The causal connections between gut microbiota and chronic pain and pain phenotypes through the gut-brain axis: A Mendelian randomization study and mediation analysis

Jia Chen[#ab], MD, Xuemei Luo[#ab], BD, Luyao Wang[ab], MD, Yiping Luo[ab], MD, Xia Deng[ab], MD, Yuan Tian[ab], MD, Qiao Lu[ab], BD, Genwen Sun[ab], MD, Michael Maes[* ab], MD, PhD, Xu Zhang[*ab], PhD

a:Sichuan Provincial Center for Mental Health, Sichuan Provincial People's Hospital, School of Medicine, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu 610072, China.

b:Key Laboratory of Psychosomatic Medicine, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Chengdu, 610072, China.

#:Co-first author

*:First Corresponding author (Xu Zhang)

Email:26867455@qq.com

* : Co-Corresponding author (Michael Maes)

Email:dr.michaelmaes@hotmail.com

Author contributions

Xu Zhang, Michael Maes, Xuemei Luo, and Jia Chen contributed to study design, data acquisition and analysis, manuscript drafting and revision,

and the supervision of the research. Luyao Wang, Yiping Luo, Yuan Tian and Xia Deng contributed to the data analysis and manuscript revision, Qiao Lu and Genwen Sun contributed to the manuscript revision. All authors reviewed and approved the paper for publication.

Acknowledgements

We wish to acknowledge the participants and investigators of the UK Biobank, FINRISK 2002 study, Enhancing NeuroImaging Genetics through Meta-Analysis Consortium, the Integrative Epidemiology Unit (IEU, https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/), the GWAS Catalog (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/).

Funding

No funding was received for this research.

Declaration of competing interest

None declared.

Competing interests

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

45

46 Data availability

47 All relevant data are within the manuscript and its Supplementary

48 Material.

49

50

Abstract

Recent studies have increasingly highlighted a potential link between gut microbiota (GM) and chronic pain. However, existing research has yielded mixed results, leaving many questions unanswered. We employed a two-sample Mendelian randomization design to investigate whether GM directly influences multisite chronic pain (MCP) and eight phenotypes. We then used a combination of meta-analysis, genetic colocalization analysis, and Bayesian weighted mendelian randomization to further strengthen the evidence for causal relationship. Additionally, we examined how GM might be associated with human brain structures. Finally, we used mediation analysis to explore whether the structure and function of specific brain regions play a role in the relationship between GM and MCP and the pain phenotypes.

We observed a significant causal association between 13 GM and chronic pain. Eight GM protected against pain phenotypes, whereas seven increased risk. The results indicate that GM could potentially affect the area, thickness, and volume of brain region cortices involved in sensory, emotional, and cognitive aspects of pain. Importantly, our findings indicated that the causal effect between the Genus *Odoribacter* and neck/shoulder pain could be mediated by the mean optical density in the left Fornix cres+Stria terminalis. In conclusion, different pain phenotypes were causally associated with different GM among European ancestry.

Core GM may influence chronic pain by affecting complex brain networks. Notably, we constructed a basic gut-brain axis model for neck/shoulder pain, involving the Genus *Odoribacter*, left Fornix cres+Stria terminalis, and neck/shoulder pain.

Key words: chronic pain, pain phenotype, Gut microbiota, Gut-brain axis, Brain imaging, metagenomics

Introduction

Chronic pain (CP) is a major public health issue with significant global attention. About 30% global population experiences CP [34]. While various causes exist, CP can be idiopathic (with unknown origin) or difficult to categorize pathophysiologically [82]. Notably, CP shows a genetic link, with pain phenotypes exhibiting heritability [49].

The gut microbiota (GM) is the human body's most complex and densely populated microbial ecosystem [33,76]. Recent research highlights its emerging role in the pathophysiology and regulation of pain [62-63]. The gut-brain axis (GBA) is a complex network of communication channels between the gut and brain [66], disruptions in which might be linked to neurological diseases including pain [27, 66].

Preclinical studies have demonstrated a link between GM and visceral pain [25,53]. Probiotics can lessen visceral pain [80] and might even affect the development of brain regions involved in pain processing [45]. Animal studies further suggest that GM influences various chronic pain conditions, including pain caused by inflammation and nerve damage [40, 62]. Other findings hint at a possible connection to nociceptive pain, complex regional pain syndrome, and headaches [50]. This suggests that GM might directly or indirectly regulate neuronal excitability in the peripheral nervous system [61-62, 74].

Despite these findings, the causal effect of GM on pain remains unclear

due to potential biases. Mendelian randomization (MR) has been employed to investigate the relationship between GM and specific pain conditions, including colorectal cancer [39], irritable bowel syndrome [42], intervertebral disc degeneration [22], osteoarthritis [38,94], ankylosing spondylitis [90], and back pain [29,78]. Not only are the conclusions of the above-mentioned studies inconsistent, but also pain with unclear pathophysiology is more common in clinical practice. This underscores the need to examine pain phenotypes.

While the microbiota's role in pain is gaining traction, many questions remain unanswered. In chronic pain, key questions include whether the GM plays a role in chronic pain and different pain phenotypes? How can GBA models be constructed for chronic pain and different pain phenotypes? MR can analyze the potential causal relationship between GM and pain by using genetic variations as instrumental variables (IVs) [37]. Furthermore, mediation analysis [67] can help decompose the impact of GM on pain.

In this study, we aimed to (1) identify potential positive GM affecting multisite chronic pain (MCP) and eight pain phenotypes, (2) analyze the effect of potential positive GM on brain structure, and (3) develop a basic GBA model for MCP and pain phenotypes to provide practical suggestions for clinical practice.

Materials and methods

1.1 Study design

This study investigated the potential cause-and-effect link between GM and chronic pain using a two-sample MR approach. We utilized data on four relevant GM features and assessed their association with both MCP and eight specific pain phenotypes. We further evaluated the relationship between positive exposure and outcomes using meta-analysis and genetic colocalization analysis. Subsequently, we examined the relationship between potential positive GM and brain imaging-derived phenotypes, and brain structure. Finally, we explored whether the structure and function of specific brain regions impacted the relationship between positive GM and MCP, as well as the eight pain phenotypes, through mediation analysis.

1. Data sources and instruments

To minimize bias caused by sample overlap, we obtained exposure (GM) and outcome (MCP and pain phenotype) data from independent sources. Table 1 summarizes the data sources and sample sizes. Ethical approval for the original studies was publicly confirmed, and this analysis did not require separate ethical approval.

2.1 MCP

We obtained data on MCP from the UK Biobank Consortium, a large collection of genetic and health information. This database includes genome-wide association studies (GWAS) for a large population of European ancestry (387,649 individuals) [31]. MCP referred to chronic pain lasting 3 months or more in the head, face, neck/shoulder, back, stomach/abdomen, hip, and knee.

2.2 Eight pain phenotypes

Data on eight individual pain conditions were collected from UK Biobank participants (ranging from 151,922 to 226,683 participants depending on the specific pain type) [49]. A validated questionnaire was utilized to assess participants' experiences with pain in the past month that impacted their daily activities, including pain in the head, face, neck/shoulder pain, back, stomach/abdomen, hips, knees, and all over the body. We excluded participants who chose "prefer not to say" and focused on pain in seven specific locations (head, neck/shoulder, back, abdomen, face, knee, and hip). Individuals who reported pain in a specific location were classified as cases, regardless of other pain selections. Participants who reported "none of the above" were classified as controls. We also included "pain all over the body" as a potential indicator of chronic widespread pain, which is a distinct condition from localized chronic pain.

2.3 GM

Data 1: MiBioGen consortium study (14,306 Europeans): This study used 16S rRNA gene sequencing and analyzed 211 microbial taxa (9 phyla, 16 classes, 20 orders, 35 families, 131 genera) from European participants[36].

Data 2: German single-country GWAS study (8,956 Germans): This study analyzed gut bacteria using 16S rRNA gene sequencing[65]. We examined 233 different bacterial groups (4 phyla, 8 classes, 6 orders, 10 families, 29 genera; 65, 62, and 49 at 97% OTU, 99% OTU, and ASV levels) to understand their abundance. Additionally, we analyzed the presence or absence of 198 bacterial features (2 classes, 1 order, 2 families, 17 genera; 65, 62, and 49 on 97% OTU, 99% OTU, and ASV levels), based on taxonomic annotation or ASV/OTU clustering.

Data 3: Dutch Microbiome Project study (7,738 individuals): This study used shotgun metagenomic sequencing[44] and analyzed 207 microbial taxa (5 phyla, 10 classes, 13 orders, 26 families, 48 genera, and 105 species) and 205 functional pathways.

Data 4: FINRISK 2002 study (5,959 individuals): This study used shotgun metagenomic sequencing [60] and analyzed 471 distinct Genome Taxonomy Database (GTDB) taxa (11 phyla, 19 classes, 24 orders, 62 families, 146 genera, and 209 species).

2.4 Brain

2.4.1 Brain imaging-derived phenotypes

Summary statistics for brain imaging-derived (BID) phenotypes were obtained from a large GWAS conducted by Smith et al. [70] using data from the UK Biobank, which included 39,691 European individuals. This dataset comprises nearly 4,000 BID phenotypes encompassing brain structure, function, connectivity, and microstructure.

2.4.2 Human brain cortical data

We leveraged brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) data from a large-scale analysis (genome-wide association meta-analysis) involving over 51,000 individuals of European descent across 60 separate studies. This analysis was conducted by a research group within the Enhancing NeuroImaging Genetics through Meta-Analysis (ENIGMA) Consortium. The study focused on two key aspects of the brain's outer layer (cortex): the total surface area (SA) and average thickness (TH). Additionally, they examined 34 specific regions within the cortex known to have distinct functions, as defined by the Desikan-Killiany atlas [24]. For further details, please refer to the original ENIGMA study. In our analysis, we specifically used data on the SA and TH of these brain regions, accounting for overall brain size and genetic background.

2.4.3 Brain-wide volumes

Researchers developed a technique called genomic principal component analysis (PCA), which allowed them to analyze brain scans and identify general underlying patterns in brain structures (morphometry) across the entire brain; the analysis takes into account the potential underlying genetic influences [21]. This technique was applied to genetic data associated with 83 distinct brain volume measurements in over 36,000 UK Biobank participants. Unlike traditional methods that focus on genetic similarity between individuals, Genomic PCA identifies key genetic components that capture the overall variation in brain volume across different brain regions.

3. Genetic variants selection criteria

We identified genetic variations (single nucleotide polymorphisms, SNPs) that strongly influence GM using strict criteria, including a very low P-value ($<1\times10^{-5}$) [78], a 10000-kb window around a leading SNP, and minimal linkage disequilibrium (LD, $r^2 < 0.001$, kb=10000) with other nearby SNPs to minimize confounding effects. Additionally, the chosen SNPs had a minor allele frequency (MAF) > 0.01 to ensure adequate statistical power. After this initial filtering, we further ensured that the variations were reflective of the effects of exposure on outcome; any SNPs with F-statistics < 10 or failure to harmonize, including those

harboring incompatible alleles or palindromic characteristics with intermediate allele frequencies, were excluded. Correct inference direction from exposure to outcome was identified using the Steiger filtering approach, to lessen the influence of reverse association. Finally, we used the NHGRI-EBI Catalog (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/) to retrieve these SNPs and excluded SNPs related to confounding factors and outcomes (education, living habits, smoking, diet) [97]. Figure 1 summarizes this selection process.

4. Statistical analyses

We used R version 4.2.0 for all statistical analyses. We employed various statistical methodologies to evaluate the causal influence of GM on chronic pain phenotypes. Commonly used approaches included the multiplicative random effects–inverse-variance weighted (MRE-IVW) [56], weighted mode (WM) [26], weighted median (WME) [8], and MR-Egger regression [7]. Additionally, we incorporated more recent methods like contamination mixture (ConMix) [14], MR-robust adjusted profile score (MR-RAPS)[96], debiased inverse-variance weighted (dIVW) method [94], and cML-MA [93] to enhance the robustness of our findings. False discovery rate (FDR) correction was applied to strengthen the statistical power of the results. Given the potential bias in IVW estimation when pleiotropic IVs were utilized [13], several sensitivity

analyses were conducted to address pleiotropy in the causal estimates, including Cochran's Q statistic for heterogeneity (P>0.05) [9], MR-Egger regression for horizontal pleiotropy [7]and its intercept term (P>0.05), MR-PRESSO [84] for further evaluation of horizontal pleiotropy, leave-one-out analysis to evaluate the influence of individual SNPs on the observed associations, and power calculations using a non-centrality parameter-based approach [11] and publicly available mRnd web tool (http://cnsgenomics.com/shiny/mRnd/). Any results with evidence of confounding by pleiotropy were excluded (Online Methods). We also limited our analysis to pairs of variables supported by at least three genetic variants.

We used meta-analysis to identify the positive GM, which were then subjected to mediation analysis. To verify whether the screened positive GM and pain phenotype share the same causal variation in a given region (50 kb above and below each SNP), we employed genetic colocalization analysis (coloc). Furthermore, Bayesian weighted MR (BWMR) was implemented to strengthen the evidence for a causal relationship between the identified positive GM and pain phenotypes [95]. We also examined the impact of these potential positive GM on specific brain regions within the cerebral cortex.

To assess the potential mediating role of the intermediary effect (IE) on the relationship between the exposure (a) and the outcome (c'), we

conducted a mediation analysis using an interactive mediation framework and Sobel tests (http://quantpsy.org/sobel/sobel.htm) (Figure 1(b-2). The IVW method was employed to estimate the causal effects, including IE (a*b), direct effect (DE; c' - (a*b)), and total effect (TE; c'). Statistical significance was determined by a two-sided P-value threshold of 0.05.

We employed FDR correction to account for multiple comparisons when evaluating statistical significance. Associations with an FDR of <0.05 were considered statistically significant. However, we expanded the p-value of the meta-analysis to 0.1 when no p-value was less than 0.05. We acknowledge the exploratory nature of this study and report both raw P and FDR values.

5 Results

5.1 Preliminary results of MR: GM on MCP and eight pain phenotypes

5.1.1 GM on MCP

We observed that 4 taxa (2 orders and 2 families) were related to MCP in Data 1, 8 taxa (2 genera, 2 OTU97, 2 OTU99, and 2 TestASV) were related to MCP in Data 2, 11 taxa (1 phylum, 1 class, 1 order, 2 families, 2 genera, and 4 species) were related to MCP in Data 3, and 18 taxa (1 class, 2 orders, 1 family, 7 genera, and 7 unclassified) were related to MCP in Data 4. (see Supplementary 1)

5.1.2 GM on Headache

We observed that 7 taxa (1 phylum, 1 class, 1 order, 2 families, and 2 genera) were related to headache in Data 1, 9 taxa (1 class, 1 order, 1 family, 1 OTU97, 1 OTU99, and 4 TestASV) were related to headache in Data 2, 7 taxa (1 order, 1 family, 2 genera, and 3 species) were related to headache in Data 3, and 17 taxa (1 order, 2 families, 6 genera, 2 species, and 6 unclassified) were related to headache in Data 4.(see Supplementary 2)

5.1.3 GM on Facial Pain

We observed that 6 taxa (1 order, 2 families, and 3 genera) were related to facial pain in Data 1, 9 taxa (1 genus, 4 OTU97, 3 OTU99, and 1 TestASV) were related to facial pain in Data 2, 5 taxa (1 family, 1 genus, and 3 species) were related to facial pain in Data 3, and 22 taxa (2 phyla, 1 order, 2 families, 9 genera, 2 species, and 7 unclassified) were related to facial pain in Data 4. (see Supplementary 3)

5.1.4 GM on Neck/Shoulder Pain

We observed that 4 taxa (1 phylum, 2 families, and 1 genus) were related to neck/shoulder pain in Data 1, 5 taxa (1 class, 1 family, 1 OTU97, 1 OTU99, and 1 TestASV) were related to neck/shoulder pain in Data 2, 5 taxa (1 class, 1 order, 1 family, 1 genus, and 1 species) were related to

neck/shoulder pain in Data 3, and 20 taxa (1 family, 10 genera, 2 species, and 7 unclassified) were related to neck/shoulder pain in Data 4.(see Supplementary 4)

5.1.5 GM on Abdominal Pain

We observed that 8 taxa (1 class, 2 orders, 2 families, and 3 genera) were related to abdominal pain in Data 1, 8 taxa (1 class, 1 genus, 3 OTU97, 2 OTU99, and 1 TestASV) were related to abdominal pain in Data 2, 3 taxa (3 species) were related to abdominal pain in Data 3, and 19 taxa (2 orders, 1 family, 9 genera, 3 species, and 4 unclassified) were related to abdominal pain in Data 4. (see Supplementary 5)

5.1.6 GM on Back Pain

We observed that 5 taxa (1 phylum, 1 class, 1 order, and 2 genera) were related to back pain in Data 1, 6 taxa (1 family, 1 genus, 2 OTU97, and 2 OTU99) were related to back pain in Data 2, 3 taxa (1 order, 1 family, and 1 species) were related to back pain in Data 3, and 16 taxa (2 classes, 2 families, 7 genera, 1 species, and 4 unclassified) were related to back pain in Data 4. (see Supplementary 6)

5.1.7 GM on Hip Pain

We observed that 7 taxa (1 family and 6 genera) were related to hip pain

in Data 1, 8 taxa (3 OTU97, 3 OTU99, and 2 TestASV) were related to hip pain in Data 2, 8 taxa (1 family, 4 genera, and 3 species) were related to hip pain in Data 3, and 17 taxa (2 orders, 2 families, 8 genera, and 5 unclassified) were related to hip pain in Data 4. (see Supplementary 7)

5.1.8 GM on Knee Pain

We observed that 11 taxa (2 phyla, 2 classes, 3 orders, and 4 genera) were related to knee pain in Data 1, 3 taxa (3 OTU99) were related to knee pain in Data 2, 5 taxa (1 family and 4 genera) were related to knee pain in Data 3, and 21 taxa (2 orders, 5 families, 9 genera, and 5 unclassified) were related to knee pain in Data 4. (see Supplementary 8)

5.1.9 GM on Pain All Over the Body

We observed that 5 taxa (5 genera) were related to pain all over the body in Data 1, 11 taxa (1 class, 1 order, 1 family, 2 OTU97, 5 OTU99, and 1 TestASV) were related to pain all over the body in Data 2, 10 taxa (1 class, 2 orders, 3 families, 2 genera, and 2 species) were related to pain all over the body in Data 3, and 21 taxa (11 genera, 3 species, and 7 unclassified) were related to pain all over the body in Data 4. (see Supplementary 9)

After adjusting the p-values by FDR, Genus *Odoribacter* was still significantly associated with neck/shoulder pain (P_{IVW} = 1.203×10^-4,

368 P_{FDR} = 0.048).

369

370 5.2 Results of meta-analysis: GM on MCP and eight pain phenotypes

371 In the second step, we conducted a meta-analysis based on the
372 preliminary results from Section 5.1 in this exploratory study (see Table 2,
373 Figure 2 and 3).

374 **MCP**: The abundance of Genus *Adlercreutzia* (P_{IVW} = 3.71E-02, PMeta =
375 2.24E-02) was positively associated with MCP, while that of Phylum
376 *Verrucomicrobia* (P_{IVW} = 1.90E-02, P_{Meta} = 1.12E-02) was negatively
377 associated with MCP.

378 **Headache**: The abundance of Phylum *Verrucomicrobia* (P_{IVW} = 2.02E-02,
379 P_{Meta} = 2.32E-02) was negatively associated with headache.

380 **Facial Pain**: The abundances of Genus *Alistipes* (P_{IVW} = 1.17E-02, P_{Meta} =
381 4.68E-02) and Genus *Bifidobacterium* (P_{IVW} = 1.43E-02, P_{Meta} = 4.48E-02)
382 were positively associated with facial pain.

383 **Neck/Shoulder Pain**: The abundances of Class *Alphaproteobacteria*
384 (P_{IVW} = 4.92E-02, P_{Meta} = 1.17E-02) and Genus *Odoribacter* (P_{IVW} =
385 1.20E-04, P_{Meta} = 5.54E-05) were negatively associated with
386 neck/shoulder pain.

387 **Abdominal Pain**: The abundances of Class *Gammaproteobacteria* (P_{IVW}
388 = 3.10E-02, P_{Meta} = 1.95E-02) and Species *Eubacterium eligens* (P_{IVW} =
389 3.72E-02, P_{Meta} = 1.18E-02) were positively associated with abdominal

pain, while that of Genus *Alistipes* (P_{IVW} = 3.46E-02, P_{Meta} = 3.71E-02) was negatively associated with abdominal pain.

Back Pain: The abundance of Order *Bifidobacteriales* (P_{IVW} = 3.99E-02, P_{Meta} = 4.83E-02) was positively associated with back pain.

Pain All Over the Body: The abundance of Genus *Ruminococcus2* (P_{IVW} = 1.46E-03, P_{Meta} = 2.81E-03) was positively associated with pain all over the body, while Genus *Desulfovibrio* (P_{IVW} = 7.01E-03, P_{Meta} = 1.49E-02) was negatively associated with pain all over the body.

In this exploratory study, we expanded the P-value threshold for the meta-analysis to 0.1. The following associations were observed:

Back Pain: The abundance of Family *Prevotellaceae* (P_{IVW} = 1.15E-02, P_{Meta} = 5.20E-02) was negatively associated with back pain.

Hip Pain: The abundance of Genus *Subdoligranulum* (P_{IVW} = 4.09E-02, P_{Meta} = 8.41E-02) was negatively associated with hip pain.

Knee Pain: The abundance of Genus *Dialister* (P_{IVW} = 3.94E-02, PMeta = 7.69E-02) was negatively associated with knee pain.

5.3 Results of BWMR and coloc analysis: GM on MCP and eight pain phenotypes

To further strengthen the causal inference between the identified GM features and chronic pain phenotypes, we employed both BWMR and colocalization analyses. 13 positive GMs were still causally related to

MCP and eight pain phenotypes in BWMR, except for Class *Alphaproteobacteria* on neck/shoulder pain (P_{BWMR} = 5.73E-02) (see Table 2).

The PP.H4.abf values of the 13 positive GMs ranged from 0.001691934 to 0.055804256. No data were found in the target region for the remaining three positive GMs. Colocalization analysis findings further strengthened the causal relationship between positive GM and MCP and eight pain phenotypes (See Table 2 and 3, Figure 3 and 4).

5.4 Results of MR: GM on BID phenotypes and human cerebral cortex

5.4.1 GM on BID Phenotypes (see Supplementary 10)

5.4.1.1 Data 1

Genus *Desulfovibrio*: Its abundance was positively associated with the rostral middle frontal area in the right hemisphere (P_{IVW} = 3.79E-02) and negatively associated with rfMRI connectivity (ICA100 edge 1064) (P_{IVW} = 3.47E-02), for which detailed information was not found.

Genus *Ruminococcus2*: Its abundance was positively associated with the putamen volume in the right hemisphere (P_{IVW} = 2.94E-02), insula volume in the left (P_{IVW} = 3.19E-02) and right hemispheres (P_{IVW} = 4.72E-02), and G+S-occipital-inf area in the right hemisphere (P_{IVW} = 2.17E-02).

5.4.1.2 Data 2

Class *Gammaproteobacteria*: Its abundance was positively associated with the medial geniculate nucleus (MGN) volume in the right hemisphere (P_{IVW} = 1.03E-02) and negatively associated with the S-intrapariet+P-trans volume in the left hemisphere (P_{IVW} = 4.87E-02), as well as positively associated with connectivity ICA100 edge 819 (P_{IVW} = 4.90E-02) and negatively associated with connectivity ICA100 edge 1063 (P_{IVW} = 2.89E-02), for which detailed information was not found.

Family *Prevotellaceae*: Its abundance was positively associated with the weighted mean L1 in trace left posterior thalamic radiation (P_{IVW} = 1.67E-02).

5.4.1.3 Data 3

Genus *Odoribacter*: Its abundance was positively associated with mean OD in the Fornix crescent+stria terminalis (left) (P_{IVW} = 1.49E-04).

Genus *Subdoligranulum*: Its abundance was positively associated with the weighted mean L2 in trace right cingulate gyrus part cingulum (P_{IVW} = 3.00E-02).

Genus *Dialister*: Its abundance was negatively associated with the mean TH of G-pariet-inf-Supramar in the left hemisphere (P_{IVW} = 4.79E-02).

Order *Bifidobacteriales*: Its abundance was negatively associated with the hippocampal tail volume in the right hemisphere (P_{IVW} = 3.11E-03).

5.4.1.4 Data 4

Genus *Alistipes*: Its abundance was positively associated with the

456 weighted mean diffusion tensor mode (MO) in the left superior
457 longitudinal fasciculus (P_{IVW} = 3.01E-02) and mean OD in the Fornix
458 crescent+stria terminalis (right) on fractional anisotropy (FA) skeleton
459 (P_{IVW} = 4.94E-02) and negatively associated with the mean TH of the
460 posterior cingulate in the left hemisphere (P_{IVW} = 1.49E-02), mean MO in
461 the inferior cerebellar peduncle (left) on FA skeleton (P_{IVW} = 4.72E-02),
462 corticoamygdaloid transition volume in the right hemisphere (P_{IVW} =
463 1.12E-03), and medial orbitofrontal cortex volume in the left hemisphere
464 (P_{IVW} = 4.02E-03).

465 **Genus *Bifidobacterium***: Its abundance was positively associated with
466 connectivity (ICA100 edge 405) (P_{IVW} = 2.31E-02), for which detailed
467 information was not found, and negatively associated with the mean TH
468 of the parstriangularis (P_{IVW} = 1.69E-03) and S-circular-insula-sup (P_{IVW}
469 = 4.09E-03) in the left hemisphere; mean TH of the G-insular-short in the
470 right hemisphere (P_{IVW} = 3.36E-03); mean OD in the body of the corpus
471 callosum (P_{IVW} = 3.06E-02) and Fornix crescent+stria terminalis (right)
472 on the FA skeleton (P_{IVW} = 4.47E-02); HATA volume (P_{IVW} = 5.89E-03)
473 and area of isthmus cingulate (P_{IVW} = 2.66E-03) in the left hemisphere;
474 G-front-middle volume (P_{IVW} = 4.58E-02), S-circular-insula-inf volume
475 (P_{IVW} = 4.85E-02), and area of superior temporal (P_{IVW} = 2.95E-02) in the
476 right hemisphere; and rfMRI amplitudes (ICA100 node 27) (P_{IVW} =
477 5.89E-03), for which detailed information was not found.

478

479 5.4.2 GM on the Human Cerebral Cortex (see Supplementary 11)

480 5.4.2.1 Data 1

481 **Genus *Desulfovibrio***: Its abundance was positively associated with mean

482 SA of the paracentral (P_{IVW} = 4.57E-02) and mean TH of the frontal pole

483 (PIVW = 2.60E-02) and negatively associated with mean SA of the

484 superior parietal (P_{IVW} = 3.48E-02).

485 **Genus *Ruminococcus2***: Its abundance was positively associated with

486 mean SA of the bankssts (P_{IVW} = 3.83E-02) and fusiform (P_{IVW} =

487 1.61E-02).

488 **Phylum *Verrucomicrobia***: Its abundance was negatively associated with

489 mean SA of the lateral orbitofrontal (P_{IVW} = 3.04E-02).

490 5.4.2.2 Data 2

491 **Class *Gammaproteobacteria***: Its abundance was positively associated

492 with mean SA of the bankssts (P_{IVW} = 4.80E-02), paracentral (P_{IVW} =

493 4.47E-02), posterior cingulate (P_{IVW} = 1.97E-03), and precentral (P_{IVW} =

494 2.27E-02) and negatively associated with the mean TH of the isthmus

495 cingulate (P_{IVW} = 4.04E-02).

496 **Family *Prevotellaceae***: Its abundance was negatively associated with

497 mean TH of the inferior parietal (P_{IVW} = 2.33E-03).

498 5.4.2.3 Data 3

499 **Genus *Odoribacter***: Its abundance was positively associated with the

mean TH of lateral occipital (P_{IVW} = 3.22E-02) and negatively associated with the mean TH of superior frontal (P_{IVW} = 3.46E-02).

Genus *Subdoligranulum*: Its abundance was negatively associated with the mean TH of the rostral middle frontal cortex (P_{IVW} = 4.19E-02).

Genus *Dialister*: Its abundance was positively associated with the mean TH of middle temporal (P_{IVW} = 4.16E-02) and negatively associated with the mean SA of inferior parietal (P_{IVW} = 4.91E-02) and precentral (P_{IVW} = 4.46E-02).

Species *E. eligens*: Its abundance was positively associated with the mean SA of posterior cingulate (P_{IVW} = 3.02E-02).

Phylum *Verrucomicrobia*: Its abundance was positively associated with global full SA (P_{IVW} = 4.83E-02) and the mean SA of caudal middle frontal (P_{IVW} = 3.77E-02), insula (P_{IVW} = 8.68E-03), superior temporal (P_{IVW} = 3.40E-02), and TH of postcentral (P_{IVW} = 2.87E-02) and rostral anterior cingulate (P_{IVW} = 2.91E-02) and negatively associated with the mean SA of pericalcarine (P_{IVW} = 3.32E-02).

Genus *Adlercreutzia*: Its abundance was positively associated with the mean SA of lateral occipital (P_{IVW} = 3.39E-02).

5.4.2.4 Data 4

Genus *Alistipes*: Its abundance was positively associated with the mean SA of lateral occipital (P_{IVW} = 2.61E-02) and negatively associated with the mean SA of fusiform (P_{IVW} = 1.66E-03) and postcentral (P_{IVW} =

4.62E-02).

Genus *Bifidobacterium*: Its abundance was positively associated with the mean TH of posterior cingulate (P_{IVW} = 4.32E-02) and negatively associated with the mean SA of supramarginal (P_{IVW} = 1.83E-03) and transverse temporal (P_{IVW} = 7.67E-03).

5.4.2 GM on 83 Brain Regions (see Supplementary 12)

Class *Gammaproteobacteria*: Its abundance was negatively associated with the left superior parietal volume (P_{IVW} = 3.07E-02).

Genus *Bifidobacterium*: Its abundance was negatively associated with the right caudal middle frontal volume (P_{IVW} = 1.97E-02). No causal effects were observed for the other 13 genetic predispositions on 83 brain regions because their P_{IVW}s were >0.05.

5.5 Results of mediation analysis

5.5.1 GM on MCP and eight pain phenotypes (See Table 4, Fig 5, Supplementary 13)

Finally, we conducted a mediation analysis to explore the primary mediating GBA model of MCP and eight pain phenotypes based on Sections 5.2 and 5.3. Our findings indicate that the causal effect between Genus *Odoribacter* and neck/shoulder pain could be mediated by mean OD in Fornix cres + Stria terminalis (left) (Table 4). For Genus *Odoribacter* - mean OD in Fornix cres+Stria terminalis (left) -

neck/shoulder pain, TE = -0.020, IE = -0.003, DE = -0.017 (P = 0.027), IE_div_TE = 0.140 (0.013-0.267) (see Figure 5).

6. Discussion

6.1. GM and pain phenotypes

This study is the first to analyze the causal association of GM with MCP and eight pain phenotypes by MR, incorporating human brain structures. Furthermore, we were able to establish a GBA model linking Genus *Odoribacter* to neck/shoulder pain. We identified 13 potential relevant GM. Importantly, different pain phenotypes were causally associated with specific GM. Seven positive and eight negative causal associations were found, among which Genus *Odoribacter* as a protective GM for neck/shoulder pain needs to be emphasized.

Previous MR studies did not identify GM overlapping with those within our study, except for Family *Prevotellaceae*. This discrepancy may stem from previous studies predominantly focusing on specific pain-related diseases as outcomes, while our study examined pain phenotypes. Notably, our study suggests that Family *Prevotellaceae* provides protection against back pain, whereas previous MR studies reported it increases the risk of low back pain [23,78]. This difference could be attributed to variations in study outcomes and methodologies; previous studies often utilized single GM databases, whereas we incorporated four

different GM databases and conducted a meta-analysis.

Meanwhile, our results suggest Phylum *Verrucomicrobia* and Genus *Dialister* are protective factors for CP, while Genus *Adlercreutzia* is risk factor, consistent with previous observational studies [18,23,30,40-41,85]. Despite variations in associated pain sites, this reinforces the potential role of these GMs in pain pathogenesis. Conversely, previous observational studies involving Genus *Desulfovibrio*, Genus *Subdoligranulum*, and Genus *Odoribacter* have yielded inconsistent results [17,23,86-88]. Our study's findings may be more compelling due to its ability to establish causation, which observational studies cannot confirm definitively as they often have small sample sizes.

Interestingly, despite its probiotic properties in inhibiting pain behaviors [55], Genus *Bifidobacterium* was found to increase the risk of facial pain in our study, aligning with previous inconsistent findings [23,54,59]. Similarly, Genus *Adlercreutzia*, recognized for its health benefits [10], has been associated with back pain [18] and identified as a risk factor for MCP in our study. A recent attempt to use *Bifidobacterium* in treating neuropathic pain was unsuccessful [28], suggesting that the effects of symbionts may be complicated through interactions with pathobionts that are associated with pain conditions. The role of GM in CP is complex, possibly involving differential effects within microbial networks [10]. Future research may benefit from constructing gut microbial networks

588 based on key GMs to elucidate CP mechanisms.

589

590 6.2. The gut-brain axis

591 Pain is a dynamic interplay between sensory, emotional, and cognitive
592 factors [48] processed within the pain matrix-a network of interconnected
593 brain regions [15], especially CP. Emerging research suggests a potential
594 influence of GM in modulating pain perception [45]. Since changes in
595 brain structure can significantly affect its function [57], we investigated
596 the potential connection between GM and brain regions involved in pain
597 processing using brain imaging data. In general, except for Family
598 *Prevotellaceae*, Genus *Subdoligranulum*, Order *Bifidobacteriales*, and
599 Species *E. eligens*, the remaining positive GMs have been potentially
600 causally linked to the brain regions primarily involved in sensory,
601 emotional, and cognitive functions, which may help us partly understand
602 how GM affect CP.

603 Firstly, we found that multiple positive GM could affect the area of
604 pain-related cerebral cortex. In our study, the abundance of Phylum
605 *Verrucomicrobia*, a protective factor against MCP and headache, was not
606 only positively associated with the entire cortical area, but also positively
607 correlated with the area of caudal middle frontal and insula. This finding
608 extends the results of a recent study on CP utilizing the UK Biobank data
609 [5]. Other studies suggest that the anterior insula plays a more important

role in anticipating pain and its emotional features, while the posterior insula is more active during the physical sensations of pain [55, 58, 68]. The observed protective effect of Phylum *Verrucomicrobia* on these regions aligns with the possibility that these bacteria might help in maintaining normal brain function related to pain perception. This finding offers a potential target for future research on chronic pain management strategies.

Furthermore, our study revealed that Genus *Desulfovibrio* was not only positively correlated with the area of rostral middle frontal cortex which are crucial for emotional and cognitive processing, but also positively correlated with the paracentral area and negatively with the superiorparietal area belonging sensorimotor cortices. As a protective factor for chronic multisite pain, the interaction between these affected brain areas deserves further exploration.

Secondly, we found that multiple positive GM could affect the thickness and volume of pain-related cerebral cortex. CP patients often show reduced gray matter volume in several brain regions, including areas involved in processing pain, managing emotions, and controlling movement [3-4,6,20,32,35,46-47,51-52,64,70-71,73,91]. Certain GM may have either positive or negative effects on the volume of these brain regions. For example, Phylum *Verrucomicrobia* abundance was associated with thicker gray matter in the rostral-anterior-cingulate and

postcentral regions, further supporting its protective role against CP. Additionally, multiple GM were found to significantly influence one brain region, such as the frontal lobe. This finding suggests that the observed decrease in gray matter volume in CP patients may result from the combined effects of multiple GM.

Thirdly, this study reveals that GM may influence chronic pain by affecting the integrity and direction of axonal fiber dispersion in white matter. CP patients often exhibit changes in the microstructure of white matter, like superior longitudinal fasciculus, corpus callosum, and cingulum bundle, which play crucial roles in pain severity, resilience and emotional response to pain [52,69,81,89]. Our results indicate that certain positive GM can positively (Genus *Subdoligranulum*-cingulum bundle (rh)) or negatively (Genus *Bifidobacterium*-corpus callosum) influence white matter in specific locations. Observational studies have also linked reduced white matter integrity in the splenium of the corpus callosum to chronic low back pain disability [12]. Our study found that Family *Prevotellaceae* abundance positively affected posterior-thalamic-radiation (lh),supporting its role as a protective factor against back pain.

Morphological changes in the limbic system are closely linked to persistent pain. Particularly, the Fornix cres+Stria terminalis region needs to be emphasized, which is positively affected by Genus *Odoribacter* and Genus *Alistipes* and negative affected by Genus *Bifidobacterium*. Notably,

our findings suggest that the causal relationship between Genus *Odoribacter* abundance and neck/shoulder pain may be mediated by mean OD in the Fornix cres+Stria terminalis (left).

The fornix, crucial for normal cognitive function [80], has also been associated with pain abnormalities [43]. Similarly, the stria terminalis is integral to the limbic system, connecting the amygdala to the hypothalamus. Although the fornix and stria terminalis are not directly connected, they create an indirect anatomical pathway between the hippocampus and the amygdala. Through shared connections to structures like the hypothalamus and septal nuclei, these regions can influence each other, forming a corticolimbic system critical in the initiation, persistence, and amplification of chronic pain states [1,83], and influencing the transition from acute to chronic pain [91]. Continued activation of corticolimbic circuits results in functional and anatomical changes in the cortex, contributing to prolonged pain states [91].

Our study identified new avenues for investigating the GBA in chronic pain and pain phenotypes. The Fornix cres+Stria terminalis is highlighted as a new factor in the GBA and a novel key area for CP (Genus *Odoribacter* to neck/shoulder pain). Targeted therapies focusing on these areas may offer innovative approaches to pain management and treatment.

There are some contradictions in the results: Genus *Alistipes* appears to

exert contrasting influences in abdominal and facial pain. Class *Gammaproteobacteria* abundance was positively correlated with the paracentral and precentral areas but acted as a risk factor for abdominal pain, and Genus *Dialister* abundance was negatively associated with the precentral area but served as a protective factor for knee pain. Various internal brain networks and regulatory systems collaboratively contribute to the experience and persistence of chronic pain [16,19,46]. Future research using multimodal fMRI to investigate how GM influences these brain network changes may provide insights into these findings.

Limitations and strengths

Our study has some advantages. First, we employed a comprehensive set of sensitivity analyses, including meta-analyses and colocalization analyses. These analyses provide strong evidence that our findings are robust. Second, we addressed potential biases by applying methods like MR-Egger and MR-PRESSO to assess pleiotropy (where a genetic variant influences multiple traits) and identify outliers. Those steps helped to ensure the reliability of our MR results. Finally, the analysis utilized powerful IVs (F-statistics > 10), minimizing the influence of weak instruments that could bias the MR results.

However, our study also has certain limitations. Firstly, the data originated from participants of European ancestry. Secondly, we did not

conduct reverse MR analyses or MR analyses directly analyzing the relationship between pain phenotypes and brain structure, which will be explored in future studies. Thirdly, to ensure a sufficient number of IVs for GM, we employed a significance threshold of $P<1\times10^{-5}$, which is less stringent than the genome-wide significance level ($P<5\times10^{-8}$).

Conclusions

Among European ancestry, we identified 13 GM that were causally related to chronic pain; 8 GM decreased risk towards MCP and pain phenotypes, and 7 GM increased risk. Core GM may influence CP by affecting complex brain networks associated with emotional, cognitive, and motor functions. Specifically, we constructed a basic GBA model for neck/shoulder pain with Genus *Odoribacter* - Fornix cres+Stria terminalis (left) interactions playing a key role in neck/shoulder pain. Our study contributes to novel insights into the relationships between specific GM and pain phenotypes, supporting that GBA play a key role in the pathogenesis of CP. Consequently, the GBA is a new drug target to treat CP through neuroregulatory therapies and targeted treatments with protective symbionts.

Reference

[1] Apkarian A.V., Baliki M.N., Geha P.Y.,2009. Towards a theory of chronic pain.Prog

Neurobiol 87(2):81-97. doi: 10.1016/j.pneurobio.2008.09.018.

[2] Apkarian A.V., Bushnell M.C., Treede R.D., Zubieta J.K., 2005. Human brain mechanisms of pain perception and regulation in health and disease. Eur J Pain 9(4):463-84. doi: 10.1016/j.ejpain.2004.11.001.

[3] Apkarian A.V., Sosa Y., Sonty S., Levy R.M., Harden R.N., Parrish T.B., Gitelman D.R.,2004.Chronic Back Pain Is Associated with Decreased Prefrontal and Thalamic Gray Matter Density. J Neurosci 24(46):10410-5. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2541-04.2004.

[4] Bhatt R.R., Gupta A., Mayer E.A., Zeltzer L.K., 2020. Chronic pain in children: structural and resting-state functional brain imaging within a developmental perspective. Pediatr Res 88(6):840-849. doi: 10.1038/s41390-019-0689-9. Epub 2019 Dec 2.

[5] Bhatt R.R., Haddad E., Zhu A.H., Thompson P.M., Gupta A., Mayer E.A., Jahanshad N., 2024. Mapping Brain Structure Variability in Chronic Pain: The Role of Widespreadness and Pain Type and its Mediating Relationship with Suicide Attempt. Biol Psychiatry 95(5):473-481. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2023.07.016.

[6] Borsook D., Erpelding N., Becerra L., 2013. Losses and gains: chronic pain and altered brain morphology. Expert Rev Neurother 13(11):1221-34. doi: 10.1586/14737175.2013.846218.

[7] Bowden J., Davey Smith G., Burgess S., 2015. Mendelian randomization with invalid instruments: effect estimation and bias detection through Egger regression. Int. J. Epidemiol. 44, 512–525.

[8] Bowden J., Davey Smith G., Haycock P.C., Burgess S., 2016. Consistent estimation in Mendelian randomization with some invalid instruments using a weighted median estimator.

742 Genet. Epidemiol. 40, 304–314.

743 [9] Bowden J., Fabiola Del Greco M., Minelli G., Smith G.D., Sheehan N., Thompson J.,

744 2017. A framework for the investigation of pleiotropy in two-sample summary data

745 Mendelian randomization. Stat. Med. 36, 1783–1802.

746 [10] Brandon-Mong G.J., Shaw G.T.W., Chen W.H., Chen C.C., Wang D., 2020. A network

747 approach to investigating the key microbes and stability of gut microbial communities in a

748 mouse neuropathic pain model.BMC Microbiol 20(1):295. doi: 10.1186/s12866-020-01981-7.

749 [11] Brion M.J., Shakhbazov K., Visscher P.M., 2013. Calculating statistical power in

750 Mendelian randomization studies. Int. J. Epidemiol. 42, 1497–1501.

751 [12] Buckalew N., Haut M.W., Aizenstein H., Rosano C., Edelman K.D., Perera S., Marrow

752 L., Tadic S., Venkatraman V., Weiner D., 2013.White matter hyperintensity burden and

753 disability in older adults: is chronic pain a contributor? PM R 5(6):471-80; quiz 480. doi:

754 10.1016/j.pmrj.2013.03.004.

755 [13] Burgess S., Butterworth A., Thompson S.G., 2013. Mendelian randomization analysis

756 with multiple genetic variants using summarized data. Genet. Epidemiol. 37, 658–665.

757 [14] Burgess S., Foley C.N., Allara E., Staley J.R., Howson J.M.M., 2020. A robust and

758 efficient method for Mendelian randomization with hundreds of genetic variants. Nat

759 Commun 11(1):376. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-14156-4.

760 [15] Bushnell M.C., Ceko M., Low L.A.,2013. Cognitive and emotional control of pain and

761 its disruption in chronic pain. Nat Rev Neurosci 14(7):502-511. doi: 10.1038/nrn3516.

762 [16] Canavero S., Bonicalzi V., 2015. Pain myths and the genesis of central pain. Pain Med

763 16(2):240-248. doi: 10.1111/pme.12509.

[17] Chriswell M.E., Lefferts A.R., Clay M.R., Hsu A.R., Seifert J., Feser M.L., Rims C., Bloom M.S., Bemis E.A., Liu S., Maerz M.D., Frank D.N., Demoruelle M.K., Deane K.D., James E.A., Buckner J.H., Robinson W.H., Holers V.M., KuhnK.A., 2022. Clonal IgA and IgG autoantibodies from individuals at risk for rheumatoid arthritis identify an arthritogenic strain of Subdoligranulum. Sci Transl Med 14(668):eabn5166. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.abn5166.

[18] Dekker Nitert M., Mousa A., Barrett H. L., Naderpoor N., de Courten B., 2020. Altered gut microbiota composition is associated with Back pain in overweight and obese individuals. Front. Endocrinol. 11:605. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2020.00605.

[19] Fitzcharles M.A., Cohen S.P., Clauw D.J., Littlejohn G., Usui C., Häuser W., 2021. Nociplastic pain: towards an understanding of prevalent pain conditions. Lancet 397(10289):2098-2110. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00392-5.

[20] Fritz J.H., Wittfeld K., Hegenscheid K., Schmidt C.O., Langner S., Lotze M.,2016.Chronic Back Pain Is Associated With Decreased Prefrontal and Anterior Insular Gray Matter: Results From a Population-Based Cohort Study. J Pain 17(1):111-8. doi: 10.1016/j.jpain.2015.10.003.

[21] Fürtjes A.E., Arathimos R., Coleman J.R.I., Cole J.H., Cox S.R., Deary I.J., de la Fuente J., Madole J.W., Tucker-Drob E.M., Ritchie S.J., 2023. General dimensions of human brain morphometry inferred from genome-wide association data.Hum Brain Mapp 44(8):3311-3323. doi: 10.1002/hbm.26283.

[22] Geng Z.M., Wang J., Chen G.D., Liu J.C., Lan J., Zhang Z.P., Miao J., 2023. Gut microbiota and intervertebral disc degeneration: a bidirectional two-sample Mendelian

786 randomization study.J Orthop Surg Res 18(1):601. doi: 10.1186/s13018-023-04081-0.

787 [23] Goudman L., Demuyser T., Pilitsis J.G., Billot M., Roulaud M., Rigoard P., Moens M.,

788 2024. Gut dysbiosis in patients with chronic pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis.

789 Front Immunol 15:1342833. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1342833.

790 [24] Grasby K.L., Jahanshad N., Painter J.N., Colodro-Conde L., Bralten J., Hibar D.P., Lind

791 P.A., et al., Genetics through Meta-Analysis Consortium - Genetics working group, 2020. The

792 genetic architecture of the human cerebral cortex. Science 367(6484):eaay6690. doi:

793 10.1126/science.aay6690.

794 [25] Grundy L., Erickson A., Brierley S.M.,2019. Visceral pain. Annu Rev Physiol 81:

795 261-284.doi: 10.1146/annurev-physiol-020518-114525.

796 [26] Hartwig F.P., Smith G.D., Bowden J., 2017. Robust inference in summary data

797 Mendelian randomization via the zero modal pleiotropy assumption. Int. J. Epidemiol. 46,

798 1985–1998.

799 [27] Heiss C.N., Olofsson L.E., 2019. The role of the gut microbiota in development, function

800 and disorders of the central nervous system and the enteric nervous system. J

801 Neuroendocrinol J Neuroendocrinol 31(5):e12684. doi: 10.1111/jne.12684.

802 [28] Huang J., Zhang C., Wang J., Guo Q., Zou W.,2019. Oral Lactobacillus reuteri LR06 or

803 Bifidobacterium BL5b supplement do not produce analgesic effects on neuropathic and

804 inflammatory pain in rats. Brain Behav 9(4):e01260. doi: 10.1002/brb3.1260.

805 [29] Hui J.N., Chen Y.J., Li C.E., Gou Y.F., Liu Y., Zhou R.X., Kang M.J., Liu C., Wang B.Y.,

806 Shi P.X., Cheng S.Q., Yang X.N., Pan C.Y., Jia Y.M., Cheng B.L., Liu H., Wen Y., Zhang F.,

807 2023. Insight into the Causal Relationship between Gut Microbiota and Back Pain: A Two

Sample Bidirectional Mendelian Randomization Study.Adv Genet (Hoboken) 4(4):2300192. doi: 10.1002/ggn2.202300192.

[30] Ivashkin V., Shifrin O., Maslennikov R., Poluektova E., Korolev A., Kudryavtseva A., Krasnov G., Benuni N., Barbara G., 2023. Eubiotic effect of rifaximin is associated with decreasing abdominal pain in symptomatic uncomplicated diverticular disease: results from an observational cohort study. BMC Gastroenterol 23(1):82. doi: 10.1186/s12876-023-02690-x.

[31] Johnston K.J.A., Ward J., Ray P.R., Adams M.J., McIntosh A.M., Smith B.H., Strawbridge R.J., Price T.J., Smith D.J., Nicholl B.I., Bailey M.E.S., 2021. Sex-stratified genome-wide association study of multisite chronic pain in UK Biobank. PLoS Genet 17(4):e1009428. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1009428.

[32] Kang D., McAuley J.H., Kassem M.S., Gatt J.M., Gustin S.M.,2019. What does the grey matter decrease in the medial prefrontal cortex reflect in people with chronic pain? Eur J Pain 23(2):203-219. doi: 10.1002/ejp.1304.

[33] Karczewski J., Troost F.J., Konings I., Dekker J., Kleerebezem M., Brummer R.J.M., Wells J.M., 2010. Regulation of human epithelial tight junction proteins by Lactobacillus plantarum in vivo and protective effects on the epithelial barrier. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 298(6):G851-9. doi: 10.1152/ajpgi.00327.2009.

[34] Kozak-Szkopek E., Broczek K., Slusarczyk P., Wieczorowska-Tobis K., Klich-Raczka A., Szybalska A., Mossakowska M., 2017. Prevalence of chronic pain in the elderly polish population - results of the polsenior study. Arch Med Sci 13(5):1197-1206. doi: 10.5114/aoms.2015.55270.

[35] Kuchinad A., Schweinhardt P., Seminowicz D.A., Wood P.B., Chizh B.A., Bushnell M.C.,2007. Accelerated Brain Gray Matter Loss in Fibromyalgia Patients: Premature Aging of the Brain? J Neurosci 27(15):4004-7. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0098-07.2007.

[36] Kurilshikov A., Medina-Gomez C., Bacigalupe R., et al., 2021. Large-scale association analyses identify host factors influencing human gut microbiome composition. Nat Genet 53(2): 156-165. doi:10.1038/s41588-020-00763-1.

[37] Lawlor D.A., Harbord R.M., Sterne J.A.C., Timpson N., Smith G.D., 2008. Mendelian randomization: using genes as instruments for making causal inferences in epidemiology.Stat Med 27(8):1133-1163. doi: 10.1002/sim.3034.

[38] Lee Y.H., Song G.G., 2021. The Gut Microbiome and Osteoarthritis: A Two-Sample Mendelian Randomization Study.J Rheum Dis 28(2):94-100. doi: 10.4078/jrd.2021.28.2.94.

[39] Li H.F., Sheng D.H., Jin C.D., Zhao G.P., Zhang L., 2023. Identifying and ranking causal microbial biomarkers for colorectal cancer at different cancer subsites and stages: a Mendelian randomization study. Front Oncol 13:1224705. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2023.1224705.

[40] Li J.S., Su S.L., Xu Z., Zhao L.H., Fan R.Y., Guo J.M., Qian D.W., Duan J.A., 2022. Potential roles of gut microbiota and microbial metabolites in chronic inflammatory pain and the mechanisms of therapy drugs. Ther Adv Chronic Dis. 28:13:20406223221091177. doi: 10.1177/20406223221091177.

[41] Li Y., Zhang S.X., Yin X.F., Zhang M.X., Qiao J., Xin X.H., Chang M.J., Gao C., Li Y.F., Li X.F., 2021. The Gut Microbiota and Its Relevance to Peripheral Lymphocyte Subpopulations and Cytokines in Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis. J Immunol Res 2021:6665563. doi: 10.1155/2021/6665563.

852 [42] Liu B., Ye D., Yang H., Song J., Sun X.H., He Z.X., Mao Y.Y., Hao G.F., 2023. Assessing
853 the relationship between GM and irritable bowel syndrome: a two-sample Mendelian
854 randomization analysis.BMC Gastroenterology 23(1):150. doi: 10.1186/s12876-023-02791-7.
855 [43] Liu J., Zhu J., Yuan F., Zhang X., Zhang Q., 2018.Abnormal brain white matter in
856 patients with right trigeminal neuralgia: a diffusion tensor imaging study.J Headache Pain
857 19(1):46. doi: 10.1186/s10194-018-0871-1.
858 [44] Lopera-Maya E.A., Kurilshikov A., van der Graaf A., Hu S.X., Andreu-Sánchez S., Chen
859 L.M., Vila A.V., Gacesa R., Sinha T., Collij V., Klaassen M.A.Y., Bolte L.A., Gois M.F.B.,
860 Neerincx P.B.T., Swertz M.A., LifeLines Cohort Study, Harmsen H.J.M., Wijmenga C., Fu
861 J.Y., Weersma R.K., Zhernakova A., Sanna S., 2022. Effect of host genetics on the gut
862 microbiome in 7,738 participants of the Dutch microbiome project. Nat Genet 54(2):143-151.
863 doi: 10.1038/s41588-021-00992-y.
864 [45] Luczynski P., Tramullas M., Viola M., Shanahan F., Clarke G., O'Mahony S., Dinan T.G.,
865 Cryan J.F., 2017. Microbiota regulates visceral pain in the mouse. Elife 6:e25887. doi:
866 10.7554/eLife.25887.
867 [46] Martucci K.T., MacKey S.C., 2018. Neuroimaging of Pain: Human Evidence and
868 Clinical Relevance of Central Nervous System Processes and Modulation. Anesthesiology
869 128(6):1241-1254. doi: 10.1097/ALN.0000000000002137.
870 [47] Mayer E.A., Gupta A., Kilpatrick L.A., Hong J.Y., 2015.Imaging brain mechanisms in
871 chronic visceral pain. Pain 156 Suppl 1(0 1):S50-S63. doi:
872 10.1097/j.pain.0000000000000106.
873 [48] Melzack R., 2001. Pain and the neuromatrix in the brain. J Dent Educ 65(12):1378-1382.

[49] Meng W., Adams M.J., Reel P., Rajendrakumar A., Huang Y., Deary I.J., Palmer C.N.A., McIntosh A.M., Smith B.H.,2020. Genetic correlations between pain phenotypes and depression and neuroticism. Eur J Hum Genet 28(3):358-366. doi: 10.1038/s41431-019-0530-2.

[50] Minerbi A., Shen S., 2022. Gut microbiome in anesthesiology and pain medicine. Anesthesiology 137(1):93-108. doi:10.1097/ALN.0000000000004204.

[51] Mutso A.A., Radzicki D., Baliki M.N., Huang L., Banisadr G., Centeno M.V., Radulovic J., Martina M., Miller R.J., Apkarian A.V.,2012. Abnormalities in hippocampal functioning with persistent pain.J Neurosci 32(17):5747-56. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0587-12.2012.

[52] Ng S.K., Urquhart D.M., Fitzgerald P.B., Cicuttini F.M., Hussain S.M., Fitzgibbon B.M.,2018.The Relationship Between Structural and Functional Brain Changes and Altered Emotion and Cognition in Chronic Low Back Pain Brain Changes A Systematic Review of MRI and fMRI Studies. Clin J Pain 34(3):237-261. doi: 10.1097/AJP.0000000000000534.

[53] O'Mahony S.M., Dinan T.G., Cryan J.F.,2017. The gut microbiota as a key regulator of visceral pain. Pain 158 Suppl 1:S19-S28. doi: 10.1097/j.pain.0000000000000779.

[54] Oh D.K., Na H.S., Jhun J.Y. Lee J.S., Um I.G., Lee S.Y., Park M.S., Cho M.L., Park S.H.,2023. Bifidobacterium longum BORI inhibits pain behavior and chondrocyte death, and attenuates osteoarthritis progression. PLoS One 18(6):e0286456. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0286456.

[55] Ostrowsky K., Magnin M., Ryvlin P., Isnard J., Guenot M., Mauguiere F., 2002.Representation of pain and somatic sensation in the human insula: A study of responses to direct electrical cortical stimulation. Cereb Cortex 12(4):376-85. doi:

10.1093/cercor/12.4.376.

[56] Palmer T.M., Sterne J.A.C., Harbord R.M., Lawlor D.A., Sheehan N.A., Meng S., Granell R., Smith G.D., Didelez V., 2011. Instrumental variable estimation of causal risk ratios and causal odds ratios in Mendelian randomization analyses. Am. J. Epidemiol. 173, 1392-1403.

[57] Pang J.C., Aquino K.M., Oldehinkel M., Robinson P.A., Fulcher B.D., Breakspear M., Fornito A., 2023.Geometric constraints on human brain function.Nature 618(7965):566-574. doi: 10.1038/s41586-023-06098-1.

[58] Ploghaus A., Tracey I., Gati J.S., Clare S., Menon R.S., Matthews P.M., Rawlins J.N., 1999. Dissociating pain from its anticipation in the human brain. Science 284(5422):1979-81. doi: 10.1126/science.284.5422.1979.

[59] Pratt C., Campbell M.D., 2020. The Effect of Bifidobacterium on Reducing Symptomatic Abdominal Pain in Patients with Irritable Bowel Syndrome: A Systematic Review. Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins 12(3):834-839. doi: 10.1007/s12602-019-09609-7.

[60] Qin Y.W., Havulinna A.S., Liu Y., Jousilahti P., Ritchie S.C., Tokolyi A., Sanders J.G., Valsta L., Brożyńska M., Zhu Q.Y., Tripathi A., Vázquez-Baeza Y., Loomba R., Cheng S.S., Jain M., Niiranen T., Lahti L., Knight R., Salomaa V., Inouye M., Méric G., 2022.Combined effects of host genetics and diet on human gut microbiota and incident disease in a single population cohort. Nat Genet 54(2):134-142. doi: 10.1038/s41588-021-00991-z.

[61] Ramakrishna C., Corleto J., Ruegger P.M., Logan G.D., Peacock B.B., Mendonca S., Yamaki S., Adamson T., Ermel R., McKemy D., Borneman J., Cantin E.M., 2019. Dominant role of the gut microbiota in chemotherapy induced neuropathic pain. Sci Rep 9(1):20324. doi:

10.1038/s41598-019-56832-x.

[62] Ran Guo, Li-Hua Chen, Chungen Xing, Tong Liu, 2019. Pain regulation by gut microbiota: molecular mechanisms and therapeutic potential. Br J Anaesth 123 (5): 637-654. doi: 10.1016/j.bja.2019.07.026.

[63] Ren M., Lotfipour S., 2020. The role of the gut microbiome in opioid use, Behav Pharmacol 31(2&3):113-121. doi: 10.1097/FBP.0000000000000538.

[64] Rodriguez-Raecke R., Niemeier A., Ihle K., Ruether W., May A., 2009. Brain Gray Matter Decrease in Chronic Pain Is the Consequence and Not the Cause of Pain. J Neurosci 29(44):13746-50. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3687-09.2009

[65] Rühlemann□M.C., Hermes B.M., Bang C., Doms S., Moitinho-Silva L., Thingholm L.B., Frost F., Degenhardt F., Wittig M., Kässens□J., Weiss F.U., Peters A., Neuhaus K., Völker U., Völzke H., Homuth G., Weiss S., Grallert H., Laudes M., Lieb W., Haller D., Lerch□M.M., Baines□J.F., Franke A., 2021. Genome-wide association study in 8,956 German individuals identifies influence of ABO histo-blood groups on gut microbiome. Nat Genet 53(2):147-155. doi: 10.1038/s41588-020-00747-1.

[66] Russo R., Cristiano C., Avagliano C., Caro C.D., Rana G.L., Raso G.M., Canani R.B., Meli R., Calignano A., 2018. Gutebrain axis: role of lipids in the regulation of inflammation, pain and CNS diseases. Curr Med Chem 25(32):3930-3952. doi: 10.2174/0929867324666170216113756.

[67] Sanderson E., 2021. Multivariable Mendelian randomization and mediation. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 11(2):a038984. https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a038984.

[68] Sawamoto N., Honda M., Okada T., Hanakawa T., Kanda M., Fukuyama H., Konishi J.,

Shibasaki H.,2000. Expectation of painenhances responses to nonpainful somatosensory stimulation in the anterior cingulate cortex and parietal operculum/posterior insula: An event-related functional magnetic resonance imaging study. J Neurosci 20(19):7438-45. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.20-19-07438.2000.

[69] Schermuly I., Fellgiebel A., Wagner S., Yakushev I., Stoeter P., Schmitt R., Knickenberg R.J., Bleichner F., Beutel M.E., 2010. Association between cingulum bundle structure and cognitive performance: an observational study in major depression.Eur Psychiatry 25(6):355-60. doi: 10.1016/j.eurpsy.2010.05.001.

[70] Schmidt-Wilcke T., Ganssbauer S., Neuner T., Bogdahn U., May A.,2008. Subtle grey matter changes between migraine patients and healthy controls. Cephalalgia 28(1):1-4. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-2982.2007.01428.x.

[71] Seminowicz D.A., Labus J.S., Bueller J.A., Tillisch K., Naliboff B.D., Bushnell M.C., Mayer E.A.,2010. Regional Gray Matter Density Changes in Brains of Patients With Irritable Bowel Syndrome. Gastroenterology 139(1):48-57.e2. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2010.03.049.

[72] Seminowicz D.A., Moayedi M.,2017. The Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex in Acute and Chronic Pain. J Pain 18(9):1027-1035. doi: 10.1016/j.jpain.2017.03.008.

[73] Shiers S., Price T.J.,2020.Molecular, circuit, and anatomical changes in the prefrontal cortex in chronic pain. Pain. 161(8):1726-1729. doi: 10.1097/j.pain.000000000000189745.

[74] Silvestre M.P., Rodrigues A.M., Canhão H., Marques C., Teixeira D., Calhau C., Branco J., 2020. Cross-talk between diet-associated dysbiosis and hand osteoarthritis. Nutrients 12(11):3469. doi: 10.3390/nu12113469.

[75] Smith S.M., Douaud G., Chen W., Hanayik T., AlfaroAlmagro F., Sharp K., Elliott L.T.,

2021. An expanded set of genome-wide association studies of brain imaging phenotypes in UK Biobank.Nat Neurosci 24(5):737-745. doi: 10.1038/s41593-021-00826-4.

[76] Sommer F., Bäckhed F., 2013. The gut microbiota--masters of host development and physiology. Nat Rev Microbiol 11(4):227-38. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro2974.

[80] Storey J.D.,2003. The positive false discovery rate: A Bayesian interpretation and the q-value. Ann. Stat. 31(6):2013–2035.DOI: 10.1214/aos/1074290335.

[77] Su M.C., Tang Y.D., Kong W.S., Zhang S.Y., Zhu T, 2023. Genetically supported causality between gut microbiota, gut metabolites and low back pain: a two-sample Mendelian randomization study. Front Microbiol 14:1157451. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1157451.

[78] Theodorou V., Ait Belgnaoui A., Agostini S., Eutamene H.,2014. Effect of commensals and probiotics on visceral sensitivity and pain in irritable bowel syndrome. Gut Microbe 5(3):430-436. doi: 10.4161/gmic.29796.

[79] Thomas A.G., Koumellis P., Dineen R.A., 2011.The Fornix in Health and Disease:An Imaging Review.Radiographics. 31(4):1107-21. doi: 10.1148/rg.314105729.

[80] Timmers I., Biggs E.E., Bruckert L., Tremblay-McGaw A.G., Zhang H., Borsook D., Simons L.E.,2024. Probing white matter microstructure in youth with chronic pain and its relation to catastrophizing using neurite orientation dispersion and density imaging. Pain 8. doi: 10.1097/j.pain.0000000000003269.

[81] Treede R.D, Rief W., Barke A., Aziz Q., Bennett M.I., Benoliel R., Cohen M., Evers S.,

[82] Finnerup N,B., First M.B., Giamberardino M.A., Kaasa S., Kosek E., Lavand'homme P., Nicholas M., Perrot S., Scholz J., Schug S., Smith B.H., Svensson P., Vlaeyen J.W.S., Wang

984 Shuu-Jiun, 2015. A classification of chronic pain for ICD-11. Pain 156(6):1003-1007. doi:
985 10.1097/j.pain.0000000000000160.
986 [83] Vachon-Presseau E., Centeno M.V., Ren W., Berger S.E., Tetreault P., Ghantous M.,
987 Baria A., Farmer M., Baliki M.N., Schnitzer T.J., Apkarian A.V., 2016.The Emotional Brain
988 as a Predictor and Amplifier of Chronic Pain.J Dent Res 95(6):605-12. doi:
989 10.1177/0022034516638027.
990 [84] Verbanck M., Chen C.Y., Neale B., D R., 2018. Detection of widespread horizontal
991 pleiotropy in causal relationships inferred from Mendelian randomization between complex
992 traits and diseases. Nat Genet. 50 (5), 693–698.
993 [85] Wang S., Zang M., Yang X., Lv L., Chen L., Cui J., LiuY., Xia Y., Zhou N., Yang Z., Li
994 Y., Shi B., 2023. Gut microbiome in men with chronic prostatitis/chronic pelvic pain
995 syndrome: profiling and its predictive significance. World J Urol 41(11):3019-3026. doi:
996 10.1007/s00345-023-04587-6.
997 [86] Wang X., Wu Y., Liu Y., Chen F., Chen S., Zhang F., Li S., Wang C., Gong Y., Huang R.,
998 Hu M., Ning Y., Zhao H., Guo X., 2023. Altered gut microbiome profile in patients with
999 knee osteoarthritis. Front Microbiol 14:1153424. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1153424.
1000 [87] Wu R., Wang D., Cheng L., Su R., Li B., Fan C., Gao C., Wang C., 2024. Impaired
1001 immune tolerance mediated by reduced Tfr cells in rheumatoid arthritis linked to gut
1002 microbiota dysbiosis and altered metabolites. Arthritis Res Ther 26(1):21. doi:
1003 10.1186/s13075-023-03260-y.
1004 [88] Wu Z., Liu H., Yan E., Zhang X., Wang Y., Huang C., He T., Miao L., Yang L., Jiang R.,
1005 Qi C., Liu C., Wang D., Yang C.,2023. Desulfovibrio confers resilience to the comorbidity of

pain and anxiety in a mouse model of chronic inflammatory pain. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 240(1):87-100. doi: 10.1007/s00213-022-06277-4.

[89] Xiao X., Ding M., Zhang Y.Q.,2021. Role of the Anterior Cingulate Cortex in Translational Pain Research.Neurosci Bull 37(3):405-422. doi: 10.1007/s12264-020-00615-2.

[90] Yang M.Y., Wan X.J., Zheng H.S., Xu K., Xie J.L., Yu H., Wang J.C., Xu P., 2023. No Evidence of a Genetic Causal Relationship between Ankylosing Spondylitis and Gut Microbiota: A Two-Sample Mendelian Randomization Study.Nutrients 15(4):1057. doi: 10.3390/nu15041057.

[91] Yang S., Chang M.C., 2019.Chronic Pain: Structural and Functional Changes in Brain Structures and Associated Negative Affective State.Int J Mol Sci ,20(13):3130. doi: 10.3390/ijms20133130.

[92] Ye T., Shao J., Kang H., 2021. Debiased Inverse-Variance Weighted Estimator in Two-Sample Summary-Data Mendelian Randomization. The Annals of Statistics, 49(4), 2079-2100. https://arxiv.org/abs/1911.09802.

[93] Yin Q.Y., Zhu L., 2024. Does co-localization analysis reinforce the results of Mendelian randomization? Brain 147(1):e7-e8. doi: 10.1093/brain/awad295.

[94] Yu X.H., Yang Y.Q., Cao R.R., Bo L., Lei S.F., 2021. The causal role of gut microbiota in development of osteoarthritis.Osteoarthritis Cartilage 29(12):1741-1750. doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2021.08.003.

[95] Zhao J., Ming J., Hu X.H., Chen G., Liu J., Yang C., 2020. Bayesian weighted Mendelian randomization for causal inference based on summary statistics. Bioinformatics 36(5):1501–1508. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btz749.

[96] Zhao Q., Wang J., Hemani G., Bowden J., Small D.S., 2020. Statistical inference in two-sample summary-data Mendelian randomization using robust adjusted profile score. Ann Statist 48(3):1742-1769.https://arxiv.org/abs/1801.09652.

[97] Zhou R., Zhang L., Sun Y., Yan J., Jiang H., 2023. Causal Associations between Dietary Habits and Chronic Pain: A Two-Sample Mendelian Randomization Study. Nutrients 15(17):3709. doi: 10.3390/nu15173709.

Table 1 Description of GWAS summary statistics used for each phenotype.

Phenotype	First author (year)	Consortium	Sample size	Population
MCP	Johnston (2019)	UK Biobank	387,649 participants	European
Headache	Meng (2020)	UK Biobank	74,761 cases and	European

			149,312 controls	
Facial pain			2,610 cases and 149,312 controls	European
Neck/shoulder pain			53,994 cases and 149,312 controls	European
Abdominal pain			8,217 cases and 149,312 controls	European
Back pain			4,3991 cases and 149,312 controls	European
Hip pain			10,116 cases and 149,312 controls	European
Knee pain			22,204 cases and 149,312 controls	European
Pain all over body			5,670 cases and 149,312 controls	European
Gut microbiota	Esteban A. Lopera-Maya (2022)	NA	7,738 participants	European
	Youwen Qin（2022）	FINRISK 2002 study	5,959 participants	European
	Malte Christoph Rühlemann（2021）	NA	8,956 participants	European
	Alexander Kurilshikov（2021）	NA	13,266 participants	European
Brain imaging-derived phenotypes	Stephen M Smith（2021）	UK Biobank	39,691 participants	European
Human cerebral cortex	Katrina L. Grasby (2020)	ENIGMA	51,665 participants	European
83 brain-wide volumes	Fürtjes, A. E. (2023)	UK Biobank	36,778 participants	European

Abbreviation: MCP: multi-site chronic pain; ENIGMA: Enhancing NeuroImaging Genetics through Meta-Analysis Consortium.

Table 2: Mendelian randomization results of positive gut microbiota abundance based on Meta analysis on MCP and 8 pain phenotypes

Scoure	outcome	exposure	method	nsnp	pval	or	or_lci95	or_uci95	Heterogeneity (Q_pval)	Pleiotropy (pval)	MR-Presso (pval)	Global Test (pval)	pval-Meta (after meta analysis for IVW)
Data3: Esteban A. Lopera-Maya(2022)	MCP	Phylum Verrucomicrobia	Inverse variance weighted	15	0.01896235	0.982081572	0.967359461	0.997027737	0.320706194	0.996488375	0.034205687	0.356	0.011212335
			MR Egger	15	0.705456547	0.981876022	0.894877276	1.077332667	0.255626493				
			Weighted median	15	0.057206847	0.981224799	0.962243091	1.00058095					
			Weighted mode	15	0.239122587	0.979740585	0.948291179	1.012232988					
			Contamination mixture method	15	0.025678249	0.979175831	0.964597802	0.993974179					
			Robust adjusted profile score (RAPS)	15	0.013364658	0.98072196	0.965712745	0.99596445					
			Debiased inverse-variance weighted method	15	0.015269901	0.98123824	0.966337206	0.996369049					
			Constrained maximum likelihood	15	0.066550807	0.982169094	0.963471499	1.001229543					
			Bayesian Weighted Mendelian Randomization	15	0.022821328	0.981130186	0.965168726	0.997355609					
		Genus Adlercreutzia	Inverse variance weighted	7	0.037093537	1.017400871	1.001032702	1.034036681	0.715183867	0.401707082	0.038058782	0.7226667	0.022358123
			MR Egger	7	0.192938083	1.041980755	0.987605133	1.099350192	0.71909744				
			Weighted median	7	0.058954708	1.020411518	0.999235622	1.042036176					
			Weighted mode	7	0.189889788	1.025196018	0.991917237	1.0595913					
			Contamination mixture method	7	0.054339369	1.023329817	1.003066529	1.04400245					
			Robust adjusted profile score	7	0.053479635	1.017814924	0.9997351	1.036221714					

			(RAPS)											
			Debiased inverse-variance weighted method	7	0.040380183	1.018270112	1.000793815	1.036051587						
			Constrained maximum likelihood	7	0.057065151	1.017608502	0.999475524	1.036070457						
			Bayesian Weighted Mendelian Randomization	7	0.045085083	1.017709777	1.000384307	1.035335303						
Data1:Alexander Kurilshikov （2021）	headache	Phylum Verrucomicrobia	Inverse variance weighted	12	0.02014992	0.985462476	0.973363963	0.99771137	0.58688606	0.561706706	0.028666522	0.6193333	0.023188736	
			MR Egger	12	0.785329636	0.995130931	0.961681908	1.029743371	0.530259011					
			Weighted median	12	0.106858612	0.985795464	0.968800933	1.00308811						
			Weighted mode	12	0.219111487	0.983304873	0.958719669	1.008520535						
			Contamination mixture method	12	0.008827096	0.980101247	0.970349076	0.989951428						
			Robust adjusted profile score (RAPS)	12	0.015016258	0.983264178	0.969980401	0.996729875						
			Debiased inverse-variance weighted method	12	0.02170849	0.984773151	0.971955041	0.997760305						
			Constrained maximum likelihood	12	0.045058541	0.984113094	0.968819988	0.999647607						
			Bayesian Weighted Mendelian Randomization	12	0.019146516	0.984285304	0.971327448	0.997416023						
Data4:Youwen Qin （2022）	facialpain	Genus Alistipes	Inverse variance weighted	17	0.011651233	1.004199247	1.000934924	1.007474215	0.474966723	0.538356301	0.021510576	0.5016667	0.046806403	
			MR Egger	17	0.537663714	1.0022328	0.995311407	1.009202324	0.431189248					
			Weighted median	17	0.189311535	1.003137479	0.998456215	1.00784069						
			Weighted mode	17	0.80601021	1.000789299	0.994610039	1.007006948						

			Contamination mixture method	17	1	1.005532274	1.005532274	1.005532274					
			Robust adjusted profile score (RAPS)	17	0.024136725	1.004095569	1.000534747	1.007669063					
			Debiased inverse-variance weighted method	17	0.012698904	1.004400835	1.000938026	1.007875624					
			Constrained maximum likelihood	17	0.039775642	1.004207257	1.000196194	1.008234405					
			Bayesian Weighted Mendelian Randomization	17	0.014207917	1.00447811	1.000896887	1.008072146					
		Genus Bifidobacterium	Inverse variance weighted	16	0.014300484	1.004167659	1.000831637	1.007514802	0.626808686	0.772838386	0.01768728	0.668	0.044816124
			MR Egger	16	0.455993731	1.003090012	0.995209617	1.011032806	0.558563741				
			Weighted median	16	0.152348849	1.003594074	0.998675608	1.008536764					
			Weighted mode	16	0.552709661	1.002031766	0.99548966	1.008616865					
			Contamination mixture method	16	0.127246974	1.008339777	1.003310661	1.013394101					
			Robust adjusted profile score (RAPS)	16	0.023488461	1.004226444	1.000568722	1.007897536					
			Debiased inverse-variance weighted method	16	0.015670281	1.00437376	1.000824792	1.007935313					
			Constrained maximum likelihood	16	0.02392076	1.004198498	1.000553734	1.007856539					
			Bayesian Weighted Mendelian Randomization	16	0.017127221	1.004392469	1.000779751	1.008018227					
Data2:Malte Christoph Rühlemann (2021)	neckshoulderpain	Class Alphaproteobacteria	Inverse variance weighted	9	0.049427264	0.992671151	0.985414044	0.999981703	0.664447486	0.484943501	0.05058963	0.679	0.011661252

			MR Egger	9	0.28445787	0.980833171	0.949252346	1.013464662	0.62307216					
			Weighted median	9	0.023221186	0.98882251	0.979271083	0.998467098						
			Weighted mode	9	0.161788776	0.987787362	0.972473302	1.003342582						
			Contamination mixture method	9	0.025093216	0.98983035	0.984893551	0.994791896						
			Robust adjusted profile score (RAPS)	9	0.063302791	0.992397015	0.984435271	1.000423151						
			Debiased inverse-variance weighted method	9	0.052076005	0.992315926	0.98462249	1.000069476						
			Constrained maximum likelihood	9	0.077646356	0.992485038	0.984203677	1.000836082						
			Bayesian Weighted Mendelian Randomization	9	0.057327506	0.992487255	0.984799649	1.000234872						
Data3: Esteban A. Lopera-Maya(2022)	neckshoulderpain	Genus Odoribacter	Inverse variance weighted	11	0.000120392	0.980127005	0.970149998	0.990206616	0.393943934	0.555697627	0.00323682	0.4533333	5.54E-05	
			MR Egger	11	0.162045887	0.967679887	0.927623131	1.009466379	0.340467459					
			Weighted median	11	0.053994863	0.98629622	0.972549527	1.000237219						
			Weighted mode	11	0.300401396	0.98699109	0.964066825	1.010460464						
			Contamination mixture method	11	0.007229237	0.97100033	0.956544019	0.985675121						
			Robust adjusted profile score (RAPS)	11	0.000230236	0.979284948	0.968437306	0.990254097						
			Debiased inverse-variance weighted method	11	0.000140566	0.979169955	0.968616033	0.989838871						
			Constrained maximum likelihood	11	0.000765214	0.980860944	0.969882614	0.99196354						
			Bayesian Weighted Mendelian Randomization	11	0.000227424	0.979176678	0.96828247	0.990193458						

Data2:Malte Christoph Rühlemann（2021）	abdominalpain	Class Gammaproteobacteria	Inverse variance weighted	12	0.030973525	1.005120662	1.000467477	1.00979549	0.257858001	0.104285968	0.053022345	0.4586667	0.019528997
			MR Egger	12	0.038938744	1.020021487	1.003470424	1.036845541	0.41590708				
			Weighted median	12	0.070074263	1.006186368	0.999494298	1.012923244					
			Weighted mode	12	0.183251476	1.009335192	0.99647498	1.022361375					
			Contamination mixture method	12	0.057007608	1.006511955	1.001491956	1.011557117					
			Robust adjusted profile score (RAPS)	12	0.035110906	1.005738824	1.000399513	1.011106631					
			Debiased inverse-variance weighted method	12	0.033133464	1.00538201	1.000429562	1.010358973					
			Constrained maximum likelihood	12	0.079473129	1.005133531	0.999398	1.010901978					
			Bayesian Weighted Mendelian Randomization	12	0.038580029	1.00536023	1.00028079	1.010465463					
Data3: Esteban A. Lopera-Maya(2022)	abdominalpain	Specie Eubacterium_eligens	Inverse variance weighted	14	0.037170702	1.005438062	1.000322462	1.010579824	0.502554055	0.221626957	0.051738488	0.515	0.011809517
			MR Egger	14	0.10869721	1.019849581	0.997428279	1.042774893	0.5594664				
			Weighted median	14	0.505238304	1.002367504	0.995418862	1.009364651					
			Weighted mode	14	0.837825764	1.001287055	0.98927152	1.013448529					
			Contamination mixture method	14	1	1.000623339	0.99066697	1.01067977					
			Robust adjusted profile score (RAPS)	14	0.051240949	1.005532469	0.999970161	1.011125717					
			Debiased inverse-variance weighted method	14	0.038767366	1.00570398	1.000293556	1.011143669					
			Constrained maximum	14	0.093423765	1.005277789	0.999114315	1.011479285					

			likelihood											
			Bayesian Weighted Mendelian Randomization	14	0.040918523	1.005642054	1.000232176	1.011081192						
Data4:Youwen Qin（2022）	abdominalpain	Genus Alistipes	Inverse variance weighted	17	0.034563673	0.994119526	0.988696879	0.999571914	0.595696913	0.491111695	0.038413382	0.574	0.037071944	
			MR Egger	17	0.708480803	0.997760439	0.98632101	1.009332543	0.560486256					
			Weighted median	17	0.048097332	0.992333679	0.984789425	0.999935728						
			Weighted mode	17	0.127483607	0.990001778	0.977946691	1.002205467						
			Contamination mixture method	17	0.034975212	0.991745545	0.986799194	0.99671669						
			Robust adjusted profile score (RAPS)	17	0.044606378	0.993596395	0.987386484	0.999845361						
			Debiased inverse-variance weighted method	17	0.03613241	0.993838748	0.988110081	0.999600628						
			Constrained maximum likelihood	17	0.121487814	0.994274893	0.987075638	1.001526656						
			Bayesian Weighted Mendelian Randomization	17	0.032859932	0.993535441	0.98763423	0.999471912						
Data2:Malte Christoph Rühlemann（2021）	backpain	Family Prevotellaceae	Inverse variance weighted	10	0.011517883	0.987829799	0.978490852	0.997257879	0.891323316	0.530430988	0.005238675	0.8936667	0.051986091	
			MR Egger	10	0.297118662	0.971426794	0.923174937	1.022200644	0.869537076					
			Weighted median	10	0.029422081	0.98696447	0.975377877	0.9986887						
			Weighted mode	10	0.378620097	0.990542242	0.970817122	1.010668138						
			Contamination mixture method	10	0.020401764	0.980987337	0.971226349	0.990846423						
			Robust adjusted profile score (RAPS)	10	0.020085431	0.987569712	0.977209839	0.998039415						

			Debiased inverse-variance weighted method	10	0.012991938	0.987238155	0.977283441	0.997294269						
			Constrained maximum likelihood	10	0.008733108	0.987475255	0.978216471	0.996821674						
			Bayesian Weighted Mendelian Randomization	10	0.014859254	0.987611206	0.977753888	0.997567903						
Data3: Esteban A. Lopera-Maya(2022)	backpain	Order Bifidobacteriales	Inverse variance weighted	10	0.024781716	1.012196355	1.001539132	1.022966979	0.526108983	0.63667517	0.041967538	0.5563333	0.048289803	
			MR Egger	10	0.966149481	1.001018758	0.956415205	1.04770245	0.449356295					
			Weighted median	10	0.413260679	1.006131903	0.99150478	1.020974812						
			Weighted mode	10	0.802039262	1.002851983	0.981406648	1.024765934						
			Contamination mixture method	10	0.308472923	1.008921833	0.984011464	1.034462811						
			Robust adjusted profile score (RAPS)	10	0.042078684	1.012099554	1.000430474	1.023904743						
			Debiased inverse-variance weighted method	10	0.026789964	1.012802431	1.001463371	1.024269877						
			Constrained maximum likelihood	10	0.047783882	1.012384076	1.000119932	1.024798611						
			Bayesian Weighted Mendelian Randomization	10	0.026833505	1.01280865	1.001460851	1.024285035						
Data3: Esteban A. Lopera-Maya(2022)	hippain	Genus Subdoligranulum	Inverse variance weighted	14	0.040941121	0.994016288	0.988312462	0.999753032	0.695222364	0.93872199	0.036393135	0.7083333	0.084123458	
			MR Egger	14	0.595713927	0.993044007	0.968427048	1.018286718	0.617883741					
			Weighted median	14	0.133654032	0.994184607	0.986635995	1.001790972						
			Weighted mode	14	0.481602733	0.995351087	0.982882144	1.007978212						
			Contamination mixture method	14	0.033443546	0.98834282	0.983413439	0.993296909						

			Robust adjusted profile score (RAPS)	14	0.039933534	0.993417293	0.987177566	0.99969646					
			Debiased inverse-variance weighted method	14	0.042583569	0.993725818	0.987698746	0.999789668					
			Constrained maximum likelihood	14	0.072849614	0.993995135	0.987475018	1.000558302					
			Bayesian Weighted Mendelian Randomization	14	0.045143324	0.993833092	0.987836335	0.999866253					
Data3: Esteban A. Lopera-Maya(2022)	kneepain	Genus Dialister	Inverse variance weighted	6	0.039429035	0.991862294	0.984179924	0.999604631	0.943919259	0.544618433	0.008596778	0.9403333	0.076926359
			MR Egger	6	0.38423355	0.975621289	0.92845471	1.025183984	0.942064135				
			Weighted median	6	0.048935567	0.990713773	0.981556343	0.999956637					
			Weighted mode	6	0.173568213	0.988647944	0.974797939	1.00269473					
			Contamination mixture method	6	0.058228668	0.987208205	0.982284483	0.992156607					
			Robust adjusted profile score (RAPS)	6	0.062481149	0.991780812	0.983205976	1.000430431					
			Debiased inverse-variance weighted method	6	0.04321034	0.991468413	0.983266579	0.999738661					
			Constrained maximum likelihood	6	0.060032881	0.992238042	0.984212276	1.000329254					
			Bayesian Weighted Mendelian Randomization	6	0.04728183	0.991746348	0.983658485	0.99990071					
Data1:Alexander Kurilshikov （2021）	pain all over body	Genus Desulfovibrio	Inverse variance weighted	10	0.007007636	0.990925643	0.984381459	0.997513334	0.259825515	0.228982182	0.024534683	0.2926667	0.014860797
			MR Egger	10	0.063949596	0.978887778	0.96001468	0.998131906	0.319972357				
			Weighted median	10	0.518084294	0.997200376	0.988757955	1.005714882					

		Weighted mode	10	0.871703393	0.998864675	0.985569477	1.012339223						
		Contamination mixture method	10	0.458119132	0.984551043	0.969892988	0.999430627						
		Robust adjusted profile score (RAPS)	10	0.009518935	0.990624166	0.983595075	0.997703489						
		Debiased inverse-variance weighted method	10	0.004553699	0.990478095	0.983952743	0.997046723						
		Constrained maximum likelihood	10	0.014943969	0.990999745	0.983810341	0.998241688						
		Bayesian Weighted Mendelian Randomization	10	0.005742703	0.990060955	0.983068192	0.997103459						
pain all over body	Genus Ruminococcus2	Inverse variance weighted	15	0.001458371	1.009788338	1.003749387	1.015863622	0.994893032	0.864546703	3.95E-05	0.9946667	0.002812313	
		MR Egger	15	0.204084459	1.011142252	0.994852529	1.027698703	0.990527392					
		Weighted median	15	0.008701806	1.010442011	1.002630768	1.018314108						
		Weighted mode	15	0.04276989	1.014346053	1.001716297	1.027135046						
		Contamination mixture method	15	0.001937794	1.010483869	1.00544406	1.015548941						
		Robust adjusted profile score (RAPS)	15	0.003823886	1.009934287	1.003191569	1.016722324						
		Debiased inverse-variance weighted method	15	0.001804249	1.010271901	1.003808221	1.016777201						
		Constrained maximum likelihood	15	0.00446083	1.009941646	1.003078649	1.0168516						
		Bayesian Weighted Mendelian Randomization	15	0.002196357	1.010056697	1.003608425	1.0165464						

1062 MCP：multisite chronic pain

Table 3: genetic colocalisation analysis results of positive gut microbiota abundance based on Meta analysis on MCP and 8 pain phenotypes

Scoure	outcome	exposure	nsnp	PP.H4.abf
Data3: Esteban A. Lopera-Maya(2022)	MCP	Phylum Verrucomicrobia	258	0.001826213
		Genus Adlercreutzia	130	0.001691934
Data1:Alexander Kurilshikov (2021)	headache	Phylum Verrucomicrobia	178	/
Data4:Youwen Qin (2022)	facialpain	Genus Alistipes	249	0.042212155
		Genus Bifidobacterium	188	0.055543383
Data3: Esteban A. Lopera-Maya(2022)	neckshoulderpain	Genus Odoribacter	225	0.052062498
Data2:Malte Christoph Rühlemann (2021)	abdominalpain	Class Gammaproteobacteria		
Data3: Esteban A. Lopera-Maya(2022)	abdominalpain	Specie Eubacterium_eligens	415	0.022509293
Data4:Youwen Qin (2022)	abdominalpain	Genus Alistipes	249	0.055804256
Data2:Malte Christoph Rühlemann (2021)	backpain	Family Prevotellaceae		
Data3: Esteban A. Lopera-Maya(2022)	backpain	Order Bifidobacteriales	42	0.006022088
Data3: Esteban A. Lopera-Maya(2022)	hippain	Genus Subdoligranulum	333	0.014485239
Data3: Esteban A. Lopera-Maya(2022)	kneepain	Genus Dialister	205	0.017367048
Data1:Alexander Kurilshikov (2021)	pain all over body	Genus Desulfovibrio	210	0.012854744
		Genus Ruminococcus2	242	0.018888971

MCP：multisite chronic pain

Table 4 Three causal effects (a, b, c') of the mediation analysis in IVW method

Causal effects: Exposure-mediating variable-outcome	nSNP	Value*	*P*
Genus Odoribacter- mean OD in Fornix cres+Stria terminalis (left)- neck/shoulder pain	11	a=0.111738712832827	0.00014925
	9	b=-0.025127303642184	0.006414495
	11	c'=-0.0200731182675427	0.000120392

nSNP: number of SNP; *a: exposure to mediating variable causal effects; b: mediating variable to outcome causal effects; c': exposure to outcome variable causal effects exposure-mediating variable-outcome; IVW: inverse variance weighted.



Figure 1: Flow chart of study



Figure 2a The Forest plots of Meta analysis of Genus Adlercreutzia on MCP



Figure 2b The Forest plots of Meta analysis of Phylum Verrucomicrobia on MCP



Figure 2c The Forest plots of Meta analysis of Phylum Verrucomicrobia on Headache



Figure 2d The Forest plots of Meta analysis of Genus Alistipes on Facialpain



Figure 2e The Forest plots of Meta analysis of Genus Bifidobacterium on Facialpain



Figure 2f The Forest plots of Meta analysis of Class Alphaproteobacteria on Neckshoulderpain



Figure 2g The Forest plots of Meta analysis of Genus Odoribacter on Neckshoulderpain



Figure 2h The Forest plots of Meta analysis of Class Gammaproteobacteria on Abdominalpain



Figure 2i The Forest plots of Meta analysis of Genus Alistipes on Abdominalpain



Figure 2j The Forest plots of Meta analysis of Specie Eubacterium_eligens on Abdominalpain



Figure 2k The Forest plots of Meta analysis of Family Prevotellaceae on Backpain



Figure 2l The Forest plots of Meta analysis of Order Bifidobacteriales on Backpain



Figure 2m The Forest plots of Meta analysis of Genus Subdoligranulum on Hippain



Figure 2n The Forest plots of Meta analysis of Genus Dialister on Kneepain



Figure 2o The Forest plots of Meta analysis of Genus Desulfovibrio on pain all over body



Figure 2p The Forest plots of Meta analysis of Genus Ruminococcus2 on pain all over body



Figure 3a The scatter plots of MR: Genus Adlercreutzia on MCP



Figure 3b The scatter plots of MR: Phylum Verrucomicrobia on MCP



Figure 3c The scatter plots of MR: Phylum Verrucomicrobia on Headache



Figure 3d The scatter plots of MR: Genus Alistipes on Facialpain



Figure 3e The scatter plots of MR: Genus Bifidobacterium on Facialpain



Figure 3f The scatter plots of MR: Class Alphaproteobacteria on Neckshoulderpain



Figure 3g The scatter plots of MR: Genus Odoribacter on Neckshoulderpain



Figure 3h The scatter plots of MR: Class Gammaproteobacteria on Abdominalpain



Figure 3i The scatter plots of MR: Genus Alistipes on Abdominalpain



Figure 3j The scatter plots of MR: Specie Eubacterium_eligens on Abdominalpain



Figure 3k The scatter plots of MR: Family Prevotellaceae on Backpain



Figure 3| The scatter plots of MR: Order Bifidobacteriales on Backpain



Figure 3m The scatter plots of MR: Genus Subdoligranulum on Hippain



Figure 3n The scatter plots of MR: Genus Dialister on Kneepain



Figure 3o The scatter plots of MR: Genus Desulfovibrio on pain all over body



Figure 3p The scatter plots of MR: Genus Ruminococcus2 on pain all over body



Figure 4a The locuscomparer plot of coloc analysis: Genus Adlercreutzia on MCP



Figure 4b The locuscomparer plot of coloc analysis: Phylum Verrucomicrobia on MCP



Figure 4c The locuscomparer plot of coloc analysis: Phylum Verrucomicrobia on Headache



Figure 4d The locuscomparer plot of coloc analysis: Genus Alistipes on Facialpain



Figure 4e The locuscomparer plot of coloc analysis: Genus Bifidobacterium on Facialpain



Figure 4f The locuscomparer plot of coloc analysis: Genus Odoribacter on Neckshoulderpain



Figure 4g The locuscomparer plot of coloc analysis: Genus Alistipes on Abdominalpain



Figure 4h The locuscomparer plot of coloc analysis: Specie Eubacterium_eligens on Abdominalpain



Figure 4i The locuscomparer plot of coloc analysis: Order Bifidobacteriales on Backpain



Figure 4j The locuscomparer plot of coloc analysis: Genus Subdoligranulum on Hippain



Figure 4k The locuscomparer plot of coloc analysis: Genus Dialister on Kneepain



Figure 4l The locuscomparer plot of coloc analysis: Genus Desulfovibrio on pain all over body



Figure 4m The locuscomparer plot of coloc analysis: Genus Ruminococcus2 on pain all over body



Figure 5 Mediator model: Genus Odoribacter to neckshoulder pain