- 1 Title: Postpartum Depression During the COVID-19 Pandemic and Associated
- 2 Factors: A Cross-Sectional Study
- Authors: Mariana Mie Teruya¹; Gabriel Sant'Ana Carrijo²; Gleise Aparecida Moraes
- 4 Costa²; Mariliza Henrique Da Silva³; Júlia Ribeiro Targa de Lima²; Julia Fontanezzi
- 5 Sacramento Veltri Costa⁴; Rodolfo Strufaldi²; Cristina Ortiz Sobrinho Valete ⁵
 - Author Affiliations

6

12

16

19

20

21

- 7 ¹ Faculdade de Ciências Médicas da Santa Casa de São PauloFac
- 8 ² Centro Universitário Faculdade de Medicina do ABC
- 9 ³ Hospital Municipal Universitário de São Bernardo do Campo
- 10 ⁴ Secretaria de Saúde de São Bernardo do Campo
- 11 ⁵ Universidade Federal de São Carlos
- 13 **Corresponding author:** Mariana Mie Teruya. ORCID 0000-0001-8133-5782.
- 14 Faculdade de Ciências Médicas da Santa Casa de São Paulo. E-mail:
- 15 marimies2@gmail.com
- 17 **Keywords:** Postpartum Depression, Maternal Health, COVID-19, Pandemic, Cross-
- sectional study, Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale, Postpartum Period.

Abstract

22

23

24

25

26

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

Objective: To study the prevalence of maternal depressive symptoms in the immediate

postpartum period (≤ 7 days postpartum) during the COVID-19 pandemic and to identify

associated factors.

27 **Methods**: We performed a cross-sectional analytical study. The study population

consisted of postpartum women >18 years old enrolled at the Municipal University

Hospital of São Bernardo do Campo. The Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale and a

questionnaire on sociodemographic characteristics and clinical history were administered

to 90 patients in the first week of the immediate postpartum period between June and

December 2021.

Results: An Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale score > 10 was observed in 39

(43.3%) of the postpartum women. From a multivariate analysis, the factors associated

with a score > 10 on the scale were: "having no belief/spirituality" with 83% (95% CI 1.20-

2.81, p<0.006), "having had more than one pregnancy" with 50% (95% CI 0.29-0.89,

p<0.02), "having a previous episode of depression" with 64% (95% CI 1.02-2.65, p<0.04),

and "having a previous episode of anxiety" with 83% (95% CI 1.06-3.16, p<0.03). The

other factors studied did not have statistical significance.

Conclusion: With the COVID-19 pandemic, an increase in the prevalence of depressive

symptoms in the immediate postpartum period was observed. The postpartum women

who scored > 10 on the EPDS had the following common associated factors: "having no belief/spirituality", "having had more than one pregnancy", "having a previous episode of depression", and "having a previous episode of anxiety". These findings indicate the need for greater attention from health professionals to these positive factors.

Introduction

Postpartum depression (PPD), also known as peripartum depression, is a prevalent and debilitating condition affecting a significant number of women after childbirth. It is defined by the presence of major depression criteria associated with pregnancy or up to 4 weeks postpartum, according to the "Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-V) - 2013." (1). In Brazil, approximately 15% to 28% of women develop

PPD (2). Despite its high prevalence, less than 20% of obstetric teams focus on diagnosing and treating mental disorders during prenatal care, which is a predictor for PPD (2,3).

The pathophysiology of PPD is complex and not fully understood, yet its symptoms can profoundly impact the lives of mothers, their babies, and their families(4). In mothers, untreated PPD is associated with weight problems, impaired social relationships, decreased maternal attachment, use of alcohol and/or illicit drugs, persistent depression, and even suicide (4,5).

The COVID-19 pandemic has led to several mandatory prevention regulations, changes in healthcare services, unprecedented unemployment rates, financial stress, and emotional worries. In this context, the global prevalence of PPD ranged from 6.4% to 56.9% (6), a much higher percentage when compared to the pre-pandemic period. In Brazil, about 38.8% of postpartum women had symptoms consistent with PPD, with the risk of PPD increasing by 2.3 times when the postpartum woman was hospitalized due to COVID-19 infection (7). Given the importance of this issue, this study aims to analyze the prevalence of PPD symptoms in the immediate postpartum period and identify associated factors during the COVID-19 pandemic in a Brazilian obstetric health center.

Methods:

This is a cross-sectional study conducted in a hospital located in São Paulo, Brazil, from June 2021 to December 2021. This study was approved by the Faculty of Medicine of ABC ethics committee (CAAE: 43879521.0.0000.0082) and informed consent was obtained from all participants. This health care center is a tertiary teaching hospital

accredited by Baby Friendly Hospital Initiative, located in the ABC region. The maternity ward is a reference for high-risk pregnancies. The neonatal intensive care unit has 20 beds, with a multidisciplinary team working in the areas of audiology and speech therapy, occupational therapy, psychology, social work, and physiotherapy, in addition to the medical, nursing, and specialist teams.

Inclusion criteria were women in the first week of postpartum, aged >18 years.

Exclusion criteria were patients who did not adequately answer the Edinburgh Postnatal

Depression Scale (EPDS) or who did not consent to partaking in the research.

Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics were investigated. The EPDS was applied to all participants, as a screening tool for PPD. The EPDS is a self-applied questionnaire developed by Cox et al (8), composed by ten items with answers in a four-level Likert scale, translated and validated to Brazil by Santos et al (9). The outcome variable considered was PPD, defined according to a positive screening by EPDS ≥10, as suggested by Figueira et al (10). Other data were collected from electronic charts. Whenever necessary, when the EPDS was ≥10 or when asked by themselves, women were encouraged to follow the institution's mental health service.

Statistical analysis: All statistical analyses were done using Stata software version 13. Sample power was calculated considering 20% difference, by the Wald statistics and the sample comprising 90 participants, resulting in 0.9854. Normality was evaluated by the Shapiro-Wilk test (p-value < 0.001). Results are presented as medians and interquartile range (IQR), frequency, proportions and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). Crude prevalence ratios (CPr) were calculated by the Poisson regression (robust

estimation and log link function) considering the outcome PPD (yes/no). Variables with a p < 0.20 were tested in a multivariate model to estimate the adjusted prevalence ratios (APr). For all analyses, a p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. This article writing followed the STROBE form for cross-sectional studies (11).

Results:

The study included 90 participants. The median age was 27.5 years (IQR 23-34), 25 (27.8%) self-identified as white, 54 (60.0%) as brown and 11 (12.2%) as black. Regarding the educational level, 64 (71.1%) had high school diploma and 11 (12.2%) had university degree.

Twenty-eight (31.1%) were married and 30 (33.3%) were single. Regarding religion, 29 (32.2%) were catholic, 37 (41.1%) were evangelical and 17 (18.9%) reported not having a religion. Sixty-eight (77.3%) reported having a spiritual belief. Those who reported not having a spiritual belief believed their lives' sense was represented by their infants in 10 (45.4%) and their family in 7 (31.8%). Thirteen (14.4%) had chronic disease and took some medicine during pregnancy. Seventy-five (83.3%) reported having a support network. Thirty-seven (41.1%) reported their family income decreased during the pandemic period. Their working conditions changed in 63 (70.0%), and of these, 38 (60.3%) reported that these changes were negative. Sixty-four (71.1%) reported no alcohol intake habits before and during pregnancy and 22 (24.5%) reported alcohol intake before pregnancy with discontinuation upon discovery. Forty (44.4%) planned pregnancy,

thirty-eight (42.2%) reported a previous anxiety episode and 16 (17.8%) reported a previous depression episode.

The median body mass index (BMI) in the beginning of pregnancy was 25.0 (IQR 21.7-30.1), in the end it was 30.1 (IQR 24.9-32.9) and median weight gain was 10 kg (IQR 7-13). Twenty-nine (32.2%) had vaginal delivery and 61 (67.8%) were submitted to cesarean section. Eighty-nine were tested for COVID-19 and of these, 33 (37.0%) were positive.

Considering the newborns' characteristics, 48 (53.3%) were male sex, with median birth weight 3,157g (IQR 2,800-3,605g), median gestational age 39^{0/7} weeks (IQR 38^{0/7}-40^{0/7} weeks), 87 (97.7%) reported the newborn was healthy and 79 (87.7%) reported they were in exclusive breastfeeding at that moment. Median EPDS score was 9 (IQR 5-12) and the detailed responses are in **Table 1**.

Table 1 The Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale results (n=90)

Questions	n (%) Questions			
1. I have been able to laugh and see the		6. Things have been getting to me:		
funny side of things:		No, I have been coping as well as ever	11 (12.2)	
As much as I always could	58 (64.4)	No, most of the time I have coped quite well	27 (30.0)	
Not quite so much now	23 (25.6)	Yes, sometimes I haven't been coping as well as usual	36 (40.0)	
Definitely not so much now	8 (8.9)	Yes, most of the time I haven't been able to cope at all	16 (17.8)	
Not at all	1 (1.1)			
2. I have looked forward with enjoyment to		7. I have been so unhappy that I had difficulty		
things:		sleeping:		
As much as ever did	64 (71.1)	Yes, most of the time	3 (3.3)	
Rather less than I used to	19 (21.1)	Yes, sometimes	8 (8.9)	
Definitely less than I used to	6 (6.7)	No, not very often	35 (38.9)	
Hardly at all	1 (1.1)	No, not at all	44 (48.9)	
3. I have blamed myself unnecessarily when		8. I have felt sad or miserable:		
things went wrong:		Yes, most of the time	6 (6.7)	
es, most of the time	15 (16.7)	Yes, quite often	9 (10.0)	
Yes, some of the time	37 (41.1)	Not very often	34 (37.8)	
Not very often	25 (27.8)	No, not at all	41 (45.5)	
No, never	13 (14.4)			
4. I have been anxious or worried for no good		9. I have been so unhappy that I have been crying:		
reason:		Yes, most of the time	5 (5.5)	
No, not at all	17 (18.9)	Yes, quite often	6 (6.7)	
Hardly ever	22 (24.4)	Only occasionally	42 (46.7)	
Yes, sometimes	38 (42.2)	No, never	37 (41.1)	
Yes, very often	13 (14.5)			
5. I have felt scared or panicky for no good		10. The thought of harming myself has occurred to		
reason:		me:		
Yes, quite a lot	6 (6.7)	Yes, quite often	5 (5.6)	
Yes, sometimes	20 (22.2)	Sometimes	2 (2.2)	
No, not much	34 (37.8)	Hardly ever	6 (6.7)	
No, not at all	30 (33.3)	Never	77 (85.5)	

The EPDS ≥ 10 was observed in 39 (43.3%) of participants. Factors associated with this score were "not having a belief", "previous depression episode" and "previous anxiety episode". Number of gestations equal to one was associated with lower EPDS (Table 2).

Table 2. Univariate and multivariate regression model of EDPS ≥ 10 and associated factors (n=90).

Characteristics	EPDS <u>></u> 10 (%)	CPr	*p-value	APr	95% CI	*p- value	
Age							
>35 years	30.0	0.63	0.21		Not included		
≤35 years	47.1	0.00	0.21	Not included			
Scholarity							
Elementary	46.6	1.09	0.77		Not included		
High school and university	42.6	1.00	0.77				
Have a belief							
No	60.0	1.56	0.06	1.83	1,20-2,81	0.006	
Yes	38.2	1.00	0.00	1.00	1,20 2,01	0.000	
Weight gain during pregnancy					,		
>10 kg	38.1	0.79	0.35		Not included		
<_10 kg	47.1	0.79	0.55				
Number of gestations							
1	31.0	0.63	0.13	0.50	0,29-0,89	0.02	
>1	49.2		0.13	0.50	0,29-0,09	0.02	
Was tested positive for COVID-19							
Yes	48.5	1.23	0.39		Not included		
No	39.3	1.23	0.39	Hot morado			
During the pandemic your family income							
Reduced	54.0	1.50	0.00		Not included		
Did not change or increased	35.8	1.50	0.08				
Working conditions changes during the							
pandemic							
Yes	46.0	1.61	0.20		Not included		
No	28.5	1.01	5.20				
Did you have symptoms of COVID-19							
Yes	46.6	1.12	0.64		Not included		
No	41.6	2	0.01				

		Т		T			
Was your pregnancy planned Yes No	40.0 46.9	1.17	0.51	Not included			
Use of sleep medication							
Yes	61.1	1.66	0.04	Not included			
No	36.7		0.01				
Previous depression episode							
Yes	75.0	2.07	0.001	1.64	1,02-2,65	0.04	
No	36.1				,,,= =,,,		
Previous anxiety episode							
Yes	60.5	1.96	0.006	1.83	1,06-3,16	0.03	
No	30.7	1.50	0.000	1.00		0.00	
Ingestion of alcohol					,		
Yes	50.0	1.16	0.77	Not included			
No / stopped during pregnancy	43.0		0.77				
Delivery							
Cesarean section	24.1	0.46	0.03	Not included			
Vaginal	52.4		0.00				
Infant's sex							
Male	43.7	1.02	0.93		Not included		
Female	42.8		0.55				
Birth weight of infant							
< 2,500g	50.0	1.17	0.63		Not included		
<u>></u> 2,500g	42.5	1.17	0.00				
Gestational age							
Preterm	44.4	1.02	0.94	Not included			
Term	43.2	1.02	0.04				
			•	ē			

CPr: Crude prevalence ratio; APr: Adjusted prevalence ratio; 95% CI: 95% confidence interval; *p-value associated with univariate and multivariate Poisson regression; EPDS: Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale; g: grams.

Discussion:

In this study, using the EPDS with a cutoff score of ≥10, the prevalence of depressive symptoms in the immediate postpartum period (≤ 7 days postpartum) was found to be 43.3% in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic. Pre-pandemic Brazilian studies that assessed the prevalence of depressive symptoms in the immediate postpartum period reported a prevalence ranging from 6.7% to 24.51% using the same methodology as this study(12,13). The increase in the prevalence of depressive symptoms in Brazil mirrors the global trend. A systematic review and meta-analysis by Chmielewska B. et al. found an increase in EPDS scores when comparing before and after the COVID-19 pandemic, with a pooled mean difference of 0.42 [95% CI 0.02–0.81; three studies, 2330 and 6517 pregnancies](14). Therefore, both in Brazil and worldwide, there was an almost 50% increase in the prevalence of depressive symptoms in the immediate postpartum period following the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Given the statistical analysis, only the variables "lack of spiritual/religious beliefs," "having had more than one pregnancy," "previous episode of depression," and "previous episode of anxiety" were significant risk factors for depressive symptoms in the immediate postpartum period during the COVID-19 pandemic. These factors will be discussed in the following paragraphs.

According to our multivariate regression model, postpartum women without any spiritual beliefs were 83% more likely to have an EPDS score > 10 in the immediate postpartum period during the COVID-19 pandemic. It is known that religious participation and spiritual behaviors are associated with a lower risk of PPD (15,16) and milder depressive symptoms during this period (15).

During the COVID-19 pandemic, the mental health of the general population was impaired. An integrative review by Cordero et al. showed that religious and spiritual beliefs might be associated with better coping, fewer mental health problems (stress, anxiety, depression), and better well-being during the pandemic (17). Additionally, religiosity and spirituality played an important role in alleviating suffering by minimizing the consequences of social isolation (18).

Regarding postpartum women, there is still a lack of studies that relate religiosity/spiritual practices, mental health in postpartum women, and the COVID-19 pandemic, making this study one of the first to relate religion/spirituality to depressive symptoms in the puerperium during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Given this significant result from this study, healthcare professionals should be attentive when attending to and monitoring postpartum women who have experienced the COVID-19 pandemic. Additionally, further research is needed, as the long-term consequences of depressive symptoms in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic on the health of women and their children are still unknown.

In our results, being multiparous was a significant risk factor for developing depressive symptoms during the COVID-19 pandemic, with multiparous women having a

50% higher. This finding aligns with the literature, which indicates that multiparous women are more vulnerable to PPD compared to their first pregnancy. Pre-pandemic studies already showed that being multiparous increased the likelihood of developing PPD (19–21). According to a systematic review and meta-analysis conducted during the pandemic (22), this association persisted in the new context.

In Brazilian studies, research conducted in Rio Grande do Sul by Hartmann et al. applied the EPDS to a sample of 2687 postpartum women and concluded that parity of two or more was a risk factor for PPD (20). The relationship between the number of children and PPD may be related to stress and family overload when the woman already has other children (20).

Given these results, healthcare professionals and social workers should be particularly attentive to multiparous women, considering not only perinatal care but also the broader social and familial context in which these women live.

The presence of past episodes of depression was shown to increase the likelihood of developing depressive symptoms in the immediate postpartum period (EPDS ≥10) by 64%. Patients with a history of anxiety had an even higher correlation, with 83% of chance. Thus, past episodes of depression and anxiety were significant risk factors for developing depressive symptoms. This is because patients who have experienced depression in the past share common risk factors with PPD, such as socioeconomic fragility, family or marital problems, and genetic risk factors for depression (23). According to the biopsychosocial model of depression (24), patients with these risk factors are more likely to experience depression when faced with a stressful event, such as the arrival of a new child during the pandemic (25). During the COVID-19 pandemic, it was observed that

women reported "the thought of not having my partner with me during birth," "the thought of my partner having to leave the hospital soon after birth," and "the thought of being separated from my baby after delivery" as the most frequent anxiety inducing thoughts(26). Considering these findings, we suggest that past episodes of depression and/or anxiety be inquired about during prenatal care. Patients with these risk factors require special attention in the postpartum period

The elevated incidence of PPD among women who gave birth during the pandemic carries significant long-term implications for both maternal and child health. PPD has been strongly linked to reduced exclusive breastfeeding time (27), less engagement in pediatric care visits, and greater negligence in completing the child's vaccination schedule (4). Furthermore, there is a noted delay in the child's development up to 18 months of age, as well as a 4.7% higher risk of developing depression in adolescence compared to children of mothers free of PPD symptoms (3). These findings highlight the need for addressing potential complications in children born during the pandemic.

This study has limitations. As a single-center study, it reflects a local perspective and has limited external validity. Also, the cross-sectional design precludes any conclusions about the causality or temporal relationships between the identified risk factors and PPD. On the other hand, the strength of this study relies on a scientific contribution to a better understanding of women's mental health during the immediate postpartum period during the COVID-19 pandemic.

CONCLUSIONS

Positive screening for depressive symptoms in the immediate postpartum period was frequent and this was associated with previous anxiety, depressive episodes and number of gestations >1. We highlight that spirituality and religion were found to be protective factors for depressive symptoms and this can be approached by the multidisciplinary team.

Authors contributions:

Teruya MM, Carrijo GS, Costa GAM, Silva MH, Valete COS contributed to the research conception, design, performed data collection, and wrote the manuscript. Valete COS performed data analysis. Lima JRT, Costa JFSV performed data collection. Strufaldi R supervised the study.

Conflicts of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. This research did not receive any external funding.

REFERENCES

- 1. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. American Psychiatric Association; 2013.
- 2. Moraes E, Campos R, Avelino M. Depressive Symptoms in Pregnancy: The Influence of Social, Psychological and Obstetric Aspects. Revista Brasileira de Ginecologia e Obstetrícia / RBGO Gynecology and Obstetrics. 2016 Jul 11;38(06):293–300.
- 3. Arrais ADR, De Araujo TCCF, Schiavo R de A. Depressão e Ansiedade Gestacionais Relacionadas à Depressão Pós-Parto e o Papel Preventivo do Pré-Natal Psicológico. Revista Psicologia e Saúde. 2019 Jul 17;23–34.
- 4. Stewart DE, Vigod SN. Postpartum Depression: Pathophysiology, Treatment, and Emerging Therapeutics. Annu Rev Med. 2019 Jan 27;70(1):183–96.
- 5. Slomian J, Honvo G, Emonts P, Reginster JY, Bruyère O. Consequences of maternal postpartum depression: A systematic review of maternal and infant outcomes. Women's Health. 2019 Jan 29;15:174550651984404.
- 6. Low SR, Bono SA, Azmi Z. Prevalence and Factors of Postpartum Depression During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Review. Current Psychology. 2024 Apr 7;43(13):12084–101.
- 7. Galletta MAK, Oliveira AM da SS, Albertini JGL, Benute GG, Peres SV, Brizot M de L, et al. Postpartum depressive symptoms of Brazilian women during the COVID-19 pandemic measured by the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale. J Affect Disord. 2022 Jan;296:577–86.
- 8. Cox JL, Holden JM, Sagovsky R. Detection of Postnatal Depression. British Journal of Psychiatry. 1987 Jun 2;150(6):782–6.
- 9. Santos IS, Matijasevich A, Tavares BF, Barros AJD, Botelho IP, Lapolli C, et al. Validation of the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) in a sample of mothers from the 2004 Pelotas Birth Cohort Study. Cad Saude Publica. 2007 Nov;23(11):2577–88.
- 10. Figueira P, Corrêa H, Malloy-Diniz L, Romano-Silva MA. Escala de Depressão Pós-natal de Edimburgo para triagem no sistema público de saúde. Rev Saude Publica. 2009 Aug;43(suppl 1):79–84.
- 11. Malta M, Cardoso LO, Bastos FI, Magnanini MMF, Silva CMFP da. Iniciativa STROBE: subsídios para a comunicação de estudos observacionais. Rev Saude Publica. 2010 Jun;44(3):559–65.
- 12. MONTEIRO KA, GODOI BN, TOLEDO OR, DAVID FL, AVELINO MM, MORAES EV. Evidências de Sintomatologia Depressiva no Pós-Parto Imediato. Revista Brasileira de Ciências da Saúde. 2018;22(4):379–88.

- 13. Poles MM, Carvalheira APP, Carvalhaes MA de BL, Parada CMG de L. Sintomas depressivos maternos no puerpério imediato: fatores associados. Acta Paulista de Enfermagem. 2018 Jul;31(4):351–8.
- 14. Chmielewska B, Barratt I, Townsend R, Kalafat E, van der Meulen J, Gurol-Urganci I, et al. Effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on maternal and perinatal outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Glob Health. 2021 Jun;9(6):e759–72.
- 15. Cheadle ACD, Dunkel Schetter C. Mastery, self-esteem, and optimism mediate the link between religiousness and spirituality and postpartum depression. J Behav Med. 2018 Oct 31;41(5):711–21.
- 16. Mann JR, McKeown RE, Bacon J, Vesselinov R, Bush F. Religiosity, Spirituality, and Depressive Symptoms in Pregnant Women. The International Journal of Psychiatry in Medicine. 2007 Sep 24;37(3):301–13.
- 17. de Diego-Cordero R, Ávila-Mantilla A, Vega-Escaño J, Lucchetti G, Badanta B. The Role of Spirituality and Religiosity in Healthcare During the COVID-19 Pandemic: An Integrative Review of the Scientific Literature. J Relig Health. 2022 Jun 29;61(3):2168–97.
- 18. Lucchetti G, Góes LG, Amaral SG, Ganadjian GT, Andrade I, Almeida PO de A, et al. Spirituality, religiosity and the mental health consequences of social isolation during Covid-19 pandemic. International Journal of Social Psychiatry. 2021 Sep 2;67(6):672–9.
- 19. Ruschi GEC, Sun SY, Mattar R, Chambô Filho A, Zandonade E, Lima VJ de. Aspectos epidemiológicos da depressão pós-parto em amostra brasileira. Revista de Psiquiatria do Rio Grande do Sul. 2007 Dec;29(3):274–80.
- 20. Hartmann JM, Mendoza-Sassi RA, Cesar JA. Depressão entre puérperas: prevalência e fatores associados. Cad Saude Publica. 2017 Oct 9;33(9).
- 21. Bassi M, Delle Fave A, Cetin I, Melchiorri E, Pozzo M, Vescovelli F, et al. Psychological well-being and depression from pregnancy to postpartum among primiparous and multiparous women. J Reprod Infant Psychol. 2017 Mar 15;35(2):183–95.
- 22. Yan H, Ding Y, Guo W. Mental Health of Pregnant and Postpartum Women During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Front Psychol. 2020 Nov 25;11.
- 23. Dall'Aglio L, Lewis CM, Pain O. Delineating the Genetic Component of Gene Expression in Major Depression. Biol Psychiatry. 2021 Mar;89(6):627–36.
- 24. Feitosa FB. A depressão pela perspectiva biopsicossocial e a função protetora das habilidades sociais. Psicologia: Ciência e Profissão. 2014 Jun;34(2):488–99.
- 25. Liu CH, Erdei C, Mittal L. Risk factors for depression, anxiety, and PTSD symptoms in perinatal women during the COVID-19 Pandemic. Psychiatry Res. 2021 Jan;295:113552.

- 26. Tauquer F, Ceulemans M, Gerbier E, Passier A, Oliver A, Foulon V, et al. Mental health of pregnant and postpartum women during the third wave of the COVID-19 pandemic: a European cross-sectional study. BMJ Open. 2023 Jan 11;13(1):e063391.
- 27. Silva CS, Lima MC, Sequeira-de-Andrade LAS, Oliveira JS, Monteiro JS, Lima NMS, et al. Association between postpartum depression and the practice of exclusive breastfeeding in the first three months of life. J Pediatr (Rio J). 2017 Jul;93(4):356–64.