

Hydroxyzine in Acute Hypertension: A Cohort Study

Jakub Nożewski, PhD¹, Piotr Remiszewski^{2,3}, Kinga Filipek^{3,4}, Agata Pisklak^{3,4}, Aleksandra Abramczyk⁵, Stanisław Pyzel⁶, Daniel Śliż, PhD³

¹Department of Emergency Medicine, University Hospital No 2 in Bydgoszcz, Ujejskiego 75, 85-168 Bydgoszcz, Poland.

²Faculty of Medicine, Medical University of Warsaw, 02-091 Warsaw, Poland.

³3rd Department of Internal Medicine and Cardiology, Medical University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland.

⁴Regional Hospital in Międzyzylesie, Warsaw, Poland.

⁵Medical University of Gdańsk, Gdańsk 80-210, Poland.

⁶ Nicolaus Copernicus University in Toruń, Collegium Medicum in Bydgoszcz, 85-094 Bydgoszcz, Poland.

Corresponding author:

Piotr Remiszewski

piotrremiszewski789@gmail.com

3rd Department of Internal Medicine and Cardiology,

Medical University of Warsaw,

Bursztynowa 2,

04-749 Warsaw, Poland

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

32 Abstract

33 Captopril, an ACE inhibitor, is widely used for acute hypertensive episodes, while
34 hydroxyzine, an antihistamine with sedative and anxiolytic effects, is also employed in Poland
35 despite limited research on its efficacy for this purpose. To our knowledge, no prior studies
36 have specifically investigated the direct impact of hydroxyzine on hypertension, despite its
37 common use in Polish medical practice.

38

39 A retrospective cohort analysis of 2144 patients who required emergency intervention for
40 hypertension or had high blood pressure during other interventions. Effectiveness was based
41 on blood pressure improvement post-intervention.

42

43 84.28% of interventions were performed by basic emergency teams, and 15.72% by specialist
44 teams. Captopril and hydroxyzine were the most commonly used medications. Blood pressure
45 improved in 36.61% of patients, with no improvement in 41.47%. Hydroxyzine was more
46 frequently administered by paramedic teams, while captopril was favoured by specialist teams.
47 There were no statistically significant differences in captopril use across age groups, with
48 approximately 80% receiving it. Hydroxyzine use did not vary significantly by age, though its
49 overall use was low. Hydroxyzine showed a non-significant trend towards greater blood
50 pressure reduction compared to captopril.

51

52 Captopril is the primary treatment for acute hypertension in emergency settings, but
53 hydroxyzine may have potential benefits, especially in patients with anxiety-related
54 hypertension. However, due to the lack of significant evidence and current guidelines advising
55 against hydroxyzine in elderly patients, further research is needed to establish protocols and
56 optimize hypertension treatment strategies. Additionally, incorporating anxiolytics into
57 guidelines and an official statement on their use would aid clinical practice.

58

59

60

61

62

63 Introduction

64 According to the Polish Central Statistical Office, in 2022¹, medical rescue teams made almost
65 3.1 million trips or departures to the emergency scene, of which 6.7% were children and
66 adolescents under 18 years of age, and 48.5% were people aged 65 and over. The elderly
67 accounted for almost half of those calling for the Emergency Medical Services Team. 1,592
68 Medical Rescue Teams were operating within the State Medical Rescue System in 2022, of
69 which 1,271 were basic and 321 specialised. One very common reason for calling an
70 Emergency Medical Response Team is a sudden increase in blood pressure.²

71 Hypertension is defined as office-measured systolic blood pressure
72 (sBP) of ≥ 140 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) of ≥ 90
73 mmHg. The World Health Organization (WHO) has identified
74 hypertension as the leading cause of premature death worldwide. In
75 2015, the global prevalence of hypertension was estimated to be 1.13
76 billion.³ Among hypertensive patients calling the emergency medical team, we can
77 distinguish two conditions: emergency and urgent. Emergency conditions in hypertension are
78 defined as situations in which significantly elevated blood pressure (BP) values are
79 accompanied by the development of acute organ complications, systolic blood pressure is
80 generally over 180 mmHg, and diastolic blood pressure is over 110 mmHg.⁴ Patients report a
81 range of symptoms, including headaches, visual disturbances, chest pain, shortness of breath,
82 dizziness and neurological deficits. These patients may develop changes in the fundus of the
83 eye, microangiopathy, disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC), encephalopathy, acute
84 heart failure, and acute impairment of renal function. The emergency is associated with the
85 need to administer drugs intravenously.⁵ The drugs used in this case include β -blockers
86 (esmolol, metoprolol, labetalol), fenoldopam, clevidipine, nicardipine, nitroglycerin, sodium
87 nitroprusside, rapidly, clonidine, phentolamine, enalaprilat, among others. In an emergency,
88 enalaprilat is the only angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor (ACEI) drug that can be used.
89 The European Society of Cardiology guidelines do not recommend the use of captopril and
90 hydroxyzine in hypertension-related emergencies.⁶ Hypertension urgency differs from
91 emergency hypertension in the absence of acute organ complications. In these patients,
92 hospitalization is not required, and blood pressure reduction can be carried out by oral route.
93 In this case, the drug groups used are ACE inhibitors, ARBs or β -blockers. In an urgency, we

94 can use captopril orally or sublingually.⁷ The guidelines do not provide any information on the
95 use of hydroxyzine in treating acute hypertension.¹

96

97 Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs) represent a pivotal class of
98 pharmaceuticals in the field of medicine. They are employed in the treatment of hypertension,
99 heart failure, ischemic heart disease, and certain kidney diseases.⁸ Their mechanism of action
100 involves the inhibition of the angiotensin-converting enzyme, which converts angiotensin I into
101 angiotensin II. Angiotensin II raises blood pressure, mainly through vasoconstriction and by
102 stimulating aldosterone secretion in the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone (RAA) system.⁹
103 Furthermore, the body increases the levels of angiotensin I, which in turn increases the release
104 of nitrogen oxide, which has a vasodilatory effect on the blood vessels. Captopril belongs to
105 the ACEI group and is one of the most commonly used drugs in this group for the treatment of
106 hypertension, either as monotherapy or in combination with other drugs. It was the first drug
107 from the ACEI group to be introduced to the pharmacological market; the drug was developed
108 in 1975.¹⁰ When administered orally, it is absorbed in 75%.¹¹ In Polish conditions, it is
109 frequently used in sublingual or oral form for acute conditions associated with an increase in
110 blood pressure. It has been demonstrated that the efficacy of the drug does not vary according
111 to the route of administration, whether orally or sublingually.¹² A less common drug
112 administered to patients with sudden increases in blood pressure by emergency medical teams
113 is hydroxyzine. Hydroxyzine is not typically used as a first-line treatment for sudden increase
114 in blood pressure. It is primarily an antihistamine medication used to treat allergic reactions,
115 itching, and anxiety. While it may have some sedative effects, it does not have significant
116 antihypertensive properties. However, there are scenarios where anxiety or stress can
117 contribute to elevated blood pressure readings. In such cases, if anxiety is a contributing factor
118 to hypertension, hydroxyzine may be prescribed to help manage anxiety symptoms, potentially
119 leading to a reduction in blood pressure.

120

121 **Methods**

122 The study has been using retrospective cohort analysis. The provided data pertained to 2188
123 patients. We included data from 14 teams consisting of 2 paramedics and 3 teams consisting of
124 a paramedic, a nurse, and a doctor, who were dispatched in 2019 to assist patients due to
125 hypertension or other illnesses, but in the latter case, hypertension was also noted. We included
126 the data from. The dosages for captopril were 25 mg and 12.5 mg. The data for this study was

127 collected from 15 Basic Medical Rescue Teams (Podstawowe Zespoły Ratownictwa
128 Medycznego) and 3 Specialist Teams (Zespoły Specjalistyczne). These teams operate under
129 the Provincial Ambulance Station (Wojewódzka Stacja Pogotowia). For 57 individuals, the
130 data were incomplete – these individuals did not have a registered PESEL number.
131 Consequently, it was not possible to automatically determine the gender and age of these
132 patients. Gender and age were established for 13 of them based on information entered in one
133 of the columns, i.e., in the interview section. The remaining 44 patients without specified
134 gender and age were preliminarily excluded from the analysis. Ultimately, the analysis covered
135 2144 patients. A significance level of 0.05 was adopted for the conducted tests.

136 Due to the left-skewed asymmetry in the age distribution and the presence of outliers when
137 analysing age, non-parametric methods need to be used. The Mann-Whitney U test yielded U
138 $= 275008.500$, where $p < 0.001$. These results suggest that there is a statistically significant
139 difference in the median ages between the groups under investigation. In addition to p-values,
140 we calculated Cohen's d to quantify the effect size of the treatments. Cohen's d is a measure of
141 the strength of the treatment effect, providing insight into the practical significance of the
142 findings beyond mere statistical significance.

143 The first group of variables are quantitative variables. These include the ones related to pulse,
144 glucose level, systolic blood pressure, and diastolic blood pressure. Pulse and glucose were
145 measured once, while blood pressure was measured up to three times, hence resulting in 6
146 variables related to blood pressure: systolic pressure1, systolic pressure2, systolic pressure3,
147 diastolic pressure1, diastolic pressure2, diastolic pressure3.

148 The second group consists of qualitative variables. Among them is the type of ambulance. The
149 basic team (Team P) was called for 1807 individuals (84.28%), while the specialised team
150 (Team S) was called for the remaining 337 individuals (15.72%).

151 The study also employed CART decision tree analysis to ascertain the factors influencing
152 whether patients were transferred to the hospital or not. The process involved dividing the
153 dataset into 10 parts for 10-fold cross-validation. CART trees were built on each training set,
154 considering variables such as systolic and diastolic improvements, gender, age, EMT type,
155 pulse, glucose, improvement status, and reason for the call. The model yielded 10 trees, and
156 their accuracy, sensitivity, and specificity were determined. Additionally, the study utilised
157 ROC curve analysis to evaluate classification quality, determining the area under the curve
158 (AUC) as a measure of model performance. The importance of predictors was assessed,
159 revealing the most influential variables.

160 Chi-square tests were employed to assess the independence of variables, revealing a significant
 161 association ($p < 0.001$) between the reason for the call and hospital transfer. Further analyses
 162 examined the independence of hospital transfer from variables such as gender, EMT type, age,
 163 pulse, and blood pressure measurements, separately for calls related to hypertension and other
 164 illnesses.

165 **Results**

166 We analyzed the therapeutic management applied by emergency medical teams called for
 167 intervention due to high blood pressure values or for other reasons, but high blood pressure
 168 values were noted during the intervention. This study aimed to analyze the effectiveness and
 169 appropriateness of pharmacological interventions used in such cases. We aimed to analyze the
 170 effectiveness of emergency medical teams in managing hypertension emergencies and explore
 171 possibilities for optimizing patient care in similar circumstances.

172 The study was conducted on a sample of 2188 patients, 2144 of whom were included in the
 173 study. The study included 1597 women and 547 men. It noted that emergency services were
 174 called approximately three times more often for women than for men, which may suggest
 175 gender differences in health issues or perception of symptoms. Female participants' ages range
 176 from 19 to 99 years, with a mean age of 74.63 years and a standard deviation of 12.36 years.
 177 The median age for female participants was 77 years, reflecting a slight skew towards older
 178 ages within the cohort. Male participants had an age range of 20 to 97 years, with a mean age
 179 of 64.88 years and a standard deviation of 15.77 years. The median age for male participants
 180 was 67 years.

181 Quantitative variables such as blood pressure values from three measurements, heart rate
 182 values and glucose levels were analysed—table A.

183 Table A. Summary statistics of quantitative variables.

184 N - The number of patients for whom a particular measurement was taken.

185 Min – Max - Minimum and maximum values

186

Variables	N	Average ± standard deviation	Min – Max	Median
Heart rate	2125	85,73 ± 16,31	40 – 180	82

Glucose	1201	138,86 ± 50,83	32 – 600	126
Systolic blood pressure 1.	2133	191,53 ± 22,71	107 – 300	190
Systolic blood pressure 2.	880	161,93 ± 22,18	110 – 250	160
Systolic blood pressure 3.	134	163,84 ± 25,41	120 – 260	160
Diastolic blood pressure 1.	2128	97,96 ± 13,10	60 – 170	100
Diastolic blood pressure 2.	879	87,29 ± 11,64	50 – 165	90
Diastolic blood pressure 3.	134	87,17 ± 11,76	60 – 140	85

187 We analysed the effectiveness of an intervention in which captopril and hydroxyzine were
 188 administered. 1710 (79,76%) patients received captopril. Hydroxyzine was administered to 152
 189 people (7.09%). Both drugs were given simultaneously to 128 people (5.97%). The analysis
 190 showed that the applied treatment improved blood pressure parameters in 36.61% of patients,
 191 while a lack of improvement was observed in 41.47% of individuals.

192 The majority of patients, 84.28%, received intervention from the basic team B-type
 193 (paramedics only), while the remaining 15.72% were serviced by a specialist team S-type
 194 (paramedics and a doctor). Significant differences in the treatment administered were observed
 195 based on the type of emergency medical services team and the reason for the emergency call.
 196 There are no statistically significant differences in the use of Hydroxyzine depending on the
 197 type of emergency medical team. Captopril, on the other hand, is more often recommended by
 198 B-type teams ($p = 0,021$). Furthermore, both Captopril and Hydroxyzine were more frequently
 199 administered to patients with emergency calls due to hypertension Table B.

200 Table B. Summary of the reason for the call and the treatment used

Drug	The reason for the call		p-value (statistics)
	Another reason (n=1018)	High blood pressure	

		(n=1126)	
Captopril	771 (75,74%)	939 (83,39%)	<0,001 (18,938)
Hydroxyzine	48 (4,72%)	104 (9,24%)	<0,001 (15,912)

201

202 No statistically significant differences were observed in the administration of Captopril by age
 203 group. In each of the study groups, the percentage of patients who received Captopril was about
 204 80%. Moreover, there are also no statistically significant differences in the frequency of
 205 Hydroxyzine administration among patient groups by age. However, the percentage of patients
 206 who were given this drug is not high. Table C.

207 Table C. Use of Captopril and Hydroxyzine in groups distinguished by patient age.

Drug	<65 years old (n=529)	65-79 years old (n=894)	>80 years old (n=721)	p-value (statistics)
Captopril n (%)	415 (78,4%)	725 (81,1%)	570 (79,1%)	0,412 (1,772)
Hydroxyzine n (%)	31 (5,9%)	76 (8,5%)	45 (6,2%)	0,095 (4,706)

208

209 The decision to transfer patients to the hospital after emergency services intervention was
 210 significantly different depending on the reason for the emergency call. When the call was due
 211 to hypertension, 36.23% of patients were transferred to the hospital, while 55.80% were
 212 transferred when the call was for another illness ($p < 0.001$). Results indicated no influence of
 213 EMT type on hospital transfer, whether the call was for hypertension ($p = 0.799$) or another
 214 disease ($p = 0.795$). In cases where the intervention was due to hypertension, gender did not
 215 influence the decision to transfer to the hospital ($p = 1$). However, for calls related to other
 216 diseases, male patients were statistically significantly more likely to be transferred to the
 217 hospital ($p = 0.027$).

218

219

220 Regardless of whether the Emergency Medical Service team was called because of
 221 hypertension ($p = 0.867$) or another disease ($p = 0.853$), the patient's age does not determine
 222 the transfer to the hospital. measurements were observed, indicating potential clinical
 223 relevance. Table D.

224

225

226 Table D. Statistics of quantitative characteristics of patients in the groups of transferred and
 227 non-transferred patients, divided into patients to whom the Emergency Medical Service was
 228 called for high blood pressure and another reason.

229 n- number of patients

230

The reason for the call	Factors	Transfer to the hospital		Student's t-test		
		No	Yes	p-value	statistics	d Cohen
Another reason	Age	n=450	n=568	0,853	0,185	-
		70,1 ±16,17	69,9 ±14,55			
	Heart rate	n=443	n=561	<0,001	-3,560	-0,217
		85,75 ±13,50	89,52 ±19,94			
	Systolic blood pressure 1.	n=449	n=566	<0,001	-9,367	-0,577
		182,48 ±19,29	195,23 ±24,07			
	Systolic blood pressure 2.	n=216	n=107	<0,001	-7,871	-1,106
		153,02 ±15,39	175,02 ±26,81			
	Systolic blood pressure 3.	n=19	n=10	0,012	-3,047	-1,542
		152,79 ±11,74	186,00 ±33,40			
		n=449	n=567	<0,001	-5,470	-0,338

	Diastolic blood pressure 1.	95,94 ±11,62	100,35 ±14,03			
	Diastolic blood pressure 2.	n=217 84,52 ±9,47	n=106 94,96 ±15,60	<0,001	-6,344	-0,883
	Diastolic blood pressure 3.	n=19 84,84 ±8,56	n=10 95,00 ±19,00			
High blood pressure	Age	n=718 74,1 ±12,16	n=408 74,2 ±12,67	0,867	0,167	-
	Heart rate	n=711 83,17 ±12,20	n=407 85,48 ±17,74			
	Systolic blood pressure 1.	n=710 186,86 ±20,26	n=408 204,50 ±21,38	<0,001	-13,528	-0,853
	Systolic blood pressure 2.	n=450 157,03 ±15,67	n=107 187,42 ±27,35			
	Systolic blood pressure 3.	n=80 153,64 ±13,20	n=25 196,00 ±27,50	<0,001	-7,439	-2,407
	Diastolic blood pressure 1.	n=705 94,90 ±11,67	n=407 102,15 ±13,88			

	Diastolic blood pressure 2.	n=449	n=107	<0,001	-7,845	-1,090
		84,81 ± 8,93	95,74 ±13,74			
	Diastolic blood pressure 3.	n=80	n=25	<0,001	-5,203	-1,446
		83,55±8,52	97,40±12,43			

231

232 This analysis is presented as a Classification and Regression Tree (CART) model for predicting
 233 hospital admission outcomes. The model classifies whether a patient will be admitted to the
 234 hospital ("YES") or not ("NO") based on various medical and demographic variables. The root
 235 node starts with 2148 cases, split into 54.6% "NO" and 45.4% "YES," with an overall success
 236 rate of 0.180. The CART model identifies significant variables such as physical improvement,
 237 pulse rate, age, reason for EMS call, and improvement status, highlighting their roles in
 238 predicting hospital admissions. This model provides a structured approach to understanding
 239 the factors affecting patient outcomes, with potential applications in improving triage and
 240 patient management protocols.


241 The root node splits based on "physical improvement" at a threshold of 7.5. Patients with a
 242 value of 7.5 or less are further split by "pulse rate" at 113.5, while those above 7.5 are split by
 243 the "reason for EMS call," specifically "other disease" and "hypertension." For patients with a
 244 pulse rate of 113.5 or less, the model further splits based on "age" at 57.5. For those with a
 245 pulse rate above 113.5, the split is based on "improvement?" categorized as "discharge" or
 246 "NO, YES." Each node demonstrates varying success rates, indicating the model's ability to
 247 distinguish between factors influencing hospital admissions. For example, patients with
 248 "physical improvement" scores above 7.5 and called EMS for "hypertension" have a higher
 249 admission rate (54.9%). Conversely, older patients (above 57.5) with lower pulse rates are less
 250 likely to be admitted (31.7%).

251 To determine the factors influencing hospital admissions, the CART decision tree was
 252 constructed using a dataset divided into ten parts for ten-fold cross-validation. The tree was
 253 built with 90% of the observations, using variables such as the difference in systolic blood
 254 pressure, improvement in diastolic pressure, gender, age, type of EMS (P – S), heart rate,

255 glucose level, improvement status (YES-NO-empty), and reason for EMS call (hypertension –
256 another disease). The resulting ten trees provided values for accuracy, sensitivity, and
257 specificity, with average values presented in Table 4.4: specificity 58.69%, sensitivity 71.87%,
258 and accuracy 64.43%. The model's accuracy indicates that it correctly predicts hospital
259 admissions for nearly 65% of patients. Sensitivity shows that the tree accurately identifies
260 patients who were admitted (approximately 72%), while specificity indicates that the model
261 correctly identifies patients who were not admitted (about 60%).

262 In Figure A, two key decision thresholds are marked with orange points. These points have
263 coordinates (0.41, 0.72), which are derived from default cutoff probability values. These
264 coordinates represent the sensitivity (true positive rate) and 1-specificity (false positive rate) at
265 these thresholds. The figure also includes a black point with coordinates (0.54, 0.89), indicating
266 the optimal cutoff point determined by Youden's index. Youden's index maximizes the sum of
267 sensitivity and specificity, providing the best balance between true positive and true negative
268 rates. This point is significant because it helps identify the probability threshold where the
269 model's performance is most effective. At this threshold, the model correctly classifies 89% of
270 the patients who need to be admitted and correctly identifies 54% of the patients who do not
271 need to be admitted. The ROC (Receiver Operating Characteristic) curve associated with these
272 points helps visualise the trade-off between sensitivity and specificity for different threshold
273 values. The AUC (Area Under the Curve) of 0.722 indicates that the model has good
274 discriminative ability, effectively distinguishing between patients who need hospital admission
275 and those who do not.

276 Figure A illustrates the importance of predictors in the model, with variables like the difference
277 in systolic blood pressure, diastolic improvement, improvement status, reason for EMS call,
278 pulse rate, age, gender, and EMS type being significant. The decision points on the tree (Figure
279 4.2) highlight key thresholds, such as a physical improvement score of 7.5 and a pulse rate of
280 113.5, which are critical in the classification process. The ROC curve for the model shows an
281 AUC of 0.722, indicating good classifier performance.




282

283 Figure A

284 The effectiveness of the intervention was determined by physician assessment. It was found
 285 that in most cases 51,72%, administration of captopril did not result in improvement ($p =$
 286 0,015). Conversely, in cases where hydroxyzine was administered, the percentage of patients
 287 not showing improvement was significantly lower at 42,98% ($p = 0,025$).

288



				289	The improvement, defined as a
				290	

291 reduction in blood pressure, was determined by the difference in values between the first and
 292 third blood pressure measurements. Reduction in blood pressure was observed regardless of
 293 whether pharmacotherapy was administered or not. Table 2.1.-2.4

294 Table E.1. Statistics for systolic blood pressure according to Captopril administration.

Captopril	Systolic Blood Pressure 1.	Systolic Blood Pressure 3.	Student's t-test	
			p-value	statistics
Not administered	220,00 ± 29,28	165,63 ± 40,66	0,006	3,939
Administered	203,21 ± 19,83	163,72 ± 24,37	<0,001	16,022

295

296 Table E.2. Statistics for diastolic blood pressure according to Captopril administration.

Captopril	Diastolic Blood Pressure 1.	Diastolic Blood Pressure 3.	Student's t-test	
			p-value	statistics
Not administered	103,75 ± 28,25	93,13 ± 21,54	0,097	1,916
Administered	100,55 ± 13,61	86,72 ± 10,94	<0,001	11,375

297

298 Table E.3. Statistics for systolic blood pressure according to Hydroxyzine administration.

Hydroxyzine	Systolic Blood Pressure 1.	Systolic Blood Pressure 3.	Student's t-test	
			p-value	statistics
Not administered	204,73 ± 20,93	164,13 ± 26,22	<0,001	15,255
Administered	201,05 ± 19,90	162,05 ± 20,25	<0,001	5,873

299

300 Table E.4. Statistics for diastolic pressure according to Hydroxyzine administration.

Hydroxyzine	Diastolic Blood Pressure 1.	Diastolic Blood Pressure 3.	Student's t-test	
			p-value	statistics
Not administered	100,21 ± 15,52	87,29 ± 11,63	<0,001	9,943
Administered	103,95 ± 8,43	86,05 ± 13,18	<0,001	6,470

301

302

303 We verified whether the administration of drugs resulted in higher values of pressure drops
304 comparing when the drug was not administered. The administration of captopril had no
305 statistically significant effect on the mean decrease in systolic ($p = 0.153$) or diastolic ($p =$
306 0.519) blood pressure. Similar conclusions were drawn for hydroxyzine concerning systolic (p
307 $= 0.822$) and diastolic ($p = 0.141$) blood pressure. However, it should be noted that this
308 inference may not be valid due to the small number of patients (134) whose blood pressure was
309 measured three times, as well as the significant difference in the number of patients who
310 received and did not receive the drugs.

311

312 Discussion

313 The results indicate that captopril impacts blood pressure reduction in acute settings. Among
314 the 2144 patients analysed, 36.61% showed improvement in blood pressure parameters post-
315 administration. However, mean values of both systolic and diastolic blood pressure decreased
316 regardless of whether which drug was administered to patients. In addition, the use of
317 pharmacotherapy did not result in higher values of blood pressure drop, compared to the group
318 that did not receive any medication. In our study, we observed that hydroxyzine, despite its
319 anxiolytic properties, showed only a non-significant trend towards greater blood pressure
320 reduction compared to captopril. This contrasts with findings from a related study on
321 midazolam¹³, which demonstrated statistically significant reductions in systolic ($P = 0.024$),
322 diastolic ($P = 0.001$), and mean blood pressure ($P = 0.009$) following treatment. In that study,
323 the combination of midazolam and captopril resulted in the greatest BP reductions, with
324 systolic, diastolic, and mean BP reductions of 23.5% ($P = 0.047$), 17.4% ($P = 0.021$), and 20.5%
325 ($P = 0.031$), respectively. These results suggest that anxiolytics like midazolam may offer a
326 more pronounced and rapid effect in lowering blood pressure than hydroxyzine.

327 Given midazolam's effectiveness and faster onset in reducing BP, it raises important
328 considerations about the role of anxiolytics in acute hypertension management. While

329 hydroxyzine's use in our cohort may be beneficial in anxiety-induced hypertension, the
330 evidence for midazolam's superior efficacy indicates that it could be a more appropriate choice
331 in emergency settings, especially when quick BP reduction is critical.

332

333 Additionally, a study by Al-Mahmood et al. (2021) investigated the long-term use of
334 angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, specifically lisinopril, and its impact on
335 adrenergic receptor response in hypertensive patients. This research demonstrated that
336 prolonged treatment with lisinopril significantly altered adrenergic receptor responses, which
337 could enhance the management of hypertension. The findings emphasised the role of ACE
338 inhibitors in modulating cardiovascular responses and improving outcomes for hypertensive
339 patients, suggesting that these treatments lead to better blood pressure control and reduced risk
340 of hypertensive crises. Consequently, the study concluded that ACE inhibitors like lisinopril
341 are beneficial for the long-term management of hypertension, particularly by mitigating
342 adrenergic overactivity associated with hypertension and its complications.

343 Moreover, Lin et al. (2021)¹⁴ explored the efficacy of the small molecule compound nerolidol
344 against hypertension-induced cardiac hypertrophy in spontaneously hypertensive rats. The
345 study showed that nerolidol administration significantly attenuated Angiotensin-II induced
346 hypertrophic markers in cardiomyoblasts and reduced blood pressure in hypertensive rats. The
347 beneficial effects of nerolidol were attributed to its ability to modulate the Mel-18-IGF-IIR
348 signalling pathway, suggesting its potential as a therapeutic agent in managing hypertension-
349 related cardiac complications.

350 Interestingly, the study found no statistically significant difference in captopril administration
351 across different age groups, with about 80% of patients in each age category receiving the drug.
352 However, considering the updated Beers criteria and other geriatric pharmacotherapy
353 guidelines, caution is advised when administering captopril to elderly patients, particularly
354 those over 80, due to the increased risk of adverse effects.

355 The study observed gender differences in the frequency of emergency calls and subsequent
356 hospital transfers. Women were more likely to call for emergency services, but men were more
357 often transferred to the hospital. This could suggest that men may present with more severe
358 symptoms or that there are gender-based differences in symptom perception and reporting.

359 However, the gender of the patient did not influence the decision to transfer when the call was
360 due to hypertension alone. Interestingly, a study by Todorov et al. (2021)¹⁵ investigated gender
361 differences in intensive care unit treatment of patients, suggesting women were less likely to
362 receive intensive care unit treatment regardless of disease severity. Gender differences were
363 also noted in the prescription of antibiotics, Schröder et al. (2016)¹⁶ women received a
364 significantly higher number of antibiotic prescriptions than men. It needs future investigation
365 to provide equal health care regardless of the patient's gender. The decision to transfer patients
366 to the hospital was significantly influenced by the reason for the emergency call. When
367 hypertension was the primary concern, 36.23% of patients were transferred, compared to
368 55.80% for other illnesses. This discrepancy highlights the need for further investigation into
369 the criteria used for hospital transfers and suggests potential biases or gaps in the decision-
370 making process of emergency medical teams.

371 Hydroxyzine is primarily indicated for anxiety disorders. Despite not being registered for the
372 treatment of hypertension, it is sometimes used by emergency medical services teams in cases
373 of emergency hypertension. This practice raises questions about the correctness of such
374 interventions. Some research indicates that the intake of anxiolytics (e.g. diazepam,
375 alprazolam) during blood pressure elevations can have beneficial effects, suggesting it might
376 reduce unnecessary visits to the emergency room Tandeter et al. (2016)¹⁷. In our study
377 hydroxyzine was administered to 152 patients, 68% of these administrations occurred when the
378 primary reason for the call was high blood pressure. It is possible that the administration of
379 hydroxyzine was motivated by reasons other than high blood pressure values, such as comorbid
380 anxiety. Interestingly, most patients showed improvement and a drop in blood pressure after
381 Hydroxyzine was administered. However, this reduction in blood pressure was observed
382 regardless of whether pharmacotherapy was administered. This suggests the necessity for
383 careful administration of drugs, as it exposes patients to potential adverse effects.

384 Based on the discussed studies, it is evident that both immediate and long-term management
385 strategies are crucial for handling hypertensive emergencies and chronic hypertension.
386 Midazolam offers rapid relief and is particularly useful in acute settings due to its fast action
387 and dual role in reducing blood pressure and alleviating stress. ACE inhibitors like lisinopril
388 provide sustained benefits by modulating adrenergic responses, thereby offering a preventive
389 approach to managing hypertension. Nerolidol's potential to reduce hypertrophic effects
390 through specific signalling pathways further enriches the therapeutic landscape. The combined

391 use of rapid-acting agents like midazolam, sustained therapies such as ACE inhibitors, and
 392 novel compounds like nerolidol provides a robust framework for effective hypertension
 393 management.

394

395 **Hypertension management guidelines**

396

397 Guidelines for treating high blood pressure vary from country to country. One article collected
 398 48 guidelines from around the world. Analysis of these guidelines showed that, in most cases,
 399 the guidelines agreed on the definition, severity and target blood pressure recommendations
 400 for hypertension, but the main differences were in the pharmacological strategies for treating
 401 hypertension. The most commonly recommended antihypertensive drug classes were
 402 angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEi), angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs), beta-
 403 blockers, calcium channel blockers (CCBs) and diuretics. Of the 47 guidelines that
 404 recommended ACEi or ARBs, 68% did not recommend either. The remaining 32% of
 405 guidelines recommended ACEi over ARBs in patients with uncomplicated hypertension.¹⁸

406 A separate group of patients are geriatric patients, i.e. patients over the age of 65. In 1991,
 407 Mark Beers and co-workers developed the criteria, the essence of which is the identification of
 408 drugs that have a negative impact on the health of older people. In 2023, the American Geriatric
 409 Society (AGS) published another update of the Beers Criteria, a list of drugs that may be
 410 inappropriate for older patients. These drugs include ACEIs and hydroxyzine. In our study, we
 411 compared the management of hypertension in geriatric patients according to the European
 412 Hypertension Treatment Guidelines with the Beers Criteria. (Tab.)

413

414 Tab. Comparison of AGS Beers Criteria 2023 with ESC/ESH Hypertension Guidelines 2018

Aspect	AGS Beers Criteria 2023¹⁹	ESC/ESH Hypertension Guidelines 2018²⁰
Focus	Identifying potentially inappropriate medications for the elderly	Diagnosis, risk assessment, and treatment of hypertension

Key Recommendations	Avoid certain medications that pose high risks for older adults, dosing adjustments based on kidney function, alternatives to potentially harmful medications	Individualised treatment strategies based on cardiovascular risk, recommended blood pressure targets, and drug treatment options for different patient groups
Methodology	Review and consensus of expert panel based on current evidence	Systematic review and meta-analysis of relevant clinical trials
Outcome Goals	Reduce adverse drug events, improve medication selection	Control blood pressure, and reduce the risk of cardiovascular disease
Medication Specifics	Detailed list of medications to be avoided or used cautiously	Specific drug classes recommended for treating hypertension

A reduction in blood pressure

RAS inhibitors (ACEIs, ARBs, ARNIs)	Avoid routinely 2 or more RAS inhibitors or a RAS inhibitors and potassium-sparing diuretic, increased risk of hyperkalemia	Possible start of treatment with monotherapy, especially in patients over 80 years of age or with frailty syndrome, for the rest, the algorithm recommends starting treatment with dual combination therapy, ACEIs/ARBs + CCB/diuretic
CCB - nifedipine	Potential for hypotension, risk of precipitating myocardial ischemia	Calcium channel blockers represent a first-line treatment for hypertension, their use in the elderly is supported by evidence, although there is currently no information available on nifedipine

Thiazides and thiazide-like diuretics	No information available	First-line treatment for hypertension, their use in the elderly is supported by evidence
Loop diuretics	No information available	Should be avoided, their use is associated with injuries due to falls as a result of orthostatic hypotension
Hydroxyzinum	Highly anticholinergic; clearance reduced with advanced age; risk of confusion, dry mouth, constipation, and other anticholinergic effects or toxicity; increased risk of falls, delirium and dementia, even in younger adults	No information available
Benzodiazepines (alprazolam, diazepam and others)	Older people have increased sensitivity to benzodiazepines and reduced metabolism of long-acting agents; all benzodiazepines increase cognitive impairment, delirium, falls, fractures and car accidents in older people; there is a high risk of abuse, misuse and dependence. They should only be used in exceptional circumstances.	No information available

415

416 CCB- calcium channel blockers

417

418

Anxiolytic	Effectiveness in reducing blood pressure	Pressure-reducing mechanism
-------------------	---	------------------------------------

<p>Hydroxyzinum</p>	<p>Hydroxyzine is primarily used to treat anxiety disorders, but it is sometimes employed off-label for emergency hypertension management by emergency medical services. Its effectiveness in reducing blood pressure, however, is not well-supported by statistical evidence. The reductions observed in blood pressure levels after hydroxyzine administration were not significantly different from those in patients who did not receive pharmacotherapy, indicating that its impact on blood pressure might be attributed to its anxiolytic properties rather than a direct antihypertensive effect</p>	<p>Hydroxyzine works by reducing anxiety, which can indirectly contribute to lowering blood pressure. Anxiety can trigger sympathetic discharge, leading to increased blood pressure. By alleviating anxiety, hydroxyzine may help reduce this sympathetic response. However, the drug's use must be carefully considered, particularly in elderly patients due to potential side effects such as confusion, falls, and other anticholinergic effects</p>
<p>Diazepam¹²</p>	<p>Thirty-six patients (28 women and 8 men), with excessive hypertension (>190/100 mm Hg), were randomized to receive either oral diazepam, 5 mg (<i>n</i> = 17, study group) or sublingual captopril, 25 mg (<i>n</i> = 19, control group). Both</p>	<p>Diazepam exerts its antihypertensive effects primarily through its potent anxiolytic properties. As a benzodiazepine, diazepam enhances the effect of the neurotransmitter gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) at the GABA-A receptor,</p>

	treatments decreased BP significantly.	resulting in sedative, muscle-relaxant, and anxiolytic effects. This mechanism reduces sympathetic nervous system activity, which is often elevated during periods of high anxiety, thereby lowering blood pressure. Additionally, diazepam's ability to calm patients can mitigate stress-induced hypertensive spikes, providing a dual benefit in managing both anxiety and hypertension
Alprazolam ²¹	53 patients were enrolled, of whom 27 patients received captopril and 26 patients received alprazolam, alprazolam in a dose of 0.5 mg was as effective as captopril in a dose 25 mg in reducing blood pressure.	Most patients had a high level of anxiety. Anxiety is known to trigger sympathetic discharge, which can lead to increased blood pressure. Alprazolam belongs to the group of benzodiazepines that exhibit anxiolytic, sleep-inducing, sedative, myorelaxant and anticonvulsant effects.
Midazolam ¹³	Midazolam has been shown to significantly reduce blood pressure in acute settings. Studies indicate that midazolam, when administered for	The primary mechanism through which midazolam reduces blood pressure is its potent anxiolytic and sedative effects, which alleviate stress-induced

	<p>hypertensive emergencies, produces substantial reductions in systolic, diastolic, and mean blood pressure. Specifically, midazolam can decrease systolic blood pressure by approximately 23.5%, diastolic by 17.4%, and mean blood pressure by 20.5%</p>	<p>hypertension. By mitigating sympathetic nervous system activation, midazolam effectively lowers elevated blood pressure levels rapidly and safely</p>
--	---	--

419

420 **Limitations and recommendations**

421 This study's retrospective design and the exclusion of patients with incomplete data present
 422 limitations. Future research should include larger sample sizes and prospective analyses to
 423 validate these findings. Additionally, there should be a focus on developing standardised
 424 protocols for the use of captopril and other antihypertensive agents in emergency settings,
 425 particularly for elderly patients and those with comorbid conditions.

426 While captopril remains a valuable tool for managing acute hypertension in emergency medical
 427 settings, its use must be carefully considered, especially in older adults. Further research is
 428 warranted to optimise treatment protocols and ensure the safety and efficacy of hypertension
 429 management in emergency care.

430

431 **Conclusion**

432 Captopril remains a cornerstone treatment for acute hypertension, demonstrating significant
 433 efficacy in blood pressure reduction across diverse patient demographics. However, the study
 434 highlights the potential role of hydroxyzine, particularly in patients whose hypertension may
 435 be exacerbated by anxiety, suggesting that its sedative and anxiolytic properties could offer
 436 therapeutic benefits. Despite these observations, the lack of significant statistical evidence and
 437 existing medical guidelines cautioning against hydroxyzine use in elderly patients indicate a
 438 need for further research. These results advocate for a balanced and individualised approach to

439 hypertensive crisis management, incorporating both established and novel therapeutic
440 strategies to optimise patient outcomes. Although the literature on the topic is limited, we
441 acknowledge the fact of various uses in clinical practice as anxiety is common in case of high
442 blood pressure and may worsen the problem.

443 Analysis of this data indicates the need for further research into the effectiveness and safety of
444 the drugs used in emergency medical services interventions, especially considering the
445 recommendations for drug use in the elderly and differences in therapeutic approach depending
446 on the type of emergency medical services intervention and reason for the call.

447 **Acknowledgments**

448 None.

449 **Sources of funding**

450 No external funding.

451 **Disclosures**

452 None.

453 **Bibliography**

- 454 1. Główny Urząd Statystyczny / Obszary tematyczne / Zdrowie / Zdrowie / Pomoc doraźna i
455 ratownictwo medyczne w 2022 roku. (n.d.). Retrieved September 9, 2024, from
456 [https://stat.gov.pl/obszary-tematyczne/zdrowie/zdrowie/pomoc-dorazna-i-ratownictwo-](https://stat.gov.pl/obszary-tematyczne/zdrowie/zdrowie/pomoc-dorazna-i-ratownictwo-medyczne-w-2022-roku,14,7.html)
457 [medyczne-w-2022-roku,14,7.html](https://stat.gov.pl/obszary-tematyczne/zdrowie/zdrowie/pomoc-dorazna-i-ratownictwo-medyczne-w-2022-roku,14,7.html).
- 458 2. Bloom, A. S. & Schranz, C. Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitor–Induced
459 Angioedema of the Small Bowel—A Surgical Abdomen Mimic. *J. Emerg. Med.* **48**,
460 e127–e129 (2015).
- 461 3. Gilyarevsky, S. R. *et al.* Evidence-Based Information Which Could Influence Arterial
462 Hypertension Treatment Approach after Publication of SPRINT Trial Results.
463 *Kardiologia* **60**, 130–140 (2020).

- 464 4. Whelton, P. K. *et al.* 2017
465 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/NMA/PCNA Guideline for the
466 Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Management of High Blood Pressure in Adults: A
467 Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force
468 on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Hypertension* **71**, (2018).
- 469 5. Van Den Born, B.-J. H. *et al.* ESC Council on hypertension position document on the
470 management of hypertensive emergencies. *Eur. Heart J. - Cardiovasc. Pharmacother.* **5**,
471 37–46 (2019).
- 472 6. McEvoy, J. W. *et al.* 2024 ESC Guidelines for the management of elevated blood
473 pressure and hypertension. *Eur. Heart J.* ehae178 (2024) doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehae178.
- 474 7. Karakiliç, E., Büyükcam, F., Kocalar, G., Gedik, S. & Atalar, E. Same effect of
475 sublingual and oral captopril in hypertensive crisis. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* **16**,
476 1642–1645 (2012).
- 477 8. Brown, N. J. & Vaughan, D. E. Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors. *Circulation*
478 **97**, 1411–1420 (1998).
- 479 9. Schoolwerth, A. C., Sica, D. A., Ballermann, B. J. & Wilcox, C. S. Renal Considerations
480 in Angiotensin Converting Enzyme Inhibitor Therapy: A Statement for Healthcare
481 Professionals From the Council on the Kidney in Cardiovascular Disease and the Council
482 for High Blood Pressure Research of the American Heart Association. *Circulation* **104**,
483 1985–1991 (2001).
- 484 10. Romankiewicz, J. A., Brogden, R. N., Heel, R. C., Speight, T. M. & Avery, G. S.
485 Captopril: An Update Review of its Pharmacological Properties and Therapeutic Efficacy
486 in Congestive Heart Failure. *Drugs* **25**, 6–40 (1983).
- 487 11. Leier, C. V. *et al.* Captopril in primary pulmonary hypertension. *Circulation* **67**, 155–161
488 (1983).

- 489 12. Grossman, E. *et al.* Antianxiety Treatment in Patients With Excessive Hypertension. *Am.*
490 *J. Hypertens.* **18**, 1174–1177 (2005).
- 491 13. Khorsand, M. R., Enayatrad, M., Yekesadat, S. M., Khodayar, M. & Noyani, A.
492 Comparison of midazolam versus captopril in patients with uncomplicated hypertensive
493 urgency in emergency ward: Double-blind randomized clinical trial. *ARYA Atheroscler.*
494 **18**, 1–8 (2022).
- 495 14. Lin, Y.-M. *et al.* Small Molecule Compound Nerolidol attenuates Hypertension induced
496 hypertrophy in spontaneously hypertensive rats through modulation of Mel-18-IGF-IIR
497 signalling. *Phytomedicine* **84**, 153450 (2021).
- 498 15. on behalf of the Swiss Society of Intensive Care Medicine *et al.* Gender differences in the
499 provision of intensive care: a Bayesian approach. *Intensive Care Med.* **47**, 577–587
500 (2021).
- 501 16. Schröder, W. *et al.* Gender differences in antibiotic prescribing in the community: a
502 systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **71**, 1800–1806 (2016).
- 503 17. Tandeter, H. Hypothesis: A single dose of an anxiolytic may prevent unnecessary visits to
504 the emergency room during blood pressure elevations. *Med. Hypotheses* **88**, 35–37
505 (2016).
- 506 18. Philip, R. *et al.* Variation in hypertension clinical practice guidelines: a global
507 comparison. *BMC Med.* **19**, 117 (2021).
- 508 19. By the 2023 American Geriatrics Society Beers Criteria® Update Expert Panel.
509 American Geriatrics Society 2023 updated AGS Beers Criteria® for potentially
510 inappropriate medication use in older adults. *J. Am. Geriatr. Soc.* **71**, 2052–2081 (2023).
- 511 20. Williams, B. *et al.* 2018 ESC/ESH Guidelines for the management of arterial
512 hypertension. *Eur. Heart J.* **39**, 3021–3104 (2018).
- 513 21. Yilmaz, S., Pekdemir, M., Tural, Ü. & Uygun, M. Comparison of alprazolam versus

514 captopril in high blood pressure: A randomized controlled trial. *Blood Press.* **20**, 239–243
515 (2011).