

29 **Abstract**

30 This article presents the study protocol for a randomized controlled trial (RCT)
31 investigating the impact of singing on the brain activity of premature infants in the
32 Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU). The study focuses on how the differentiation
33 of voices, as defined by the fundamental frequency (F0) shaped by biological sex
34 and kinship, influences neurophysiological responses when measured by
35 electroencephalography (EEG). Premature infants, who are highly sensitive to
36 auditory stimuli, may benefit from music-based interventions; however, there is
37 limited understanding of how voice variations between male and female
38 caregivers, and whether they are biologically related, affect brain activity. Our
39 protocol outlines a structured intervention where infants are exposed to singing by
40 four facilitators - a male music therapist, a female music therapist, the mother, and
41 the father - and includes two singing stages: a sustained note (A at 440 Hz) and a
42 90-second lullaby, both interspersed with silent periods to allow for baseline
43 measurements. EEG recordings track brain activity throughout these sessions,
44 followed by quantitative EEG (qEEG) analysis and thorough statistical
45 computations (e.g., mixed-effects models, spectral power analysis, and post-hoc
46 tests) to explore how these auditory stimuli influence brain function. Preliminary
47 data from five infants show that maternal singing elicits the highest delta spectral
48 power in all measured conditions except during the 'lullaby song', where paternal
49 singing elicits the highest effects followed by the male music therapist and then the
50 mother. These early findings highlight the potential influence of parental voices,
51 particularly the fathers' voice, on neonatal brain development, while the detailed

52 study protocol ensures rigor and replicability, providing a robust framework for
53 future research. Additionally, this protocol lays the groundwork for exploring the
54 long-term effects of music-based interventions, with the goal of improving
55 neurodevelopmental outcomes in premature infants through tailored auditory
56 stimulation. (clinicaltrials.gov unique identifier: NCT06398912)

57

58 **Introduction**

59 In the evolving landscape of neonatal neurology, the focus has increasingly shifted
60 towards understanding the complex interplay between the environment and the
61 developmental outcomes of neonates, especially those in critical care settings
62 (Blackburn, 1998; Santos, Pearce, & Stroustrup, 2015; Bonkowsky, deVeber,
63 Kosofsky, & Child Neurology Society Research Committee, 2020). Globally, a
64 significant number of newborns (just over 13 million) are born prematurely, and
65 many of these vulnerable infants spend their early, formative days in Neonatal
66 Intensive Care Units (NICUs) (World Health Organization, 2022). Advances in
67 neonatal medicine have dramatically improved the survival rates of these infants
68 (e.g., Qattea et al., 2022; Gebreheat & Teame, 2022) yet challenges persist in
69 ensuring their long-term neurological health and development.

70 Structured sound interventions, approached as non-pharmacological
71 supplementary treatments, have shown promise in improving health outcomes for
72 this vulnerable population in the context of the NICU. For example, white noise has
73 been found to mimic the intrauterine environment, aiding in the stabilization of
74 sleep patterns and reducing stress responses in premature infants (Akiyama et al.,

75 2021). The use of heartbeat sounds has also been instrumental in providing a
76 rhythmic and familiar auditory stimulus to these infants, facilitating physiological
77 regulation and promoting a sense of security (Zhang & He, 2023; Alemdar &
78 Özdemir, 2017). Similarly, environmental sounds, such as gentle rain or soft wind,
79 have been shown to create a soothing and more natural auditory environment,
80 contributing to the reduction of the clinical and mechanical noise of the NICU
81 (Iyendo, 2017; Praskach, 2009; Almadhoob & Ohlsson, 2020). Finally, passive
82 listening to more organized sounds or music has been widely documented to
83 enhance infants' physiological and emotional well-being (Papatzikis et al., 2024a),
84 with studies demonstrating the positive effects of music on stress reduction, pain
85 management, and weight gain (Pölkki & Korhonen, 2012; Caine, 1991; Arnon et
86 al., 2006; Loewy et al., 2013).

87

88 **Delivering (live) music interventions in the NICU**

89 While NICUs are pivotal for medical care, they also introduce infants, especially
90 the premature ones, to unique sensory environments (Reuter et al., 2023; Brown,
91 2009; Vicencio et al., 2023). These environments differ significantly from the
92 acoustical environment of the mother's womb or the typical domestic environment,
93 and can profoundly influence developmental processes (Kvaratskhelia et al., 2023;
94 Chung et al., 2020; Cook et al., 2023). Therefore, the prescription and
95 implementation of music in NICUs should adhere to tailored and sensitive
96 intervention protocols based on well-studied (Papatzikis et al., 2023) and biology-

97 based facts (Papatzikis, 2024) to avoid disrupting the developmental trajectory of
98 the infant and potentially act as a developmental catalyst.

99 For instance, regarding the administration of any sound stimulus in the NICU
100 context, careful moderation is expected to prevent overstimulation and ensure the
101 maintenance of physiological stability in neonates. The extreme sensitivity of
102 premature infants to auditory stimuli necessitates that all sound related stimuli in
103 the NICU should adhere to certain acoustical regulations as defined by specific
104 regulatory medical bodies or global standards (White et al., 2013; EFCNI, Sizun,
105 Hallberg, et al., 2018). These regulations are designed not only to prevent adverse
106 fluctuations in vital signs such as heart rate and respiration – ultimately resulting
107 in stress responses in the infant – but also to optimize the therapeutic benefits of
108 environmental and intentionally administered sound stimuli. Additionally, they aim
109 to minimize potential disruptions to proper biomechanical development, such as
110 damage to the hair cells in the infant's inner ear. These specialized ear cells are
111 very sensitive to apoptosis events and can take place due to excessive sound
112 stimulation (Hu et al., 2000; Kamio, Watanabe, & Okubo, 2012; Gerhardt &
113 Abrams, 2000).

114 Furthermore, the timing of sound-related interventions should be strategically
115 planned not only to align with each infant's individual feeding and sleep-wake
116 cycles (Bueno & Menna-Barreto, 2016) – as these factors can potentially interfere
117 with the beneficial effects of musical interventions – but also to ensure they occur
118 during quieter periods in the NICU, allowing for safe and effective delivery
119 (Almadhoob & Ohlsson, 2020). In particular, live singing interventions must be

120 carefully calibrated and monitored to stay within safe sound limits set by medical
121 regulations (see EFCNI, Sizun, Hallberg, et al., 2018). These regulations generally
122 stipulate that sound levels outside the incubator should not exceed a continuous
123 average of 45-50 dB, with transient peaks not surpassing 65 dB. Unfortunately,
124 even highly trained professional singers, when attempting to maintain the lowest
125 possible sound pressure levels (SPLs), may still exceed these limits. A study by
126 Akerlund & Gramming (1994) found that trained female singers could only produce
127 minimum SPLs around 51.5 dB at low pitches, which already slightly exceeds the
128 upper recommended continuous sound level in NICUs.

129 Finally, a seamless integration of any musical intervention into the infants' overall
130 care regimen requires close coordination with the medical team, as this
131 collaborative effort is vital for aligning the intervention sessions with the already
132 established medical protocols and tailoring them to meet the specific health
133 requirements and medical conditions of each infant (Kuo et al., 2018). Such
134 integration helps to ensure that the music intervention sessions are not only safe
135 but also effectively support the broader therapeutic goals set forth by the
136 healthcare providers, which especially in this sensitive and demanding in terms of
137 physical development context require the parental involvement as another critical
138 aspect according to the latest clinical research (Dokkum, Fagan, Cullen, & Loewy,
139 2023; Haslbeck & Bassler, 2020).

140 **The role of Kinship in the NICU Singing process**

141 Prematurity poses significant risks to child development on social, behavioral, and
142 cognitive levels (Guidry-Jorgensen et al., 2011). Most importantly, the early bond

143 between the biologically related caregiver and the infant is crucial for the latter's
144 cognitive development, influencing the child's self-representation and future
145 interpersonal relationships (Borghini et al., 2006; Vrticka & Vuilleumier, 2012).
146 However, in the NICU, the typical biological processes of feeding, caring, and
147 bonding are often disrupted due to the infant's medical complexities, leading to
148 stress for both infants and parents (Shoemark et al., 2015).

149 Infant attachment issues are prevalent among parents who have undergone
150 significant trauma, impairing their sensitivity towards their baby and heightening
151 their vigilance about the baby's condition (Coppola et al., 2007; Shoemark et al.,
152 2015). Research indicates that premature infants often exhibit more passive
153 behavior, less positive affect, and reduced facial expressions during their
154 interactions with their biological caregivers (Bozzette, 2007). Correspondingly,
155 parents of premature infants tend to be less sensitive in these interactions,
156 negatively impacting the infant's developmental trajectory (Field, 1995; Forcada-
157 Guex et al., 2006; Muller-Nix et al., 2004). Conversely, sensitive caregiver attitudes
158 and parent-infant synchronization during interactions have been shown to be
159 protective and beneficial for the development of premature infants (Forcada-Guex
160 et al., 2006; Newnham et al., 2009; Treyvaud et al., 2012).

161 In this context, singing can serve as a parent-infant synchronization intervention,
162 fostering emotional bonds and providing comfort to both infants and their parents
163 (Lense, Shultz, Astésano, & Jones, 2022; Fancourt & Perkins, 2018). Additionally,
164 singing in the NICU can stabilize an infant's physiological state, including heart
165 rate and breathing, and promote better sleep patterns (Kobus et al., 2021;

166 Yakobson et al., 2021). It can also serve as a conduit for emotional expression and
167 connection, offering a sense of normalcy and control for parents who might
168 otherwise feel helpless in the highly medicalized environment of the NICU. As a
169 result, through singing, parents can engage in meaningful interaction with their
170 infants, reinforcing their role as primary caregivers and fostering a secure
171 attachment (Shoemark et al., 2015).

172 However, parents are not the only caregivers in the NICU, and for this reason they
173 are not the only ones who may be able to provide singing sessions. Multiple
174 research studies have shown that caregivers with no immediate kinship – like
175 nurses, physicians and other clinical staff – can also effectively use singing to
176 support developmental and medical outcomes (Liu et al., 2023). This dynamic is,
177 of course, complex and influenced by factors such as the differentiation of kinship
178 and the recognizability of the caregiver's voice. The fundamental frequency of their
179 voice, which differs from that of the mother and father, may be less familiar to the
180 infant (Spence & Freeman, 1996; Mills & Melhuish, 1974; Mai et al., 2012).

181 **The Fundamental Frequency of Singing**

182 In the context of the NICU, understanding the technical features of the singing
183 voice, including its fundamental frequency (F0) as opposed to pitch, becomes
184 increasingly vital, not only due to the auditory sensitivity of premature infants but
185 also because of the developing nature of their central nervous system, which is
186 highly susceptible to the variability of external stimuli as they grow older.

187 The fundamental frequency (measured in Hertz) refers to a physical property of
188 sound waves – specifically, the number of vibrations per second produced, for
189 example, by the violin string or, in our case, the vocal cords (Hirst & De Looze,
190 2021; Gerhard, 2003). Pitch, on the other hand, is the perceptual correlate of
191 fundamental frequency; it describes how the brain interprets and perceives the
192 fundamental frequency (Hirst & De Looze, 2021). While F0 is an objective
193 measurement, pitch is a more subjective experience; however, for most periodic
194 sounds, the fundamental frequency closely corresponds to the perceived pitch
195 (Hirst & De Looze, 2021; Gerhard, 2003). As a result, F0 is often used as a proxy
196 for pitch in acoustic analysis and research.

197 Given the critical role of fundamental frequency (F0) in shaping the auditory
198 environment for infants, particularly in a singing context, it is important to
199 understand the differences in F0 between male and female voices. These
200 differences, driven by anatomical factors such as the length of the vocal tract and
201 the size of the laryngeal cavities, result in male voices typically having a lower F0
202 – around 100-120 Hz – compared to the higher F0 of female voices, generally in
203 the 200-220 Hz range (Titze, 1989; Coleman, 1976; Fant, 1966). Such distinctions
204 may influence how infants respond to structured sound stimuli, especially in light
205 of the well-documented human sensitivity to voice discrimination based on
206 biological sex (Latinus & Taylor, 2012). The fundamental frequency serves as the
207 foundation upon which harmonic structures are built, guiding the singing
208 experience and creating a scaffold for the infant's brain to process the embedded
209 complex auditory information. These differences in F0, therefore, may not only

210 influence how infants respond to the singing environment in the NICU but may also
211 convey biological and kinship-specific cues that can affect neural functioning and
212 development in this sensitive population.

213

214 **Study Objectives**

215 The F0 of singing and its impact on neonatal brain development remains under-
216 explored, particularly in the context of NICU environments. Current literature lacks
217 detailed insights into how F0 variations, influenced by the biological sex and
218 kinship of the singing source, affect the short-term neurophysiological responses
219 of premature infants. Therefore, this study, aiming to address this research gap, is
220 set to investigate the specific mechanisms through which different F0 profiles from
221 a combination of human voices and kinship dynamics (i.e., mother, father, male,
222 and female music therapists) influence the brain activity of NICU infants.

223 The primary research questions of this study are: *Does a structured sound and*
224 *music-based intervention (mentioned as musical intervention from now on) from*
225 *the two different biological sex profiles (i.e., male, female) based on their F0 result*
226 *in a differentiated short-term electroencephalographic effect footprint in NICU*
227 *infants? Furthermore, is there differentiation if their kinship (mother, father) with*
228 *the newborn becomes a factor?*

229 Our research hypotheses are as follows:

230 - *H1*: We hypothesize that when premature newborns are exposed to a musical
231 intervention provided by their mother (high fundamental frequency – biological

232 kinship) compared to the father (low fundamental frequency – biological kinship)
233 or an unrelated male/female music therapist, they show statistically more visible
234 short-term positive oscillatory differentiations in their brain activity.

235 - *H0*: We assume that there is no interaction between the fundamental frequency
236 and the human profile (mother, father, man, woman) in the infant's reactions, and
237 that neither the fundamental frequency nor the human profile has a primary effect.

238 To answer the research questions and study its hypotheses, this study will utilize
239 electroencephalography (EEG) to monitor and analyze the brain activity of NICU
240 infants. By comparing EEG patterns across different music delivery conditions of
241 F0 and kinship source (i.e., music facilitators), the findings are expected to provide
242 valuable insights that can be leveraged to enhance neurodevelopmental outcomes
243 in premature infants.

244 **Methods**

245 *Study design*

246 Between 06.2023 and 12.2025, all premature newborns who meet the specific
247 inclusion criteria (detailed below) in the NICU of the 'Panagiotis and Aglaia
248 Kyriakou' Children's Hospital in Greece will be screened, and those who qualify
249 will be invited to randomly participate in either the intervention or control group of
250 our study. During their stay in the NICU, the music intervention group will be
251 exposed to a structured musical intervention – including varying investigative
252 conditions of silence and singing – for four days within one week. Meanwhile, the
253 research team will ensure that the control group is not exposed to any musical

254 stimulation during the same period. Randomization and allocation of the eligible
255 participants to one of the two groups (control or intervention) will be performed
256 using a stratified randomization technique to account for parental availability (i.e.,
257 not all parents are living in the region the intervention is taking place, or parental
258 working schedules do not fit towards an intervention scheduling). For this reason,
259 participants will be stratified into two groups based on whether their parents are
260 available to participate. Within the 'available parents' stratum, participants will be
261 randomly allocated to either the control or intervention group (by a lottery),
262 ensuring a balanced distribution of parental availability across both groups. For
263 the 'non-available parents' stratum, participants will be assigned only to the control
264 group, as this group involves no active parental participation. This stratified
265 approach ensures that the study properly tests the influence of parental
266 involvement in the intervention group, while the control group includes infants
267 regardless of parental availability. We believe that this randomization approach
268 maintains the integrity of the study by ensuring a balanced group assignment,
269 while also addressing practical and ethical considerations of the specific NICU
270 setting. By the same token, blinding of the intervention is also difficult to implement
271 in this research context. In terms of measurements, electroencephalography
272 (EEG) will be used to record the newborns' brain activity throughout their
273 participation in the research study (both intervention and control group), while
274 video data will be also recorded, forming an auxiliary part to the EEG data
275 collection process. The schedule of enrolment, intervention and assessment can
276 be seen in **Figure 1** while a flowchart of the study design is shown in **Figure 2**.

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285 Figure 1. *Schedule of enrolment, intervention and assessment*

286

287 Figure 2. Study Protocol Flow Chart

288 In this design, although the EEG will be the primary tool of data collection and
289 analysis, the rationale for incorporating video data is multifaceted. Firstly, the video
290 recordings will complement the electroencephalogram (EEG) recordings in
291 offering a comprehensive parallel view of the infants' neural and behavioral
292 responses. Furthermore, the videos will serve as a tool for quality control by
293 ensuring that the prescribed research protocol is uniformly followed by all
294 facilitators, contributing to the scientific integrity of the research. Finally, the videos
295 will allow for a later examination of any synchrony or coordinated interactions

296 between the infant and the facilitator, shedding light on phenotypical – not able to
297 be measured by the EEG – aspects of the intervention.

298 The musical intervention will be delivered by four different profiles of individuals-
299 facilitators: a male music therapist, a female music therapist, the mother, and the
300 father of the newborn. During the delivery of the intervention (explained in more
301 detail below), there will be five ‘conditions’ measured: Silence1 (180 seconds),
302 Note A (60 seconds), Silence2 (180 seconds), Song (90 seconds) and Silence3
303 (180 seconds).

304 It is important to note that the study protocol will be conducted in a dedicated room,
305 largely isolated from the ambient noise typically found in the rest of the NICU. This
306 aspect of the study design is crucial for two main reasons:

307 Firstly, it will ensure the comfort and safety of the participating newborns. All
308 actions taken in the context of the NICU require adherence to the recommended
309 standards for the NICU sound environment. Therefore, the importance of a well-
310 managed acoustic environment in reducing stress and discomfort for our
311 participating infants is of paramount importance. Such a consideration has been
312 consistently highlighted both for clinical and research settings alike (European
313 Standards of Care for Newborn Health; EFCNI, Sizun, J., Hallberg, B., et al., 2018)
314 and a sound-controlled room within the NICU seems to be the only viable option
315 for achieving the necessary level of sound management for our participants,
316 without disrupting other clinical operations. More specifically, in the NICU context,
317 when considering the operational sound as outlined by White, Smith, Shepley, et

318 al. (2013), the combination of continuous background sound and operational
319 sound should not exceed an hourly L_{eq} of 45 dB and an hourly L_{10} of 50 dB, both
320 A-weighted slow response, with transient sounds or L_{max} not exceeding 65 dB. Our
321 study, with sound exposure lasting less than 3 minutes per hour, will strictly adhere
322 to these guidelines. However, the transient nature of singing, distinct from the
323 continuous and better-controlled sound pressure levels typically found in
324 continuous speech, might lead to brief instances where we slightly exceed these
325 thresholds by about 15 dB. According to evidence, even professional singers, and
326 more so untrained individuals like the parents participating in our study, are not
327 able to produce transient peak Sound Pressure Levels (SPLs) below 78-79 dB
328 when singing softly (Boren, Roginska & Gill, 2013). Therefore, we believe that a
329 dedicated room will significantly aid in ensuring the correct application and viability
330 of the aforementioned recommendations – as compared to the open NICU floor –
331 without pushing to the maximum the soundscape limits.

332 Secondly, recent research, including a study by Restin et al. (2021), indicates that
333 incubators can be significant sources of noise, especially in the open environment
334 of the NICU floor. To mitigate this issue, we plan to use an open cot/radiant warmer
335 (as depicted in **Figure 3**) in the dedicated room when applicable, or alternatively,
336 bring the entire incubator into the room. This approach will help minimize or
337 eliminate noise from the incubator that might reach the infant. As an additional
338 precaution to all the above, a dB measuring device will be also stationed in the
339 dedicated room, outside the incubator where applicable, to monitor noise levels
340 throughout the intervention.

341

342

Figure 3. Open cot – Radiant warmer

343 **EEG Tool, Data Collection and Processing**

344 EEG is an electrophysiological imaging tool commonly used in medicine and
345 research. The electroencephalogram measures changes in electrical dynamics
346 resulting from the formation of electrical dipoles during nerve stimulation. The EEG
347 signal consists of several brain waves that reflect electrical activity in the brain,
348 based on electrode placement and function in adjacent brain areas. EEG-based
349 changes in response to interventions have become a popular method for
350 investigating brain function in patients with various brain and mental health
351 disorders, as well as in healthy individuals, while the last few years, this tool has
352 been successfully applied to premature newborns and full-term infants, too (Wu J
353 et al., 2013).

354 In our study, we decided to use the **nëo**[™] EEG monitoring unit by ANT, a
355 specialized tool designed for both routine and advanced neonatal care in NICUs.
356 Eight high-precision channels found on a clinical-grade infant-sized cap are
357 applied on our participants, connected to a research-grade amplifier with an input
358 impedance exceeding 1 GΩ and advanced noise reduction. We chose this EEG
359 system as it supports neuroprotective intervention screening, offering a maximum
360 sampling rate of 512 Hz and 24-bit resolution.

361 For the raw EEG data preprocessing part, we have configured a pipeline
362 specialized for the premature infants (as shown in supplementary **file SF1**, and

363 presented in Papatzikis et al., 2024b; Papatzikis et al., 2024c; Papatzikis et al.,
364 2024d), while for the processing part we followed and adapted to our protocol a
365 specialized for the premature infants' population quantitative EEG (qEEG)
366 software developed for neonatal EEG by O'Toole & Boylan (2017). This software
367 has been selected as our preferred EEG processing tool due to its highly
368 informative, detailed, and objective output. Coupled with our preprocessing
369 pipeline, this tool proved to be particularly advantageous for our research, as it
370 allowed for the analysis of various EEG features such as spectral power,
371 coherence, and connectivity among EEG channels, taking into consideration the
372 intervention's musical conditions and their short delivery profile. We aimed for this
373 comprehensive EEG setup to enhance our ability to interpret the complex EEG
374 data from our participants in greater detail, as these infants, compared to term
375 infants, toddlers, and adults, exhibit unstable physiological and
376 electroencephalographic states – such as signal continuity/discontinuity (Pavlidis
377 et al., 2017; Pavlidis et al., 2020) and increased artifact generation (Wallois et al.,
378 2021; Britton et al., 2016) – making it significantly more challenging to identify
379 patterns and trends in the EEG data collected following our musical protocol.

380

381 **Participants**

382 Premature newborns who meet the inclusion criteria outlined below are eligible for
383 participation in our study. These criteria have been carefully selected to ensure
384 that the infants included have the appropriate health profile for safe participation

385 and that the study results will be as accurate and relevant as possible. More
386 specifically, to be eligible, newborns must have been born at 32 weeks of
387 gestation or less and weigh at least 1000 grams, while not exceeding 38 weeks of
388 postmenstrual age (PMA) during the intervention and measurement period. It is
389 essential that potential participants do not have auditory insufficiency in the 8th
390 cranial nerve or excessive pathology in the brainstem, while they must also have
391 negative bilateral ‘transient evoked otoacoustic emissions’ – both verified during
392 the postnatal screening by the in-house audiologist.

393 The eligible participants must also be in stable health, with no imminent risk of
394 death, and must not be sedated or require sedative drug administration during the
395 study. Any congenital, genetic, or chromosomal abnormalities, neonatal sepsis, or
396 nuclear jaundice will also lead to exclusion, as these conditions could potentially
397 influence brain function and interfere with the study's results. Additionally, the
398 participants must not be exposed to maternal use of illicit drugs during pregnancy,
399 while mechanical ventilation used for their life support – that generates excessive
400 noise, such as high-frequency oscillatory ventilation – is another exclusion
401 criterion. Similarly, any potential participants requiring sedative drugs will not be
402 included to avoid confounding factors related to brain activity that could alter the
403 study's findings.

404 Should a participant be diagnosed with a disease or dysfunction during the course
405 of the study, they will be automatically excluded if the medical staff recommends
406 their removal for health reasons. Additionally, the study protocol mandates that no
407 external musical stimulation, including singing, be allowed for the enrolled

408 participants during the admission period. Non-compliance with this guideline will
409 result in the exclusion of the neonate from the study to maintain the integrity of the
410 experimental conditions and data collection process.

411

412 **Power calculation and sample size**

413 In situations where an exact effect size is not derivable from prior research or pilot
414 data – as in our case – utilizing the Minimum Effect Size of Interest (MESI) (Anvari
415 & Lakens, 2021) is advantageous for guiding sample size estimation. This
416 approach focuses on the smallest effect size that is considered practically or
417 clinically significant within the specific context of a study, while it forms the
418 foundation of the power analysis to ensure a study is calibrated to detect effects
419 that are both meaningful and impactful.

420 For our study the MESI was established at Cohen's $d=0.3$. This low determination
421 was made in consultation with field experts and by reviewing clinical guidelines
422 pertaining our research protocol, reflecting in result the minimal change in the
423 primary outcome that would significantly impact patient care or treatment decisions
424 in the specific context. More specifically, with our designated MESI for the musical
425 intervention impacting premature infants' brain activity, and by setting our alpha
426 (Type I error) at 0.05 and power (Type II error) at 0.80, a power analysis with
427 G*Power 3.1.9.7 suggested – at a High-Power Scenario – that 30 participants are
428 needed to adequately power the study to detect this minimum effect size with
429 desired statistical certainty (Table 1).

430

Table 1. Power analysis table

Effect Size Specification	Cohens'd 1988 = 0.3	
Tool	G*Power 3.1.9.7	
Analysis:	A priori: Compute required sample size	
Input:	Effect size f(V)	0,8
	α err prob	0,05
	Power (1- β err prob)	0,95
	Number of groups	2
	Number of measurements	4
	Nonsphericity correction ϵ	1
Output:	Noncentrality parameter λ	19,2
	Critical F	2,7132271
	Numerator df	3
	Denominator df	84
	Total sample size	30
	Actual power	0,9621585

431

432

433 **Intervention**

434 Preterm newborns who meet the inclusion criteria, as explained above, will be
435 exposed to a specific, in terms of content and exposure timing, musical intervention
436 (explained in more detail below) four times in a calendar week – once per day. By
437 conducting the intervention in the proposed way, we expect to observe and record
438 the immediate and short-term neurophysiological effects of the musical stimuli on
439 the infants' brains, while ensuring that the data reflects the true impact of the
440 intervention, free from external variables that might arise from a more prolonged
441 or sporadic schedule. Furthermore, the specific exposure over this consistent
442 period will facilitate a comprehensive understanding of any cumulative or evolving
443 effects of the intervention across consecutive sessions, allowing for a detailed

444 analysis of the EEG baselines recorded each one of the four times the intervention
445 is delivered (also explained in more detail below). It should be also noted that the
446 facilitators' order of intervention delivery for each infant will be changed between
447 participants to achieve better randomization and to avoid potential confounding
448 variables due to a set order of intervention delivery.

449 As far as the musical intervention's structure is concerned, this will take place in
450 two main singing stages complemented by three distinctive blocks of silence,
451 before, between and after each sung session as shown in the following list.

- 452 ▪ * 180 seconds of silence (*Silence1; Baseline1*)
- 453 ▪ 1st singing stage: Signing a specific tone at 440 Hz, repeated *ad libitum* for
454 a total of 60 seconds. (*NoteA*)
- 455 ▪ * 180 seconds of silence (*Silence2; Baseline2*)
- 456 ▪ 2nd singing stage: Singing an original song suitable for the NICU
457 environment in terms of structure, acoustic information composition, and
458 volume level (dB), with a total duration of 90 seconds. (*Song*)
- 459 ▪ * 180 seconds of silence (*Silence3; Baseline3*)

460 We decided to introduce these periods of silence before and after each singing
461 stage to facilitate a return to the baseline of brain activity between the two singing
462 stages, as well as to reduce the potential impact of any residual neural activity from
463 the previous stage. This approach will enable us to achieve a more accurate
464 assessment of the effects of each individual singing stage, and it will also allow for
465 a clearer comparison of the developmental trajectory of the EEG baselines. By

466 incorporating these controlled intervals of silence, we aim to isolate the
467 neurophysiological effects specific to each musical intervention, thus providing a
468 more precise understanding of how the infants' brain activity evolves over the
469 course of the intervention conditions as well as sessions.

470 In regard to the singing stages, as stated above, all facilitators will be asked to sing
471 at the same distant location from the incubator, maintaining in this way consistent
472 spatial sound parameters of stimulus delivery. For the first stage of the singing part
473 (NoteA), each facilitator will be given a tuning fork (a forked acoustic component
474 that produces sound upon impact and quickly silences) tuned to the musical note
475 A (440 Hz) and asked to sing based on its produced sound the musical note A
476 (440 Hz) as best as they can. All facilitators will need to repeat the specific note *ad*
477 *libitum* over 60 seconds at this stage. The tuning fork has been chosen to be used
478 as it is a safe acoustic accessory for accurately reproducing the tone the facilitator
479 needs to hear as an acoustical template when inserting it into their ear, ensuring
480 at the same time that the infant will not be able to hear it and be affected by it.

481 For the second singing stage (Song), each facilitator will need to sing a complex
482 acoustic stimulus with organized sounds in the form of a lullaby, specifically
483 created and composed for the study by a professional musician and music
484 therapist (a member of the research team). This lullaby was aimed to have a
485 unique structure and acoustic information composition adhering to the known
486 scientific evidence related to infants' sound and music perception capabilities
487 (Háden et al., 2024; Trehub, 1987). The song lasts 90 seconds and features a soft
488 melodic line, gentle rhythm, simple harmonies, and soft vocal timbre. Its tempo is

489 expected to be approximately 60–75 beats per minute, following the rhythm of the
490 seconds hand of the clock found on site as best as possible for consistency of
491 delivery.

492 Finally, to ensure the best possible implementation of the intervention – particularly
493 regarding the singing process – all parents of participants who meet the study's
494 inclusion criteria will receive 30 minutes of training before the actual intervention.
495 This training will be conducted by a member of the research team who is a
496 professional musician, singer, and music therapist. This pre-intervention session
497 aims to equip parents with the necessary skills and understanding to enhance the
498 intervention's effectiveness, ensuring close consistency among the different
499 facilitators. Additionally, the training session is designed to provide a calming
500 influence on the parents, which can reduce stress and anxiety for both the infants
501 and their caregivers during the delivery of the intervention. We believe that this
502 pre-intervention training will empower parents as active participants in their child's
503 care, improving adherence and contributing to a more supportive and therapeutic
504 environment throughout our study in the NICU.

505

506 **Protocol Amendments**

507 The study protocol reported here is the second version, following several
508 adjustments made for both practical and scientific purposes. A process evaluation
509 of the RCT was carried out in line with established medical research guidelines
510 (French et al., 2020) and the findings informed a series of recommendations, which

511 were incorporated into the current study design. A detailed account of the
512 evaluation process as well as the changes made from the original protocol can be
513 found in [Dimitropoulos \(2025\)](#).

514

515 **Outcomes and Statistical analysis**

516 To rigorously evaluate the effects of our musical intervention, we decided to
517 employ a series of advanced statistical methods tailored to the specificities of the
518 study design and data characteristics. As a result, the complete analysis was
519 structured to address the research questions, focusing on the EEG-based outcome
520 measures, with an emphasis on Spectral Power and Spectral Entropy, across the
521 Delta frequency band.

522 Special attention was given to the Delta frequency band as it is widely recognized
523 for its relevance in neonatal brain activity (van't Westende et al., 2022; Bourel-
524 Ponchel et al., 2021). The Delta frequency band, typically ranging from 0.5 to 4
525 Hz, is the slowest of the EEG frequency bands and is a critical marker of brain
526 maturation. Higher absolute power in the delta frequency band has been
527 associated with more favorable long-term neurodevelopmental outcomes,
528 reflecting the progression of underlying neural processes during early
529 development (van't Westende et al., 2022). Given its prominence in this context,
530 we believe that the Delta frequency band can be an essential marker for assessing
531 the impact of sound and music on the neurophysiological state of our participants,

532 providing in result critical insights into how these infants process auditory stimuli
533 and the extent to which our intervention influences brain activity patterns.

534 More specifically to the design of our statistical analysis process and given the
535 study's repeated measures design and the nested data structure (with multiple
536 observations per infant), a mixed-effects model was decided to be employed to
537 analyze first the Delta Spectral Power, allowing for the inclusion of both fixed
538 effects (conditions and facilitators) and random effects (variability across individual
539 infants), while also meticulously fitting the model to account for the inter-individual
540 variability after isolating the impact of the different intervention conditions and
541 facilitator profiles. Specifically, to the Spectral Power approach, we decided to
542 focus on this EEG feature as it can provide information about the intensity and
543 prevalence of different types of neural oscillations (Gao, 2016) and it can help us
544 better understand how the fluctuating premature infant brain activity changes over
545 time. The thorough statistical analysis approach we propose here on the Delta
546 Spectral Power is expected to generate fixed and random effects estimates, while
547 to further elucidate the specific differences between condition/facilitator
548 combinations, a Least Significant Difference (LSD) post-hoc test will be also
549 conducted on the model's outcome, aiming to identify which specific pairs of
550 conditions or facilitators exhibit significant differences in brain activity, despite the
551 increased risk of Type I errors due to multiple comparisons.

552 Parallel to the analysis of Delta Spectral Power, a similar mixed-effects model will
553 be applied to the Delta Spectral Entropy data collected, following the same
554 consideration for random and fixed effects, and aiming to explore how variations

555 in spectral entropy, influenced by the different intervention profiles, reflect the
556 neurophysiological responses of the infants. We decided to further study the EEG
557 feature of Spectral Entropy in this context as it can offer a different dimension of
558 analysis compared to Spectral Power and reflect in more detail the overall
559 organization and complexity of the oscillations studied through the Delta Spectra
560 Power approach. More specifically, Spectral Entropy is considered a measure of
561 the complexity or irregularity of a signal's frequency distribution (Kapucu et al.,
562 2016), quantifying the degree of disorder or unpredictability within the power
563 spectrum of a specific signal – in our case the Delta frequency band. A decrease
564 in Spectral Entropy could indicate a transition to more synchronized and less
565 complex neural activity, while conversely, higher Spectral Entropy might suggest
566 more distributed and diverse neural activity, which could be linked to alertness or
567 cognitive engagement.

568 Infusing this extra step of statistical analysis on our collected EEG data may be
569 proved particularly valuable because it may reveal subtle changes in brain activity
570 that may not be apparent through power analysis alone. As with the Spectral
571 Power analysis, and subsequent to the mixed-effects model for Delta Spectral
572 Entropy, a Least Significant Difference (LSD) post-hoc test will be also employed
573 to investigate the differences between condition/facilitator combinations as in the
574 previous approach.

575

576 **Ethics**

577 This study has been meticulously designed with a strong commitment to ethical
578 standards, ensuring the protection and well-being of all participants. The research
579 protocol has been thoroughly reviewed and approved by the 'Panagiotis and Aglaia
580 Kyriakou' Hospital's Scientific Council , under the Institutional Review Board (IRB)
581 with protocol number 11333, 09th/04-05-2023 (Θ: 9). Additionally, the study has
582 been registered on the clinical trials platform (www.clinicaltrials.gov) under the
583 unique identifier NCT06398912, ensuring transparency and adherence to global
584 research standards.

585 Participation in this study is entirely voluntary, and informed consent is a central
586 component of the ethical process. Therefore, parents of identified premature
587 infants who meet the inclusion criteria are invited to a detailed one-on-one meeting
588 with the research team (NICU director), in order to receive comprehensive
589 information, both orally and in writing, regarding the study's objectives,
590 methodologies, and procedures. This meeting covers all aspects of the
591 intervention and data collection, including the non-invasive nature of the research
592 tools, such as music and EEG, while also addresses any concerns related to
593 selection, participation, withdrawal, confidentiality, and the study timeline, ensuring
594 that parents are fully informed before making a decision.

595 During this meeting, the voluntary nature of participation is emphasized, with
596 parents being assured that their decision to participate or withdraw at any point will
597 not affect their relationship with the researchers or the medical staff at the
598 Panagiotis & Aglaia Kyriakou Children's Hospital. They are also informed that in

599 the event of withdrawal, all data associated with the participant will be immediately
600 deleted, ensuring no residual information remains.

601 Considering the sensitivity of the particular context, and to further protect the
602 participants' privacy and confidentiality, all collected data are de-identified and
603 pseudonymized at the source (i.e., embeded function of the **nēo**[™] EEG monitoring
604 unit during the extraction process), with each dataset assigned a unique
605 identification number. Personal identifiers, such as names, are not linked to the
606 data in any study analysis, report, or publication phase, while parents are informed
607 of their right to access the data collected from their infants at any time. Once
608 parents have received all relevant information and have had their questions
609 answered, they are asked to provide written consent, indicating their agreement to
610 participate in the study.

611 At this point, we should mention that despite its non-invasive nature, the study
612 recognizes potential risks, such as the overstimulation of infants due to sound
613 exposure and stress related to handling. To mitigate these risks, sound levels are
614 closely monitored using a dB dosimeter – as already mentioned – and
615 interventions are scheduled in this way so to ensure adequate rest periods for the
616 infants. It has already been pointed above that the study is conducted in a specially
617 designated, quiet room within the NICU to minimize stress, while moreover, the
618 application of EEG electrodes, a key part of the study, is performed by specialists
619 trained in neonatal care, further reducing the risk of discomfort or stress for the
620 infants. In case of any unforeseen medical complications or adverse reactions, the
621 study protocol includes provisions for the immediate cessation of the intervention
622 and prompt medical evaluation, ensuring the safety of the participants.

623

624 **Trial status**

625 Since the ethical approval by the IRB and the Hospital Scientific Board in May
626 2023, 16 participants have been randomized and measured in our study – 11 in
627 the experimental group and five in the control group. However, data from only five
628 participants from the experimental group have been analyzed so far, comprising
629 the basic participants workforce whereupon the EEG data pre-processing and
630 processing pipelines, as well as the statistical analyses framework were first
631 implemented. This small analysis cohort is consisted by two female and three male
632 premature infants ranging between 28 and 32 weeks of gestation (i.e., specifically

633 199-227 days of gestation; SD = 12.42 days; Median (75th Percentile) = 227 days).

634 All five participants were measured in their 35th week PMA.

635 Prematurity in this dataset is underscored by the diverse maternal histories,
636 including one mother who underwent in vitro fertilization (IVF) resulting in
637 dichorionic diamniotic (DCDA) twin pregnancy (described as uneventful); a mother
638 with an IgA nephropathy and a kidney transplantation, coupled with diabetes
639 during pregnancy; one case involving increased resistance in the uterine arteries,
640 raising concerns about potential intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR) and
641 preeclampsia, and one last case experiencing a pregnancy complicated by IVF,
642 gestational diabetes, polyhydramnios, and abnormal Doppler findings. Regarding
643 the infants' treatment, antibiotics and caffeine were commonly administered
644 (typical neonatal care practices employed), with three cases receiving a standard
645 combination of antibiotics and caffeine, while one case specifically involved
646 ampicillin and gentamicin alongside caffeine. In one instance, no medication was
647 reported, indicating a lack of need for such intervention.

648 In terms of the EEG analysis results for this dataset, the descriptive statistics for
649 spectral power in the Delta frequency across different facilitators and conditions
650 are detailed in **table 2** below. The highest mean spectral power was observed
651 during maternal interventions, particularly in the 'NoteA' condition (Mean = 1381.3
652 μV^2 , SD = 1794.5 μV^2), emphasizing the notable influence of maternal presence in
653 general.

654

655 Table 2. Descriptive statistics for Spectral Power on Delta frequency (in μV^2 for Mean and SD)

Facilitator	Condition	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation (%)
Elena	NoteA	276.1	269.9	97.7
Father	NoteA	657.1	937.4	142.7
Kyriakos	NoteA	273.6	129.5	47.3
Mother	NoteA	1381.3	1794.5	129.9
Total	NoteA	647.1	1047.2	161.8
Elena	Silence1	92.7	83.4	90
Father	Silence1	161.2	175.8	109.1
Kyriakos	Silence1	339	308.7	91.1
Mother	Silence1	703.1	745.9	106.1
Total	Silence1	324	451.8	139.4
Elena	Silence2	114.8	162.3	141.4
Father	Silence2	148.7	103.3	69.4
Kyriakos	Silence2	225.7	137.3	60.9
Mother	Silence2	218	168.8	77.4
Total	Silence2	176.8	141.6	80.1
Elena	Silence3	94.3	84.6	89.7
Father	Silence3	427.6	785.9	183.8
Kyriakos	Silence3	378.3	538.1	142.2
Mother	Silence3	1020.7	1797.1	176.1
Total	Silence3	480.2	995.9	207.4
Elena	Song	79.1	76.2	96.4
Father	Song	574.2	920.8	160.4
Kyriakos	Song	270.2	231.8	85.8
Mother	Song	213.4	185.7	87
Total	Song	284.2	482.5	169.8

656

657 Following the descriptive statistics, and before running the mixed-effects model as
658 already planned, an assumptions check was performed, creating a Q-Q plot to
659 check for the normality of residuals and running the Breusch-Pagan test to check
660 for any violation of homoscedasticity in the dataset. The Q-Q plot, as shown in
661 **figure 4**, confirmed that the residuals followed an approximately normal
662 distribution, while the Breusch-Pagan test for homoscedasticity resulted in a p-
663 value of 0.066, suggesting on the one hand that the variance of residuals is
664 consistent across different levels of the fixed effects, and indicating on the other
665 hand that the assumption of homoscedasticity holds.

666

667 Figure 4. Q-Q Plot of Residuals with a reference point to the female music
668 therapist for the facilitators and the 'Silence1' condition for the five intervention
669 conditions

670

671

672 Considering the model assumptions to hold, we run first the mixed-effects model
673 on the Spectral Power of the Delta frequency as decided, which included 100
674 observations across 5 groups, with each group comprising 20 observations at
675 multiple time points. The model converged successfully with a scale parameter of
676 404,270.9768 and a log-likelihood of -739.3134. The coefficients for each condition
677 and facilitator, along with their standard errors and p-values, are reported in full in
678 **table 3**. The model revealed a significant effect of the 'facilitator' parameter on
679 spectral power [$F(3,88) = 3.627, p = 0.016$], while the 'condition' parameter did

680 not show a statistically significant sole effect overall [$F(4,88) = 1.669, p = 0.164$].
681 On the contrary, when we combined the effects across different conditions and
682 facilitators (please see interaction plot in [figure 5](#)), the model revealed that
683 although the Delta Spectral Power generally fluctuates, some interesting findings
684 can be drawn based on this synthesis.

685

686 Table 3. Coefficients for each condition and facilitator with SE and p values

Condition/Facilitator	Coefficient (Mean)	Standard Error	p-value
Elena	131,442	181,968	.148
Father	393,818	181,968	.593
Kyriakos	297,398	181,968	.025
Mother	707,362	181,968	.002
NoteA	647,105	192,757	.112
Silence1	324,049	192,757	.466
Silence2	176,847	192,757	.022
Silence3	480,281	192,757	.135
Song	284,243	192,757	.075

687

688

689 Figure 5. Combination of effects across different conditions and facilitators

690

691

692 More specifically, we realized that mostly during the ‘NoteA’ condition (as also
693 observed in most of the other conditions), there was a significant increase in Delta
694 Spectral Power for the ‘mother’ facilitator, while surprisingly, the ‘Song’ condition

695 showcased the highest impact particularly for the ‘father’ facilitator. As far as the
696 ‘female music therapist (Elena)’ facilitator is concerned, the most stable and lowest
697 Delta Spectral Power across all conditions was observed, indicating a consistent
698 but minimal impact, while the ‘male music therapist (Kyriakos)’ facilitator showed
699 moderate variability with minor peaks during the ‘NoteA’ and ‘Song’ conditions.
700 Please note here, that especially for the ‘Song’ condition, the ‘male music therapist
701 (Kyriakos)’ facilitator ranked second in impact after the ‘father’ facilitator. To further
702 clarify these specific differences, post-hoc comparisons using the Least Significant
703 Difference (LSD) test were conducted as previously decided, revealing that Delta
704 brain activity was significantly higher with the 'Mother' facilitator compared to
705 'Elena' ($M = 575.92$, $p = 0.002$), 'Kyriakos' ($M = 409.96$, $p = 0.025$), and 'Father' (M
706 $= 313.55$, $p = 0.085$) – although for this latter coming quite close to being
707 significant. Additionally, the 'NoteA' condition elicited significantly higher brain
708 activity than the 'Silence2' condition ($M = 470.26$, $p = 0.022$) and showed close
709 trends towards significance when compared to the 'Silence1' ($M = 323.06$, $p =$
710 0.112) and 'Song' conditions ($M = 362.86$, $p = 0.075$).

711 Finally, as already mentioned, in addition to the analysis of Delta spectral power,
712 we also explored the spectral entropy within the Delta frequency band to assess
713 the complexity and predictability of the EEG signals under different facilitators and
714 conditions. The mixed-effects model analysis for spectral entropy revealed once
715 more a significant effect of the ‘facilitator’ parameter on entropy values [$F(3, 88) =$
716 2.744 , $p = 0.048$], while the spectral entropy across the ‘conditions’ parameter did
717 not exhibit a significant overall effect [$F(4, 88) = 0.380$, $p = 0.822$]. Especially for

718 the former result, underscoring that the facilitator's role notably influences the
719 variability and regularity of the EEG signals, we realized that, specifically, the
720 'father' facilitator was associated with the highest mean entropy ($M = 0.684$, $SE =$
721 0.133), while the 'mother' facilitator showed the lowest mean entropy ($M = 0.558$,
722 $SE = 0.133$) (please see also [figure 6](#)); although pairwise comparisons did not
723 reach statistical significance (in all cases except the close to significance trend of
724 the 'father' vs 'mother' comparison) after Bonferroni correction (please see [table](#)
725 [4](#)).

726 Table 4. Pair-wise comparison of the 'facilitator' condition after Bonferroni
727 correction

Comparison	Mean Difference	Standard Error (SE)	p-value
Elena vs. Father	-0.02	0.049	1
Elena vs. Kyriakos	0.063	0.049	1
Elena vs. Mother	0.106	0.049	0.207
Father vs. Kyriakos	0.083	0.049	0.589
Father vs. Mother	0.126	0.049	0.075
Kyriakos vs. Mother	0.043	0.049	1

728

729

730 Figure 6. Mean spectral entropy within the Delta band, showing a significant
731 effect of the 'facilitator'. The 'father' shows the highest mean entropy and the
732 'mother' the lowest.

733

734

735 **Discussion**

736 Although there is emerging evidence that musical interventions can positively
737 influence the neurodevelopment of premature infants, existing studies often suffer
738 from limited control over confounding variables, and a lack of rigorous
739 neuroimaging-based evidence to support the precise neural mechanisms
740 underlying these effects. Against this backdrop, the aim of the present study is to
741 fill these gaps by providing robust, RCT-based data on the effects of a structured
742 singing intervention, specifically focusing on the fundamental frequency (F0) of the
743 voice and kinship of the music facilitators parameters, on the brain activity of
744 premature infants in a Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) setting. Building upon
745 this foundation, some of the key strengths of the protocol presented here include:
746 (1) the use of an RCT design with an adequately powered sample size to detect
747 statistically significant differences in electroencephalographic (EEG) activity
748 across different conditions and facilitators; (2) the employment of an advanced
749 neuroimaging technique to precisely monitor and study neural correlates of the
750 intervention; and (3) the consideration of a variety of caregivers – in terms of
751 kinship and voice register – to deeper explore the specific impact of singing on
752 premature infant brain development.

753 More specifically, we must emphasize, first of all, that this study protocol was
754 meticulously designed as a randomized controlled trial (RCT) – including,

755 therefore, both an experimental and a control group in its design – in order to
756 provide a solid foundation for causal inferences regarding the effects of musical
757 interventions on the brain activity of premature infants, allowing for a more
758 nuanced understanding of any embedded confounders. Although our work does
759 not yet report on the statistical comparisons between these groups, we believe that
760 the approach we take is crucial for discerning any differences in the measurement
761 process and the application of the specific stimuli, yielding more profound insights
762 into the efficacy of the intervention. Moreover, with the integration of neuroimaging
763 and more specifically the custom-made and adapted EEG (pre)processing pipeline
764 utilized, the effects of our musical intervention on premature infants can be better
765 studied and analyzed in more detail, thus playing a pivotal role in understanding
766 the fine nuances of implementing the tools employed in the specific context. As
767 discussed in previous work (Papatzikis, 2024), neuroimaging-guided interventions
768 should be more extensively introduced in the NICU because they can provide real-
769 time insights into the brain’s response to external stimuli, capturing in essence
770 dynamic changes that might not be observable through behavioral measures
771 alone. In this regard, our protocol approach not only enhances the precision of our
772 study but can also contribute to the development of more tailored applications of
773 this sort in the near future, optimizing other similar music-based interventions to
774 suit the unique neurodevelopmental needs of each infant in the NICU.

775 Finally, it is important to point out that the study design we decided to implement
776 includes the chosen variety of caregivers to allow us to provide a more
777 comprehensive insight into the role of emotional and social factors evident and

778 embedded in the treatment process of premature infants in real-word situations
779 and environments. For that matter, on the one hand, the inclusion of both parents
780 in the protocol ensures that the study captures potential sex-specific effects, which
781 could be related to differences in voice register or emotional bonding, while, on the
782 other hand, incorporating professional music therapists as facilitators offers a
783 standardized comparison to the former set of caregivers, helping to differentiate
784 the effects of kinship as well as musical and clinical expertise related to the
785 implementation of music-based interventions in this sensitive context. This
786 diversity in caregivers could not only enrich the study's findings but may also
787 enhance the generalizability of its results, ultimately leading to more
788 comprehensive recommendations for NICU music-based interventions.

789 However, despite the strengths of our study, certain challenges and limitations
790 must also be acknowledged. First, the heterogeneity of the study population, which
791 may potentially include infants with varying degrees of prematurity – as defined by
792 their gestational age – and differing health profiles, may introduce significant
793 variability and complicate the interpretation of the results, as the infants' responses
794 to the musical interventions may be influenced by factors beyond the scope of the
795 study. For instance, the inclusion of participants with diverse medical histories,
796 while increasing the generalizability of the findings, also necessitates careful
797 consideration in data analysis and further clinical implementation to account for
798 these differences. Furthermore, external factors, such as the NICU environment,
799 could also influence the study's conduct and the quality of the data collected. The
800 acoustic environment for once, despite being controlled within the study's

801 dedicated room, may still introduce variability that could affect the infants'
802 responses, while the fragile emotional and psychological state of the parents, who
803 are also facilitators in the study, could impact the delivery and reception of the
804 musical intervention. These factors, although managed to the greatest extent
805 possible, remain potential sources of variability that could influence the outcomes.

806 Despite these challenges, the potential impact of this study remains highly
807 significant. Its findings, for once, could be easily integrated into existing neonatal
808 care practices, offering healthcare providers a scientifically grounded method for
809 enhancing the care of premature infants. Furthermore, the broader impact of this
810 study could be substantial as the insights gained from the differential effects of
811 maternal, paternal, and therapist-facilitated singing on neonatal brain activity could
812 inform wider public health strategies aimed at improving neurodevelopmental
813 outcomes for premature infants. Finally, this research may also encourage the
814 expansion of music-based interventions in neonatal care units worldwide,
815 particularly in regions where such practices are not yet widely implemented.

816 **Conclusion**

817 As this study is still in progress, it is essential to recognize that the findings
818 presented here are preliminary, based on an initial analysis cohort. Therefore, the
819 continuation and completion of the study will be critical for validating these early
820 results and for providing a more comprehensive understanding of the effects of the
821 specific music-based intervention on neonatal brain development. That said,
822 several areas for further investigation have emerged from the process of

823 structuring, fine-tuning, and implementing our study protocol, suggesting for future
824 research to explore the long-term effects of this and other similar interventions,
825 particularly in terms of cognitive and behavioral outcomes as the infants develop.
826 Additionally, more research is needed to understand the specific mechanisms
827 through which other dynamic soundscapes and modes of musical delivery may
828 influence the infants' brain activity, leading to more targeted and effective
829 interventions. For all the above, it is our hope that the detailed report of our study
830 protocol and its preliminary results provided here, may help future researchers
831 better accommodate their investigation needs and study hypotheses in the
832 emerging field of music-based interventions in the NICU with the help of
833 neuroimaging tools and particularly electroencephalography, leading to new
834 horizons of applicable treatment in this very sensitive yet crucial environment for
835 human development.

836 **References**

- 837 Akerlund, L., & Gramming, P. (1994). Average loudness level, mean fundamental
838 frequency, and subglottal pressure: Comparison between female singers and
839 nonsingers. *Journal of Voice*, 8(3), 263-270.
- 840 Akiyama, A., Tsai, J. D., WY, T. E., Kamino, D., Hahn, C., Go, C. Y., ... & Ochi, A.
841 (2021). The effect of music and white noise on electroencephalographic
842 (EEG) functional connectivity in neonates in the neonatal intensive care unit.
843 *Journal of Child Neurology*, 36(1), 38-47.
- 844 Alemdar, D. K., & Özdemir, F. K. (2017). Effects of covering the eyes versus playing
845 intrauterine sounds on premature infants' pain and physiological parameters
846 during venipuncture. *Journal of Pediatric Nursing*, 37, e30-e36.
- 847 Almadhoob, A., & Ohlsson, A. (2020). Sound reduction management in the neonatal
848 intensive care unit for preterm or very low birth weight infants. *The Cochrane*
849 *Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2020(1), CD010333.
- 850 Almadhoob, A., & Ohlsson, A. (2020). Sound reduction management in the neonatal
851 intensive care unit for preterm or very low birth weight infants. *The Cochrane*
852 *database of systematic reviews*, 1, CD010333.
853 <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD010333.pub3>
- 854 Arnon, S., Shapsa, A., Forman, L., Regev, R., Bauer, S., Litmanovitz, I., & Dolfín, T.
855 (2006). Live music is beneficial to preterm infants in the neonatal intensive
856 care unit environment. *Birth*, 33(2), 131-136.
- 857 Bağlı, E., Küçükoğlu, S., & Soylu, H. (2024). The effect of lullabies and classical
858 music on preterm neonates' cerebral oxygenation, vital signs, and comfort
859 during orogastric tube feeding: A randomized controlled trial. *Biological*
860 *Research For Nursing*, 26(2), 181-191.

- 861 Blackburn S. (1998). Environmental impact of the NICU on developmental
862 outcomes. *Journal of pediatric nursing*, 13(5), 279–289.
863 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0882-5963\(98\)80013-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0882-5963(98)80013-4)
- 864 Bonkowsky, J. L., deVeber, G., Kosofsky, B. E., & Child Neurology Society
865 Research Committee (2020). Pediatric Neurology Research in the Twenty-
866 First Century: Status, Challenges, and Future Directions Post-COVID-19.
867 *Pediatric neurology*, 113, 2–12.
868 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2020.08.012>
- 869 Borghini, A., Pierrehumbert, B., Miljkovitch, R., Muller-Nix, C., Forcada-Guex, M., &
870 Ansermet, F. (2006). Mother’s attachment representations of their premature
871 infant at 6 and 18 months after birth. *Infant Mental Health Journal: Official*
872 *Publication of The World Association for Infant Mental Health*, 27(5), 494-
873 508.
- 874 Bourel-Ponchel, E., Gueden, S., Hasaerts, D., Héberlé, C., Malfilâtre, G., Mony, L.,
875 ... & Lamblin, M. D. (2021). Normal EEG during the neonatal period:
876 maturational aspects from premature to full-term
877 newborns. *Neurophysiologie Clinique*, 51(1), 61-88.
- 878 Bozzette, M. (2007). A review of research on premature infant-mother interaction.
879 *Newborn and Infant Nursing Reviews*, 7(1), 49-55.
- 880 Britton, J. W., Frey, L. C., Hopp, J. L., Korb, P., Koubeissi, M. Z., Lievens, W. E., ...
881 & St Louis, E. K. (2016). *Electroencephalography (EEG): An introductory text*
882 *and atlas of normal and abnormal findings in adults, children, and infants*.
- 883 Brown, G. (2009). NICU noise and the preterm infant. *Neonatal Network*, 28(3), 165-
884 173.
- 885 Bueno, C., & Menna-Barreto, L. (2016). Development of sleep/wake, activity and
886 temperature rhythms in newborns maintained in a neonatal intensive care

- 887 unit and the impact of feeding schedules. *Infant behavior & development*, 44,
888 21-8 . <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infbeh.2016.05.004>
- 889 Caine, J. (1991). The effects of music on the selected stress behaviors, weight,
890 caloric and formula intake, and length of hospital stay of premature and low
891 birth weight neonates in a newborn intensive care unit. *Journal of music*
892 *therapy*, 28(4), 180-192.
- 893 Carroll, J., Taden, S., & Zeng, F. G. (2011). Fundamental frequency is critical to
894 speech perception in noise in combined acoustic and electric hearing. *The*
895 *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 130(4), 2054–2062.
896 <https://doi.org/10.1121/1.3631563>
- 897 Chung, E. H., Chou, J., & Brown, K. A. (2020). Neurodevelopmental outcomes of
898 preterm infants: a recent literature review. *Translational pediatrics*, 9(Suppl
899 1), S3.
- 900 Cirelli, L. K., Spinelli, C., Nozaradan, S., & Trainor, L. J. (2016). Measuring neural
901 entrainment to beat and meter in infants: effects of music background.
902 *Frontiers in neuroscience*, 10, 191178.
- 903 Coleman R. O. (1976). A comparison of the contributions of two voice quality
904 characteristics to the perception of maleness and femaleness in the
905 voice. *Journal of speech and hearing research*, 19(1), 168–180.
906 <https://doi.org/10.1044/jshr.1901.168>
- 907 Cook, K. M., De Asis-Cruz, J., Kim, J. H., Basu, S. K., Andescavage, N., Murnick,
908 J., ... & Limperopoulos, C. (2023). Experience of early-life pain in premature
909 infants is associated with atypical cerebellar development and later
910 neurodevelopmental deficits. *BMC medicine*, 21(1), 435.
- 911 Coppola, G., Cassibba, R., & Costantini, A. (2007). What makes fathers feel
912 involved in caring for their preterm infants?. *Journal of Perinatal & Neonatal*
913 *Nursing*, 21(3), 243-252.

- 914 Dimitropoulos K. (2025) *Title of the master's thesis*. Master's thesis, in preparation
915 for submission, University of Macedonia, Thessaloniki, Greece [available
916 upon request]
- 917 Dokkum, N., Fagan, L., Cullen, M., & Loewy, J. (2023). Assessing HeartSong as a
918 Neonatal Music Therapy Intervention. *Advances in Neonatal Care*, 23, 264 -
919 271. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ANC.0000000000001068>
- 920 EFCNI, Sizun, J., Hallberg, B., et al. (2018). European Standards of Care for
921 Newborn Health: Management of the acoustic environment. European
922 Foundation for the Care of Newborn Infants. Retrieved from [https://newborn-](https://newborn-health-standards.org)
923 [health-standards.org](https://newborn-health-standards.org)
- 924 Fancourt, D., & Perkins, R. (2018). The effects of mother–infant singing on
925 emotional closeness, affect, anxiety, and stress hormones. *Music & Science*,
926 1. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2059204317745746>
- 927 Fant, G. (1966). A note on vocal tract size factors and non-uniform F-pattern
928 scalings. *Speech Transmission Laboratory Quarterly Progress and Status*
929 *Report*, 1, 22-30.
- 930 Field, T. (1995). Massage therapy for infants and children. *Journal of Developmental*
931 *& Behavioral Pediatrics*, 16(2), 105-111.
- 932 Forcada-Guex, M., Pierrehumbert, B., Borghini, A., Moessinger, A., & Muller-Nix, C.
933 (2006). Early dyadic patterns of mother–infant interactions and outcomes of
934 prematurity at 18 months. *Pediatrics*, 118(1), e107-e114.
- 935 French, C., Pinnock, H., Forbes, G., Skene, I., & Taylor, S. J. (2020). Process
936 evaluation within pragmatic randomised controlled trials: what is it, why is it
937 done, and can we find it?—a systematic review. *Trials*, 21, 1-16.
- 938 Gao, R. (2016). Interpreting the electrophysiological power spectrum. *Journal of*
939 *neurophysiology*, 115(2), 628-630.

- 940 Gebreheat, G., & Teame, H. (2022). Survival and mortality of preterm neonates in a
941 neonatal intensive care unit in Northern Ethiopia: a retrospective cohort
942 study. *Scientific reports*, 12(1), 600.
- 943 Gerhard, D. (2003). Pitch extraction and fundamental frequency: History and current
944 techniques (pp. 0-22). Regina, SK, Canada: Department of Computer
945 Science, University of Regina.
- 946 Gerhard, D. (2003). *Pitch extraction and fundamental frequency: History and current*
947 *techniques* (pp. 0-22). Regina, Canada: Department of Computer Science,
948 University of Regina.
- 949 Gerhard, D. (2003). Pitch extraction and fundamental frequency: History and current
950 techniques (pp. 0-22). Regina, SK, Canada: Department of Computer
951 Science, University of Regina.
- 952 Gerhardt, K. J., & Abrams, R. M. (2000). Fetal exposures to sound and vibroacoustic
953 stimulation. *Journal of Perinatology*, 20(1), S21-S30.
- 954 Guidry-Jorgensen, S., Abroms, K. I., & Staffileno, B. A. (2011). The cognitive and
955 emotional development of premature infants: A review of the literature.
956 *Journal of Pediatric Nursing*, 26(3), 220-227.
- 957 Háden, G. P., Bouwer, F. L., Honing, H., & Winkler, I. (2024). Beat processing in
958 newborn infants cannot be explained by statistical learning based on
959 transition probabilities. *Cognition*, 243, 105670.
- 960 Haslbeck, F., & Bassler, D. (2020). Clinical Practice Protocol of Creative Music
961 Therapy for Preterm Infants and Their Parents in the Neonatal Intensive Care
962 Unit.. *Journal of visualized experiments : JoVE*, 155.
963 <https://doi.org/10.3791/60412>.
- 964 Hirst, D., & De Looze, C. (2021). Fundamental frequency and pitch. In R.-A. Knight
965 & J. Setter (Eds.), *The Cambridge handbook of phonetics* (pp. 336–361).
966 Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108644198.014>

- 967 Hu, B. H., Guo, W., Wang, P. Y., Henderson, D., & Jiang, S. C. (2000). Intense
968 noise-induced apoptosis in hair cells of guinea pig cochleae. *Acta oto-*
969 *laryngologica*, 120(1), 19-24.
- 970 Iyendo, T. O. (2017). Sound as a supportive design intervention for improving health
971 care experience in the clinical ecosystem: A qualitative study.
972 *Complementary therapies in clinical practice*, 29, 58-96.
- 973 Kamio, T., Watanabe, K. I., & Okubo, K. (2012). Acoustic stimulation promotes DNA
974 fragmentation in the Guinea pig cochlea. *Journal of Nippon Medical School*,
975 79(5), 349-356.
- 976 Kapucu, F. E., Väilki, I., Mikkonen, J. E., Leone, C., Lenk, K., Tanskanen, J. M., &
977 Hyttinen, J. A. (2016). Spectral entropy based neuronal network
978 synchronization analysis based on microelectrode array
979 measurements. *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience*, 10, 112.
- 980 Kobus, S., Diezel, M., Dewan, M. V., Huening, B., Dathe, A. K., Felderhoff-Mueser,
981 U., & Bruns, N. (2021). Music Therapy Is Effective during Sleep in Preterm
982 Infants. *International journal of environmental research and public health*,
983 18(16), 8245. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18168245>
- 984 Kuo, D., McAllister, J., Rossignol, L., Turchi, R., & Stille, C. (2018). Care
985 Coordination for Children With Medical Complexity: Whose Care Is It,
986 Anyway?. *Pediatrics*, 141, S224 - S232. [https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2017-
987 1284G](https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2017-1284G)
- 988 Kvaratskhelia, N., Rurua, N., & Vadachkoria, S. G. (2023). Biomedical and
989 Psychosocial Determinants of Early Neurodevelopment After Preterm Birth.
990 *Global Pediatric Health*, 10, 2333794X231160366.
- 991 Latinus, M., & Taylor, M. J. (2012). Discriminating male and female voices:
992 differentiating pitch and gender. *Brain topography*, 25, 194-204.


- 993 Lense, M. D., Shultz, S., Astésano, C., & Jones, W. (2022). Music of infant-directed
994 singing entrains infants' social visual behavior. *Proceedings of the National*
995 *Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 119(45),
996 e2116967119. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2116967119>
- 997 Liu, Z., Zhang, Y., Liu, Y., & Li, L. (2023). The role and outcomes of music therapy
998 during pregnancy: A systematic review of randomized controlled trials.
999 *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology Research*, 49(6), 1035-1048.
1000 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jog.15055>
- 1001 Loewy, J., Stewart, K., Dassler, A. M., Telsey, A., & Homel, P. (2013). The effects
1002 of music therapy on vital signs, feeding, and sleep in premature infants.
1003 *Pediatrics*, 131(5), 902-918.
- 1004 Mai, X., Xu, L., Li, M., Shao, J., Zhao, Z., deRegnier, R. A., Nelson, C. A., & Lozoff,
1005 B. (2012). Auditory recognition memory in 2-month-old infants as assessed
1006 by event-related potentials. *Developmental neuropsychology*, 37(5), 400–
1007 414. <https://doi.org/10.1080/87565641.2011.650807>
- 1008 Mills, M., & Melhuish, E. (1974). Recognition of mother's voice in early
1009 infancy. *Nature*, 252(5479), 123-124.
- 1010 Muller-Nix, C., Forcada-Guex, M., Pierrehumbert, B., Jaunin, L., Borghini, A., &
1011 Ansermet, F. (2004). Prematurity, maternal stress and mother–child
1012 interactions. *Early Human Development*, 79(2), 145-158.
- 1013 Newnham, C. A., Milgrom, J., & Skouteris, H. (2009). Effectiveness of a modified
1014 mother–infant transaction program on outcomes for preterm infants from 3 to
1015 24 months of age. *Infant behavior & development*, 32(1), 17-26.
- 1016 O'Toole, J. M., & Boylan, G. B. (2017). NEURAL: quantitative features for newborn
1017 EEG using Matlab. arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.05694.

- 1018 Papatzikis, E. (2024) Neuroimaging-Guided Music Interventions for Infants in the
1019 NICU, *JAMA Pediatrics*, 178(9): 853-854
1020 <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2024.1886>
- 1021 Papatzikis, E., Agapaki, M., Naveena Selvan, R., Hanson-Abromeit, D., Gold, C.,
1022 Epstein, S., ... & Pandey, V. (2024a). Music Medicine and Music Therapy in
1023 Pediatric Care: A systematic review of passive music listening research
1024 applications and findings on infant development and medical practice.
1025 medRxiv, 2024-04.
- 1026 Papatzikis, E., Agapaki, M., Selvan, R., Pandey, V. & Zeba, F. (2023) Quality standards
1027 and recommendations for research in music and neuroplasticity. *Annals of the New*
1028 *York Academy of Sciences* 00, 1-14. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14944>
- 1029 Papatzikis, E., Dimitropoulos, K., Gerdes, A., Tataropoulou, K., Pasoudi, E.,
1030 Kyrtsoudi, M., & Nika, A. (2024d). Advancing EEG processing and analysis
1031 in neonatal music interventions: Introducing a specialized qEEG pipeline for
1032 premature infants in NICU. *Proceedings of the FIT'NG 2024 Conference*,
1033 Baltimore, MD, USA, Sept 25-26, 2024.
- 1034 Papatzikis, E., Dimitropoulos, K., Gerdes, A., Tataropoulou, K., Pasoudi, E.,
1035 Kyrtsoudi, M., & Nika, A. (2024b). Advancing EEG processing and analysis
1036 in neonatal music interventions: Introducing a specialized qEEG pipeline for
1037 premature infants in NICU. [Research poster]. School of Music Collage
1038 Concert, University of Kansas, Lied Center, Lawrence, KS, United States.
- 1039 Papatzikis, E., Dimitropoulos, K., Tataropoulou, K., Kyrtsoudi, M., & Nika, A.
1040 (2024c). Advancing EEG processing and analysis in neonatal music
1041 interventions: Introducing a specialized qEEG pipeline for premature infants
1042 in the NICU. *Music & Medicine*, 16(3), 194.
- 1043 Pavlidis, E., Lloyd, R. O., Livingstone, V., O'Toole, J. M., Filan, P. M., Pisani, F., &
1044 Boylan, G. B. (2020). A standardised assessment scheme for conventional
1045 EEG in preterm infants. *Clinical Neurophysiology*, 131(1), 199-204.

- 1046 Pavlidis, E., Lloyd, R. O., Mathieson, S., & Boylan, G. B. (2017). A review of
1047 important electroencephalogram features for the assessment of brain
1048 maturation in premature infants. *Acta paediatrica*, 106(9), 1394-1408.
- 1049 Perani, D., Saccuman, M. C., Scifo, P., Spada, D., Andreolli, G., Rovelli, R., ... &
1050 Koelsch, S. (2010). Functional specializations for music processing in the
1051 human newborn brain. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*,
1052 107(10), 4758-4763.
- 1053 Plack, C. J. (2005). Auditory perception. *Handbook of cognition*, 7198.
- 1054 Pölkki, T., & Korhonen, A. (2012). The effectiveness of music on pain among
1055 preterm infants in the neonatal intensive care unit: a systematic review. *JBI*
1056 *Evidence Synthesis*, 10(58), 4600-4609.
- 1057 Praskach, A. (2009). Nature, daylight and sound: A sensible environment for the
1058 families, staff, and patients of neonatal intensive care units (Master's thesis).
1059 University of South Florida. Available at
1060 <https://scholarcommons.usf.edu/etd/2154>
- 1061 Qattea, I., Farghaly, M. A. A., Kattea, M. O., Abdula, N., Mohamed, M. A., & Aly, H.
1062 (2022). Survival of infants born at periviable gestation: The US national
1063 database. *Lancet regional health. Americas*, 14, 100330.
1064 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lana.2022.100330>
- 1065 Ren, H., Zou, L., Wang, L., Lu, C., Yuan, Y., Dai, C., & Chen, W. (2021). Evaluation
1066 of the short-term music therapy on brain functions of preterm infants using
1067 functional near-infrared spectroscopy. *Frontiers in neurology*, 12, 649340.
- 1068 Reuter, C., Bartha-Doering, L., Czedik-Eysenberg, I., Maeder, M., Bertsch, M. A.,
1069 Bibl, K., ... & Giordano, V. (2023). Living in a box: Understanding acoustic
1070 parameters in the NICU environment. *Frontiers in Pediatrics*, 11, 1147226.
- 1071 Robertson, S. (2023). Scale in Acoustic Modelling (Doctoral dissertation, University
1072 of Toronto (Canada)).

- 1073 Santos, J., Pearce, S. E., & Stroustrup, A. (2015). Impact of hospital-based
1074 environmental exposures on neurodevelopmental outcomes of preterm
1075 infants. *Current opinion in pediatrics*, 27(2), 254–260.
1076 <https://doi.org/10.1097/MOP.000000000000190>
- 1077 Shoemark, H., Hanson-Abromeit, D., & Stewart, L. (2015). Constructing optimal
1078 experience for the hospitalized newborn through neuro-based music therapy.
1079 *Frontiers in human neuroscience*, 9, 487.
- 1080 Spence, M. J., & Freeman, M. S. (1996). Newborn infants prefer the maternal low-
1081 pass filtered voice, but not the maternal whispered voice. *Infant Behavior and*
1082 *Development*, 19(2), 199-212.
- 1083 Titze I. R. (1989). Physiologic and acoustic differences between male and female
1084 voices. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 85(4), 1699–1707.
1085 <https://doi.org/10.1121/1.397959>
- 1086 Trehub, S. E. (1987). Infants' perception of musical patterns. *Perception &*
1087 *Psychophysics*, 41(6), 635-641.
- 1088 Treyvaud, K., Doyle, L. W., Lee, K. J., Roberts, G., Lim, J., Inder, T. E., & Anderson,
1089 P. J. (2012). Social–emotional difficulties in very preterm and term 2-year-
1090 olds predict specific social–emotional problems at the age of 5 years. *Journal*
1091 *of pediatric psychology*, 37(7), 779-785.
- 1092 van't Westende, C., Geraedts, V. J., Van Ramesdonk, T., Dudink, J., Schoonmade,
1093 L. J., Van der Knaap, M. S., ... & Van de Pol, L. A. (2022). Neonatal
1094 quantitative electroencephalography and long-term outcomes: a systematic
1095 review. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*, 64(4), 413-420.
- 1096 Vicencio, L. A., Ananthanarayana, R. M., Khudr, J., & Monson, B. B. (2023). Daily
1097 sound level exposure for preterm infants in the neonatal intensive care unit.
1098 *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 153(3_supplement), A160-
1099 A160.

- 1100 Vrticka, P., & Vuilleumier, P. (2012). Neuroscience of human social interactions and
1101 adult attachment style. *Frontiers in human neuroscience*, 6, 212.
- 1102 Wallois, F., Routier, L., Heberlé, C., Mahmoudzadeh, M., Bourel-Ponchel, E., &
1103 Moghimi, S. (2021). Back to basics: the neuronal substrates and mechanisms
1104 that underlie the electroencephalogram in premature
1105 neonates. *Neurophysiologie Clinique*, 51(1), 5-33.
- 1106 White, R. D., Smith, J. A., Shepley, M. M., et al. (2013). Recommended standards
1107 for newborn ICU design, eighth edition. *Journal of Perinatology*, 33(Suppl 1),
1108 S2–S16. <https://doi.org/10.1038/jp.2013.10>
- 1109 World Health Organization. (2022). Preterm birth. Retrieved from
1110 <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/preterm-birth>
- 1111 Yakobson, D., Gold, C., Beck, B. D., Elefant, C., Bauer-Rusek, S., & Arnon, S.
1112 (2021). Effects of Live Music Therapy on Autonomic Stability in Preterm
1113 Infants: A Cluster-Randomized Controlled Trial. *Children* (Basel,
1114 Switzerland), 8(11), 1077. <https://doi.org/10.3390/children8111077>
- 1115 Zhang, S., & He, C. (2023). Effect of the sound of the mother’s heartbeat combined
1116 with white noise on heart rate, weight, and sleep in premature infants: a
1117 retrospective comparative cohort study. *Annals of Palliative Medicine*, 12(1),
1118 11120-11120.
- 1119



<https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.29.24314570> is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Figure 3

Q-Q Plot of Residuals (Reference: Elena & Silence 1)




Figure 4

Interaction Plot of Delta Spectral Power by Condition and Facilitator




Figure 5

Mean Spectral Entropy by Facilitator and Condition




Figure 6




Figure 2