

Title Non-Invasive Systemic Blood Pressure Measurement Wait Period Error Mitigation

Seán McMahon, Paul Morrow

Department of Mechanical and Automobile Engineering, Technological University of the Shannon: Midlands Midwest, Limerick City, Ireland.

Abstract

World Health Organisation blood pressure measurement guidelines stipulate that a patient should be seated, back straight and legs uncrossed, for five minutes prior to blood pressure measurement. A study shows that in the majority of cases, the wait period is disregarded by the physician and consequently, the patient may be exposed to the risk of missed diagnosis or misdiagnosis. Modern oscillometric blood pressure measurement devices do not enforce a wait period or monitor patients to gauge their readiness for a measurement. This study investigates whether the electrocardiogram (ECG) or photoplethysmography (PPG) data of a patient could be used as a determinant of readiness for blood pressure measurement. The results indicate that the standard deviation of the interpeak difference of a patient's ECG bio-signal could be used as a trigger for blood pressure measurement. In this single participant study, the wait period could be reduced from five minutes to 35 seconds. A follow up clinical investigation is recommended to validate the result on broader populations and a list of improvements are provided for such a follow up investigation.

Introduction

The World Health Organization, (WHO), refers to hypertension as the 'silent killer' and describes hypertension as the greatest risk factor for death globally (World Health Organization, 2023). It notes that hypertensive heart disease has risen from the 18th leading cause of death to the 9th leading cause of death in high income countries (World Health Organisation, 2020). However, hypertension is also the leading adjustable risk factor for premature death globally (Mills, et al., 2020) and therefore, the importance of correctly diagnosing disease should not be underestimated.

Diagnosis of hypertension, or many other blood pressure, (BP), related diseases, is achieved by measuring systemic blood pressure. Systemic blood pressure is a measure of the force exerted by blood as it flows through the vascular system due to the pumping action of the heart (Magder, 2018). As distinct from pulmonary BP associated with the lungs, systemic BP occurs when the left ventricle supplies oxygenated blood to systemic circulation and the right atrium receives deoxygenated blood from systemic circulation. As regards hypertension, systolic BP is regarded as normal if it is below 120 mmHg (Fogoros, 2023).

The field of systemic blood pressure measurement has been well established since Korotkoff identified particular sound patterns during the decompression of an artery from a compressed state (Shevchenko & Tsitlik, 1996) and is now largely dominated by cuff-based BP measurement devices. The WHO has issued technical requirements for cuff-based BP measurement (John, et al., 2021) but do not yet recommend the use of devices based on photoplethysmography or other non-invasive cuff-less solutions.

Despite the serious nature of BP related diseases, the need to diagnose correctly and the established nature of the technology, modern BP measurement devices have significant sources of error associated with them such as psychological, (e.g. White Coat Hypertension), device related (e.g. misfitting cuff) and procedural (e.g. observer error) (Mukkamala, et al., 2022). A PRISMA based meta-analysis of academic papers from Pubmed and IEEE suggests a primary academic focus on cuffless based BP devices and the most common error source being device related.

The current BP measurement recommendation is to remain seated, with back straight for five minutes prior to a BP measurement (James & Gerber, 2018). However, studies indicate individuals with BP levels less than 140mmHg could be measured without a wait period and that implementing such an approach might increase screening volume by approximately 60% (Brady, et al., 2021). This creates a conundrum as BP must be first measured, to determine if it is less than 140mmHg. In fact, a recent study showed in the majority of cases, physicians had abandoned guidelines and were taking immediate measurements potentially putting patients at the risk of misdiagnosis and mistreatment (Levy, et al., 2016).

The objective of this study is to determine if BP measurement error can be mitigated through the dynamic monitoring of patients connected to a BP measurement device so that the optimum time to initiate BP measurement is utilised. A correctly functioning algorithm, should reduce the wait period, especially for those with BP under 140mmHg, and encourage physicians to follow good clinical practice thereby reducing the likelihood of misdiagnosis.


NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Materials and Methods

To conduct the primary research, a critical literature review of BP measurement was first carried out including a meta-analysis using PRISMA guidelines of PubMed and IEEE articles whereby the results were reviewed and discussed. Following the confirmatory literature review it was elected to use photoplethysmography (PPG) and electrocardiogram (ECG) technologies to investigate whether an optimum measurement state could be discovered.

Equipment

Clinical care focussed corporations such as Baxter, Medtronic, General Electric and Omron manufacturing vital signs monitoring devices may require costly electronic healthcare record systems to access the raw signal data, (Hillrom, 2023). Consumer devices from Garmin, Fitbit, etc. are not sold as research devices and it is not clear that it is possible to derive the raw data required to answer the research question. Several electronic component manufacturers have development kits, that enable direct measurement of PPG and ECG human vital signs. Selected kits were scored and the MAX86150EVSYS chosen as per figure 1.



Device	PPG LED	ECG	Manufacturer	Score
MAX86150EVSYS	Red/ IR	1-Lead	Analog Devices	6
AFE4400SPO2EVM	Red/ IR	No	TI	4
MAXREFDES104	Red/IR/Green	Yes (NDA)	Analog Devices	4
EVAL-HCRWATCH4Z	4-wavelength	biopotential	Analog Devices	5
MED-SPO2	Red/ IR	No	NXP	3

Figure 1: MAX86150EVSYS kit (left) and device selection scoring table (right)

The Omron M3 Comfort, (Model: HEM-7155-E), upper arm BP measurement device was chosen to take BP readings and a Rigel Uni-Sim requiring calibration was used to validate the MAX86150EVSYS ECG function.

Study Protocol

The single participant clinical investigation study protocol was designed to take 16 separate readings as per table 1, where the second eight reading are repeated with an exercise intervention. The protocol was preregistered on aspredicted.org at <https://aspredicted.org/zg4um.pdf> and passed ethics approval with the Technological University of the Shannon.

Measurement condition	Rationale
WHO Guidelines for BP Measurement	Establish a standard BP Measurement baseline
WHO Guidelines for BP Measurement & ECG	Standard Baseline with ECG data
WHO Guidelines for BP Measurement & PPG	Standard Baseline with PPG data
Immediate BP Measurement	Establish baseline of Wait Period Removal
Immediate BP Measurement & ECG	Wait removed baseline with ECG data
Immediate BP Measurement & PPG	Wait removed baseline with PPG data
BP Measurement after 3 minute & ECG	Effect of Reduced Wait Period with ECG data
BP Measurement after 3 minute & PPG	Effect of Reduced Wait Period with PPG data

Table 1: Measurement conditions and rationale

Code

The study analysis software code was designed to select a window of 4000 ECG or PPG data samples equivalent to 20 seconds of data. The scipy library function `signal.find_peaks` was called to discover the ECG and PPG Peaks. The number of samples between peaks was computed and saved to an array. The standard deviation (SD) of the array was calculated with the intent of finding an array with a SD less than 9. The window was set to shift by 2.5 seconds as the algorithm searched the data for an array of interpeak intervals that met the criterion. Once the trigger conditions were calculated, as per equation (1), and satisfied, the settled ECG or PPG dataset was said to represent an optimum time for BP measurement.

$$BP \text{ Measurement Trigger} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N (\text{interpeak distance}_i - \text{interpeak array mean})^2}{N - 1}} \quad 1$$

Results and Discussion

The single participant clinical investigation was conducted according to the protocol and the results recorded and analysed.

Results

The systolic, diastolic and pulse rate were measured with the Omron M3 Comfort according to the study protocol. The ECG and PPG data were analysed with the interpeak algorithm and new wait times calculated with code alterations as noted in Table 2; ¹ standard deviation 10, ² standard deviation 14.2, ³ interpeak minimum distance changed to 100 samples, ⁴ standard deviation 9.5 & ⁵ standard deviation 11.9

Method	Date	Exercise	Systolic	Diastolic	Pulse	New Wait
WHO Guidelines for BP Measure	May 2024	No	110	77	80	NA
WHO Guidelines for BP Measure & ECG	May 2024	No	119	87	75	35
WHO Guidelines for BP Measure & PPG	May 2024	No	108	84	77	29
Immediate BP Measure	May 2024	No	106	78.00	69.00	NA
Immediate BP Measure & ECG	May 2024	No	111	81	76	91
Immediate BP Measure & PPG	Jun 2024	No	100	80	81	157 ¹
BP Measurement after 3 minute & ECG	Jun 2024	No	113	72	73	52
BP Measurement after 3 minute & PPG	Jun 2024	No	122	86	61	180 ²
WHO Guidelines for BP Measurement	May 2024	Yes	109	82	68	NA
WHO Guidelines for BP Measure & ECG	Jun 2024	Yes	111	82	80	103 ³
WHO Guidelines for BP Measure & PPG	May 2024	Yes	113	83	68	169 ⁴
Immediate BP Measure	Jun 2024	Yes	120	76	64	NA
Immediate BP Measure & ECG	May 2024	Yes	143	76	76	Error
Immediate BP Measure & PPG	Jun 2024	Yes	136	82	66	179 ⁵
BP Measure after 3 minute & ECG	Jul 2024	Yes	114	77	70	71
BP Measurement after 3 minute & PPG	Aug 2024	Yes	124	87	70	175

Table 2 Single Participant Measurement Results

The peak detect process was found to work optimally with the ECG sensor on the MAX86150EVSYS whilst the PPG sensor had significant bias and peak omission issues. A sample of ECG recordings taken whilst following the WHO guidelines is shown in Figure 2 below.




Figure 2: ECG data inverted on y axis with peak_detect(left) and interpeak array by sample count (right)

Discussion

A heightened heart rate is associated with increased blood pressure (Scott & Drawz, 2012) and thus the exercise intervention was intended to increase BP into a region where measurement was unsuitable due to the temporary nature of the elevated BP. The goal of the clinical investigation was to examine whether the point at which human BP returns to normal conditions could be determined using either photoplethysmography, (PPG) or electrocardiogram, (ECG), technology.

The WHO guideline compliant measurement with ECG monitoring and no intervention gave a new wait time of 35 seconds, representing an 88% reduction in wait time. The time to wait until the standard deviation conditions were met increased by 194% and 40% for the two ECG measurements in the case of intervention and reflected the expected trend. Due to a phenomenon known as heart rate variability, (HRV) (Tiwari, et

al., 2022), the process of selecting a standard deviation threshold is non-trivial and further studies are required to validate the finding. Of note, is the corrective manner of HRV which seems to oscillate between extremes.

The resources for the clinical investigation were limited and several fields in which the study protocol could be improved were identified, namely personnel, research site, participants, intervention, duration, equipment communication and measurement method. In particular, the study participants should be expanded to include a more representative cohort of adults, with attention to age, gender, ethnicity, BMI and disease. In addition, the exercise intervention should be designed for a more sustained BP elevation and monitored to ensure precise pulse rate thresholds are achieved to ensure repeatability.

Conclusions

The WHO guidelines specify a wait period of five minutes before taking a BP reading but literature suggests for healthy individuals that wait is unnecessary. Given the debate, lack of uniformity (Japan specify a three-minute wait) and the discretion that is ceded to healthcare professionals on their measurement approach, a more active and dynamic approach to correct BP measurement procedure is long overdue.

When the participant was waiting and complying with WHO guidelines, the clinical investigation using ECG data yielded a wait time reduction of 88%. Of note also, is the incremental corrective waveforms associated with heart rate variability and further study is recommended to determine where a correlation exists between HRV and trending blood pressure.

The single participant clinical investigation suggests that monitoring a patient's ECG interpeak intervals could determine the most effective time to take a BP measurement. This procedure might successfully reduce the WHO mandated wait time of 5 minutes. A wait time of 35 seconds would likely be acceptable to clinicians and were the approach to be fully validated, it could represent a paradigm shift in healthcare where BP measurements become more reliable and repeatable and clinicians break the habit of disregarding good clinical practice guidelines.

This approach could be designed into standard oscillometric blood pressure cuff measurement devices with the addition of two electrodes on the outside of the device resulting in potentially significantly improved patient outcomes and increased blood pressure screening of patients.

Acknowledgements

I wish to acknowledge the support of the university faculty of TUS, in particular my supervisor Paul Morrow, course director Mairead Dennehy and dissertation lecturer Dr Lisa Henihan

References

- Brady, T. M., Charleston, J. & Appel, L. J., 2021. Effects of different rest period durations prior to blood pressure measurement: The best rest trial. *Hypertension*, 78(5), pp. 1511-1519.
- Fogoros, R. N., 2023. Systolic vs. Diastolic Blood Pressure. [Online] Available at: <https://www.verywellhealth.com/systolic-and-diastolic-blood-pressure-1746075> [Accessed 30 12 3023].
- Hillrom, 2023. 4 Tips - Break Down Healthcare Data Silos. [Online] Available at: <https://www.hillrom.com/en/knowledge/article/break-down-data-silos/> [Accessed 10 5 2024].
- James, G. & Gerber, L., 2018. Measuring arterial blood pressure in humans: Auscultatory and automatic measurement techniques for human biological field studies. *Am J Hum Biol*, 30(1).
- John, O. et al., 2021. The 2020 "WHO Technical Specifications for Automated Non-Invasive Blood Pressure Measuring Devices With Cuff". *Hypertension*, 77(3), pp. 806-812.
- Levy, J., Gerber, L., Wu, X. & Mann, S., 2016. Nonadherence to Recommended Guidelines for Blood Pressure Measurement. *The Journal of Clinical Hypertension*, 18(11), pp. 1157-1161.
- Magder, 2018. The meaning of blood pressure. *Critical Care*, Volume 22.
- Mills, K., Stefanescu, A. & He, J., 2020. The global epidemiology of hypertension.. *Nature Reviews Nephrology*, Volume 16, pp. 223-237.
- Mukkamala, R., Stergiou, G. & Avolio, A., 2022. Cuffless Blood Pressure Measurement. *Annu Rev Biomed Eng*, 24(1), pp. 203-230.
- Shevchenko, Y. L. & Tsitlik, J. E., 1996. 90th Anniversary of the Development by Nikolai S. Korotkoff of the Auscultatory Method of Measuring Blood Pressure. *Circulation*, 94(2), pp. 116-118.
- World Health Organisation, 2020. The top 10 causes of death. [Online] Available at: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/the-top-10-causes-of-death> [Accessed 7 Dec 2023].
- World Health Organization, 2023. Global report on hypertension: the race against a silent killer. ISBN 978-92-4-008106-2 ed. Geneva: World Health.