It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) . perpetuity. medRxiv preprint doi: [https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.22.24314168;](https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.22.24314168) this version posted September 24, 2024. The copyright holder for this
preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has grant

Are Electromyography data a fingerprint for patients with cerebral palsy (CP)?

- **Mehrdad Davoudi¹ , Firooz Salami¹ , Robert Reisig¹ , Dimitrios A. Patikas² , Nicholas A.**
- **Beckmann¹ , Katharina Susanne Gather¹, Sebastian I. Wolf 1***
- ¹ Clinic for Orthopaedics, Heidelberg University Hospital, Schlierbacher Landstr. 200a, 69118
- Heidelberg, Germany.
- Laboratory of Neuromechanics, School of Physical Education and Sports Science at Serres,
- Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece.

*** Correspondence:**

- Sebastian I. Wolf
- Sebastian.Wolf@med.uni-heidelberg.de
- Clinic for Orthopedics, Schlierbacher Landstr. 200a, 69118 Heidelberg, Germany.
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

Abstract

 This study aimed to first investigate changes in electromyography (EMG) patterns after multilevel surgical treatment in patients with cerebral palsy (CP) and then to assess the connection between the measure of EMG and motor control indices and surgery outcomes. We 27 analyzed retrospective EMG and gait data from 167 patients with CP before and after surgery and from 117 typically developed individuals as a reference group. The patients underwent at least one soft tissue surgery on their shank and foot muscles. Using Repeated Measures ANOVA, we examined the norm-distance (ND) of the kinematics, kinetics, and EMG patterns, in addition to the Kerpape-Rennes EMG-based Gait Index (EDI), EMG Profile Score (EPS), and Walking Dynamic Motor Control Index (DMC) before and after surgery. Participants were divided into different response groups (Poor, Mild, and Good gait quality) according to their pre- and post-treatment Gait Deviation Index (GDI), using the K-means-PSO clustering algorithm. The gait and EMG indices were compared between the responders using the nonparametric Mann-Whitney test. The ND for all kinematics and kinetics parameters significantly improved (p-value < 0.05) after the surgery. Regarding EMG, a significant 38 reduction was only observed in the ND of the rectus femoris (p-value ≤ 0.001) and soleus (p-39 value = 0.006). Among the indices, DMC was not altered post-operatively (p-value = 0.88). Although EDI and EPS were consistent across responders with a similar pre-treatment gait, a higher DMC was significantly associated with a greater improvement, particularly in patients 42 with poor gait (p-value \leq 0.05). These findings indicate systematic changes in the EMG of patients with CP following surgery, which can also be demonstrated through indices. DMC is a measure that can potentially serve as a partial predictor of outcomes, particularly in patients with poor pre-operative gait. Future research should investigate the effects of different surgical strategies on the improvement of these patients.

Key Words: Cerebral Palsy, Orthopedic Surgery, EMG, Motor Control, Clustering

1- Introduction

 Does the electromyogram (EMG) remain unchanged as a fingerprint in patients with cerebral palsy (CP) after orthopedic surgery? Visually inspecting pre- and post-operative EMG signals in patients with CP, Gueth et al. [1] answered this question as a 'yes', indicating that EMG is robust to surgery. Therefore, they proposed the idea that we don't need to measure the EMG after the operation. However, the assessment of a small number of patients as well as subjectivity are two main limitations of their study.

 Patikas et al. delved deeper into this question by monitoring 34 patients, focusing on the changes in envelopes of EMG data rather than raw signals [2]. They observed a systematic improvement in the EMG of shank muscles (soleus, tibialis anterior, and lateral gastrocnemius) after a single-event multilevel surgery (SEMLS). However, the reported changes compared to kinematics and kinetics were relatively small. The majority of the patients in their study had equinus foot deformity with a history of calf muscle lengthening surgery, indicating the significant impact of shank soft tissue surgery on EMG in patients with CP. These findings aligned with other studies that indicated muscle activation patterns, as well as their recruitment in patients with CP, may change after an intervention [3-5]. Consequently, they concluded that EMG can describe the clinical condition of the patient before and after orthopedic surgery, and it can be considered for better clinical decision-making, such as the approach developed by Reinbold et al. [6] to predict the results of rectus transfer surgery.

 The suggestion from Patikas et al. [2], which served as the main motivation for the current study, was to conduct a controlled study with a larger population and more homogeneous surgical treatment. They argued that employing such standardized approaches could help clarify the pre-operative compensatory mechanisms present in patients, ultimately improving the prediction of surgical intervention outcomes. Nonetheless, there remains a need for the development of new analytical methods to interpret EMG signals effectively in a clinical context. Moreover, the subjective evaluation of the pre-operative motor control status' impact

 on surgical outcomes, in conjunction with CP biomechanics, constitutes a notable gap in extant literature warranting attention.

 Using clustering analysis, Davoudi et al. [7, 8] established an association between the pre- operation activity of muscles in patients with CP and their response to the surgery. Moreover, they introduced a simple index as the ratio between the activity of the rectus femoris and gastrocnemius lateralis muscles as a predictor of the chance of improvement in patients with a crouch gait. Kinematic indices such as the Gait Deviation Index (GDI) [9] and the Gait Profile Score (GPS) [10] are two measures of gait quality whose applicability in the assessment of gait with CP has already been reported in the literature [11-14]. The Kerpape-Rennes EMG-based Gait Index [15], referred to as the EMG Deviation Index (EDI) in this study, and the EMG 84 Profile Score (EPS) [16] are two EMG-based measures calculated using the same methodology as the GDI and GPS, respectively. EDI quantifies global muscle activity based on the Euclidean distance between a patient's EMG pattern and that of typically developing (TD) subjects using principal component analysis. Scores equal to or above 100 indicate normal gait, with a decrease of 10 points indicating a deviation of one standard deviation (SD) from TD. Additionally, to assess deviations from the norm for individual muscle groups independently, the EPS was calculated based on the mean of the root mean square error (RMSE) for each muscle during the gait, providing a score without units. While these are validated measures [17], there is no study on the use of EMG indices in the CP population.

 Although EDI and EPS can describe biomechanics by considering patterns, they are relatively weak in presenting the degree of motor involvement of the patient. To address this limitation, Schwartz et al. introduced the Walking Dynamic Motor Control Index (Walk-DMC) to clinically assess the effect of altered neuromuscular control on treatment outcomes in patients with CP [18]. A higher DMC suggests better motor function, with 100 being the average DMC for TD individuals, and 10 points representing one standard deviation from typical development outcomes. The observed DMC was significantly associated with the response to treatment,

 second only to the pre-treatment level of GDI. However, the treatment in their study included various interventions such as surgery, physical therapy, and selective dorsal rhizotomy. Additionally, the influence of DMC level on individuals with similar gait quality prior to treatment was not investigated. Therefore, it remains unclear how DMC can be helpful for predicting the results of surgery in patients with CP.

 The primary aim of this study was to investigate the effect of SEMLS on the EMG and gait kinematics of patients with CP. To achieve a more homogeneous surgical management across the cohort, we focused on patients who underwent soft tissue surgery on their shank and foot muscles, in addition to potentially other more proximal surgeries. Furthermore, we evaluated the applicability of EMG indices as global measures for assessing the results of surgery in the CP population. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time EDI and EPS have been measured in patients with CP. Moreover, considering DMC, we assessed the connection between motor control and surgery outcomes for subgroups with the same level of GDI. We hypothesized that while EMG patterns, as a biomechanical aspect of EMG activity, will change with surgery, motor control is rather independent of a specific gait pattern and remains stable. Our second hypothesis is that DMC is robust against biomechanical correction following surgery and potentially, this measure before treatment can serve as a predictor for the level of improvement after SEMLS in patients with CP.

2- Methods

2-1- Ethics statement

 The study was approved by the local Ethical Committee "Medical Faculty, Heidelberg University (no: S-243/2022)".

2-2- Participants

 The data analyzed in this retrospective study were part of a larger database established at the local University Clinics in the years 2000-2022 when retrieval was stopped. Only personnel

 that had regular legal access to the medical records retrieved patient data, collected and anonymized it. After this step, individual participants could not be identified anymore. They collected data in the time November and December 2022, and anonymized it in the same year December 28th .

 The database was filtered for CP patients with at least two consecutive examinations in the local gait laboratory with orthopedic surgery in between. Examinations that did not show high- quality EMG data were excluded, and surgeries had to address gait disorders caused by CP. If multiple examinations were available, the dates closest to the surgery were selected. The first examination (E1) was conducted before the operation, and the second examination (E2) was typically conducted one year after the operation. Therefore, E1 and E2 pertain to the same individuals evaluated at different time points. For hemiplegia patients, only data from the affected side were considered.

 Further primary inclusion criteria encompassed gait and clinical data for each examination, walking barefoot without assistive devices, and classification as Gross Motor Function Classification System (GMFCS) level I or II. Usually, the surgeries involved multiple procedures on different levels (hip, thigh, shank, and feet). If multiple surgeries were performed on different dates between the two examinations, all procedures were included in this study as they could be relevant for the second examination. Following the recommendation of Patikas et al. [2], to ensure a more homogeneous approach to surgical treatment, we focused on patients who, among other procedures, underwent soft tissue surgery on muscles located at the shank and foot level. For 91% of patients, this involved at least one surgery on the triceps surae muscle, such as the Baumann Procedure [19] (46%), Strayer Procedure [20] (46%), or Achilles Tendon Lengthening [21] (17%). Additionally, there were some muscle transfer surgeries, such as tibialis anterior transfer (13%) and tibialis posterior transfer (9%), and multiple muscle lengthening procedures, such as flexor digitorum longus lengthening (3%). In most cases, the surgery included further procedures on another level, i.e., legs and feet. The most prevalent

151 additional procedures were femoral derotation (78%), bony foot procedures (38%), rectus

152 transfer (30%), and hamstring lengthening (28%).

 Furthermore, according to [2], we chose to assess only the more involved side in each patient to maintain homogeneity regarding severity. This was defined as the side that underwent surgery, and in cases of bilateral involvement, the side with the lower GDI was selected for further analysis. Following the application of these criteria, 167 patients were recruited, along with 117 TD individuals serving as the reference group. Table 1 presents the characteristics of the study participants.

159 Table 1. Demographic and descriptive data of the participants at their first (E1) and second

160 (E2) examinations and also TD individuals.

161

162 **2-3- Data processing**

 The data recording and processing approach used in this study was the same as the one described in our recently published paper on rectus femoris EMG clustering [7]. Envelopes [22] for the EMG of seven major lower-extremity muscles—rectus femoris, vastus lateralis, tibialis anterior, semimembranosus, biceps femoris, lateral gastrocnemius, and soleus—were extracted

167 for subsequent analysis. Additionally, we calculated the kinematics, kinetics, and 168 spatiotemporal parameters of participants' gait.

169 To quantify the extent of deviation in a patient's EMG envelopes and gait parameters relative 170 to a reference group, we calculated the norm-distance (ND) according to [2]. ND was defined 171 as the absolute difference between a muscle's EMG envelope at the i th data point belongs to 172 the patient p (F_{ni}) and the mean value of the corresponding data point within that muscle for 173 the reference group (\overline{F}_{ni}) , divided by the respective standard deviation within the reference 174 group (SD_{ni}) , as expressed in Equation (1). The ND values for all 101 data points were averaged 175 over a gait cycle for further analysis. Changes in the average ND (\overline{ND}) from the initial 176 examination (E1) to the subsequent examination (E2) were regarded as indicative of the 177 surgery's impact on the patient's EMG. A reduction in \overline{ND} following the intervention suggested 178 an improvement towards a pattern more similar to the reference group.

Eq. 1.
$$
NDi = \frac{|F_{pi} - \overline{F}_{ni}|}{SD_{ni}}
$$

179 The same procedure was also employed to assess gait patterns in sagittal plane, including 180 angles, moments, and power at the hip, knee, and ankle joints.

181 **2-4- Gait and EMG Indices**

 EMG indices, including EDI [15], EPS [16], and Walk-DMC [18], were derived from the envelopes in accordance with existing literature. An increase in EDI, along with a reduction in EPS, may signify improvement post-surgery. Moreover, a higher DMC indicates enhanced motor control function in the patient. Additionally, GDI [9] and GPS [10], as measures of gait quality, were evaluated in both E1 and E2 for the patients. A higher GDI and a lower GPS are associated with reduced deviation from a typical gait pattern. All analyses for the extraction of parameters and indices were conducted using Matlab software (The MathWorks, Inc., USA).

189 **2-5- Clustering**

 We utilized the same k-means-PSO clustering algorithm as in our previous study [7] to categorize patients into three different gait levels based on their GDI in each examination, pre- (E1) and post-operative (E2). By averaging the GDI of patients within each cluster, we classified the patients as having good, mild, and poor gait quality, corresponding to high, medium, and low mean GDI, respectively (Table 4 in the results section). Figure 1 shows the clustering procedure applied to our database. The clustering algorithm was developed using Matlab, based on the details described in [7]. The algorithm was identical for both E1 and E2 197 populations. The number of clusters was determined by us in a supervised manner, set at 'n=3'. This allowed us to track the changes in gait quality of the patients (Figure 1). Although the patients in both E1 and E2 are the same (matched), their GDI values may differ due to the effects of the surgery (Figure 1.A and B).

Please insert Fig 1

Fig 1. The clustering of the patients, (A) according to their pre-operation (E1) GDI, and

(B) according to their post-operation (E2) GDI. (C) The possible responses of the

patients to the surgery, identified within each cluster both pre- and post-operation.

 Using this approach, we removed the effect of the pre-treatment level of GDI, which, according to [18], significantly influences the outcomes of surgery. Subsequently, we identified three groups of patients with the same pre-operative GDI level (E1). Each patient could have one of three possible responses to the intervention: good, mild, or poor, corresponding to being identified as Cluster 1, Cluster 2, or Cluster 3 post-operatively (E2) (Figure 1.C). Furthermore, the specifics of the type and number of surgeries performed between E1 and E2 for different responders are examined to investigate any potential bias arising from the treatment approach on the responses and clustering outcomes. According to the surgical details described in section 2.2, the most frequent proximal surgeries (femoral derotation, rectus transfer, and hamstring lengthening) and the main distal surgeries (Baumann and Strayer procedures, Achilles tendon lengthening, and bony foot procedures) were considered for examination between the clusters.

216 **2-6- Statistics**

 To compare the effect of the intervention on the ND of kinematics, kinetics, and EMG parameters (sections 2-3) and on the indices outlined in section 2-4, Repeated Measures 219 ANOVA was used. Further, for the muscles that showed a significant improvement (p-value = 0.05) in their ND, we applied statistical parametric mapping (SPM, www.spm1d.org) implemented in Matlab [23] to compare the changes over the entire gait cycle. We applied the 222 nonparametric Mann-Whitney test (p-value $= 0.05$) to compare the primary levels of the gait and EMG indices between the groups with the same condition in E1, and also to assess their changes from E1 to E2.

225 **3- Results**

226 The ND of all measured kinematics and kinetics parameters exhibited significant improvement 227 (p-value < 0.05) post-surgery, as shown in Table 2. Regarding EMG, a significant reduction 228 was only observed in the ND of the EMG for the rectus femoris (p-value ≤ 0.001) and soleus 229 (p-value = 0.006), as detailed in Table 3. While the gait indices (GDI and GPS) and EMG 230 indices (EDI and EPS) demonstrated significant changes towards normal values from E1 to E2 231 (p-value < 0.001), the measure for motor control (DMC) showed no significant difference after 232 the intervention (p-value $= 0.88$).

233 Table 2- Pre- (E1) and post-operative (E2) norm-distance of the kinematics and kinetics

234 parameters and for GDI and GPS

perpetuity. medRxiv preprint doi: [https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.22.24314168;](https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.22.24314168) this version posted September 24, 2024. The copyright holder for this
preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has grant

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

235

236 Table 3- Pre- (E1) and post-operative (E2) norm-distance of the EMG activity of muscles and

237 for EDI, EPS and DMC

perpetuity. medRxiv preprint doi: [https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.22.24314168;](https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.22.24314168) this version posted September 24, 2024. The copyright holder for this
preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has grant

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

238

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) . perpetuity. medRxiv preprint doi: [https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.22.24314168;](https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.22.24314168) this version posted September 24, 2024. The copyright holder for this
preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has grant

Please insert Fig 2

Fig. 2 - Results of SPM analysis highlighting differences in EMG patterns of rectus femoris (A) and soleus (B) muscles pre-operation (E1) and post-operation (E2) throughout the gait cycle. Dark areas indicate significant differences in activity.

243

 Initial clustering results provided in Table 4 indicate the mean and SD of the GDI for patients identified in each cluster at two assessment points (pre- and post-operation). Patients with the best and the poorest gait performance at E1 had an average GDI of 71.02 and 43.43, respectively. The same assigned gait conditions had an average GDI of 86.47 and 58.51 at E2. This illustrates the general effect of the surgery on improving gait, as evidenced by the increase in the mean GDI from 58.51 at E1 to 73.74 at E2. However, since individual responses varied, 250 patients were categorized according to the change in their cluster post-operation. Tables 5, 6, and 7 compare those with similar pre-operative gait, minimizing the influence of initial gait 252 quality.

253 Table 4 - Average GDI of the patients within each identified cluster pre- and post-operation, 254 along with the assigned gait conditions.

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) . perpetuity. medRxiv preprint doi: [https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.22.24314168;](https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.22.24314168) this version posted September 24, 2024. The copyright holder for this
preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has grant

256	For patients with severe pre-operative gait conditions (Table 5), all three responder groups
257	experienced significant GDI improvements: 44, 30, and 14 units for good, mild, and poor
258	responses, respectively. While gait and EMG indices (EDI and EPS) at E1 were consistent
259	across these responders, DMC was significantly lower for patients with a poor response
260	(Poor to Poor) compared to those with a good response (Poor to Good, 72.75 vs. 85.37, p-
261	value ≤ 0.001) and a mild response (Poor to Mild, 72.75 vs. 79.02, p-value = 0.004). EDI and
262	EPS also improved from E1 to E2 across all responders, particularly for the mild ones
263	(Poor to Mild, p-value < 0.001).

264 Table 5 - Comparative analysis of gait and EMG indices among participants with poor gait 265 quality at baseline (E1) across responders according to their post-operation gait quality.

perpetuity. medRxiv preprint doi: [https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.22.24314168;](https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.22.24314168) this version posted September 24, 2024. The copyright holder for this
preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has grant

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

266

 Additionally, the improvement in gait for patients with moderate pre-operative gait issues was 268 significant (Table 6). The mean DMC for the best responders (Mild to Good) was higher than that of the other two groups at E1, and the levels of EDI and EPS approached a TD level, although statistical significance was not demonstrated.

271 Table 6 - Comparative analysis of gait and EMG indices among participants with mild gait

272 quality at baseline (E1) across responders according to their post-operation gait quality.

273

274 Lastly, for patients with the highest GDI levels at E1, while the changes in gait were significant, 275 seven patients (Good_to_Poor) experienced a reduction in GDI (increase in GPS), indicating 276 an unsuccessful surgical outcome. They exhibited a decrease in EDI from 83.73 at E1 to 82.11 277 at E2, with their DMC being significantly lower than that of Good to Good at E1 (78.08 vs. 278 82.68, p-value = 0.041). Good responders also had a higher initial level of DMC compared to 279 mild responders (78.95 vs. 82.68, p-value = 0.038). Further, the changes in EMG for good 280 responders from E1 to E2 were significant, as measured by EDI (p-value $= 0.044$) and EPS (p-281 value = 0.035).

 Table 8 also shows the details of the main distal and proximal surgeries for the responders in this study. Dividing the total number of surgeries by the total number of patients for each condition, the average number of surgeries each patient underwent was calculated. To have clinical meaning, we have rounded this number. The better responders with a poor gait (Poor_to_Good) underwent more surgeries (on average 4) than the others (on average 3 and 2). In general, the amount of proximal surgeries was relatively higher than distal surgeries for the 288 patients with a better post-operative gait (21 vs. 15 for Poor to Good and 25 vs. 23 for 289 Mild to Good).

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) . perpetuity. medRxiv preprint doi: [https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.22.24314168;](https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.22.24314168) this version posted September 24, 2024. The copyright holder for this
preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has grant

- 290 Table 7 Comparative analysis of gait and EMG indices among participants with good gait
- 291 quality at baseline (E1) across responders according to their post-operation gait quality.

292

293

294

	Total	Distal surgeries				Proximal surgeries			Average	total	total number of
Responders	number of patients	Achilles tendon lengthening	Baumann procedure	Strayer procedure	bony foot procedures	femoral derotation	rectus transfer	hamstring lengthening	number of surgeries on one patient	number of distal surgeries	proximal surgeries
Poor_to_Good	10		6	5	\mathfrak{Z}	10	$\overline{7}$	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{4}$	15	21
Poor_to_Mild	22	6	10	9	\mathfrak{Z}	21	11	14	3	28	46
Poor_to_Poor	11	$\mathbf{0}$	3	τ	$\overline{4}$	9	$\overline{4}$		3	14	14
Mild_to_Good	15	3	8	8	$\overline{4}$	13	6	6	\mathfrak{Z}	23	25
Mild_to_Mild	21	$\overline{2}$	12	9	9	20	$\overline{7}$	9	3	32	36
Mild to Poor	21	6	τ	12	11	17	$\overline{3}$	6	3	36	26
Good_to_Good	30	5	16	11	11	20	5	3	$\overline{2}$	43	28
Good to Mild	30	5	10	14	16	16	5	3	$\overline{2}$	45	24
Good_to_Poor	τ		$\overline{4}$	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{3}$	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{2}$		$\overline{2}$	10	7

Table 8 – Comparison between the type and number of surgeries for each responding condition

4- Discussion

 This study confirms the systematic changes in EMG observed in patients with cerebral palsy following orthopedic surgery. In a relatively larger and more homogeneous cohort, we observed the same findings as Patikas et al. [2] regarding the improvement in post-operative norm-distance for kinetics, kinematics, and EMG (Tables 2 and 3).

 The post-operative reduction in soleus activity during initial contact and terminal swing (Figure 2.A) may be attributed to the decreased equinus following the (gastrocnemius) lengthening procedures. This adjustment, common in our population, allowed for a more dorsiflexed position during initial contact. Additionally, the increased activation of the soleus during terminal stance can enhance power generation in the plantar flexors, which is crucial for the body's forward progression [24].

 Moreover, while increased mid-swing EMG activity of the rectus femoris is typically observed in individuals with cerebral palsy [25], the reduction in this activity post-surgery indicates a positive effect on their gait (Figure 2.B). The increase in rectus femoris activity during early stance can also provide sufficient moment to extend the knee in mid-stance. During gait, as the knee extends and the ankle dorsiflexes, the knee moment transitions from an extensor to a flexor moment, allowing the quadriceps to cease contracting and the ankle to absorb power through the eccentric contraction of the gastrocnemius–soleus complex [26]. These synergistic changes observed in our study in the EMG of the soleus and rectus muscles may result in an improved plantar flexion–knee extension coupling mechanism [26], leading to better gait quality as indicated by the GDI and GPS (Table 2).

 The examined EMG indices, EDI and EPS, basically describe EMG patterns, and tend to become more typical (Table 3) as gait becomes more typical after the surgery (gait parameters, Table 2). This might be interpreted as a biomechanical aspect of EMG activity. In contrast, DMC seems to be a quantity that is relatively independent of the changes in gait pattern or

 indexes derived from them (Table 3). Therefore, and this is the second hypothesis we examined in this study, DMC can be a partial predictor of outcomes: 'Good DMC = good response; Poor DMC = poor response' (i.e., of orthopedic/biomechanical intervention). According to [18], we applied a clustering algorithm to divide the subjects into groups of patients with similar gait quality in E1 and the same response to the treatment in E2.

 Considering the possible recovery conditions, DMC was the only measure that showed a difference between the responding groups with the same baseline GDI (Tables 5, 6, and 7), 327 while it remained the same from E1 to E2. The patients with a relatively low DMC (\approx 70 out of 328 100 for TD) along with poor gait quality (GDI \approx 40 out of 100 for TD) are more likely to have worse outcomes after treatment (Table 5). This finding interestingly implies that orthopedic surgeons should be cautious not to overtreat patients with severely limited motor control. 331 Conversely, a relatively high DMC (≈ 80 out of 100 for TD) for patients with a better pre-332 treatment GDI (\approx 70 out of 100 for TD) can lead to further improvement in their gait following the intervention (Table 7). Moreover, for mild cases (Table 6), it can also be seen that the average DMC for the good responders was higher than for the other groups. However, for these cases, it might be difficult to distinguish between the biomechanics and the motor effect on their gait deficit. It is crucial to acknowledge that the descriptive terms used in this study, such as poor, good, mild, better, and worse, are context-specific and pertain to the population included in our research. In other clinical settings, where the severity levels of patients may differ, these thresholds might not apply uniformly. Nevertheless, the inclusion of 167 patients in our study provides a sufficiently large sample size to generalize the systematic changes in EMG post-surgery, validate the application of EMG indices in clinical practice, and underscore the significance of DMC as a measure of motor control function in clinical decision-making. While prior studies addressed pre-treatment femoral anteversion [27], knee flexion [28], dynamic hip flexion [29], and gait profile score [30] as predictors of post-operative outcomes,

 this is the first study to examine the connection between DMC and gait following surgery in a relatively homogeneous group of patients with CP.

 Comparing the type and number of surgeries among the responders, it appears that those with poor pre-treatment gait quality who demonstrated better responses underwent the most extensive surgical interventions. Furthermore, proximal surgeries, such as rectus transfer, hamstring lengthening, and femoral derotation, seem to have resulted in higher responder rates compared to distal surgeries, such as Baumann-Strayer and bony foot procedures, for patients with initially poor and mild gait quality. This may introduce a potential bias in the grouping methodology employed in this study. Our research primarily focused on the applicability of EMG as a clinical measure to enhance decision-making for patients with CP. However, we recommend that future researchers conduct more focused studies on the impact of different surgical approaches on EMG changes and their relationship with gait improvement. Additionally, the influence of growth, changes in muscle mass, and spasticity over time, particularly following surgery, on the EMG and gait of patients with cerebral palsy, should also be explored in future studies.

5- Conflict of Interest

 'The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.'

There is no conflict of interest.

6- Author Contributions

 MD: Writing original draft, Data analysis; FS: Review & editing, Methodology; RR: Data analysis; DAP, NAB and KG: Review & editing; SIW: Review & editing, Conceptualization, Methodology, Project administration.

7- Data Availability

The data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors upon

request.

8- Funding

This research was funded by the German Research Foundation (DFG) (no: WO 1624/ 8-1).

This funder had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or

preparation of the manuscript.

References

 1. Gueth V, Abbink F, Reuken R. Comparison of pre-and postoperative electromyograms in children with cerebral palsy. Electromyography and clinical neurophysiology. 1985;25(4):233-43.

 2. Patikas D, Wolf SI, Schuster W, Armbrust P, Dreher T, Döderlein L. Electromyographic patterns in children with cerebral palsy: Do they change after surgery? Gait & posture. 2007;26(3):362-71.

 3. Woollacott M, Shumway-Cook A, Hutchinson S, Ciol M, Price R, Kartin D. Effect of balance training on muscle activity used in recovery of stability in children with cerebral palsy: a pilot study. Developmental medicine and child neurology. 2005;47(7):455-61.

 4. Buurke JH, Hermens HJ, Roetenberg D, Harlaar J, Rosenbaum D, Kleissen RFM. Influence of hamstring lengthening on muscle activation timing. Gait & posture. 2004;20(1):48- 53.

 5. Romkes J, Hell AK, Brunner R. Changes in muscle activity in children with hemiplegic cerebral palsy while walking with and without ankle–foot orthoses. Gait & posture. 2006;24(4):467-74.

 6. Reinbolt JA, Fox MD, Schwartz MH, Delp SL. Predicting outcomes of rectus femoris transfer surgery. Gait & posture. 2009;30(1):100-5.

 7. Davoudi M, Salami F, Reisig R, Patikas D, Wolf S. Rectus Femoris Electromyography Signal Clustering: Data-Driven Management of Crouch Gait in Patients with Cerebral Palsy. PloS one. 2024:Forthcoming.

 8. Davoudi M, Salami F, Reisig R, Wolf SI. Rectus femoris EMG clustering, A data-driven management of crouch gait in patients with cerebral palsy (CP). Gait & Posture. 2023;106:S45- S6.

 9. Schwartz MH, Rozumalski A. The Gait Deviation Index: a new comprehensive index of gait pathology. Gait & posture. 2008;28(3):351-7.

 10. Baker R, McGinley JL, Schwartz MH, Beynon S, Rozumalski A, Graham HK, et al. The gait profile score and movement analysis profile. Gait & posture. 2009;30(3):265-9.

 11. Rasmussen HM, Nielsen DB, Pedersen NW, Overgaard S, Holsgaard-Larsen A. Gait Deviation Index, Gait Profile Score and Gait Variable Score in children with spastic cerebral 405 palsy: Intra-rater reliability and agreement across two repeated sessions. Gait & posture. 2015;42(2):133-7.

 12. Speciali DS, Corrêa JCF, Luna NM, Brant R, Greve JMDA, de Godoy W, et al. Validation of GDI, GPS and GVS for use in Parkinson's disease through evaluation of effects of subthalamic deep brain stimulation and levodopa. Gait & Posture. 2014;39(4):1142-5.

 13. Cimolin V, Galli M, Vimercati SL, Albertini G. Use of the Gait Deviation Index for the assessment of gastrocnemius fascia lengthening in children with Cerebral Palsy. Research in developmental disabilities. 2011;32(1):377-81.

 14. Bickley C, Linton J, Scarborough N, Sullivan E, Mitchell K, Barnes D. Correlation of technical surgical goals to the GDI and investigation of post-operative GDI change in children with cerebral palsy. Gait & Posture. 2017;55:121-5.

 15. Bervet K, Bessette M, Godet L, Crétual A. KeR-EGI, a new index of gait quantification based on electromyography. Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology. 2013;23(4):930-7.

 16. Ropars J, Lempereur M, Vuillerot C, Tiffreau V, Peudenier S, Cuisset J-M, et al. Muscle activation during gait in children with Duchenne muscular dystrophy. PloS one. 2016;11(9):e0161938.

 17. Nüesch C, Mandelli F, Przybilla P, Schären S, Mündermann A, Netzer C. Kinematics and paraspinal muscle activation patterns during walking differ between patients with lumbar spinal stenosis and controls. Gait & Posture. 2023;99:44-50.

 18. Schwartz MH, Rozumalski A, Steele KM. Dynamic motor control is associated with treatment outcomes for children with cerebral palsy. Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology. 2016;58(11):1139-45.

 19. Baumann JU, Koch HG. Ventrale aponeurotische Verlängerung des Musculus gastrocnemius. Operative Orthopädie und Traumatologie. 1989;1:254-8.

 20. Strayer Jr LM. Gastrocnemius recession: five-year report of cases. JBJS. 1958;40(5):1019-30.

 21. Kay RM, Rethlefsen SA, Ryan JA, Wren TAL. Outcome of gastrocnemius recession and tendo-achilles lengthening in ambulatory children with cerebral palsy. Journal of Pediatric Orthopaedics B. 2004;13(2):92-8.

 22. Patikas D, Wolf S, Döderlein L. Electromyographic evaluation of the sound and involved side during gait of spastic hemiplegic children with cerebral palsy. European journal of neurology. 2005;12(9):691-9.

 23. Pataky TC. Generalized n-dimensional biomechanical field analysis using statistical parametric mapping. Journal of biomechanics. 2010;43(10):1976-82.

 24. Winter DA. Energy generation and absorption at the ankle and knee during fast, natural, and slow cadences. Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research (1976-2007). 1983;175:147- 54.

 25. DeLuca PA, Bell KJ, Davis RB. Using surface electrodes for the evaluation of the rectus femoris, vastus medialis and vastus lateralis muscles in children with cerebral palsy. Gait & Posture. 1997;5(3):211-6.

 26. Sangeux M, Rodda J, Graham HK. Sagittal gait patterns in cerebral palsy: The plantarflexor–knee extension couple index. Gait & posture. 2015;41(2):586-91.

 27. Dreher T, Wolf SI, Heitzmann D, Swartman B, Schuster W, Gantz S, et al. Long-term outcome of femoral derotation osteotomy in children with spastic diplegia. Gait & posture. 2012;36(3):467-70.

 28. Hicks JL, Delp SL, Schwartz MH. Can biomechanical variables predict improvement in crouch gait? Gait & posture. 2011;34(2):197-201.

 29. Schwartz MH, Rozumalski A, Truong W, Novacheck TF. Predicting the outcome of intramuscular psoas lengthening in children with cerebral palsy using preoperative gait data and the random forest algorithm. Gait & posture. 2013;37(4):473-9.

 30. Rutz E, Donath S, Tirosh O, Graham HK, Baker R. Explaining the variability improvements in gait quality as a result of single event multi-level surgery in cerebral palsy. Gait & posture. 2013;38(3):455-60.

Figure 1

Figure 2