Dominant harmonic pattern as an ictal marker of the epileptogenic zone in

- 2 focal neocortical epilepsy
- 3 Lingqi Ye^{1, 2, 3}, Lingli Hu¹, Hongyi Ye¹, Yihe Chen¹, Junming Zhu^{2, 4}, Zhe Zheng⁴,
- 4 Hongjie Jiang⁴, Dongping Yang⁵, Cong Chen¹, Shan Wang¹, Zhongjin Wang¹, Wenjie
- 5 Ming¹, Yi Wang⁶, Cenglin Xu⁶, Jin Wang³, Meiping Ding¹, Shuang Wang^{1, 2}*
- 7 1. Department of Neurology and Epilepsy Center, Second Affiliated Hospital, School
- 8 of Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China
- 9 2. Nanhu Brain-computer Interface Institute, Hangzhou, China
- 10 3. Department of Neurology, Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital, School of Medicine,
- 11 Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China
- 12 4. Department of Neurosurgery, Second Affiliated Hospital, School of Medicine,
- 13 Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China
- 14 5. Research Center for Augmented Intelligence, Research Institute of Artificial
- 15 Intelligence, Zhejiang Lab, Hangzhou, China
- 16 6. Key Laboratory of Neuropharmacology and Translational Medicine of Zhejiang
- 17 Province, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Zhejiang Chinese Medical
- 18 University, Hangzhou, China
- 20 *Correspondence to :

1

6

19

- 21 Shuang Wang*, Department of Neurology, Second Affiliated Hospital, School of
- 22 Medicine, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310009, China; Tel: +86-571-87783872;
- 23 E-mail: wangs77@zju.edu.cn

Abstract

24

52

25 **Objective:** The ictal *Harmonic* pattern (*H* pattern), produced by the non-linear 26 characteristics of EEG waveforms, may hold significant potential for localizing the 27 epileptogenic zone (EZ) in focal epilepsy. However, further validation is needed to 28 establish the *H* pattern's effectiveness as a biomarker for measuring the EZ. 29 **Methods:** We retrospectively enrolled 131 patients diagnosed with drug-resistant 30 focal epilepsy, all of whom had complete stereo-electroencephalographic (SEEG) data. 31 From this cohort, we selected 85 patients for outcome analysis. We analyzed the 32 morphological and time-frequency (TF) features of the H pattern using TF plots. A 33 third quartile (Q3) threshold was applied to classify channels expressing either 34 dominant (Channel_{dH pattern}) or non-dominant H patterns (Channel_{non-dH pattern}). We 35 then examined associations between the morphological features of the H pattern and patients' clinical characteristics, as well as the correlations between the extent of 36 37 channel removal and seizure outcomes. 38 **Results:** We found no significant correlations between the morphological features of the ictal H pattern and clinical factors, including lesional MRI findings, epileptic 39 40 onset patterns, epilepsy type, pathology, or surgical outcomes. The non-localizable H41 pattern appeared exclusively in patients with non-focal onset patterns. Notably, the 42 proportion of Channel_{dH pattern} was higher in the seizure-onset zone (SOZ) compared 43 to the early propagation zone. The seizure-free group demonstrated significantly higher removal proportions of Channel_{dH pattern}, both within and outside the SOZ (p =44 45 0.014; p = 0.036), with AUCs of 0.606 and 0.660, respectively, in a seizure freedom 46 prediction model. Survival analysis confirmed that complete removal of these regions 47 correlated with long-term seizure freedom (p = 0.008; p = 0.028). Further subgroup 48 analysis showed a significant correlation in neocortical epilepsy (p = 0.0004; p =0.011), but not in mesial temporal lobe epilepsy. Additionally, multivariate analysis 49 50 identified the complete removal of $Channel_{dH\ pattern}$ as the only independent predictor for seizure freedom (p = 0.022; OR 6.035, 95% CI 1.291-28.211). 51

Conclusions: Our study supports the notion that the dominance of the ictal H pattern,

regardless of its morphology, serves as a novel biomarker for the EZ in focal epilepsy.

The non-linearity in EEG waveforms provides new insights into understanding ictal spreading propagation and offers potential improvements for surgical planning in neocortical epilepsy.

Keywords: harmonic pattern; focal epilepsy; epileptogenic zone; epilepsy surgery;

59 seizure outcome

57

58

60 Introduction 61 Resective surgery currently remains the most effective treatment for drug-resistant 62 epilepsy (DRE). However, only 50% of patients achieve prolonged seizure freedom 63 following surgery. Despite advancements in intracranial electroencephalography 64 (iEEG) technology, it has yet to surpass a 70% ceiling in achieving favorable surgical outcomes ¹⁻³. The success of the surgery largely depends on the precise localization 65 and complete removal of the epileptogenic zone (EZ), which is defined as the brain 66 area essential for generating seizures ⁴. Postsurgical seizure freedom indicates that the 67 EZ was likely successfully removed. Although the seizure-onset zone (SOZ) does not 68 necessarily equate to the EZ ^{5, 6}, experienced clinicians' visual identification of the 69 70 SOZ is a crucial step in the process of localizing the EZ. 71 Many studies have aimed to identify ictal EEG biomarkers capable of quantitatively 72 delineating the EZ. Established semi-quantitative algorithms, such as the epileptogenic index (EI) ⁷ and epileptogenic map ⁸, have been shown to be effective in 73 74 cases where ictal onset patterns include low-voltage fast activity. Additionally, the 75 removal of areas exhibiting ictal high-frequency oscillations (HFOs) has been reported as a prognostic indicator of favorable seizure outcomes 9-11. The concept of 76 77 the EEG fingerprint, proposed as an ictal biomarker of the EZ, suggests a possible synchronous activation of GABAergic neurons at seizure onset ¹². Similarly, brain 78 chirp ¹³ highlights the importance of fast EEG activity in defining the EZ. 79 80 In our previous study, we identified the *Harmonic* pattern (H pattern), a spectral 81 feature of specific ictal EEG components that contains valuable information for 82 seizure propagation and EZ localization. The H pattern is characterized by an 83 equidistant spectral band distribution of varying frequencies on time-frequency (TF) 84 plots. Generated by the specific non-sinusoidal waveforms, the ictal H pattern may

represent periodically synchronized neural firings, rather than a methodological

artifact. Furthermore, the H pattern is frequently observed in seizures with various

onset patterns and across different seizure stages 14 . The dominance of the ictal H

85

86

87

pattern in different brain regions reflects higher non-linearity and has proven useful in localizing the EZ.

Despite its potential, the full spectrum of the ictal H pattern remains unclear. The H pattern shows significant variability in morphology across patients; however, within an individual patient, its morphology and spatial localization tend to remain consistent across seizures, emphasizing the personalized nature of ictal neural dynamics. In this expanded cohort, we first investigated clinical factors related to the morphological properties of the H pattern, specifically focusing on its clarity and shape. High clarity indicates a narrower frequency band with reduced background noise, while the shape of the spectral bands reflects changes in the frequency of ictal neural oscillations at a macroscopic level. This study aims to further support the hypothesis that the dominance of the ictal H pattern could serve as a novel biomarker for the EZ. Additionally, we analyzed the potential impact of the H pattern's morphological features.

Methods

Selection of patient cohort

We retrospectively reviewed 133 patients with drug-resistant epilepsy who had completed stereo-electroencephalography (SEEG) at the Second Affiliated Hospital Zhejiang University School of Medicine (SAHZU) between April 2013 and October 2022. We excluded two patients diagnosed with hypothalamic hamartoma from the study. Therefore, we performed *H* pattern analysis on the remaining 131 cases. From this cohort, we selected 85 patients for outcome analysis based on the following inclusion criteria (**Figure S1**): (1) a focal-onset pattern on SEEG with a clearly defined SOZ localized to a discrete cortical region; (2) undergoing either resective surgery or thermocoagulation treatment; (3) recording at least two habitual clinical seizures during SEEG monitoring; (4) identifying a discernible and localizable ictal *H* pattern (as described in the *Identification of ictal H pattern* section); (5) a follow-up

period of at least 12 months after surgery. The Medical Ethics Committee of SAHZU approved this study (Study No. 2023-1260, 2021-0585). We obtained written informed consent from each participant.

SEEG evaluation

All patients were recommended for SEEG evaluation during a multidisciplinary patient management conference. The non-invasive presurgical evaluation included a detailed medical history, neuropsychological assessment, scalp video-EEG monitoring, 3.0-Tesla cerebral MRI, and interictal ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (¹⁸F-FDG-PET). The procedure for SEEG electrode implantation has been previously described ¹⁵. Based on the working hypothesis, multiple-contact intracerebral electrodes (8-16 contacts, each 2 mm in length, 0.8 mm in diameter, and spaced 1.5 mm apart) were implanted using a robotic arm-assisted system. SEEG signals were recorded at sampling rates of either 1,000 or 2,000 Hz using EEG systems with 256 channels (Nihon Kohden) or 128 channels (Xltek, Natus). The anatomical locations of each contact along the electrode trajectory in all subjects were confirmed by co-registering post-implantation CT scans with pre-implantation MRI using *Sinovation 2.0* ¹⁶. A three-dimensional schematic diagram of the reconstruction is provided in the flowchart (**Figure 1C**).

Surgical outcome

Following SEEG evaluation, patients underwent either resective surgery or laser ablation. The planning of these surgical interventions was conducted independently of this study. Each contact was classified as either *removed* or *non-removed* based on postsurgical MRI evaluations. Surgical outcomes were assessed at the most recent follow-up and patients were categorized as either seizure-free (SF, Engel class Ia) or not seizure-free (NSF, >Engel class Ia) ¹⁷.

Visual EEG analysis

Two experienced and board

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

non-SOZ.

Two experienced and board-certified neurophysiologists reviewed the SEEG data to identify the SOZ and the rapid propagation zone (PZ) using our previously established methods ^{15, 18}. The SOZ is defined as the brain area that shows the earliest changes on SEEG. The PZ is characterized by the rapid spread of ictal activity within 3-5 seconds after seizure onset. Areas outside these defined zones were classified as 'other regions.' Both the PZ and these 'other regions' were collectively categorized as

Identification of ictal H pattern

We selected only contacts within cortical gray matter for quantitative analysis. We analyzed all EEG data using a bipolar montage. For each identified seizure, we extracted a 110-second segment of SEEG data: 10 seconds before and 100 seconds after the EEG onset. Additionally, we collected a segment of preictal EEG data ranging from -230 to -120 seconds before EEG onset as baseline data for normalization. To enhance the reliability of our results, we applied two analytical methods: the Morlet wavelet transform¹⁹ and the multitaper method ^{20, 21} in the TF analysis. We identified the ictal H pattern from TF maps based on the following criteria: the presence of two or more distinct high-intensity bands with varying frequencies occurring at any point during a seizure, with equal frequency intervals between adjacent bands (Figure 1A). For each patient, we analyzed only the initially occurring H pattern. We estimated various features of the ictal H pattern, including its shape, clarity, onset and end times, fundamental and maximal frequencies, frequency intervals, and the number of bands. If the H pattern was consistently stereotyped across different seizures for a single patient, we included only one data set in the analysis. To determine the dominance of the H pattern, we established a threshold

known as Q3, which represents the upper quartile (75th percentile) of the data distribution for the maximal count of frequency bands per patient. We calculated Q3

using the following formula:

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

174
$$Q3 = (n+1) * 3/4,$$

where n denotes the highest count of frequency bands observed across all channels. If the number of bands in a channel showing the ictal H pattern ($Channel_{H\ pattern}$) exceeds the Q3 threshold, we marked it as exhibiting a dominant H pattern ($Channel_{dH\ pattern}$). Conversely, a channel not meeting this threshold was classified as expressing a non-dominant H pattern ($Channel_{non-dH\ pattern}$) (**Figure 1D**). A localizable H pattern should be consistent across seizures and present in specific regions. If no gradient in band numbers was observed across all channels, the H pattern was

Morphology of Ictal Harmonic Pattern

classified as non-localizable.

We classified the clarity of the *H* pattern into two levels. Grade A indicated a highly clear frequency band with distinct borders against the background activity. Grade B referred to a less clear frequency band with fuzzier borders. We identified three distinct shapes of the *H* pattern: Shape 1 resembled a parabola, where the fundamental frequency initially increases and then decreases; Shape 2 appeared as a declining line, representing a decrease in the frequency harmonics of the spectral band; and Shape 3 took the form of an ascending line, indicating an increase in the frequency harmonics of the spectral band. This resulted in a total of six categories: A1, A2, A3, B1, B2, and B3 (**Figure S2**). Initially, two investigators (Ye L.Q. and Hu L.L.) performed these classifications. In cases of disagreement, a third epileptologist (Wang S.) made the final decision.

Prediction of Surgical Outcome

For each patient, we categorized channels as either SOZ or non-SOZ based on visual

analysis, and as $Channel_{dH\ pattern}$ or $Channel_{non-dH\ pattern}$ based on TF analysis. We determined the ratio of removed channels using the following formula:

$$Removal\ ratio(\%) = \frac{\#Channel_{removed}}{\#Channel_{removed}\ +\ \#Channel_{non-removed}} \times 100\%.$$

To estimate the correlation between the removal of *Channel_{dH pattern}* and seizure freedom, we used the *Chi-Squared* test and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis, from which we derived the area under the curve (AUC). We then generated Kaplan-Meier (KM) curves to depict the cumulative probability of achieving seizure freedom. We compared the retention of seizure freedom between groups using the log-rank test, including patients with complete (100%) removal and those with incomplete or no removal (0-100%).

Statistical Analysis

We used MATLAB 2021a (The MathWorks, Inc.) and SPSS 26.0 for statistical analysis. We evaluated the normality of the distribution of all continuous variables using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Normally distributed variables were presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD), while non-normally distributed variables were reported as median (interquartile range, IQR). We expressed categorical variables as frequencies. We applied an $unpaired\ t$ -test or a nonparametric Mann- $Whitney\ U$ test to analyze continuous variables, and we assessed categorical variables using the Chi-Squared test. We also conducted a binary regression analysis to identify predictive factors affecting surgical outcomes. For multiple comparisons, we employed the Bonferroni correction method. p < 0.05 represents statistically significant.

Results

Patient Demographics

224 In the entire cohort, 75.6% (99 patients) exhibited a focal-onset pattern, while the

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

remaining 24.4% (32 patients) had a non-focal onset pattern (**Table S1**). Among those with a focal-onset pattern, 92.9% (92 patients) displayed an ictal H pattern, all of which were localizable. In contrast, 93.8% (30 patients) of those with a non-focal onset pattern exhibited at least one ictal H pattern. Of these, 80.0% (24 patients) were localizable, and 20.0% (6 patients) were non-localizable. Most patients presented with only one type of H pattern. However, two different types of H pattern were observed exclusively in approximately 20% of patients with a non-focal onset pattern (Table **S1**). Of the 85 patients included in the outcome analysis, 38.9% (33 patients) had participated in our previous H pattern study (**Table 1**) 14 . In the current cohort, 61.2% (52 patients) achieved seizure freedom after an average follow-up period of 47.8 months. We found no significant differences between the SF and NSF groups regarding age disease onset, age at SEEG recording, lateralization/localization of seizures. The incidence of detectable abnormalities on MRI was similar between the two groups (75.0% in the SF group and 72.7% in the NSF group, p = 0.816). However, the NSF group had a significantly higher number of implanted electrodes (p = 0.025). Focal cortical dysplasia (FCD) \square was the most common pathological finding, occurring in 34.1% of patients. Among those with malformations of cortical development (MCD) other than FCD \(\square\) (19.5\% of patients), 11 had FCD I, 3 had tuberous sclerosis complex, 1 had FCD □c, and 1 had a mild malformation of cortical development with oligodendroglial hyperplasia and epilepsy. Patients with FCD \(\sigma\) a were included in the hippocampal sclerosis (HS) group. We observed a significant difference in the pathological findings between the SF and NSF groups (p = 0.014), particularly between FCD \square and gliosis/non-specific findings.

Morphology, Distribution and Parameters of Ictal Harmonic Pattern

We summarized the morphological characteristics of the ictal H pattern in patients who exhibited only one type of H pattern in **Table S2**. The most frequently observed

shapes were the declining line (57.3%) and the parabola (40.2%) (**Figure S2**). Our analysis revealed no correlation between the clarity or shape of the H pattern and clinical factors, including the presence of lesional MRI findings, focal-onset pattern, neocortical epilepsy, pathology, or surgical outcome. Out of 85 patients with focal-onset patterns, we identified both the SOZ and the PZ in 65 patients. Within these zones, the proportion of channels exhibiting the ictal H pattern was significantly higher in the SOZ (100.0%, IQR 57.3-100%) compared to the PZ (26.3%, IQR 0-50.0%; p < 0.0001) (**Figure 2A**). Similarly, the ictal dH pattern appeared more frequently in the SOZ (38.5%, IQR 16.0-80.0%) than in the PZ (0%, IQR 0-5.8%; p < 0.0001) (**Figure 2A**). The ictal H pattern was less common in other regions, and we did not observe a significant difference in the distribution of the non-dH pattern between the SOZ and PZ. Among the 65 patients, 37 exhibited the ictal H pattern simultaneously in both the SOZ and PZ. The number of frequency bands of the ictal H pattern was notably higher in the SOZ (3.1, IQR 2.2-6.2) compared to the PZ (2.5, IQR 1.9-4.2; p < 0.0001), as was the maximal frequency (SOZ, 118.8, IQR 92.5-333.0 Hz; PZ, 90.0, IQR 66.3-112.6 Hz; p < 0.0001). The onset time of the ictal H pattern did not differ between the SOZ and PZ, indicating almost simultaneous initiation across these regions. Furthermore, we did not observe differences in the termination, fundamental frequency, or frequency interval of the ictal H pattern between the SOZ and PZ (Figure 2B-D). These parameters of the ictal H pattern also did not differ between the SF and NSF groups (Figure 3B-E). In the remaining 20 patients without a PZ, the dH pattern was predominantly expressed in 88.9% of channels within the SOZ (IQR, 54.6-88.9%) and was rarely observed in other regions.

Ictal Dominant Harmonic Pattern

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

Figure 3A shows that a higher removal ratio of the *Channel_{dH pattern}* significantly correlates with achieving seizure freedom (p = 0.014). The SF group demonstrated a

higher removal ratio of the *Channel_{dH pattern}* within non-SOZ (100.0, IQR 100-100%)

compared to the NSF group (100.0, IQR 0-100%; p = 0.036).

Predictive Value of Removing Ictal Dominant Harmonic Pattern

Regression analysis identified several independent factors significantly associated with achieving seizure freedom. These factors include the complete removal of the *Channel*_{dH pattern} (p = 0.022; OR 6.035, 95% CI 1.291-28.211), FCD \Box or other MCD types (as opposed to gliosis or other non-specific pathologies), and a smaller number of implanted electrodes (**Figure 4**). Additionally, the association between the complete removal of the *Channel*_{dH pattern} and seizure freedom was supported by an analysis showing statistical significance (p = 0.031, AUC = 0.606) (**Figure 5**). The highest AUC value of 0.660 was recorded when considering the complete removal of the *Channel*_{dH pattern} within non-SOZ, highlighting the importance of the ictal d*H* pattern for localizing the EZ (**Figure S3**).

Survival Analysis

Figure 6 and **Figure S4** illustrate the 10-year prognosis of seizure freedom for patients who underwent *complete removal* (100%) compared to those with *incomplete* or *no removal* (0~100%). Among the 85 patients in the cohort, 58.2% achieved seizure freedom at the 10-year mark. Notably, patients who underwent complete removal of the *Channel*_{dH pattern} had a significantly higher probability of attaining long-term seizure freedom (p = 0.008). Specifically, among the 37 patients with complete removal of the *Channel*_{dH pattern} within non-SOZ, there was also an increased likelihood of achieving seizure freedom (p = 0.028). In cases of neocortical epilepsy, the complete removal of the *Channel*_{dH pattern} was strongly associated with long-term seizure freedom (p = 0.0004), regardless of whether the removal occurred within the SOZ (p = 0.013) or non-SOZ (p = 0.011) (**Figure S5**). In contrast, for mesial temporal lobe epilepsy (mTLE), complete removal of the SOZ, rather than the *Channel*_{dH pattern}.

was significantly associated with better long-term seizure freedom outcomes (p = 0.019).

Discussion

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

The ictal H pattern, which reflects the non-linear features of ictal SEEG signals on TF maps, may serve as an innovative ictal biomarker and offer a new approach for delineating the boundary of the EZ. Our key findings reveal: (1) In patients with focal onset patterns, the morphology of the ictal H pattern is consistent within individuals but heterogeneous across the cohort; (2) the morphological features of the ictal Hpattern do not correlate with clinical variables; (3) the dominance of the ictal H pattern indicates high epileptogenicity, and removing regions that exhibit this pattern is crucial for achieving seizure freedom in focal epilepsy, particularly in neocortical epilepsy. Previous studies have introduced various quantitative biomarkers for measuring spectral components of SEEG signals, including EI 7,22, HFOs 23, and ictal non-linear metrics such as the h^2 coefficient $^{24, 25}$, coherence analysis $^{26, 27}$, entropy $^{28, 29}$, and infraslow activity 30-32. These computational EEG markers aim to enhance the precision of EZ delineation. Our findings suggest that the ictal dH pattern could be a valuable biomarker for localizing the core epileptogenic cortex across different brain regions. Visual inspection showed that the distribution of the ictal H pattern aligned with the ictal onset zone, regardless of whether it was focal-, multifocal-, or diffuse-onset. In individuals with focal-onset patterns, the ictal H pattern typically exhibited a consistent spatial distribution across various seizures. In contrast, individuals with non-focal onset patterns displayed variable types of the ictal H pattern, indicating an unstable seizure propagation pattern. The near-simultaneous onset of the ictal H pattern across different regions, along with a uniform fundamental frequency, suggests clear inter-regional synchronization during this period. Notably, our findings indicate that regions showing the dH pattern can extend into the PZ beyond the boundary of the SOZ. As our previous research indicated, the H pattern

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

reflects spectral skewness or asymmetry in EEG waveforms, and the dH pattern represents stronger inter-regional synchronization during seizure evolution, particularly in focal epilepsy. These findings further support the utility of the dH pattern as a non-linear feature in delineating the EZ. Future clinical practices in defining the EZ would benefit from incorporating an integrated EEG biomarker that includes analysis of the dH pattern. We analyzed the morphological features of the H pattern in terms of shape and clarity. The shape of the spectral bands in the H pattern reflects variations in the frequency of synchronized neural oscillations. The most frequently observed shape is a declining line, which closely resembles a spectral signature known as *chirps* ³³⁻³⁵. This shape may indicate a decline in neural firing, possibly due to increased inhibition. In our cohort, nearly half of the SOPs exhibiting low-frequency activity (LVFA) expressed chirp ¹³ patterns, with proportions similar to those of the parabolic shape. We propose that some H patterns with a parabolic shape and very short upward lines might be classified as chirps due to the limitations of visual inspection. This potential misclassification could narrow the scope of the ictal H pattern and limit its applicability. A corticothalamic computational model has similarly elucidated how changes in physiological parameters affect the dynamics of tonic-clonic seizures, similar to the H pattern. This model revealed that increasing the connection strength enhances the power, count, and duration of harmonic bands ³⁶. Conversely, the clarity of the H pattern signifies the distinctness of the harmonic signals against the background. A highly clear H pattern is characterized by a narrow frequency band with minimal noise. Interestingly, these morphological properties do not correlate with clinical factors such as seizure-onset pattern, seizure origin, MRI findings, pathological findings, or seizure outcome. The primary clinically relevant aspect of the H pattern is its degree of non-linearity. Regardless of its shape, the prominence of the H pattern indicates epileptogenicity, and its complete removal has been linked to the potential achievement of seizure freedom.

We observed a stronger association between the dH pattern and long-term seizure

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

freedom in neocortical epilepsy compared to mTLE. Previous studies have reported that patients with mTLE generally experience more favorable surgical outcomes than those with neocortical epilepsy ³⁷. Additionally, the classification of seizure outcomes can vary among patients. Therefore, we used Engel class Ia as the criterion for a favorable outcome to evaluate the efficacy of the dH pattern in localizing the EZ. Earlier iEEG studies have indicated that the extent of SOZ removal does not always correlate with seizure freedom across all patients ^{38, 39}. In contrast, we found that, for mTLE, the complete removal of the SOZ had a stronger correlation with long-term seizure freedom than the dH pattern. Furthermore, our current mTLE cohort included more MRI-negative cases compared to our previous cohort ¹⁴. Surgical outcomes in MRI-negative cases are usually less favorable compared to those in TLE-HS ^{40, 41}, suggesting a more extensive epileptogenic network in MRI-negative TLE. Previous research has highlighted differences in the involved neural networks between mTLE and neocortical epilepsy. For instance, an ¹⁸F-FDG-PET study revealed distinct metabolic profiles between these two types of epilepsy, suggesting variations in seizure propagation ⁴². Additionally, an iEEG study showed that the interictal epileptogenic network in mTLE exhibits a more regular pattern of graph connectivity compared to neocortical epilepsy ⁴³. It is well-recognized that epileptic networks differ between mTLE and neocortical temporal lobe epilepsy, as well as between TLE and extra-TLE 44, 45. A longer follow-up period is necessary to assess the final prognosis in patients with mTLE and to further estimate the value of the H pattern in this population. Nevertheless, our study has several limitations. First, we based the determination of the H pattern's dominance solely on visual interpretation throughout the study. Future investigations should focus on optimizing the definition of the dH pattern and developing a more automated algorithm to quantify the H pattern. This would enhance the precision and objectivity of the analysis. We plan to create a quantitative index for the H pattern to address this issue. Additionally, we did not analyze the occurrence of the second H pattern during the later ictal stage of one seizure in this

396 study, which warrants further investigation. 397 398 **Conclusions** 399 In focal epilepsy, the dominance of the ictal H pattern offers new insights for 400 localizing the EZ, regardless of its morphology. The distinct non-linear feature of the 401 H pattern has significant implications for presurgical assessments and surgical 402 planning. Applying this biomarker in neocortical epilepsy may offer greater value in 403 achieving long-term favorable outcomes compared to mTLE. 404 405 Data availability 406 The that support the findings of this study are available upon reasonable request from 407 the corresponding author. 408 Acknowledgements 409 This study received support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China 410 (grant number: 82171437, 82301636, 82471469) and the Major Program of the 411 National Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province (grant number: 412 LD24H090003). 413 **Disclosures** 414 The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose related to this study. 415 **Supplementary material** 416 Supplementary material is available online.

References

417

- 418 1. Cardinale F, Rizzi M, Vignati E, et al. Stereoelectroencephalography:
- 419 retrospective analysis of 742 procedures in a single centre. Brain
- 420 2019;142:2688-2704.
- 421 2. Jehi L, Morita-Sherman M, Love TE, et al. Comparative Effectiveness of
- 422 Stereotactic Electroencephalography Versus Subdural Grids in Epilepsy Surgery. Ann
- 423 Neurol 2021;90:927-939.
- 424 3. Sivaraju A, Hirsch L, Gaspard N, et al. Factors Predicting Outcome After
- 425 Intracranial EEG Evaluation in Patients With Medically Refractory Epilepsy.
- 426 Neurology 2022;99:e1-e10.
- 427 4. Vakharia VN, Duncan JS, Witt JA, Elger CE, Staba R, Engel J, Jr. Getting the
- best outcomes from epilepsy surgery. Ann Neurol 2018;83:676-690.
- 429 5. Rosenow F, Lüders H. Presurgical evaluation of epilepsy. Brain
- 430 2001;124:1683-1700.
- 431 6. Widjaja E, Li B, Schinkel CD, et al. Cost-effectiveness of pediatric epilepsy
- 432 surgery compared to medical treatment in children with intractable epilepsy. Epilepsy
- 433 Res 2011;94:61-68.
- 434 7. Bartolomei F, Chauvel P, Wendling F. Epileptogenicity of brain structures in
- 435 human temporal lobe epilepsy: a quantified study from intracerebral EEG. Brain
- 436 2008;131:1818-1830.
- 8. David O, Blauwblomme T, Job AS, et al. Imaging the seizure onset zone with
- stereo-electroencephalography. Brain 2011;134:2898-2911.
- 9. Ochi A, Otsubo H, Donner EJ, et al. Dynamic changes of ictal high-frequency
- 440 oscillations in neocortical epilepsy: using multiple band frequency analysis. Epilepsia
- 441 2007;48:286-296.
- 442 10. Modur PN, Zhang S, Vitaz TW. Ictal high-frequency oscillations in neocortical
- 443 epilepsy: implications for seizure localization and surgical resection. Epilepsia
- 444 2011;52:1792-1801.
- 445 11. Fujiwara H, Greiner HM, Lee KH, et al. Resection of ictal high-frequency

- 446 oscillations leads to favorable surgical outcome in pediatric epilepsy. Epilepsia
- 447 2012;53:1607-1617.
- 448 12. Grinenko O, Li J, Mosher JC, et al. A fingerprint of the epileptogenic zone in
- 449 human epilepsies. Brain 2018;141:117-131.
- 450 13. Di Giacomo R, Burini A, Chiarello D, et al. Ictal fast activity chirps as markers of
- 451 the epileptogenic zone. Epilepsia 2024;65:e97-e103.
- 452 14. Lingli H, Lingqi Y, Hongyi Y, et al. Harmonic patterns embedding ictal EEG
- 453 signals in focal epilepsy: a new insight into the epileptogenic zone. medRxiv
- 454 2024:2023.2012.2020.23300274.
- 455 15. Hu L, Xiong K, Ye L, et al. Ictal EEG desynchronization during low-voltage fast
- activity for prediction of surgical outcomes in focal epilepsy. J Neurosurg 2022:1-10.
- 457 16. Tadel F, Baillet S, Mosher JC, Pantazis D, Leahy RM. Brainstorm: a user-friendly
- 458 application for MEG/EEG analysis. Comput Intell Neurosci 2011;2011:879716.
- 459 17. Engel J. Outcome with respect to epileptic seizures. 1993.
- 460 18. Wang S, So NK, Jin B, et al. Interictal ripples nested in epileptiform discharge
- 461 help to identify the epileptogenic zone in neocortical epilepsy. Clin Neurophysiol
- 462 2017;128:945-951.
- 463 19. Bernardino A, Santos-Victor J. A Real-Time Gabor Primal Sketch for Visual Attention.
- 464 2005; Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg: 335-342.
- 465 20. Thomson DJ. Spectrum estimation and harmonic analysis. Proceedings of the
- 466 IEEE 1982;70:1055-1096.
- 467 21. Babadi B, Brown EN. A review of multitaper spectral analysis. IEEE Trans
- 468 Biomed Eng 2014;61:1555-1564.
- 469 22. Aubert S, Wendling F, Regis J, et al. Local and remote epileptogenicity in focal
- 470 cortical dysplasias and neurodevelopmental tumours. Brain 2009;132:3072-3086.
- 471 23. González Otárula KA, von Ellenrieder N, Cuello-Oderiz C, Dubeau F, Gotman J.
- 472 High-Frequency Oscillation Networks and Surgical Outcome in Adult Focal Epilepsy.
- 473 Ann Neurol 2019;85:485-494.
- 474 24. Bartolomei F, Lagarde S, Wendling F, et al. Defining epileptogenic networks:

- 475 Contribution of SEEG and signal analysis. Epilepsia 2017;58:1131-1147.
- 476 25. Hu L, Xiong K, Ye L, et al. Ictal EEG desynchronization during low-voltage fast
- 477 activity for prediction of surgical outcomes in focal epilepsy. J Neurosurg
- 478 2023;139:238-247.
- 479 26. Li YH, Ye XL, Liu QQ, et al. Localization of epileptogenic zone based on graph
- analysis of stereo-EEG. Epilepsy Res 2016;128:149-157.
- 481 27. Ren Y, Cong F, Ristaniemi T, Wang Y, Li X, Zhang R. Transient seizure onset
- 482 network for localization of epileptogenic zone: effective connectivity and graph
- 483 theory-based analyses of ECoG data in temporal lobe epilepsy. J Neurol
- 484 2019;266:844-859.
- 485 28. Travnicek V, Klimes P, Cimbalnik J, et al. Relative entropy is an easy-to-use
- 486 invasive electroencephalographic biomarker of the epileptogenic zone. Epilepsia
- 487 2023;64:962-972.
- 488 29. Bratu IF, Makhalova J, Garnier E, et al. Permutation entropy-derived parameters
- to estimate the epileptogenic zone network. Epilepsia 2023.
- 490 30. Rodin E, Modur P. Ictal intracranial infraslow EEG activity. Clin Neurophysiol
- 491 2008;119:2188-2200.
- 492 31. Kanazawa K, Matsumoto R, Imamura H, et al. Intracranially recorded ictal direct
- 493 current shifts may precede high frequency oscillations in human epilepsy. Clin
- 494 Neurophysiol 2015;126:47-59.
- 495 32. Nakatani M, Inouchi M, Daifu-Kobayashi M, et al. Ictal direct current shifts
- 496 contribute to defining the core ictal focus in epilepsy surgery. Brain Commun
- 497 2022;4:fcac222.
- 498 33. Schiff SJ, Colella D, Jacyna GM, et al. Brain chirps: spectrographic signatures of
- 499 epileptic seizures. Clin Neurophysiol 2000;111:953-958.
- 500 34. Breakspear M, Roberts JA, Terry JR, Rodrigues S, Mahant N, Robinson PA. A
- 501 unifying explanation of primary generalized seizures through nonlinear brain
- modeling and bifurcation analysis. Cereb Cortex 2006;16:1296-1313.
- 503 35. Dutta S, Iyer KK, Vanhatalo S, Breakspear M, Roberts JA. Mechanisms
- 504 underlying pathological cortical bursts during metabolic depletion. Nat Commun

- 505 2023;14:4792.
- 506 36. Deeba F, Sanz-Leon P, Robinson PA. Effects of physiological parameter
- evolution on the dynamics of tonic-clonic seizures. PLoS One 2020;15:e0230510.
- 508 37. Spencer S, Huh L. Outcomes of epilepsy surgery in adults and children. Lancet
- 509 Neurol 2008;7:525-537.
- 510 38. Khan M, Chari A, Seunarine K, et al. Proportion of resected seizure onset zone
- 511 contacts in pediatric stereo-EEG-guided resective surgery does not correlate with
- outcome. Clin Neurophysiol 2022;138:18-24.
- 513 39. Thomas J, Kahane P, Abdallah C, et al. A Subpopulation of Spikes Predicts
- 514 Successful Epilepsy Surgery Outcome. Ann Neurol 2023;93:522-535.
- 515 40. Fong JS, Jehi L, Najm I, Prayson RA, Busch R, Bingaman W. Seizure outcome
- and its predictors after temporal lobe epilepsy surgery in patients with normal MRI.
- 517 Epilepsia 2011;52:1393-1401.
- 518 41. McIntosh AM, Wilson SJ, Berkovic SF. Seizure outcome after temporal
- lobectomy: current research practice and findings. Epilepsia 2001;42:1288-1307.
- 520 42. Zhu HY, Tang YX, Xiao L, et al. Metabolic profiles and correlation with surgical
- outcomes in mesial versus neocortical temporal lobe epilepsy. CNS Neurosci Ther
- 522 2023;29:2656-2665.
- 523 43. Bartolomei F, Bettus G, Stam CJ, Guye M. Interictal network properties in mesial
- 524 temporal lobe epilepsy: a graph theoretical study from intracerebral recordings. Clin
- 525 Neurophysiol 2013;124:2345-2353.
- 526 44. González Otárula KA, Schuele S. Networks in Temporal Lobe Epilepsy.
- 527 Neurosurg Clin N Am 2020;31:309-317.
- 528 45. Hall GR, Hutchings F, Horsley J, et al. Epileptogenic networks in extra temporal
- 529 lobe epilepsy. Netw Neurosci 2023;7:1351-1362.

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

Figure Legends Figure 1. Examples and characteristics of the *H* pattern (data from patient #057). (A) Ictal SEEG recordings from both SOZ and PZ of a patient with right parietal focal cortical dysplasia showing bursts of high-amplitude poly-spikes followed by low-voltage fast activity at seizure onset. Below the SEEG recordings are corresponding time-frequency plots of the two channels. An ictal H pattern could be identified in both plots: two or more unique high-intensity spectral bands with varying frequency starting at 4 s (red arrow) after 0 s (seizure onset), and ending at 19 s (red arrow). The frequencies of the bottom and top spectral bands are defined as the fundamental frequency and maximal frequency, respectively (yellow arrow). Importantly, the ictal H pattern exhibits a distinctive feature of equidistant distribution on the TF plots. For instance, at 6.3 s (long blue dotted arrows), the frequency interval between adjacent spectral bands is nearly uniform, at approximately 26-28 Hz, as observed in the power spectral density diagrams. The ictal H pattern shown in the two channels are classified as a 'dominant H pattern (dH pattern)' and a 'non-dominant H pattern (non-dH pattern)', respectively. (B) 3D representation of an example SEEG exploration. Electrode contacts are categorized into three regions: the SOZ (red dots), the PZ (orange dots), and the other regions (blue dots), according to a patient's ictal SEEG recordings. (C) Total number of visually determined spectral bands on the TF plot of each channel each time a seizure is counted. Channels are then ranked based on the number of bands, and if a channel's count exceeds the threshold of Q3, it is marked as expressing the ictal dominant H pattern. SEEG, stereo-electroencephalography; SOZ, seizure-onset zone; PZ, early propagation zone; H pattern, Harmonic pattern; Q3, the 75th percentile of data distribution. Figure 2. Distribution and time-frequency features of ictal H patterns in SOZ and PZ. (A) Proportions of channels expressing the ictal H pattern, the ictal dominant H pattern, and the ictal non-dominant H pattern between SOZ (red dots) and PZ (orange dots) in 65 patients who had both SOZ and PZ. (B-F) Onset and end time,

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

fundamental frequency, maximal frequency, frequency interval, and number of spectral bands of the ictal H pattern between SOZ and PZ in 37 patients that simultaneously expressed the ictal H pattern in both SOZ and PZ. ****: p < 0.0001, nonparametric Mann-Whitney U test; H pattern, Harmonic pattern; SOZ, seizure-onset zone; PZ, early propagation zone. Figure 3. Associations between proportions of removal, time-frequency features of the ictal H patterns, and seizure outcomes. (A) Violin diagrams comparing removed ratios in channels of SOZ, channels expressing the ictal H pattern, channels expressing the ictal dominant H pattern, and channels expressing the ictal non-dominant H pattern between seizure-free (blue hollow circles) and not seizure-free (red hollow triangles) patients. (B-E) Onset time, end time, fundamental frequency, maximal frequency, frequency interval, and the number of spectral bands of the ictal H pattern between seizure-free (blue dots) and not seizure-free (red dots) patients. *H pattern*, *Harmonic* pattern; SOZ, seizure-onset zone. Figure 4. Binary regression for classification of seizure outcomes. Seven variables were recruited into the equation: EEG pattern harboring the H pattern, lesional MRI findings, seizure localization (mesial temporal lobe or neocortex), pathological findings, removed ratios of channels of the seizure-onset zone, channels expressing the ictal H pattern, and channels expressing the ictal dominant H pattern. The forest plot shows complete removal of channels expressing the ictal dominant H pattern, FCD type-II, or other MCD types (compared to gliosis/other non-specific pathology). Less implanted electrodes were correlated with seizure freedom. EEG, electroencephalography; H pattern, Harmonic pattern; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; FCD, focal cortical dysplasia; MCD, malformation of cortical development; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval. Figure 5. Predictive values for seizure outcomes. (A-D) Four-fold tables and receiver operator characteristic curve (ROC) for the removed ratios of channels within

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

the seizure-onset zone (dark red), channels expressing the ictal H pattern (orange), channels expressing the ictal dominant H patten (scarlet), and channels expressing the ictal non-dominant H pattern (yellow) as predictors of post-surgical outcomes. In the four-fold tables, the numbers of seizure-free patients and not seizure-free patients with all the corresponding channels removed ('Yes', upper left of the table), or incompletely/none removed ('No', bottom left of the table) are listed. p-values for each Chi-Squared test are provided above each table. In the ROC curves, each colored dot represents a combined value of the false positive rate (X-axis) and the true positive rate (Y-axis). The areas under curves (AUCs) are respectively listed on the bottom right corner. **Figure 6. Survival analysis.** Kaplan-Meier (KM) plots of cumulative probability of continuous seizure freedom separated by complete (100%) removal (green continuous line) and incomplete/none (0~100%) removal (blue continuous line) of the whole cohort, channels of seizure-onset zone, channels expressing the ictal H pattern, channels expressing the ictal dominant H patten, and channels expressing the ictal non-dominant H pattern. The 'number at risk (number censored)' of each year is annotated below the KM plot for every category.

Table 1. Patients' demographics and surgical outcome

	Total cohort	Seizure-free (Engel class Ia)	Non-seizure free (> Engel class Ia)	p value
Number of patients, n	85	52	33	-
Gender, M, n (%)	47 (55.3%)	29 (55.8%)	18 (54.5%)	0.912^{a}
Age at onset, y	10.0 (6.0-15.4)	8.5 (5.8-18.8)	12.0 (6.5-15.4)	0.338^{c}
Age at SEEG recording, y	23.3 ± 10.4	23.0 ± 11.1	23.7 ± 9.4	0.753^{b}
EEG pattern at <i>H</i> pattern, n (%)				
Irregular spiking (IS)	29 (34.1%)	17 (32.7%)	12 (36.4%)	0.728^{a}
Fast activity (FA)	56 (65.9%)	35 (67.3%)	21 (63.6%)	
Lateralization, n (%)				
Left	36 (42.4%)	21 (40.4%)	18 (54.5%)	0.645 ^a
Right	49 (57.6%)	31 (59.6%)	15 (45.5%)	
Localization, n (%)				
Mesial temporal lobe	28 (32.9%)	15 (28.8%)	13 (39.4%)	0.313 ^a
Neocortex	57 (67.1%)	37 (71.2%)	20 (60.6%)	
Lesional MRI, n (%)	63 (74.1%)	39 (75.0%)	24 (72.7%)	0.816^{a}
Number of Electrodes, n	10.0 (8.0-11.5)	9.0 (7.0-11.0)	10.0 (9.0-12.5)	0.025°
Number of Contacts, n	112.0 (88.5-127.0)	112.5 (81.3-127.8)	112.0 (96.0-126.5)	0.433^{c}
Bilateral implantation, n (%)	27 (31.8%)	16 (30.8%)	11 (33.3%)	0.874^{a}
Pathology, n (%)	82	52	30	
Hippocampal sclerosis	13 (15.9%)	8 (15.4%)	5 (16.7%)	
FCD type-II	28 (34.1%)	$22 (42.3\%)^{e}$	6 (20.0%) ^e	
Other MCD types	16 (19.5%)	11 (21.2%)	5 (16.7%)	0.014 ^d
Tumor	3 (3.7%)	3 (5.8%)	0	
Gliosis/other non-specific	22 (26.8%)	$8(15.4\%)^{f}$	$14 (46.7\%)^{\mathrm{f}}$	

Normal variables were presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). Non-normal variables were reported as median (interquartile range, IQR). a. *Pearson's chi-square* test. b. *Unpaired t-test*. c. *Mann-Whitney U* test. d. *Fisher exact* test. e & f. *Bonferroni* correction: In the SF and NSF groups, there was a discrepancy in pathological finding (p = 0.014). A significant difference was found between FCD type-II and gliosis/non-specific findings.

SEEG, stereo-electroencephalography, H pattern, Harmonic pattern, MRI, magnetic resonance imaging, FCD, focal cortical dysplasia, MCD, malformation of cortical development

Notion: The category *Other MCD types* consists of FCD type-I, tuberous sclerosis complex, heterotopia, and mild malformation of cortical development with oligodendroglial hyperplasia in epilepsy.











