

Protocol

Equations for Estimating Basal and Resting Metabolic Rates: A Scoping Review Protocol

Author(s)

Veronika Pourová,^{1,*} Alena Langaufová,² Abanoub Riad^{1,3}

Affiliation(s)

¹ Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

² Department of Health Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

³ Masaryk Centre for Global Health (MCGH), Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

Corresponding Author

Ing. Mgr. Veronika Pourová

Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, Masaryk University, 625 00 Brno, Czech Republic

veronika@nehladu.cz

Keyword(s)

Basal Metabolic Rate; Energy Expenditure; Evidence-Based Healthcare; Indirect Calorimetry; Resting Metabolic Rate

1 **Abstract**

2 *Objective*

3 This scoping review aims to map the existing equations used for estimating basal and resting metabolic
4 rates and to specify their applicability to various population groups, particularly in cases where they
5 demonstrate higher accuracy based on age, ethnicity, and body mass index (BMI).

6 *Methods*

7 The proposed scoping review will be conducted in accordance with the JBI methodology for scoping
8 reviews and reported following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses
9 for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR). A comprehensive search strategy has been developed to identify
10 both published and unpublished studies. The literature search will be conducted in the following
11 databases: MEDLINE (Ovid), EMBASE (Ovid), SportDiscus (EBSCO), and ClinicalTrials.gov. Abstracts
12 will be screened against the inclusion criteria, and the full text of all potential studies will be reviewed by
13 two independent researchers. Data will be extracted using a pre-designed data extraction sheet and
14 presented in tabular form and as a narrative summary.

15 *Results*

16 The findings of this review will be disseminated through publication in a peer-reviewed journal and
17 presented as an abstract at specialty conferences.

18 *Conclusion*

19 To the best of the authors' knowledge, this review will be the first to comprehensively map all existing
20 equations for both basal and resting metabolic rates. It is also a pioneering effort in synthesising evidence
21 on the accuracy of these equations.

22 *Open Science Framework (OSF) Registration*

23 This project has been registered at OSF since October 3rd, 2024.
24 <https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/UD4WB>

25 **Introduction**

26 *Rationale*

27 The treatment of obesity is multifaceted and requires the involvement of a multidisciplinary team,
28 including dietitians, physiotherapists, physicians, and psychologists. Obese patients often experience
29 a history of repeated cycles of weight loss and regain, making the accurate assessment of their energy
30 needs crucial for developing appropriate dietary plans.¹ Understanding these energy requirements is
31 essential for setting an adequate energy intake that preserves muscle mass, supports overall tissue
32 function, and reduces excess body fat.^{1,2}

33 One of the most accurate methods for measuring resting energy expenditure (REE) is indirect
34 calorimetry, which provides a direct measurement of a patient's metabolic rate.³ However, this
35 method requires significant technical and clinical expertise, and its application is relatively time-
36 consuming and costly.^{3,4} In 2011, it was accessible to only about 18% of nutrition professionals in the
37 UK.⁵ As such, its routine use in clinical practice is limited by resource constraints.³⁻⁵

38 In clinical settings where indirect calorimetry is unavailable, predictive equations are commonly
39 employed to estimate REE and inform dietary planning. These equations typically consider variables
40 such as body weight, height, age, and sex, with some incorporating additional factors such as fat-free
41 mass and disease-specific parameters. For these equations to be deemed accurate, they should exhibit
42 an error rate of less than 10% when compared to indirect calorimetry. However, the current literature
43 indicates that even the most accurate predictive equations achieve this level of precision in only 73%
44 of patients within specific body mass index (BMI) categories.⁶⁻⁸

45 Selecting the appropriate equation for a given patient population is critical to ensuring accurate
46 estimation of energy needs when indirect calorimetry is not feasible. Therefore, a systematic and
47 comprehensive review of existing predictive equations, including their accuracy and applicability to
48 specific patient subgroups, is urgently needed to guide clinical decision-making in the management of
49 obesity.

50 *Review Question(s)*

51 This scoping review aims to explore the current landscape of equations used to estimate basal and
52 resting metabolic rates (BMR and RMR) and their accuracy across different population groups.
53 Therefore, it was designed to answer the following questions:

54 I. What are the existing equations used to estimate BMR and RMR?

- 55 II. Which population groups have been studied in the development of equations for estimating BMR
56 and RMR?
57 III. How do these equations differ in terms of accuracy across specific population groups?

58 **Inclusion Criteria**

59 *Participants*

60 This review will include studies involving individuals from all demographic groups, with no
61 exclusions based on age, gender, ethnicity, medical history, or BMI, thereby ensuring comprehensive
62 coverage of diverse populations.

63 *Concept*

64 This review will explore the various equations used to estimate basal and BMR and RMR and how
65 they compare to indirect calorimetry. The focus will be on identifying, mapping, and synthesising
66 evidence related to the accuracy and applicability of these equations in estimating resting energy
67 expenditure (REE) across diverse populations.

68 *Context*

69 This review will consider studies conducted in any geographic location and in various settings,
70 including clinical, non-clinical, and research environments. It will account for factors such as cultural,
71 racial, and gender influences that may impact metabolic rate estimates, aiming to provide a
72 comprehensive understanding of how these equations perform across different population groups and
73 contexts.

74 *Types of Sources*

75 This review will include all analytical observational studies, such as cross-sectional, case-control, and
76 cohort studies, that have utilised equations for measuring BMR and RMR. Eligible studies should
77 compare the equations with indirect calorimetry.

78 **Methods**

79 The proposed scoping review will be conducted according to the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI)
80 methodology for scoping reviews and will be reported as per the Preferred Reporting Items for
81 Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR).^{9,10}

82 *Search Strategy*

83 A comprehensive three-phase search strategy was developed to locate both published and
84 unpublished studies. In the first phase, an initial limited search of MEDLINE (Ovid) was conducted
85 to identify relevant articles. In the second phase, a detailed literature search was carried out across
86 multiple databases, including MEDLINE (Ovid), EMBASE (Ovid), SportDiscus (EBSCO), and
87 ClinicalTrials.gov, using all identified keywords and index terms.

88 To cover grey literature, sources such as SportDiscus and ClinicalTrials.gov were included. The
89 search focused on identifying all studies related to "resting energy expenditure" and "predictive
90 equations." No language or publication restrictions were applied to ensure a comprehensive search.

91 In the final phase, citation tracking will be conducted, where the reference lists of all studies meeting
92 the inclusion criteria will be checked for additional relevant studies.

93 *Selection Process*

94 Two independent reviewers will screen all retrieved articles in accordance with the inclusion criteria.
95 In the initial screening phase, articles will be assessed based on their titles and abstracts. In the second
96 phase, full-text screening will be conducted to determine the final inclusion of studies in the scoping
97 review. Any disagreements between the two reviewers during the screening process will be resolved
98 through consultation with a third reviewer. The study selection process will be illustrated using a
99 PRISMA flow diagram, detailing each stage of the review.

100 *Data Extraction*

101 Data will be extracted from all included studies using a structured data extraction sheet designed
102 specifically for this review. The extracted data will include study characteristics (e.g., author, year of
103 publication, study design, and sample size), details of the predictive equations for BMR and RMR,
104 comparison with indirect calorimetry, and reported outcomes related to accuracy and applicability.

105 Two independent reviewers will perform the data extraction to ensure consistency and accuracy,
106 resolving any discrepancies through discussion or by consulting a third reviewer if necessary.

107 *Data Analysis & Presentation*

108 Data from the included studies will be synthesised qualitatively and will be presented in tabular form,
109 accompanied by a narrative summary to highlight the accuracy and applicability of the various
110 equations for estimating BMR and RMR. Graphical representations may be used to visually
111 summarise trends and differences across population groups.

112 **Acknowledgements**

113 The authors would like to thank Masaryk University Campus Library (KUK) for providing access to
114 the necessary databases essential for conducting this scoping review.

115 **Funding**

116 The work of A.R. is supported by the NPO "Systemic Risk Institute" no. LX22NPO5101, funded by
117 European Union-Next Generation EU (Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports, NPO: EXCELES).

118 **Conflicts of Interest**

119 The authors have no conflict of interests to be declared.

120 **References**

- 121 1. Löffler MC, Betz MJ, Blondin DP, et al. Challenges in tackling energy expenditure as obesity
122 therapy: From preclinical models to clinical application. *Mol Metab.* 2021;51.
123 doi:10.1016/J.MOLMET.2021.101237
- 124 2. Burrige K, Christensen SM, Golden A, Ingersoll AB, Tondt J, Bays HE. Obesity history, physical
125 exam, laboratory, body composition, and energy expenditure: An Obesity Medicine Association
126 (OMA) Clinical Practice Statement (CPS) 2022. *Obesity pillars.* 2022;1.
127 doi:10.1016/J.OBPILL.2021.100007
- 128 3. Compher C, Frankenfield D, Keim N, Roth-Yousey L. Best practice methods to apply to
129 measurement of resting metabolic rate in adults: a systematic review. *J Am Diet Assoc.*
130 2006;106(6):881-903. doi:10.1016/J.JADA.2006.02.009
- 131 4. Marra M, Cioffi I, Sammarco R, et al. Are Raw BIA Variables Useful for Predicting Resting
132 Energy Expenditure in Adults with Obesity? *Nutrients.* 2019;11(2):216. doi:10.3390/NU11020216
- 133 5. Judges D, Knight A, Graham E, Goff LM. Estimating energy requirements in hospitalised
134 underweight and obese patients requiring nutritional support: a survey of dietetic practice in the
135 United Kingdom. *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 2011;66(3):394-398. doi:10.1038/ejcn.2011.211
- 136 6. Bendavid I, Lobo DN, Barazzoni R, et al. The centenary of the Harris-Benedict equations: How to
137 assess energy requirements best? Recommendations from the ESPEN expert group. *Clin Nutr.*
138 2021;40(3):690-701. doi:10.1016/J.CLNU.2020.11.012
- 139 7. Jésus P, Achamrah N, Grigioni S, et al. Validity of predictive equations for resting energy
140 expenditure according to the body mass index in a population of 1726 patients followed in a
141 Nutrition Unit. *Clin Nutr.* 2015;34(3):529-535. doi:10.1016/J.CLNU.2014.06.009
- 142 8. Frankenfield D, Roth-Yousey L, Compher C. Comparison of predictive equations for resting
143 metabolic rate in healthy nonobese and obese adults: A systematic review. *J Am Diet Assoc.*
144 2005;105(5):775-789. doi:10.1016/j.jada.2005.02.005
- 145 9. Peters M, Godfrey C, McInerney P, Baldini Soares C, Khalil H, Parker D. *JBI Manual for*
146 *Evidence Synthesis.* JBI; 2020. doi:10.46658/jbimes-20-01
- 147 10. Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, et al. PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR):
148 Checklist and Explanation. *Ann Intern Med.* 2018;169(7):467-473. doi:10.7326/M18-0850

149 **Appendices**

150 *Appendix I: Search Strategy*

151 *Appendix II: PRISMA-P Checklist*