

**UTILIZATION OF PRECONCEPTION CARE AND ASSOCIATED
FACTORS AMONG REPRODUCTIVE AGE WOMEN IN POKHARA
METROPOLITAN, KASKI, NEPAL**

Sita Aryal¹, Saroj Wagle², Yamuna Marasini¹, Dr. Tulsi Ram Bhandari¹

¹ School of Health and Allied Sciences, Pokhara University

² Chifley Business School, Torrens University

***Corresponding Author**

Sita Aryal

aryalsita777@gmail.com

1 **Abstract**

2 **Background:** Preconception care refers to specific actions taken by an individual or couple to
3 improve their health before becoming pregnant. Preconception care (PCC) is considered
4 primary prevention for the future baby and secondary prevention for prospective mothers.
5 Preconception care utilization in Nepal was very low. Studies on these issues are a newer
6 concept in Nepal and also in Pokhara Metropolitan.

7 **Objectives:** To assess the utilization of preconception care and associated factors among
8 reproductive-age group women in Pokhara Metropolitan.

9 **Methods:** A community-based cross-sectional study was conducted from January 2024 to
10 September 2024. The total study participants were 384 reproductive-age women. Data were
11 collected using pre-tested, interviewer-administered questionnaires and analyzed with SPSS
12 after entry into Epi-Data. Descriptive analysis was conducted to show frequencies and
13 percentages, while bivariate analysis was performed using a chi-square test and multivariate
14 analysis was done using binary logistic regression to identify factors associated with the
15 utilization of preconception care. Lastly, odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals were used
16 to evaluate the statistical significance between the dependent and independent variables.

17 **Results:** Only 0.3% of participants utilize all preconception care components before their last
18 pregnancy. The acceptance level of utilization of the PCC component was 44.5%. The
19 commonest item received by the participants was taking a healthy diet (49.2%), checking
20 weight (43.8%), and blood group screening (53.4%). Age of the respondent AOR=13.89;
21 (CI:2.49-77.33), religion AOR=3.1;CI(1.43-6.95), occupations of respondents AOR=2.58;
22 CI(1.67-3.99), knowledge of PCC AOR=5.12; CI(2.99-8.77), attitude towards PCC
23 AOR=1.92; CI(1.18-3.12), heard about PCC AOR=3.15; CI(1.77-5.59) minutes to reach health
24 facilities AOR=2.4; CI (1.32-4.35) health insurance use before pregnancy AOR=2.68 ;CI(1.71-

25 4.19), and waiting time at health facilities AOR=1.60;CI(1.02-2.50) were identified as a factors
26 associated with utilization of PCC.

27 Conclusion: The utilization of preconception care among the women was very low compared
28 to the recommended services and was influenced by various factors.

29 Community-based advocacy and awareness are needed for women with low levels of
30 knowledge and attitudes towards preconception care components, as well as those facing
31 challenges such as time to reach health facilities, lack of insurance use before pregnancy, and
32 long waiting times at health facilities. Health promotion strategies focused on preconception
33 care and pre-pregnancy planning may increase utilization rates and improve maternal health.

34 Keywords: Preconception care, Utilization, Maternal Health, Attitude, Knowledge

35 **Introduction**

36 Preconception care describes specific actions taken by an individual or couple to improve their
37 health before becoming pregnant Preconception care involves provision of biomedical,
38 behavioral and social health interventions to women before conception. Preconception aim to
39 enhance health status and subside behavioural and environmental factors that could lead to
40 adverse outcomes for both maternal and child health¹.

41 The World Health Organization recognizes that providing preconception care can help reduce
42 baby and maternal morbidity and mortality The World Health Organization addresses several
43 parts of the PCC package, such as dietary conditions, tobacco use, environmental health,
44 infertility, interpersonal violence, pregnancy timing, genetic determinants sexually transmitted
45 infections, HIV, and mental health ¹. Additionally, the goals of preconception care include
46 identifying concerns regarding genetic conditions, family history, substance abuse, chronic
47 illnesses, infectious diseases, and proper nutrition and folic acid intake. It also seeks to guide

48 weight management. Vaccinations, family planning, psychosocial issues, domestic abuse, and
49 appropriate housing conditions are among the other things it entails advising on².

50 Preconception care (PCC) is classified as primary prevention for the future infant and
51 secondary prevention for prospective mother³. Preconception care is important not just for
52 family planning and lowering maternal and neonatal mortality, but it also improves long-term
53 health outcomes for teenage girls, women, and children. Adolescent health, particularly
54 reproductive health, is increasingly recognized as an important component of the healthcare
55 continuum. Preconception care is widely recognized as a technique to improve women's health
56 through medical and behavioral changes before conception, with the ultimate goal of enhancing
57 pregnancy outcomes².

58 Reducing maternal mortality is one of the key global health priorities. Sustainable
59 Development Goal 3 (SDG) targets to reduce the Maternal Mortality Ratio (MMR) to less than
60 70 per 1,00,000 live births and the newborn mortality rate to less than 12 per 1000 live births
61 by 2030⁴. But globally, maternal deaths occur every two minutes which accounts for nearly
62 800 deaths per day due to preventable pregnancy and childbirth complications. In 2020, total
63 estimated maternal deaths (2,53,000) worldwide Ninety-five percentage of maternal death
64 occurs in low and lower-middle-income countries, accounting for 87% in Sub-Sahara Africa
65 and Southern Asia⁵. The maternal mortality ratio is 412 per 100,000 live births similarly, the
66 under-five mortality rate is 67 per 1000 live birth and the neonatal mortality rate is 29 per
67 1000⁶. Similarly, each year, approximately 208 million pregnancies take place out of them,
68 46% are unintended pregnancy⁷.

69 In Nepal, 653 of the 12,976 deaths in 2021 among women aged 15 to 49 were pregnancy-
70 related, with 622 confirmed as maternal deaths⁸ According to studies, induced abortion was
71 responsible for half of all maternal deaths in Nepal, where abortion was illegal until September

72 2002. Unsafe practices by traditional birth attendants lead to maternal mortality. According to
73 Nepal Demographic Health Survey (2006) revealed that 82% of women gave birth at home.
74 However, by 2022, this ratio had improved to only 19% which indicates that women are still
75 delivering at home (NDHS 2022). Although there is huge progress in maternal and child health
76 but still, the maternal mortality ratio is 151 per one lakh live births, while infant and neonatal
77 mortality rates are 28 and 21 deaths per 1,000 live births, respectively (NPHC report, 2021;
78 NDHS report, 2022).

79 Most of the complications related to pregnancy and adverse birth outcomes could be prevented
80 through the PCC strategy approach.⁹ Preconception care (PCC) helps to identify and prevent
81 congenital abnormalities such as fetal alcohol spectrum disorders, congenital heart diseases,
82 neural tube defects, and clefts ¹⁰. The main modifiable risk factors for stillbirth are dietary
83 variables like obesity or undernutrition, maternal age, maternal infection, and non-
84 communicable disorders like diabetes or hypertension¹¹. Anemia has been identified as a
85 substantial risk factor for maternal death, increasing the likelihood of maternal mortality during
86 and after childbirth ¹¹. Perinatal fatalities are increased by a 50% greater chance among children
87 who are born by a mother under the age of 20 while comparing women aged 20 to 29 ¹.
88 Violence against girls and women has negative physical, psychological, and reproductive
89 repercussions, including an increased chance of premature delivery and low-birth-weight
90 infants⁹.

91 The evidence shows that the utilization of PCC is low. Studies conducted in developing
92 countries such as Ethiopia¹², Nigeria ¹³Bhutan¹⁰, Nepal¹⁴ and Sri Lanka ¹⁵ revealed
93 preconception care of 22.3%, 23.4%, 21.8%, 2% and 27.2% respectively. Similarly the study
94 conducted in Los Angeles (29.7%), and Saudi Arabia (29.3%)¹⁶ shows a slightly higher rate of
95 utilization of PCC.

96 Factors associated with utilization of preconception care were age, education status of mother,
97 occupation of mother, husband's education level, husband's occupation, house wealth status,
98 planned pregnancy, previous ANC visit, previous PNC visit, use, previous adverse pregnancy
99 outcomes, Knowledge on Preconception care, attitude towards PCC, distance from health
100 facilities, waiting time at health facilities, autonomy to maternal health.^{2,10,17,18}

101 In the context of Nepal, a comprehensive national policy addressing preconception care is not
102 in place as the deadline for meeting the Sustainable Development Goal of reaching a maternal
103 mortality ratio of 70 per 100,000 live births, executing an intervention strategy that integrates
104 a continuum-of-care approach through PCC is crucial to minimizing maternal and child
105 mortality.

106 Despite its important role in improving mother and child health, a large proportion of women
107 are unaware of how their preconception care can influence to reduce the risk of adverse
108 pregnancy outcomes. In practice, there is a lack of checkups or care taken by women before
109 being pregnant and Antenatal care is too late to reduce the harmful effects that a woman's (and
110 her partner's) health risks or health problems may have on the fetus during the critical period
111 of organogenesis. Different studies have been done regarding the knowledge and practices on
112 preconception care among reproductive-age women but there is limited research on finding out
113 the factors associated with utilization of preconception care. This study aims to assess the status
114 of preconception care utilization and its associated factors among reproductive-age women of
115 Pokhara Metropolitan.

117 **Conceptual framework and variables of the study**




Figure 1: Conceptual Framework

125 **Method and materials**

126 **Study design:**

127 The study was a community-based cross-sectional study among married women of
128 reproductive age in Pokhara Metropolitan

129 **Study Setting, participants and sampling procedure**

130 A study was conducted in Pokhara metropolitan from January 2024 to September 2024. A
131 consecutive sampling was carried out among participant. Inclusion criteria was, all Married
132 women of reproductive age having a child under one year of age, women living in selected
133 wards for more than 6 months and above were included in the study. The sample size was
134 calculated using the formula $(n_o) = \frac{Z^2pq}{d^2}$ for estimating the required sample with a confidence
135 level of 95% (i.e., $Z = 1.96$). The allowable error (d) was considered 0.05 (5%). The prevalence
136 of utilizations of preconception care was unknown; thus, the estimated sample size was 384.
137 Data were collected through proportionate sampling method and sample size was determine
138 through consecutive sampling.

139 **Instrument**

140 The research tool was a structured interview schedule adopted from the study conducted in
141 Ethiopia (Setegn Alie et al., 2022) and designed in the Nepali language. The schedule
142 comprised eight Parts:

143 Part 1 is Socio-demographic characteristics comprises 10 items Part 2 is knowledge of
144 preconception care comprises 25 items. Each item has Yes and No answers “Yes” counted as
145 1 and “No” counted as 0. The score of knowledge level ranges from 1 to 25. After scoring, the
146 result of the median values is 18. The score which is less or equal to 18 is categories as poor

147 knowledge and more than 18 as good knowledge. Part C utilization of preconception care
148 which consists of 18 items each items has “Yes” and “No”, answer “Yes” counted as 1 and
149 “No” counted as 0 the score was summed then the total score was ranges from 1 to 18, and the
150 median score is 5. The score which is less or equal to 5 is categorised as low acceptance and
151 more than 5 is regarded as acceptance, Part D attitude towards preconception care consists of
152 11 items. Likert scale with scores of 1 = “strongly disagree”, 2 = “disagree”, 3 = “neutral”, 4 =
153 "agree,” and 5 = strongly agree” was used. Similarly, for negatively worded items, the score
154 was reversed. The total score was summed up, and the final score ranges from 24 to 51; the
155 median value score is 40. The score less than or equal to 40 is categorised as negative attitude
156 and more than 40 is categorized as positive attitude. Part E pre-existing medical illness, Part F
157 is obstetric and reproductive history consists of 9 items Part G- health facility related 17 items
158 and Part H-wealth of the household consists of 12 items, measured through the International
159 Wealth Index, which measures the economic situation of households based on the possession
160 of consumer durables and housing characteristics. IWI ranges from 0 to 100; a percentile is
161 used to categories the ranges. and categorized as lowest, Second, middle, fourth and highest
162 based on Nepal demography health survey.

163 **Data Analysis**

164 Descriptive statistics such as frequency, percentage, mean, median and standard deviation were
165 used to present the findings in the form of a table and figures. Bivariate analysis was performed
166 to find out the association between dependent and independent variables and multivariate
167 logistic regression models were analyzed to determine factors associated with the utilization of
168 preconception care. variables with a p-value less than 0.05 in the bivariable logistic regression
169 were included in the multivariable logistic regression model based on the conceptual
170 framework three models of logistic regression were performed. In the first model dependent
171 variable utilization of PCC and Sociodemographic characteristics were assessed, in the second

172 model utilization of PCC and knowledge of PCC, attitude towards PCC were assessed. In the
173 third model, the utilization of PCC and health facilities service-related characteristics were
174 assessed. The multicollinearity or interaction between independent variables were checked
175 using the VIF (Variance Inflation factor) and all the variables less than 2 indicated as no
176 multicollinearity issues. The strength of association between dependent and independent
177 variables was measured using both Unadjusted Odds Ratio (UOR) and Adjusted Odds Ratio
178 (AOR) along with their 95% CI and p-values. Variables with p-values less than 0.05 were
179 considered statistically significant. The goodness of fit of the model was assessed using the
180 Hosmer and Lemeshow test, the p-value more than 0.05 was considered as good for model
181 fitness.

182 **Ethical consideration**

183 Ethical approval was taken from the Institutional Review Committee (IRC) of Pokhara
184 University (Ref No.194-2080/81), before conducting the study. Approval was also taken from
185 the local authorities, Pokhara Metropolitan Health Section. The purpose of the study was
186 clearly explained to the participants, and both verbal and written consent were obtained from
187 each participant. They were also informed of their right to withdraw from the survey at any
188 time if they felt uncomfortable continuing.

189 **Results**

190 **Univariate analysis**

191 Socio-demographic characteristics of respondents (n=384)

192 Table1: Socio-demographic characteristics

Variables	Number	Percent (%)
Age in years		

≤ 20	22	5.7
20-35	338	88.0
>35	24	6.3
Mean =28.43±4.94, min=16, max=44		
Religion		
Hindu	349	90.9
Buddhist	19	4.9
Muslim	5	1.3
Christian	11	2.9
Ethnicity		
Dalit	85	22.1
Janajati	118	30.7
Brahman/ Chhetri	163	42.4
Religious Minorities	18	4.7
Family type		
Nuclear	174	45.3
Joint	177	46.1
Extended	33	8.6
Family size		
≤ 4	190	49.5
>4	194	50.5

193 Majority of the participants were between 20-35 years (88%), Most people are Hindu (90.9%),
 194 with a small portion of Buddhists (4.9%), Christians (2.9%), and Muslims (1.3%). Brahman/
 195 Chhetri (42.4%) is the largest group, followed by Janajati, Dalit and religious minorities by
 196 30.7%, 22.1% and 4.7% respectively. Nearly similar respondents lived in nuclear (45.3%) and
 197 joint families (46.1%) with only 8.6% in extended families. Family size is also roughly similar,
 198 with 49.5% having four or fewer members and 50.5% having more than four members (See
 199 Table 1).

200 **Socio-economic characteristics of respondents (n=384)**

201 Table2: Socio-economic Characteristics

Variables	Number	Percent (%)
Education level		
Illiterate	5	1.3
Informal Education	7	1.8
Basic	76	19.8
Secondary	199	51.8
Graduate and above	97	25.3
Husband Education Level		
Illiterate	9	2.3
Informal	15	3.9
Basic	76	19.8
Secondary	178	46.4
Graduate and above	106	27.6
Respondent Occupation		
Housemaker	209	54.4
Farmer	18	4.7
Business	56	14.6
Government Employee	22	5.7
Unemployed	22	5.7
Private Employer	43	11.2
Daily worker	10	2.6
Foreign services	4	1.0
Husband Occupation		
Farmer	8	2.1
Business	92	24.0
Government Employee	29	7.6
Unemployed	4	1.0
Private Employer	75	19.5
Daily worker	71	18.5
Foreign services	105	27.3
Wealth Index		

Lowest	73	19.0
Second	86	22.4
Middle	83	21.6
Fourth	65	16.9
Highest	77	20.1

202 IWI median=64.48, Min=21, Max=100

203 The majority of the respondents 51% have their secondary education, with their husbands
 204 having secondary level education comprising (46.4%). Regarding respondents' occupation,
 205 most respondents are housemakers (54.4%) while for husbands' occupation, most of them are
 206 foreign services (27.3%). regarding the household index, the second quintile has the highest
 207 proportion at (22.4%). (Table- 2).

208 **Heard about Preconception Care and Source of Information**

209 Table 3: Heard about Preconception Care and Source of Information

Variables	Number	Percent (%)
Heard about Preconception care		
Yes	226	58.9
No	158	41.1
Sources of information (multiple responses, n=513)		
Health worker	132	58.4
School	68	30.1
Neighbors	44	19.5
Mass media	145	64.2
family and friends	124	54.9

210

211 **Knowledge, attitude and utilization level of respondents**

212 Table 4 : Preconception Knowledge Attitude Level of Participants

Level of knowledge	Frequency	Percent (%)
Poor Knowledge	201	52.3
Good Knowledge	183	47.7
Median=18, Min=1, Max=25		

Table 5 : Preconception Knowledge Attitude Level of Participants

Level of attitude	Frequency	Percent (%)
Negative Attitude	207	53.9
Positive Attitude	177	46.1
Median=40, Min=24, Max=51		

213 Table 6: Utilization of Preconception Care Component

Level Utilization	Frequency	Percent (%)
Low acceptance	213	55.5
Acceptance	171	44.5

214 Median=5, Min=1, Max=18

215 Less than half (47.7%) of respondents have good knowledge while 46.1% exhibit a negative
 216 attitude towards preconception care furthermore, only (44.5%) of the respondents utilize
 217 preconception care at the acceptance level (Table 4,5,6).

218 **Preconception Care Components Utilization**

219 Table 7: Preconception Care Component Utilization

Variable	Frequency	Percent (%)
Take folic acid		
Yes	138	35.9
No	246	64.1
Take iron		
Yes	32	8.3
No	352	91.7

Take a healthy diet		
Yes	189	49.2
No	195	50.8
Take extra meal		
Yes	35	9.1
No	349	90.9
Check weight		
Yes	168	43.8
No	216	56.3
Screen for HIV/AIDS		
Yes	104	27.1
No	280	72.9
Screen for STI		
Yes	106	27.6
No	278	72.4
Screen for Diabetic mellitus		
Yes	128	33.3
No	256	66.7
Screen for hypertension		
Yes	179	46.6
No	205	53.4
Screen for anaemia		
Yes	153	39.8
No	231	60.2
Screen for blood group		
Yes	205	53.4
No	179	46.6
Screen for Hepatitis B		
Yes	40	10.4
No	344	89.6
Take tetanus vaccine		

Yes	21	5.5
No	363	94.5
Ever smoked		
Yes	12	3.1
No	372	96.9
Drinking alcohol		
Yes	23	6
No	361	94
Consult anyone for advice about becoming pregnant		
Yes	135	35.2
No	249	64.8
Husband has screened for any disease		
Yes	82	21.4
No	302	78.6
Stopped or removed family planning method		
Yes	83	21.6
No	301	78.4

220 Most of the respondents did not take folic acid (64.1%) or iron supplements (91.7%), Similarly
 221 the highest proportion did not screen for HIV/AIDS (72.9%), STIs (72.4%), diabetic mellitus
 222 (66.7%), or hypertension (53.4%). Furthermore, 64.8% did not consult anyone for advice about
 223 becoming pregnant (Table 7).

224 **Pre-existing medical illness**

225 Table 8: Pre-existing medical illness

Variables	Frequency	Percent (%)
Medical illness		
Yes	46	12
No	338	88
Type of medical illnesses (Multiple responses, n=48) *		

Epilepsy	3	6.5
Hypertension	9	19.6
Diabetes mellitus	7	15.2
Anemia	2	4.3
Asthma	4	8.7
Cardiac problem	2	4.3
Renal disease	2	4.3
Thyroid	16	34.8
other medical illness	3	6.5

226 Of the total 384 respondents, 46 (12%) of them experienced any chronic diseases in their life.
 227 The commonest diseases were thyroid 16 (34.8%), Hypertension 9 (19.6%), Diabetic Mellitus
 228 7 (15.2%) and the least common diseases were anemia, cardiac diseases and renal disease
 229 i.e.2(4.3%) for each (Table 8).

230 **Obstetric and Reproductive Health Characteristics**

231 Table 9: Obstetric and Reproductive Health Characteristics

Variables	Frequency	Percent (%)
Age at first pregnancy		
≤ 20	93	24.2
> 20	291	75.8
Mean=23, Min=13, Max=41		
Gravida		
only 1 pregnancy	157	40.9
2-4 pregnancy	222	57.8
≥ 5	5	1.3
Parity		
Primipara (one deliveries)	206	53.6
Multi Parous (more than or equal to 2 deliveries)	178	46.4
Planning for pregnancy		

Yes	259	67.4
No	125	32.6
History of adverse pregnancy outcome		
Yes	110	28.6
No	274	71.4
Type of adverse pregnancy outcome (multiple responses, n=110) *		
Spontaneous abortion history	54	54.5
stillbirth history	7	7.1
preterm birth history	26	26.3
congenital abnormality history	11	11.1
neonatal death history	6	6.1
low birth weight baby weight	38	38.4
Cesarean section History		
Yes	125	32.6
No	259	67.4
History of contraceptive use		
Yes	131	34.1
No	253	65.9
Previous use of family planning		
IUCD	2	1.5
Implant	13	9.9
Depo	52	39.7
Oral Contraceptive	15	11.5
Condom	49	37.4
ANC visit for the index pregnancy		
Yes	381	99.2
No	3	0.8
Previous ANC visit for nearest pregnancy (n=382)		
1-2	11	2.9
3	13	3.4
≥ 4	358	93.7
PNC visit for index pregnancy		

Yes	344	89.6
No	40	10.4
Times of PNC visit(n=146)		
1-2	310	89.6
≥ 3	36	10.4

232 Note – * -Multiple responses (the total sum of the percentage is more than 100% because of multiple
233 responses).

234 The third quartile (75.8%) of the respondents had their first pregnancy after age 20 and the
235 majority (53.6%) had only one delivery. Planned pregnancy comprised (64.4%) and (28.6%)
236 had a history of adverse pregnancy outcomes with spontaneous abortion being the most
237 common adverse outcome (54.5%). Similarly, more than one-fourth (32.6%) had a history of
238 cesarean section, and 65.9% had never used contraceptives. Nearly all the respondents (93.7%)
239 had ANC visits for their last pregnancy, with (93.7%) having four or more visits (Table 9).

240 **Health service-related characteristics**

241 Table 10: Health services-related characteristics

Variables	Number (n=384)	Percent %)
Minutes to reach Health facilities		
≤ 30 minutes	316	82.3
>30 minutes	68	17.7
Distance from health facilities		
≤ 2 km	148	38.5
> 2 km	236	61.5
means of transport		
Public Vehicle	154	40.1
Private Vehicle	128	33.3
Walk	102	26.6
Visit health facilities for a healthy pregnancy		
Yes	125	32.6

No	259	67.4
Pay to get services(n=125)		
Yes	97	77.6
No	28	22.4
Affordability of payment(n=97)		
Expensive	35	36.5
Cheap	52	53.6
Free	10	10.3
Participating in preconception counselling(n=125)		
Yes	96	77.4
No	28	22.6
Type of counselling (multiple response (n=96)		
Maintaining optimal weight control	43	44.8
maintaining a regular exercise program	54	56.3
ceasing tobacco, alcohol, and drug use	58	60.4
Follows the prescription of the health worker	90	93.8
Take healthy diet	96	100
Challenges faced by respondents in Health facilities(n=124)		
Yes	59	47.2
No	66	52.8
Type of challenges (multiple responses) (n=79)		
Lack of privacy	9	15.3
Lack of service integrity	5	8.5
Shortage of logistic supply	13	22.0
Long waiting hour	52	88.1
Availability of adequate laboratory services		
Yes	303	78.9
No	62	16.1
Don't know	19	4.9
Availability of medicine		
Yes	360	93.8
No	20	5.2
Don't Know	4	1

Using Health insurance		
Yes	151	39.3
No	233	60.7
Autonomy to maternal health care services		
Self	228	59.4
Husband	25	6.5
Both	129	33.6
Family member	2	0.5
Receive health care access assistance (Multiple response (n=717))		
Husband	282	73.4
Relatives	48	12.5
Family	186	48.4
Neighbours	58	15.1
Self	143	37.2
Perceived waiting time to get services		
≤ 60 minutes	236	61.5
> 60 minutes	148	38.5

242 The result revealed that 82.3% of the respondents reached health facilities within 30 minutes
 243 and 61.5% lived within ≤ 2 km distance from health facilities. Public vehicle is a common
 244 vehicle used by respondents (40.1%). Only 32.6% visited health facilities for healthy
 245 pregnancies of those who visited, 77.6% needed to pay for their services and out of them 36.5%
 246 finds expensive and 77.4% took part in preconception counselling. The challenges face by
 247 47.2% respondents in health facilities and most commonly due to long waiting hour. However,
 248 78.9% of participants revealed that 78.9% had adequate laboratory services and 93.8% had
 249 access to medicine. Only 39.3% use health insurance and autonomy to maternal health services
 250 was mainly self-directed (59.4%). lastly, 61.5% perceived a waiting time of ≤ 60 to get
 251 services (Table-10).

253 **Bivariate analysis**

254 **Association of Utilization of Preconception Care and Sociodemographic Characteristics**

255 Table 11: Association of Utilization of Preconception Care and Sociodemographic
256 Characteristics

Variable	Utilization of Preconception care		Chi-square Value	df	p-value
	Low acceptance n (%)	Acceptance n (%)			
Age					
< 20 Yrs	20 (90.9)	2 (9.1)	13.279	2	<0.001*
20-35 Yrs	183 (54.1)	155 (45.9)			
> 35 Yrs	10 (41.7)	14 (58.3)			
Religion					
Hindu	201 (57.6)	148 (42.4)	6.99	1	0.008
Other than Hindu	12 (34.3)	23 (65.7)			
Ethnicity					
Dalit	66 (77.6)	19 (22.4)	28.965	3	<0.001*
Janajati	69 (58.5)	49 (41.5)			
Brahman/Chettri	69 (42.3)	94 (57.7)			
Religious Minorities	9 (50)	9 (50)			
Family size					
≤ 4	115 (60.5)	75 (39.5)	3.895	1	0.048
>4	98 (50.5)	96 (49.5)			

257 The major findings indicate the age group ($\chi^2 = 13.279$, p-value < 0.001), religion ($\chi^2 = 6.99$,
258 p-value = 0.008), ethnicity ($\chi^2 = 28.965$, p-value < 0.001), and family size ($\chi^2 = 3.895$, p-value
259 = 0.048), were significantly associated with the utilization of preconception care (Table 11).

260 **Association of Preconception Care Utilization and Socio-economic Characteristics**

261 Table12: Association of Preconception Care Utilization and Socio-economic Characteristics

Variable	Utilization of Preconception care		Chi-square Value	df	p-value
	Low acceptance n (%) 213(55.5%)	Acceptance n (%) 171(44.5%)			
Respondent Education level					
Illiterate/informal	8 (66.7)	4 (33.3)	48.541	3	< 0.001*
Basic	58 (76.3)	18 (23.7)			
Secondary	121 (60.8)	78 (39.2)			
Graduate and above	26 (26.8)	71 (73.2)			
Occupation respondent					
Housemaker	138 (66)	71 (34)	27.158	3	< 0.001*
Business	24 (42.9)	32 (57.1)			
Employed (Gov/Private)	21 (32.3)	44 (67.7)			
Others	30 (55.6)	24 (44.4)			
Husbands' education level					
Illiterate/informal	16 (66.7)	8 (33.3)	47.395	3	< 0.001*
Basic	59 (77.6)	17 (22.4)			
Secondary	107 (60.1)	71 (39.9)			
Graduate and above	31 (29.2)	75 (70.8)			

Husband occupation						
Business		47 (51.1)	45 (48.9)	14.764	3	0.002
Employed (Gov / Private)		49 (47.1)	55 (52.9)			
Foreign Services		56 (53.3)	49 (46.7)			
Others		61(73.5)	22 (26.5)			
International wealth Index						
Lowest		53 (72.6)	20 (27.4)	36.851	4	<0.001*
Second		60 (69.8)	26 (30.3)			
Middle		47 (56.6)	36 (43.4)			
Fourth		20 (30.8)	45 (69.2)			
Highest		33 (43.9)	44 (57.1)			

262 There is an association between the utilization of preconception care and the respondent's
 263 socio-economic characteristics. Respondent education level ($\chi^2 = 48.541$, p-value < 0.001),
 264 husband's education level ($\chi^2 = 47.395$, p-value < 0.001), respondent occupation ($\chi^2 = 27.158$,
 265 p-value < 0.001), husband's occupation ($\chi^2 = 14.764$, p-value = 0.002) and the international
 266 wealth index ($\chi^2 = 36.851$, p-value < 0.001) displayed a significant association (Table 12).

267 **Association between Knowledge, attitude and heard about PCC with Preconception Care**
 268 **Utilization**

269 Table13: Association between Knowledge, attitude and heard about PCC with Preconception
 270 Care Utilization.

Variable	Utilization of Preconception care		Chi-square Value	Df	p-value
	Low acceptance n (%) 213(55.5%)	Acceptance n (%) 171(44.5%)			
Knowledge on PCC					
Poor Knowledge	160 (79.6)	41 (20.4)	99.448	1	<0.001*
Good Knowledge	53 (29)	130 (71)			
Attitude on PCC					
Negative Attitude	135 (65.2)	72 (34.8)	17.278	1	<0.001*
Positive Attitude	78 (44.1)	99 (55.9)			
Heard about PCC before pregnancy					
NO	129 (81.6)	29 (18.4)	74.473	1	< 0.001*
Yes	84 (37.2)	142 (62.8)			

271 The utilization of preconception care shows significant associations with respondents'
 272 knowledge ($\chi^2 = 99.448$, p-value < 0.001), attitude ($\chi^2 = 17.278$, p-value < 0.001) and prior
 273 awareness of preconception care (PCC) ($\chi^2 = 74.473$, p-value < 0.001) (Table 13).

274 **Association between utilization of PCC with history of obstetrics and reproductive health**

275 Table 14: Association between utilization of PCC with history of obstetrics and reproductive
 276 health

Variables	Utilization of Preconception care		Chi-square Value	Df	p-value
	Low acceptance n (%) 213 (55.5%)	Acceptance n (%) 171 (44.5%)			
Age at first pregnancy					
≤ 20 yrs	68 (73.1)	25 (26.9)	15.477	1	<0.001
> 20 yrs	145 (49.8)	146 (50.2)			

No of live birth					
Primipara	106 (51.5)	100 (48.5)	2.897	1	0.089
Multipara	107 (60.1)	71 (39.9)			
Planned for pregnancy					
No	106 (84.8)	19 (15.2)	64.549	1	< 0.001
Yes	107 (41.3)	152 (58.7)			
History of adverse health outcome					
No	167 (60.9)	107 (39.1)	11.630	1	< 0.001
Yes	46 (41.8)	64 (58.2)			
PNC checkup last pregnancy					
No	28 (70)	12 (30)	3.817	1	0.051
Yes	185 (53.8)	159 (46.2)			
Contraceptive use history					
No	147 (58.1)	106 (41.9)	2.083	1	0.14
Yes	66 (50.4)	65 (49.6)			
History of Cesarean section					
No	152 (58.7)	107 (41.3)	3.337	1	0.06
Yes	61 (48.8)	64 (51.2)			

277 The above table shows that there are significant associations with several variables. Age at first
278 pregnancy ($\chi^2 = 15.477$, p-value < 0.001), planning for pregnancy ($\chi^2 = 64.549$, p-value <
279 0.001) and history of adverse health ($\chi^2 = 11.630$, p-value < 0.001) was significantly associated
280 with the utilization of PCC. However, there is no association with different variables such as
281 include the number of live births ($\chi^2 = 2.897$, p-value = 0.089), PNC checkup during the last
282 pregnancy ($\chi^2 = 3.817$, p-value = 0.051), contraceptive use history ($\chi^2 = 2.083$, p-value = 0.14),
283 and history of Cesarean section ($\chi^2 = 3.337$, p-value = 0.06) (Table 14).

284 **Association between utilization of PCC and Health service-related characteristics**

285 Table 15: Association between utilization of PCC and Health service-related characteristics

Variable	Utilization of Preconception care		Chi-square Value	Df	p-value
	Low acceptance n (%)	Acceptance n (%)			
Minutes to reach health facilities					
≤ 30 minutes	166 (52.5)	150 (47.5)	6.232	1	0.01
>30 minutes	47 (69.1)	21(30.9)			
Distance from health facilities					
≤2 Km	79 (53.4)	69 (46.6)	.426	1	0.51
> 2 Km	134 (56.8)	102 (43.2)			
Health insurance use before becoming pregnant					
No	154 (66.1)	79 (33.9)	27.084	1	<0.001
Yes	59 (39.1)	92 (60.9)			
Autonomy to Maternal Health					
Self	114 (50)	114 (50)	8.690	2	0.013
Husband	19 (76)	6 (24)			
Both	80 (61.1)	51 (38.9)			
Waiting time at health facilities					
≤ 60 min	146 (61.9)	90 (38.1)	10.140	1	< 0.001
> 60 min	67 (45.3)	81(54.7)			

286 There is a significant association between the health service-related variables and utilization of
 287 PCC such as time to reach health facilities ($\chi^2 = 6.232$, p-value = 0.01), health insurance use
 288 before pregnancy ($\chi^2 = 27.084$, p-value < 0.001), autonomy to maternal health ($\chi^2 = 8.690$, p-
 289 value = 0.013), and waiting time at health facilities ($\chi^2 = 10.140$, p-value< 0.001). However,

290 the distance from health facilities did not show a significant association ($\chi^2 = 0.426$, p-value =
291 0.51) with the utilization of preconception care (Table 15).

292 **4.3. Multivariate Analysis**

293 Bivariate analysis was performed to find out the association between dependent and
294 independent variables and multivariate logistic regression models were analyzed to determine
295 factors associated with the utilization of preconception care. variables with a p-value less than
296 0.05 in the bivariable logistic regression were included in the multivariable logistic regression
297 model Based on the conceptual framework three models of logistic regression were performed.
298 In the first model dependent variable utilization of PCC and Sociodemographic characteristics
299 were assessed, in the second model utilization of PCC and knowledge and attitude towards
300 PCC, in the third model utilization of PCC and health service-related characteristics were
301 assessed. Three models were developed, in the first model is age of respondents, Religion,
302 family size, and occupation of the respondent, were assessed, In the second model, knowledge
303 of PCC, attitude towards PCC and heard about were assessed, similarly, in the third model
304 health service-related characteristics i.e., health insurance before pregnancy, minutes to reach
305 health facilities, waiting time at health facilities, autonomy to maternal health were analyzed
306 with dependent variables.

307 **Relationship between sociodemographic characteristics and Utilization of Preconception** 308 **care**

309 Table16: Relationship between sociodemographic characteristics and Utilization of
310 preconception care.

311 Respondents aged 20-35 years are 9 times more likely to use preconception care services as
 312 compared to those who are under 20 years AOR=9.0; CI (1.99-40.71) Similarly, respondents

Variable	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	p-value	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	p-value
Age of respondents				
< 20 Yrs	1		1	
20-35 Yrs.	8.47(1.94-36.80)	0.004	9.00(1.99-40.71)	0.004
> 35 Yrs	14.0(2.64-73.98)	0.002	13.89(2.49-77.33)	0.003
Religion				
Hindu	1		1	
Others than Hindus	2.60(1.25-5.39)	0.01	3.15(1.43-6.95)	0.004
Family size				
≤ 4	1		1	
>4	1.50(1.00-2.25)	0.049	1.22(0.79-1.88)	0.36
Occupation of respondent				
Housemaker	1		1	
Other than Housemaker	2.59(1.71-3.92)	<0.001	2.58(1.67-3.99)	<0.001

313 aged more than 35 years are nearly 14 times more likely to utilize PCC as compared to under
 314 20 years AOR=13.89; CI (2.49-77.33), this show as the age increases the utilization of PCC
 315 also increases. participants who follow a religion other than Hinduism have 3.15 times more
 316 chances to use PCC as compared to Hindus AOR=3.15, CI (1.43-6.95) Participants engaged in
 317 other occupations besides housemaker are 2.58 times more likely to utilize PCC compared to
 318 housemaker AOR=2.58; CI (1.67-3.99). The Nagelkerke R square in sociodemographic
 319 characteristics is 0.148, which indicates 14.8%of the variance in the utilization of PCC (Table
 320 16)

321 **Relationship between sociodemographic characteristics and Utilization of Preconception**
 322 **care**

323 Table 17: Relationship between knowledge and attitude towards PCC and Utilization of
 324 Preconception

325	Variable	Unadjusted	p-value	Adjusted	p-value	The
326		OR		OR		
		(95% CI)		(95% CI)		
327	Knowledge of PCC					
	Poor Knowledge	1		1		
328	Good Knowledge	9.57(5.99-15.29)	<0.001	5.12(2.99-8.77)	<0.001	
329	Attitude towards Preconception care					
	Negative attitude	1		1		
	Positive attitude	2.38(1.57-3.59)	<0.001	1.92(1.18-3.12)	<0.001	
	Heard about PCC					
	No	1		1		
	Yes	7.52(4.63-12.21)	<0.001	3.15(1.77-5.59)	<0.001	

330 Result revealed that the respondents who have good knowledge of PCC were more than 5 times
 331 more likely to use PCC services as compared to those participants who had poor knowledge
 332 AOR=5.12; CI (2.99-8.77). Similarly, respondents who had a positive attitude towards PCC
 333 were nearly 2 times more likely to use PCC services as compared to those participants who had
 334 a negative attitude AOR=1.92; CI (1.18-3.12) furthermore, the findings underscore respondents
 335 who had heard about PCC were more than 3 times more likely to use PCC services while
 336 comparing with those who had not heard about PCC AOR=3.15; CI (1.77-5.59). The
 337 Nagelkerke R Square of this model is 0.377 which indicates 37.7% variability in utilization of
 338 PCC (Table 17).

339 **Relationship between Health Services related characteristics and utilization of PCC**

340 Table18: Relationship between Health Services related characteristics and utilization of PCC

Variable	Unadjusted	p-value	Adjusted	p-value
	OR		OR	

	(95% CI)		(95% CI)	
Minutes to reach health facilities				
≤30 minutes	2.02(1.15-3.54)	0.014	2.40(1.32-4.35)	0.004
> 30 minutes	1		1	
Health Insurance use before becoming pregnant				
No	1		1	
Yes	3.04(1.98-4.64)	<0.001	2.68(1.71-4.19)	<0.001
Autonomy of Maternal Health				
Self	1.73(1.14-2.63)	0.009	1.45(0.93-2.27)	0.09
Both (Husband and wife)	1		1	
Waiting time at health facilities				
≤60 min	1		1	
> 60 min	1.96(1.29-2.97)	0.002	1.60(1.02-2.50)	0.04

341 Respondents who reach health facilities within 30 minutes are 2.40 times more likely to utilize
342 PCC compared to than who take more than 30 minutes. Similarly, participants who had health
343 insurance before pregnancy are 2.68 times more likely to use PCC compared to those without
344 health insurance. Respondents who wait more than 60 minutes at health facilities are 1.60 times
345 more likely to utilize PCC compared to those who wait 60 minutes or less. Respondents who
346 have autonomy in their maternal health decisions are 1.45 times more likely to utilize PCC
347 compared to those where decisions are made jointly by both husband and wife, this result is
348 not statistically significant after adjustment. The Nagelkerke R Square of this model is 0.14
349 which indicates 14%variability in utilization of PCC (Table 18).

350 **DISCUSSION**

351 The current study aims to assess preconception care component utilization and its associated
352 factors among reproductive-age women of Pokhara Metropolitan, Nepal.

353 This study revealed that only 1 (0.3%) of the participants received all 18 preconception care
354 components before they underwent their last pregnancy. The possible explanation for these
355 results might be due to the lack of awareness and less importance given to services of
356 preconception care and mainly attention is given after conception. The acceptance level of
357 preconception care components was 44.5% and the remaining 55% had a low acceptance level
358 of utilization. This study is allied with the prior study conducted in Bheerkot, which indicated
359 a 47.9% of good PCC practices⁸. However, a study in Bhutan demonstrated 21% Good Practice
360 of PCC which is half of the findings of the current research.¹⁰ Similarly study conducted in
361 Nigeria 24.1%,¹⁹ Ethiopia (22.3%)¹² show the lower utilization of PCC. The justification for
362 this may be due to various perceptions of maternal health care in different cultures and
363 variations in the socio-demographic characteristics of the study participants.

364 Furthermore, this study showed the most common component utilized by women is
365 avoiding/cessation of cigarettes, the women who never smoked in their lives (96.9%) out of
366 those who smoked cigarettes 66.7% stopped or reduced smoking before conception similarly
367 study shows low cessation of smoking in (30.28%) China, Nigeria (27.2%)^{19,20}. Taking a
368 healthy diet before conception is high in Bheerkot (90.1%) and Guji Ethiopia (85.7%) and but
369 less practice (49.2%) is seen in this study. Only 35.9% take folic acid which is in lined with
370 study conducted in Bhutan (25%) and much lower in Kathmandu (4%) and in Ethiopia (13.2%)
371 and higher intake in Sri Lanka (43.6%). Taking consulting for a healthy pregnancy is 35.2%
372 in this study which is higher than the study done in Bhutan, Nigeria, Ethiopia ^{10,19}. Screening
373 for STI is low (27.6%) in this study which is less than the study conducted in Guji Ethiopia
374 (87.1%), Bhutan (39.7%) and similar to Osun, Nigeria (29.9%). Family planning method
375 removal/stop is 35.9% in this study which is aligned with the study in Bhutan (37.7%)and
376 higher than in Ethiopia (17.9%).^{10,18}.

377 The planned pregnancy in this study was 67.4%, which is higher than the study conducted in
378 Sri Lanka (62%) and South West Ethiopia (44.8%) and lower than the study conducted in
379 Nigeria (77.7%)^{13,15,18}The findings show there is a significant association between planned
380 pregnancy and utilization of PCC ($\chi^2 = 64.549$, p-value < 0.001) the result was aligned with a
381 study conducted in Nigeria, Adet, Northwestern Ethiopia^{2,13}the justification for this result
382 might be due to effective family planning interventions are in place. Mothers who had
383 experienced adverse pregnancy outcomes showed statistically significant associations with the
384 utilization of PCC ($\chi^2 = 11.630$, p-value < 0.001). Similar findings were seen in Southern
385 Ethiopia and Northern Ethiopia⁶This result might be due to mothers who experience adverse
386 pregnancy outcomes wanting to use PCC services to reduce potential risk for future pregnancy.

387 In this study, respondents aged 20-35 years are 9 times more likely to use preconception care
388 services as compared to those who are under 20 years AOR=9.0; CI (1.99-40.71) Similarly,
389 respondents aged more than 35 years are nearly 14 times more likely to utilize PCC as
390 compared to under 20 years AOR=13.89; CI (2.49-77.33), this study is allied with the study
391 conducted in Malaysia shows more than two times and in Nigeria 1.3 times utilization of PCC
392 services as compared to their counterparts.^{13,21} this shows as age increases the utilization of
393 PCC also increases. This result might be due to increased health concerns and higher chances
394 of pregnancy complications among older age groups which encourage them to seek PCC
395 services. In this study participants who follow a religion other than Hinduism have 3.15 times
396 more chances to use PCC as compared to Hindus. This may be due to socio-cultural belief or
397 practices regarding preconception care. This study found that participants engaged in other
398 occupations besides housemaker are 2.58 times more likely to utilize PCC compared to
399 housemaker but in contrast, the study conducted in Malaysia, and Nigeria shows women who
400 are not working utilize PCC services^{13,21} the reason for that was working women are more

401 exposed to the information, and meeting with different people enhances the knowledge seeking
402 for utilization of preconception care.

403 In this study respondents who had good knowledge of PCC were more than 5 times more likely
404 to use PCC services as compared to those participants who had poor knowledge AOR=5.12;
405 CI (2.99-8.77). These findings is consistent with the study conducted in West Guji Ethiopia
406 and Southern Ethiopia which reported that individuals with poor knowledge of PCC had 47%
407 and 82% reduced the chances of utilizing PCC compared with those with good knowledge.^{6,12}
408 This might be because having good knowledge increases the importance of preconception care
409 which motivates them to utilize the PCC service. This study revealed that the respondents who
410 had a positive attitude towards PCC were nearly 2 times more likely to use PCC services as
411 compared to those with a negative attitude AOR=1.92; CI (1.18-3.12). This finding is
412 consistent with similar research conducted in Mizan-Aman town in South-west Ethiopia²² and
413 almost 10 times more likely to utilize PCC services in the cross-section study conducted in
414 West Guji, Ethiopia. ¹² This result might be due to having a positive attitude toward PCC
415 increases perceived benefit which encourages the individual to use the PCC services. This
416 study shows respondents who had heard about PCC were more than 3 times more likely to use
417 PCC services compared with those who had not heard about PCC AOR=3.15; CI (1.77-5.59).
418 These findings is higher in research conducted in Malaysia and Southeastern Ethiopia showing
419 more than 5 times increase the chances to use PCC services than their counterparts.^{21,23} The
420 possible explanation for this finding is that might be access to information through various
421 sources such as social media, health worker.

422 This study revealed that the respondents who reach health facilities within 30 minutes are 2.40
423 times more likely to utilize PCC than those who take more than 30 minutes. This study found
424 similar results with the result conducted in Mizan Aman town, Southwest Ethiopia and the

425 southern part of Ethiopia^{22,24}.The availability of health facilities nearer to respondent
426 residential increases the likelihood of utilizing the PCC services. Similarly, participants who
427 had health insurance before pregnancy are 2.68 times more likely to use PCC compared to
428 those without health insurance. The study conducted in Iran shows that there is a relationship
429 between the utilization of PCC services and women having Health insurance (P <0.001).
430 Similarly, the cross-sectional study among 1,368 Jordanian women who had good utilization
431 of PCC who have enrolled in private health insurance (19%) and Government 52.7%)^{25,26}.For
432 this the justification might be health insurance reduces the financial burden of health care
433 services thus increasing the chances of seeking the care. Respondents who wait more than 60
434 minutes at health facilities are 1.60 times more likely to utilize PCC compared to those who
435 wait 60 minutes or less. The possible explanation for this result might be due to more emphasis
436 and value given to health care services.

437 **Conclusion**

438 The study concludes that in Pokhara Metropolitan there is a low utilization of preconception
439 care services among reproductive-age women. Only 0.3% of participants utilize all
440 preconception care components before their last pregnancy. Only 44.5% had an acceptance
441 level of utilization. The key factors associated with the utilization of PCC services are the age
442 of the respondent, religion, occupation, knowledge, attitude towards PCC, heard about PCC,
443 minutes to reach health facilities, health insurance use before pregnancy, and waiting time at
444 health facilities. These studies highlight the need for targeted interventions to improve
445 awareness and change in attitude towards PCC help to increase PCC services utilization thereby
446 enhancing maternal and child health outcomes.

447 **Recommendation**

- 448 • Organize community-based educational programs focusing on the importance of PCC
449 involving local leaders, teachers' community health workers and community
450 volunteers.
- 451 • Use local media to share success stories and positive outcomes of PCC utilization. This
452 can include radio programs and social media campaigns.
- 453 • Advocate for health insurance.
- 454 • Specific support and necessary counselling given by health facilities to address women
455 with a history of adverse health outcomes.
- 456 • Initiation of an appointment system to reduce waiting time at health facilities.

457 **Acknowledgements:**

458 This study was supported by the Health Office, Kaski. The author would like to thank all the
459 participants who gave their valuable time to make this study successful. Most importantly I

460 like to thank Pokhara Metropolitan for their cooperation and assistance in enabling access to
461 the study sites and participants. I also want to acknowledge the School of Health and Allied
462 Sciences, Pokhara University for the technical arrangements and support.

463 **Author Contributions:**

464 Conceptualization and Visualization: Sita Aryal, Dr. Tulsi Ram Bhandari

465 Data curation: Sita Aryal, Dr. Tulsi Ram Bhandari, Yamuna Marasini

466 Formal analysis: Sita Aryal, Dr. Tulsi Ram Bhandari, Yamuna Marasini

467 Investigation: Sita Aryal, Yamuna Marasini, Saroj Wagle

468 Methodology: Dr. Tulsi Ram Bhandari, Yamuna Marasini

469 Software: Sita Aryal, Yamuna Marasini

470 Supervision: Dr. Tulsi Ram Bhandari

471 Writing– original draft: Sita Aryal, Saroj Wagle

472 Writing– review & editing: Sita Aryal, Dr. Tulsi Ram Bhandari, Saroj Wagle

473 All the authors read, revised, and approved the final manuscript.

474 References

- 475 1. WHO. Preconception care to reduce maternal and childhood mortality and morbidity
476 [Internet]. Preconception care to reduce maternal and childhood mortality and
477 morbidity. 2013 [cited 2024 Jan 15]. Available from: [https://www.who.int/publications-](https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/9789241505000)
478 [detail-redirect/9789241505000](https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/9789241505000)
- 479 2. Ayalew Y, Mulat A, Dile M, Simegn A. Women's knowledge and associated factors in
480 preconception care in adet, west gojjam, northwest Ethiopia: a community based cross
481 sectional study. *Reprod Health*. 2017 Jan 25;14(1):15.
- 482 3. de Weger FJ, Hukkelhoven CWPM, Serroyen J, te Velde ER, Smits LJM. Advanced
483 maternal age, short interpregnancy interval, and perinatal outcome. *Am J Obstet*
484 *Gynecol*. 2011 May;204(5):421.e1-9.
- 485 4. UN Sustainable Development U. Sustainable Development Goal 3: Good Health and
486 Well-being | United Nations in Nepal [Internet]. [cited 2024 Jun 16]. Available from:
487 <https://nepal.un.org/en/sdgs/3>
- 488 5. State of World Population 2022: Seeing the Unseen: The case for action in the neglected
489 crisis of unintended pregnancy [EN/AR/RU] - World | ReliefWeb [Internet]. 2022 [cited
490 2024 Feb 15]. Available from: [https://reliefweb.int/report/world/state-world-population-](https://reliefweb.int/report/world/state-world-population-2022-seeing-unseen-case-action-neglected-crisis-unintended)
491 [2022-seeing-unseen-case-action-neglected-crisis-unintended](https://reliefweb.int/report/world/state-world-population-2022-seeing-unseen-case-action-neglected-crisis-unintended)
- 492 6. Wegene MA, Gejo NG, Bedecha DY, Kerbo AA, Hagisso SN, Damtew SA. Utilization
493 of preconception care and associated factors in Hosanna Town, Southern Ethiopia.
494 *PLOS ONE*. 2022 Jan 7;17(1):e0261895.
- 495 7. Aragaw FM, Amare T, Teklu RE, Tegegne BA, Alem AZ. Magnitude of unintended
496 pregnancy and its determinants among childbearing age women in low and middle-
497 income countries: evidence from 61 low and middle income countries. *Front Reprod*
498 *Health* [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2024 Jan 19];5. Available from:
499 <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/frph.2023.1113926>
- 500 8. Godar M, Sharma K, Adhikari KR. Knowledge And Practice of Preconception Care
501 Among Women of Reproductive-Age in Bheerkot Municipality, Nepal. *J Womans*
502 *Reprod Health*. 2023;
- 503 9. WHO. Born too soon: The global action report on preterm birth [Internet]. 2012 [cited
504 2024 Jan 19]. Available from: [https://www.who.int/publications-detail-](https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/9789241503433)
505 [redirect/9789241503433](https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/9789241503433)
- 506 10. Gyamtsho S, Tenzin K, Bhutia PC, Tshomo T, Choeda T. Assessment of knowledge,
507 attitude, and practice on preconception care among pregnant women at National
508 Hospital, Thimphu, Bhutan. *Bhutan Health J*. 2022 May 31;8(1):1–7.
- 509 11. Young MF, Oaks BM, Tandon S, Martorell R, Dewey KG, Wendt AS. Maternal
510 hemoglobin concentrations across pregnancy and maternal and child health: a
511 systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2019;1450(1):47–68.

- 512 12. Amaje E, Fikrie A, Utura T. Utilization of Preconception Care and Its Associated
513 Factors among Pregnant Women of West Guji Zone, Oromia, Ethiopia, 2021: A
514 Community-Based Cross-Sectional Study. *Health Serv Res Manag Epidemiol*. 2022
515 Jan;9:233339282210887.
- 516 13. C.H.O. C, N.C. E, N.O. C, M.I. A. Preconception Care Utilization among Childbearing
517 Women in South-East Nigeria. *Afr J Health Nurs Midwifery*. 2021 Sep 22;4(6):1–13.
- 518 14. Khanal LD. Knowledge and utilization of preconception care among women in selected
519 community of Kathmandu. *J Patan Acad Health Sci*. 2020 Sep 15;7(2):112–23.
- 520 15. Patabendige M, Goonewardene IMR. Preconception care received by women attending
521 antenatal clinics at a Teaching Hospital in Southern Sri Lanka. 2013 Sep 2;35(1):3.
- 522 16. Madanat AY, Sheshah EA. Preconception care in Saudi women with diabetes mellitus. *J*
523 *Fam Community Med*. 2016;23(2):109–14.
- 524 17. Ding Y, Li XT, Xie F, Yang YL. Survey on the Implementation of Preconception Care
525 in Shanghai, China. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol*. 2015;29(6):492–500.
- 526 18. Setegn Alie M, Alemu T, Alemayehu D, Negesse Y, Gebremariam A. Preconception
527 care utilization and associated factors among reproductive age women in Mizan-Aman
528 town, Bench Sheko zone, Southwest Ethiopia, 2020. A content analysis. *PloS One*.
529 2022;17(8):e0273297.
- 530 19. Olowokere AE, Komolafe A, Owofadeju C. Awareness, knowledge and uptake of
531 preconception care among women in Ife Central Local Government Area of Osun State,
532 Nigeria. *J Community Med Prim Health Care*. 2015;27(2):83–92.
- 533 20. Du L, La X, Zhu L, Jiang H, Xu B, Chen A, et al. Utilization of preconception care and
534 its impacts on health behavior changes among expectant couples in Shanghai, China.
535 *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2021 Jul 7;21(1):491.
- 536 21. TALIB R, Idris I, Sutan R, AHMAD N, BAKAR N. Patterns of Pre-pregnancy Care
537 Usage among Reproductive Age Women in Kedah, Malaysia. *Iran J Public Health*. 2018
538 Nov 1;47:1694–702.
- 539 22. Setegn M. Intention to use and its predictors towards preconception care utilization
540 among reproductive age women in Mizan-Aman town, Bench-Sheko Zone, southwest
541 Ethiopia, 2020: Based on Theory of planned behaviour [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2024 Feb
542 15]. Available from: <https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-391295/v1>
- 543 23. Gelgalu T. Preconception Care Utilization and Associated Factors Among Pregnant
544 Women Attending Antenatal Care in Public Health Facilities of Shashemene south
545 eastern Ethiopia: Institutional-Based CrossSectional Study [Internet] [Thesis]. 2021
546 [cited 2024 Feb 15]. Available from: <http://etd.hu.edu.et/handle/123456789/3434>
- 547 24. Habte A, Dessu S, Haile D. Determinants of practice of preconception care among
548 women of reproductive age group in southern Ethiopia, 2020: content analysis.
549 *Reproductive Health*. 2021 May 21;18(1):100.

- 550 25. Ebadi T, Narjes Sadat B, Bayrami R, Mehrbakhsh Z. Preconception Care Patterns and
551 Some Related Factors in Pregnant Women in Gorgan in 2017. *J Res Dev Nurs*
552 *Midwifery*. 2019 Nov 10;16(2):30–40.
- 553 26. Alkhatib B, Agraib LM, Hourani HA, Hasan H. Assessing the Provision of
554 Preconception Care Knowledge, Attitudes, and Practice Among Jordanian Women of
555 Reproductive Age. *Sage Open*. 2024 Apr 1;14(2):21582440241245221.
- 556