# The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental health care utilization among people living with HIV: A real-world data study

Atana Pasha<sup>1,2</sup>, Shan Qiao<sup>1,2</sup>, Jiajia Zhang<sup>2,3</sup>, Ruilie Cai<sup>2</sup>, Buwei He<sup>2</sup>, Xueying Yang<sup>1,2</sup>, Chen Liang<sup>2,4</sup>, Sharon Weissman<sup>2,5</sup>, Xiaoming Li<sup>1,2</sup>

- 1. Department of Health Promotion, Education and Behavior, Arnold School of Public Health, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, USA.
- 2. South Carolina SmartState Center for Healthcare Quality, Arnold School of Public Health, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, USA.
- 3. Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Arnold School of Public Health, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, USA.
- 4. Department of Health Services Policy and Management, Arnold School of Public Health, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, USA.
- 5. Department of Internal Medicine, School of Medicine, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC, USA.

#### Corresponding author:

Shan Qiao, Ph.D.
Department of Health Promotion, Education and Behavior
University of South Carolina, Arnold School of Public Health
915 Greene St, Room 529
Columbia, SC 29208
Phone: 1-803-777-6844

Fax: 1-803-777-6290

E-mail: shanqiao@mailbox.sc.edu

# **Key Points**

**Question:** How did the COVID-19 pandemic affect mental health care utilization among people living with HIV (PLWH)? What are the factors associated with mental care health utilization among PLWH across both the pre-pandemic and pandemic periods?

Findings: Real-world data from a nationwide cohort of 4,575 PLWH identified from the All of Us program in the United States between March 2018 and March 2022 were used to investigate the effect of COVID-19 pandemic on mental health care utilization (i.e., the yearly count of mental healthcare visits). There was a considerable decrease in mental health care utilization during the pandemic (2020-2022) compared to the pre-pandemic period (2018-2020). Factors associated with higher mental health care utilization during the pandemic were the experience of COVID-19 infection, being middle-aged, Medicaid health coverage, and having comorbidities. Black African American participants, those with employer- or union health insurance, and high annual income (>\$35,000), had lower mental health care utilization.

**Meaning:** Our study highlights the diverse and unequal experiences of PLWH during the pandemic, with variations in mental health care utilization over time and across different disadvantaged subgroups. These findings underscore the need for timely and targeted mental health care services and delivery in future public health crises.

#### **Abstract**

**Objective:** The COVID-19 pandemic has profoundly impacted mental health worldwide, particularly among vulnerable populations such as people living with HIV (PLWH). However, large-scale, real-world data on mental health care utilization and associated factors among PLWH remain limited. This study leveraged electronic health records (EHR) and Basics survey data from the All of Us program to explore mental health care utilization and associated factors among PLWH during the COVID-19 pandemic. Methods: Using a retrospective cohort design, we identified and included 4,575 PLWH through computational phenotyping based on relevant Observational Medical Outcomes Partnership (OMOP) Common Data Model (CDM) concept sets from the All of Us program between March 2018 and March 2022. Mental health care utilization was measured using the yearly count of mental health care visits over this period. The pattern of mental health care utilization was compared between pre-pandemic (2018-2020) and during the pandemic (2020-2022). Incidence rate ratios (IRR) from the Poisson generalized linear mixed models (GLMM) were used to examine associations between mental health care utilization, history of COVID-19 infection, demographic factors, pre-existing chronic conditions (e.g., hypertension, diabetes), and socioeconomic status. Results: Among 4,575 PLWH, the annual number of mental health care visits decreased significantly during the pandemic period (March 2020 – March 2022) compared to the pre-pandemic period (March 2018 – February 2020) (IRR = 0.89, p < 0.001). The Poisson regression analysis found that a history of COVID-19 infection was associated with a higher number of mental health care visits (IRR = 1.35, p < 0.001). Middle-aged groups with participants aged 30-39 (IRR= 2.35, p = 0.002), 40-49 (IRR= 3.49, p < 0.001), and 50-64 (IRR= 2.07, p = 0.004) had significantly higher visit numbers compared to the youngest group (18-29 years). Black or African American participants were less likely to have visits compared to White participants (IRR = 0.71, p = 0.002). Medicaid health insurance was associated with an increase (IRR = 1.29, p = 0.007), while employer- or union-sponsored insurance was associated with a decrease in mental health care utilization (IRR = 0.54, p < 0.001, p < 0.001). Preexisting comorbidities greatly increased the number of mental health care visits (one comorbidity: IRR = 5.49, two or more: IRR = 10.4, p < 0.001). Conclusion: Our study reveals a significant decrease in mental health care utilization and the diverse experiences of mental healthcare among PLWH during the COVID-19 pandemic. These findings underscore the importance of addressing disparities in mental health care access, particularly during public health emergencies, and suggest the need for tailored interventions to meet the mental health care needs of PLWH.

Keywords: Mental health care utilization, HIV, COVID-19, All of Us, Health disparities

## **INTRODUCTION**

The COVID-19 pandemic has not only resulted in high mortalities and morbidities but also profoundly affected people's mental health, especially for vulnerable populations such as people living with HIV (PLWH) (1-3). Psychiatric disorders, such as depression, psychosis, substance use disorder, and post-traumatic stress disorder, are 1.5 to 8 times more common among PLWH than in the general population (4). The prevalence of depressive disorder is approximately 1.5 to 2.5 times higher in PLWH compared to the general population (5, 6). Studies have also shown higher levels of anxiety and suicidality among PLWH (7-9). The increasing mental health challenges faced by PLWH stem from the existing physical health burdens of HIV, the heightened risk of severe illness from COVID-19, and other structural-level adversities and vulnerabilities. For instance, HIV-related services disruption, fear of comorbidities with COVID-19, the dual burdens of these diseases, social isolation, limited access to healthcare services, increased stigma, and financial problems result in poor mental health among PLWH (1, 10-16).

Therefore, the prioritization of addressing mental health among PLWH during the COVID-19 pandemic has been identified as a crucial aspect of the response to this public health emergency by the Office of AIDS Research (OAR) and the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) (17). Unfortunately, the difficulties in receiving care were especially evident during the pandemic, as many PLWH reported difficulties in accessing and using mental health care, including in scheduling, appointment availability, and the use of telemedicine, which may not have been available to all patients because of the digital divide (13-16). The under-optimized utilization of mental health care services can lead to worsened psychosocial well-being and HIV-related outcomes, such as increased anxiety, depression, and decreased adherence to HIV treatment and follow-up (13, 18-20). The negative impacts of COVID-19 pandemic on mental health care utilization among PLWH are most significant among minority and underserved populations who already experience disparities in healthcare access (13, 21-27).

Existing literature has demonstrated how the COVID-19 pandemic has further aggregated the preexisting mental health disparities. For example, recent studies report increased alcohol consumption, binge drinking, and recreational drug use during the pandemic among men who have sex with men (MSM), including those living with HIV (28). However, the number of empirical studies focusing on mental health care service interruption and its disparities during PLWH was small, and most studies were usually conducted within an existing HIV-related intervention cohort or cross-sectional surveys. These studies were limited by a small sample size and biases due to self-reported measures. ICD-10 codes in electronic health records (EHR) provide psychiatric disorder diagnoses (mood disorders, anxiety disorders, substance use disorders, stress-related disorders, schizophrenia, and personality disorders), which can be a proxy measure of mental health care visits. The large cohort of PLWH and rich data in the NIH's Cloud-based All of Us program have presented a unique opportunity to address these research gaps. Therefore, this study used real-world data from a large, diverse cohort of PLWH from the All of Us program to address the following research questions:

- How did mental health care utilization change before and during the COVID-19 pandemic?
- What factors are associated with mental health care utilization among PLWH across both the pre-pandemic and pandemic periods?

Examining the disruptions of the COVID-19 pandemic and associated factors will help assess the extent of mental health care challenges experienced by PLWH during the pandemic, identify the most vulnerable sub-populations enduring mental health disparities, and inform strategies on how to improve continuity of care and mental health support during future public health emergencies.

#### **METHODS**

# **Study Design and Data Source**

This study used a retrospective cohort design to examine the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental health care utilization among PLWH in the United States. The All of Us program aims to enroll

over 1 million diverse participants aged 18 and older in the US and has collected various types of data since 2018. The electronic health records (EHR) data used in the study were longitudinal data extracted from 2018 to 2022 and were a key source of outcome variable (yearly count of mental health care visits). The study cohort included PLWH identified through computational phenotyping based on the EHR data and Personal and Family Health History data. The deidentified data are available to researchers through public, controlled, and registered tiers. For the Registered Tier that we used in this study, EHR data are normalized by the Observational Medical Outcomes Partnership (OMOP) Common Data Model (CDM). Additional personal data collected from Survey data consisted of the Basics survey (28 questions), which asks for information on basic demographics, household size, health insurance, disability status, employment and income, and housing conditions. The Overall Health survey (21 questions) focuses on health literacy, general health conditions, women's health issues (if applicable), and transplant history. Additional surveys on specific subjects (e.g., personal medical history, family medical history, and health access and utilization) are added regularly. Data from these surveys were used as baseline information and have been normalized by OMOP.

#### **Study Cohort**

The study cohort included PLWH identified through computational phenotyping based on the EHR data and Personal and Family Health History data (29). The individuals in the study cohort could be enrolled in the All of Us database at any time from May 2017 to March 2022. We modified the concept sets given the All of Us project contains survey data in addition to EHR data. The logical procedures for phenotyping the PLWH cohort were followed by the longitudinal study protocol Using All of Us data to examine the mental health change during the COVID-19 pandemic among PLWH (17).

#### **Outcome Measures**

In this paper, we measured mental health care utilization using the yearly counts of mental health care visits in the All of Us Research Program, based on ICD-10 codes for mental health disorders across 6 broad domains: mood disorders, anxiety disorders, substance use disorders, stress-related disorders, schizophrenia, and personality disorders (30). The number of mental health related visits was divided into

four intervals, which tracks the number of mental health care visits across two 2-year intervals (March 2018 - February 2020 as pre-pandemic period and March 2020 - March 2022 as pandemic period). We analyzed the overall trend in mental health care utilization over these intervals and assessed factors associated with mental health care utilization during the pandemic.

#### **Covariates**

A wide range of demographic, socioeconomic, and health-related factors were considered as covariates in the analysis to understand their association with mental health care utilization among PLWH during the COVID-19 pandemic. Demographic variables included age, gender, race/ethnicity, marital status, and sexual orientation. Socioeconomic variables comprised household income, educational attainment, and type of health insurance, such as Medicaid or employer-sponsored insurance. Health-related covariates involved the history of COVID-19 infection, the number of pre-existing chronic conditions such as hypertension and diabetes, and HIV-related health indicators.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

We employed Poisson generalized linear mixed models (GLMM) to assess the yearly count of mental health care visits. Incidence rate ratios (IRR) were calculated to evaluate the relative change in the number of mental health visits across different intervals and among various demographic, socioeconomic, and health-related subgroups. The IRR quantified and demonstrated differences in the number of mental health visits in four distinct intervals, accounting for the effects of covariates. An IRR greater than 1 indicates an increased number of visits, while an IRR less than 1 indicates fewer visits.

## **RESULTS**

#### **Characteristics of participants**

We identified a cohort of 4,575 PLWH, while 3042 (66%) were males, 1348 (29%) were females, and about 185 (4%) were identified as not man only, not women only, preferred not to answer, or skipped the gender question (Table 1). The average age of the cohort was 55 (SD=12.44 years). Half of the participants were in the age group of 50-64 years (50%), followed by the age group of 65+ years (20%), 40-49 years (15%), 30-39 years (12%), and 18-29 years (3%). Black/African Americans were the largest

racial group in the study (49%), followed by Asian/others/unknown (28%) and White (22%). In terms of ethnicity, nearly 18% of the cohort were Hispanic or Latino. About 46% of the cohort were never married, and 45% were identified as straight in terms of sexual orientation. Regarding socioeconomic status, 91% of the PLWH had a high school degree or more, and 59% had an annual income of less than \$35,000. Regarding health insurance, 48% had Medicaid, 24% had Medicare, 13% had employer- or union-sponsored insurance, and 11% claimed other health insurance plans. With aspects of pre-existing health conditions, nearly 13% of the cohort reported disabilities, 20% of them reported one chronic disease besides HIV, and 18% reported two or more types of comorbidities. Nearly 11% of the cohort reported a history of COVID-19 infection.

Table 1. Summary of Demographic Characteristics of the People Living With HIV (PLWH) Cohort.

Characteristic	Overall	p-value
	N = 4,575	
	(100%)	
Gender		< 0.001
Female	1,348 (29.46)	
Male	3,042 (66.49)	
	185 (4.04)	
Not man only, not woman only, prefer not to answer, or skipped		
Mean Age in years (SD)	55 (12.44)	0.600
Age Group (years)		< 0.001
18-29	152 (3.32)	
30-39	545 (11.91)	
40-49	687 (15.02)	
50-64	2,299 (50.25)	
65+	892 (19.50)	
Race		< 0.001
White	1,029 (22.49)	
Black or African American	2,252 (49.22)	
Asian/Other/Unknown	1,294 (28.28)	
Ethnicity		< 0.001
Hispanic or Latino	801 (17.51)	
Not Hispanic or Latino	3,566 (77.95)	
Unknown	208 (4.55)	
Marital Status		< 0.001

Divorced/Separated/Widowed	1,084 (23.69)	
Divorced/Separated/ Widowed	1 120 (24 69)	
Married/Living With Partner	1,129 (24.68)	
Never Married	2,127 (46.49)	
Unknow	235 (5.14)	
Sex Orientation		< 0.001
	2,495 (54.55)	
Non-straight, prefer not to answer, or skipped	2 070 (45 45)	
Straight	2,079 (45.45)	0.400
Education		0.400
High school degree or more	4,168 (91.10)	
High school degree or more		
Less than a high school degree	181 (3.96)	
Unknown	226 (4.94)	
Income	220 (, .)	< 0.001
income.		10100
Greater than 35,000 US dollars	955 (20.87)	
	2.707 (50.15)	
Less than 35,000 US dollars	2,706 (59.15)	
Unknown	914 (19.98)	
Medicaid		< 0.001
No	2,387 (52.17)	
Yes	2,188 (47.83)	
Medicare		0.900
No	3,492 (76.33)	
Yes	1,083 (23.67)	
Employer or Union		< 0.001
No	3,958 (86.51)	
Yes	617 (13.49)	
Other Health Plans		0.110
No	4,074 (89.05)	
Yes	501 (10.95)	0.004
History of COVID-19 infection	4.002 (00.44)	< 0.001
No	4,092 (89.44)	
Yes	483 (10.56)	0.600
Disability status	2 002 (07 04)	0.600
no	3,982 (87.04)	
yes	593 (12.96)	
Number of Comorbidities		< 0.001
0	2,826 (61.77)	
1	918 (20.07)	
2+	831 (18.16)	
<i>□</i>	0.51 (10.10)	

## **Poisson GLMM Analysis**

The yearly count of mental health care visits, assessed using Poisson GLMM, showed a significant decrease during the pandemic (March 2020 – March 2022) compared to the pre-pandemic (March 2018 – February 2020) (IRR = 0.89, p < 0.001). Our study also found several factors that were significantly associated with mental health care utilization among PLWH during the COVID-19 pandemic (Table 2).

Factors associated with higher mental health care utilization among PLWH included a history of COVID-19 infection, with participants who had COVID-19 infection showing a higher number of mental health care visits (IRR = 1.35, p < 0.001). Older age was another key factor, with participants aged 30-39 (IRR= 2.35, p = 0.002), 40-49 (IRR= 3.49, p < 0.001), and 50-64 (IRR= 2.07, p = 0.004) having a significantly higher number of mental health care visits compared to the youngest group (18-29 years). People with Medicaid health insurance had a higher number of mental health care visits (IRR= 1.29, p = 0.007). Pre-existing comorbidities associated with an increased number of visits, with one comorbidity (IRR = 5.49, p < 0.001) or two or more comorbidities (IRR = 10.4, p < 0.001) significantly elevating the yearly count of mental health care visits.

On the other hand, several factors were associated with a decrease in the yearly count of mental health care visits. High income was associated with a decrease in a yearly count of mental health care visits, as participants with an annual income higher than \$35,000 had fewer mental health care visits (IRR = 0.47, p <0.001). Race played a role, with Black or African American participants (IRR = 0.71, p = 0.002) less likely to have mental health care utilization compared to White participants. Health insurance type was another important factor, as participants with employer- or union-sponsored health insurance had fewer mental health care visits (IRR = 0.54, p < 0.001).

**Table 2.** Poisson Generalized Linear Mixed Models (GLMM) of Factors Associated with Mental Health care utilization, Including History of COVID-19 infection, Age, Income, Insurance, and Number of Comorbidities.

Characteristic	IRR1	95% CI1	p-value
History of COVID-19 Infection			_
Status: No		_	
Status: Yes	1.35	1.28, 1.42	< 0.001

Gender           Female         —         —           Male         1.04         0.84, 1.28         0.7           Not man only, not woman only, prefer not to answer, or skipped         1         0.63, 1.60         >0.9           Age group         —         —         —           18-29         —         —         —           30-39         2.35         1.38, 4.02         0.002           40-49         3.49         2.07, 5.88         <0.001
Female Male Male Not man only, not woman only, prefer not to answer, or skipped         1.04         0.84, 1.28         0.7           Age group         1         0.63, 1.60         >0.9           18-29         —         —         —           30-39         2.35         1.38, 4.02         0.002           40-49         3.49         2.07, 5.88         <0.001
Male Not man only, not woman only, prefer not to answer, or skipped       1.04       0.84, 1.28       0.7         Age group       1       0.63, 1.60       >0.9         18-29       —       —       —         30-39       2.35       1.38, 4.02       0.002         40-49       3.49       2.07, 5.88       <0.001
Not man only, not woman only, prefer not to answer, or skipped         1         0.63, 1.60         >0.9           Age group         18-29         —         —         —         —         —         30-39         2.35         1.38, 4.02         0.002         40-49         3.49         2.07, 5.88         <0.001         50-64         2.07         1.25, 3.41         0.004         65+         1.24         0.72, 2.12         0.4           Race group         White         —
skipped       1       0.63, 1.60       >0.9         Age group       18-29       —       —         30-39       2.35       1.38, 4.02       0.002         40-49       3.49       2.07, 5.88       <0.001
Age group         18-29       —       —       —         30-39       2.35       1.38, 4.02       0.002         40-49       3.49       2.07, 5.88       <0.001
18-29
30-39
A0-49
50-64       2.07       1.25, 3.41       0.004         65+       1.24       0.72, 2.12       0.4         Race group         White       —       —         Asian/Other/Unknown       0.81       0.54, 1.19       0.3         Black or African American       0.71       0.57, 0.89       0.002         Ethnicity group       —       —       —         Not Hispanic or Latino       1.32       0.90, 1.94       0.2         Unknown       1.13       0.65, 1.96       0.7         Marital Status         Never Married       —       —         Divorced/Separated/Widowed       1.21       0.98, 1.49       0.081         Married/Living with Partner       0.87       0.71, 1.08       0.2         Unknown       1.26       0.85, 1.86       0.3         Sexual Orientation         Straight       —       —       —
Race group         White       —       —       —         Asian/Other/Unknown       0.81       0.54, 1.19       0.3         Black or African American       0.71       0.57, 0.89       0.002         Ethnicity group       Straight       —<
Race group         White       —       —         Asian/Other/Unknown       0.81       0.54, 1.19       0.3         Black or African American       0.71       0.57, 0.89       0.002         Ethnicity group       Value of Latino         Not Hispanic or Latino       —       —         Hispanic or Latino       1.32       0.90, 1.94       0.2         Unknown       1.13       0.65, 1.96       0.7         Marital Status       —       —         Never Married       —       —       —         Divorced/Separated/Widowed       1.21       0.98, 1.49       0.081         Married/Living with Partner       0.87       0.71, 1.08       0.2         Unknown       1.26       0.85, 1.86       0.3         Sexual Orientation       —       —       —         Straight       —       —       —
White       —       —         Asian/Other/Unknown       0.81       0.54, 1.19       0.3         Black or African American       0.71       0.57, 0.89       0.002         Ethnicity group       Not Hispanic or Latino       —       —       —         Hispanic or Latino       1.32       0.90, 1.94       0.2         Unknown       1.13       0.65, 1.96       0.7         Marital Status       Never Married       —       —       —         Divorced/Separated/Widowed       1.21       0.98, 1.49       0.081         Married/Living with Partner       0.87       0.71, 1.08       0.2         Unknown       1.26       0.85, 1.86       0.3         Sexual Orientation       —       —       —         Straight       —       —       —
Asian/Other/Unknown       0.81       0.54, 1.19       0.3         Black or African American       0.71       0.57, 0.89       0.002         Ethnicity group       Not Hispanic or Latino       —       —       —         Hispanic or Latino       1.32       0.90, 1.94       0.2         Unknown       1.13       0.65, 1.96       0.7         Marital Status       Never Married       —       —         Divorced/Separated/Widowed       1.21       0.98, 1.49       0.081         Married/Living with Partner       0.87       0.71, 1.08       0.2         Unknown       1.26       0.85, 1.86       0.3         Sexual Orientation       —       —       —         Straight       —       —       —
Black or African American       0.71       0.57, 0.89       0.002         Ethnicity group       Not Hispanic or Latino       —       —         Hispanic or Latino       1.32       0.90, 1.94       0.2         Unknown       1.13       0.65, 1.96       0.7         Marital Status         Never Married       —       —       —         Divorced/Separated/Widowed       1.21       0.98, 1.49       0.081         Married/Living with Partner       0.87       0.71, 1.08       0.2         Unknown       1.26       0.85, 1.86       0.3         Sexual Orientation       —       —       —         Straight       —       —       —
Ethnicity group         Not Hispanic or Latino       —       —         Hispanic or Latino       1.32       0.90, 1.94       0.2         Unknown       1.13       0.65, 1.96       0.7         Marital Status       —       —         Never Married       —       —       —         Divorced/Separated/Widowed       1.21       0.98, 1.49       0.081         Married/Living with Partner       0.87       0.71, 1.08       0.2         Unknown       1.26       0.85, 1.86       0.3         Sexual Orientation       —       —       —
Not Hispanic or Latino       —       —         Hispanic or Latino       1.32       0.90, 1.94       0.2         Unknown       1.13       0.65, 1.96       0.7         Marital Status       —       —       —         Never Married       —       —       —         Divorced/Separated/Widowed       1.21       0.98, 1.49       0.081         Married/Living with Partner       0.87       0.71, 1.08       0.2         Unknown       1.26       0.85, 1.86       0.3         Sexual Orientation       —       —       —         Straight       —       —       —
Hispanic or Latino       1.32       0.90, 1.94       0.2         Unknown       1.13       0.65, 1.96       0.7         Marital Status         Never Married       —       —       —         Divorced/Separated/Widowed       1.21       0.98, 1.49       0.081         Married/Living with Partner       0.87       0.71, 1.08       0.2         Unknown       1.26       0.85, 1.86       0.3         Sexual Orientation       —       —       —
Unknown       1.13       0.65, 1.96       0.7         Marital Status       -       -       -         Never Married       -       -       -         Divorced/Separated/Widowed       1.21       0.98, 1.49       0.081         Married/Living with Partner       0.87       0.71, 1.08       0.2         Unknown       1.26       0.85, 1.86       0.3         Sexual Orientation       -       -       -       -       -         Straight       -       -       -       -       -
Marital Status         —         —         —         —         —         —         —         —         Divorced/Separated/Widowed         1.21         0.98, 1.49         0.081         0.81         0.87         0.71, 1.08         0.2         0.2         0.85, 1.86         0.3         0.3         Sexual Orientation         Straight         —
Never Married       —       —         Divorced/Separated/Widowed       1.21       0.98, 1.49       0.081         Married/Living with Partner       0.87       0.71, 1.08       0.2         Unknown       1.26       0.85, 1.86       0.3         Sexual Orientation       —       —       —         Straight       —       —       —
Divorced/Separated/Widowed       1.21       0.98, 1.49       0.081         Married/Living with Partner       0.87       0.71, 1.08       0.2         Unknown       1.26       0.85, 1.86       0.3         Sexual Orientation
Married/Living with Partner       0.87       0.71, 1.08       0.2         Unknown       1.26       0.85, 1.86       0.3         Sexual Orientation       —       —       —
Unknown 1.26 0.85, 1.86 0.3  Sexual Orientation Straight — —
Sexual Orientation Straight — — —
Straight — — —
Non-straight orientation, prefer not to answer, or skipped 1 0.82, 1.22 >0.9
1 0.02, 1.22 >0.5
Education
Less than a high school degree — — —
High school degree or more 1.25 0.83, 1.90 0.3
Unknown 1.17 0.67, 2.06 0.6
Income
less than 35,000 US dollars — — —
greater than 35,000 US dollars 0.47 0.36, 0.61 <0.001
Unknown 0.7 0.56, 0.87 0.001
Disability
No — —
Yes 1.02 0.80, 1.31 0.9
Medicaid
No — —
Yes 1.29 1.07, 1.55 0.007
Medicare

No			
Yes	0.8	0.65, 0.99	0.042
Employer or Union			
No			
Yes	0.54	0.39, 0.74	< 0.001
Other Health Plans			
No			
Yes	1.17	0.88, 1.55	0.3
Number of Comorbidities			
0	<del></del>		
1	5.49	4.46, 6.77	< 0.001
2 and more	10.4	8.30, 12.9	< 0.001

#### **DISCUSSION**

The present study demonstrated the significant impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental health care utilization among PLWH. The reduction in mental health care utilization during the pandemic, which was seen in our study, is in line with previous studies that have documented limited access to care and reduced healthcare seeking behavior among PLWH during the COVID-19 pandemic (1, 10, 19, 31-33). According to the World Health Organization (WHO), essential mental health services were interrupted in more than 90% of the countries during the time of social isolation (34). When social distancing measures were implemented, and the health care systems were under pressure, the availability of basic mental health services was reduced, especially for vulnerable groups such as the PLWH (11). This disruption of the healthcare services resulted in reduced access to mental health services (19). Some of the PLWH have avoided in-person follow-up appointments because of COVID-19 related concerns (11, 20, 35-38).

Telemedicine became essential during the pandemic, acting as a way of ensuring that many PLWH could continue to access mental health services (14, 39). However, challenges, including the digital divide, inadequate access to technology, and issues of privacy, limited the access to telemedicine for some PLWH, especially the older PLWH and the economically disadvantaged people (1, 10, 14, 15, 40).

The number of mental health care visits among PLWH on an annual basis during the COVID-19 pandemic was associated with several factors. History of COVID-19 infection, middle age, Medicaid

health coverage, and comorbidity (higher in multiple comorbidity) were associated with an increase in the yearly count of mental health care visits among PLWH during the pandemic. Participants who self-identified as Black or African American, those with employer- or union-sponsored insurance, and high income had fewer mental health care visits. These findings are consistent with previous studies that indicated that economic vulnerability and comorbid conditions increase the burden of mental health among PLWH (1, 20, 32, 41, 42). Financial difficulties combined with HIV-related prejudice made some people unable to receive treatment and, consequently, fail to attend their appointments (19).

We identified pre-existing comorbidities, particularly multiple comorbidities, associated with an increase in mental health care utilization among PLWH, which is consistent with previous studies (43). The presence of multiple comorbidities increased the mental health burden on PLWH during the pandemic, as managing various chronic conditions in the context of a global health crisis proved to be a significant source of stress (18). Coping with various stressful situations might have adverse consequences for mental health (44). In this regard, the history of COVID-19 infection adds a layer of health-related anxiety and potential stigma, and the stress of managing two or more chronic conditions, social isolation during the COVID-19 pandemic, or economic and societal disruptions caused by the pandemic may contribute to the increase in the yearly counts of mental health care visits (13, 45).

Our study results also indicate that aging with HIV is associated with an increase in mental health care utilization during the COVID-19 pandemic. Older PLWH, in particular, faced significant challenges during the pandemic, as physical distancing measures worsened their feelings of isolation and loneliness, contributing to poorer mental health outcomes (13, 43, 46). Previous research indicates that older adults and those with underlying health conditions are at greater risk for severe illness from COVID-19, which may have heightened their anxiety and reluctance to seek in-person care (2, 13, 43).

On the other hand, some factors were linked with a decrease in mental health care utilization among PLWH during the COVID-19 pandemic. Employer- or union-sponsored insurance and Black or African

American participants were less likely to have had a mental health care visit than other participants, which could be due to access issues or the ways in which care was sought during the pandemic. It has been established that cultural mistrust and perceived discrimination are potential barriers to mental health care service use among Black or African Americans (1, 11, 21, 27). The COVID-19 pandemic has also highlighted the importance of health insurance in providing adequate health care. In our study, having Medicaid as one of the health insurance options was an associated factor for a higher number of mental health care visits, while having employer- or union-sponsored health insurance was associated with a decrease in mental health care utilization. Employer- and union-sponsored health insurance is a sign of job security, which can be considered as a buffer against other living and care expenses stress (1, 19, 32). On the other hand, Medicare health coverage may be related to low-income levels. The ability to afford care and the availability of preventive health visits play pivotal roles in managing HIV as a chronic infection, as health insurance coverage disparities may affect an individual's likelihood to seek healthcare and impact HIV outcomes (9, 47-50).

Several strengths can be identified in our study. Real-world data from a large diverse cohort of PLWH from the All of Us program (EHR and survey data) were used to improve the validity of the findings on mental health care utilization among PLWH during the COVID-19 pandemic. The use of pre-pandemic data (2018-2020) enabled us to compare changes in the yearly counts of mental health care visits before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. Furthermore, we identified factors that are associated with the yearly count of mental health care visits among PLWH, contributing to tailoring interventions for the most vulnerable subpopulations facing mental health disparities. However, the present study has some limitations, including some characteristics used survey data that depended on self-reports and were therefore susceptible to recall and social desirability bias. Additionally, the unavailability of data on telehealth services for mental health care during the pandemic presents a limitation, as telehealth became a prominent mode of care delivery during this period. Finally, although data before the pandemic was

incorporated, more follow-up data are required to determine the long-term trend of mental health care utilization among PLWH.

## **CONCLUSION**

Our study revealed a significant decrease in mental health care utilization among PLWH during the COVID-19 pandemic, highlighting the interruption of mental health care services. Factors such as being middle-aged, experiencing COVID-19 infection, Medicaid coverage, and pre-existing comorbidities were associated with an increased number of yearly mental health care visits. In contrast, Black or African American participants and those having employer- or union-sponsored health insurance and high income had fewer mental health care utilization. These results underscore the importance of developing targeted strategies to maintain PLWH's access to mental health care, especially during a public health crisis among vulnerable sub-populations. It is crucial to embrace a comprehensive and multisectoral approach to mental health and HIV care. Leveraging mHealth and other technology-based interventions can be efficient when in-person access is not possible. Policies need to consider the socioeconomic and psychological effects of pandemics and make sure that mental health care services are prepared to deal with future public health crises.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors thank the All of Us Program.

Funding: The project is funded by the National Institute of Mental Health (NIH/NIMH) under the award number R01MH127961-02S1 as well as the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIH/NIAID) R56AI174892 and R01AI174892.

**Data Availability:** The data used in this study are derived from the All of Us Research Program, which is accessible to approved researchers through the All of Us Researcher Workbench. Access to the data requires registration, completion of the appropriate training, and approval of a research project by the All of Us Data and Research Center. For more information on accessing the data, please visit the All of Us Researcher Workbench (https://workbench.researchallofus.org/).

#### **Declarations**

**Competing Interests:** None declared.

Ethical Approval: The study has been approved by the institutional review board at the University of South Carolina (Pro00124044).

# **REFERENCES**

- 1. Joseph OL, Hall A, Devlin SA, Kerman J, Schmitt J, McNulty MC, Ridgway JP. "When you have an immune disease like HIV and there is a pandemic, you still have to pay your bills": COVID-19-related challenges among people living with HIV and lessons for care delivery. AIDS care. 2022;34(11):1405-12.
- 2. Nicola M, Alsafi Z, Sohrabi C, Kerwan A, Al-Jabir A, Iosifidis C, et al. The socio-economic implications of the coronavirus pandemic (COVID-19): A review. International journal of surgery. 2020;78:185-93.
- 3. Olff M, Primasari I, Qing Y, Coimbra BM, Hovnanyan A, Grace E, et al. Mental health responses to COVID-19 around the world. European Journal of Psychotraumatology. 2021;12(1):1929754.
- 4. Nedelcovych MT, Manning AA, Semenova S, Gamaldo C, Haughey NJ, Slusher BS. The psychiatric impact of HIV. ACS Publications; 2017. p. 1432-4.
- 5. Hémar V, Hessamfar M, Neau D, Vareil M-O, Rouanes N, Lazaro E, et al. A comprehensive analysis of excess depressive disorder in women and men living with HIV in France compared to the general population. Scientific Reports. 2022;12(1):6364.
- 6. WHO. COVID-19 pandemic triggers 25% increase in prevalence of anxiety and depression worldwide. 2022.
- 7. Robertson K, Parsons TD, Van Der Horst C, Hall C. Thoughts of death and suicidal ideation in nonpsychiatric human immunodeficiency virus seropositive individuals. Death studies. 2006;30(5):455-69.
- 8. Keiser O, Spoerri A, Brinkhof MW, Hasse B, Gayet-Ageron A, Tissot F, et al. Suicide in HIV-infected individuals and the general population in Switzerland, 1988–2008. American Journal of Psychiatry. 2010;167(2):143-50.
- 9. Ji J, Zhang Y, Ma Y, Jia L, Cai M, Li Z, et al. People who living with HIV/AIDS also have a high prevalence of anxiety disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Frontiers in Psychiatry. 2024;15:1259290.
- 10. Lee KW, Ang CS, Lim SH, Siau CS, Ong LTD, Ching SM, Ooi PB. Prevalence of mental health conditions among people living with HIV during the COVID ☐ 19 pandemic: a rapid systematic review and meta ☐ analysis. HIV medicine. 2022;23(9):990-1001.
- 11. Hong C, Queiroz A, Hoskin J. The impact of the COVID□19 pandemic on mental health, associated factors and coping strategies in people living with HIV: a scoping review. African Journal of Reproduction and Gynaecological Endoscopy. 2023;26(3):e26060.
- 12. Remien RH, Stirratt MJ, Nguyen N, Robbins RN, Pala AN, Mellins CA. Mental health and HIV/AIDS: the need for an integrated response. Aids. 2019;33(9):1411-20.
- 13. Shiau S, Krause KD, Valera P, Swaminathan S, Halkitis PN. The burden of COVID-19 in people living with HIV: a syndemic perspective. AIDS and Behavior. 2020;24:2244-9.
- 14. Marhefka S, Lockhart E, Turner D. Achieve research continuity during social distancing by rapidly implementing individual and group videoconferencing with participants: key considerations, best practices, and protocols. AIDS and Behavior. 2020;24:1983-9.
- 15. Gogia SB, Maeder A, Mars M, Hartvigsen G, Basu A, Abbott P. Unintended consequences of tele health and their possible solutions. Yearbook of medical informatics. 2016;25(01):41-6.
- 16. Anderson M, Perrin A. Technology use among seniors. Washington, DC: Pew Research Center for Internet & Technology. 2017.

- 17. Qiao S, Zhang J, Liang C, Li X. Protocol: Using All of Us data to examine the mental health change during COVID-19 pandemic among people living with HIV: A longitudinal study protocol. BMJ Open. 2023;13(10).
- 18. Sun S, Hou J, Chen Y, Lu Y, Brown L, Operario D. Challenges to HIV care and psychological health during the COVID-19 pandemic among people living with HIV in China. AIDS and Behavior. 2020;24:2764-5.
- 19. Uribe PRS, Zetina ASS, Montgomerie EK, Salguero D, Mantero AM, Barreto IS, et al., editors. 482. Impact of COVID-19 Pandemic on Psychosocial and Clinical Factors among people with and without HIV living in Miami. Open Forum Infectious Diseases; 2020: Oxford University Press US.
- 20. Ciesla JA, Roberts JE. Meta-analysis of the relationship between HIV infection and risk for depressive disorders. American journal of psychiatry. 2001;158(5):725-30.
- 21. Winwood JJ, Fitzgerald L, Gardiner B, Hannan K, Howard C, Mutch A. Exploring the social impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on people living with HIV (PLHIV): a scoping review. AIDS and Behavior. 2021;25(12):4125-40.
- 22. Ssentongo P, Heilbrunn ES, Ssentongo AE, Advani S, Chinchilli VM, Nunez JJ, Du P. Epidemiology and outcomes of COVID-19 in HIV-infected individuals: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Scientific reports. 2021;11(1):6283.
- 23. Rodriguez-Penney AT, Iudicello JE, Riggs PK, Doyle K, Ellis RJ, Letendre SL, et al. Comorbidities in persons infected with HIV: increased burden with older age and negative effects on health-related quality of life. AIDS patient care and STDs. 2013;27(1):5-16.
- 24. Surveillances V. The epidemiological characteristics of an outbreak of 2019 novel coronavirus diseases (COVID-19)—China, 2020. China CDC weekly. 2020;2(8):113-22.
- 25. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, Fan G, Liu Y, Liu Z, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. The lancet. 2020;395(10229):1054-62.
- 26. Cao J, Tu W-J, Cheng W, Yu L, Liu Y-K, Hu X, Liu Q. Clinical features and short-term outcomes of 102 patients with coronavirus disease 2019 in Wuhan, China. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2020;71(15):748-55.
- 27. Santos G-M, Ackerman B, Rao A, Wallach S, Ayala G, Lamontage E, et al. Economic, mental health, HIV prevention and HIV treatment impacts of COVID-19 and the COVID-19 response on a global sample of cisgender gay men and other men who have sex with men. AIDS and Behavior. 2021;25:311-21.
- 28. Javanbakht M, Rosen A, Ragsdale A, Richter EI, Shoptaw S, Gorbach PM. Interruptions in mental health care, cannabis use, depression, and anxiety during the COVID-19 pandemic: findings from a cohort of HIV-positive and HIV-negative MSM in Los Angeles, California. Journal of Urban Health. 2022;99(2):305-15.
- 29. Yang X, Zhang J, Cai R, Liang C, Olatosi B, Weissman S, Li X. Computational phenotyping with the All of Us Research Program: identifying underrepresented people with HIV or at risk of HIV. JAMIA open. 2023;6(3):00ad071.
- 30. Barr PB, Bigdeli TB, Meyers JL. Prevalence, comorbidity, and sociodemographic correlates of psychiatric disorders reported in the All of Us Research program. JAMA psychiatry. 2022.
- 31. May D, Fullilove R. Depression, HIV, and COVID-19: A deadly trifecta. Public Health Reports. 2022;137(3):420-4.

- 32. Pantelic M, Martin K, Fitzpatrick C, Nixon E, Tweed M, Spice W, et al. "I have the strength to get through this using my past experiences with HIV": findings from a mixed-method survey of health outcomes, service accessibility, and psychosocial wellbeing among people living with HIV during the Covid-19 pandemic. AIDS care. 2022;34(7):821-7.
- 33. Jaafari Z, Eybpoosh S, Sharifi H, Karamouzian M. Exploration of the impact of coronavirus disease 2019 on people living with HIV in Kerman, Iran: a qualitative study. Journal of the Association of Nurses in AIDS Care. 2022;33(4):386-94.
- 34. WHO. The impact of COVID-19 on mental, neurological and substance use services: results of a rapid assessment. 2020.
- 35. Delle Donne V, Ciccarelli N, Massaroni V, Lombardi F, Lamonica S, Borghetti A, et al. Psychological distress during the initial stage of the COVID-19 pandemic in an Italian population living with HIV: an online survey. Infez Med. 2021;29(1):54-64.
- 36. Pizzirusso M, Carrion-Park C, Clark US, Gonzalez J, Byrd D, Morgello S. Physical and mental health screening in a New York City HIV cohort during the COVID-19 pandemic: A preliminary report. JAIDS Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes. 2021;86(3):e54-e60.
- 37. Kalichman SC, El-Krab R. Social and behavioral impacts of COVID-19 on people living with HIV: review of the first year of research. Current HIV/AIDS Reports. 2022;19(1):54-75.
- 38. Sigel K, Swartz T, Golden E, Paranjpe I, Somani S, Richter F, et al. Coronavirus 2019 and people living with human immunodeficiency virus: outcomes for hospitalized patients in New York City. Clinical infectious diseases. 2020;71(11):2933-8.
- 39. Rockwell KL, Gilroy AS. Incorporating telemedicine as part of COVID-19 outbreak response systems. Am J Manag Care. 2020;26(4):147-8.
- 40. Anderson M, Perrin A. Technology use among seniors. Pew Research Center: Internet. Science & Tech <a href="https://www">https://www</a> pewresearch org/internet/2017/05/17/technology-use-among-seniors. 2017.
- 41. Jones DL, Morgan KE, Martinez PC, Rodriguez VJ, Vazquez A, Raccamarich PD, Alcaide ML. COVID-19 burden and risk among people with HIV. JAIDS Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes. 2021;87(2):869-74.
- 42. Too EK, Abubakar A, Nasambu C, Koot HM, Cuijpers P, Newton CR, Nyongesa MK. Prevalence and factors associated with common mental disorders in young people living with HIV in sub□Saharan Africa: a systematic review. Journal of the International AIDS Society. 2021;24:e25705.
- 43. Xiong J, Lipsitz O, Nasri F, Lui LM, Gill H, Phan L, et al. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on mental health in the general population: A systematic review. Journal of affective disorders. 2020;277:55-64.
- 44. Pasha A, Bahrainian SA, Farahani H, editors. The relationship between type D personality and the stress level of life events, personality traits and anxiety sensitivity in people with breast cancer. Annales Médico-psychologiques, revue psychiatrique; 2022: Elsevier.
- 45. Tesoriero JM, Swain C-AE, Pierce JL, Zamboni L, Wu M, Holtgrave DR, et al. COVID-19 outcomes among persons living with or without diagnosed HIV infection in New York State. JAMA network open. 2021;4(2):e2037069-e.
- 46. Balaji AB, Bowles KE, Hess KL, Smith JC, Paz-Bailey G. Association between enacted stigma and HIV-related risk behavior among MSM, National HIV Behavioral Surveillance System, 2011. AIDS and Behavior. 2017;21:227-37.

- 47. Karram S, Sanger C, Convery C, Brantley A. Social Determinants of Health among Persons Living with HIV Impact Important Health Outcomes in Michigan. AIDS and Behavior. 2024;28(2):547-63.
- 48. Iloanusi S, Yunusa I, Mgbere O, Abughosh SM, Chen H, Essien EJ. Development and internal validation of a risk prediction model for HIV disease severity among people living with HIV and mental illness or substance use disorder. Annals of Epidemiology. 2023;87:79-92.
- 49. Monroe AK, Myint L, Rutstein RM, Aberg J, Boswell SL, Agwu AL, et al. Factors associated with gaps in Medicaid enrollment among people with HIV and the effect of gaps on viral suppression. JAIDS Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes. 2018;78(4):413-20.
- 50. Zefi V. Psycho-social challenges faced by people living with HIV during COVID-19 in Kosovo: a qualitative study. HIV & AIDS Review International Journal of HIV-Related Problems. 2024;23(1):84-9.