It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

**Title**: Personal protective beliefs and behaviors during the COVID-19 pandemic at a large, multi-campus, public university in Pennsylvania: a cross-sectional survey

**Authors**: Jill Stachowski, BS<sup>1</sup>; Ryan Murphy, MD<sup>2</sup>; Lauren Pomerantz, MD<sup>3</sup>; Prabhani Kuruppumullage Don, PhD<sup>4</sup>, Bradley Long, MSLS<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Pennsylvania State University College of Medicine, Hershey, PA

<sup>2</sup>Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, Philadelphia, PA

<sup>3</sup>Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN

<sup>4</sup>Department of Statistics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA

<sup>5</sup>Harrell Health Sciences Library, Pennsylvania State University College of Medicine – University Park Regional Campus, State College, PA

### Abstract:

**Introduction**: The COVID-19 pandemic impacted many higher education institutions. Understanding the personal protective beliefs and behaviors surrounding public health measures such as face masking, social distancing, and handwashing helps to inform public health outreach measures.

**Objective:** This study evaluates the beliefs and occurrences of face masking, social distancing, and handwashing behaviors among people at a multi-campus, public university in Pennsylvania. **Methods**: From October 5-November 30, 2021, a 10-minute REDCap survey was available to students, faculty, and staff 18 years of age and older at the Pennsylvania State University (PSU). Recruitment included targeted email, social media, digital advertisements, and university newspapers. 4,231 responses were received. Simple percentage associations between the selected factors and face masking behaviors as well as beliefs on the origin of the COVID-19 virus were made with IBM SPSS Statistics, version 29.0.2.0 (20).

**Results**: Of 3,662 completed surveys, 65.2% of respondents were positive that facemasks prevented the transmission of airborne disease, 49.9% of the respondents stated that they wore facemasks always (27.9%), almost always (26.9%), or often (11.1%). 65.3% believed social distancing prevented transmission of COVID-19, 57.5% reported social distancing while on-campus or out in public (always: 18.8%, almost always: 20.3%, often: 18.4%). The response rate for some level of conscientiously washing hands for the 20 second duration was 87.5% (always: 26.0%, almost always: 31.9%, sometimes: 19.9%, only after being out in public: 4.9%, and only when convenient: 4.8%).

**Conclusions**: Beliefs in public health and safety measures during the COVID-19 pandemic did not always match the behaviors of individuals surveyed. Understanding barriers to performing behaviors or public health safety may help inform future areas for targeted intervention.

## Introduction

Face masks have been worn in healthcare settings for decades, especially during influenza season.<sup>1</sup> During the COVID-19 pandemic, the public was encouraged to wear face masks to reduce community spread and decrease hospitalizations.<sup>2,3</sup> Nevertheless, in the United States, face masking behaviors varied, and pandemonium surrounded face masks in public settings.<sup>4</sup> The leading theory was that face masks had become politicized, explaining the division and divisiveness between those who did not wear them compared to those who did wear them. <sup>5-7</sup> Studies have shown associations between face mask wearing and misinformation.<sup>8</sup>

Social distancing behavior was also encouraged during the pandemic.<sup>9</sup> Early studies showed that it helped reduce transmission of the virus, especially at the beginning of the pandemic.<sup>10</sup> Again, however, the beliefs and behaviors surrounding social distancing became politicized and were subject to misinformation.<sup>11,12</sup>

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

On the other hand, hand washing was less of a target for misinformation, most likely due to the established history of hand washing as preventing the transmission of disease for centuries.<sup>13</sup> Yet, to be effective, hand washing is supposed to be performed for a total of 20 seconds; this is less likely of a behavior than expected, handwashing studies have shown that people was for a shorter duration most of the time.<sup>14</sup>

These behaviors and beliefs were popular topics of debate during the pandemic but were not explored in a large, public university setting in relation to age, gender, and political leaning. The present study evaluated demographic factors that may be associated with differences in mask wearing and different beliefs on the origin of the COVID-19 virus in the fall of 2021, a period when vaccines had become widely available. PSU is one of Pennsylvania's largest employers, but still represents a higher education community, capturing an interesting subset of the United States population.

#### Methods/Subjects

This was a cross-sectional study that took place at all 23 PSU physical campuses, the World Campus, the Penn State Extension, and Penn State Health. Students, faculty, and staff 18 years of age and older were invited to take a REDCap survey between October 5 and November 30 of 2021. The Pennsylvania College of Technology was excluded, as it is only an affiliated institutional and not fully part of PSU. Survey questions were repeatedly tested by medical students, faculty, and staff prior to official launch to receive feedback about question clarity. Participants were recruited by randomly emailing 32,792 individuals with a PSU email address Additionally, digital and print marketing campaigns took place with campus websites, bulletins, and newspapers. This study was carried out in accordance with the institutional review board at the Penn State College of Medicine (reference number 00016908), which deemed this project exempt. Digital, written consent to participate was obtained from participants. They were provided with details and instructions about the research project and asked three qualifying questions. The survey only continued if participants answered "Yes" to all three qualifying questions, which were: consenting to the survey's instructions, being 18 years of age or older, and being affiliated with the Pennsylvania State University during the study period. If a participant answered "No" to any of the criteria, the survey did not continue. The authors did not have access to information that could identify participants before, during or after data collection. The REDCap survey incorporated both original research questions and validated questions from previous studies. A simple percentage analysis was conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics, version 29.0.2.0 (20).

#### Results

4,231 survey responses were received. 32,792 emails were randomly sent to those with a PSU email address, making the response rate 12.90%. After excluding participant responses that did not complete all questions of interest for this study, 3,662 cases were ultimately used for this analysis. From our prior analysis of vaccine hesitancy, most participants were between the ages of 26 and 35 (21.0%), women (57.2%), heterosexual (78.0%), and White (77.5%). Most respondents were employees (52.6%), and of the students who responded, most were undergraduates seeking a bachelor's degree (63.3%). Additionally, most considered their political views to be liberal (32.9%). Regarding prior COVID-19 infection and vaccination status, most never tested positive for COVID-19 (80.3%), have been vaccinated or plan to get vaccinated (80.9%), and receive the seasonal influenza vaccine (61.4%).<sup>15</sup>

For the second analysis of data, we focused on beliefs and behaviors of personal protection (face masking, social distancing, and handwashing) our survey respondents. Just 65.2% were positive that facemasks prevented the transmission of airborne disease, including COVID-19. (Table 1.) Conversely, only 49.9% of the respondents stated that they wore facemasks always (27.9%), almost always (26.9%),

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

or often (11.1%). Furthermore, 19.0% answered that they wore facemasks only when required. (Table 2).

Next, beliefs and behaviors related to social distancing were analyzed. Just 65.3% believed that social distancing prevented transmission of COVID-19. (Table 3). 57.5% of the respondents reported that they commonly social distanced while on-campus or out in public (always:18.8%, almost always: 20.3%, often: 18.4%) (Table 4).

The respondents were also asked if they washed their hands for at least 20 seconds. The response rate for some level of conscientiously washing hands for the 20 second duration was 87.5% (always: 26.0%, almost always: 31.9%, sometimes: 19.9%, only after being out in public: 4.9%, and only when convenient: 4.8%). 2.3% only used hand sanitizer. (Table 5). Being that handwashing safety is a common part of early childhood education, in addition to keeping the survey length to a reasonable time to complete, no question was added regarding handwashing safety beliefs.

## Table 1.

Do you feel that face masks safely prevent the transmiss airborne diseases, such as the COVID-19 virus?	ion of	
	Frequency	Percent
Yes	2387	65.2
No	769	21.0
Unsure	425	11.6
Prefer not to answer / Did not answer	81	2.2
Total	3662	100.0

## Table 2.

How often do you wear a face mask when indoors, on-campus, or out in public?		
	Frequency	Percent
Always	1020	27.9
Almost always	985	26.9
Often	405	11.1
Sometimes	188	5.1
Once in a while	82	2.2
Almost never	99	2.7
Only when required	695	19.0
I haven't worn a mask since vaccines have become widely available	45	1.2
I haven't worn a mask since the CDC guidelines first removed the	64	1.7
need to wear one in public		
Prefer not to answer / Did not answer	79	2.1
Total	3662	100.0

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

## Table 3.

Do you feel that social distancing safely prevents the transmission of the COVID-19 virus?		
	Frequency	Percent
Yes	2392	65.3
No	642	17.5
Unsure	554	15.1
Prefer not to answer / Did not answer	74	2.0
Total	3662	100.0

### Table 4.

Do you attempt to social/physical distance when on-campus or out in public?			
	Frequency	Percent	
Always	687	18.8	
Almost always	745	20.3	
Often	673	18.4	
Once in a while	199	5.4	
Sometimes	555	15.2	
Almost never	428	11.7	
I haven't socially/physically distanced since vaccines have become widely available	293	8.0	
Prefer not to answer / Did not answer	82	2.2	
Total	3662	100.0	

# Table 5.

Do you wash your hands for at least 20 seconds?		
	Frequency	Percent
Always	952	26.0
Almost always	1170	31.9
Sometimes	728	19.9
Only after being out in public	179	4.9
Only when convenient	175	4.8
Rarely	180	4.9
Almost never	79	2.2
I have not followed this practice since vaccines have become widely available	39	1.1
I only use hand sanitizer	85	2.3
Prefer not to answer / Did not answer	75	2.0
Total	3662	100.0

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

#### Discussion

The results indicate that in the case of COVID-19 protective measures, even when people believed in the correct information surrounding public health safety behaviors, or at least stated that they believe in them, they did not actually perform the behaviors. This was especially true in the cases of face masking and social distancing. 65% of respondents felt that face masking did prevent transmission of COVID-19, but yet only roughly 28% and 27% of respondents always or almost always wore them. Similarly, 65% of respondents felt that social distancing helped to reduce the spread of COVID-19 but only 19% and 20% of respondents always social distanced themselves. It could be implied that individuals do believe in the power of handwashing, and this can be extrapolated to include the handwashing behaviors: if individuals do believe in the power of handwashing, there should be more "always" or "almost always" responses seen but only 26% and 32% do handwash for at least 20 seconds.

The results indicated that there not only are there challenges to encouraging people to believe that certain public health measures are protective for their health, but also encouraging them to act in a manner consistent with those beliefs. During the COVID-19 pandemic, theories are that the politicization of behaviors and the spread of misinformation in the pandemic led to poor outcomes.<sup>16</sup> Yet, it is possible that beliefs were present, but the motivations of the behaviors were misaligned with a common goal of health and safety of the public.

This study has several limitations, such as reliance on self-reporting for major endpoints of the study, including the personal protection specific questions. Participants may have had difficulty selecting survey responses if no clear definitions were provided, and respondents may have made some misinformed but well-meaning answers. Furthermore, the first study utilized a graduate level statistics student to conduct the analysis using R software (Murphy et al 2023). Such a student was not available for this study, thus the faculty advisor, a medical librarian, conducted the analysis using SPSS statistical software. Generalizability of the data is also a limitation. Further future analysis maybe be necessary to fully understand the implications of the survey results to the PSU community.

Despite the limitations, this survey study showed that belief in public health and safety measures during the COVID-19 pandemic did not always match the behaviors of individuals. This has key implications for future public health information campaigns, where convincing individuals in the validity of the science and the behaviors may not be enough to get them to be invested in making a change for their own health and safety or the health and safety of society. An obvious next step would be to understand barriers to not performing the behaviors and the factors influencing the behaviors.

#### Conclusion

Beliefs in public health and safety measures during the COVID-19 pandemic did not always match the behaviors of individuals surveyed. Understanding barriers to performing behaviors or public health safety may help inform future areas for targeted intervention. Further future analysis may be required to determine why individual beliefs and behaviors did not necessarily match.

#### **Supporting Information**

Long B, Murphy R, Pomerantz L, Kuruppumullage Don P. Pennsylvania State University Fall 2021 COVID-19 Infodemic Survey. ver. 2. Mendeley Data. <u>doi:10.17632/vvnsj7n56t.2</u>. Sep 27, 2023.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license .

# References

1. Cowling BJ, Zhou Y, Ip DK, Leung GM, Aiello AE. Face masks to prevent transmission of influenza virus: a systematic review. *Epidemiol Infect*. Apr 2010;138(4):449-56. doi:10.1017/s0950268809991658

2. Eikenberry SE, Mancuso M, Iboi E, et al. To mask or not to mask: Modeling the potential for face mask use by the general public to curtail the COVID-19 pandemic. *Infectious Disease Modelling*. 2020/1// 2020;5:293-308. doi:10.1016/j.idm.2020.04.001

3. Raymond JR. The Great Mask Debate: A Debate That Shouldn't Be a Debate at All. *WMJ : official publication of the State Medical Society of Wisconsin*. 2020/12// 2020;119(4):229-239.

4. Powdthavee N, Riyanto YE, Wong ECL, Yeo JXW, Chan QY. When face masks signal social identity: Explaining the deep face-mask divide during the COVID-19 pandemic. *PloS one*. 2021;16(6):e0253195-e0253195. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0253195

5. Kabir KMA, Risa T, Tanimoto J. Prosocial behavior of wearing a mask during an epidemic: an evolutionary explanation. *Scientific Reports*. 2021/12// 2021;11(1):12621-12621. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-92094-2

6. Lang J, Erickson WW, Jing-Schmidt Z. #MaskOn! #MaskOff! Digital polarization of mask-wearing in the United States during COVID-19. *PloS one*. 2021;16(4):e0250817-e0250817. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0250817

7. Vatovec C, Hanley J. Survey of awareness, attitudes, and compliance with COVID-19 measures among Vermont residents. *PloS one*. 2022;17(3):e0265014-e0265014.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0265014

8. Hornik R, Kikut A, Jesch E, Woko C, Siegel L, Kim K. Association of COVID-19 Misinformation with Face Mask Wearing and Social Distancing in a Nationally Representative US Sample. *Health Communication*. 2021;36(1)doi:10.1080/10410236.2020.1847437

9. Cronin CJ, Evans WN. Total shutdowns, targeted restrictions, or individual responsibility: How to promote social distancing in the COVID-19 Era? *Journal of health economics*. 2021/7// 2021;79:102497-102497. doi:10.1016/j.jhealeco.2021.102497

10. Liu H, Chen C, Cruz-Cano R, Guida JL, Lee M. Public Compliance With Social Distancing Measures and SARS-CoV-2 Spread : A Quantitative Analysis of 5 States. *Public Health Rep.* Jul-Aug 2021;136(4):475-482. doi:10.1177/00333549211011254

11. Christensen SR, Pilling EB, Eyring JB, Dickerson G, Sloan CD, Magnusson BM. Political and personal reactions to COVID-19 during initial weeks of social distancing in the United States. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(9):e0239693. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0239693

12. Fridman I, Lucas N, Henke D, Zigler CK. Association Between Public Knowledge About COVID-19, Trust in Information Sources, and Adherence to Social Distancing: Cross-Sectional Survey. *JMIR public health and surveillance*. 2020/9// 2020;6(3):e22060-e22060. doi:10.2196/22060

13. Vermeil T, Peters A, Kilpatrick C, Pires D, Allegranzi B, Pittet D. Hand hygiene in hospitals: anatomy of a revolution. *J Hosp Infect*. Apr 2019;101(4):383-392. doi:10.1016/j.jhin.2018.09.003

14. Freeman MC, Stocks ME, Cumming O, et al. Hygiene and health: systematic review of handwashing practices worldwide and update of health effects. *Trop Med Int Health*. Aug 2014;19(8):906-16. doi:10.1111/tmi.12339

15. Murphy R, Pomerantz L, Kuruppumullage Don P, Sung Kim J, Long BA. Age and political leaning predict COVID-19 vaccination status at a large, multi-campus, public university in Pennsylvania: A cross-sectional survey. *PLoS One*. 2023;18(9):e0291974. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0291974

16. Stroebe W, vanDellen MR, Abakoumkin G, et al. Politicization of COVID-19 health-protective behaviors in the United States: Longitudinal and cross-national evidence. *PLoS One*. 2021;16(10):e0256740. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0256740