It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

1		RaBIt: An Effective Visualization-Driven Tool for Power and Sample Size
2		Estimation in Two-Stage General Randomized Basket Trial Designs
3	Desi	mond Zeya Chen ^{1,2,3} , Sahil S. Patel ^{1,4,5} , Aoqi Xie ^{1,2} , Jiayin Chen ⁶ , David Castle ^{7,8} ,
4		Clement Ma ^{1,2,*}
5	Affilia	tions
6	1.	Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.
7	2.	Division of Biostatistics, Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto,
8		Toronto, Ontario, Canada.
9	3.	Genetics and Genome Biology Program, The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto,
10		ON, Canada.
11	4.	Department of Statistical Sciences, Faculty of Arts and Science, University of
12		Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.
13	5.	Department of Statistics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina,
14		United States.
15	6.	Department of Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Occupational Health, McGill
16		University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada.
17	7.	Department of Psychiatry, University of Tasmania, Tasmania, Australia.
18	8.	Centre for Mental Health Service Innovation, Statewide Mental Health Service,
19		Tasmania, Australia.
20		*Correspondence: clement.ma@camh.ca

1

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

Abstract: Basket trial designs with interim analysis have gained significant attention due 21 to their adaptability, flexibility, and scalability. In response to the need for user-friendly 22 tools that enhance the real-world applicability of these designs, we developed a web-23 based interface aimed at facilitating two-stage basket trial designs. Built using R Shiny, 24 the tool was rigorously validated for output consistency by comparing it to an established 25 R pipeline. Additionally, user testing was conducted to ensure the interface is intuitive and 26 easy to use. The result is a freely accessible tool that provides effective and convenient 27 visualizations for general basket trial designs with interim analysis, available at 28 https://desmondzeyachen.shinyapps.io/AdaptiveTwoStageBasketTrialFeb14/. Future 29 improvements may further expand the tool's capabilities to accommodate the increasing 30 complexity of trial designs needed by the research community. 31 Keywords: Shiny App, Basket Trial, Interim Analysis, visualization, novel trial designs, 32

33 Adaptive designs.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

34 **1. Introduction**

A master protocol trial is a state-of-the-art clinical trial design that innovatively aggregates the evaluation of multiple treatments or disease subtypes¹. Its flexibility, adaptability, and effectiveness aided it gaining popularity since the onset of the millennium². After the first master protocol managed the Imatinib Target Exploration Consortium Study B2225 basket trial design^{3,4}, there has been a growing number of such trials being implemented^{5,6}. Basket trials with biomarker enrichment have pioneered new frontiers in personalized medicine and thoroughly revolutionized the randomized trial design⁷.

Prior work from Chen et al⁸ has laid the statistical foundation for an adaptive basket trial 42 design, it exquisitely addresses removal of given baskets based on interim results, while 43 still accurately quantifies a trail-wide type 1 error rate, and a guidance for power. However, 44 the impracticality of equal basket size assumption diminished its applicability. Such 45 assumption is rarely fulfilled in real-word settings, considering the disproportionately 46 distributed nature of disease subtypes prevalences among the population⁹. Following trial 47 design guidance with such assumption may leads to a conservative, under-powered 48 sample size estimate. After extensive investigation, we found an analytical solution to 49 overcome this pitfall and developed a complementary software pipeline for efficient 50 implementation and analysis¹⁰. 51

52 1.1 Study Objectives and Existing Work

53 We aim to provide trial researchers with support in proposal writing, trial monitoring, and

trial execution. Majority of trial design software facilitating multiplicity through multi-arm 54 multi-stage (MAMS) designs, only a few explicitly address basket trial designs. Berry 55 Consultants developed a commercial software called FACTS¹¹ (the "Fixed and Adaptive 56 Clinical Trial Simulator"), which offers simulation-based analysis with highly flexible 57 options across different trial classes. FACTS can simulate basket trial designs with early 58 futility and interim analysis. However, sample size estimation was not supported, as 59 researchers must search through the range of sample sizes manually. Among open-60 source packages and pipelines, the Integrated Platform for Designing Clinical Trials 61 (https://trialdesign.org) currently features two basket design trial R pipelines based on 62 Bayesian framework. One of these proposes a calibration to the existing Bayesian 63 hierarchical approach¹², significantly reducing type I error rate inflation compared to the 64 65 uncalibrated version. The other, a Bayesian latent subgroup design¹³, clusters cancer types in basket trials into responsive and non-responsive subgroups, resulting in higher 66 power and controlled type I error rates compared to standard approaches. Additionally, a 67 frequentist pipeline that analytically solves for early futility of baskets is available in the 68 supplemental section of Chen et al⁸. Although this overview highlights several essential 69 tools and pipelines, it is important to recognize that there may be additional software 70 options for basket trial design that are not included. Hence, to further enhance the 71 software applicability and user experience, and to present a holistic elucidation of 72 proposed trial design, we developed a web-based interactive R Shinny App^{14,15}, to fill the 73 methodological gap in two-stage general basket trial design¹⁶. 74

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

75 1.2 Target Audiences and Application Scope

Our tool is designed to support two-stage general randomized basket trials. Unlike conventional trials that focus on a single disease at a time, basket trials assess the efficacy of a single treatment across multiple homogenous diseases, significantly reducing the required sample size. The two-stage setup adds flexibility by allowing unresponsive disease baskets to be pruned at the interim stage, reallocating the remaining recruitment quota to responsive baskets. This early futility check ensures that resources are not wasted, improving the overall efficiency of the trial.

Initially developed for oncology studies, where it has been particularly effective in drug development for cancers and tumors, this design has become a widely adopted tool in various clinical research areas. Beyond oncology, the basket trial design is increasingly used in other therapeutic areas, including rare diseases and precision medicine.

The tool is particularly valuable for clinical trial researchers and biostatistical support teams due to its accessibility, intuitive usage, and convenience. Its user-friendly interface simplifies the complex process of designing and analyzing basket trials, making it easier for researchers to implement this advanced trial design without needing extensive statistical training.

- 92 **2. Implementation**
- 93 2.1 Statistical Methods

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

The null hypothesis for the design is that none of the baskets are active, while the 94 alternative hypothesis is that only pre-specified baskets are active. As described by Chen 95 et al⁸ and Patel et al¹⁰, the trial's overall Type I error rate is calculated as the sum of 96 rejection probabilities under the null hypothesis for different sets of baskets passing the 97 interim analysis. The rejection probability is assessed based on whether all baskets pass 98 through both the interim and final stages. Similarly, the power is estimated using the same 99 sum of rejection probability function but under the alternative hypothesis, where the 100 rejection region for pre-specified active baskets is adjusted according to the non-centrality 101 parameter given by Chen et al⁸. 102

Analytically solving the sample size formula can be algebraically tedious, so we propose estimating it using a root-finding approach based on the power function. Unequal basket size ratios can be directly estimated from disease prevalence ratios, meaning that only the trial-wide sample size needs to be determined. Given the monotonic relationship between power and sample size, a recursive binary search¹⁷ algorithm is more efficient than computationally expensive iterative loops. This algorithm significantly improves the responsiveness of the app, making it faster and more efficient.

110 2.2 Variable Definitions and Input Parameters

Both calculations require the user to provide crucial trial characteristics (Figure 1) such as the standardized effect size (Δ) for each basket. Investigators can estimate this ratio based on subtype prevalence from published results. Additionally, the proportion of

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

participants accrued at interim analysis (t) is needed. This is the cutoff point for interim analysis, expressed as a percentage of the total participants recruited, and should be consistent across all baskets. The interim significance level (α t) is also required, which is the designated α level for the interim analysis at proportion t. Any basket with a p-value exceeding this threshold will be dropped. The trial-wide significance level (α) must also be provided; this is the designated α level for the entire trial, representing the overall false

120 positive rate.

nputs:	Inputs:
What would you like to compute?	What would you like to compute?
Power	O Power
O Sample Size	Sample Size
Total sample size of each basket (N)	Nominal power
	0.8
40,60,60,40	Relative ratio of each basket
Standardized effect size (Δ) of each basket	2,3,3,2
0.3,0.3,0.5,0.2	Standardized effect size (Δ) of each basket
Proportion of participants accrued at interim analysis (t)	0.3,0.3,0.5,0.2
0.3	Proportion of participants accrued at interim analysis (t)
Interim significance level (αt)	0.3
0.5	Interim significance level (α_t)
Trial-wide significance level (α)	0.5
0.05	Trial-wide significance level (α)
	0.05
Accrual rate (Individuals/month) of each basket	Accrual rate (individuals/month) of each basket
2,3,2,2	2,3,2,2
and the second se	
Calculate Now!	Calculate Now!

- 121
- 122 Figure 1: Input Parameters Required for Power or Sample Size Calculation

For sample size calculation, the sample size per basket is required. Conversely, for calculating the sample size per basket, the nominal power (designated $1-\beta$ level for the entire trial, or one minus the expected type II error rate) and the relative ratio of sample It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

size in each basket must be provided. These are the primary parameters that directly
influence the calculation, while the number of individuals recruited per month in each
basket only affects the trial duration estimation.

129 2.3 System Architecture and User Interface Design

Having cloud computing power freely provided by the R Shiny¹⁵ server, the local machine 130 is only responsible for capturing inputs and rendering outputs on the user interface, 131 requiring minimal hardware resources. The remote server handles heavy-duty statistical 132 computing tasks. The main tab of the tool consists of two sections: the input panel and 133 the output panel. Power and sample size calculations are unified through an initial 134 135 guestion that asks users which type of calculation they intend the tool to perform. The corresponding trial characteristics are then required for the calculation of their choice. On 136 the output panel, an interactive trial design plot is displayed above the text summary. If 137 incorrect inputs are provided, error messages will appear, masking all outputs. 138 Additionally, a 'Readme' tab is available, offering an example, detailed explanations of the 139 interface, and the statistical methods used, helping users gain hands-on experience. 140

141 2.4 Operational Workflow and Error Detection

Users can input trial characteristics with just a few clicks and text entries. The app is initiated by clicking the 'Calculate Now!' button. All input parameters are sent to the backend, where they are checked for missing data or syntax errors before being passed into the function. The function then verifies that the sample sizes are whole numbers within baskets at each stage. If any errors are found, they are promptly flagged for correction. Once all error checks are passed, the power or sample size is computed. Both summary statistics and trial characteristics inputs are compiled into a plot to visually represent the proposed trial. A text summary of the plot is displayed below for additional clarity. Users could then download the plot and text summary for their intended use.\

151 2.5 Validation, Reproducibility, and User Testing

To enhance reproducibility, we conducted extensive validation analysis and user testing. 152 App's outputs were systematically compared with results generated by the original R 153 scripts used in the underlying statistical calculations from Patel et al¹⁰. This cross-154 155 verification ensured that the app replicates the established methods accurately. A variety 156 of edge cases were tested, including extreme values of parameters and atypical trial configurations, to confirm the app handles all inputs robustly and responsively. This is 157 crucial for identifying any scenarios where the app will fail and imposing corresponding 158 error checkers as countermeasures. Additionally, we carefully examined the app's error 159 reporting mechanisms to ensure they provide clear and actionable feedback to users. 160 This included verifying that the app correctly identifies and flags incorrect or missing 161 inputs before proceeding with calculations. Finally, we conducted user acceptance testing 162 with potential end-users like clinicians and biostatisticians to validate the app's 163 functionality in real-world scenarios. Participants were asked to replicate an example 164 provided in the questionnaire, specifically for testing reproducibility. Feedback from these 165

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

166 tests was incorporated to refine the app's interface and usability.

167 **3. Results**

- 168 Our two-stage general basket design visualization tool is hosted at
- 169 (https://desmondzeyachen.shinyapps.io/AdaptiveTwoStageBasketTrialFeb14/).
- To ensure broad accessibility, the app is designed to be fully responsive, allowing seamless operation on desktops, tablets, or even smartphones. The intuitive user interface (Figure 2) is built to cater to users with varying levels of statistical knowledge, ensuring that even those unfamiliar with advanced statistical methods can easily navigate the tool. The interactive trial design figure can be easily downloaded as a JPEG file, and the design summary provides a clear, technical explanation of each characteristic and the
- summary statistics of the proposed trial design.



177

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

179 **3.1** User Interaction Feedback and Usability

Three users participated in the testing of the Shiny app, their feedback focused on ease 180 of use, functionality, layout, and performance. All users found the app easy to navigate, 181 182 especially with the help of default examples and detailed guidance provided in the Readme tab. Our original goal was to make the tool accessible to users with limited 183 statistical training, aiming to simplify clinical trial design. However, one user noted that the 184 185 use of highly specialized statistical language in the input variable names and explanations could create barriers for our target audience, potentially undermining our objective. We 186 addressed this issue by incorporating additional explanations for each input from a trial 187 design perspective, clarifying 'What does this mean in clinical trial terminology?' and 'How 188 could you decide on a value for it?' 189

The app was also presented at an internal meeting, where feedback related to sample size estimation functionality was raised. In response, we enhanced the app by adding features that support sample size estimation directly within the tool, further improving its utility for users designing clinical trials.

The app's layout and functionality were deemed logical and well-organized, with users appreciating the clear instructions and intuitive design. Suggestions for enhanced result explanations and improved result visibility were carefully incorporated. In terms of performance, while the app generally worked well, users did experience occasional freezing or slower performance when working with larger sample sizes. These issues are

common with the free version of the Shiny App server, and we plan to address them by
 either hosting the app on an internal server or upgrading to a premium account. All users
 expressed their willingness to use and recommend the app, citing its user-friendly
 interface and practical features. The user testing process, along with the feedback from
 the internal meeting, significantly improved the app's usability and provided

valuable, unbiased feedback from potential users.

205 3.2 Comparative Analysis

Primary trial characteristics like the total sample size, interim sample size, and number of baskets are influenced by the interrelated inputs: the number of baskets, sample size per basket, and interim proportions. Selecting an interim alpha of 0.3 allows for effective interim analysis without being overly conservative during partial recruitment. The trialwide alpha is set at the conventional two-sided significance level of 0.025. We validate the Shiny App by comparing it against an R pipeline, using selected combinations of these inputs while controlling for effect sizes and alphas (Table 1).

Table 1: Comparative Analysis of the Tool vs R pipeline varying number of baskets and interim proportion							
Number of	Size Per Basket	Interim	R code	Shiny App	R code	Shiny App	
Baskets		Proportion	alpha*	alpha*	Power	Power	
2	(60,60)	0.3	0.018037	0.018	0.765977	77%	
2	(60,60)	0.5	0.014339	0.0143	0.789333	79%	
3	(60,60,30)	0.3	0.0137	0.0137	0.860155	86%	
3	(60,60,30)	0.5	0.01057	0.0106	0.872503	87%	

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

4	(60,60,20,60)	0.3	0.011321	0.0113	0.942003	94%	
4	(60,60,20,60)	0.5	0.008469	0.0085	0.948105	95%	
5	(60,60,20,60,40)	0.3	0.009582	0.0096	0.973314	97%	
5	(60,60,20,60,40)	0.5	0.006907	0.0069	0.976438	98%	
6	(60,60,20,60,40,60)	0.3	0.008301	0.0083	0.991963	99%	
6	(60,60,20,60,40,60)	0.5	0.005759	0.0058	0.993236	99%	
7	(60,60,20,30,40,60,30)	0.3	0.007563	0.0076	0.992084	99%	
7	(60,60,20,30,40,60,30)	0.5	0.005134	0.0051	0.993035	99%	
8	(60,60,20,30,40,40,30,20)	0.3	0.006894	0.0069	0.992196	99%	
8	(60,60,20,30,40,40,30,20)	0.5	0.004558	0.0046	0.99303	99%	
9	(60,30,20,30,40,40,30,20,30)	0.3	0.006115	0.0061	0.992624	99%	
9	(60,30,20,30,40,40,30,20,30)	0.5	0.00388	0.0039	0.993487	99%	
10	(20,30,20,30,40,40,30,20,30,40)	0.3	0.005407	0.0054	0.99337	99%	
10	(20,30,20,30,40,40,30,20,30,40)	0.5	0.003276	0.0033	0.994405	99%	
Table 1: Assuming standardized offect size are all 0.5, all baskets are active interim alpha set at 0.3, and trial wide alpha set at 0.025, alpha* is the							

Table 1: Assuming standardized effect size are all 0.5, all baskets are active interim alpha set at 0.3, and trial-wide alpha set at 0.025, alpha* is the recommended significance threshold of final analysis based on user provided trial wide alpha.

The alternative hypothesis is defined by user-specified effect sizes, with a value of 0 indicating that a basket will be pruned during interim analysis. To validate the tool under different alternative hypothesis scenarios, we varied the effect sizes per basket while keeping the sample size, number of baskets, interim proportion, and alphas constant (Table 2).

The results from the tool matched those generated by the R code after rounding, confirming that the tool correctly interprets the inputs and performs equivalently to the R code. This validation demonstrates the tool's robustness and reliability in replicating

- 221 established statistical processes. The consistency between the tool and the R code
- suggests that users can confidently employ the tool for interim analysis and hypothesis
- testing in clinical trials.

Table 2: Comparative Analysis of the Tool vs R pipeline varying effect size per basket							
Effect Size Per	Truly Active	R code alpha*	Shiny App	R code Power	Shiny App		
Basket	Vector		alpha*		Power		
(0.2,0.2,0)	(1,1,0)	0.01370037	0.0137	0.19221983	19%		
(0.2,0.2,0.2)	(1,1,1)	0.01369794	0.0137	0.23586268	24%		
(0.5,0.2,0)	(1,1,0)	0.01370189	0.0137	0.55850379	56%		
(0.5,0.2,0.2)	(1,1,1)	0.01369941	0.0137	0.58211621	58%		
(0.5,0.5,0)	(1,1,0)	0.01370145	0.0137	0.78413515	78%		
(0.5,0.5,0.2)	(1,1,1)	0.01370213	0.0137	0.79281031	79%		
(0.5,0.5,0.5)	(1,1,1)	0.0137017	0.0137	0.8601982	86%		

Table 2: Varying effect size & whether the basket is truly active of (60,60,30). Interim proportion set at 0.3, interim alpha set at 0.3, and trial-wide alpha set at 0.025. Truly active vector, the corresponding baskets that pass interim analysis, deduced via effect size per basket that user provided.

224

225 **4. Discussion**

Our open-source calculator is compiled to run on browser while computing were done remotely at RShiny¹⁵ server. Both power and sample size calculation functions were integrated into the same interface. Instead of manually constructing the pipeline, calibrating inputs, rephrasing outputs so its human readable, and plotting the design; our visualization tool automates all above tasks with just few simple steps. It provides users with an integrated, statistics enriched trial design figure and detailed textual exposition of

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

the trial design alongside statistical results. These outputs can be conveniently
 assimilated as presented.

This user-friendly approach not only saves time but also reduces the potential for human error in trial design, ensuring a higher degree of accuracy and consistency. The tool's ability to produce ready-to-assimilate outputs enhances its practical value, allowing for immediate integration into trial planning and reporting.

As clinical research moves towards more complex trial designs, basket trials have 238 become increasingly popular due to their efficiency in exploring the effects of interventions 239 across multiple disease types. These designs allow clinicians to investigate multiple 240 patient populations simultaneously, improving resource allocation and potentially 241 242 accelerating the discovery of effective treatments. Our tool fits seamlessly into this evolving landscape, providing an accessible means for researchers to engage with these 243 complex designs without the need for extensive statistical training. By supporting the 244 development and execution of basket trials, the tool also holds potential for educational 245 purposes, enabling clinicians and researchers to independently explore and visualize 246 these innovative trial structures. This flexibility, combined with the potential to expand into 247 multi-stage and multi-arm trial designs, underscores the importance of developing tools 248 that can keep pace with modern research needs. 249

Additionally, as the use of basket trials continues to expand, our tool is positioned to evolve alongside these developments, with potential future enhancements to support

15

- 252 multi-stage designs, multi-arm trials, and other innovative trial methodologies. Gathering
- user feedback will be critical in guiding these developments to ensure the tool continues
- to meet the evolving needs of the research community.

255 Author Contributions

- D.Z.C. and C.M. conceptualized the study. C.M. supervised the study. D.Z.C. and C.M.
- 257 drafted the manuscript. D.Z.C. performed the analyses, developed the visualization
- software, and summarized the results. S.S.P. developed the base R code. S.S.P., A.X.,
- J.C., and D.C. contributed to the investigation by conducting user testing of the application.
- All authors reviewed and edited the manuscript.

261 **Declaration of interests**

262 The author(s) declare no conflicts of interest.

263 Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Marcos Sanches and the rest of the biostatistics core at CAMH for their insightful questions during the internal meeting, which played a key role in shaping the development of this application. We would like to acknowledge the support of the University of Toronto Fellowship, provided by the University of Toronto for funding this research.

269 Software and Web Resources

270 The visualization tool was developed using R¹⁴ version 4.3.2. The implementation

- involved several R packages, including shiny¹⁵ (version 1.8.0), shinythemes¹⁸ (version
- 1.2.0), ggplot2¹⁹ (version 3.4.4), ggtext²⁰ (version 0.1.2), and ggforce²¹ (version 0.4.1).

273 **References:**

- Redman MW, Allegra CJ. The Master Protocol Concept. Semin Oncol. Oct 2015;42(5):724-30.
 doi:10.1053/j.seminoncol.2015.07.009
- Cunanan KM, Iasonos A, Shen R, Begg CB, Gonen M. An efficient basket trial design. *Stat Med.* May 10
 2017;36(10):1568-1579. doi:10.1002/sim.7227
- McArthur GA, Demetri GD, van Oosterom A, et al. Molecular and clinical analysis of locally advanced
 dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans treated with imatinib: Imatinib Target Exploration Consortium Study B2225.
- 280 *J Clin Oncol.* Feb 1 2005;23(4):866-73. doi:10.1200/JCO.2005.07.088
- Heinrich MC, Joensuu H, Demetri GD, et al. Phase II, open-label study evaluating the activity of imatinib
 in treating life-threatening malignancies known to be associated with imatinib-sensitive tyrosine kinases. *Clin Cancer Res.* May 1 2008;14(9):2717-25. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-07-4575
- Park JJH, Siden E, Zoratti MJ, et al. Systematic review of basket trials, umbrella trials, and platform trials: a
 landscape analysis of master protocols. *Trials*. Sep 18 2019;20(1):572. doi:10.1186/s13063-019-3664-1
- 6. Cummings J, Montes A, Kamboj S, Cacho JF. The role of basket trials in drug development for neurodegenerative disorders. *Alzheimers Res Ther.* May 25 2022;14(1):73. doi:10.1186/s13195-022-01015-6
- Fountzilas E, Tsimberidou AM, Vo HH, Kurzrock R. Clinical trial design in the era of precision medicine.
 Genome Med. Aug 31 2022;14(1):101. doi:10.1186/s13073-022-01102-1
- Chen C ea. Statistical Design and Considerations of a Phase 3 Basket Trial for Simultaneous Investigation
 of Multiple Tumor Types in One Study. *Statistics in Biopharmaceutical Research*. 2016;8(3):248-257.
 doi:10.1080/19466315.2016.1193044
- Wang M, Spiegelman D, Kuchiba A, et al. Statistical methods for studying disease subtype heterogeneity.
 Stat Med. Feb 28 2016;35(5):782-800. doi:10.1002/sim.6793
- 295 10. Patel SS. Randomized Basket Trial with an Interim Analysis (RaBlt) and Applications in Mental Health. 2024
- 11. Berry Consultants. Fixed and adaptive clinical trial simulator. Accessed 08-13, 2024.
 https://www.berryconsultants.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/09/FACTS-Overview.pdf
- 298 12. Chu Y, Yuan Y. A Bayesian basket trial design using a calibrated Bayesian hierarchical model. *Clin Trials*.
 299 Apr 2018;15(2):149-158. doi:10.1177/1740774518755122
- 300 13. Yiyi Chu YY. BLAST: Bayesian Latent Subgroup Design for Basket Trials Accounting for Patient
 301 Heterogeneity. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series C: Applied Statistics*. 2018;67(3):723–740.
- 302 14. RCoreTeam. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. <u>https://www.R-project.org/</u>
- 303 15. *Shiny App: Easy web applications in R.* Version 1.8.0. 2013. <u>http://www.rstudio.com/shiny/</u>
- 16. Kasim A, Bean N, Hendriksen SJ, Chen TT, Zhou H, Psioda MA. Basket trials in oncology: a systematic
- 305 review of practices and methods, comparative analysis of innovative methods, and an appraisal of a missed
- 306 opportunity. *Front Oncol.* 2023;13:1266286. doi:10.3389/fonc.2023.1266286
- 307 17. D.H.Lehmer. Proc Symp Appl Math. 1960;10:180-181.
- 308 18. Chang W. shinythemes: Themes for Shiny. <u>https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=shinythemes</u>

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license .

- 309 19. Wickham H. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New York; 2016.
- 310 <u>https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org</u>
- 311 20. Wiernik COWaBM. ggtext: Improved Text Rendering Support for 'ggplot2'. https://CRAN.R-
- 312 project.org/package=ggtext
- 313 21. Pedersen TL. ggforce: Accelerating 'ggplot2'. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=ggforce