It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

Performance of rapid diagnostic tests, microscopy, and qPCR for detection of parasites among
 community members with or without symptoms of malaria in villages with high levels of
 artemisinin partial resistance in North-western Tanzania

- 4
- 5 Rule Budodo¹, Catherine Bakari¹, Salehe S. Mandai¹, Misago D. Seth¹, Filbert Francis², Gervas
- 6 A. Chacha¹, Angelina J. Kisambale¹, Daniel P. Challe², Daniel A. Petro³, Dativa Pereus^{1,4}, Rashid
- 7 A. Madebe¹, Ruth B. Mbwambo^{1,4}, Ramadhani Moshi¹, Sijenunu Aaron⁵, Daniel Mbwambo⁵,
- 8 Abdallah Lusasi⁵, Stella Kajange⁶, Samwel Lazaro⁵, Ntuli Kapologwe⁷, Celine I. Mandara¹, Deus
- 9 S. Ishengoma^{1, 8}*
- 10
- ¹National Institute for Medical Research, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania
- 12 ²National Institute for Medical Research, Tanga Research Centre, Tanga, Tanzania
- 13 ³University of Dar es Salaam, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania
- ⁴Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania
- 15 ⁵National Malaria Control Programme, Dodoma, Tanzania
- ⁶President's Office, Regional Administration and Local Government, Dodoma, Tanzania
- 17 ⁷Directorate of Preventive Services, Ministry of Health, Dodoma, Tanzania
- 18 ⁸Department of Biochemistry, Kampala International University in Tanzania, Dar es Salaam,
- 19 Tanzania.
- 20
- 21 *Correspondence: deusishe@yahoo.com

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

22 Abstract

Background: Despite the implementation of different control interventions, infections in the communities (among asymptomatic and symptomatic individuals) still play a crucial role in sustaining malaria transmission. This study evaluated the performance of rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs), microscopy, and quantitative PCR (qPCR) in detecting malaria parasites among community members in five villages of Kyerwa district, Kagera region, an area where artemisinin partial resistance (ART-R) has been recently confirmed.

Methods: A community cross-sectional survey of asymptomatic and symptomatic participants (n=4454) aged ≥6 months was conducted in July and August 2023. Malaria infections were detected using RDTs, microscopy, and qPCR (using 18S RNA gene). Performance of RDTs and microscopy was assessed by sensitivity, specificity, and predictive values, using qPCR as the reference method. Factors affecting the accuracy of these methods were explored using a multivariate logistic regression model.

Results: The prevalence of malaria infections was 44.4% (n = 1979/4454), 32.1% (n = 1431/4454), 35 and 39.8% (n = 1771/4454) by RDTs, microscopy, and qPCR, respectively. The prevalence of P. 36 37 malariae and P. ovale mono-infection by microscopy was 0.2% (n = 7/4454) and 0.3% (n = 38 12/4454), while by qPCR was 0.4% (n = 16/4454) and 0.5% (n = 24/4454), respectively. The 39 geometric mean parasite densities (GMPDs) by microscopy were 642 (95% confidence intervals (CI) 40 = 570 - 723), 126 (95% CI = 98 - 162), and 124 (95% CI = 82 - 160) asexual parasites/µL; while by 41 qPCR, the GMPDs were 1180 (95% CI = 1032 - 1349), 44 (95% CI = 32 - 61), and 50 (95% CI = 29 - 89) 42 parasites/µL for *P. falciparum*, *P. ovale spp*, and *P. malariae*, respectively. The sensitivity and specificity of RDTs were 94.0% (95% CI = 92.8% - 95.1%) and 87.5% (95% CI = 86.2% - 88.7%), 43 44 respectively; whereas those of microscopy were 74.6% (95% CI = 72.5% - 76.6%) and 95.2% (95% CI = 94.3% - 96.0%), respectively. The sensitivity of RDTs, and microscopy was low at very low 45 parasitaemia (<100 parasite/ μ L), but increased significantly with increasing parasitaemia, reaching 46 47 \geq 99.6% at >10000 parasites/µL (p<0.001).

48 **Conclusion:** Higher prevalence of malaria was detected and the performance of RDTs and qPCR 49 were comparable, but microscopy had lower performance. Higher sensitivity by RDTs compared to 50 microscopy, indicate that RDTs are effective for detection of malaria infections for routine case 51 management and surveillance of malaria in this area with confirmed ART-R; and can be utilized in

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

52 the ongoing plans to develop a response to ART-R.

53 Key words: Rapid diagnostic tests, microscopy, qPCR, asymptomatic malaria, artemisinin partial

- 54 resistance, Northwestern Tanzania
- 55

56 Background

57 Malaria remains a major public health threat, especially among under-fives and pregnant women in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) including Tanzania [1]. In 2022, 93.6% of global malaria cases and 95.4% 58 of the deaths due to malaria were reported in the World Health Organization's (WHO) African 59 60 region (WHO - Afro), where 78.1% of all malaria deaths in this region were among under-fives[2]. 61 Tanzania is among the countries with the highest burden, it reported 4.4% of all global malaria deaths in 2022 [2]. Malaria in Tanzania is caused by three species of Plasmodium; Plasmodium 62 falciparum, Plasmodium ovale spp, and Plasmodium malariae, with the majority of the infections 63 64 (>85%) caused by P. falciparum [3-6]. Malaria infections due to Plasmodium vivax have been sporadically reported, but this parasite species is less prevalent due to the absence of Duffy 65 antigen (among African populations), the binding site for P. vivax [7]. Despite the efforts that have 66 been made to control malaria in Tanzania, challenges such as the emergence and spread of 67 insecticide resistance in the vectors [8], antimalarials-resistant P. falciparum [9], histidine-rich 68 69 protein 2/3 (hrp2/3) gene deletions [10] and the emergence of invasive Anopheles stephensi 70 vectors threaten the progress made in the past two decades[11].

71

72 For effective case management, WHO recommends parasitological confirmation of all suspected 73 malaria cases by rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs) or microscopy before initiating treatment with 74 artemisinin-based combination therapies (ACTs) [12]. Currently, RDTs are the primary diagnostic tool for malaria in Tanzania [13]. Their wide use is due to simplicity, short turn-around time, limited 75 infrastructure requirements, and cost-effectiveness [14]. However, their performance is affected 76 by various factors such as storage conditions, parasitemia, type of antigen, and operator skills [15]. 77 78 Studies show that most RDTs tend to be less sensitive at low parasite densities (such as 79 parasitaemia less than 100 asexual parasites/µL), thus missing low-density and chronic latent 80 infections, especially in asymptomatic populations, particularly in low-transmission settings 81 [16,17]. Different antigens are used in RDTs including lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), Aldolase,

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

(Aldolase), and *P. falciparum* histidine-rich protein 2 (*pf*HRP2) [18]. Although *pf*HRP2-based RDTs
are widely used due to their sensitivity, stability, and abundance, their accuracy may be limited by
mutant or *hrp2/3* gene deletions [10], and or prozone effect [19,20]), thus leading to false negative
results [21]

86

For more than a century, microscopy has remained the gold standard for malaria diagnosis due to 87 its ability for detection and visualization, differentiate parasite species, detection parasite stages 88 (sexual or asexual forms), and quantification of malaria parasites in blood smears [22]. While it 89 90 offers high specificity and the ability to determine parasite species and quantify parasitemia, its 91 sensitivity can be influenced by factors such as the quality of staining reagents and the skills of 92 microscopists [23]. The limit of detection (LOD) of the expert microscopist can be as low as five (5) 93 parasites/ μ L, while the average LOD for most microscopists ranges from 50 to 100 parasites/ μ L 94 [24]. Other limitations of microscopy include the demand for high-quality microscopy which is well maintained, a laboratory facility, reliable electricity and reagents (fixing and staining reagents, and 95 96 filtered water at the correct pH) [23,25]. Due to these limitations, most malaria-endemic countries 97 deployed RDTs and have been using them for parasitological confirmation and supporting 98 treatment using ACTs which significantly contributed to reducing the burden of malaria over the 99 past two decades.

100

101 Malaria diagnosis by molecular techniques or nucleic acid detection methods is a highly sensitive 102 and specific method that detects, amplifies, and quantifies DNA specific to malaria parasites [26]. 103 Different methods have been developed including quantitative PCR (gPCR) and offer accurate and 104 rapid detection of the parasites, even at low parasite densities (1-5 asexual parasites/µL), and can 105 accurately differentiate different *Plasmodium* species, as well as identify mixed or complex 106 infections [16,27,28]. These methods have high sensitivity and specificity which enable them to 107 identify infections in different groups including asymptomatic reservoirs that are missed by 108 conventional diagnostic methods (microscopy and RDTs), and can provide a more accurate assessment of malaria prevalence within a population [4,29]. Additionally, molecular techniques 109 110 facilitate the detection and monitoring of antimalarial drug resistance by detecting genetic markers 111 associated with resistance. They are also useful in efficacy studies of antimalarial drugs for

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

differentiating recrudescent from new infections and thus establishing the efficacy of antimalarials
[30]. However, nucleic acid detection methods have not been widely used in malaria-endemic
countries including Tanzania, because they have some major limitations such as infrastructure
requirements, lack of skilled experts, and high purchasing and operational costs [31].

116

117 In areas with high transmission, infections in the communities (mainly among asymptomatic 118 individuals) play an important role in malaria transmission [32]. These individuals who remain in the community without health-care harbor parasites infections, more often with low levels of 119 120 parasitemia that are difficult to detect by conventional diagnostic methods like RDTs and microscopy. This makes detection and targeting of these community infections difficult [21,33]. In 121 addition, there is a paucity of data on how routine diagnostic methods such as RTDs and 122 123 microscopy perform in the detection of infections among community members during routine 124 surveillance of malaria. The problem is potentially higher in asymptomatic community members, who remain untreated but with high potential of sustaining transmission. In areas with biological 125 126 threats such as artemisinin partial resistance (ART-R), it is critical to deploy and use highly sensitive tests as part of the response strategy to prevent the spread of resistant parasites. This study aimed 127 to determine the performance of RDTs and microscopy using gPCR as a reference method for the 128 detection of malaria parasites in community members (with or without symptoms) in Kyerwa 129 130 district of Kagera region, an area where ART-R has been recently confirmed [9]. The findings of this 131 study provide evidence for the potential use of RDTs and microscopy in the surveillance and targeting of community infections (mainly asymptomatic individuals) as part of the response to 132 133 ART-R in Tanzania.

134

135 Methods

136 Study design and sites

The data and samples used in this study were obtained from a community cross-sectional survey (CSS) that was conducted in five villages in Kyerwa district of Kagera region as described earlier [34]. This was part of the main project on molecular surveillance of malaria in Mainland Tanzania (MSMT) which has been implemented in regions with varying endemicity since 2021 [3,4,34]. The five study villages (Kitoma, Kitwechenkura, Nyakabwera, Rubuga and Ruko) are located in

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

- 142 Kyerwa district, which is among the eight councils of Kagera region as previously described [34].
- 143 The villages were selected based on recent research findings which showed that some areas of
- 144 Kagera region have high levels of parasites with mutations associated with ART-R [9].



Figure 1: (A) Map of Tanzania showing the 26 regions including Kagera (gold), (B) study area in
Kyerwa district (red), and (C) study villages (gold).

148

145

149 Study population, participant enrollment, and data collection

150 In this study, community members aged 6 months and above were enrolled. The participants 151 reside in the five study villages of Kyerwa district that are part of the longitudinal component of 152 the MSMT project. The participants were asked and provided informed consent to participate in 153 the study. Details of the procedures for enrollment of participants in the CSS were fully described in a recently published paper [34]. Briefly, demographic, anthropometric, clinical, and 154 155 parasitological data were collected using a questionnaire developed and run-on tablets installed 156 with an Open Data Kit (ODK) software version 4.2 [34]. Participants were appropriately identified using their permanent identification numbers (IDs), interviewed to collect demographic and 157 158 anthropological data, clinically assessed and examined for any illness, and tested for malaria using 159 RDTs as described earlier [34].

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

160

161

162 Sample size and sample collection

The CSS aimed to recruit and obtain blood samples from selected individuals who voluntarily and 163 164 were conveniently enrolled from a population of 17519 residing in 4144 households, as 165 described earlier [34]. Through a convenient and non-random sampling which was used as described earlier [34], 4454 out of 17519 (25.4%) individuals residing in 768 households were 166 enrolled. Enrolled participants provided finger prick blood for RDTs, thick and thin blood smears 167 for detection of malaria parasites by microscopy, and dried blood spots (DBS) on filter papers 168 (Whatman No. 3, GE Healthcare Life Sciences, PA, USA) for laboratory analyses. All samples were 169 170 collected from participants using the standard operating procedures (SOPs) of the MSMT 171 project. Briefly, each DBS had three spots, each with about 20 mm diameter equivalent to about 172 50µl of blood [3]. DBS samples were air-dried and packed in zipper bags with silica gel to prevent moisture and fungal infestation. Thick and thin blood smears were prepared in the field, air-173 174 dried, and thin films were fixed with absolute methanol. The slides were stained on the same or the following day with 5% Giemsa solution for 45 minutes, and packed in slide storage boxes 175 176 [35]. All samples were stored at room temperature in the field before delivery to the Genomics Laboratory at the National Institute for Medical Research (NIMR), in Dar es Salaam, for 177 178 subsequent processing and analyses.

179

180 Malaria diagnosis using RDT

181 Detection of malaria infections was done using RDTs under field conditions in which Finger-prick 182 blood was collected from all enrolled participants. Two brands of RDTs were used, Abbott Bioline Malaria Ag Pf/Pan (Abbott Diagnostics Korea Inc., Korea) and Smart Malaria Pf/Pan Ag Rapid Test 183 (Zhejiang Orient Gene Biotech Co. Ltd, China). The RDTs used in the CSS had PfHRP-2 and pLDH 184 antigens. The tests were performed and interpreted following the manufacturers' instructions 185 186 [36]. RDT results were sent to clinicians to make a final diagnosis in case malaria infections were 187 suspected. Individuals with positive results but without a history of using antimalarial drugs in 188 the last seven days were treated according to the national guidelines for the diagnosis and 189 treatment of malaria [37], while other illnesses were appropriately treated as per national

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

190 guidelines [38].

191

192 Detection of malaria parasites by microscopy

193 The collected blood smears were read at the NIMR Genomics Laboratory after the completion of 194 field activities. Two experts, WHO-certified microscopists read the blood smears for detection of 195 malaria parasites, identification and quantitation of asexual and sexual (gametocytes) stages, and detection of different *Plasmodium* species as described earlier [23]. In case of discrepancy, a 196 197 third reading was performed by an independent microscopist blinded to the results of the first two readers. In all positive smears, asexual and sexual parasites were counted against 200 and 198 500 white blood cells (WBCs), respectively. Parasite density was obtained by multiplying the 199 parasite counts by 40 for asexual and 16 for sexual parasites, assuming each microliter of blood 200 201 contained 8000 WBCs [39]. A blood slide was considered negative for Plasmodium species if no 202 parasites were detected in at least 200 oil-immersion, high-power fields on the thick film. Quality 203 control of smears was done as previously described [23].

204

205 DNA extraction and detection of malaria parasites using real-time qPCR

206 DNA was extracted from three punches of DBS, and each punch had 6mm, representing 207 approximately 25μ of blood. The extraction was done using 0.5% Chelex-Tween 20 (Bio-Rad 208 Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA), and the final DNA was eluted in a volume of approximately 209 100µl of nuclease-free water as previously described [3]. Species-specific qPCRs targeting 18S ribosomal Ribonucleic acid (rRNA) subunit were performed as described earlier [3], and the 210 211 reactions were run on the Bio-Rad CFX 96 Opus real-time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad, 212 California, USA), with CFX Maestro software version 2.2. A separate qPCR assay was run for each species: P. falciparum, P. malariae, and P. ovale spp. (simultaneously detecting both P. ovale 213 214 curtis and P. ovale wallikeri) in a final volume of 12.5uL reaction mixture containing 10uL of 215 master mix and 2.5uL of DNA template. qPCR was run for 40 cycles for all species except P. 216 malariae which was run for 45 cycles, and quantification of the parasites was done by running 217 standard curves using 10-fold serial dilution of engineered plasmids as earlier described [3]. 218 Analysis of *P. vivax* was not done because it was rarely detected in our recent studies [3,4], but 219 this will be done in the future using a pooling strategy that is being optimized.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

220

221 Data management and analysis

222 All clinical and parasitological data were collected using electronic data capture tools installed on tablets using ODK software version 4.2. The data were transmitted to the central server at NIMR 223 224 in Dar es Salaam in real-time or once the internet connection was working, and they were 225 validated daily concurrently with field activities. After completion of field activities, the data were downloaded into Microsoft Excel for further cleaning. Data analysis was done using STATA 226 software version 13 (StataCorp, Texas, USA) and R software v4.4.1. Descriptive statistics 227 including frequencies, percentages, medians, and interguartile ranges (IQRs) were used to 228 summarize the data. Sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values, and accuracy 229 of RDTs and microscopy were determined using 2×2 contingency tables, with qPCR as the gold 230 231 standard [40]. For the three diagnostic techniques (RDTs, microscopy, and qPCR), Pearson Chi-232 squared test was used to assess the differences in malaria prevalence across the three age groups (under -fives, school children aged 5 - <15 years, and adult individuals with \geq 15 years 233 old), sex, history of fever in the past 48 hours, fever at presentation (axillary temperature 234 ≥37.5°C), and area of residence [16,23]. The accuracy of RDTs and microscopy when compared 235 to qPCR (defined as correct results with negative or positive tests divided by all results) were also 236 237 determined as previously described [41]. Predictors of risk of obtaining false negative RDTs and 238 microscopy results as determinants of sensitivities of RDTs and microscopy were computed using 239 a multivariate logistic regression model, with adjustments for sex, age groups, history of fever in the past 48 hours, fever at presentation, parasite densities (<100, 100-1000, 1001-5000, 5001-240 10000. and >10000 asexual parasites/µL), and area of residence. For predictors of risk of 241 242 obtaining false positive RDTs and microscopy results as determinants of the specificity of RDTs and microscopy, adjustments were done for sex, age groups, history of fever in the past 48 243 hours, fever at presentation, and area of residence. All results with a p-value <0.05 were 244 considered significant. Concordance between the three methods was calculated using Cohen's 245 246 Kappa coefficient (κ), and $\kappa \ge < \ge 0.20$ indicated poor agreement, 0.21–0.40 was considered to be 247 fair, 0.41–0.60 was moderate, 0.61–0.80 was good agreement, 0.81–0.99 was very good and 248 1.00 indicated perfect agreement [16].

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

250 **RESULTS**

251 Baseline characteristics of the population

The CSS which collected the data and samples used in this study was undertaken from 14th July to 252 253 02nd August 2023, and enrolled 4454 individuals from the five villages of Kyerwa district in Kagera 254 region. The data and samples from all participants were available and were used in this study. 255 The median age of study participants was 14 (IQR = 6.7 - 36.0) years; 59.3% (n = 2643/4454) were females, and the rest were males (40.7%, n = 1811/4454). Of all individuals, 48.2% (n = 256 2146/4454) were aged \geq 15 years, while under-fives accounted for 18.7% (n = 835/4454) and 257 33.1% (n = 1473/4454) were school children (aged 5 - <15 years). Among the five villages, 258 Nyakabwera had 27.9% (n = 1243/4454) of the study participants and Rubuga had 21.9% (n = 259 974/4454). Each of the three remaining villages had less than 20.0%, but had above 15.0% of the 260 261 participants (Kitwechenkura with 17.3%, n = 769/4454; Ruko had 17.0%, n = 759/4454; and 262 Kitoma had 15.9%, n = 709/4454). Overall, 30.1% (n = 1341/4454) of the participants had a 263 history of fever in the past 48 hours before the survey, while only 3.1% (n = 136/4454) had fever at presentation (with axillary temperature \geq 37.5°C). Among all participants, 6.5% (n = 289/4454) had 264 a history of medication use in the past seven days, and 89.3% (n = 258/289) of these reported that 265 266 they used Artemether-Lumefantrine (AL) for the treatment of uncomplicated malaria (Table 1). Other antimalarial drugs reported to have been used included injectable artesunate (by 1.0%, n = 267 268 3/289), Metakelfin (by 0.7%, n = 2/289), Quinine (0.3%, n = 1/289), and other unspecified 269 antimalarial drugs (0.3%, n = 1/289).

270

271 Prevalence of malaria by RDTs, microscopy, and qPCR

All enrolled participants were tested with the three methods, and 44.4% (n=1979/4454) had 272 273 positive results by RDTs, while the prevalence was 32.1% (n = 1431/4454) by microscopy and 274 39.8% (n=1771/4454) by qPCR. The differences in the prevalence by the three methods were 275 statistically significant (p<0.001). The highest prevalence of malaria infections by RDT (68.5%, 276 n=520/759) and microscopy (51.6%, n=392/759) was in Ruko, while by qPCR, the highest prevalence was in Rubuga (55.9%, n=544/974); with significantly high variations among the 277 278 villages (p<0.001). Nyakabwera had the lowest prevalence by all methods; RDTs (14.5%, n= 279 180/1243), microscopy (9.3%, n=116/1243) and qPCR (13.9%, n=173/1243); and the differences

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

were statistically significant (p<0.001 for all tests) (**Table 2**).

281

282 Using all three test methods, males and school children had significantly higher prevalence (p<0.05 for all comparisons, except with RDT for under-fives vs. school children) (Table 2; Fig. 2A 283 284 and Fig. 2B). Among individuals with a history of fever within the past 48 hours, the majority tested positive by all methods (88.4%, n = 1186/1341 by RDTs; 63.2%, n = 847/1341 by 285 microscopy; and 76.1%, n = 1021/1341 by qPCR). The prevalence was also higher for those with 286 fever at presentation (axillary temperature \geq 37.5°C), with 79.4% (n = 108/136) by RDTs, 68.4% 287 288 (n = 93/136) by microscopy, and 74.3% (n = 101/136) by qPCR. Of the participants who reported that they used AL within the past seven days, 96.5% (n = 249/258) had positive results by RDTs; 289 290 37.6% (n = 97/258) were positive by microscopy while 64.0% (n = 165/258) had positive results 291 by qPCR (Table 2).



292

293 Figure 2A. Prevalence of malaria infections by RDTs, microscopy, and qPCR among individuals of

294 different age groups

```
295 *** p=0.001, **p<0.01, ns= Not significant
```



It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

296 297

298 Figure 2B. Prevalence of malaria infections by RDTs, microscopy, and qPCR among male and

299 female participants.

```
300 *** p=0.001, **p<0.01, *p<0.05
```

301

302 Prevalence of *Plasmodium falciparum*, non-falciparum species and parasitaemia

303 Based on microscopy, the prevalence of *P. falciparum*, *P. malariae*, and *P. ovale* mono-infections was 28.7% (n = 1279/4454), 0.2% (n = 7/4454), and 0.3% (n = 12/4454), respectively. Mixed 304 infections occurred in 3.0% (n = 133/4454) of the samples, and these included double infections, 305 with 2.1% (n = 93/4454) of *P. falciparum/P. malariae* and 0.9% (n = 39/4454) with *P. falciparum/P.* 306 ovale, and triple infections of P. falciparum/P. malariae/P. ovale which occurred in one sample 307 (0.02%; n = 1/4454). By gPCR, the prevalence of P. falciparum, P. malariae, and P. ovale mono-308 infections was 35.3% (n = 1572/4454), 0.4% (n = 16/4454), and 0.5% (n = 24/4454), respectively. 309 310 The proportion of samples with positive results due to mixed infections of different *Plasmodium*

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

- 311 species by qPCR was 3.6% (n = 159/4454). These mixed infections included double infections of *P*.
- 312 *falciparum/P. malariae* at 0.9% (n = 40/4454), *P. falciparum/P. ovale at 2.6*% (n = 114/4454), and
- 313 0.04% (n = 2/4454) had P. ovale/P. malariae, while triple infections of P. falciparum/P.
- 314 *malariae/P. ovale* occurred in 0.07% (n = 3/4454) of the samples (**Table 3**).
- 315

316 The geometric mean parasite densities (GMPDs) by microscopy were 642 parasites/ μ L (95% Cl = 570 - 723) for *P. falciparum*, 124 parasites/µL (95% CI = 97 - 160) for *P. malariae*, and 126 317 parasites/ μ L (95% CI = 82-194) for *P.ovale* spp (Fig. 3A). The parasite densities of *P. falciparum* 318 319 were significantly lower (p<0.001) among adults aged \geq 15 years (with the mean parasite density of 246 parasites/ μ L, 95% CI = 206-293), while the highest densities were among under-fives (with 320 321 1915 parasites/ μ L; 95% CI = 1398 - 2623), 209 parasites/ μ L (70 - 629), and 288 parasites/ μ L (165 -322 504) for P. falciparum, P. ovale, and P. malariae, respectively. The GMPDs of P. falciparum were 323 higher in males compared to females (with 759 parasites/ μ L, 95% CI = 635 - 908 for males versus 324 563 parasites/ μ L, 95% CI=481-660 for females, p=0.014) and in Ruko (847 parasites/ μ L, 95% CI = 325 679 - 1057, p=0.034) compared to other villages (Table 4). By qPCR, the GMPDs of P. falciparum, *P. ovale,* and *P. malariae* were 1180 parasites/ μ l (95% Cl = 1032 - 1349), 44 parasites/ μ l (95% Cl = 326 327 32 - 61), and 50 parasites/ μ l (95%Cl = 29 - 89) (Fig 3B). The parasite densities of *P. falciparum* 328 were lower among adults aged \geq 15 years, with a mean parasite density of 566 parasites/ μ L, 95% 329 CI = 460-697, p<0.001), compared to under-fives who had higher densities (with a mean parasite 330 density of 2076 parasites/µL, 95% CI = 1462 - 2948, p<0.001). The mean parasite densities for P. 331 ovale were also significantly higher among under-fives (with 118 parasites/ μ L, 95% CI = 59-236, 332 p=0.002), while the GMPD of *P. malariae* in under-fives (67 parasites/µL, 95% CI=15-300, p=0.272) 333 was also higher, but the differences among age groups were not statistically significant. 334 Parasitemia due to P. falciparum was higher in males (with 1401 parasites/µL, 95% CI = 1143-335 1717, p=0.015) while with *P. malarie* and *P. ovale*, the differences in GMPD among females and males were not statistically significant (p=0.528, and p=0.225 for P. malarie, and P. ovale, 336 337 respectively) (Table 4).

- 338
- 339
- 340

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



344





Figure 3: Violin plots representing the distribution of parasite densities detected for *P. falciparum, P. malariae, and P. ovale.* Panel A shows densities detected using microscopy, while Panel B presents those detected using PCR. The plots highlight the variability in parasite densities for each species, with the shape and width of the violins indicating the spread and frequency of the data points.

351

352 Performance of RDTs, microscopy, and qPCR for malaria detection

Of all participants (n = 4454), 1651 (37.1%, 95% CI = 35.3-38.9) were positive by both RDTs and qPCR, while 1328 participants (29.8%, 95% CI = 28.2-31.5) tested positive by both microscopy and

355 qPCR (Table 5 and Fig. 4). With qPCR as the reference method, the sensitivity of RDTs and microscopy was 93.2% (95% CI = 92.0 - 94.4) and 75.0% (95% CI = 72.9 - 77.0), respectively. The 356 specificity of RDTs was 87.8% (95% CI = 86.5 - 89.0), while that of microscopy was 96.2% (95% CI = 357 95.4 - 96.9) (Table 5 and Fig 4). The diagnostic accuracy of RDTs was 89.9% (95% CI = 89.0-90.8), 358 359 and the accuracy was 87.7% (86.7-88.7) for microscopy. Microscopy showed a higher positive 360 predictive value of 92.8% (95% CI = 91.4 - 94.0) compared to RDTs which had a positive predictive value of 83.4% (95% CI = 82.0 - 84.8). Conversely, the negative predictive value was higher for RDT 361 than for microscopy, 95.2% (95% CI = 94.3 - 95.9) and 85.30% (95% CI = 84.3 - 86.3), respectively. 362 Both RDTs and microscopy had better agreement with gPCR, with Cohen's kappa values of 0.79 363 (95% CI = 0.78-0.81) and 0.74 (95% CI = 0.71-0.76), respectively (Table 5). 364

365

The sensitivities of RDTs and microscopy varied at different levels of parasitemia, with the lowest 366 367 sensitivity of microscopy of 40.4% at <100 parasites/µL while for RDTs, the sensitivity at parasitaemia <100 parasites/µL was 76.6% (Fig 5). The sensitivity of both RDTs and microscopy 368 increased with an increase in parasitemia, from 70.7% and 94.0% at 100-1000 parasites/ μ L to 369 370 92.3% and 100% at >5000-10000 parasites/µL by microscopy and RDTs, respectively. The 371 sensitivity was over 99.6% at very high parasite densities (>10000 parasites/ μ L) (Fig 5). The sensitivity of both methods (RDTs and microscopy) was significantly higher at high parasitaemia 372 373 (aOR > 100, p < 0.001) and among individuals with a history of fever (aOR > 1.30, p < 0.001) (Table 374 **6A**). The specificity of RDTs was significantly affected by age (aOR >0.40, p<0.001), history of fever (aOR =0.08, p<0.001), and fever at presentation (aOR = 0.59, p<0.05) while the specificity of 375 376 microscopy was not affected by any of the demographic and clinical variables (p>0.05) (Table 6B).

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



378

379 Figure 4. Flow chart showing tests performed and their diagnostic performances

380 TP: True Positive; FP: False Positive; TN: True Negative; FN: False Negative

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .



381

Figure 5. Sensitivity of RDTs and microscopy at different levels of parasitemia. Bars represent
 proportion of cases with positive results by different categories of parasite density, asexual
 parasites/μL (black bars =microscopy, n = 4454; and clear bars =RDTs, n = 4454); Solid line =
 sensitivity of RDTs and dotted line = sensitivity of microscopy.

386

387 DISCUSSION

This community cross-section survey was conducted to assess the performance of three malaria diagnostic methods (RDTs, microscopy and qPCR) among community members (with or without symptoms of malaria) with a focus on asymptomatic individuals in areas where increasing levels of parasites with ART-R have been recently reported [42]. The study area is located near Rwanda and Uganda borders with human movements and where ART-R has been confirmed [9] Thus, this area is of high interest and it is being targeted as part of the response to ART-R with a broader focus on the Great Lakes Region of Africa. In this and other communities, recent studies have

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

395 reported high prevalence of malaria among asymptomatic individuals and identified vulnerable 396 groups (eg. males, school children, individuals with low socio-economic status and those living in 397 poorly constructed houses) which need to be targeted by malaria interventions including 398 intensified surveillance based on use of sensitive diagnostic methods [34,43]. In this study, the 399 overall prevalence of malaria was higher by RDT compared to microscopy, and it was also higher 400 among males, school children and individuals with fever history or fever at presentation. The prevalence of malaria infections was higher by RDTs followed by qPCR while microscopy had the 401 lowest prevalence. The GMPDs of all species (*P. falciparum*, *P. malariae* and *P. ovale*) were higher 402 403 by qPCR compared to microscopy. Using qPCR as the gold standard, RDTs had a higher sensitivity compared to microscopy while the specificity was higher for microscopy compared to RDTs, and 404 the sensitivity of both RDTs and microscopy increased with increasing parasite density. These 405 findings support the use of RDTs as a reliable parasite detection method for targeting community 406 407 members, particularly asymptomatic individuals, and can potentially be utilized in the ongoing plans to develop a response to ART-R [9,42]. 408

409

The prevalence of malaria infections was higher for RDTs while microscopy had the lowest 410 411 prevalence. RDTs had the highest prevalence even for individuals of different age groups and sex. 412 The high prevalence by RDTs could be due to the persistence of HRP2 antigens, which can remain 413 detectable for up to four weeks after effective treatment, even after complete clearance of 414 malaria parasites [42]. Higher prevalence of RDTs could also be caused by human errors during interpretation of results as some bands on test lines can be reported as present while the test is 415 actually negative [44]. This means that some of the RDT positive results could actually be false 416 417 positives, resulting high false positive results which has been associated with unwarranted prescription of antimalarials. History of antimalarial use within one to two weeks prior to testing 418 may provisionally may help to identify individuals with false positive results as shown in this study 419 420 that such individuals were more likely to be positive by RDTs and gPCR compared to microscopy, 421 but cannot rule out failed clearance (recrudescence) or new infection.

422

423 The lower prevalence by microscopy compared to RDTs could be due to its low sensitivity424 particularly in low parasite density among asymptomatic individuals, quality of blood smears, and

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

425 technical limitations. Previous studies have shown that low parasitemia below the limit of detection of microscopy is associated with an increasing rate of false negative results since a 426 427 number of positive samples with low density infections are missed [45,46]. Quality and technical limitations including the optical condition of microscopes, skills of slide readers, smearing and 428 429 slide staining quality have also been reported to affect microscopy results [47–49]. With poorly 430 prepared smears or faulty microscopes, even appropriately-trained readers can potentially miss or misdiagnose malaria. Poorly trained microscopists can also contribute to this problem even if 431 smears have been well prepared [47]. However, the study team used high quality reagents and 432 433 experienced experts suggesting that these factors could not have potentially affected the results of this study. Thus, more studies will be needed to further tease out the poor performance of 434 microscopy in similar study groups and areas of comparable transmission intensities. 435

436

437 Parasite densities were significantly higher for qPCR compared to microscopy, and this could be attributed to the low detection limit of qPCR compared to microscopy. Studies have shown that 438 439 qPCR can identify as few as one parasite per microliter of blood, whereas microscopy typically requires a minimum threshold of around 50-100 parasites/ μ L) to ensure accurate identification 440 441 [16,17]. Furthermore, parasitemia due to *P. falciparum* was higher compared to *P. ovale* spp and P. malariae with the highest P. falciparum parasitemia in under-fives. This could be due to 442 443 differences in the biology of these malaria parasite species whereby *P. falciparum* is known to be 444 highly pathogenic compared to other species, and this it is capable of rapid replication and the 445 potential to evade the immune system leading to high parasitaemia [4,50]. The findings of this study are similar to what was reported in previous studies [51]. Low level of immunity among 446 447 under-fives could be the main reason for higher parasitemia in this group, and this should always be considered when implementing case management strategies in under-fives [52]. 448

449

450 While both RDTs and microscopy worked well, RDTs had a higher diagnostic accuracy than 451 microscopy, and higher sensitivity but substantially lower specificity and PPV compared to 452 microscopy. The specificity and PPV of RDTs were lower as expected, and it was most likely due to 453 HRP2/3 protein residues that tend to persist even after the infection has been cleared with 454 antimalarials [31,36]. RDTs were more sensitive than microscopy even at low parasitemia (<100

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

455 asexual parasites/ μ L), with the sensitivity of the two methods increasing as parasite density 456 increased. The lower sensitivity of microscopy was potentially attributed to lower parasite density, as this study focused on community members whereby majority of the study participants 457 (69.9%) were asymptomatic, with some of them carrying low-density infections below the 458 459 detection limit of microscopy. The false positivity rate was higher with RDTs (12.2%) compared to 460 microscopy (3.8%) suggesting that using RDTs particularly in community members made of mainly asymptomatic individuals should be properly assessed to avoid unwanted prescription of 461 antimalarials, while the false negativity rate was higher with microscopy (25.0%) and lower with 462 463 RDTs (6.8%). This agrees with the historical use of microscopy as a confirmatory test for malaria diagnosis due to its higher specificity [53]. However, as demonstrated from this study, RDTs, 464 which are the current option for malaria testing at health facilities, had high sensitivity but low 465 specificity, and microscopy which is the current gold standard, has high specificity but relatively 466 467 lower sensitivity. Molecular detection by qPCR is highly sensitive and specific, but not feasible in clinical settings. More efforts need to be invested to determine the most ideal approach for 468 469 malaria diagnosis in areas with heterogeneous malaria transmission. Such test will also be critical for supporting malaria surveillance within the ongoing elimination efforts and in responding to 470 471 ART-R.

Parasite density, age, and sex were found to affect the sensitivity and specificity of RDTs and 472 473 microscopy in various ways in this study. The sensitivity of both RDTs and microscopy increased 474 with increasing parasite density and more false negatives were associated with low parasite 475 density. Similar to what has been reported by others [45,54], the sensitivity of microscopy and RDT becomes very low below 100 parasites / μ L or < 0.002% parasitaemia for RDTs and <50 parasites 476 477 $/\mu$ L or < 0.001% parasitaemia for microscopy. This implies that at low parasite densities, a considerable proportion of positive individuals may be missed by the tests, and this is of concern 478 479 especially in areas targeting elimination as these are characterized with low level infections. The 480 sensitivity of RDTs decreased with increasing age and this was similar to what was reported by 481 others [55–57]. This could be explained by age-dependent immunity which develops following 482 repeated exposure to infections, that may suppress parasites and result in low densities below 483 detection threshold [56,58]. The specificity of RDTs increased with age, which is in agreement to 484 what was previously reported by others [59–61], although other studies reported no age-specific

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

485 trends[16,62]. The effect of age on specificity is thought to be influenced by the parasite density,
486 which is related to the improvement of the immune system with age [59].

This study had two limitations. Firstly, a history of fever within the past two days and a history of 487 antimalarial use within the previous seven days were based on self-reported information, 488 489 increasing their potential recall bias. Participants or guardians of participants were the only source 490 of information and the team had no means to ascertain their responses. However, the findings reported in this study are similar to what have been previously reported [23], suggesting that the 491 responses reported in this study could potentially represent the actual status of fevers in the 492 communities. Secondly, the study covered only one district of Kagera region where ART-R has 493 recently been confirmed and the Ministry of Health is planning to implement a response strategy 494 for ART-R. Thus, the findings from this study cannot be used to represent general performance of 495 these three diagnostic methods in other areas of Mainland Tanzania. Despite these limitations, the 496 497 finding of this study demonstrates higher performance of RDTs compared microscopy with gPCR as the reference method, suggesting that RDTs can be used as reliable methods for detection of 498 499 malaria in communities with focus on areas with reported ART-R or ongoing malaria elimination 500 strategies.

501

502 CONCLUSION

503 This study revealed that RDTs were more sensitive and accurate but less specific compared to 504 microscopy in detecting malaria parasites among community members, with a high proportion of 505 asymptomatic individuals. The false positivity rate was higher with RDTs while the rate of false 506 negative results was high with microscopy. The performances of both RDTs and microscopy were 507 poor at very low parasite density (<100 parasite/ μ L), but increased with an increase in parasite density. The higher sensitivity and diagnostic accuracy of RDTs compared to microscopy supports 508 509 the routine use of RDTs for case management and surveillance of asymptomatic malaria in this 510 area with confirmed ART-R. Due to lower performance of microscopy particular among individual 511 with low parasite density, RDTs usage in routine malaria diagnostic services should be prioritized, 512 however, microscopy should be utilized for malaria confirmation purposes due to its high 513 specificity. To ensure the high quality of malaria diagnosis results, the performance of RDTs and 514 microscopy should be regularly monitored for supporting appropriate treatment of malaria

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

515	infections with effective antimalarials as part of the strategies to fight ART-R
516	
517	
518	Ethics approval and consent to participate
519	This CSS was part of the MSMT project whose protocol was reviewed and approved by the
520	Medical Research Coordinating Committee (MRCC) of the National Institute for Medical Research
521	(NIMR). Authorization to conduct the study was obtained from the President's Office, Regional
522	Administration and Local Government (PO-RALG), regional authorities, and the District Executive
523	Directors. Information about the CSS was disseminated in the community through their village
524	mobilization teams for two consecutive days preceding the survey. Before participating in the
525	survey, verbal and written informed consent were sought and obtained from all participants or
526	parents/guardians in the case of children.
527	
528	Availability of data and materials
529	The data used in this paper are available and can be obtained upon request from the
530	corresponding author.
531	
532	Competing interests
533	The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
534	
535	Funding
536	This work was supported in full by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation [grant number 002202].
537	Under the grant conditions of the Foundation, a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Generic
538	License has already been assigned to the Author Accepted Manuscript version that might arise
539	from this submission.
540	
541	Authors contribution
542	DSI developed the idea, supervised study implementation, data analysis, and interpretation of the
543	results. FF, DPC, and MDS were involved in data collection, analysis, and results interpretation.
544	RBM drafted the manuscript and all authors revised the manuscript. DSI revised and finalized the

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

545 manuscript and all authors read and approved the manuscript.

546

547 Acknowledgments

The authors sincerely thank the participants for their willingness to participate in the CSS, 548 549 consenting, and contributing to the study. They also extend their gratitude to the data collection 550 and laboratory teams for their valuable contributions, including Ezekiel Malecela, Oswald Oscar, 551 Ildephonce Mathias, Gerion Gaudin, Kusa Mchaina, Hussein Semboja, Sharifa Hassan, Salome Simba, Hatibu Athumani, Ambele Lyatinga, Honest Munishi, Anael Derrick Kimaro, Ally Idrissa and 552 553 Amina Ibrahim. Special thanks to the finance, administrative, and logistic support teams at NIMR: 554 Christopher Masaka, Millen Meena, Beatrice Mwampeta, Neema Manumbu, Arison Ekoni, Sadiki Yusuph, John Fundi, Fred Mashanda, Amir Tununu and Andrew Kimboi. The support from the 555 management of NIMR, NMCP and PO-RALG was critical to the success of this CSS, and it is 556 557 therefore appreciated. The team extends gratitude for the technical and logistics support from partners at Brown University, the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, the CDC Foundation 558 and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation team. 559

560

561 Abbreviations and acronyms

- 562 ACT Artemisinin-based combination therapy
- 563 AL Artemether-Lumefantrine
- 564 aOR Adjusted odds ratio
- 565 ART-R Artemisinin partial resistance
- 566 CI Confidence interval
- 567 CDC Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
- 568 cOR Crude odds ratios
- 569 CSS Cross-sectional survey
- 570 DBS Dried blood spots
- 571 DMFP District malaria focal person
- 572 GMPD Geometric Mean Parasite Density
- 573 IDs Identification numbers
- 574 IPTp Intermittent preventive treatment

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

- 575 IQR Interquartile range
- 576 IRS Indoor residual spraying
- 577 ITN Insecticides treated nets
- 578 LSM Larval source management
- 579 MRCC Medical Research Coordinating Committee
- 580 MSMT Molecular surveillance of malaria in Tanzania.
- 581 NIMR National Institute for Medical Research
- 582 NMCP National Malaria Control Program
- 583 ODK Open Data Kit software
- 584 ORs Odds ratio
- 585 PCA Principal component analysis
- 586 PO-RALG President's Office, Regional Administration and Local Government
- 587 RDTs Rapid diagnostic tests
- 588 rRNA Ribosomal Ribonucleic Acid
- 589 SMPS School-children Malaria Parasitological Survey
- 590 SOPs Standard Operating Procedures
- 591 WHO World Health Organization
- 592

593 **REFERENCES**

- 1. Okoyo C, Githinji E, Muia RW, Masaku J, Mwai J, Nyandieka L, et al. Assessment of malaria
- 595 infection among pregnant women and children below five years of age attending rural health
- 596 facilities of Kenya: A cross-sectional survey in two counties of Kenya. PLoS One. 2021;16:e0257276.
- 597 2. WHO. World Malaria Report 2023. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2023.
- 598 3. Popkin Hall ZR, Seth MD, Madebe RA, Budodo R, Bakari C, Francis F, et al. Malaria species
- 599 positivity rates among symptomatic individuals across regions of differing transmission intensities
- 600 in Mainland Tanzania. J Infect Dis 2023. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jiad522
- 4. Popkin-Hall ZR, Seth MD, Madebe RA, Budodo R, Bakari C, Francis F, et al. Prevalence of non-
- 602 falciparum malaria infections among asymptomatic individuals in four regions of Mainland
- 603 Tanzania. Parasit Vectors. 2024;17:153.
- 604 5. Hofer LM, Kweyamba PA, Sayi RM, Chabo MS, Maitra SL, Moore SJ, et al. Malaria rapid

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

- 605 diagnostic tests reliably detect asymptomatic Plasmodium falciparum infections in school-aged
- 606 children that are infectious to mosquitoes. Parasit Vectors. 2023;16:217.
- 607 6. Onken A, Haanshuus CG, Miraji MK, Marijani M, Kibwana KO, Abeid KA, et al. Malaria prevalence
- and performance of diagnostic tests among patients hospitalized with acute undifferentiated fever
- 609 in Zanzibar. Malar J. 2022;21:54.
- 610 7. Oboh MA, Singh US, Ndiaye D, Badiane AS, Ali NA, Bharti PK, et al. Presence of additional
- 611 Plasmodium vivax malaria in Duffy negative individuals from Southwestern Nigeria. Malar J.
- 612 2020;19:229.
- 8. Tungu P, Kabula B, Nkya T, Machafuko P, Sambu E, Batengana B, et al. Trends of insecticide
- resistance monitoring in mainland Tanzania, 2004-2020. Malar J. 2023;22:100.
- 615 9. Ishengoma DS, Mandara CI, Bakari C, Fola AA, Madebe RA, Seth MD, et al. Evidence of
- 616 artemisinin partial resistance in northwestern Tanzania: clinical and molecular markers of
- 617 resistance. Lancet Infect Dis 2024. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(24)00362-1
- 618 10. Rogier E, Battle N, Bakari C, Seth MD, Nace D, Herman C, et al. Plasmodium falciparum pfhrp2
- and pfhrp3 gene deletions among patients enrolled at 100 health facilities throughout Tanzania:
- 620 February to July 2021. Sci Rep. 2024;14:8158.
- 621 11. Mnzava A, Monroe AC, Okumu F. Anopheles stephensi in Africa requires a more integrated
 622 response. Malar J. 2022;21:156.
- 623 12. WHO guidelines for malaria, Geneva, Switzerland; 2023. World Health Organization, 2023.
- 624 13. Bohle LF, Abdallah A-K, Galli F, Canavan R, Molesworth K. Knowledge, attitudes and practices
- 625 towards malaria diagnostics among healthcare providers and healthcare-seekers in Kondoa district,
- 626 Tanzania: a multi-methodological situation analysis. Malar J. 2022;21:224.
- 627 14. Oyegoke OO, Maharaj L, Akoniyon OP, Kwoji I, Roux AT, Adewumi TS, et al. Malaria diagnostic
 628 methods with the elimination goal in view. Parasitol Res. 2022;121:1867–85.
- 629 15. Agaba BB, Rugera SP, Mpirirwe R, Atekat M, Okubal S, Masereka K, et al. Asymptomatic malaria
- 630 infection, associated factors and accuracy of diagnostic tests in a historically high transmission
- 631 setting in Northern Uganda. Malar J. 2022;21:392.
- 632 16. Opoku Afriyie S, Addison TK, Gebre Y, Mutala A-H, Antwi KB, Abbas DA, et al. Accuracy of
- 633 diagnosis among clinical malaria patients: comparing microscopy, RDT and a highly sensitive
- 634 quantitative PCR looking at the implications for submicroscopic infections. Malar J. 2023;22:76.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

- 635 17. Mpina M, Stabler TC, Schindler T, Raso J, Deal A, Acuche Pupu L, et al. Diagnostic performance
- 636 and comparison of ultrasensitive and conventional rapid diagnostic test, thick blood smear and
- 637 quantitative PCR for detection of low-density Plasmodium falciparum infections during a controlled
- human malaria infection study in Equatorial Guinea. Malar J. 2022;21:99.
- 639 18. Rogier E, Bakari C, Mandara CI, Chiduo MG, Plucinski M, Nace D, et al. Performance of antigen
- 640 detection for HRP2-based malaria rapid diagnostic tests in community surveys: Tanzania, July-
- 641 November 2017. Malar J. 2022;21:361.
- 642 19. Gillet P, Mori M, Van Esbroeck M, Van den Ende J, Jacobs J. Assessment of the prozone effect in
 643 malaria rapid diagnostic tests. Malar J. 2009;8:1–7.
- 644 20. Luchavez J, Baker J, Alcantara S, Belizario V, Cheng Q, McCarthy JS, et al. Laboratory
- 645 demonstration of a prozone-like effect in HRP2-detecting malaria rapid diagnostic tests:
- 646 implications for clinical management. Malar J. 2011;10:1–7.
- 647 21. Agaba BB, Rugera SP, Mpirirwe R, Atekat M, Okubal S, Masereka K, et al. Asymptomatic malaria
- 648 infection, associated factors and accuracy of diagnostic tests in a historically high transmission
- 649 setting in Northern Uganda. Malar J. 2022;21:392.
- 650 22. Calderaro A, Piccolo G, Chezzi C. The Laboratory Diagnosis of Malaria: A Focus on the Diagnostic
- Assays in Non-Endemic Areas. Int J Mol Sci 2024;25. http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms25020695
- 652 23. Ishengoma DS, Francis F, Mmbando BP, Lusingu JPA, Magistrado P, Alifrangis M, et al. Accuracy
- of malaria rapid diagnostic tests in community studies and their impact on treatment of malaria in
- an area with declining malaria burden in north-eastern Tanzania. Malar J. 2011;10:176.
- 655 24. Yoon J, Jang WS, Nam J, Mihn D-C, Lim CS. An Automated Microscopic Malaria Parasite
- 656 Detection System Using Digital Image Analysis. Diagnostics (Basel) 2021;11.
- 657 http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics11030527

658 25. Boyce MR, O'Meara WP. Use of malaria RDTs in various health contexts across sub-Saharan

- 659 Africa: a systematic review. BMC Public Health. 2017;17:470.
- 660 26. Johnston SP, Pieniazek NJ, Xayavong MV, Slemenda SB, Wilkins PP, da Silva AJ. PCR as a
- 661 Confirmatory Technique for Laboratory Diagnosis of Malaria. J Clin Microbiol. 2006;44:1087.
- 662 27. Sazed SA, Kibria MG, Alam MS. An Optimized Real-Time qPCR Method for the Effective
- 663 Detection of Human Malaria Infections. Diagnostics 2021 [cited 2024 Aug 11];11.
- 664 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8142979/

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

- 665 28. Kamau E, Alemayehu S, Feghali KC, Saunders D, Ockenhouse CF. Multiplex qPCR for Detection
- and Absolute Quantification of Malaria. PLoS One. 2013;8:e71539.
- 667 29. Lazrek Y, Florimond C, Volney B, Discours M, Mosnier E, Houzé S, et al. Molecular detection of
- human Plasmodium species using a multiplex real time PCR. Sci Rep. 2023;13:11388.
- 669 30. Nsanzabana C, Ariey F, Beck H-P, Ding XC, Kamau E, Krishna S, et al. Molecular assays for
- 670 antimalarial drug resistance surveillance: A target product profile. PLoS One. 2018;13:e0204347.
- 671 31. Mahende C, Ngasala B, Lusingu J, Yong T-S, Lushino P, Lemnge M, et al. Performance of rapid
- 672 diagnostic test, blood-film microscopy and PCR for the diagnosis of malaria infection among febrile
- 673 children from Korogwe District, Tanzania. Malar J. 2016;15:391.
- 674 32. Zoghi S, Mehrizi AA, Raeisi A, Haghdoost AA, Turki H, Safari R, et al. Survey for asymptomatic
- 675 malaria cases in low transmission settings of Iran under elimination programme. Malar J.
- 676 2012;11:126.
- 677 33. Hayuma PM, Wang CW, Liheluka E, Baraka V, Madebe RA, Minja DTR, et al. Prevalence of
- 678 asymptomatic malaria, submicroscopic parasitaemia and anaemia in Korogwe District, north-
- 679 eastern Tanzania. Malar J. 2021;20:424.
- 680 34. Mandai SS, Francis F, Challe DP, Seth MD, Madebe RA, Petro DA, et al. High prevalence and risk
- 681 of malaria among asymptomatic individuals from villages with high prevalence of artemisinin
- 682 partial resistance in Kyerwa district of Kagera region, north-western Tanzania. Malar J.
- 683 2024;23:197.
- 684 35. Health Organization W. Methods for surveillance of antimalarial drug efficacy methods for
- surveillance of antimalarial drug efficacy. apps.who.int; 2009 [cited 2023 Nov 6].
- 686 https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/44048/9789241597531_eng.pdf
- 687 36. Ngasala B, Mutemi DD, Mwaiswelo RO. Diagnostic Performance of Malaria Rapid Diagnostic
- 688 Test and Microscopy Compared with PCR for Detection of Plasmodium falciparum Infections
- among Primary Schoolchildren in Kibiti District, Eastern Tanzania: An Area with Moderate Malaria
- 690 Transmission. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2019;101:809–11.
- 691 37. Tanzania N. National guidelines for malaria diagnosis and treatment. Dar Salaam Med Stud J.
 692 2006;
- 693 38. Standard Treatment Guideline and National Essential Medicines lists for Tanzania Mainland;694 2021.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

- 695 39. WHO. Basic Malaria Microscopy 2010, Geneva: World Health Organization; 2010.
- 696 40. Manjurano A, Omolo JJ, Lyimo E, Miyaye D, Kishamawe C, Matemba LE, et al. Performance
- 697 evaluation of the highly sensitive histidine-rich protein 2 rapid test for plasmodium falciparum
- 698 malaria in North-West Tanzania. Malar J. 2021;20:58.
- 699 41. Moyeh MN, Ali IM, Njimoh DL, Nji AM, Netongo PM, Evehe MS, et al. Comparison of the
- 700 accuracy of four malaria diagnostic methods in a high transmission setting in coastal Cameroon. J
- 701 Parasitol Res. 2019;2019:1417967.
- 42. Juliano JJ, Giesbrecht DJ, Simkin A, Fola AA, Lyimo BM, Pereus D, et al. Prevalence of mutations
- associated with artemisinin partial resistance and sulfadoxine–pyrimethamine resistance in 13
- regions in Tanzania in 2021: a cross-sectional survey. The Lancet Microbe 2024 [cited 2024 Aug
- 705 19];0. http://www.thelancet.com/article/S2666524724001605/abstract
- 43. Chacha GA, Francis F, Mandai SS, Seth MD, Madebe RA, Challe DP, et al. Prevalence and drivers
- of malaria infections among asymptomatic individuals from selected communities in five regions of
- 708 Mainland Tanzania with varying transmission intensities . bioRxiv. 2024. p.
- 709 2024.06.05.24308481.https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2024.06.05.24308481v1
- 710 44. Kalinga AK, Ishengoma DS, Kavishe R, Temu L, Mswanya C, Mwanziva C, et al. The use of Fionet
- 711 technology for external quality control of malaria rapid diagnostic tests and monitoring health
- workers' performance in rural military health facilities in Tanzania. PLoS One. 2018;13:e0208583.
- 713 45. Amadi E, Ebenezer A, Daworiye P. Comparison of Rapid Malaria Test and Laboratory
- 714 Microscopy Investigation for Community-Based Active Surveillance of Asymptomatic Falciparum
- 715 Malaria in Yenagoa, Niger Delta, Nigeria. jasem 2010 [cited 2024 Aug 18];14.
- 716 https://www.ajol.info/index.php/jasem/article/view/57851
- 717 46. Ogunfowokan O, Ogunfowokan BA, Nwajei AI. Sensitivity and specificity of malaria rapid
- 718 diagnostic test (mRDT CareStatTM) compared with microscopy amongst under five children
- 719 attending a primary care clinic in southern Nigeria. Afr J Prim Health Care Fam Med. 2020;12:e1–8.
- 720 47. Odhiambo F, Buff AM, Moranga C, Moseti CM, Wesongah JO, Lowther SA, et al. Factors
- associated with malaria microscopy diagnostic performance following a pilot quality-assurance
- programme in health facilities in malaria low-transmission areas of Kenya, 2014. Malar J.
- 723 2017;16:371.
- 48. Jemere KA, Melaku MY, Jemeber TH, Abate MA. Performance evaluation of laboratory

- 725 professionals on malaria microscopy at health facilities in Bahir Dar city administration, Northwest
- 726 Ethiopia. PLoS One. 2018;13:e0203420.
- 49. Sori G, Zewdie O, Tadele G, Samuel A. External quality assessment of malaria microscopy
- diagnosis in selected health facilities in Western Oromia, Ethiopia. Malar J. 2018;17:233.
- 50. Lapierre J, Holler C. Malaria. Nouv Presse Med. 1974;3:1669–70.
- 730 51. Awosolu OB, Yahaya ZS, Farah Haziqah MT. Prevalence, parasite density and determinants of
- 731 falciparum malaria among febrile children in some Peri-urban communities in southwestern
- Nigeria: A cross-sectional study. Infect Drug Resist. 2021;14:3219–32.
- 733 52. White M, Watson J. Age, exposure and immunity. Elife
- 734 2018;7.http://dx.doi.org/10.7554/eLife.40150
- 735 53. Mfuh KO, Achonduh-Atijegbe OA, Bekindaka ON, Esemu LF, Mbakop CD, Gandhi K, et al. A
- 736 comparison of thick-film microscopy, rapid diagnostic test, and polymerase chain reaction for
- accurate diagnosis of Plasmodium falciparum malaria. Malar J. 2019;18:73.
- 738 54. Mouatcho JC, Goldring JPD. Malaria rapid diagnostic tests: challenges and prospects. J Med
 739 Microbiol. 2013;62:1491–505.
- 740 55. Madkhali AM, Ghzwani AH, Al-Mekhlafi HM. Comparison of rapid diagnostic test, microscopy,
- and polymerase chain reaction for the detection of Plasmodium falciparum malaria in a low-
- transmission area, Jazan region, southwestern Saudi Arabia. Diagnostics (Basel). 2022;12:1485.
- 743 56. Berzosa P, de Lucio A, Romay-Barja M, Herrador Z, González V, García L, et al. Comparison of
- three diagnostic methods (microscopy, RDT, and PCR) for the detection of malaria parasites in
- representative samples from Equatorial Guinea. Malar J. 2018;17:333.
- 57. Alareqi LMQ, Mahdy MAK, Lau Y-L, Fong M-Y, Abdul-Ghani R, Ali AA, et al. Field evaluation of a
- 747 PfHRP-2/pLDH rapid diagnostic test and light microscopy for diagnosis and screening of falciparum
- 748 malaria during the peak seasonal transmission in an endemic area in Yemen. Malar J. 2016;15:49.
- 58. Laurent A, Schellenberg J, Shirima K, Ketende SC, Alonso PL, Mshinda H, et al. Performance of
- 750 HRP-2 based rapid diagnostic test for malaria and its variation with age in an area of intense
- 751 malaria transmission in southern Tanzania. Malar J. 2010;9:294.
- 752 59. Siahaan L, Panggabean M, Panggabean YC. RDT accuracy based on age group in hypoendemic
- malaria. IOP Conf Ser Earth Environ Sci. 2018;125:012018.
- 60. Abeku TA, Kristan M, Jones C, Beard J, Mueller DH, Okia M, et al. Determinants of the accuracy

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

- 755 of rapid diagnostic tests in malaria case management: evidence from low and moderate
- transmission settings in the East African highlands. Malar J. 2008;7:202.
- 757 61. Swarthout TD, Counihan H, Senga RKK, van den Broek I. Paracheck-Pf accuracy and recently
- 758 treated Plasmodium falciparum infections: is there a risk of over-diagnosis? Malar J. 2007;6:58.
- 759 62. Murungi M, Fulton T, Reyes R, Matte M, Ntaro M, Mulogo E, et al. Improving the specificity of
- 760 Plasmodium falciparum malaria diagnosis in high-transmission settings with a two-step rapid
- 761 diagnostic test and microscopy algorithm. J Clin Microbiol. 2017;55:1540–9.

	pulling pulling	P				
Characteristics	Kitoma	Kitwechenkura	Nyakabwera	Rubuga	Ruko	Total
Examined, n (%)	709 (15.9)	769 (17.3)	1243 (27.9)	974 (21.9)	759 (17.0)	4454
Sex, n (%)						
Female	393 (55.4)	459 (59.7)	749 (60.3)	584 (60.0)	458 (60.3)	2643 (59.3)
Male	316 (44.6)	310 (40.3)	494 (39.7)	390 (40.0)	301 (39.7)	1811 (40.7)
Age in years, median (IQR)	16 (8-38)	15 (5-37)	14 (6-35)	12 (5-33)	14(7-36)	14.1 (6.7-36.0)
Age group (years), n (%)						
<5	154 (21.7)	131 (17.0)	205 (16.5)	180 (18.5)	165 (21.7)	835 (18.8)
5 - <15	205 (28.9)	230 (29.9)	413 (33.2)	351 (36.0)	274 (36.1)	1473 (33.1)
15+	350 (49.4)	408 (53.1)	625 (50.3)	443 (45.5)	320 (42.2)	2146 (48.2)
History of fever in the past 48 hours, n (%)						
Yes	296 (41.8)	163 (21.2)	130 (10.5)	436 (44.8)	316 (41.6)	1341 (30.1)
No	413 (58.3)	606 (78.8)	1113 (89.5)	538 (55.2)	443 (58.4)	3113 (69.9)
Fever at presentation (temp ≥ 37.5°C)	32 (4.5)	21 (2.7)	23 (1.9)	41 (4.2)	21 (2.8)	138 (3.1)
History of use of any medication in the past seven days						
Yes	60 (8.5)	54 (7.0)	34 (2.7)	64 (6.6)	77 (10.1)	289 (6.5)
No	6549 (91.5)	715 (93.0)	1209 (97.3)	910 (93.4)	682 (89.9)	4195 (94.5)
History of AL use in the past seven days						
Yes	55 (91.7)	46 (85.2)	29 (85.3)	62 (96.9)	66 (85.7)	258 (89.3)
No	5 (8.3)	8 (14.8)	5 (14.7)	2 (3.1)	11 (14.3)	31 (10.7)

762 Table 1. Baseline characteristics of study participants

763 n: Number of observations; temp: Axillary temperature (≥37.5°C); IQR: Inter-quartile range; AL: Artemether-Lumefantrine

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license

64	Table 2.	Prevalence	of malaria	parasites by	RDT.	microsconv	and oPCR
07	I ubic 2	I I CV di Chece	or marar la	pur usites by	мр і,	microscopy	and qr on

764 765

Variable	# Positive by RDT, n (%)	# Positive by microscopy, n (%)	#Positive by qPCR, n (%)
Overall (n=4454)	1979 (44.4)	1431(32.1)	1771(39.8)
Sex			
Female	1089 (41.2)	808 (30.6)	992 (37.5)
Male	890 (49.1)	623 (34.4)	779 (43.0)
p-value	<0.001	0.007	< 0.001
Age group (years)			
<5	452 (54.1)	259 (31.0)	335 (40.1)
5 - <15	874 (59.3)	681 (46.2)	794 (53.9)
≥15	653 (30.4)	491 (22.9)	642 (29.9)
p-value	<0.001	< 0.001	<0.001
Village			
Kitoma	440 (62.1)	345 (48.7)	395 (55.7)
Kitwechenkura	241 (31.3)	176 (22.9)	259 (33.7)
Nyakabwera	180 (14.5)	116 (9.3)	173 (13.9)
Rubuga	598 (61.4)	401 (41.2)	544 (55.9)
Ruko	520 (68.5)	392 (51.7)	400 (52.7)
p-value	<0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001
History of fever past 48 hours			
Yes	1186 (88.4)	846 (63.1)	1021 (76.1)
No	793 (25.5)	584 (18.8)	750 (24.1)
p-value	<0.001	<0.001	< 0.001
Fever at presentation (axillary temp $\ge 37.5^{\circ}$ C)			
Yes	108 (79.4)	93 (68.4)	101 (74.3)
No	1871 (43.3)	1338 (31.0)	1670 (38.7)

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

p-value	<0.001	< 0.001	<0.001
History of using AL in the past seven days			
Yes	249 (96.5)	97 (37.6)	165 (64.0)
No	1730 (41.2)	1333 (31.8)	1606 (38.3)
p-value	<0.001	0.053	<0.001

n: Number of observations; RDTs: Rapid diagnostic tests; qPCR: Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction; AL: Artemether-

766

- 767
- 768

769

770 Table 3. Prevalence of Plasmodium species by microscopy and qPCR

Lumefantrine; temp: Axillary temperature; #: number of individuals with positive results

E V		
Plasmodium species	microscopy, n (%)	qPCR, n (%)
All species (n=4454)	1431(32.1%)	1771(39.8%)
P. falciparum	1279 (28.7)	1572 (35.3)
P. ovale	12 (0.3)	24 (0.5)
P. malariae	7 (0.2)	16 (0.4)
P. falciparum/P. malariae	93 (2.1)	40 (0.9)
P. falciparum/P. ovale	39 (0.9)	114 (2.6)
P. ovale/P. malariae	0 (0)	2 (0.04)
P. falciparum/P. malariae/P. ovale	1 (0.02)	3 (0.07)

n: Number of observations; qPCR: Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction

772

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license

	Plasmodium spe	ecies GMPD (95% Cl	by microscopy	Plasmodium species GMPD (95% Cl) by qPCR			
Variable	P. falciparum	P. ovale	P. malariae	P. falciparum	P. ovale	P. malariae	
Sex							
Male	759 (635-908)	118 (67-209)	114 (79-163)	1401 (1143-1717)	37 (24-57)	44 (17-116)	
Female	563 (481-660)	136 (67-276)	136 (95-195)	1017 (849-1219)	50 (30-85)	37 (16-85)	
p-value	0.014	0.747	0.477	0.015	0.225	0.528	
Age group							
<5 years	1915 (1398-2623)	209 (70-629)	288 (165-504)	2076 (1462-2948)	118 (59-236))	67 (15-300)	
5 - <15 years	850 (724-998)	94 (54-164)	105 (80-137)	1679 (1386-2034)	36 (23-56)	46 (23-91)	
≥15 years	246 (206-293)	166 (57-481)	63 (28-142)	566 (460-697)	21 (10-42)	25 (7-91)	
p-value	< 0.001	0.458	< 0.001	< 0.001	0.002	0.272	
Village							
Kitoma	633 (499-804)	50 (35-72)	121 (70-211)	1002 (769-1305)	41 (22-78)	52 (3-1065)	
Kitwechenkura	581 (411-821)	116 (21-642)	166 (65-423)	262 (151-457)	34 (12-92)	47 (9-232)	
Nyakabwera	428 (279-657)	229 (24-2150)	281 (47-1684)	98 (81-124)	53 (10-272)	29 (3-323)	
Rubuga	579 (460-728)	307 (93-1012)	115 (58-227)	1625 (1268-2084)	37 (20-67)	55 (6-167)	
Ruko	847 (679-1057)	106 (55-205)	112 (78-161)	3353 (2407-4670)	68(38-121)	8 (5-22)	
p-value	0.034	0.100	0.640	< 0.001	0.795	0.626	

773 Table 4. Geometric mean parasite density (GMPD) by microscopy and qPCR

774 GMPD: Geometric Mean Parasite Density

775

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

		qPCR			Value (95% Confidence interval)					
		Pos	Neg	Total	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV	Accuracy	<i>K</i> -value
RDT	Pos	1651	328	1979	93.2% (92.0-94.4)	87.8% (86.5-89.0)	83.4% (82.0-84.8)	95.2% (94.3-95.9)	89.9% (89.0-90.8)	0.79 (0.78-0.81)
	Neg	120	2355	2475						
microscopy	Pos	1328	103	1,431	75.0% (72.9-77.0)	96.2% (95.4-96.9)	92.8% (91.4-94.0)	85.3% (84.3-86.3)	87.7% (86.7-88.7)	0.74 (0.71-0.76)
	Neg	443	2579	3023						

776	Table 5	Performance of RD	T and microsc	ony with aPCR	as a reference	method
110	Table J.	I CHOI Mance of ND	i anu microsc	opy with qi th		methou

778 779

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.30.24314608; this version posted September 30, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

780 Table 6A. Predictors of a risk sensitivity of RDT and microscopy results among individuals with positive results by qPCR
 781

		RDTs				
	Sensitivity	Unadjusted OR	Adjusted OR	Sensitivity	Unadjusted OR	Adjusted OR
Variable/covariate	(%, 95% CI)	(95% CI)	(95% CI)	(%, 95% CI)	(95% CI)	(95% CI)
Sex						
Male	745/779 (95.6, 94.0-96.9)	Ref	Ref	588/779 (75.5, 72.3-78.4)	Ref	Ref
Female	906/992 (91.3, 89.4-92.9	0.48 (0.32-0.72) ***	0.52 (0.31-0.86) *	740/992 (74.6, 71.8-77.2)	0.95 (0.77-1.18)	1.14(0.86-1.51)
Age group						
<5 years	329/335(98.2, 96.1-99.2)	Ref	Ref	245/335(73.1, 68.1-77.6)	Ref	Ref de
5 - <15 years	768/794(96.7, 95.2-97.8)	0.54 (0.20-1.24)	0.53 (0.18-1.34)	651/794 (82.0, 79.2-84.5)	1.67(1.23-2.26) ***	2.37 ع (1.58-3.55) ***ه
≥15 years	554/642 (86.3, 83.4-88.7)	0.11 (0.04-0.24) ***	0.18 (0.1-0.42) ***	432/642 (67.3, 63.6-70.8)	0.76 (0.56-1.01)	1.45 (0.98-2.15)
History of fever						<u>م</u>
No	642/750 (85.6, 82.9-87.9)	Ref	Ref	507/750 (67.6, 64.2-70.9)	Ref	Ref 🎽
Yes	1009/1021 (98.8, 98.0-99.3)	14.14 (8.05-27.27) ***	8.78 (4.73-17.69) ***	821/1021 (80.4, 77.9-82.7)	1.97 (1.58-2.45) ***	1.35 (1.02-1.79) <mark>5</mark>
Fever at presentation						ND 4.0
No	1550/1670(92.8, 91.5-94.0)	Ref	Ref	1236/1670 (74.0, 71.976.1)	Ref	Ref Te
Yes	101/101 (100, 96.3-100)	1.29 (1.03-1.62) *	1.03 (0.76-1.39)	92/101 (91.1, 83.9-95.2)	1.24 (1.09-1.42) **	1.05 (0.88-1.26)
Parasite density						onal
<100	302/391 (77.2, 72.8-81.1)	Ref	Ref	158/391 (40.4, 35.7-45.3)	Ref	Ref 🧧
100-1000	426/454 (93.8, 91.2-95.7)	1.39 (0.85-2.24)	1.75 (0.98-3.14)	321/454 (70.7, 66.4-74.7)	2.45(1.60-3.79) ***	2.81 ⁸ (1.74-4.58) ***
1001-5000	338/339 (99.7, 98.3-99.9)	4.00 (2.34-6.99) ***	5.36 (2.82-10.48) ***	287/339 (84.7, 80.4-88.1)	5.11(3.37-7.85) ***	5.86 (3.66-9.52) ***
5001-10000	129/129 (100, 97.1-100)	44.21 (18.76-129.96) ***	56.76 (21.76-180.9) ***	119/129 (92.2, 86.3-95.7)	14.11 (9.45-21.38) ***	20.68 (13.0-33.61) ***
>10000	456/458 (99.6, 98.4-99.9)	81.51 (24.63-504.50) ***	104.67 (27.83-6927) ***	443/458 (96.7, 94.7-98.0)	70.92 (39.71-134.23) ***	146.1 (76.5-294.4) ***
Village of residence						
Kitwechenkura	220/259(84.9, 80.1-88.8)	Ref	Ref	167/259 (64.5, 58.5-70.1)	Ref	Ref

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.30.24314608; this version posted September 30, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

Nyakabwera	154/173(89.0, 83.5-92.9)	1.44 (0.81-2.63)	6.30 (2.90-14.2) ***	92/173 (53.2, 45.8-60.5)	0.63 (0.42-0.93) *	1.95 (1.16-3.28) *
			4.17			9.27
Rubuga	361/395(91.4, 88.2-93.7)	1.88 (1.15-3.08) *	(2.12-8.41) ***	336/395 (85.1, 81.2-88.2)	3.14 (2.16-4.59) ***	(5.77-15.08) ***
		6.25	9.49			1.65 (1.11-2.46) *
Kitoma	529/544(97.2, 95.5-98.3)	(3.45-11.92) ***	(4.47-21.2) ***	374/544 (68.8, 64.7-72.5)	1.21 (0.89-1.65)	
		5.28	14.6			14.8
Ruko	387/400(96.8, 94.5-98.1)	(2.83-10.47) ***	(6.55-34.6) ***	359/400 (89.8, 86.4-92.4)	4.82 (3.22-7.34) ***	(8.98-24.92) ***

782 OR: Odds ratio, CI: Confidence interval, ***p<0.001, **p<0.01, *p<0.05

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.30.24314608; this version posted September 30, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license

Table 6B. Predictors of specificity of RDT and microscopy results among individuals with negative results by qPCR

		RDTs		Містоѕсору			
		Unadjusted OR	Adjusted OR		Unadjusted OR	Adjusted OR	
Variable/covariate	Specificity (%, 95% CI)	(95% CI)	(95% CI)	Specificity (%, 95% CI)	(95% CI)	(95% CI)	
Sex							
Male	887/1032 (85.9, 83.7-87.9)	Ref	Ref	997/1032 (96.6, 95.3-97.6)	Ref	Ref	
Female	1468/1651 (88.9, 87.3- 90.3)	1.31 (1.04-1.65) *	1.01 (0.74-1.36)	1583/1651 (95.9, 94.8- 96.7)	0.82 (0.53-1.23)	0.84 (0.54-1.28 E	
Age group	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			,,,,,			
<5 years	377/500 (75.4, 71.4-79.0)	Ref	Ref	486/500 (97.2, 95.4-98.3)	Ref	Ref a	
5 - <15 years	573/679 (84.4, 81.5-86.9)	1.76 (1.32-2.36) ***	0.87 (0.59-1.28)	649/679 (95.6, 93.8-96.9)	0.62 (0.32-1.17)	0.47 (0.23-0.89)	
	1405/1504 (93.4, 92.1-	4.63 (3.47-6.19)	4.69	1445/1504 (96.1, 95.0-		ple	
≥15 years	94.6)	***	(3.24-6.81) ***	96.9)	0.71(0.38-1.24)	0.62 (0.32-1.11)ັຼ	
History of fever						er a	
No	2212/2363 (93.6, 92.6-94.5)	Ref	Ref	2286/2363 (96.7, 95.9-97.4)	Ref	Ref 🖁	
Yes	143/320 (44.7, 39.3-50.2)	0.05 (0.04-0.07) ***	0.08 (0.06-0.12) ***	294/320(91.9, 88.4-94.4)	0.38 (0.24-0.61) ***	0.52 (0.32-0.87)	
Fever at presentation						2-ND 4	
No	2327/2648 (87.9, 86.6- 89.1)	Ref	Ref	2546/2648 (96.1, 95.3- 96.8)	Ref	Ref	
Yes	28/35 (80.0, 64.1-90.0)	0.55 (0.25-1.38)	0.59 (0.22-1.82)	34/35 (97.1, 85.5-99.5)	0.97 (0.74-1.26)	0.94 (0.72-1.23	
Village of residence					× ×	hall	
Kitwechenkura	489/510 (95.9, 93.8-97.3)	Ref	Ref	501/510 (98.2, 96.7-99.1)	Ref	Ref	
Nyakabwera	1044/1070 (97.6, 96.5-98.3)	1.72 (0.95-3.09)	1.96 (1.05-3.60) *	1046/1070 (97.8, 96.7-98.5)	0.78 (0.34-1.64)	0.80 (0.35-1.68)	
Rubuga	235/314 (74.8, 69.8-79.3)	0.13 (0.08-0.21) ***	0.13(0.07-0.23) ***	304/314 (96.8, 94.2-98.3)	0.55 (0.21-1.37)	0.59 (0.23-1.49)	
Kitoma	361/430 (84.0, 80.2-87.1)	0.22 (0.13-0.37) ***	0.32(0.18-0.55) ***	403/430 (93.7, 91.0-95.6)	0.27 (0.12-0.56) ***	0.29 (0.13-0.61) *	
Ruko	226/359 (63.0, 57.8-67.8)	0.07 (0.04-0.12) ***	0.08 (0.05-0.14) ***	326/359 (90.8, 87.4-93.4)	0.18 (0.08-0.36) ***	0.20 (0.09-0.41) ***	

OR: Odds ratio, CI: Confidence interval, ***p<0.001, **p<0.01, *p<0.05