

# **The father's singing voice may impact premature infants' brain more than their mother's: A study protocol and preliminary data on a singing and EEG randomized controlled trial (RCT) based on the fundamental frequency of voice and kinship parameters**

Efthymios Papatzikis<sup>1,2</sup>; Kyriakos Dimitropoulos<sup>3</sup>; Kassandra Tataropoulou<sup>4</sup>; Maria Kyrtzoudi<sup>5</sup>; Elena Pasoudi<sup>6</sup>; John M. O'Toole<sup>7</sup>; Angeliki Nika<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Early Childhood Education and Care, Oslo Metropolitan University, Oslo, Norway

<sup>2</sup>Advanced Health Intelligence and Brain-Inspired Technologies (ADEPT) Research Group, Oslo Metropolitan University, Oslo, Norway

<sup>3</sup>Department of Music Science and Art, University of Macedonia, Thessaloniki, Greece

<sup>4</sup>Neonatal Intensive Care Unit, 'Panagiotis and Aglaia Kyriakou' Children's Hospital, Athens, Greece

<sup>5</sup>Clinical Psychoacoustics Laboratory, Medical School, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece

<sup>6</sup>Independent Researcher, Athens, Greece

<sup>7</sup>CergenX Ltd, Dublin, Ireland

Corresponding Author:

Efthymios Papatzikis

[efthymio@oslomet.no](mailto:efthymio@oslomet.no)

## 29    **Abstract**

30    This article presents the study protocol for a randomized controlled trial (RCT)  
31    investigating the impact of singing on the brain activity of premature infants in the  
32    Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU). The study focuses on how the differentiation  
33    of voices, as defined by the fundamental frequency (F0) shaped by biological sex  
34    and kinship, influences neurophysiological responses when measured by  
35    electroencephalography (EEG). Premature infants, who are highly sensitive to  
36    auditory stimuli, may benefit from music-based interventions; however, there is  
37    limited understanding of how voice variations between male and female  
38    caregivers, and whether they are biologically related, affect brain activity. Our  
39    protocol outlines a structured intervention where infants are exposed to singing by  
40    four facilitators - a male music therapist, a female music therapist, the mother, and  
41    the father - and includes two singing stages: a sustained note (A at 440 Hz) and a  
42    90-second lullaby, both interspersed with silent periods to allow for baseline  
43    measurements. EEG recordings track brain activity throughout these sessions,  
44    followed by quantitative EEG (qEEG) analysis and thorough statistical  
45    computations (e.g., mixed-effects models, spectral power analysis, and post-hoc  
46    tests) to explore how these auditory stimuli influence brain function. Preliminary  
47    data from five infants show that maternal singing elicits the highest delta spectral  
48    power in all measured conditions except during the 'lullaby song', where paternal  
49    singing elicits the highest effects followed by the male music therapist and then the  
50    mother. These early findings highlight the potential influence of parental voices,  
51    particularly the fathers' voice, on neonatal brain development, while the detailed

study protocol ensures rigor and replicability, providing a robust framework for future research. Additionally, this protocol lays the groundwork for exploring the long-term effects of music-based interventions, with the goal of improving neurodevelopmental outcomes in premature infants through tailored auditory stimulation. (clinicaltrials.gov unique identifier: NCT06398912)

## Introduction

In the evolving landscape of neonatal neurology, the focus has increasingly shifted towards understanding the complex interplay between the environment and the developmental outcomes of neonates, especially those in critical care settings (Blackburn, 1998; Santos, Pearce, & Stroustrup, 2015; Bonkowsky, deVeber, Kosofsky, & Child Neurology Society Research Committee, 2020). Globally, a significant number of newborns (just over 13 million) are born prematurely, and many of these vulnerable infants spend their early, formative days in Neonatal Intensive Care Units (NICUs) (World Health Organization, 2022). Advances in neonatal medicine have dramatically improved the survival rates of these infants (e.g., Qattee et al., 2022; Gebreheat & Teame, 2022) yet challenges persist in ensuring their long-term neurological health and development.

Structured sound interventions, approached as non-pharmacological supplementary treatments, have shown promise in improving health outcomes for this vulnerable population in the context of the NICU. For example, white noise has been found to mimic the intrauterine environment, aiding in the stabilization of sleep patterns and reducing stress responses in premature infants (Akiyama et al.,

2021). The use of heartbeat sounds has also been instrumental in providing a rhythmic and familiar auditory stimulus to these infants, facilitating physiological regulation and promoting a sense of security (Zhang & He, 2023; Alemdar & Özdemir, 2017). Similarly, environmental sounds, such as gentle rain or soft wind, have been shown to create a soothing and more natural auditory environment, contributing to the reduction of the clinical and mechanical noise of the NICU (Iyendo, 2017; Praskach, 2009; Almadhoob & Ohlsson, 2020). Finally, passive listening to more organized sounds or music has been widely documented to enhance infants' physiological and emotional well-being (Papatzikis et al., 2024a), with studies demonstrating the positive effects of music on stress reduction, pain management, and weight gain (Pölkki & Korhonen, 2012; Caine, 1991; Arnon et al., 2006; Loewy et al., 2013).

## **Delivering (live) music interventions in the NICU**

While NICUs are pivotal for medical care, they also introduce infants, especially the premature ones, to unique sensory environments (Reuter et al., 2023; Brown, 2009; Vicencio et al., 2023). These environments differ significantly from the acoustical environment of the mother's womb or the typical domestic environment, and can profoundly influence developmental processes (Kvaratskhelia et al., 2023; Chung et al., 2020; Cook et al., 2023). Therefore, the prescription and implementation of music in NICUs should adhere to tailored and sensitive intervention protocols based on well-studied (Papatzikis et al., 2023) and biology-

based facts (Papatzikis, 2024) to avoid disrupting the developmental trajectory of the infant and potentially act as a developmental catalyst.

For instance, regarding the administration of any sound stimulus in the NICU context, careful moderation is expected to prevent overstimulation and ensure the maintenance of physiological stability in neonates. The extreme sensitivity of premature infants to auditory stimuli necessitates that all sound related stimuli in the NICU should adhere to certain acoustical regulations as defined by specific regulatory medical bodies or global standards (White et al., 2013; EFCNI, Sizun, Hallberg, et al., 2018). These regulations are designed not only to prevent adverse fluctuations in vital signs such as heart rate and respiration – ultimately resulting in stress responses in the infant – but also to optimize the therapeutic benefits of environmental and intentionally administered sound stimuli. Additionally, they aim to minimize potential disruptions to proper biomechanical development, such as damage to the hair cells in the infant's inner ear. These specialized ear cells are very sensitive to apoptosis events and can take place due to excessive sound stimulation (Hu et al., 2000; Kamio, Watanabe, & Okubo, 2012; Gerhardt & Abrams, 2000).

Furthermore, the timing of sound-related interventions should be strategically planned not only to align with each infant's individual feeding and sleep-wake cycles (Bueno & Menna-Barreto, 2016) – as these factors can potentially interfere with the beneficial effects of musical interventions – but also to ensure they occur during quieter periods in the NICU, allowing for safe and effective delivery (Almadhoob & Ohlsson, 2020). In particular, live singing interventions must be

carefully calibrated and monitored to stay within safe sound limits set by medical regulations (see EFCNI, Sizun, Hallberg, et al., 2018). These regulations generally stipulate that sound levels outside the incubator should not exceed a continuous average of 45-50 dB, with transient peaks not surpassing 65 dB. Unfortunately, even highly trained professional singers, when attempting to maintain the lowest possible sound pressure levels (SPLs), may still exceed these limits. A study by Akerlund & Gramming (1994) found that trained female singers could only produce minimum SPLs around 51.5 dB at low pitches, which already slightly exceeds the upper recommended continuous sound level in NICUs.

Finally, a seamless integration of any musical intervention into the infants' overall care regimen requires close coordination with the medical team, as this collaborative effort is vital for aligning the intervention sessions with the already established medical protocols and tailoring them to meet the specific health requirements and medical conditions of each infant (Kuo et al., 2018). Such integration helps to ensure that the music intervention sessions are not only safe but also effectively support the broader therapeutic goals set forth by the healthcare providers, which especially in this sensitive and demanding in terms of physical development context require the parental involvement as another critical aspect according to the latest clinical research (Dokkum, Fagan, Cullen, & Loewy, 2023; Haslbeck & Bassler, 2020).

## **The role of Kinship in the NICU Singing process**

Prematurity poses significant risks to child development on social, behavioral, and cognitive levels (Guidry-Jorgensen et al., 2011). Most importantly, the early bond

between the biologically related caregiver and the infant is crucial for the latter's cognitive development, influencing the child's self-representation and future interpersonal relationships (Borghini et al., 2006; Vrticka & Vuilleumier, 2012). However, in the NICU, the typical biological processes of feeding, caring, and bonding are often disrupted due to the infant's medical complexities, leading to stress for both infants and parents (Shoemark et al., 2015).

Infant attachment issues are prevalent among parents who have undergone significant trauma, impairing their sensitivity towards their baby and heightening their vigilance about the baby's condition (Coppola et al., 2007; Shoemark et al., 2015). Research indicates that premature infants often exhibit more passive behavior, less positive affect, and reduced facial expressions during their interactions with their biological caregivers (Bozzette, 2007). Correspondingly, parents of premature infants tend to be less sensitive in these interactions, negatively impacting the infant's developmental trajectory (Field, 1995; Forcada-Guex et al., 2006; Muller-Nix et al., 2004). Conversely, sensitive caregiver attitudes and parent-infant synchronization during interactions have been shown to be protective and beneficial for the development of premature infants (Forcada-Guex et al., 2006; Newnham et al., 2009; Treyvaud et al., 2012).

In this context, singing can serve as a parent-infant synchronization intervention, fostering emotional bonds and providing comfort to both infants and their parents (Lense, Shultz, Astésano, & Jones, 2022; Fancourt & Perkins, 2018). Additionally, singing in the NICU can stabilize an infant's physiological state, including heart rate and breathing, and promote better sleep patterns (Kobus et al., 2021;

Yakobson et al., 2021). It can also serve as a conduit for emotional expression and connection, offering a sense of normalcy and control for parents who might otherwise feel helpless in the highly medicalized environment of the NICU. As a result, through singing, parents can engage in meaningful interaction with their infants, reinforcing their role as primary caregivers and fostering a secure attachment (Shoemark et al., 2015).

However, parents are not the only caregivers in the NICU, and for this reason they are not the only ones who may be able to provide singing sessions. Multiple research studies have shown that caregivers with no immediate kinship – like nurses, physicians and other clinical staff – can also effectively use singing to support developmental and medical outcomes (Liu et al., 2023). This dynamic is, of course, complex and influenced by factors such as the differentiation of kinship and the recognizability of the caregiver's voice. The fundamental frequency of their voice, which differs from that of the mother and father, may be less familiar to the infant (Spence & Freeman, 1996; Mills & Melhuish, 1974; Mai et al., 2012).

## **The Fundamental Frequency of Singing**

In the context of the NICU, understanding the technical features of the singing voice, including its fundamental frequency (F0) as opposed to pitch, becomes increasingly vital, not only due to the auditory sensitivity of premature infants but also because of the developing nature of their central nervous system, which is highly susceptible to the variability of external stimuli as they grow older.



The fundamental frequency (measured in Hertz) refers to a physical property of sound waves – specifically, the number of vibrations per second produced, for example, by the violin string or, in our case, the vocal cords (Hirst & De Looze, 2021; Gerhard, 2003). Pitch, on the other hand, is the perceptual correlate of fundamental frequency; it describes how the brain interprets and perceives the fundamental frequency (Hirst & De Looze, 2021). While F0 is an objective measurement, pitch is a more subjective experience; however, for most periodic sounds, the fundamental frequency closely corresponds to the perceived pitch (Hirst & De Looze, 2021; Gerhard, 2003). As a result, F0 is often used as a proxy for pitch in acoustic analysis and research.

Given the critical role of fundamental frequency (F0) in shaping the auditory environment for infants, particularly in a singing context, it is important to understand the differences in F0 between male and female voices. These differences, driven by anatomical factors such as the length of the vocal tract and the size of the laryngeal cavities, result in male voices typically having a lower F0 – around 100-120 Hz – compared to the higher F0 of female voices, generally in the 200-220 Hz range (Titze, 1989; Coleman, 1976; Fant, 1966). Such distinctions may influence how infants respond to structured sound stimuli, especially in light of the well-documented human sensitivity to voice discrimination based on biological sex (Latinus & Taylor, 2012). The fundamental frequency serves as the foundation upon which harmonic structures are built, guiding the singing experience and creating a scaffold for the infant's brain to process the embedded complex auditory information. These differences in F0, therefore, may not only

influence how infants respond to the singing environment in the NICU but may also convey biological and kinship-specific cues that can affect neural functioning and development in this sensitive population.

## Study Objectives

The F0 of singing and its impact on neonatal brain development remains under-explored, particularly in the context of NICU environments. Current literature lacks detailed insights into how F0 variations, influenced by the biological sex and kinship of the singing source, affect the short-term neurophysiological responses of premature infants. Therefore, this study, aiming to address this research gap, is set to investigate the specific mechanisms through which different F0 profiles from a combination of human voices and kinship dynamics (i.e., mother, father, male, and female music therapists) influence the brain activity of NICU infants.

The primary research questions of this study are: *Does a structured sound and music-based intervention (mentioned as musical intervention from now on) from the two different biological sex profiles (i.e., male, female) based on their F0 result in a differentiated short-term electroencephalographic effect footprint in NICU infants? Furthermore, is there differentiation if their kinship (mother, father) with the newborn becomes a factor?*

Our research hypotheses are as follows:

- *H1*: We hypothesize that when premature newborns are exposed to a musical intervention provided by their mother (high fundamental frequency – biological

kinship) compared to the father (low fundamental frequency – biological kinship) or an unrelated male/female music therapist, they show statistically more visible short-term positive oscillatory differentiations in their brain activity.

-  $H_0$ : We assume that there is no interaction between the fundamental frequency and the human profile (mother, father, man, woman) in the infant's reactions, and that neither the fundamental frequency nor the human profile has a primary effect.

To answer the research questions and study its hypotheses, this study will utilize electroencephalography (EEG) to monitor and analyze the brain activity of NICU infants. By comparing EEG patterns across different music delivery conditions of F0 and kinship source (i.e., music facilitators), the findings are expected to provide valuable insights that can be leveraged to enhance neurodevelopmental outcomes in premature infants.

## Methods

### *Study design*

Between 06.2023 and 12.2025, all premature newborns who meet the specific inclusion criteria (detailed below) in the NICU of the 'Panagiotis and Aglaia Kyriakou' Children's Hospital in Greece will be screened, and those who qualify will be invited to randomly participate in either the intervention or control group of our study. During their stay in the NICU, the music intervention group will be exposed to a structured musical intervention – including varying investigative conditions of silence and singing – for four days within one week. Meanwhile, the research team will ensure that the control group is not exposed to any musical

254 stimulation during the same period. Randomization and allocation of the eligible  
 255 participants to one of the two groups (control or intervention) will be performed  
 256 using a stratified randomization technique to account for parental availability (i.e.,  
 257 not all parents are living in the region the intervention is taking place, or parental  
 258 working schedules do not fit towards an intervention scheduling). For this reason,  
 259 participants will be stratified into two groups based on whether their parents are  
 260 available to participate. Within the 'available parents' stratum, participants will be  
 261 randomly allocated to either the control or intervention group (by a lottery),  
 262 ensuring a balanced distribution of parental availability across both groups. For  
 263 the 'non-available parents' stratum, participants will be assigned only to the control  
 264 group, as this group involves no active parental participation. This stratified  
 265 approach ensures that the study properly tests the influence of parental  
 266 involvement in the intervention group, while the control group includes infants  
 267 regardless of parental availability. We believe that this randomization approach  
 268 maintains the integrity of the study by ensuring a balanced group assignment,  
 269 while also addressing practical and ethical considerations of the specific NICU  
 270 setting. By the same token, blinding of the intervention is also difficult to implement  
 271 in this research context. In terms of measurements, electroencephalography  
 272 (EEG) will be used to record the newborns' brain activity throughout their  
 273 participation in the research study (both intervention and control group), while  
 274 video data will be also recorded, forming an auxiliary part to the EEG data  
 275 collection process. The schedule of enrolment, intervention and assessment can  
 276 be seen in **Figure 1** while a flowchart of the study design is shown in **Figure 2**.

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285                   Figure 1. *Schedule of enrolment, intervention and assessment*

286

287                                   Figure 2. Study Protocol Flow Chart

288     In this design, although the EEG will be the primary tool of data collection and  
289     analysis, the rationale for incorporating video data is multifaceted. Firstly, the video  
290     recordings will complement the electroencephalogram (EEG) recordings in  
291     offering a comprehensive parallel view of the infants' neural and behavioral  
292     responses. Furthermore, the videos will serve as a tool for quality control by  
293     ensuring that the prescribed research protocol is uniformly followed by all  
294     facilitators, contributing to the scientific integrity of the research. Finally, the videos  
295     will allow for a later examination of any synchrony or coordinated interactions

296 between the infant and the facilitator, shedding light on phenotypical – not able to  
297 be measured by the EEG – aspects of the intervention.

298 The musical intervention will be delivered by four different profiles of individuals-  
299 facilitators: a male music therapist, a female music therapist, the mother, and the  
300 father of the newborn. During the delivery of the intervention (explained in more  
301 detail below), there will be five ‘conditions’ measured: Silence1 (180 seconds),  
302 Note A (60 seconds), Silence2 (180 seconds), Song (90 seconds) and Silence3  
303 (180 seconds).

304 It is important to note that the study protocol will be conducted in a dedicated room,  
305 largely isolated from the ambient noise typically found in the rest of the NICU. This  
306 aspect of the study design is crucial for two main reasons:

307 Firstly, it will ensure the comfort and safety of the participating newborns. All  
308 actions taken in the context of the NICU require adherence to the recommended  
309 standards for the NICU sound environment. Therefore, the importance of a well-  
310 managed acoustic environment in reducing stress and discomfort for our  
311 participating infants is of paramount importance. Such a consideration has been  
312 consistently highlighted both for clinical and research settings alike (European  
313 Standards of Care for Newborn Health; EFCNI, Sizun, J., Hallberg, B., et al., 2018)  
314 and a sound-controlled room within the NICU seems to be the only viable option  
315 for achieving the necessary level of sound management for our participants,  
316 without disrupting other clinical operations. More specifically, in the NICU context,  
317 when considering the operational sound as outlined by White, Smith, Shepley, et

al. (2013), the combination of continuous background sound and operational sound should not exceed an hourly  $L_{eq}$  of 45 dB and an hourly  $L_{10}$  of 50 dB, both A-weighted slow response, with transient sounds or  $L_{max}$  not exceeding 65 dB. Our study, with sound exposure lasting less than 3 minutes per hour, will strictly adhere to these guidelines. However, the transient nature of singing, distinct from the continuous and better-controlled sound pressure levels typically found in continuous speech, might lead to brief instances where we slightly exceed these thresholds by about 15 dB. According to evidence, even professional singers, and more so untrained individuals like the parents participating in our study, are not able to produce transient peak Sound Pressure Levels (SPLs) below 78-79 dB when singing softly (Boren, Roginska & Gill, 2013). Therefore, we believe that a dedicated room will significantly aid in ensuring the correct application and viability of the aforementioned recommendations – as compared to the open NICU floor – without pushing to the maximum the soundscape limits.

Secondly, recent research, including a study by Restin et al. (2021), indicates that incubators can be significant sources of noise, especially in the open environment of the NICU floor. To mitigate this issue, we plan to use an open cot/radiant warmer (as depicted in **Figure 3**) in the dedicated room when applicable, or alternatively, bring the entire incubator into the room. This approach will help minimize or eliminate noise from the incubator that might reach the infant. As an additional precaution to all the above, a dB measuring device will be also stationed in the dedicated room, outside the incubator where applicable, to monitor noise levels throughout the intervention.

Figure 3. Open cot – Radiant warmer

## EEG Tool, Data Collection and Processing

EEG is an electrophysiological imaging tool commonly used in medicine and research. The electroencephalogram measures changes in electrical dynamics resulting from the formation of electrical dipoles during nerve stimulation. The EEG signal consists of several brain waves that reflect electrical activity in the brain, based on electrode placement and function in adjacent brain areas. EEG-based changes in response to interventions have become a popular method for investigating brain function in patients with various brain and mental health disorders, as well as in healthy individuals, while the last few years, this tool has been successfully applied to premature newborns and full-term infants, too (Wu J et al., 2013).

In our study, we decided to use the **nëo™** EEG monitoring unit by ANT, a specialized tool designed for both routine and advanced neonatal care in NICUs. Eight high-precision channels found on a clinical-grade infant-sized cap are applied on our participants, connected to a research-grade amplifier with an input impedance exceeding 1 GΩ and advanced noise reduction. We chose this EEG system as it supports neuroprotective intervention screening, offering a maximum sampling rate of 512 Hz and 24-bit resolution.

For the raw EEG data preprocessing part, we have configured a pipeline specialized for the premature infants (as shown in supplementary **file SF1**, and



presented in Papatzikis et al., 2024b; Papatzikis et al., 2024c; Papatzikis et al., 2024d), while for the processing part we followed and adapted to our protocol a specialized for the premature infants' population quantitative EEG (qEEG) software developed for neonatal EEG by O'Toole & Boylan (2017). This software has been selected as our preferred EEG processing tool due to its highly informative, detailed, and objective output. Coupled with our preprocessing pipeline, this tool proved to be particularly advantageous for our research, as it allowed for the analysis of various EEG features such as spectral power, coherence, and connectivity among EEG channels, taking into consideration the intervention's musical conditions and their short delivery profile. We aimed for this comprehensive EEG setup to enhance our ability to interpret the complex EEG data from our participants in greater detail, as these infants, compared to term infants, toddlers, and adults, exhibit unstable physiological and electroencephalographic states – such as signal continuity/discontinuity (Pavlidis et al., 2017; Pavlidis et al., 2020) and increased artifact generation (Wallois et al., 2021; Britton et al., 2016) – making it significantly more challenging to identify patterns and trends in the EEG data collected following our musical protocol.

## Participants

Premature newborns who meet the inclusion criteria outlined below are eligible for participation in our study. These criteria have been carefully selected to ensure that the infants included have the appropriate health profile for safe participation

and that the study results will be as accurate and relevant as possible. More specifically, to be eligible, newborns must have been born at 32 weeks of gestation or less and weigh at least 1000 grams, while not exceeding 38 weeks of postmenstrual age (PMA) during the intervention and measurement period. It is essential that potential participants do not have auditory insufficiency in the 8th cranial nerve or excessive pathology in the brainstem, while they must also have negative bilateral 'transient evoked otoacoustic emissions' – both verified during the postnatal screening by the in-house audiologist.

The eligible participants must also be in stable health, with no imminent risk of death, and must not be sedated or require sedative drug administration during the study. Any congenital, genetic, or chromosomal abnormalities, neonatal sepsis, or nuclear jaundice will also lead to exclusion, as these conditions could potentially influence brain function and interfere with the study's results. Additionally, the participants must not be exposed to maternal use of illicit drugs during pregnancy, while mechanical ventilation used for their life support – that generates excessive noise, such as high-frequency oscillatory ventilation – is another exclusion criterion. Similarly, any potential participants requiring sedative drugs will not be included to avoid confounding factors related to brain activity that could alter the study's findings.

Should a participant be diagnosed with a disease or dysfunction during the course of the study, they will be automatically excluded if the medical staff recommends their removal for health reasons. Additionally, the study protocol mandates that no external musical stimulation, including singing, be allowed for the enrolled

participants during the admission period. Non-compliance with this guideline will result in the exclusion of the neonate from the study to maintain the integrity of the experimental conditions and data collection process.

## **Power calculation and sample size**

In situations where an exact effect size is not derivable from prior research or pilot data – as in our case – utilizing the Minimum Effect Size of Interest (MESI) (Anvari & Lakens, 2021) is advantageous for guiding sample size estimation. This approach focuses on the smallest effect size that is considered practically or clinically significant within the specific context of a study, while it forms the foundation of the power analysis to ensure a study is calibrated to detect effects that are both meaningful and impactful.

For our study the MESI was established at Cohen's  $d=0.3$ . This low determination was made in consultation with field experts and by reviewing clinical guidelines pertaining our research protocol, reflecting in result the minimal change in the primary outcome that would significantly impact patient care or treatment decisions in the specific context. More specifically, with our designated MESI for the musical intervention impacting premature infants' brain activity, and by setting our alpha (Type I error) at 0.05 and power (Type II error) at 0.80, a power analysis with G\*Power 3.1.9.7 suggested – at a High-Power Scenario – that 30 participants are needed to adequately power the study to detect this minimum effect size with desired statistical certainty (Table 1).

430 Table 1. Power analysis table

Effect Size Specification Tool	Cohens'd 1988 = 0.3 G*Power 3.1.9.7	
Analysis:	A priori: Compute required sample size	
Input:	Effect size f(V)	0,8
	$\alpha$ err prob	0,05
	Power (1- $\beta$ err prob)	0,95
	Number of groups	2
	Number of measurements	4
	Nonsphericity correction $\epsilon$	1
Output:	Noncentrality parameter $\lambda$	19,2
	Critical F	2,7132271
	Numerator df	3
	Denominator df	84
	<b>Total sample size</b>	<b>30</b>
	Actual power	0,9621585

431

432

## 433 Intervention

434 Preterm newborns who meet the inclusion criteria, as explained above, will be  
 435 exposed to a specific, in terms of content and exposure timing, musical intervention  
 436 (explained in more detail below) four times in a calendar week – once per day. By  
 437 conducting the intervention in the proposed way, we expect to observe and record  
 438 the immediate and short-term neurophysiological effects of the musical stimuli on  
 439 the infants' brains, while ensuring that the data reflects the true impact of the  
 440 intervention, free from external variables that might arise from a more prolonged  
 441 or sporadic schedule. Furthermore, the specific exposure over this consistent  
 442 period will facilitate a comprehensive understanding of any cumulative or evolving  
 443 effects of the intervention across consecutive sessions, allowing for a detailed

analysis of the EEG baselines recorded each one of the four times the intervention is delivered (also explained in more detail below). It should be also noted that the facilitators' order of intervention delivery for each infant will be changed between participants to achieve better randomization and to avoid potential confounding variables due to a set order of intervention delivery.

As far as the musical intervention's structure is concerned, this will take place in two main singing stages complemented by three distinctive blocks of silence, before, between and after each sung session as shown in the following list.

- \* 180 seconds of silence (*Silence1; Baseline1*)
- 1st singing stage: Signing a specific tone at 440 Hz, repeated *ad libitum* for a total of 60 seconds. (*NoteA*)
- \* 180 seconds of silence (*Silence2; Baseline2*)
- 2nd singing stage: Singing an original song suitable for the NICU environment in terms of structure, acoustic information composition, and volume level (dB), with a total duration of 90 seconds. (*Song*)
- \* 180 seconds of silence (*Silence3; Baseline3*)

We decided to introduce these periods of silence before and after each singing stage to facilitate a return to the baseline of brain activity between the two singing stages, as well as to reduce the potential impact of any residual neural activity from the previous stage. This approach will enable us to achieve a more accurate assessment of the effects of each individual singing stage, and it will also allow for a clearer comparison of the developmental trajectory of the EEG baselines. By

incorporating these controlled intervals of silence, we aim to isolate the neurophysiological effects specific to each musical intervention, thus providing a more precise understanding of how the infants' brain activity evolves over the course of the intervention conditions as well as sessions.

In regard to the singing stages, as stated above, all facilitators will be asked to sing at the same distant location from the incubator, maintaining in this way consistent spatial sound parameters of stimulus delivery. For the first stage of the singing part (NoteA), each facilitator will be given a tuning fork (a forked acoustic component that produces sound upon impact and quickly silences) tuned to the musical note A (440 Hz) and asked to sing based on its produced sound the musical note A (440 Hz) as best as they can. All facilitators will need to repeat the specific note *ad libitum* over 60 seconds at this stage. The tuning fork has been chosen to be used as it is a safe acoustic accessory for accurately reproducing the tone the facilitator needs to hear as an acoustical template when inserting it into their ear, ensuring at the same time that the infant will not be able to hear it and be affected by it.

For the second singing stage (Song), each facilitator will need to sing a complex acoustic stimulus with organized sounds in the form of a lullaby, specifically created and composed for the study by a professional musician and music therapist (a member of the research team). This lullaby was aimed to have a unique structure and acoustic information composition adhering to the known scientific evidence related to infants' sound and music perception capabilities (Háden et al., 2024; Trehub, 1987). The song lasts 90 seconds and features a soft melodic line, gentle rhythm, simple harmonies, and soft vocal timbre. Its tempo is

expected to be approximately 60–75 beats per minute, following the rhythm of the seconds hand of the clock found on site as best as possible for consistency of delivery.

Finally, to ensure the best possible implementation of the intervention – particularly regarding the singing process – all parents of participants who meet the study's inclusion criteria will receive 30 minutes of training before the actual intervention. This training will be conducted by a member of the research team who is a professional musician, singer, and music therapist. This pre-intervention session aims to equip parents with the necessary skills and understanding to enhance the intervention's effectiveness, ensuring close consistency among the different facilitators. Additionally, the training session is designed to provide a calming influence on the parents, which can reduce stress and anxiety for both the infants and their caregivers during the delivery of the intervention. We believe that this pre-intervention training will empower parents as active participants in their child's care, improving adherence and contributing to a more supportive and therapeutic environment throughout our study in the NICU.

## Protocol Amendments

The study protocol reported here is the second version, following several adjustments made for both practical and scientific purposes. A process evaluation of the RCT was carried out in line with established medical research guidelines (French et al., 2020) and the findings informed a series of recommendations, which

were incorporated into the current study design. A detailed account of the evaluation process as well as the changes made from the original protocol can be found in Dimitropoulos (2025).

## Outcomes and Statistical analysis

To rigorously evaluate the effects of our musical intervention, we decided to employ a series of advanced statistical methods tailored to the specificities of the study design and data characteristics. As a result, the complete analysis was structured to address the research questions, focusing on the EEG-based outcome measures, with an emphasis on Spectral Power and Spectral Entropy, across the Delta frequency band.

Special attention was given to the Delta frequency band as it is widely recognized for its relevance in neonatal brain activity (van't Westende et al., 2022; Bourel-Ponchel et al., 2021). The Delta frequency band, typically ranging from 0.5 to 4 Hz, is the slowest of the EEG frequency bands and is a critical marker of brain maturation. Higher absolute power in the delta frequency band has been associated with more favorable long-term neurodevelopmental outcomes, reflecting the progression of underlying neural processes during early development (van't Westende et al., 2022). Given its prominence in this context, we believe that the Delta frequency band can be an essential marker for assessing the impact of sound and music on the neurophysiological state of our participants,



providing in result critical insights into how these infants process auditory stimuli and the extent to which our intervention influences brain activity patterns.

More specifically to the design of our statistical analysis process and given the study's repeated measures design and the nested data structure (with multiple observations per infant), a mixed-effects model was decided to be employed to analyze first the Delta Spectral Power, allowing for the inclusion of both fixed effects (conditions and facilitators) and random effects (variability across individual infants), while also meticulously fitting the model to account for the inter-individual variability after isolating the impact of the different intervention conditions and facilitator profiles. Specifically, to the Spectral Power approach, we decided to focus on this EEG feature as it can provide information about the intensity and prevalence of different types of neural oscillations (Gao, 2016) and it can help us better understand how the fluctuating premature infant brain activity changes over time. The thorough statistical analysis approach we propose here on the Delta Spectral Power is expected to generate fixed and random effects estimates, while to further elucidate the specific differences between condition/facilitator combinations, a Least Significant Difference (LSD) post-hoc test will be also conducted on the model's outcome, aiming to identify which specific pairs of conditions or facilitators exhibit significant differences in brain activity, despite the increased risk of Type I errors due to multiple comparisons.

Parallel to the analysis of Delta Spectral Power, a similar mixed-effects model will be applied to the Delta Spectral Entropy data collected, following the same consideration for random and fixed effects, and aiming to explore how variations

in spectral entropy, influenced by the different intervention profiles, reflect the neurophysiological responses of the infants. We decided to further study the EEG feature of Spectral Entropy in this context as it can offer a different dimension of analysis compared to Spectral Power and reflect in more detail the overall organization and complexity of the oscillations studied through the Delta Spectra Power approach. More specifically, Spectral Entropy is considered a measure of the complexity or irregularity of a signal's frequency distribution (Kapucu et al., 2016), quantifying the degree of disorder or unpredictability within the power spectrum of a specific signal – in our case the Delta frequency band. A decrease in Spectral Entropy could indicate a transition to more synchronized and less complex neural activity, while conversely, higher Spectral Entropy might suggest more distributed and diverse neural activity, which could be linked to alertness or cognitive engagement.

Infusing this extra step of statistical analysis on our collected EEG data may be proved particularly valuable because it may reveal subtle changes in brain activity that may not be apparent through power analysis alone. As with the Spectral Power analysis, and subsequent to the mixed-effects model for Delta Spectral Entropy, a Least Significant Difference (LSD) post-hoc test will be also employed to investigate the differences between condition/facilitator combinations as in the previous approach.

## **Ethics**

This study has been meticulously designed with a strong commitment to ethical standards, ensuring the protection and well-being of all participants. The research protocol has been thoroughly reviewed and approved by the 'Panagiotis and Aglaia Kyriakou' Hospital's Scientific Council, under the Institutional Review Board (IRB) with protocol number 11333, 09th/04-05-2023 (Θ: 9). Additionally, the study has been registered on the clinical trials platform ([www.clinicaltrials.gov](http://www.clinicaltrials.gov)) under the unique identifier NCT06398912, ensuring transparency and adherence to global research standards.

Participation in this study is entirely voluntary, and informed consent is a central component of the ethical process. Therefore, parents of identified premature infants who meet the inclusion criteria are invited to a detailed one-on-one meeting with the research team (NICU director), in order to receive comprehensive information, both orally and in writing, regarding the study's objectives, methodologies, and procedures. This meeting covers all aspects of the intervention and data collection, including the non-invasive nature of the research tools, such as music and EEG, while also addresses any concerns related to selection, participation, withdrawal, confidentiality, and the study timeline, ensuring that parents are fully informed before making a decision.

During this meeting, the voluntary nature of participation is emphasized, with parents being assured that their decision to participate or withdraw at any point will not affect their relationship with the researchers or the medical staff at the Panagiotis & Aglaia Kyriakou Children's Hospital. They are also informed that in

599 the event of withdrawal, all data associated with the participant will be immediately  
600 deleted, ensuring no residual information remains.

601 Considering the sensitivity of the particular context, and to further protect the  
602 participants' privacy and confidentiality, all collected data are de-identified and  
603 pseudonymized at the source (i.e., embedded function of the **nēo™** EEG monitoring  
604 unit during the extraction process), with each dataset assigned a unique  
605 identification number. Personal identifiers, such as names, are not linked to the  
606 data in any study analysis, report, or publication phase, while parents are informed  
607 of their right to access the data collected from their infants at any time. Once  
608 parents have received all relevant information and have had their questions  
609 answered, they are asked to provide written consent, indicating their agreement to  
610 participate in the study.

At this point, we should mention that despite its non-invasive nature, the study recognizes potential risks, such as the overstimulation of infants due to sound exposure and stress related to handling. To mitigate these risks, sound levels are closely monitored using a dB dosimeter – as already mentioned – and interventions are scheduled in this way so to ensure adequate rest periods for the infants. It has already been pointed above that the study is conducted in a specially designated, quiet room within the NICU to minimize stress, while moreover, the application of EEG electrodes, a key part of the study, is performed by specialists trained in neonatal care, further reducing the risk of discomfort or stress for the infants. In case of any unforeseen medical complications or adverse reactions, the study protocol includes provisions for the immediate cessation of the intervention and prompt medical evaluation, ensuring the safety of the participants.

## **Trial status**

Since the ethical approval by the IRB and the Hospital Scientific Board in May 2023, 16 participants have been randomized and measured in our study – 11 in the experimental group and five in the control group. However, data from only five participants from the experimental group have been analyzed so far, comprising the basic participants workforce whereupon the EEG data pre-processing and processing pipelines, as well as the statistical analyses framework were first implemented. This small analysis cohort is consisted by two female and three male premature infants ranging between 28 and 32 weeks of gestation (i.e., specifically

633 199-227 days of gestation; SD = 12.42 days; Median (75<sup>th</sup> Percentile) = 227 days).

634 All five participants were measured in their 35th week PMA.

635 Prematurity in this dataset is underscored by the diverse maternal histories,  
 636 including one mother who underwent in vitro fertilization (IVF) resulting in  
 637 dichorionic diamniotic (DCDA) twin pregnancy (described as uneventful); a mother  
 638 with an IgA nephropathy and a kidney transplantation, coupled with diabetes  
 639 during pregnancy; one case involving increased resistance in the uterine arteries,  
 640 raising concerns about potential intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR) and  
 641 preeclampsia, and one last case experiencing a pregnancy complicated by IVF,  
 642 gestational diabetes, polyhydramnios, and abnormal Doppler findings. Regarding  
 643 the infants' treatment, antibiotics and caffeine were commonly administered  
 644 (typical neonatal care practices employed), with three cases receiving a standard  
 645 combination of antibiotics and caffeine, while one case specifically involved  
 646 ampicillin and gentamicin alongside caffeine. In one instance, no medication was  
 647 reported, indicating a lack of need for such intervention.

648 In terms of the EEG analysis results for this dataset, the descriptive statistics for  
 649 spectral power in the Delta frequency across different facilitators and conditions  
 650 are detailed in **table 2** below. The highest mean spectral power was observed  
 651 during maternal interventions, particularly in the 'NoteA' condition (Mean = 1381.3  
 652  $\mu V^2$ , SD = 1794.5  $\mu V^2$ ), emphasizing the notable influence of maternal presence in  
 653 general.

654

655 Table 2. Descriptive statistics for Spectral Power on Delta frequency (in  $\mu V^2$  for Mean and SD)

Facilitator	Condition	Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation (%)
Elena	NoteA	276.1	269.9	97.7
Father	NoteA	657.1	937.4	142.7
Kyriakos	NoteA	273.6	129.5	47.3
Mother	NoteA	1381.3	1794.5	129.9
Total	NoteA	647.1	1047.2	161.8
Elena	Silence1	92.7	83.4	90
Father	Silence1	161.2	175.8	109.1
Kyriakos	Silence1	339	308.7	91.1
Mother	Silence1	703.1	745.9	106.1
Total	Silence1	324	451.8	139.4
Elena	Silence2	114.8	162.3	141.4
Father	Silence2	148.7	103.3	69.4
Kyriakos	Silence2	225.7	137.3	60.9
Mother	Silence2	218	168.8	77.4
Total	Silence2	176.8	141.6	80.1
Elena	Silence3	94.3	84.6	89.7
Father	Silence3	427.6	785.9	183.8
Kyriakos	Silence3	378.3	538.1	142.2
Mother	Silence3	1020.7	1797.1	176.1
Total	Silence3	480.2	995.9	207.4
Elena	Song	79.1	76.2	96.4
Father	Song	574.2	920.8	160.4
Kyriakos	Song	270.2	231.8	85.8
Mother	Song	213.4	185.7	87
Total	Song	284.2	482.5	169.8

656

Following the descriptive statistics, and before running the mixed-effects model as already planned, an assumptions check was performed, creating a Q-Q plot to check for the normality of residuals and running the Breusch-Pagan test to check for any violation of homoscedasticity in the dataset. The Q-Q plot, as shown in figure 4, confirmed that the residuals followed an approximately normal distribution, while the Breusch-Pagan test for homoscedasticity resulted in a p-value of 0.066, suggesting on the one hand that the variance of residuals is consistent across different levels of the fixed effects, and indicating on the other hand that the assumption of homoscedasticity holds.

Figure 4. Q-Q Plot of Residuals with a reference point to the female music therapist for the facilitators and the 'Silence1' condition for the five intervention conditions

Considering the model assumptions to hold, we run first the mixed-effects model on the Spectral Power of the Delta frequency as decided, which included 100 observations across 5 groups, with each group comprising 20 observations at multiple time points. The model converged successfully with a scale parameter of 404,270.9768 and a log-likelihood of -739.3134. The coefficients for each condition and facilitator, along with their standard errors and p-values, are reported in full in table 3. The model revealed a significant effect of the 'facilitator' parameter on spectral power [  $F(3,88) = 3.627$ ,  $p = 0.016$  ], while the 'condition' parameter did



not show a statistically significant sole effect overall [ $F(4,88) = 1.669, p = 0.164$ ]. On the contrary, when we combined the effects across different conditions and facilitators (please see interaction plot in figure 5), the model revealed that although the Delta Spectral Power generally fluctuates, some interesting findings can be drawn based on this synthesis.

Table 3. Coefficients for each condition and facilitator with SE and  $p$  values

Condition/Facilitator	Coefficient (Mean)	Standard Error	p-value
Elena	131,442	181,968	.148
Father	393,818	181,968	.593
Kyriakos	297,398	181,968	.025
Mother	707,362	181,968	.002
NoteA	647,105	192,757	.112
Silence1	324,049	192,757	.466
Silence2	176,847	192,757	.022
Silence3	480,281	192,757	.135
Song	284,243	192,757	.075

Figure 5. Combination of effects across different conditions and facilitators

More specifically, we realized that mostly during the ‘NoteA’ condition (as also observed in most of the other conditions), there was a significant increase in Delta Spectral Power for the ‘mother’ facilitator, while surprisingly, the ‘Song’ condition

showcased the highest impact particularly for the ‘father’ facilitator. As far as the ‘female music therapist (Elena)’ facilitator is concerned, the most stable and lowest Delta Spectral Power across all conditions was observed, indicating a consistent but minimal impact, while the ‘male music therapist (Kyriakos)’ facilitator showed moderate variability with minor peaks during the ‘NoteA’ and ‘Song’ conditions. Please note here, that especially for the ‘Song’ condition, the ‘male music therapist (Kyriakos)’ facilitator ranked second in impact after the ‘father’ facilitator. To further clarify these specific differences, post-hoc comparisons using the Least Significant Difference (LSD) test were conducted as previously decided, revealing that Delta brain activity was significantly higher with the ‘Mother’ facilitator compared to ‘Elena’ ( $M = 575.92$ ,  $p = 0.002$ ), ‘Kyriakos’ ( $M = 409.96$ ,  $p = 0.025$ ), and ‘Father’ ( $M = 313.55$ ,  $p = 0.085$ ) – although for this latter coming quite close to being significant. Additionally, the ‘NoteA’ condition elicited significantly higher brain activity than the ‘Silence2’ condition ( $M = 470.26$ ,  $p = 0.022$ ) and showed close trends towards significance when compared to the ‘Silence1’ ( $M = 323.06$ ,  $p = 0.112$ ) and ‘Song’ conditions ( $M = 362.86$ ,  $p = 0.075$ ).

Finally, as already mentioned, in addition to the analysis of Delta spectral power, we also explored the spectral entropy within the Delta frequency band to assess the complexity and predictability of the EEG signals under different facilitators and conditions. The mixed-effects model analysis for spectral entropy revealed once more a significant effect of the ‘facilitator’ parameter on entropy values [ $F(3, 88) = 2.744$ ,  $p = 0.048$ ], while the spectral entropy across the ‘conditions’ parameter did not exhibit a significant overall effect [ $F(4, 88) = 0.380$ ,  $p = 0.822$ ]. Especially for

the former result, underscoring that the facilitator's role notably influences the variability and regularity of the EEG signals, we realized that, specifically, the 'father' facilitator was associated with the highest mean entropy ( $M = 0.684$ ,  $SE = 0.133$ ), while the 'mother' facilitator showed the lowest mean entropy ( $M = 0.558$ ,  $SE = 0.133$ ) (please see also figure 6); although pairwise comparisons did not reach statistical significance (in all cases except the close to significance trend of the 'father' vs 'mother' comparison) after Bonferroni correction (please see table 4).

Table 4. Pair-wise comparison of the 'facilitator' condition after Bonferroni correction

Comparison	Mean Difference	Standard Error (SE)	p-value
Elena vs. Father	-0.02	0.049	1
Elena vs. Kyriakos	0.063	0.049	1
Elena vs. Mother	0.106	0.049	0.207
Father vs. Kyriakos	0.083	0.049	0.589
Father vs. Mother	0.126	0.049	0.075
Kyriakos vs. Mother	0.043	0.049	1

Figure 6. Mean spectral entropy within the Delta band, showing a significant effect of the 'facilitator'. The 'father' shows the highest mean entropy and the 'mother' the lowest.

733

734

## 735 **Discussion**

736 Although there is emerging evidence that musical interventions can positively  
737 influence the neurodevelopment of premature infants, existing studies often suffer  
738 from limited control over confounding variables, and a lack of rigorous  
739 neuroimaging-based evidence to support the precise neural mechanisms  
740 underlying these effects. Against this backdrop, the aim of the present study is to  
741 fill these gaps by providing robust, RCT-based data on the effects of a structured  
742 singing intervention, specifically focusing on the fundamental frequency (F0) of the  
743 voice and kinship of the music facilitators parameters, on the brain activity of  
744 premature infants in a Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) setting. Building upon  
745 this foundation, some of the key strengths of the protocol presented here include:  
746 (1) the use of an RCT design with an adequately powered sample size to detect  
747 statistically significant differences in electroencephalographic (EEG) activity  
748 across different conditions and facilitators; (2) the employment of an advanced  
749 neuroimaging technique to precisely monitor and study neural correlates of the  
750 intervention; and (3) the consideration of a variety of caregivers – in terms of  
751 kinship and voice register – to deeper explore the specific impact of singing on  
752 premature infant brain development.

753 More specifically, we must emphasize, first of all, that this study protocol was  
754 meticulously designed as a randomized controlled trial (RCT) – including,

therefore, both an experimental and a control group in its design – in order to provide a solid foundation for causal inferences regarding the effects of musical interventions on the brain activity of premature infants, allowing for a more nuanced understanding of any embedded confounders. Although our work does not yet report on the statistical comparisons between these groups, we believe that the approach we take is crucial for discerning any differences in the measurement process and the application of the specific stimuli, yielding more profound insights into the efficacy of the intervention. Moreover, with the integration of neuroimaging and more specifically the custom-made and adapted EEG (pre)processing pipeline utilized, the effects of our musical intervention on premature infants can be better studied and analyzed in more detail, thus playing a pivotal role in understanding the fine nuances of implementing the tools employed in the specific context. As discussed in previous work (Papatzikis, 2024), neuroimaging-guided interventions should be more extensively introduced in the NICU because they can provide real-time insights into the brain's response to external stimuli, capturing in essence dynamic changes that might not be observable through behavioral measures alone. In this regard, our protocol approach not only enhances the precision of our study but can also contribute to the development of more tailored applications of this sort in the near future, optimizing other similar music-based interventions to suit the unique neurodevelopmental needs of each infant in the NICU.

Finally, it is important to point out that the study design we decided to implement includes the chosen variety of caregivers to allow us to provide a more comprehensive insight into the role of emotional and social factors evident and

embedded in the treatment process of premature infants in real-word situations and environments. For that matter, on the one hand, the inclusion of both parents in the protocol ensures that the study captures potential sex-specific effects, which could be related to differences in voice register or emotional bonding, while, on the other hand, incorporating professional music therapists as facilitators offers a standardized comparison to the former set of caregivers, helping to differentiate the effects of kinship as well as musical and clinical expertise related to the implementation of music-based interventions in this sensitive context. This diversity in caregivers could not only enrich the study's findings but may also enhance the generalizability of its results, ultimately leading to more comprehensive recommendations for NICU music-based interventions.

However, despite the strengths of our study, certain challenges and limitations must also be acknowledged. First, the heterogeneity of the study population, which may potentially include infants with varying degrees of prematurity – as defined by their gestational age – and differing health profiles, may introduce significant variability and complicate the interpretation of the results, as the infants' responses to the musical interventions may be influenced by factors beyond the scope of the study. For instance, the inclusion of participants with diverse medical histories, while increasing the generalizability of the findings, also necessitates careful consideration in data analysis and further clinical implementation to account for these differences. Furthermore, external factors, such as the NICU environment, could also influence the study's conduct and the quality of the data collected. The acoustic environment for once, despite being controlled within the study's

dedicated room, may still introduce variability that could affect the infants' responses, while the fragile emotional and psychological state of the parents, who are also facilitators in the study, could impact the delivery and reception of the musical intervention. These factors, although managed to the greatest extent possible, remain potential sources of variability that could influence the outcomes.

Despite these challenges, the potential impact of this study remains highly significant. Its findings, for once, could be easily integrated into existing neonatal care practices, offering healthcare providers a scientifically grounded method for enhancing the care of premature infants. Furthermore, the broader impact of this study could be substantial as the insights gained from the differential effects of maternal, paternal, and therapist-facilitated singing on neonatal brain activity could inform wider public health strategies aimed at improving neurodevelopmental outcomes for premature infants. Finally, this research may also encourage the expansion of music-based interventions in neonatal care units worldwide, particularly in regions where such practices are not yet widely implemented.

## **Conclusion**

As this study is still in progress, it is essential to recognize that the findings presented here are preliminary, based on an initial analysis cohort. Therefore, the continuation and completion of the study will be critical for validating these early results and for providing a more comprehensive understanding of the effects of the specific music-based intervention on neonatal brain development. That said, several areas for further investigation have emerged from the process of

823 structuring, fine-tuning, and implementing our study protocol, suggesting for future  
824 research to explore the long-term effects of this and other similar interventions,  
825 particularly in terms of cognitive and behavioral outcomes as the infants develop.  
826 Additionally, more research is needed to understand the specific mechanisms  
827 through which other dynamic soundscapes and modes of musical delivery may  
828 influence the infants' brain activity, leading to more targeted and effective  
829 interventions. For all the above, it is our hope that the detailed report of our study  
830 protocol and its preliminary results provided here, may help future researchers  
831 better accommodate their investigation needs and study hypotheses in the  
832 emerging field of music-based interventions in the NICU with the help of  
833 neuroimaging tools and particularly electroencephalography, leading to new  
834 horizons of applicable treatment in this very sensitive yet crucial environment for  
835 human development.



## 836     **References**

- 837     Akerlund, L., & Gramming, P. (1994). Average loudness level, mean fundamental  
838             frequency, and subglottal pressure: Comparison between female singers and  
839             nonsingers. *Journal of Voice*, 8(3), 263-270.
- 840     Akiyama, A., Tsai, J. D., WY, T. E., Kamino, D., Hahn, C., Go, C. Y., ... & Ochi, A.  
841             (2021). The effect of music and white noise on electroencephalographic  
842             (EEG) functional connectivity in neonates in the neonatal intensive care unit.  
843             *Journal of Child Neurology*, 36(1), 38-47.
- 844     Alemdar, D. K., & Özdemir, F. K. (2017). Effects of covering the eyes versus playing  
845             intrauterine sounds on premature infants' pain and physiological parameters  
846             during venipuncture. *Journal of Pediatric Nursing*, 37, e30-e36.
- 847     Almadhoob, A., & Ohlsson, A. (2020). Sound reduction management in the neonatal  
848             intensive care unit for preterm or very low birth weight infants. *The Cochrane*  
849             *Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2020(1), CD010333.
- 850     Almadhoob, A., & Ohlsson, A. (2020). Sound reduction management in the neonatal  
851             intensive care unit for preterm or very low birth weight infants. *The Cochrane*  
852             database of systematic reviews, 1, CD010333.  
853             <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD010333.pub3>
- 854     Arnon, S., Shapsa, A., Forman, L., Regev, R., Bauer, S., Litmanovitz, I., & Dolfín, T.  
855             (2006). Live music is beneficial to preterm infants in the neonatal intensive  
856             care unit environment. *Birth*, 33(2), 131-136.
- 857     Bağlı, E., Küçükoğlu, S., & Soylu, H. (2024). The effect of lullabies and classical  
858             music on preterm neonates' cerebral oxygenation, vital signs, and comfort  
859             during orogastric tube feeding: A randomized controlled trial. *Biological*  
860             *Research For Nursing*, 26(2), 181-191.

- 861 Blackburn S. (1998). Environmental impact of the NICU on developmental  
862 outcomes. *Journal of pediatric nursing*, 13(5), 279–289.  
863 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0882-5963\(98\)80013-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0882-5963(98)80013-4)
- 864 Bonkowsky, J. L., deVeber, G., Kosofsky, B. E., & Child Neurology Society  
865 Research Committee (2020). Pediatric Neurology Research in the Twenty-  
866 First Century: Status, Challenges, and Future Directions Post-COVID-19.  
867 *Pediatric neurology*, 113, 2–12.  
868 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2020.08.012>
- 869 Borghini, A., Pierrehumbert, B., Miljkovitch, R., Muller-Nix, C., Forcada-Guex, M., &  
870 Ansermet, F. (2006). Mother's attachment representations of their premature  
871 infant at 6 and 18 months after birth. *Infant Mental Health Journal: Official*  
872 *Publication of The World Association for Infant Mental Health*, 27(5), 494-  
873 508.
- 874 Bourel-Ponchel, E., Gueden, S., Hasaerts, D., Héberlé, C., Malfilâtre, G., Mony, L.,  
875 ... & Lamblin, M. D. (2021). Normal EEG during the neonatal period:  
876 maturational aspects from premature to full-term  
877 newborns. *Neurophysiologie Clinique*, 51(1), 61-88.
- 878 Bozzette, M. (2007). A review of research on premature infant-mother interaction.  
879 *Newborn and Infant Nursing Reviews*, 7(1), 49-55.
- 880 Britton, J. W., Frey, L. C., Hopp, J. L., Korb, P., Koubeissi, M. Z., Lievens, W. E., ...  
881 & St Louis, E. K. (2016). *Electroencephalography (EEG): An introductory text*  
882 *and atlas of normal and abnormal findings in adults, children, and infants*.
- 883 Brown, G. (2009). NICU noise and the preterm infant. *Neonatal Network*, 28(3), 165-  
884 173.
- 885 Bueno, C., & Menna-Barreto, L. (2016). Development of sleep/wake, activity and  
886 temperature rhythms in newborns maintained in a neonatal intensive care

887 unit and the impact of feeding schedules. *Infant behavior & development*, 44,  
888 21-8 . <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infbeh.2016.05.004>

889 Caine, J. (1991). The effects of music on the selected stress behaviors, weight,  
890 caloric and formula intake, and length of hospital stay of premature and low  
891 birth weight neonates in a newborn intensive care unit. *Journal of music*  
892 *therapy*, 28(4), 180-192.

893 Carroll, J., Taden, S., & Zeng, F. G. (2011). Fundamental frequency is critical to  
894 speech perception in noise in combined acoustic and electric hearing. *The*  
895 *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 130(4), 2054–2062.  
896 <https://doi.org/10.1121/1.3631563>

897 Chung, E. H., Chou, J., & Brown, K. A. (2020). Neurodevelopmental outcomes of  
898 preterm infants: a recent literature review. *Translational pediatrics*, 9(Suppl  
899 1), S3.

900 Cirelli, L. K., Spinelli, C., Nozaradan, S., & Trainor, L. J. (2016). Measuring neural  
901 entrainment to beat and meter in infants: effects of music background.  
902 *Frontiers in neuroscience*, 10, 191178.

903 Coleman R. O. (1976). A comparison of the contributions of two voice quality  
904 characteristics to the perception of maleness and femaleness in the  
905 voice. *Journal of speech and hearing research*, 19(1), 168–180.  
906 <https://doi.org/10.1044/jshr.1901.168>

907 Cook, K. M., De Asis-Cruz, J., Kim, J. H., Basu, S. K., Andescavage, N., Murnick,  
908 J., ... & Limperopoulos, C. (2023). Experience of early-life pain in premature  
909 infants is associated with atypical cerebellar development and later  
910 neurodevelopmental deficits. *BMC medicine*, 21(1), 435.

911 Coppola, G., Cassibba, R., & Costantini, A. (2007). What makes fathers feel  
912 involved in caring for their preterm infants?. *Journal of Perinatal & Neonatal*  
913 *Nursing*, 21(3), 243-252.

- 914 Dimitropoulos K. (2025) *Title of the master's thesis*. Master's thesis, in preparation  
915 for submission, University of Macedonia, Thessaloniki, Greece [available  
916 upon request]
- 917 Dokkum, N., Fagan, L., Cullen, M., & Loewy, J. (2023). Assessing HeartSong as a  
918 Neonatal Music Therapy Intervention. *Advances in Neonatal Care*, 23, 264 -  
919 271. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ANC.0000000000001068>
- 920 EFCNI, Sizun, J., Hallberg, B., et al. (2018). European Standards of Care for  
921 Newborn Health: Management of the acoustic environment. European  
922 Foundation for the Care of Newborn Infants. Retrieved from [https://newborn-](https://newborn-health-standards.org)  
923 [health-standards.org](https://newborn-health-standards.org)
- 924 Fancourt, D., & Perkins, R. (2018). The effects of mother–infant singing on  
925 emotional closeness, affect, anxiety, and stress hormones. *Music & Science*,  
926 1. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2059204317745746>
- 927 Fant, G. (1966). A note on vocal tract size factors and non-uniform F-pattern  
928 scalings. *Speech Transmission Laboratory Quarterly Progress and Status*  
929 *Report*, 1, 22-30.
- 930 Field, T. (1995). Massage therapy for infants and children. *Journal of Developmental*  
931 *& Behavioral Pediatrics*, 16(2), 105-111.
- 932 Forcada-Guex, M., Pierrehumbert, B., Borghini, A., Moessinger, A., & Muller-Nix, C.  
933 (2006). Early dyadic patterns of mother–infant interactions and outcomes of  
934 prematurity at 18 months. *Pediatrics*, 118(1), e107-e114.
- 935 French, C., Pinnock, H., Forbes, G., Skene, I., & Taylor, S. J. (2020). Process  
936 evaluation within pragmatic randomised controlled trials: what is it, why is it  
937 done, and can we find it?—a systematic review. *Trials*, 21, 1-16.
- 938 Gao, R. (2016). Interpreting the electrophysiological power spectrum. *Journal of*  
939 *neurophysiology*, 115(2), 628-630.

- 940 Gebreheat, G., & Teame, H. (2022). Survival and mortality of preterm neonates in a  
941 neonatal intensive care unit in Northern Ethiopia: a retrospective cohort  
942 study. *Scientific reports*, 12(1), 600.
- 943 Gerhard, D. (2003). Pitch extraction and fundamental frequency: History and current  
944 techniques (pp. 0-22). Regina, SK, Canada: Department of Computer  
945 Science, University of Regina.
- 946 Gerhard, D. (2003). *Pitch extraction and fundamental frequency: History and current*  
947 *techniques* (pp. 0-22). Regina, Canada: Department of Computer Science,  
948 University of Regina.
- 949 Gerhard, D. (2003). Pitch extraction and fundamental frequency: History and current  
950 techniques (pp. 0-22). Regina, SK, Canada: Department of Computer  
951 Science, University of Regina.
- 952 Gerhardt, K. J., & Abrams, R. M. (2000). Fetal exposures to sound and vibroacoustic  
953 stimulation. *Journal of Perinatology*, 20(1), S21-S30.
- 954 Guidry-Jorgensen, S., Abroms, K. I., & Staffileno, B. A. (2011). The cognitive and  
955 emotional development of premature infants: A review of the literature.  
956 *Journal of Pediatric Nursing*, 26(3), 220-227.
- 957 Háden, G. P., Bouwer, F. L., Honing, H., & Winkler, I. (2024). Beat processing in  
958 newborn infants cannot be explained by statistical learning based on  
959 transition probabilities. *Cognition*, 243, 105670.
- 960 Haslbeck, F., & Bassler, D. (2020). Clinical Practice Protocol of Creative Music  
961 Therapy for Preterm Infants and Their Parents in the Neonatal Intensive Care  
962 Unit.. *Journal of visualized experiments : JoVE*, 155.  
963 <https://doi.org/10.3791/60412>.
- 964 Hirst, D., & De Looze, C. (2021). Fundamental frequency and pitch. In R.-A. Knight  
965 & J. Setter (Eds.), *The Cambridge handbook of phonetics* (pp. 336–361).  
966 Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108644198.014>

- 967 Hu, B. H., Guo, W., Wang, P. Y., Henderson, D., & Jiang, S. C. (2000). Intense  
968 noise-induced apoptosis in hair cells of guinea pig cochleae. *Acta oto-*  
969 *laryngologica*, 120(1), 19-24.
- 970 Iyendo, T. O. (2017). Sound as a supportive design intervention for improving health  
971 care experience in the clinical ecosystem: A qualitative study.  
972 *Complementary therapies in clinical practice*, 29, 58-96.
- 973 Kamio, T., Watanabe, K. I., & Okubo, K. (2012). Acoustic stimulation promotes DNA  
974 fragmentation in the Guinea pig cochlea. *Journal of Nippon Medical School*,  
975 79(5), 349-356.
- 976 Kapucu, F. E., Valkki, I., Mikkonen, J. E., Leone, C., Lenk, K., Tanskanen, J. M., &  
977 Hyttinen, J. A. (2016). Spectral entropy based neuronal network  
978 synchronization analysis based on microelectrode array  
979 measurements. *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience*, 10, 112.
- 980 Kobus, S., Diezel, M., Dewan, M. V., Huening, B., Dathe, A. K., Felderhoff-Mueser,  
981 U., & Bruns, N. (2021). Music Therapy Is Effective during Sleep in Preterm  
982 Infants. *International journal of environmental research and public health*,  
983 18(16), 8245. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18168245>
- 984 Kuo, D., McAllister, J., Rossignol, L., Turchi, R., & Stille, C. (2018). Care  
985 Coordination for Children With Medical Complexity: Whose Care Is It,  
986 Anyway?. *Pediatrics*, 141, S224 - S232. [https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2017-](https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2017-1284G)  
987 [1284G](https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2017-1284G)
- 988 Kvaratskhelia, N., Rurua, N., & Vadachkoria, S. G. (2023). Biomedical and  
989 Psychosocial Determinants of Early Neurodevelopment After Preterm Birth.  
990 *Global Pediatric Health*, 10, 2333794X231160366.
- 991 Latinus, M., & Taylor, M. J. (2012). Discriminating male and female voices:  
992 differentiating pitch and gender. *Brain topography*, 25, 194-204.

- 993 Lense, M. D., Shultz, S., Astésano, C., & Jones, W. (2022). Music of infant-directed  
994 singing entrains infants' social visual behavior. *Proceedings of the National*  
995 *Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 119(45),  
996 e2116967119. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2116967119>
- 997 Liu, Z., Zhang, Y., Liu, Y., & Li, L. (2023). The role and outcomes of music therapy  
998 during pregnancy: A systematic review of randomized controlled trials.  
999 *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology Research*, 49(6), 1035-1048.  
1000 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jog.15055>
- 1001 Loewy, J., Stewart, K., Dassler, A. M., Telsey, A., & Homel, P. (2013). The effects  
1002 of music therapy on vital signs, feeding, and sleep in premature infants.  
1003 *Pediatrics*, 131(5), 902-918.
- 1004 Mai, X., Xu, L., Li, M., Shao, J., Zhao, Z., deRegnier, R. A., Nelson, C. A., & Lozoff,  
1005 B. (2012). Auditory recognition memory in 2-month-old infants as assessed  
1006 by event-related potentials. *Developmental neuropsychology*, 37(5), 400–  
1007 414. <https://doi.org/10.1080/87565641.2011.650807>
- 1008 Mills, M., & Melhuish, E. (1974). Recognition of mother's voice in early  
1009 infancy. *Nature*, 252(5479), 123-124.
- 1010 Muller-Nix, C., Forcada-Guex, M., Pierrehumbert, B., Jaunin, L., Borghini, A., &  
1011 Ansermet, F. (2004). Prematurity, maternal stress and mother–child  
1012 interactions. *Early Human Development*, 79(2), 145-158.
- 1013 Newnham, C. A., Milgrom, J., & Skouteris, H. (2009). Effectiveness of a modified  
1014 mother–infant transaction program on outcomes for preterm infants from 3 to  
1015 24 months of age. *Infant behavior & development*, 32(1), 17-26.
- 1016 O'Toole, J. M., & Boylan, G. B. (2017). NEURAL: quantitative features for newborn  
1017 EEG using Matlab. arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.05694.



- 1018 Papatzikis, E. (2024) Neuroimaging-Guided Music Interventions for Infants in the  
1019 NICU, *JAMA Pediatrics*, 178(9): 853-854  
1020 <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2024.1886>
- 1021 Papatzikis, E., Agapaki, M., Naveena Selvan, R., Hanson-Abromeit, D., Gold, C.,  
1022 Epstein, S., ... & Pandey, V. (2024a). Music Medicine and Music Therapy in  
1023 Pediatric Care: A systematic review of passive music listening research  
1024 applications and findings on infant development and medical practice.  
1025 medRxiv, 2024-04.
- 1026 Papatzikis, E., Agapaki, M., Selvan, R., Pandey, V. & Zeba, F. (2023) Quality standards  
1027 and recommendations for research in music and neuroplasticity. *Annals of the New*  
1028 *York Academy of Sciences* 00, 1-14. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.14944>
- 1029 Papatzikis, E., Dimitropoulos, K., Gerdes, A., Tataropoulou, K., Pasoudi, E.,  
1030 Kyrtoudi, M., & Nika, A. (2024d). Advancing EEG processing and analysis  
1031 in neonatal music interventions: Introducing a specialized qEEG pipeline for  
1032 premature infants in NICU. *Proceedings of the FIT'NG 2024 Conference*,  
1033 Baltimore, MD, USA, Sept 25-26, 2024.
- 1034 Papatzikis, E., Dimitropoulos, K., Gerdes, A., Tataropoulou, K., Pasoudi, E.,  
1035 Kyrtoudi, M., & Nika, A. (2024b). Advancing EEG processing and analysis  
1036 in neonatal music interventions: Introducing a specialized qEEG pipeline for  
1037 premature infants in NICU. [Research poster]. School of Music Collage  
1038 Concert, University of Kansas, Lied Center, Lawrence, KS, United States.
- 1039 Papatzikis, E., Dimitropoulos, K., Tataropoulou, K., Kyrtoudi, M., & Nika, A.  
1040 (2024c). Advancing EEG processing and analysis in neonatal music  
1041 interventions: Introducing a specialized qEEG pipeline for premature infants  
1042 in the NICU. *Music & Medicine*, 16(3), 194.
- 1043 Pavlidis, E., Lloyd, R. O., Livingstone, V., O'Toole, J. M., Filan, P. M., Pisani, F., &  
1044 Boylan, G. B. (2020). A standardised assessment scheme for conventional  
1045 EEG in preterm infants. *Clinical Neurophysiology*, 131(1), 199-204.



- 1046 Pavlidis, E., Lloyd, R. O., Mathieson, S., & Boylan, G. B. (2017). A review of  
1047 important electroencephalogram features for the assessment of brain  
1048 maturation in premature infants. *Acta paediatrica*, 106(9), 1394-1408.
- 1049 Perani, D., Saccuman, M. C., Scifo, P., Spada, D., Andreolli, G., Rovelli, R., ... &  
1050 Koelsch, S. (2010). Functional specializations for music processing in the  
1051 human newborn brain. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*,  
1052 107(10), 4758-4763.
- 1053 Plack, C. J. (2005). Auditory perception. *Handbook of cognition*, 7198.
- 1054 Pölkki, T., & Korhonen, A. (2012). The effectiveness of music on pain among  
1055 preterm infants in the neonatal intensive care unit: a systematic review. *JBI*  
1056 *Evidence Synthesis*, 10(58), 4600-4609.
- 1057 Praskach, A. (2009). Nature, daylight and sound: A sensible environment for the  
1058 families, staff, and patients of neonatal intensive care units (Master's thesis).  
1059 University of South Florida. Available at  
1060 <https://scholarcommons.usf.edu/etd/2154>
- 1061 Qattea, I., Farghaly, M. A. A., Kattea, M. O., Abdula, N., Mohamed, M. A., & Aly, H.  
1062 (2022). Survival of infants born at periviable gestation: The US national  
1063 database. *Lancet regional health. Americas*, 14, 100330.  
1064 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lana.2022.100330>
- 1065 Ren, H., Zou, L., Wang, L., Lu, C., Yuan, Y., Dai, C., & Chen, W. (2021). Evaluation  
1066 of the short-term music therapy on brain functions of preterm infants using  
1067 functional near-infrared spectroscopy. *Frontiers in neurology*, 12, 649340.
- 1068 Reuter, C., Bartho-Doering, L., Czedik-Eysenberg, I., Maeder, M., Bertsch, M. A.,  
1069 Bibl, K., ... & Giordano, V. (2023). Living in a box: Understanding acoustic  
1070 parameters in the NICU environment. *Frontiers in Pediatrics*, 11, 1147226.
- 1071 Robertson, S. (2023). Scale in Acoustic Modelling (Doctoral dissertation, University  
1072 of Toronto (Canada)).

- 1073 Santos, J., Pearce, S. E., & Stroustrup, A. (2015). Impact of hospital-based  
1074 environmental exposures on neurodevelopmental outcomes of preterm  
1075 infants. *Current opinion in pediatrics*, 27(2), 254–260.  
1076 <https://doi.org/10.1097/MOP.000000000000190>
- 1077 Shoemark, H., Hanson-Abromeit, D., & Stewart, L. (2015). Constructing optimal  
1078 experience for the hospitalized newborn through neuro-based music therapy.  
1079 *Frontiers in human neuroscience*, 9, 487.
- 1080 Spence, M. J., & Freeman, M. S. (1996). Newborn infants prefer the maternal low-  
1081 pass filtered voice, but not the maternal whispered voice. *Infant Behavior and*  
1082 *Development*, 19(2), 199-212.
- 1083 Titze I. R. (1989). Physiologic and acoustic differences between male and female  
1084 voices. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 85(4), 1699–1707.  
1085 <https://doi.org/10.1121/1.397959>
- 1086 Trehub, S. E. (1987). Infants' perception of musical patterns. *Perception &*  
1087 *Psychophysics*, 41(6), 635-641.
- 1088 Treyvaud, K., Doyle, L. W., Lee, K. J., Roberts, G., Lim, J., Inder, T. E., & Anderson,  
1089 P. J. (2012). Social–emotional difficulties in very preterm and term 2-year-  
1090 olds predict specific social–emotional problems at the age of 5 years. *Journal*  
1091 *of pediatric psychology*, 37(7), 779-785.
- 1092 van't Westende, C., Geraedts, V. J., Van Ramesdonk, T., Dudink, J., Schoonmade,  
1093 L. J., Van der Knaap, M. S., ... & Van de Pol, L. A. (2022). Neonatal  
1094 quantitative electroencephalography and long-term outcomes: a systematic  
1095 review. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*, 64(4), 413-420.
- 1096 Vicencio, L. A., Ananthanarayana, R. M., Khudr, J., & Monson, B. B. (2023). Daily  
1097 sound level exposure for preterm infants in the neonatal intensive care unit.  
1098 *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 153(3\_supplement), A160-  
1099 A160.

- 1100 Vrticka, P., & Vuilleumier, P. (2012). Neuroscience of human social interactions and  
1101 adult attachment style. *Frontiers in human neuroscience*, 6, 212.
- 1102 Wallois, F., Routier, L., Heberlé, C., Mahmoudzadeh, M., Bourel-Ponchel, E., &  
1103 Moghimi, S. (2021). Back to basics: the neuronal substrates and mechanisms  
1104 that underlie the electroencephalogram in premature  
1105 neonates. *Neurophysiologie Clinique*, 51(1), 5-33.
- 1106 White, R. D., Smith, J. A., Shepley, M. M., et al. (2013). Recommended standards  
1107 for newborn ICU design, eighth edition. *Journal of Perinatology*, 33(Suppl 1),  
1108 S2–S16. <https://doi.org/10.1038/jp.2013.10>
- 1109 World Health Organization. (2022). Preterm birth. Retrieved from  
1110 <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/preterm-birth>
- 1111 Yakobson, D., Gold, C., Beck, B. D., Elefant, C., Bauer-Rusek, S., & Arnon, S.  
1112 (2021). Effects of Live Music Therapy on Autonomic Stability in Preterm  
1113 Infants: A Cluster-Randomized Controlled Trial. *Children* (Basel,  
1114 Switzerland), 8(11), 1077. <https://doi.org/10.3390/children8111077>
- 1115 Zhang, S., & He, C. (2023). Effect of the sound of the mother's heartbeat combined  
1116 with white noise on heart rate, weight, and sleep in premature infants: a  
1117 retrospective comparative cohort study. *Annals of Palliative Medicine*, 12(1),  
1118 11120-11120.
- 1119



<https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.29.24314570> is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

Figure 3

Q-Q Plot of Residuals (Reference: Elena & Silence 1)




Figure 4

Interaction Plot of Delta Spectral Power by Condition and Facilitator




Figure 5



Mean Spectral Entropy by Facilitator and Condition






Figure 6

Figure 1. Schedule of enrolment, intervention and assessment

	STUDY PERIOD						
	Enrolment	Allocation	Post-allocation				Close-out
TIMEPOINT**	-1 or 2days	0	day <sub>1</sub>	day <sub>2</sub>	day <sub>3</sub>	day <sub>4</sub>	t <sub>x</sub>
ENROLMENT:							
Eligibility screen	X						
Informed consent	X						
Allocation		X					
INTERVENTIONS:							
[Music Intervention]							
[No intervention]							
ASSESSMENTS:							
Baseline variables: Inclusion Criteria	X						
EEG Recording			X	X	X	X	

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.29.24314570>; this version posted September 30, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.






Figure 2