2	Self-management of multimorbidity in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-synthesis
3	with focus on diabetes, hypertension, chronic kidney disease and HIV infection
4	
5	Sangwani Nkhana Salimu*1,2, Melissa Taylor ¹ ¶, Stephen A Spencer, ² ¶, Deborah Nyirenda ^{2,3} , Nicola
6	Desmond ³ , Ben Morton ^{1,2}
7	
8	¹ Department of Clinical Sciences, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, UK
9	² Malawi-Liverpool-Wellcome Programme, Blantyre, Malawi
10	³ Department of International Public Health, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, UK
11	
12	
13	* Corresponding author: sangwani.salimu@lstmed.ac.uk
14	¶ These authors contributed equally to this work.
15	
16	ORCID ID: 0000-0002-7543-8512 (SNS)
17	ORCID ID: 0000-0002-6164-2854 (BM)
18	ORCID ID: 0000-0002-2874-8569 (ND)
19	
20	
21	NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.
22	
23	

Abstract

Background

The increasing prevalence of multimorbidity in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) is an urgent concern for health systems delivery. It is uncertain how best to promote self-management approaches or the actions that patients and carers take to maintain physical and mental health, in this context. This review aims to identify, critically appraise, and synthesize qualitative evidence that describes self-management of

multimorbidity among patients and their carers in SSA.

Methods

We systematically searched PubMed, MEDLINE, CINAHL Global Health, Google Scholar and grey literature for studies on self-management of multimorbidity or common individual chronic diseases (HIV, diabetes, hypertension or chronic kidney disease) in SSA published between 1 January 2000 and 28 to 15th September 2024. Using qualitative meta-synthesis techniques to formulate the questions and synthesize findings. We used a 10-point Critical Appraisal Skills Program (CASP)-Qualitative-Checklist to assess the quality of the studies and NVivo 12 software to facilitate a thematic analysis approach.

Results

We screened 2010 articles for inclusion and 20 studies met inclusion criteria. We identified themes related to medical, diet, emotional and physical self-management activities. Patients negotiate self-management based on immediacy of needs and available family support. Patients are motivated to apply biomedical management but are limited by factors such as drug stock-outs and out-of-pocket expenditure. Limited knowledge and low self-efficacy toward self-management of multimorbidity impact decision making and problem solving. We found that diabetes mellitus presents the biggest stressor in terms of burden of treatment; temporal discontinuation of medications is more prevalent amongst patients with hypertension; and patients with multimorbidity are frequently hypervigilant about their health, more likely to suffer from stress and to seek healthcare.

Conclusions

We found that there is relative lack of data on self-management of multimorbidity among patients and their carers in SSA. Where data exists, we observed significant health literacy gaps, low health literacy support and limited self-efficacy as barriers to implementation of self-management. Context sensitive programmes are required to improve health literacy to increase patient autonomy and their toolkit of options to manage chronic disease.

Introduction

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

Multimorbidity, the presence of two or more long-term conditions (LTCs), is an immediate and increasing health challenge in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) (1-3). There are concerns, however, that this definition does not address the person-centered needs of multimorbidity related to the complex relationships between patient or carer preferences, priorities, and social context (2, 4, 5). Despite increasing prevalence of multimorbidity, data on patient and carer health literacy, skills and engagement are limited in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) (3, 6). Self-management refers to the actions individuals and carers take for themselves, their families and others to maintain good physical and mental health; meet social and psychological needs; and prevent illness deterioration (7-9). This article aims to evaluate available data on the implementation of self-management strategies for multimorbidity in SSA. Effective self-management requires partnership with healthcare providers to support patients and carers to increase health literacy, skills and confidence (7, 8, 10, 11). There are notable differences in how multimorbidity presents in SSA compared to high-income countries (HIC); people in SSA more frequently suffer from co-existing chronic non-communicable diseases (NCD) and communicable diseases such as HIV-infection (3, 12, 13). This fact, combined with limited access to care and poorly developed pathways for the prevention and management of chronic conditions (14), pose particular challenges for patients. People living with multimorbidity (PLWMM) must constantly negotiate decisions related to their illnesses and interactions with healthcare (15-17). Individuals self-reflect and selfnegotiate on pivotal occurrences related to their conditions; when/where to seek care; and what disease and/or symptoms to prioritize (18, 19). These occurrences function as critical cues or indicators that may lead to significant changes in an individual's approach to self-care, serving as signals that prompt a reassessment and potential refinement of their management strategies. The inter-relationship and recognition of chronic and acute symptoms is a particular challenge in this respect (18, 19). Limited access to healthcare and high out-of-pocket expenditure exacerbates the challenges for PLWMM in SSA (16, 20-22). Furthermore, limited or poor-quality interactions with healthcare providers may also present missed opportunities to improve health literacy and self-management (23). Our aim was to explore existing evidence on the implementation of self-management strategies for PLWMM, focusing on four common disease combinations (HIV, hypertension, diabetes and chronic kidney disease [CKD]) in SSA (6, 24). We conducted a systematic literature search and meta-synthesis of

primary qualitative papers related to patients living with two or more of these diseases. Lessons drawn
 from the review will help inform the development of improved patient-centred self-management
 strategies for PLWMM.

92

Methods

93 94 Design 95 We conducted a qualitative meta-synthesis focused on patient and carer experiences of living with 96 multimorbidity, examining current and potential self-management approaches in SSA. The systematic 97 review protocol was registered in the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews 98 (PROSPERO) database (ID: CRD42021262708). We followed methodological and reporting procedures 99 for qualitative meta-synthesis as outlined by Sandelowsi and Barroso (2007) (25). 100 **Search methods** 101 We conducted a systematic literature search within Medline, CINAHL, and Global Health (25) databases. Our detailed search terms and strategy are described in Box 1. 102 103 **Selection of studies** 104 We included: (1) studies that employed a qualitative, or mixed methodology; (2) explored self-105 management of multimorbidity among adults (≥18 years old) and/or their carers living with HIV, CKD, 106 diabetes or hypertension or any combination of these diseases; (3) published between January 2000 and 107 September 2024; and (4) conducted in sub-Saharan Africa. We excluded (1) abstracts and conference 108 proceedings that were not peer reviewed; (2) studies published in a language other than English; (3) 109 systematic or literature reviews; and (4) studies focused on palliative procedures. 110 A minimum of two people (SNS, SAS or MT) independently screened titles, abstracts, and full text in turn for manuscript inclusion. Subsequently, any discrepancies were discussed until consensus was reached 111 (25). We also screened reference lists of papers included in the article. Eligible papers are summarized in 112 Table 2. 113 114 115 **Quality appraisal** We appraised the quality of eligible papers using the 10-item Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) 116 117 checklist for systematic appraisal of qualitative research (26). SNS and SAS appraised papers independently; discussed discrepancies until they reached consensus or referred to MT for arbitration. 118 119 We assessed 10 areas: aim, methodology, research design, ethical considerations, research self-scrutiny,

recruitment strategy, data-collection, data-analysis, findings, and study relevance using a 3-point scale with range 0-1 (1, Yes; 0, No; 0.5, Unclear) for each area. We assigned scores as follows: a weak score, (0) to articles that offered little to no justification for a particular issue; a moderate score, (0.5), to articles that addressed the issue but did not fully elaborate (e.g., the justification for using a self-management strategy was presented but the context itself was not explained) and a strong score, (1), to articles that extensively justified and explained the issue at hand. Studies that had a combined score across all areas between 9-10 were designated as high quality. Fourteen studies described clear aims, utilized appropriate methods, and offered meaningful interpretations indicating strong methodological rigor and were adjudged to be of high quality (25). See Box 3 for critical appraisal results.

Analysis

Qualitative data synthesis was conducted using a thematic approach informed by Braun and Clarke, 2006 (27). The first author (SNS) familiarized herself with all the papers through reading and re-reading. Data analysis involved extraction of raw data including quotes as first order constructs and author interpretations as second-order constructs. SNS then generated third-order constructs as themes that represented synthesized interpretations of the data. To increase validity of the synthesis, first order constructs were conceptualized as key concepts and we then identified quotes that best represented each second-order construct. Additional abstraction led to development of third-order constructs (new themes), which were discussed amongst the full team (ND, DN and BM). In line with established practice, where necessary, we overrode primary researchers' interpretations in favor of direct participants accounts (28). We explored codes and examined their relationship to each other to identify themes. Data synthesis was iterative to enhance depth of findings through adding, removing or merging codes as well as re-analyzing the data upon the emergence of new themes.

Results

Characteristics of included studies

We screened 2010 papers in the title phase; of these, 62 underwent abstract screening and 20 studies fulfilled criteria for inclusion (Figure 1: PRISMA flow chart). Studies reported findings from seven countries: six in South Africa (23, 29-33); five in Malawi (15, 34-37); three in Ghana (38-40) and Liberia

(41-43); two from Nigeria (41, 44) and one each from Senegal (45), and Kenya (46). Four papers purposively recruited PLWMM (15, 23, 34, 47) while twelve studies addressed diabetes (29, 31, 32, 35, 37-39, 42-46). Additionally, two studies covered hypertension (30, 41) and another two covered HIV (33, 34). The methods used included semi-structured in-depth interviews (IDIs) (n = 10) (15, 29, 33, 34, 37, 38, 40, 41, 44, 45); IDIs and observations (n= 3) (23, 31, 46); FGDs (n = 1) (35); IDIs and FGDs (n=3) (30, 32, 47); IDIs, FGDs and observations (n = 1) (39); and photovoice (n=2) (42, 43). Two studies employed mixed methods (44, 47). Whilst we focused on HIV, diabetes, hypertension and chronic kidney disease, eight additional chronic conditions were observed and discussed in selected studies. These included: stroke, gout, asthma, depression, sickle cell anemia, epilepsy and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. These conditions were sometimes mentioned in combination with the primary conditions of interest. Although they are not the focus of our manuscript, their inclusion in the studies reflects their frequent co-occurrence with the primary conditions, which is crucial for understanding the complexity of managing multiple chronic diseases. This broader context helps to provide a more comprehensive view of the challenges associated with multimorbidity.

Synthesis of findings

We carried out a meta synthesis review on self-management of multimorbidity among patients living with a combination of HIV, diabetes, hypertension or chronic kidney disease. We synthesized findings under four main inductive themes namely: i) medical management; ii) diet management; iii) emotional management; and iv) physical management.

Medical management

Biomedical treatment is prioritized, but access is limited

The papers reviewed reported high awareness of the need for biomedical treatment among patients and carers. Commonly practiced medical management strategies include active search for medical information (15, 39); maintenance of medical appointments and drug adherence (23, 31, 32, 35, 39-41, 46-48); self-monitoring (15, 39, 40, 42, 44, 46); and avoidance of trigger factors, for example wearing tight shoes for diabetic patients (31, 36, 40). Biomedical management of chronic conditions was considered central towards optimal disease control, even more so when implemented alongside dietary and spiritual management (15, 23, 29-32, 34, 35, 39, 43, 45, 49). Patients living with diabetes fear serious consequences of hypoglycemia or hyperglycemia and claim increased treatment compliance

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

than the other diseases (39). PLWMM are more likely to implement biomedical management to prevent secondary complications (31, 34, 39, 49). "I am always afraid of low blood sugar because I have experienced it on several occasions. I go about with cube sugar in case of sudden low blood sugar. I also take cola drink whenever I have low blood sugar which I do with caution to avoid elevated blood sugar level'. (FGD 4, 48-year-old Civil servant) (44). "BP (hypertension) is dangerous because if people have not gone to the hospital, they may suffer a stroke or lose the use of some limbs or even die. Sometimes we hear of people just dying without getting sick," (male, 58, 13 years on ARTs, never misses ARTs- also antihypertensive). i.... also have diabetes, and I spend most of my savings on going to the chemist to check my. blood sugar level... I was admitted last month for hyperglycemic coma so the doctor said I should be checking my blood sugar every weekend..." (Patient living with hypertension and diabetes) (41). Patients living with singular disease experience more treatment satisfaction compared to PLWMM, reflections on treatment effectiveness relate to initiation of treatment and were directly linked to reduced distress and improved functionality (15, 34, 37, 47). "Before I started taking my medication my heart could beat faster. In the case of diabetes, before I had knowledge of it I couldn't cultivate (farm) because I felt very weak and I couldn't figure it out that it was due to diabetes, but after I started taking medication now I can cultivate for long time [. . .] unlike before," Female, 55 diabetes and hypertension (15). ". . .the time when I did not know of my status. . .I was very sick not expecting to be alive up to date like the way I am.... I was not able to eat, to talk to anyone, or even to sit like this, no! I could only sleep and maybe do my toilet right where I was. But just after I started taking my medicine. . . I see that I am getting much better. . . ," IDI Female, HIV (47). "A lot has changed in my life because at first, I did not have good health like this. My life was tough because I was suffering a lot and lost weight but when I got diagnosed and initiated on treatment I have seen great improvement in my general health and I have even gained more

weight than before I started treatment," Man, diabetic (37).

Post initiation of treatment, most PLWMM observe and monitor how their bodies function living with disease (23, 29, 32, 39, 40, 44, 47). Patients living with HIV comorbidity are more likely to pay attention to the other disease than the HIV as many perceive their HIV to be well-managed (23, 29, 30, 32, 39, 40, 47). Again, symptom recognition related to diabetes or hypertension is a more complicated process than in HIV. This may also demonstrate that patient experiences of comorbidity may be more subjective or prolonged for diabetes, hypertension and chronic kidney disease than for HIV itself.

Rationing drug supply as a strategy to manage access to drugs

Medication stock-outs and high costs were reported in all studies (15, 23, 29-32, 34, 35, 38-40, 44-47). Regular drug stockouts likely indicate that shortage of medication for chronic conditions in SSA is a regional challenge. Patients and their carers negotiate such challenges in multiple ways. Most studies indicate that NCD clinics are not integrated, requiring PLWMM to make multiple trips for each disease. For patients with HIV comorbidity, most papers report that patients access ART care nearby and visit a hospital further away for the other disease like diabetes or hypertension. Although ART care is generally decentralized to primary health systems, this review highlights that lack of integrated and coordinated care at tertiary facilities compounds burden of treatment through increased time and financial demands for PLWMM (35, 37, 47).

"I always get my ARVs from Ntabiseng clinic in Bara, and my diabetes pills from the diabetes clinic," female living with HIV and diabetes (23).

"Transportation is difficult because I am not working. I attend four clinics [. . .]. Today I am here, then next month I'll attend two other clinics." (Person living with multimorbidity) (23)

While challenges persist, one study reported enhanced access to information, health education and medication availability improved diabetes self-management amongst patients in Malawi (37). This study aimed to explore self-management of diabetes at a facility implementing the World Health Organization's Package of Essential NCD Interventions for primary health care in low-resource settings (WHO PEN-Plus) (37).

We found that patients prioritize obtaining treatment despite financial concerns. Patients perceive the health outcomes of missing diabetes and hypertension treatment to outweigh the financial related costs due to transport, thus informing the decision making process (47, 50). Out-of-pocket expenditures thus

indicate a strong commitment to self-management among patients and their carers. Some patients modify treatment schedule and ration drugs titrated against symptoms of disease, a practice observed particularly among hypertensive patients (34, 38, 47), who may also purchase medications from informal sources like street vendors due to lower costs (47). Some patients, however, cannot afford medications representing a significant strain on financial well-being (36, 42). This leads to delayed or absent treatment, potentially leading to disease complications, while others may turn to alternative treatment pathways, such as traditional care (23, 40, 46, 47) or apply dietary management as an alternative, rather than complimentary treatment (46). Apart from limited access to biomedical treatment, the need to access care from multiple providers is also described by patients or carers who expressed dissatisfaction with biomedical treatment; perceived that treatment was too slow; or experienced side effects (23, 40, 45, 47). This requirement to access multiple providers may be associated with decreased use of biomedical care.

"OK, we've tried the Western type of medicine for a long time ...but it's not getting better as we would want it; we want see the other aspect the traditional and the religious one, see whether it will help him to be fine, even if he will be able to stand on the other foot." (Guardian to a diabetic single amputee) (38). . .."

In some instances, patients may favor spiritual or traditional management over biomedical care but these indicate change in self-management after experiencing disease deterioration (23, 34, 39, 40, 46, 47). In such cases, biomedical treatment is sometimes sought after experiencing an acute event (39, 40), some adopting exclusive medical treatment plans to minimize future disease complications (23, 39).

Multiple medical appointments lead to increased financial costs for patients (23, 29, 30), including failure to make medical appointments for fear of confrontation by health workers for seeking care outside the allocated day (23, 29, 30). Sometimes traditional vertical models of care delivery for PLWMM results in contradictory information regarding handling of conditions and decreased confidence in health care worker capacity to address complex cases (15, 23, 29).

"The problem is that one doctor will tell you to do this and another asks you to do a different thing. I see different doctors for each disease. Last week, the Rheumatologist told me that my bones are getting closer to each other, they have inserted metals in my right foot. When I attended the diabetes clinic [earlier], the doctor asked me to exercise because I was adding more weight, but I can't exercise because of the surgery they did on my leg. My ARVs have amplified

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

37).

my appetite. I eat a lot and I am worried about my weight too. Last time I asked the endocrinologist what else I could do to deal with my appetite, my weight gain and inability to exercise, but he just looked at me without saying a word." IDI, Female, 64, HIV, HTN, DM and arthritis (23). Mistrust presents barriers to implementation of biomedical care Poor communication around the implementation of medical management for disease may create mistrust among patients and their carers. Misinformation or incomplete information can impact on medication adherence amongst patients or carers, presenting a significant barrier to biomedical care (31, 46). Treatment non-adherence emerges as partial, with deliberate on-and-off uptake, or complete non-uptake, with alternatives such as diet or spiritual management implemented as stand-alone forms of care (46). "Why do they give me free medicines for this diabetes? They know that it will never be cured. A real medicine is never free." (31) "I have purchased medicines that were fake . . . it is known that some make fake drugs so they can make lots of quick cash." (Diabetic individual) (46). "When they prescribe me pharmaceutical drugs, I refuse, because I have heard that once you are used to the medicine, you have to take them every day," (Diabetic individual) (46). One study reports community delivery of diabetes drugs in South Africa improved access to drugs (29). This adds on to evidence that availability of drugs closer to home improves treatment adherence (29, Stigma associated with chronic disease Patients with multimorbidity may experience stigma attached to individual chronic conditions such as HIV. In some cases, disclosure of conditions is secondary to maintaining social relationships especially among individuals living with HIV. Thus the value assigned to one's social identity may negatively affect

treatment adherence (15, 23, 45, 47) and potentially increase the risk of disease complications (29, 47).

[...] they advised me that I should start taking medicine. They also advised me that I should be using condoms during sexual intercourse. So, there were many things that they advised me [...] They advised me this, but because I went there to attend antenatal clinic and I was also feeling well, I considered that as a useless thing [...] I just said aaah, with the behaviour of my husband how will I initiate this? I decided that I should first deliver my baby," Female <50 HIV+HTN (47).

Dietary self-management practices

Studies highlighted the importance of dietary management among PLWMM but that implementation is impacted by poverty and high cost of food (15, 31, 35, 37, 38, 41, 49); limited knowledge on portion size estimates (35, 46); and complexity of conditions and patients' contrary cultural values (32, 35, 45, 46). Studies highlighted that preparation of separate meals for patients with chronic disease (32, 46, 47) was challenging, citing poverty and increased workload related to food preparation as the main reasons for not following dietary requirements (32, 35, 39, 44, 45, 47).

Patients and carers demonstrate of diet management are varied (41-43). Lay descriptions of recommended dietary practices include reducing the consumption of carbohydrates or sugar. However, these practices indicate faulty understanding of pertinent issues to mean only sweet foods contain sugar or starch is only present in flour. It also highlights limited comprehension of the need to balance amounts and time of consumption. Some patients and their carers suggest budgetary prioritization to optimize dietary management strategies (43).

"Sometimes these days I do not eat breakfast because I think after dinner I'm satisfied until lunch." (diabetic patient) (46) or not eating 'starch' but eating 'rice' (diabetic patient) (38).

"Oil that settles off when placed down can give you the illness [...]," (42-year-old diabetic patient) (39).

"If I want to eat the rice, my wife put the rice on a fire and put water on it, she will let the rice boil. Then she takes the starch and waste it. She put clean water on the rice again and wash it. Then she wastes it and then she let the rice steam. It shouldn't be soft, it should be, you know, just normal rice. Then the rice does not contain no more starch," man, diabetic, 62, retired college graduate (43).

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

The inaccurate interpretations regarding what is starch or sugar, or the physical presentation of cooking oil stress that knowledge on what, how, when or how much negatively affects dietary implementation for disease. The challenge of comprehending dietary recommendations can create confusion when advice suggests moderating rather than completely eliminating certain foods (23, 34). "When I go to the private clinic the doctors advise me to stop taking meat, salt, sweet beverages, and not to use cooking fat/oil, but without telling me the reasons. When I come to the public hospital the nurse explains that I should take food in moderation, so I don't know who to believe," Female 58, overweight, diabetic. Diet management relates to social factors, kinship, cultural beliefs and systems indicating an intricate relationship between cultural beliefs and what people eat (32, 35, 42, 43, 45, 46). We note that cultural identity, family influence, or community norms may significantly impact dietary practices, sometimes leading to a preference for traditional foods that do not align with medical dietary recommendations. Two studies indicate patient' implementation of contrary practices indicative of the value placed in cultural identity (31, 45). "Being African, I eat rice for lunch because it is part of my culture and Senegalese men like to eat fatty and oily "thiebou dien" every day (Diabetic patient) (45). Desperation or defeatist attitude emerge among diabetic patients who feel hopeless (31, 45, 46) citing diabetes: "diabetes is a slow poison" (35, 46). Such an attitude may normalize certain behaviors contrary to recommended practices for PLWMM. Alternatively, it may indicate a lack of assertiveness or demotivation among patients, who may feel overwhelmed. This perspective can also weaken patients' resolve to adhere to their treatment plans if they perceive their efforts as futile against the challenges posed by their condition. "There are several people that I have met who do not care [about diabetes]. During social events such as wedding, prayers, or funeral, you will see them overeat . . . they tell me . . . you only die once." (Man, diabetic) (46). "You cannot tell your wife that these days do not prepare this. Because you are the only one with diabetes. . . . You must be flexible . . . the issue of dietary restrictions is problematic." (Diabetic man) (46).

Psychological stress among PLWMM

Patients and carers within the included studies reported that anxiety and depression are more pronounced among PLWMM than with single chronic disease (15, 23, 29, 31, 33, 34, 47). Patients with both diabetes and chronic kidney disease had particularly high levels of stress (15, 23). Studies reported that a single diagnosis of hypertension or HIV were associated with lower stress levels (47). Reported stressors included pain; deterioration of disease; onset of new illness and symptoms. These were exacerbated by poverty and limited access to biomedical treatment. For effective emotional management of chronic disease, patients must be able to risk assess; manage time; communicate; and think analytically. These processes facilitate problem solving; allocation of scarce resources; prioritization of conditions; and coordination of self-care (33-35, 47). In most cases, the dominant sources of information include family members (including those who live or had provided care to family member with a similar disease), other patients and health workers (15, 23, 39, 51). The studies demonstrate that PLWMM avoid stressors that may complicate their conditions (15, 29, 34, 35, 39).

"I must also keep my temper in check as I can't afford to lose it otherwise, I risk escalating my blood sugar level and blood pressure". (Woman with hypertension and diabetes) (29).

Depression is cited by PLWMM as they negotiate the burden of conditions (23) or disrupted social

relationships (15, 32, 47).

Lay understanding and implementation of physical activity

PLWMM and their carers recognise the benefit of regular physical activity towards functional performance (15, 30). Some studies highlight the link between physical management and wellbeing (15, 32).

"I bought a testing device which I use to check myself every day. When I was employed, it was helping me because I was walking a long distance to minibus depot which was part of exercise and with the nature of my work, I was working under the sun and I was sweating, so it was also part of my physical exercise and when I test my sugar the following morning it was at a good level such as 90," Male <50 hypertension and diabetic (15).

For women living with multimorbidity, physical exercise was embedded within daily living activities such as domestic chores like cleaning, washing and walking to work (35, 40, 44) rather than public exercise (23, 40, 46). This integration of physical exercise into daily living activities offers a practical, accessible, and sustainable approach to improving health outcomes for PLWMM (35, 47). Barriers to physical management for PLWMM were pain, age, lack of clarity on nature of exercise and competing priorities (15, 29, 31, 32, 39-41, 47). Anxiety, fear of exertion and motivation affect implementation of physical exercise (23, 44). Fear stems from concerns about worsening their condition, experiencing pain, or facing physical limitations, thereby creating a cycle of avoidance and inactivity that perpetuates disease complications (32). "... with me I don't walk long distances at all because of my chest, I get a tight chest when I walk a distance and I start coughing," Patient with hypertension (30). 'I do try to exercise sometimes, but you know I get tired ... and I will do it for a month only, then I just get tired. I am not lazy, but' Female, hypertension (30). In one study, physical management is applied as an alternative in the absence of diet management. 'To deal with these challenges, Participant Y has opted to pay for a gym, which he finds to be affordable at R340 (US\$22) a month, compared with buying healthy foods which he says "are

expensive."' Man, 67, HTN and DM (23).

Social capital for managing multimorbidity

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

Social support was found to facilitate self-management in all included studies (23, 31, 32, 39, 40, 46, 47, 50). Where disclosure of condition(s) is accepted, the patient or carer leverages on social capital and gets support for financial, physical or emotional management (15, 33-35, 39). Conversely, lack of social support means patients learn to manage other people's expectations (33, 45, 49), and sometimes, self-isolate where community expectations contradict recommended medical care (15, 44). Failure to manage external expectations sometimes leads to feelings of worthlessness among patients (15, 31). Challenges to emotional support include competing priorities among individuals who can provide support, family and social commitments and PLWMM themselves being carers to others living with chronic conditions [19, 28, 30]. The absence of emotional support negatively affects relationships (15,

29, 47). Peer support is common among patients with HIV, whether as singular or comorbid conditions
 (23, 29, 32, 34, 35, 39, 44, 47).
 406
 407

Discussion

These results offer a comprehensive understanding of the experiences and coping strategies related to self-managing multimorbidity among patients and their carers in sub-Saharan Africa. This is important because effective self-management strategies are key to controlling chronic conditions, preventing complications, and improving quality and longevity of life. Further work is required to explore how patients and carers can be empowered to manage multimorbidity. We recommend that health literacy programmes are tailored to context to ensure that recommendations are sustainable and deliverable within the healthcare system and that advice is tailored toward social and cultural norms and practical realities.

We found high intention to use biomedical treatment among patients with multimorbidity or individual chronic diseases in SSA (15, 23, 29-32, 34, 35, 38-40, 44-47). However, multiple factors limit implementation of effective self-management strategies. In SSA, patients frequently must access multiple providers and incur out-of-pocket expenses to obtain medications, increasing treatment burden and financial strain (16, 52, 53). Financial constraints require that PLWMM often need to ration drugs or compromise dietary choices. Clearly, working toward universal healthcare coverage (UHC) would help to address some of these issues. Whilst health ministries develop and implement UHC, however, pragmatic sustainable guidance should be developed for patients to help them make optimal prioritisation decisions based on their individual circumstances. Work to improve health literacy and advocacy in patients and their carers is important to drive self-efficacy and self-management of multimorbid disease with examples in Malawi (54), Ethiopia (55), Uganda (56) and South Africa (16, 20). Building social support facilities may also help PLWMM and their carers navigate perceived barriers to self-management (57). In Kenya, for example, PLWMM who attended support groups demonstrated improved decision making and treatment compliance (58).

Our meta-synthesis shows that PLWMM and their carers apply a biosocial lens to self-management and implement strategies between conditions according to their perceived understanding of disease etiology and severity. A systematic review on determinants of HIV treatment adherence in SSA reports that adherence is linked to patient perceptions towards improved health outcomes (59). A key priority, therefore, for PLWMM in SSA would be to link management strategies with measures of disease control.

Creating these feedback loops would help to reinforce self-management decisions and priority setting for both patients and their carers. For example, temporary drug withdrawal was commonly reported among patients with hypertension. This may reflect fear of long-term treatment (58, 60) and/or communication challenges between healthcare workers and patients (60). Measures to increase patient autonomy and ownership of their hypertension together with a disease control feedback loop (e.g. blood pressure control measurements) could promote improved self-management decisions. Strategies to improve physical activity in PLWMM need to be tailored toward individuals so that they are meaningful but also relevant and sustainable. Limited knowledge and low self-efficacy are currently reported as barriers to physical exercise for PLWMM within the current literature (30, 52, 61, 62).

Strength and limitations

To our knowledge, this study is the first qualitative meta-synthesis review to synthesize lived experiences regarding self-management of multimorbidity among patients living with a combination of diabetes, hypertension, chronic kidney disease or HIV. Our authorship group represents a multidisciplinary team including both clinical and qualitative expertise to facilitate rigorous meta-synthesis approaches and clinical relevance. The strength of our findings are limited by the relative paucity of relevant literature in this area. Exclusion of studies not published in English is a limitation and may reduce the generalisability of our findings across different linguistic and cultural contexts. We did not include quantitative data from included papers that utilised mixed methods within our qualitative synthesis. The review may therefore overlook important numerical insights that could contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of the topic.

Conclusion

We found that PLWMM and their carers were motivated to self-manage their conditions but face multiple barriers including both financial and health systems constraints. Health systems strengthening is essential to develop more horizontal and holistic models of care delivery to reduce the need for multiple healthcare interactions and promote joined up care. Contextually sensitive measures to improve health literacy and autonomy should be prioritised as a component of this strengthening to help patients and their carers develop the tools required to manage their long-term health conditions. The development and support of community groups may bolster this process where members can support delivery of appropriate lay information and provide support to patients, reducing pressure on health systems.

Funding

This research was funded by the NIHR (NIHR201708) using UK international development funding from the UK Government to support global health research. The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the UK government.

Competing interests: None declared

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge Ms. Alison Derbyshire, the Academic Liaison & Training Specialist,
Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine (LSTM) for her assistance in the search strategy. We would also like to acknowledge the authors of the original manuscripts for their dedication to this topic and for elevating the voices of marginalized people in sub-Saharan Africa.

References

480

- 481 1. Price AJ, Jobe M, Sekitoleko I, Crampin AC, Prentice AM, Seeley J, et al. Epidemiology of
- 482 multimorbidity in low-income countries of sub-Saharan Africa: Findings from four population cohorts.
- 483 PLOS Glob Public Health. 2023;3(12):e0002677.
- 484 2. Academy of Medical Sciences. Improving the prevention and management of multimorbidity in
- sub-Saharan Africa. London; 2019.
- 486 3. Gouda HN, Charlson F, Sorsdahl K, Ahmadzada S, Ferrari AJ, Erskine H, et al. Burden of non-
- communicable diseases in sub-Saharan Africa, 1990–2017: results from the Global Burden of Disease
- 488 Study 2017. The Lancet Global Health. 2019;7(10):e1375-e87.
- 489 4. Dixon J, Morton B, Nkhata MJ, Silman A, Simiyu IG, Spencer SA, et al. Interdisciplinary
- 490 perspectives on multimorbidity in Africa: developing an expanded conceptual model. medRxiv.
- 491 2023:2023.09.19.23295816.
- 492 5. Banda G, Bosire E, Bunn C, Chandler C, Chikumbu E, Chiwanda J, et al. Multimorbidity research
- in Sub-Saharan Africa: Proceedings of an interdisciplinary workshop [version 1; peer review: 1 approved
- with reservations]. Wellcome Open Research. 2023;8(110).
- 495 6. Spencer SA, Rylance J, Quint JK, Gordon SB, Dark P, Morton B. Use of hospital services by
- 496 patients with chronic conditions in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Bull
- 497 World Health Organ. 2023;101(9):558-70g.
- 498 7. Lorig K. Self-Management of Chronic Illness: A Model for the Future. Generations: Journal of the
- 499 American Society on Aging. 1993;17(3):11-4.
- 500 8. Schulman-Green D, Jaser S, Martin F, Alonzo A, Grey M, McCorkle R, et al. Processes of self-
- management in chronic illness. J Nurs Scholarsh. 2012;44(2):136-44.
- 9. Aantjes CJ, Ramerman L, Bunders JFG. A systematic review of the literature on self-management
- interventions and discussion of their potential relevance for people living with HIV in sub-Saharan Africa.
- 504 Patient Education & Counseling. 2014;95(2):185-200.
- 505 10. World Health Organization. Multimorbidity: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care. Geneva:
- World Health Organization; 2016. Report No.: Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.
- 507 11. Grady PA, Gough LL. Self-management: a comprehensive approach to management of chronic
- 508 conditions. Am J Public Health. 2014;104(8):e25-31.
- 509 12. Asogwa OA, Boateng D, Marzà-Florensa A, Peters S, Levitt N, van Olmen J, et al. Multimorbidity
- of non-communicable diseases in low-income and middle-income countries: a systematic review and
- 511 meta-analysis. BMJ Open. 2022;12(1):e049133.

- 512 13. Academy of Medical Sciences. Multimorbidity: a priority for global health research. Google
- 513 scholar. 2019.
- 514 14. Yadav UN, Lloyd J, Hosseinzadeh H, Baral KP, Bhatta N, Harris MF. Self-management practice,
- associated factors and its relationship with health literacy and patient activation among multi-morbid
- 516 COPD patients from rural Nepal. BMC Public Health. 2020;20(1):300.
- 517 15. Chikumbu EF, Bunn C, Kasenda S, Dube A, Phiri-Makwakwa E, Jani BD, et al. Experiences of
- 518 multimorbidity in urban and rural Malawi: an interview study of burdens of treatment and lack of
- treatment. PLoS Global Public Health. 2022;2(3).
- 520 16. van Pinxteren M, Mbokazi N, Murphy K, Mair FS, May C, Levitt N. The impact of persistent
- 521 precarity on patients' capacity to manage their treatment burden: A comparative qualitative study
- between urban and rural patients with multimorbidity in South Africa. Frontiers in Medicine. 2023;10.
- 523 17. Tran PB, Ali A, Ayesha R, Boehnke JR, Ddungu C, Lall D, et al. An interpretative
- 524 phenomenological analysis of the lived experience of people with multimorbidity in low- and middle-
- income countries. BMJ Global Health. 2024;9(1):e013606.
- 526 18. Shippee ND, Shah ND, May CR, Mair FS, Montori VM. Cumulative complexity: a functional,
- 527 patient-centered model of patient complexity can improve research and practice. J Clin Epidemiol.
- 528 2012;65(10):1041-51.
- 529 19. Ridgeway JL, Egginton JS, Tiedje K, Linzer M, Boehm D, Poplau S, et al. Factors that lessen the
- 530 burden of treatment in complex patients with chronic conditions: a qualitative study. Patient Prefer
- 531 Adherence. 2014;8:339-51.
- 532 20. Roomaney RA, van Wyk B, Pillay-van Wyk V. Multimorbidity in South Africa: Is the health system
- 533 ready? J Multimorb Comorb. 2023;13:26335565231182483.
- 534 21. Coventry PA, Small N, Panagioti M, Adeyemi I, Bee P. Living with complexity; marshalling
- resources: a systematic review and qualitative meta-synthesis of lived experience of mental and physical
- multimorbidity. BMC Family Practice. 2015;16(1):171.
- 537 22. Younas A, Inayat S. Alleviating suffering of individuals with multimorbidity and complex needs: A
- descriptive qualitative study. Nursing Ethics.0(0):09697330231191280.
- 539 23. Bosire EN. Patients' Experiences of Comorbid HIV/AIDS and Diabetes Care and Management in
- Soweto, South Africa. Qualitative health research. 2021;31(2):373-84.
- 541 24. Gouda HN, Charlson F, Sorsdahl K, Ahmadzada S, Ferrari AJ, Erskine H, et al. Burden of non-
- communicable diseases in sub-Saharan Africa, 1990–2017: results from the Global Burden of
- 543 Disease Study 2017. The Lancet Global Health. 2019;7(10):e1375-e87.

- 544 25. Sandelowski M, Barroso J, Voils CI. Using qualitative metasummary to synthesize qualitative and
- quantitative descriptive findings. Res Nurs Health. 2007;30(1):99-111.
- 546 26. Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP). Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP). 2013.
- Qualitative research checklist URL: http://media.wix.com/ugd/. 2013.
- 548 27. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qualitative Research in Psychology.
- 549 2006;3(2):77-101.
- 550 28. Ludvigsen M, Hall E, Meyer G, Fegran L, Aagaard H, Uhrenfeldt L. Using Sandelowski and
- Barroso's Meta-Synthesis Method in Advancing Qualitative Evidence2016.
- 552 29. Mendenhall E, Norris SA. Diabetes care among urban women in Soweto, South Africa: a
- qualitative study. BMC public health. 2015;15:1300.
- 554 30. Magobe NBD, Poggenpoel M, Myburgh C. Experiences of patients with hypertension at primary
- 555 health care in facilitating own lifestyle change of regular physical exercise. Curationis. 2017;40(1):e1-e8.
- 556 31. Matwa P, Chabeli MM, Muller M, Levitt NS. Experiences and guidelines for footcare practices of
- patients with diabetes mellitus. Curationis. 2003;26(1):11-21.
- 558 32. Steyl T, Phillips J. Management of type 2 diabetes mellitus: adherence challenges in
- environments of low socio-economic status. African journal of primary health care & family medicine.
- 560 2014;6(1):E1-E7.
- 561 33. Tyabazeka S, Phiri W, Marie Modeste RR. HIV self-management perceptions and experiences of
- students at one university in South Africa. Curationis. 2024;47(1):e1-e10.
- 34. Moucheraud C, Phiri K, Hoffman RM. Health behaviours and beliefs among Malawian adults
- taking antihypertensive medication and antiretroviral therapy: A qualitative study. Global public health.
- 565 2022;17(5):688-99.
- 35. Mphwanthe G, Carolan M, Earnesty D, Weatherspoon L. Perceived barriers and facilitators to
- diet and physical activity among adults diagnosed with type 2 diabetes in Malawi. Global public health.
- 568 2021;16(3):469-84.
- 36. Angwenyi V, Aantjes C, Bunders-Aelen J, Lazarus JV, Criel B. Patient–provider perspectives on
- 570 self-management support and patient empowerment in chronic care: A mixed-methods study in a rural
- 571 sub-Saharan setting. Journal of Advanced Nursing (John Wiley & Sons, Inc). 2019;75(11):2980-94.
- 572 37. Drown L, Adler AJ, Schwartz LN, Sichali J, Valeta F, Boudreaux C, et al. Living with type 1 diabetes
- in Neno, Malawi: a qualitative study of self-management and experiences in care. BMC health services
- 574 research. 2023;23(1):595.

- 575 38. Aikins AdG, Awuah RB, Pera TA, Mendez M, Ogedegbe G. Explanatory models of diabetes in
- urban poor communities in Accra, Ghana. Ethnicity & Health. 2015;20(4):391-408.
- 577 39. de-Graft Aikins A. Healer shopping in Africa: new evidence from rural-urban qualitative study of
- 578 Ghanaian diabetes experiences. BMJ (Clinical research ed). 2005;331(7519):737.
- 579 40. Amu H, Darteh EKM, Tarkang EE, Kumi-Kyereme A. Management of chronic non-communicable
- diseases in Ghana: a qualitative study using the chronic care model. BMC public health. 2021;21(1):1120.
- 581 41. Ukoha-Kalu BO, Adibe MO, Ukwe CV. A qualitative study of patients' and carers' perspectives on
- factors influencing access to hypertension care and compliance with treatment in Nigeria. Journal of
- 583 hypertension. 2023;41(5):845-51.
- 584 42. Bleah P, Wilson R, Macdonald D, Camargo-Plazas P. 'The solution is we need to have a centre': a
- study on diabetes in Liberia. Health promotion international. 2023;38(5).
- 586 43. Bleah P, Wilson R, Macdonald D, Camargo Plazas P. "When I Don't Have Money, I Don't Eat": A
- 587 Critical Hermeneutic Study of Diabetes in Liberia 2023.
- 588 44. Okurumeh AI, Akpor OA, Okeya OE, Akpor OB. Type 2 diabetes mellitus patients' lived
- experience at a tertiary hospital in Ekiti State, Nigeria. Scientific reports. 2022;12(1):8481.
- 590 45. BeLue R, Diaw M, Ndao F, Okoror T, Degboe A, Abiero B. A cultural lens to understanding daily
- 591 experiences with type 2 diabetes self-management among clinic patients in M'bour, Senegal.
- International quarterly of community health education. 2012;33(4):329-47.
- 593 46. Abdulrehman MS, Woith W, Jenkins S, Kossman S, Hunter GL. Exploring Cultural Influences of
- 594 Self-Management of Diabetes in Coastal Kenya: An Ethnography. Global qualitative nursing research.
- 595 2016;3:2333393616641825.
- 596 47. Angwenyi V, Aantjes C, Kajumi M, De Man J, Criel B, Bunders-Aelen J. Patients experiences of
- 597 self-management and strategies for dealing with chronic conditions in rural Malawi. PLoS ONE.
- 598 2018;13(7):1-17.
- 599 48. Mphwanthe G, Carolan MT, Earnesty D, Weatherspoon LJ. Perceived barriers and facilitators to
- diet and physical activity among adults diagnosed with type 2 diabetes in Malawi. Global Public Health.
- 601 2020;16:469 84.
- 49. Angwenyi V, Bunders-Aelen J, Criel B, Lazarus JV, Aantjes C. An evaluation of self-management
- outcomes among chronic care patients in community home-based care programmes in rural Malawi: A
- 12-month follow-up study. Health & Social Care in the Community. 2021;29(2):353-68.

- 605 50. Mphande M, Campbell P, Hoffman RM, Phiri K, Nyirenda M, Gupta SK, et al. Barriers and
- facilitators to facility HIV self-testing in outpatient settings in Malawi: a qualitative study. BMC Public
- 607 Health. 2021;21(2200).
- 608 51. Amu H, Brinsley TY, Kwafo FO, Amu S, Bain LE. Improving investment in chronic disease care in
- Sub-Saharan Africa is crucial for the achievement of SDG 3.4: application of the chronic care model.
- 610 Archives of Public Health. 2023;81(1):169.
- 611 52. Murphy K, Chuma T, Mathews C, Steyn K, Levitt N. A qualitative study of the experiences of care
- and motivation for effective self-management among diabetic and hypertensive patients attending
- public sector primary health care services in South Africa. BMC health services research. 2015;15:303.
- 614 53. Areri HA, Marshall A, Harvey G. Interventions to improve self-management of adults living with
- 615 HIV on Antiretroviral Therapy: A systematic review. PloS one. 2020;15(5):e0232709.
- 616 54. Kwanjo Banda C, Gombachika B, Nyirenda M, Muula A. Self-management and its associated
- factors among people living with diabetes in Blantyre, Malawi: a cross-sectional study [version 2; peer
- review: 2 approved with reservations]. Open Research Africa. 2021;2(161).
- 619 55. Ferrari G, Ngoga G, Manzi A, Gomber A. Peer Support in the Management of Diabetes to
- 620 Improve Cardiovascular Disease Outcomes in Low- and Middle-Income Countries (LMICs). Global Heart.
- 621 2023.
- 56. Tusubira AK, Nalwadda CK, Akiteng AR, Hsieh E, Ngaruiya C, Rabin TL, et al. Social Support for
- 623 Self-Care: Patient Strategies for Managing Diabetes and Hypertension in Rural Uganda. Annals of Global
- 624 Health. 2021.
- 625 57. Adeniyi A, Idowu O, Ogwumike O, Adeniyi C. Comparative influence of self-efficacy, social
- support and perceived barriers on low physical activity development in patients with type 2 diabetes,
- hypertension or stroke. Ethiop J Health Sci. 2012;22(2):113-9.
- 628 58. Otieno P, Agyemang C, Wilunda C, Sanya RE, Iddi S, Wami W, et al. Effect of Patient Support
- 629 Groups for Hypertension on Blood Pressure among Patients with and Without Multimorbidity: Findings
- from a Cohort Study of Patients on a Home-Based Self-Management Program in Kenya. Global Heart.
- 631 2023.
- 632 59. Heestermans T, Browne JL, Aitken SC, Vervoort SC, Klipstein-Grobusch K. Determinants of
- adherence to antiretroviral therapy among HIV-positive adults in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic
- 634 review. BMJ Global Health. 2016;1(4):e000125.

Macquart de Terline D, Kane A, Kramoh KE, Ali Toure I, Mipinda JB, Diop IB, et al. Factors

60.


associated with poor adherence to medication among hypertensive patients in twelve low and middle income Sub-Saharan countries. PLOS ONE. 2019;14(7):e0219266.

61. Modeste RRM, Majeke SJ. Self-care symptom-management strategies amongst women living with HIV/AIDS in an urban area in KwaZulu-Natal. Health SA Gesondheid (Online). 2010;15:1-8.

62. Schulman-Green D, Jaser SS, Park C, Whittemore R. A metasynthesis of factors affecting self-management of chronic illness. J Adv Nurs. 2016;72(7):1469-89.

Fig 1: PRISMA flow chart

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.00.27.24314469; this version posted September 30, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.







medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.27.24314469; this version posted September 30, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

CASP Checklist: 10 questions to help you make sense of a Qualitative research

How to use this appraisal tool: Three broad issues need to be considered when appraising a qualitative study:

Are the results of the study valid? (Section A)

What are the results? (Section B)

Will the results help locally? (Section C)

The 10 questions on the following pages are designed to help you think about these issues systematically. The first two questions are screening questions and can be answered quickly. If the answer to both is "yes", it is worth proceeding with the remaining questions. There is some degree of overlap between the questions, you are asked to record a "yes", "no" or "can't tell" to most of the questions. A number of italicised prompts are given after each question. These are designed to remind you why the question is important. Record your reasons for your answers in the spaces provided.

About: These checklists were designed to be used as educational pedagogic tools, as part of a workshop setting, therefore we do not suggest a scoring system. The core CASP checklists (randomised controlled trial & systematic review) were based on JAMA 'Users' guides to the medical literature 1994 (adapted from Guyatt GH, Sackett DL, and Cook DJ), and piloted with health care practitioners.

For each new checklist, a group of experts were assembled to develop and pilot the checklist and the workshop format with which it would be used. Over the years overall adjustments have been made to the format, but a recent survey of checklist users reiterated that the basic format continues to be useful and appropriate.

Referencing: we recommend using the Harvard style citation, i.e.: *Critical Appraisal Skills*Programme (2018). CASP (insert name of checklist i.e. Qualitative) Checklist. [online] Available at: URL. Accessed: Date Accessed.

©CASP this work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution – Non-Commercial-Share A like. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/ www.casp-uk.net



Paper for appraisal and reference:

Was there a clear statement of the aims of the research?	Can't Tell No	what was the goal of the research why it was thought importa its relevant
Comments:		
2. Is a qualitative methodology appropriate?	Yes Can't Tell No	If the research seeks to interpret illuminate the actions and/or subject experiences of research participa Is qualitative research the right methodology for addressing the research grant of
Comments:		
Is it worth continuing?		
3. Was the research design appropriate to address the aims of the research?	Yes Can't Tell No	HINT: Consider of the researcher has justified to research design (e.g. have the discussed how they decided when method to use the discussed how they decided when the discussion has been discussed in the discussion of the discussion has been discussed in the discussion of the discussion has been discussed in the discussion of the discussion has been discussed in the discussion of the discussion of the discussion has been discussed in the discussion of t
Comments:		



MedRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.27.24314469; this vereprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who is the aims of it is made available under a CC-BY research? Comments:		HINT: Consider to propose the preprint in perpetuity. If they explained why the participants they selected were the most appropriate to provide access to the type of knowledge sought by the study If there are any discussions around recruitment (e.g. why some people chose not to take part)
5. Was the data collected in a way that addressed the research issue? Comments:	Yes Can't Tell No	HINT: Consider If the setting for the data collection was justified If it is clear how data were collected (e.g. focus group, semi-structured interview etc.) If the researcher has justified the methods chosen If the researcher has made the methods explicit (e.g. for interview method, is there an indication of how interviews are conducted, or did they use a topic guide) If methods were modified during the study. If so, has the researcher explained how and why If the form of data is clear (e.g. tape recordings, video material, notes etc.) If the researcher has discussed saturation of data



medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.27.24314469; this version posted September 30, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0	International license .	
6. Has the relationship between researcher and participants been adequately considered?	Yes Can't Tell No	If the researcher critically examined their own role, potential bias and influence during (a) formulation of the research questions (b) data collection, including sample recruitment and choice of location How the researcher responded to events during the study and whether they considered the implications of any changes in the research design
Section B: What are the results?		
Section B. What are the results!		
7. Have ethical issues been taken into consideration?	Yes Can't Tell No	If there are sufficient details of how the research was explained to participants for the reader to assess whether ethical standards were maintained If the researcher has discussed issues raised by the study (e.g. issues around informed consent or confidentiality or how they have handled the effects of the study on the participants during and after the study) If approval has been sought from the ethics committee
Comments:		



8. Was the data analysis	Yes	HINT: Consider
tiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.27.24314469; this verwhich was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who have lit is made available under a CC-BY 4		ne copyright holder for this re is an in-depth description of the play the preprint in perpetuity. analysis process
	No	 If thematic analysis is used. If so, is it clear how the categories/themes were derived from the data
		Whether the researcher explains how the data presented were selected from the
		original sample to demonstrate the analysis process
		 If sufficient data are presented to support the findings
		 To what extent contradictory data are taken into account
		 Whether the researcher critically examined their own role, potential bias and influence during analysis and selection of data for
		presentation
Comments:		
9. Is there a clear statement of findings?	Yes	HINT: Consider whether • If the findings are explicit
Of findings:	Can't Tell	If there is adequate discussion of the evidence both for and against the
	No	researcher's arguments • If the researcher has discussed the
		credibility of their findings (e.g. triangulation, respondent validation, more
		 If the findings are discussed in relation to the original research question
Comments:		



Section C: Will the results help locally?

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.27.24314469; this version posted September 30, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

10. How valualt is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

research?

HINT: Consider

 If the researcher discusses the contribution the study makes to existing knowledge or understanding (e.g. do they consider the findings in relation to current practice or policy, or relevant researchbased literature

- If they identify new areas where research is necessary
- If the researchers have discussed whether or how the findings can be transferred to other populations or considered other ways the research may be used

Comments:	