferences

Shift from 'traditional' to 'biomedical'/' modern' medicine

Some people when their child is sick they take them to traditional healers and some give them native herbs..... we prefer taking our children to the clinic. But others think the illness has to do with evil spirits, so they go to traditional healers, that's why some children die... You are human, this is modern times, when your child is sick take it to the hospital! I advise people, 'let the devil meet us now in the hospital'. So we take the child to the hospital, if we had followed traditional healers and native herbs maybe he should have been dead.'[UCG44]

Pragmatic choices based on barriers to formal sector care

'The hospital is always the last point for them. They go, they take native herbs. If they don't, they don't get alright and then they go to the quack [private/ unofficial drug seller]. After the quack, the last session is the hospital.....so this is the problem we have.' [UHCW72]

'Some are saying that they don't give enough drugs, so they don't see a reason to go to the hospital... Some will decide to go to the pharmacy and buy their drugs. You get it immediately and come back and do your domestic job. As for us women, we have a lot of things to do at home, so some will not go to the hospital. They say, 'If I go there I'm just going to waste my time, at the end of the day they will just give me paracetamol so I would prefer to go to the pharmacy and buy my drugs and go back home.' [Urban Mother (UMO) 54]

'Most time when the children are sick you see the drug peddler passing, they will ask you to pay some amount of money; because your child is sick you will go all ways just to get that medicine, even to borrow... when we don't have money to buy medicine we use our native herbs to wash them and give them some to drink... Not for all sickness; headache: we will manage, stomachache: we will manage. If it is not okay then we have no option, just to go to the clinic and let the nurse see the sickness in the child.' [RCL31]

'[People in rural areas] they have their perception that their first line of contact is the traditional healers, because they are always available to them in the community. They actually accept whatever [people] have, in terms of money, they are less expensive, and there is no-one that can

arrange for a better system. If they have chickens, they can give in exchange for care.' [UHCW67]

Persisting traditional aetiologies

'Some people when their child is sick they take the child to traditional healers and some give them native herbs... some do that, they think the illness has to do with evil spirit so they go to traditional healers... Like the child that just past here just now when he was sick and short of blood [anaemic] they said it's an evil spirit...' [Urban Caregiver (UCG) 44]

Because there are certain sicknesses in our own culture they ascribe it to be devilish. Like convulsion, they see convulsion like is an act of witchcraft... They believe that. Is not until the situation get worse, they know that oh! they need medical attention.' [UCL04]

In line with survey findings, IDI participants described a general preference for formal sector care. Moreover, they explained a shift in practice over time as people increasingly sought care in health facilities instead of from traditional providers, and perceived 'modern medicine' to offer the best care for their children. Whilst seeking care from a traditional practitioner was generally pragmatic, some participants perceived that there were certain conditions for which traditional treatment was required (Box 3). Some participants sought herbal or spiritual remedies when biomedical solutions failed (Box 3).

Healthcare experience and delays in receiving quality care

Of those who reached heath facilities for febrile illness, 95% of urban and 90% of rural children

under 5 years received a blood spot test (usually indicative of having rapid malaria test) (Table 3).

Whilst very few carers paid for this in the urban area, one third of rural carers were required to pay

the HCW for the test (Table 3). Similarly, 94% of children attending health facilities for febrile illness

received medications, though 5% urban vs 41% rural carers were required to pay for them (Table 3).

In addition to paying for medication and treatment, IDI participants explained routine charging for

other aspects of care such as under-5 vaccination cards. In the rural area they also described they

felt an obligation to 'show a sign of appreciation' to HCWs (giving money or food was perceived to

be necessary to ensure good care in the future); in the urban area paying to be seen quickly was

described as commonplace.

Several HCWs confirmed the practice of charging for care and explained this was necessary to

compensate for their limited or non-existent salaries, inconsistent drug supplies, and a lack of other

basic equipment (Box 4). Confusion about medications that should be 'free' and those that should

be 'cost recovery', and why payment was required for certain drugs, generated mistrust between

HCWs and communities (Box 4).

Box 4: Themes and quotes relating to payment for healthcare, healthcare experience and quality,

and vaccination

Payment for 'free' healthcare

They are saying if your child is sick go to the hospital.....but we understand that the free health

26

care is not operating.' [UCG03]

Healthcare worker perspectives on need to charge patients

Whenever the free health drug are not there [nurses] have their own that they would come and buy in Maaburaka here and sell to the community people...then the community people are complaining that nurses are selling the free health care drugs.... our people don't understand [that the nurses buy the drugs] and the nurses also didn't educate people, so the there is a misunderstanding between the nurses and the people." (UHCW23)

'We go to Magburaka [to collect vaccines] and after collecting them we have to leave them at Makeni Rokefula because we don't have a refrigerator here. Early in the morning we have to pay and go to pick them up, so the people have to wait for a long time... For the vaccination cards if you do not give money you will not be given any card. We have to pay for transportation where we keep the vaccines, so that is why we are not releasing these cards without asking for a small amount.' [RHCW62]

Absence of medications

"..the drugs that they were supposed to give my child were not around, so they gave me a prescription and I went and bought it to give the baby. They would say 'today I went to the clinic but there were no drugs, only Panadol and that malaria drug. But my child's body is pale; I expected to get blood syrup.' [UHCW23]

Unsatisfactory interactions with healthcare workers

'I went with [my son] because he was sick.... They will only give you drugs. They test for malaria; that is the only test they will do for babies. Take the temperature. They will not tell you what is wrong with the baby, they just give you medicine. I didn't feel good, because when I went home, if they asked me what was wrong with the baby, I had no explanation...' [UMO54]

"...when you go [to the hospital] they will not see you quickly. They are busy doing other things

while you are waiting... They sit and talk, talk, talk, instead of treating the patient. That is my

experience.' [UMO54]

Bad experience in a health facility

"...when [women] come back they explain some of the bad things that have been going on in the

hospital, and that will hinder others to go.' [UCL04].

Challenges for healthcare workers

When I worked here with all those challenges, I cannot express how I was feeling. I was feeling

quilty over every child that died. That's because I did not have this [basic equipment]... So, it was

horrible for me, and having to do rounds alone. I used to come here and work; I would forget that I

have to eat, because I was just buried under the job. Working with few resources, and not having

the right equipment, it's so strenuous. It can be so frustrating. Mentally, I was always feeling quilty

for every child that I would not be able to save. It was really not easy.' [UHCW71]

Lack of trust in HCWs/ health system and fear of vaccination

'The trust, initially, is very difficult... when I started working here most of them the drugs I thought

were very much important, the equipment, like an oxygen machine, were lacking. If you know you

come to a particular hospital, you have the human resources, the equipment, you want to come.

Whereas, if you come and they don't have the human resources, or the equipment, or the drugs

and you have to pay for it, people they don't actually have a trust...[UHCW67]

The health workers are said to have been fond of giving Ebola to people, that is what people were

28

saying... People were saying, 'I won't take that, they want to give us Ebola, that's why.' So, we

have people that are opting out, refusing immunisation for their child. The main problem with immunisation is people's perception; the child is not sick, so if the technique is not too good, then that child has a swollen leg. Then the mother will go around and tell a companion: 'don't take your child for vaccination, that child is not sick. Mine was playful, but now they've given her a bad leq." [UHCW70]

Among IDI participants, rural mothers and carers in particular reported that HCWs were absent from the facility when they visited. In both urban and rural, poor quality of care provision by healthcare staff was reported as an important barrier to quality care. Carers felt communication by HCWs was poor; from inadequate explanations of diagnosis and care to experiences of disrespectful, aggressive and insulting treatment (Box 4). In some instances, rural participants explained this had led to a rift between the community and clinic nurse, to the extent that some would refuse to attend the local clinic (Box 4).

Some HCWs acknowledged they could treat patients harshly but explained this was due to their frustration working with 'stubborn' communities and the challenges of working with limited resources (poor infrastructure, lacking equipment, and interrupted drug supplies). They also described working in such conditions as negatively impacting their wellbeing and mental health (Box 4).

All IDI participant groups emphasised that they would not return to a health facility in which they had a bad experience, and instead would look for alternatives. Furthermore, such experiences were described to have a 'multiplier effect', as both bad and good experiences influence others' decisions (Box 4).

Vaccination and bed net coverage and barriers to vaccination

Vaccination cards were available for 54% of children whose carers were surveyed (Table 3). Amongst those eligible for the measles vaccine, at least one dose had been received by 86% in urban areas compared with 83% in rural areas (evidenced by vaccination card or disclosed by carer) (Table 3). Vaccine coverage for at least one dose polio vaccine was 98% and 90% in urban and rural areas respectively (Table 3). Rurally, carers caring for more children were less likely to have vaccinated children (Table 4). Of those vaccinated for measles, 64% (274/425) of children in the urban areas and 45% (185/411) of children in the rural areas had been vaccinated during a national mass measles vaccination campaign conducted in May and June, 2016. Of those vaccinated for polio, 94% (488/520) of urban and 93% (469/504) of rural children had been vaccinated during the period of a national mass polio vaccination campaign (September and October 2016).

Overall, 25% (95%CI: 17-35) of urban carers vs 75% (95%CI: 60-84) of rural carers reported one or more barrier to getting their children vaccinated (Figure 2).

Generally, IDI participants expressed a high level of vaccine acceptance and adherence. However, participants also described cost, distance to health facilities, lack of vaccines and issues relating to cold chain (e.g. lack of refrigerators at facilities and or cold boxes to transport vaccines) as major barriers to vaccination. HCWs explained that the same barriers also compelled them to charge for vaccines and vaccination cards, as they sought recompense for costs incurred collecting and storing vaccines (Box 4). Fear of Ebola had also impacted vaccination acceptance, according to HWCs and community leaders, but this was thought to be improving. There were concerns about vaccine side effects. HCWs and community leaders reported some hesitancy from communities and that some mothers had complained about the aftereffects of immunisation, notably rashes and bumps or abscesses (Box 4). This was particularly evident in areas where there were concerns about vaccine supply and the integrity of the cold chain.

When exploring bednets coverage, 31% of urban and 12% of rural households reported they possessed intact LLITNs. Around one quarter of urban and less than one tenth of rural children under 5 years and pregnant women reported sleeping under a LLITN the night before the survey (during rainy season) (Table 3). IDI participants described bednet distributions as insufficient and inequitable. Some community leaders also felt that of the importance of bednets was not recognised and improved awareness was required to decrease malaria rates.

Discussion

This study has documented poor health indicators for children under 5years and high incidence of children febrile illness. This was particularly severe in rural areas, where there was a high prevalence of morbidity and the under 5 years mortality rate was close to the humanitarian emergency threshold (2.0 per 10,000/ day).[23] Although previous studies have demonstrated poor health indicators preand peri-Ebola, to our knowledge this is the first to demonstrate the extent of it, capturing burden, experiences and perspectives in the post Ebola period.

In terms of health behaviour, most carers in both areas sought care for febrile children under 5 years. However, substantial barriers delayed and prevented a large majority from obtaining healthcare when required. Decisions on seeking care were often made hierarchically or collectively, often entailing delay. Women in particular were obliged to defer to men or elder family members. The decision to seek care for children was often delayed until a child was seriously unwell in view in anticipation of perceived barriers to healthcare, particularly distance and financial costs. In other words, critical delays in deciding to seek care (first aspect of delay model) was strongly influenced by the fear/anticipation of practical problems faced in reaching a health facility (second aspect of delay model) and receiving adequate and appropriate healthcare (delay phase 3). Participants linked delays and aborted attempts to reach healthcare facilities resulting from barriers with severe consequences including death.

There was an expressed preference for choosing biomedical healthcare for unwell children over traditional methods and alternatives, particularly in the urban area. Though IDI findings suggest that traditional and use of alternative providers was probably more common than reported by the survey, biomedical healthcare was generally considered safer and more effective than alternatives. This is a salient finding and runs contrary to prior reports and widely held perceptions that people prefer traditional therapies.[24] People generally chose alternatives to biomedical healthcare as informal care providers and traditional healers were often considered more convenient, accessible and affordable. However, beliefs in traditional means to treat certain conditions/presentations were also apparent.

Whilst most children under 5 years who reached healthcare facilities received investigation and medication, for almost a half of rural carers payment for "free healthcare" was necessary. Payment was less commonly reported in the survey in the urban areas though IDI findings suggest payment is commonplace in both areas including for vaccinations. Lack or absence of HCWs, and lack of medications were commonly reported. Poor relations and lack of trust between people and HCWs was evident and largely attributed to payment of HCWs and suspicion of personal gain from the sale of 'free healthcare' and exacerbated by suboptimal interactions with HCWs. However, our study has also provided HCW perspectives and offers insight into factors leading to apparent 'corruption' and suboptimal provision of care. HCWs often described low or absent pay, difficult working conditions, a lack of support. HCWs would seek compensation/payment from patients to recover routine costs that they incurred personally. Issues of poor referral mechanisms and a lack of ambulances were also evident. Our findings indicate inequity in healthcare access and inequality in health between urban and rural areas. There was also a probable socio-economic divide within areas with more educated carers and those caring for fewer children more likely to have sought care for febrile children and the child to have received vaccines.

Measles and polio vaccination coverage was higher than previous surveys though was below the threshold for herd immunity for measles. [25] The higher than anticipated vaccination coverage probably reflects relatively successful mass vaccination campaigns. Indeed, evidence from this study suggests routine vaccination services were poor, subject to routine charging, and that there were persistent issues with vaccine hesitancy. Very poor LLITN coverage is also supported by the high proportion of children experiencing febrile illness compatible with malaria during the study recall period. Recommended treatment is, in theory, available free to all through the Sierra Leone malaria program, but delays and lack of access to such treatment was reported by the majority. This will impact long-term morbidity and mortality due to malaria. Lack of bednet use by the majority means at least one of the most effective preventive interventions was not systematically implemented.

This study draws on complementary strengths of using mixed methods. Preliminary survey analysis revealed several of the poll's shortcomings and potentially disputed conclusions, which had a direct impact on the design and execution of the in-depth interview study. As a result, we were able to spot trends and discrepancies in the data, which let us come to solid conclusions in which we have a high degree of confidence.

This study is subject to limitations. For selection of rural clusters, mapping was not practical and limitations with rural population estimates precluded both probability sampling proportional to size and weighting in the analysis. Smaller village residents might have been overrepresented in the study, which could have inflated the discrepancies between the two areas. Although it's likely that some respondents choose not to declare their eligibility, the high participation percentage is comparable to earlier polls.[25] The high participation rate is similar to previous surveys, though it is possible that some participants declined to declare eligibility.[25]

With individuals perhaps under-reporting socially unfavourable actions, some responder bias is expected; as such we have probably over-estimated facility-based healthcare seeking for febrile children in the survey. However, IDI participants also commonly reported use of alternatives to

healthcare. Similarly, we are likely to have over-estimated vaccination coverage especially given we

were reliant on a verbal report in many cases. Under-reporting of child deaths is also possible with

mothers and carers fearing blame, judgement and experiencing feelings of guilt especially if

healthcare was not sought. The period of recall was long for child mortality and the time of death

may have been challenging to recall. For child illness the recall was relatively short (three months)

but for a carer with multiple children the last episode of febrile illness may be difficult to recall.

Regarding the generalisability of the results, it is acknowledged that this study was conducted in a

relatively small geographical area and there are variations in the socio-economic makeup and

availability of health services between districts, with Tonkolili's residents being among the poorest

and underserved. Nevertheless, Yoni is thought to be comparable to many areas of rural Sierra

Leone by MoHS and MSF. MSF supports the hospital in Magburaka by providing resources, staff, and

training so that urban residents who live in Magbruaka or nearby may have easier access to free

healthcare than populations of a similar size in other districts.

Findings have recently been corroborated by a qualitative study identifying an exacerbation of

existing barriers on delivery of bed net and immunisation programme due to Covid-19.[26]

Conclusions

Our study indicated that children under 5 years encounter significant barriers to care, especially in

rural areas, resulting in high preventable morbidity and mortality near the emergency threshold

rurally. People want to access healthcare, but available services are often costly (despite the national

policy for free care), unreachable, and poor quality; on the other hand, lack of pay and holistic

support precluded healthcare workers to deliver quality of care. Practical actions are required to

34

address barriers to reach and deliver care and reduce preventable deaths.

Panel 1: Recommendations for policy and practice for Sierra Leone and similar contexts

We recommend investing in partnerships with communities to address barriers to healthcare for children under 5 years, specifically:

- 1. Undertake community engagement, health education and health promotion for maternal health, in line with the MoHS' Health Promotion Strategy 2017–21.
- 2. Provide support to strengthen formal links between communities and HCWs.
- 3. Facilitate access to healthcare, particularly in hard-to-reach areas.
 - Strengthen rural health services/hard-to-reach areas to meet the needs of women and children.
- Strengthen death surveillance and death review procedures.
- Strengthen routine vaccination activities integrating mass vaccination interventions.
- 6. Implement a comprehensive malaria strategy.

Acknowledgements

This work would not have been possible without support from the Sierra Leone Ministry of Health and Sanitation. With thanks to Antonio Isidro Carrion Martin, Pete Masters, Rosamund Southgate, Barbara Nasto, Idriss Ait-Bouziad, Paul Stewart, Kiran Jobanputra and Jonathan Mazliah; the MSF team in Tonkolili; the UK Field Epidemiology Training Programme (FETP); and the European Programme for Intervention Epidemiology Training (EPIET). Finally, we would like to acknowledge the dedication of the teams in Tonkolili as well as the people of Tonkolili who contributed their valuable time.

Author contributions

JE implemented the study and wrote the first draft of the manuscript; KD implemented and supported data analysis; NG implemented and lead the qualitative data analysis; KW implemented and supported qualitative data analysis; BB supported study conceptualisation; BS supported design of qualitative methods; AJ, AB, and MS supported study design and implementation; KL, DK, and SS

supported study conceptualisation; HB supported manuscript development; CS supported

implementation; GC supported study conceptualisation and coordination. All authors contributed to

first and last versions of the manuscript.

Disclosure statement

Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

Ethics and consent statement

The study protocol can be found at https://remit.oca.msf.org/studies/141. The protocol was

approved by the MSF Ethics Review Board and the ethics committee of the Ministry of Health and

Sanitation of Sierra Leone. Approval to was granted from traditional authorities in all proposed sites

approved the study. Local languages of Sierra Leone (Temne, Mende or Krio) are not written

languages, therefore – out of necessity – informed verbal witnessed consent was obtained from the

head of the household and the parent/guardian in the selected household. In the case of younger

participants who were between 15 and 17 years of age, permission of the parents or legal guardians

was sought as both the legal age of consent and the minimum age of marriage is 18 years old.

Funding

This study was funded entirely by MSF.

Paper context

Main findings:

In Tonkolili District, Sierra Leone, children under 5 years encountered significant barriers to care,

especially in rural areas, resulting in high preventable morbidity and mortality. There was inequity in

36

healthcare access and inequality in health between urban and rural areas.

Added knowledge:

This study documents poor health indicators for children under 5years and high incidence of children febrile illness, particularly severe in rural areas, where the under 5 years mortality rate was close to the humanitarian emergency threshold.

Global health impact for policy and action:

This study explores barriers to healthcare services and makes recommendations for policy and practice in Sierra Leone and similar contexts, specifically, investing in partnerships with communities to address barriers to healthcare for children under 5 years.

References

- United Nations Development Programme. Human Development Reports [Internet]. [cited 26
 Feb 2020]. Available: http://hdr.undp.org/en/countries/profiles/SLE
- 2. Unicef data: Monitoring the situation of children and women. Key demographic indicators,

 Sierra Leone. 2019. Available: https://data.unicef.org/country/sle/
- 3. World Health Organisation. *Sierra Leone*. WHO. World Health Organization; 2017; Available: http://www.who.int/countries/sle/en/
- 4. Government of Sierra Leone. National Policy of free health care services for pregnant women, lactating mothers and children under 5 years of age. 2009. Available: free_services_framewk_nov09.pdf (unmissions.org)
- Unicef. Free healthcare services for pregnant and lactating women and young children in Sierra Leone. 2009. Unicef 2010. Available:
 https://www.unicef.org/wcaro/wcaro SL freehealthcareservices 2010.pdf
- Government of Sierra Leone Ministry of Health and Sanitation. 2017. Maternal Death
 Surveillance And Response, Annual Report 2016.
 https://www.afro.who.int/sites/default/files/2017-06/mdsrreport.pdf, accessed 4 January 2019.
- Edoka I, Ensor T, McPake B, Amara R, Tseng F-M, Edem-Hotah J. Free health care for underfives, expectant and recent mothers? Evaluating the impact of Sierra Leone's free health care initiative. *Health Economics Review. 2016; 6: 19.* https://doi.org/10.1186/s13561-016-0096-4
- 8. Jalloh, M.B., Bah, A.J., James, P.B. et al. Impact of the free healthcare initiative on wealth-related inequity in the utilization of maternal & child health services in Sierra Leone. 2019.

 **BMC Health Serv Res 19, 352. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-019-4181-3

- Brolin Ribacke KJ, van Duinen AJ, Nordenstedt H, Höijer J, Molnes R, Froseth TW, et al. The Impact of the West Africa Ebola Outbreak on Obstetric Health Care in Sierra Leone. *PLoS One*. 2016;11: e0150080. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0150080
- 10. Popova A, Evans D, Goldstein MP. The next wave of deaths from Ebola? the impact of health care worker mortality [Internet]. 2015. pp. 1–17. Available:
 http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/408701468189853698/The-next-wave-of-deaths-from-Ebola-the-impact-of-health-care-worker-mortality
- 11. Menéndez C, Lucas A, Munguambe K, Langer A, Delvaux T. Ebola crisis: the unequal impact on women and children's health. *Lancet Global Health*. Elsevier; 2015;**3**: e130. doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(15)70009-4
- 12. Elston JWT, Moosa AJ, Moses F, Walker G, Dotta N, Waldman RJ, et al. Impact of the Ebola outbreak on health systems and population health in Sierra Leone. *Journal of Public Health*. 2016; **38 (**4): 673–678
- 13. Elston JWT, Cartwright C, Ndumbi P, Wright J. The health impact of the 2014–15 Ebola outbreak. *Public Health*. 2017;**143**: 60–70. doi:10.1016/j.puhe.2016.10.020
- 14. Sun X, Samba TT, Yao J, Yin W, Xiao L, Liu F, et al. Impact of the Ebola outbreak on routine immunization in western area, Sierra Leone a field survey from an Ebola epidemic area.

 BMC Public Health. 2017 Apr 26;17(1):363. doi: 10.1186/s12889-017-4242-7
- 15. Kamara MH, Najjemba R, van Griensven J, Yorpoi D, Jimissa AS, Chan AK, et al. Increase in acute malnutrition in children following the 2014-2015 Ebola outbreak in rural Sierra Leone.

 Public Health Action. 2017 Jun 21;7(Suppl 1):S27-S33. doi: 10.5588/pha.16.0084
- Samuelsen H, Tersbøl BP, Mbuyita SS. Do health systems delay the treatment of poor children? A qualitative study of child deaths in rural Tanzania. *BMC Health Services Research*.
 2013;13: 67. doi:10.1186/1472-6963-13-67
- 17. Nic Lochlainn LM, Gayton I, Theocharopoulos G et al. 2018. Improving mapping for Ebola response through mobilising a local community with self-owned smartphones: Tonkolili

District, Sierra Leone, January 2015. PLoS One 13: e0189959. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0189959

- 18. Theocharopoulos G, Danis K, Greig J et al. 2017. Ebola management centre proximity associated with reduced delays of healthcare of Ebola Virus Disease (EVD) patients, Tonkolili, Sierra Leone, 2014-15. PLoS One 12: e0176692. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0176692
- 19. Elston JWT, Danis K, Gray N, West K, Lokuge K, Black B, et al. Maternal health after Ebola: unmet needs and barriers to healthcare. Health Policy and Planning. 2020; 35 (1): 78-90. doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czz102
- 20. Marshall MN. Sampling for qualitative research. Family Practice. 1996;13: 522-5.
- 21. Green J, Thorogood N. 2009. Qualitative methods for health research. Los Angeles: SAGE.
- 22. Guest G, Bunce A, Johnson L. How Many Interviews Are Enough?: An Experiment with Data Saturation and Variability. Field methods. Los Angeles: SAGE. 2006. 18: 59–82.
- 23. Guest G, Bunce A, Johnson L. How Many Interviews Are Enough?: An Experiment with Data Saturation and Variability. Field methods. Los Angeles: SAGE. 2006. 18: 59-82.
- 24. Scott K, McMahon S, Yumkella F, Diaz T, George A. Navigating multiple options and social relationships in plural health systems: a qualitative study exploring healthcare seeking for sick children in Sierra Leone. Health Policy and Planning. 2014;29: 292–301. doi:10.1093/heapol/czt016
- 25. Government of Sierra Leone; Ministry of Health and Sanitation. Sierra Leone Demographic and Health Survey. 2013. Available: https://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/SR215/SR215.pdf
- 26. Médecins Sans Frontières / Doctors Without Borders (MSF). Exploring the perceptions of key community leaders toward the implementation and impact on their and community lives of COVID-19 control measures Tonkolili, Sierra Leone. Internal report: 2020.

All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Box 1: Themes and quotes relating to delayed care seeking

Concerns about practical barriers and poor treatment

When I don't have money I have to search around; this has delayed me a lot, to have the money before going to the hospital. [I have to take] at times ten thousand Leones... the money is paid for the drugs. Unless you have to show a token of gratitude to the person you meet in the clinic.'

[Rural Mother (RMO) 17]

Your child is sick and you are preparing to go [to the clinic], but then person who has been there previously will tell you that the nurse is not around. When that information is given to me, I will not risk going there again because the distance is long.' [RMO 18]

Collective decision making processes

'For under-five children, wherein both parents are farmers and the child develops fever, the father will say he doesn't have money. Eventually because of the delay the illness will become worse since there is no money to take the child to the clinic as early as possible. By the time the father puts things in place to take the child to the clinic, the child might not make it because of the delay.'

[Urban Community Leader (UCL) 43]

Waiting for severe symptoms and limited knowledge of causes and treatment of illness

'We don't know; only God know. Sometimes when they are sick we give them medicines, we give them blood medicines, but only God knows.' [RMO13]

'For some children we found out that they are pale, some have symptoms of malaria. And why I think they have malaria is because they are not cleaning their compounds, this is because some of them are not taken the advice we gave them...' [Rural Healthcare Worker (RHCW) 26]

Box 2: Themes and quotes relating to delays in reaching health facilities

Hard to reach facilities

'In this area we cannot reach any medical care by foot; we have to use transportation to get to the clinic... As for me, I am a lactating mother. With the time to get to the hospital, the money for transport, usually I do not have the chance. If I have a little money, one thousand Leones, I usually buy some medicine that people sell in our area [from drug peddlers]. UMO45

Delayed referrals

"...They [rural HCWs] think it's something they can contain, so by the time they refer, the children come with severe anaemia. Any child they refer from the [rural health post], mostly comes with an HB of less than two... almost asking to die....' [Urban healthcare worker (UHCW) 71]

'The wife comes [to the rural health post] with the child, but she alone cannot give the go ahead to come [to the hospital]; she has to go back to inform the husband. If the husband is not there, she will go with the other person, or else she will not come. So, that again causes a delay.... They are worried about the transportation, they are worried about food when they come, because some people know nobody here, where would they have money to purchase food? So, that is another problem in a list of problems.' [UHCW67]

Box 3: Themes and quotes relating to care preferences

Shift from 'traditional' to 'biomedical'/' modern' medicine

Some people when their child is sick they take them to traditional healers and some give them native herbs..... we prefer taking our children to the clinic. But others think the illness has to do with evil spirits, so they go to traditional healers, that's why some children die... You are human, this is modern times, when your child is sick take it to the hospital! I advise people, 'let the devil meet us now in the hospital'. So we take the child to the hospital, if we had followed traditional healers and native herbs maybe he should have been dead.'[UCG44]

Pragmatic choices based on barriers to formal sector care

'The hospital is always the last point for them. They go, they take native herbs. If they don't, they don't get alright and then they go to the quack [private/ unofficial drug seller]. After the quack, the last session is the hospital.....so this is the problem we have.' [UHCW72]

'Some are saying that they don't give enough drugs, so they don't see a reason to go to the hospital... Some will decide to go to the pharmacy and buy their drugs. You get it immediately and come back and do your domestic job. As for us women, we have a lot of things to do at home, so some will not go to the hospital. They say, 'If I go there I'm just going to waste my time, at the end of the day they will just give me paracetamol so I would prefer to go to the pharmacy and buy my drugs and go back home.' [Urban Mother (UMO) 54]

'Most time when the children are sick you see the drug peddler passing, they will ask you to pay some amount of money; because your child is sick you will go all ways just to get that medicine, even to borrow... when we don't have money to buy medicine we use our native herbs to wash them and give them some to drink... Not for all sickness; headache: we will manage, stomach-

ache: we will manage. If it is not okay then we have no option, just to go to the clinic and let the nurse see the sickness in the child.' [RCL31]

'[People in rural areas] they have their perception that their first line of contact is the traditional healers, because they are always available to them in the community. They actually accept whatever [people] have, in terms of money, they are less expensive, and there is no-one that can arrange for a better system. If they have chickens, they can give in exchange for care.' [UHCW67]

Persisting traditional aetiologies

Some people when their child is sick they take the child to traditional healers and some give them native herbs... some do that, they think the illness has to do with evil spirit so they go to traditional healers... Like the child that just past here just now when he was sick and short of blood [anaemic] they said it's an evil spirit...' [Urban Caregiver (UCG) 44]

Because there are certain sicknesses in our own culture they ascribe it to be devilish. Like convulsion, they see convulsion like is an act of witchcraft... They believe that. Is not until the situation get worse, they know that oh! they need medical attention.' [UCL04]

Box 4: Themes and quotes relating to payment for healthcare, healthcare experience and quality,

and vaccination

Payment for 'free' healthcare

They are saying if your child is sick go to the hospital.....but we understand that the free health

care is not operating.' [UCG03]

Healthcare worker perspectives on need to charge patients

Whenever the free health drug are not there [nurses] have their own that they would come and

buy in Magburaka here and sell to the community people...then the community people are

complaining that nurses are selling the free health care drugs.... our people don't understand [that

the nurses buy the drugs] and the nurses also didn't educate people, so the there is a

misunderstanding between the nurses and the people.' ([UHCW23]

'We go to Magburaka [to collect vaccines] and after collecting them we have to leave them at

Makeni Rokefula because we don't have a refrigerator here. Early in the morning we have to pay

and go to pick them up, so the people have to wait for a long time... For the vaccination cards if you

do not give money you will not be given any card. We have to pay for transportation where we

keep the vaccines, so that is why we are not releasing these cards without asking for a small

amount.' [RHCW62]

Absence of medications

in the drugs that they were supposed to give my child were not around, so they gave me a

prescription and I went and bought it to give the baby. They would say 'today I went to the clinic

45

but there were no drugs, only Panadol and that malaria drug. But my child's body is pale; I

expected to get blood syrup.' [UHCW23]

Unsatisfactory interactions with healthcare workers

'I went with [my son] because he was sick.... They will only give you drugs. They test for malaria;

that is the only test they will do for babies. Take the temperature. They will not tell you what is

wrong with the baby, they just give you medicine. I didn't feel good, because when I went home, if

they asked me what was wrong with the baby, I had no explanation...' [UMO54]

'...when you go [to the hospital] they will not see you quickly. They are busy doing other things

while you are waiting... They sit and talk, talk, talk, instead of treating the patient. That is my

experience.' [UMO54]

'Multiplier effect' where bad experiences influence others

"...when [women] come back they explain some of the bad things that have been going on in the

hospital, and that will hinder others to go.' [UCL04].

Challenges for healthcare workers

When I worked here with all those challenges, I cannot express how I was feeling. I was feeling

quilty over every child that died. That's because I did not have this [basic equipment]... So, it was

horrible for me, and having to do rounds alone. I used to come here and work; I would forget that I

have to eat, because I was just buried under the job. Working with few resources, and not having

the right equipment, it's so strenuous. It can be so frustrating. Mentally, I was always feeling guilty

46

for every child that I would not be able to save. It was really not easy.' [UHCW71]

Lack of trust in HCWs/ health system and fear of vaccination

The trust, initially, is very difficult... when I started working here most of them the drugs I thought were very much important, the equipment, like an oxygen machine, were lacking. If you know you come to a particular hospital, you have the human resources, the equipment, you want to come. Whereas, if you come and they don't have the human resources, or the equipment, or the drugs

and you have to pay for it, people they don't actually have a trust...[UHCW67]

'The health workers are said to have been fond of giving Ebola to people, that is what people were saying... People were saying, 'I won't take that, they want to give us Ebola, that's why.' So, we have people that are opting out, refusing immunisation for their child. The main problem with immunisation is people's perception; the child is not sick, so if the technique is not too good, then that child has a swollen leg. Then the mother will go around and tell a companion: 'don't take your child for vaccination, that child is not sick. Mine was playful, but now they've given her a bad leg." [UHCW70]

47

1.




Figure 1: Factors delaying or preventing carers from seeking/ reaching healthcare for febrile children <5 years by place




Figure 2: Barriers to receiving vaccination for children <5 years according to their carer, by place