Investigation of factors associated with delayed initiation of breastfeeding in Papua New Guinea: a cross-sectional study

3	
4	McKenzie Maviso, ¹ * Francis Pulsan, ² Lisa M. Vallely ³
5	
6	
7	¹ Division of Public Health, School of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Papua New
8	Guinea, Port Moresby, Papua New Guinea
9	² Division of Clinical Sciences, School of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Papua
10	New Guinea, Port Moresby, Papua New Guinea
11	³ Asia and Pacific Health Program, Kirby Institute, UNSW Sydney, Australia
12	
13	*Correspondence to McKenzie Maviso; mckenzie.maviso@upng.ac.pg
14	

15 Abstract

Background Breastfeeding within the first hour of birth is critical for newborn survival.
However, in Papua New Guinea (PNG), about 40% of newborns are not breastfed within the first
hour of birth. This study aimed to determine the prevalence and factors associated with delayed
breastfeeding initiation in PNG.

Methods This study utilised secondary data from the 2016–2018 PNG Demographic and Health Survey (DHS), a nationally representative cross-sectional study. A total weighted sample of 4748 women aged 15–49 were included. Complex samples analysis was performed to determine the direction of association between the independent variables and delayed initiation of breastfeeding.

Results About a quarter (24.6%) of women delayed initiation of breastfeeding. Women with an
unplanned pregnancy (AOR 1.32; 95% CI: 1.03 to 1.68), those who had a caesarean section
(AOR 3.16; 95% CI: 1.39 to 7.17), those who did not initiate newborn skin-to-skin contact
immediately after birth (AOR 1.83; 95% CI: 1.41 to 2.38), and those who watched television
(AOR 1.39; 95% CI: 1.11 to 1.75), or were from the Momase region (AOR 1.31; 95% CI: 0.88 to

1.93) had higher odds of delayed breastfeeding initiation. Conversely, those who read a
newspaper or magazine (AOR 0.76; 95% CI: 0.61 to 0.95), were from the Southern (AOR 0.81;
95% CI: 0.56 to 1.15) and Highlands (AOR 0.86; 95% CI: 0.58 to 1.29) regions, and gave birth
at home or in the village (AOR 0.69; 95% CI: 0.49 to 0.96) had lower odds of delayed initiation
of breastfeeding.

35 Conclusion One in four women in this study delayed initiation of breastfeeding until after one 36 hour after birth. Interventions to promote optimal breastfeeding require a multi-sectoral 37 approach, as well as bolstering health workers' capacity to encourage and support early initiation 38 of breastfeeding during the antenatal and early postnatal periods.

39

40 What is known about the subject

- Early breastfeeding initiation within the first hour of birth is an important intervention to
 reduce childhood morbidities and mortalities.
- In PNG, 40% of newborns are not breastfed immediately or within the first hour of birth.

44 What this study adds

- One in four women in PNG delayed early initiation of breastfeeding.
- Unplanned pregnancy, caesarean section, no newborn skin-to-skin contact immediately after
 birth, and exposure to media predicted delayed initiation of breastfeeding.
- Birth at home or in the village reduces the likelihood of delayed initiation of breastfeeding.

49 How this study affects research, practice or policy

- This study seeks to promote targeted breastfeeding support for mothers, particularly in rural areas.
- Breastfeeding advocacy and promotion programmes, strengthening health workers' capacity
 to address factors influencing delayed initiation of breastfeeding, and promoting optimal
 breastfeeding practices are required.
- 55
- 56

57 Introduction

Scaling up breastfeeding is one of the most cost-effective interventions for improving infant and 58 child survival and reducing under-five mortality.¹ Breastfeeding has the potential to save the 59 lives of over 800 000 children under the age of five every year.² It is universally accepted and 60 recommended that all newborn babies, irrespective of the place of birth, be placed in skin-to-skin 61 contact with their mothers immediately after birth to promote breastfeeding initiation within the 62 first hour of birth.³ Early initiation of breastfeeding is the provision of the mother's breast milk 63 within the first hour of birth and ensures that the newborn receives colostrum, while delayed 64 breastfeeding initiation occurs after one hour of birth.^{3,4} 65

Early initiation of breastfeeding has health benefits for both the infant and the mother. It provides many nutritional, immunological, and psychosocial benefits, including protection of the infant against infectious diseases and enhanced maternal-infant bonding.³ For the mothers, early initiation of breastfeeding promotes the release of oxytocin for uterine contractions to prevent postpartum haemorrhage.^{5,6} In addition, initiation of breastfeeding within the first hour and breastfeeding exclusively for up to six months has been shown to lower the odds of cancer, diabetes (Type 2), and cardiovascular diseases, including maternal mortality.^{7–9}

Despite the established health benefits of early initiation of breastfeeding, a higher rate of 73 suboptimal breastfeeding remains in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs).^{4,10} There is 74 75 also increasing evidence that delayed initiation of breastfeeding (after the first hour of birth) has been associated with neonatal infections and illnesses,^{4,7,11} and increased newborn and child 76 mortality.^{7,11} Findings from a systematic review revealed that initiating breastfeeding between 2 77 78 and 23 hours after birth increased the risk of neonatal death by 33% compared to breastfeeding within the first hour of birth.¹¹ The delay in initiating breastfeeding was also found to increase 79 the risk of respiratory diseases, including breathing difficulty during the first 6 months.¹¹ This 80 81 can influence breastfeeding and negatively affect its continuation into infancy.

In Papua New Guinea (PNG), the rate of early initiation of breastfeeding is around 60%,¹² suggesting that 40% of newborns are at higher risk of morbidity and mortality throughout their infancy and early childhood years. Earlier work from PNG has identified low rates of early initiation of breastfeeding are associated with factors such as younger maternal age, lack of breastfeeding knowledge and support, lack of antenatal education, place and mode of birth, grandmothers' involvement, and socio-cultural norms.^{12–14} While there are few studies in PNG

on infant feeding practices^{14–16} including early breastfeeding initiation,¹² there is a paucity of
information concerning factors predisposing to delayed initiation of breastfeeding.
Understanding the factors that influence the delay in initiating breastfeeding is crucial for
designing interventions to improve breastfeeding outcomes in line with the country's *Infant and Young Child Feeding Policy*.¹⁷ Therefore, this study aimed to determine the prevalence and
factors associated with delayed initiation of breastfeeding in PNG.

94 Methods

95 Study design and data source

96 This study used secondary data from the 2016–2018 PNG Demographic and Health Survey 97 (DHS), a nationally representative cross-sectional survey that collected demographic and health-98 related data across the country's four major administrative regions: Southern, Highlands, Momase, and Islands.¹⁸ The current DHS was the second round of surveys conducted in the 99 100 country by the National Statistical Office (NSO) with financial and technical assistance from 101 Inner City Fund (ICF) International through The DHS Program, funded by the United States 102 Agency for International Development (USAID). For sampling, the DHS used a two-stage 103 stratified sampling procedure to sample census units from each stratum. In the first stage, 800 104 census units were within each sampling stratum to achieve implicit stratification and proportional 105 allocation via a probability proportional-to-size selection. The second stage involved 106 systematically selecting 24 households from each cluster using probability sampling, producing 19 200 households.¹⁸ In the interviewed households, 18 175 women aged 15–49 were identified 107 108 for individual interviews, of which 15 198 women were interviewed successfully (a response rate 109 of 84%). For this study, a weighted sample of 4748 women who had given birth three years 110 before the survey and had complete information on the variables of interest was included. 111 Information about the methodology, pretesting, sampling design, and selection is available in the final report¹⁸ and can be accessed online at https://dhsprogram.com/publications/publication-112 fr364-dhs-final-reports.cfm. 113

114

115 Variable Measurement

116 Dependent variable

The dependent variable of interest was "delayed" initiation of breastfeeding. Information regarding the timing of initiation of breastfeeding was obtained from women using the question, "How long after birth did you first put (name of child) to the breast?" The dataset included four responses for breastfeeding initiation: "immediately," "within the first hour," "hours," and "days." A new variable for the time of initiation of breastfeeding was generated and dichotomized as "1" for "early" (immediately or within the first hour of birth), and "2" for "delayed" (any time after the first hour of birth).

124 Independent variables

The selection of the variables from potential confounders of delayed initiation of breastfeeding 125 was identified in previous studies.^{4,10,19} Variable selection also depended on the availability of 126 relevant data in the 2016–2018 PNGDHS. Potential individual and group-level factors associated 127 128 with delayed initiation of breastfeeding are presented in the conceptual framework to guide the 129 conceptualization (figure 1). The conceptual framework summarises selected independent 130 variables at two levels that influenced the delay in breastfeeding initiation. Individual-level 131 factors relate directly to the mother and infant and include maternal age, marital status, last pregnancy planned, education, occupation, place of residence and region. Infant characteristics 132 133 include the infant's sex, birth weight, birth size, birth order, newborn skin-to-skin contact 134 immediately after birth, and prelacteal feeds. In addition, group-level factors are directly related 135 to household and healthcare settings. Household characteristics include the husband's education 136 and occupation, wealth index, reading a newspaper or magazine, listening to the radio, and 137 watching television, whereas health service characteristics include access to and utilisation of 138 maternal and child health services, such as antenatal care visits, mode of birth, and place of birth 139 (see figure 1).

140 Statistical analysis

141 The extracted data was cleaned and all the missing observations were dropped while 142 subcategories of variables with small observations were merged. The final sample data was 143 weighted to restore representativeness and produce a reliable estimate and standard error.

144 Descriptive statistics were computed and reported as weighted frequencies and percentages. 145 Bivariate associations between predictors and delayed breastfeeding initiation were performed to 146 determine the strength of association using Pearson's chi-square test. Only significant variables 147 from the bivariate analyses were entered into the multivariable logistic regression model. To 148 account for the cluster sampling design and sample weight and provide generalizable and accurate estimates of proportion, probability values, and odds ratios,²⁰ the complex samples 149 150 analysis technique was applied. The Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit was used to test for 151 model fitness. Adjusted Odds Ratios (AORs) with 95% Confidence Intervals (CIs) are reported. 152 A p≤0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data were analysed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). 153

154 **Patient and public involvement**

Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, conduct, reporting or dissemination ofthis research.

157 **Results**

158 Characteristics of the study population

159
Table 1 presents the characteristics of the participants. A total weighted sample of 4748 women
 160 was included in the analysis. The mean (standard deviation) maternal age was $29.9 (\pm 7.0)$ years. 161 Overall, 1177 [24.6% (95% CI: 23 to 26)] of women delayed initiation of breastfeeding, which 162 remained high in rural areas (88.3%). Half (50%) had attained primary education. More than 163 two-thirds were not working (68.3%) and were living in rural areas (88.3%). Regarding maternal 164 health factors, the majority of women had planned their last pregnancies (75.6%); more than half 165 had received four or more antenatal visits (55.9%) and 61% gave birth in a health facility. In 166 addition, over half of the women read newspapers/magazines (50.9%) and listened to radio 167 (54.1%). Regarding infant-related factors, nearly all the newborns weighed over 2500g (81.4%) 168 and 61.3% had no skin-to-skin contact immediately after birth. In Chi-square analysis, maternal 169 age, last pregnancy planned, region of residence, husband's education, wealth index, reading a 170 newspaper or magazine, watching television, number of antenatal care visits, mode of birth, 171 place of birth, birth size, birth order, newborn skin-to-skin contact, and prelacteal feeding were 172 factors significantly associated with delayed breastfeeding initiation (p<0.05).

173

Table 1 Characteristics of the participants

Variables	All participants N (%)	Delayed initiation of breastfeeding n (%)	p-value*
Total	4748 (100)	1177 (24.6)	
Maternal characteristics			
Age (years)			0.025
Mean (Standard deviation) = $29.9 (\pm 7.0)$			
15–24	1200 (25.3)	269 (22.9)	
25–34	2278 (48.0)	557 (47.3)	
35-44	1129 (23.7)	313 (26.6)	
45–49	141 (3.0)	38 (3.2)	
Marital status			0.261
Never married	149 (3.1)	32 (2.7)	
Married	4312 (90.8)	1083 (92.0)	
Divorced/Separated	287 (6.1)	62 (5.3)	
Last pregnancy planned			<0.001
Yes	3803 (80.1)	890 (75.6)	
No	945 (19.9)	287 (24.4)	
Education (maternal)			0.641
No formal education	1238 (26.1)	310 (26.3)	
Primary	2404 (50.7)	589 (50.0)	
Secondary	952 (20.0)	246 (20.9)	
Higher	154 (3.2)	33 (2.8)	
Occupation (maternal)			0.266
Not working	3304 (69.6)	804 (68.3)	
Working	1443 (30.4)	373 (31.7)	
Region			<0.001
Southern	989 (20.8)	223 (18.9)	

Highlands	1799 (37.9)	391 (33.2)	
Momase	1291 (27.2)	377 (32.1)	
Islands	669 (14.1)	186 (15.8)	
Place of residence			0.190
Urban	508 (10.7)	138 (11.7)	
Rural	4239 (89.3)	1039 (88.3)	
Household characteristics			
Education (husband) ($n = 4250$)			0.014
No formal education	969 (22.8)	216 (20.3)	
Primary	1847 (43.5)	480 (45.1)	
Secondary	1144 (26.9)	310 (29.1)	
Higher	289 (6.8)	59 (5.5)	
Decupation (husband) ($n = 4189$)			0.868
Not working	2157 (51.5)	539 (51.7)	
Working	2032 (48.5)	503 (48.3)	
Wealth index			0.017
Poorest	1027 (21.6)	221 (18.8)	
Poorer	992 (20.9)	245 (20.8)	
Middle	970 (20.1)	234 (19.9)	
Richer	956 (20.1)	253 (21.5)	
Richest	803 (16.9)	225 (19.1)	
Read a newspaper or magazine			0.051
Yes	2531 (53.3)	599 (50.9)	
No	2217 (46.7)	578 (49.1)	
Listen to radio			0.232
Yes	2498 (52.6)	637 (54.1)	
No	2250 (47.4)	540 (45.9)	
Watch television			0.008
Yes	2085 (43.9)	556 (47.2)	

No	2662 (56 1)	(21 (52 9)	
	2662 (56.1)	621 (52.8)	
Health service characteristics			
Number of antenatal care visits			<0.001
No visits	1102 (23.2)	222 (18.9)	
1–3	1127 (23.7)	297 (25.2)	
4 or more	2519 (53.1)	658 (55.9)	
Mode of birth			<0.001
Caesarean	131 (2.8)	68 (5.8)	
Vaginal	4617 (97.2)	1109 (94.2)	
Place of birth			0.012
Home/village	2000 (42.1)	459 (39.0)	
Health facility	2748 (57.9)	718 (61.0)	
Infant characteristics			
Sex of the child $(n = 3178)$			0.858
Male	1653 (52.0)	393 (52.3)	
Female	1525 (48.0)	358 (47.7)	
Birth weight (g) $(n = 2400)$			0.579
<2500	430 (17.9)	118 (18.6)	
>2500	1970 (82.1)	515 (81.4)	
Birth size $(n = 4562)$			0.009
Small	875 (19.2)	217 (19.2)	
Average	1942 (42.6)	442 (39.0)	
Large	1744 (38.2)	473 (41.8)	
Birth order			0.012
1 st	1008 (21.2)	246 (20.9)	
2^{nd}	960 (20.2)	236 (20.1)	
3 rd	853 (18.0)	179 (15.2)	
4 th or more	1926 (40.6)	516 (43.8)	
Newborn skin-to-skin contact			<0.001

Newborn skin-to-skin contact

< 0.001

Yes	2179 (45.9)	456 (38.7)	
No	2569 (54.1)	721 (61.3)	
Prelacteal feeds given $(n = 4532)$			<0.001
Yes	402 (8.9)	138 (12.0)	
No	4130 (91.1)	1013 (88.0)	

*Chi-square test, p<0.05

174

175 Factors associated with delayed initiation of breastfeeding

176 Table 2 presents the multivariable analysis of determinants of delayed initiation of 177 breastfeeding. Last pregnancy planned, reading a newspaper or magazine, watching television, 178 region, mode of birth, place of birth, and newborn skin-to-skin contact immediately after birth 179 were associated with delayed initiation of breastfeeding. In the multivariable analysis, the odds of delayed initiation of breastfeeding remained higher among women with an unplanned 180 181 pregnancy (AOR 1.32; 95% CI: 1.03 to 1.68, p=0.030), those who had a caesarean section (AOR 3.16; 95% CI: 1.39 to 7.17, p=0.006), those who did not have initiate newborn skin-to-skin 182 183 contact immediately after birth (AOR 1.83; 95% CI: 1.41 to 2.38, p<0.001), and those who 184 watched television (AOR 1.39; 95% CI: 1.11 to 1.75, p=0.004), or were from the Momase region 185 (AOR 1.31; 95% CI: 1.00 to 1.93). Conversely, women who read a newspaper or magazine (AOR 0.76; 95% CI: 0.61 to 0.95, p=0.018), were from the Southern (AOR 0.81; 95% CI: 0.56 186 187 to 1.15, p=0.041) and Highlands (AOR 0.86; 95% CI: 0.58 to 1.29, p=0.041) regions, and gave 188 birth at home or in the village (AOR 0.69; 95% CI: 0.49 to 0.96, p=0.028), had lower odds of 189 delaying initiation of breastfeeding. The study found no significant correlation between the 190 number of antenatal care visits, birth size, birth order, prelacteal feeding and delayed initiation of breastfeeding. 191

192

Table 2 Multivariable analysis	is of factors associated with delaye	ed initiation of breastfee	ding in PNG
Variables	COR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)	p-value*
Age (years)			0.710
19–24	0.76 (0.42 to 1.36)	0.71 (0.37 to 1.34)	

25–34	0.84 (0.49 to 1.42)	0.83 (0.48 to 1.45)	
35–44	0.98 (0.55 to 1.75)	0.87 (0.49 to 1.56)	
45–49	Ref.	Ref.	
Last pregnancy planned			0.030
Yes	Ref.	Ref.	
No	1.44 (1.10 to 1.89)	1.32 (1.03 to 1.68)	
Educational level (husband)			0.473
No formal education	1.14 (0.66 to 1.96)	1.51 (0.77 to 2.93)	
Primary	1.35 (0.83 to 2.19)	1.61 (0.88 to 2.96)	
Secondary	1.40 (0.79 to 2.49)	1.52 (0.83 to 2.78)	
Higher	Ref.	Ref.	
Wealth index			0.761
Poorest	0.70 (0.46 to 1.07)	0.73 (0.45 to 1.21)	
Poorer	0.84 (0.56 to 1.25)	0.86 (0.55 to 1.36)	
Middle	0.82 (0.56 to 1.20)	0.81 (0.54 to 1.23)	
Richer	0.92 (0.64 to 1.34)	0.87 (0.59 to 1.29)	
Richest	Ref.	Ref.	
Read a newspaper or magazine			0.018
Yes	0.86 (0.69 to 1.07)	0.76 (0.61 to 0.95)	
No	Ref.	Ref.	
Watch the television			0.004
Yes	0.89 (0.65 to 1.21)	1.39 (1.11 to 1.75)	
No	Ref.	Ref.	
Region			0.041
Southern	0.74 (0.54 to 1.02)	0.81 (0.56 to 1.15)	
Highlands	0.74 (0.52 to 1.04)	0.86 (0.58 to 1.29)	
Momase	1.08 (0.74 to 1.56)	1.31 (1.00 to 1.93)	
Islands	Ref.	Ref.	
Number of antenatal care visits			0.113

Number of antenatal care visits

0.113

No visits	0.70 (0.53 to 0.94)	0.76 (0.54 to 1.06)	
1–3	1.05 (0.79 to 1.38)	1.14 (0.90 to 1.44)	
4 or more	Ref.	Ref.	
Aode of birth			0.006
Caesarean	3.68 (1.64 to 8.29)	3.16 (1.39 to 7.17)	
Vaginal	Ref.	Ref.	
lace of birth			0.028
Home/village	0.83 (0.64 to 1.07)	0.69 (0.49 to 0.96)	
Health facility	Ref.	Ref.	
Birth size			0.343
Small	0.89 (0.66 to 1.19)	0.93 (0.69 to 1.24)	
Average	0.79 (0.62 to 1.02)	0.84 (0.67 to 1.06)	
Large	Ref.	Ref.	
Birth order			0.338
1 st	0.88 (0.68 to 1.12)	0.93 (0.61 to 1.42)	
2 nd	0.94 (0.69 to 1.28)	1.02 (0.73 to 1.43)	
3 rd	0.72 (0.53 to 0.98)	0.77 (0.56 to 1.08)	
4 th or more	Ref.	Ref.	
Newborn skin-to-skin contact			<0.001
Yes	Ref.	Ref.	
No	1.50 (1.19 to 1.88)	1.83 (1.41 to 2.38)	
Prelacteal feeds given to infants			0.076
Yes	1.71 (1.08 to 2.72)	1.44 (0.96 to 2.15)	
No	Ref.	Ref.	

¹⁹³

194 Discussion

195 This study sought to determine the prevalence of delayed initiation of breastfeeding in 196 PNG and to examine the association between household, maternal, child, and health services

determinants. Women who watched television, were from the Momase region, had not planned their last pregnancies, had a caesarean section, and had no newborn skin-to-skin contact immediately after birth were more likely to experience a delay in initiating breastfeeding. The findings in this study underscore the demand for tailored program interventions to improve breastfeeding initiation practices, particularly among rural women.

While timely breastfeeding initiation is crucial for the growth and survival of infants, the practice remains suboptimal in PNG. In this study, about one-quarter (24.7%) of women have delayed breastfeeding initiation. This result was lower than the findings from an earlier study in PNG,¹² including those in Bangladesh,⁴ South Sudan,²¹ and Uganda.²² The variation in prevalence may be due to sample size, maternal socio-demographic factors (i.e., socio-economic status, educational status, social norms and beliefs concerning breastfeeding practice), and health service utilisation.

Findings from this study revealed that women with unplanned pregnancies were more likely to delay initiation of breastfeeding. This is in agreement with studies done in sub-Saharan Africa.²³ Women who have unplanned pregnancies likely underutilise maternal health services, such as family planning and antenatal care, which often include appropriate information and education on breastfeeding for pregnant women.^{24,25} Despite this finding, the increased odds of delayed initiation of breastfeeding with unplanned pregnancies among women in PNG are an observation that would require further qualitative inquiry.

Caesarean section was positively associated with delayed initiation of breastfeeding in 216 this study, consistent with several studies conducted elsewhere.²⁶⁻²⁸ Women who underwent 217 218 caesarean section were three times more likely to have a delayed initiation of breastfeeding. This 219 could be explained by the hospital practice of separating newborns from their mothers after the procedure.²⁸ In addition, maternal exhaustion and the effects of anaesthesia following a 220 caesarean section may impede early breastfeeding initiation.^{22,29} While maternal recovery from 221 222 this procedure generally takes some time, immediate breastfeeding is required once the woman regains consciousness and alertness.^{30,31} 223

Interestingly, women who gave birth at home or in the village had lower odds of delayed initiation of breastfeeding compared with those who gave birth in a health facility. This was similar to findings from several LMICs, ³² indicating that home-birthed infants were more likely to be breastfed in the first hour of birth. The type and level of support from skilled birth

228 attendants, family members, particularly partners/husbands, and a familiar home birthing 229 environment may reduce stress, leading to intervention-free birth, and consequently influence breastfeeding outcomes.^{32,33} Evidence from studies conducted in PNG has demonstrated an 230 231 inverse association between social and family support that adversely affects breastfeeding initiation for mothers who had given birth at home or in the village.^{13–15} However, it is unclear 232 233 what underlies the observed association between delayed initiation of breastfeeding and 234 home/village birth in the current study. Furthermore, the association between home/village birth 235 and breastfeeding initiation is improbable to be directly causal, which will require further 236 research to confirm the factors driving the observed differences.

237 From the results, poor newborn skin-to-skin contact immediately after birth was 238 significantly associated with delayed initiation of breastfeeding. Women who did not initiate 239 skin-to-skin contact immediately after birth with their newborns were more likely to delay 240 initiation of breastfeeding. They may be unaware of the importance of skin-to-skin contact as a 241 means of promoting warmth and thermoregulation for their newborns, thus their lower odds of embracing and practising it.^{12,34} The lack of information may therefore account for the delayed 242 243 initiation of breastfeeding. Certain socio-cultural norms and beliefs may disapprove of these women for practising newborn skin-to-skin contact.^{13,15,34} Furthermore, poor knowledge on the 244 245 part of health workers, shortages of health workers, poor newborn care, and limited time can impede the practice of newborn skin-to-skin contact.^{34,35} Qualitative inquiry is necessary to 246 247 investigate the knowledge, attitudes, and practices regarding breastfeeding initiation among 248 health workers and mothers, particularly those in rural settings.

249 Women who were exposed to mass media in this study, particularly television, were more likely to delay initiation of breastfeeding, consistent with studies done in sub-Saharan Africa.^{23,36} 250 251 Social marketing and advertising of infant formula feedings, milk substitutes, teats, and bottles on various media platforms have been shown to affect breastfeeding initiation.^{37,38} In contrast. 252 253 this study further revealed that women who read newspapers or magazines had lower odds of 254 delaying breastfeeding initiation. Print media (i.e., newspapers or magazines) are available and 255 accessible to the public compared to television, which could explain the reason for this finding. 256 Access to print media improves information and health literacy on breastfeeding and its benefits 257 and fosters positive behavioural beliefs about breastfeeding which have been reported as important determinants of optimal breastfeeding practice.^{39–41} Print media can be an influential 258

tool for breastfeeding communication and promotion, for persuading breastfeeding behaviours,
creating positive social norms, and garnering support from stakeholders and policymakers.⁴⁰
However, limited information exists on how mass media coverage influences breastfeeding
practice in PNG's context. Further qualitative inquiry for a deeper understanding of mass media
coverage of breastfeeding practices is necessary.

264 Regarding the region, delayed initiation of breastfeeding was not common among women 265 from the Highlands and Southern regions. This finding is in agreement with a similar study conducted in PNG.¹² Expansion of access to healthcare services and ongoing economic 266 267 developments in these two regions are possible explanations for this observation. On the 268 contrary, the odds of delayed breastfeeding initiation remained higher among women from the 269 Momase region compared to women in the Island region. This is likely attributed to the lack of 270 qualified health workers with adequate resources in this region of the country, impeding access to maternal, newborn, and child health services as reported in similar studies.^{12,42} This can also 271 272 be elucidated in terms of the socioeconomic condition, frequently characterized by poor 273 household wealth and low education levels, including accessibility, attitudes to antenatal care, 274 and interpersonal issues affecting women from accessing the services provided by health workers in this region.^{42,43} Most of the provinces in the Momase region are relatively economically 275 unstable and geographically remote, which makes healthcare, services problematic.⁴³ The 276 277 regional variations relating to breastfeeding initiation could be attributed to poor healthcare 278 service and resource distribution. This is also consistent with previous studies, which found that variations exist in various regions and the utilisation of antenatal care services.^{42,43} Thus, mobile 279 280 and outreach programs should be strengthened to reach women, especially in rural areas and the 281 disadvantaged.

282 This study has several strengths. It investigated household, maternal, and child factors 283 and healthcare utilisation with delayed initiation of breastfeeding using a nationally 284 representative survey. In addition, appropriate analysis techniques such as weighting and 285 complex samples analysis were used to ensure that the results were representative of women in 286 PNG. The two-stage sampling approach ensured that no selection bias could influence the results 287 of this study. However, the study has limitations and should be interpreted with caution. As it 288 was a cross-sectional study, the analysis could not determine a cause-and-effect relationship 289 between breastfeeding initiation and independent variables. The role of health workers and

15

skilled birth attendants (e.g., midwives or nurses) regarding breastfeeding was not captured in the dataset; therefore, the extent of breastfeeding counselling and support provided during antenatal and early postpartum periods could not be established. Since the outcome of the study was assessed based on the women's responses regarding the timing of breastfeeding initiation, results may have been influenced by self-report and social desirability biases. Furthermore, the DHS did not collect some information, such as maternal beliefs and knowledge about breastfeeding, so there may be residual confounding.

297 Conclusion

298 Early initiation of breastfeeding within one hour after birth is beneficial in reducing neonatal 299 mortality and preventing other neonatal illnesses. This study found that one in four women 300 delayed early breastfeeding initiation. Factors significantly associated with delayed initiation of 301 breastfeeding include unplanned pregnancies, media exposure, region, caesarean section, and 302 poor newborn skin-to-skin contact immediately after birth. Interventions to promote optimal 303 breastfeeding require a multi-sectoral approach, as well as bolstering health workers' capacity to 304 encourage and support early initiation of breastfeeding during the antenatal and early postnatal 305 periods. This could increase breastfeeding initiation rates at birth and prevent adverse neonatal 306 outcomes in PNG.

307 Data Availability Statement

The data underlying the results presented in the study are available from the DHS Program:
 https://dhsprogram.com/methodology/survey/survey-display-499.cfm.

310 Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the DHS Program for making the datasets available for this analysis and the women who participated in the surveys.

313 **Contributors**

MM conceived and designed the study protocol. MM prepared the data, performed the statistical data analysis and produced the tables and figures. MM, FP and LMV interpreted the data. MM

- 316 drafted the manuscript. FP and LMV critically revised and edited the manuscript for important
- 317 intellectual content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. MM is the guarantor and
- 318 accepts full responsibility for the work.

319 Funding

- 320 The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the
- 321 public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

322 Competing interests

323 None declared.

324 Patient consent for publication

325 Not applicable.

326 Ethics approval

327 The 2016–2018 PNGDHS protocol was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review 328 Board of Inner-City Fund (ICF) International (530 Gaither Road, Suite 500 Rockville, MD 329 The 20850, USA). dataset was accessed through the DHS program website 330 (https://www.dhsprogram.com/data) after the user's agreement and approval for use were 331 completed. The data used in this study were de-identified to ensure anonymity. Informed consent 332 was obtained from all participants before the survey was conducted. Therefore, no additional 333 ethical approval was sought for this study.

334 **Provenance and peer review**

335 Not commissioned; externally peer-reviewed

336 ORCID iD

- 337 McKenzie Maviso <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5435-7054</u>
- 338Francis Pulsanhttps://orcid.org/0000-0002-2304-9566
- 339 Lisa M. Vallely <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8247-7683</u>

340

341 **References**

- [dataset] 1. NEOVITA Study Group. Timing of initiation, patterns of breastfeeding, and
 infant survival: prospective analysis of pooled data from three randomised trials. The
 Lancet Global Health. 2016 Apr 1;4(4):e266–75.
- 345 [dataset] 2. Victora CG, Bahl R, Barros AJD, França GVA, Horton S, Krasevec J, et al.
 346 Breastfeeding in the 21st century: epidemiology, mechanisms, and lifelong effect. The
 347 Lancet. 2016 Jan 30;387(10017):475–90.
- 348 [dataset] 3. WHO/UNICEF. Capture the Moment Early initiation of breastfeeding: The best
 349 start for every newborn [Internet]. 2018. Available from:
 350 https://data.unicef.org/resources/capture-the-moment/
- [dataset] 4. Raihana S, Alam A, Huda TM, Dibley MJ. Factors associated with delayed
 initiation of breastfeeding in health facilities: secondary analysis of Bangladesh
 demographic and health survey 2014. International Breastfeeding Journal. 2021 Jan
 22;16(1):14.
- [dataset] 5. Abedi P, Jahanfar S, Namvar F, Lee J. Breastfeeding or nipple stimulation for
 reducing postpartum haemorrhage in the third stage of labour. Cochrane Database of
 Systematic Reviews [Internet]. 2016;(1). Available from:
 https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD010845.pub2
- [dataset] 6. Duale A, Singh P, Al Khodor S. Breast milk: a meal worth having. Frontiers in
 Nutrition. 2022;8:800927.
- [dataset] 7. Khan J, Vesel L, Bahl R, Martines JC. Timing of Breastfeeding Initiation and
 Exclusivity of Breastfeeding During the First Month of Life: Effects on Neonatal Mortality
 and Morbidity—A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Maternal and Child Health
 Journal. 2015 Mar 1;19(3):468–79.
- 365 [dataset] Morris A. Breastfeeding reduces risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Nature Reviews
 366 Endocrinology. 2018 Mar 1;14(3):128–128.
- 367 [dataset] 9. Jelly P, Choudhary S. Breastfeeding and breast cancer: A risk reduction strategy.
 368 IP Int J Med Paediatr Oncol. 2019;5(2):47–50.
- [dataset] 10. Bruno Tongun J, Sebit MB, Mukunya D, Ndeezi G, Nankabirwa V, Tylleskar T,
 et al. Factors associated with delayed initiation of breastfeeding: a cross-sectional study in
 South Sudan. International Breastfeeding Journal. 2018 Jul 5;13(1):28.
- [dataset] 11. Smith ER, Hurt L, Chowdhury R, Sinha B, Fawzi W, Edmond KM, et al. Delayed
 breastfeeding initiation and infant survival: A systematic review and meta-analysis. PLOS
 ONE. 2017 Jul 26;12(7):e0180722.

375 [dataset] 12. Seidu AA, Ahinkorah BO, Agbaglo E, Dadzie LK, Tetteh JK, Ameyaw EK, et al.
376 Determinants of early initiation of breastfeeding in Papua New Guinea: a population-based
377 study using the 2016-2018 demographic and health survey data. Archives of Public Health.
378 2020 Nov 23;78(1):124.

- [dataset] 13. Maviso MK, Kaforau LM, Hastie C. Influence of grandmothers on breastfeeding
 practices in a rural community in Papua New Guinea: A critical discourse analysis of first time mothers' perspectives. Women and Birth. 2023;36(2):e263–9.
- [dataset] 14. Maviso MK, Ferguson B, Kaforau LM, Capper T. A qualitative descriptive
 inquiry into factors influencing early weaning and breastfeeding duration among first-time
 mothers in Papua New Guinea's rural eastern highlands. Women and Birth.
 2022;35(1):e68–74.
- [dataset] 15. Terry B, Kebio L, Kuzma J. Knowledge, attitude and practice of mothers towards
 breastfeeding in rural Papua New Guinea: a mixed method study. Papua New Guinea
 Medical Journal. 2015;58(1/4):22.
- [dataset] 16. Kuzma J. Knowledge, attitude and practice related to infant feeding among
 women in rural Papua New Guinea: a descriptive, mixed method study. International
 Breastfeeding Journal. 2013 Nov 21;8(1):16.
- [dataset] 17. National Department of Health. Infant & Young Child Feeding Policy 2014. Port
 Moresby, Papua New Guinea: National Department of Health; 2014.
- [dataset] 18. National Statistical Office (NSO) [Papua New Guinea], ICF. Papua New Guinea
 Demographic and Health Survey 2016-18 [Internet]. Port Moresby, Papua New Guinea, and
 Rockville, Maryland, USA: NSO and ICF; 2019. Available from:
- 397 https://www.dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FR364/FR364.pdf
- [dataset] 19. Kambale RM, Buliga JB, Isia NF, Muhimuzi AN, Battisti O, Mungo BM.
 Delayed initiation of breastfeeding in Bukavu, South Kivu, eastern Democratic Republic of
 the Congo: a cross-sectional study. International Breastfeeding Journal. 2018 Feb
 13;13(1):6.
- 402 [dataset] 20. Archer KJ, Lemeshow S, Hosmer DW. Goodness-of-fit tests for logistic
 403 regression models when data are collected using a complex sampling design.
 404 Computational Statistics & Data Analysis. 2007 May 15;51(9):4450–64.
- 405 [dataset] 21. Bruno Tongun J, Sebit MB, Mukunya D, Ndeezi G, Nankabirwa V, Tylleskar T,
 406 et al. Factors associated with delayed initiation of breastfeeding: a cross-sectional study in
 407 South Sudan. International Breastfeeding Journal. 2018 Jul 5;13(1):28.
- 408 [dataset] 22. Mukunya D, Tumwine JK, Nankabirwa V, Ndeezi G, Odongo I, Tumuhamye J, et
 409 al. Factors associated with delayed initiation of breastfeeding: a survey in Northern Uganda.
 410 Global Health Action. 2017 Jan 1;10(1):1410975.

[dataset] 23. Teshale AB, Tesema GA. Timely initiation of breastfeeding and associated
factors among mothers having children less than two years of age in sub-Saharan Africa: A
multilevel analysis using recent Demographic and Health Surveys data. PLOS ONE. 2021
Mar 23;16(3):e0248976.

[dataset] 24. Bekele H, Dheressa M, Mengistie B, Sintayehu Y, Fekadu G. Unintended
Pregnancy and Associated Factors among Pregnant Women Attending Antenatal Care at
Bako Tibe District Public Health Facility, Oromia Region, Ethiopia. Balayla J, editor.
Journal of Pregnancy. 2020 Mar 19:2020:3179193.

419 [dataset] 25. Hromi-Fiedler AJ, Pérez-Escamilla R. Unintended pregnancies are associated
420 with less likelihood of prolonged breast-feeding: an analysis of 18 Demographic and Health
421 Surveys. Public Health Nutrition. 2007/01/02 ed. 2006;9(3):306–12.

[dataset] 26. Sharma M, Anand A, Goswami I, Pradhan MR. Factors associated with delayed
initiation and non-exclusive breastfeeding among children in India: evidence from national
family health survey 2019-21. International Breastfeeding Journal. 2023 Jun 6;18(1):28.

[dataset] 27. Kusasira L, Mukunya D, Obakiro S, Kenedy K, Rebecca N, Ssenyonga L, et al.
Prevalence and predictors of delayed initiation of breastfeeding among postnatal women at a tertiary hospital in Eastern Uganda: a cross-sectional study. Archives of Public Health.
2023 Apr 14;81(1):56.

[dataset] 28. Tongun JB, Sebit MB, Ndeezi G, Mukunya D, Tylleskar T, Tumwine JK.
Prevalence and determinants of pre-lacteal feeding in South Sudan: a community-based survey. Global Health Action. 2018 Jan 1;11(1):1523304.

432 [dataset] 29. Hobbs AJ, Mannion CA, McDonald SW, Brockway M, Tough SC. The impact of
433 caesarean section on breastfeeding initiation, duration and difficulties in the first four
434 months postpartum. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth. 2016 Apr 26;16(1):90.

435 [dataset] 30. Cobb B, Liu R, Valentine E, Onuoha O. Breastfeeding after Anesthesia: A
436 Review for Anesthesia Providers Regarding the Transfer of Medications into Breast Milk.
437 Transl Perioper Pain Med. 2015;1(2):1–7.

438 [dataset] 31. Mitchell J, Jones W, Winkley E, Kinsella SM. Guideline on anaesthesia and
439 sedation in breastfeeding women 2020. Anaesthesia. 2020 Nov 1;75(11):1482–93.

- [dataset] 32. Oakley L, Benova L, Macleod D, Lynch CA, Campbell OMR. Early
 breastfeeding practices: Descriptive analysis of recent Demographic and Health Surveys.
 Maternal & Child Nutrition. 2018 Apr 1;14(2):e12535.
- [dataset] 33. Oyedele OK. Correlates of non-institutional delivery to delayed initiation of
 breastfeeding in Nigeria: logit-decomposition and subnational analysis of population-based
 survey. Journal of Health, Population and Nutrition. 2023 Nov 6;42(1):121.

[dataset] 34. Aboagye RG, Okyere J, Dowou RK, Adzigbli LA, Tackie V, Ahinkorah BO, et
al. Prevalence and predictors of mother and newborn skin-to-skin contact at birth in Papua
New Guinea. BMJ Open. 2022 Sep 5;12(9):e062422.

[dataset] 35. Wilson AN, Melepia P, Suruka R, Hezeri P, Kabiu D, Babona D, et al. Quality
newborn care in East New Britain, Papua New Guinea: measuring early newborn care
practices and identifying opportunities for improvement. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth.
2022 Jun 1;22(1):462.

[dataset] 36. Appiah F, Ahinkorah BO, Budu E, Oduro JK, Sambah F, Baatiema L, et al.
Maternal and child factors associated with timely initiation of breastfeeding in sub-Saharan
Africa. International Breastfeeding Journal. 2021 Jul 19;16(1):55.

- 456 [dataset] 37. Mishel Unar-Munguía, Andrea Santos-Guzmán, Pedro Javier Mota-Castillo,
 457 Marena Ceballos-Rasgado, Lizbeth Tolentino-Mayo, Matthias Sachse Aguilera, et al.
 458 Digital marketing of formula and baby food negatively influences breast feeding and
 459 complementary feeding: a cross-sectional study and video recording of parental exposure in
- 459 complementary leeding: a cross-sectional study and video recording of parental exposure if 460 Mexico. BMJ Global Health. 2022 Nov 1;7(11):e009904.

[dataset] 38. Henjum S, Mathisen R, Nguyen TT, Phan LTH, Ribe LO, Vinje KH. Media audit
reveals inappropriate promotion of products under the scope of the International Code of
Marketing of Breast-milk Substitutes in South-East Asia. Public Health Nutrition.
2017/03/15 ed. 2017;20(8):1333–42.

[dataset] 39. Frerichs L, Andsager JL, Campo S, Aquilino M, Dyer CS. Framing Breastfeeding
and Formula-Feeding Messages in Popular U.S. Magazines. Women & Health. 2006 Nov
28;44(1):95–118.

- [dataset] 40. Merritt R, Eida T, Safon C, Kendall S. Print media coverage of breastfeeding in
 Great Britain: Positive or negative? Maternal & Child Nutrition. 2023 Jan 1;19(S1):e13458.
- 470 [dataset] 41. Hitt R, Zhuang J, Anderson J. Media Presentation of Breastfeeding Beliefs in
 471 Newspapers. Health Communication. 2018 Oct 3;33(10):1293–301.
- [dataset] 42. Seidu AA, Agbaglo E, Dadzie LK, Ahinkorah BO, Ameyaw EK, Tetteh JK.
 Individual and contextual factors associated with barriers to accessing healthcare among
 women in Papua New Guinea: insights from a nationwide demographic and health survey.
 International Health. 2021 Nov 1;13(6):573–85.
- 476 [dataset] 43. Li Y, Li H, Jiang Y. Factors influencing maternal healthcare utilization in Papua
 477 New Guinea: Andersen's behaviour model. BMC Women's Health. 2023 Oct 21;23(1):544.
- 478 [dataset] 44. Raihana S, Alam A, Chad N, Huda TM, Dibley MJ. Delayed Initiation of
 479 Breastfeeding and Role of Mode and Place of Childbirth: Evidence from Health Surveys in
 480 58 Low- and Middle- Income Countries (2012–2017). International Journal of
 421 Example a statement of the stat
- 481 Environmental Research and Public Health. 2021;18(11).

- 482 [dataset] 45. Hector D, King L, Webb K, Heywood P. Factors affecting breastfeeding
- 483 practices. Applying a conceptual framework. NSW Public Health Bull. 2005;16(4):52–5.
- 484
- 485 **Figure 1** A conceptual framework of individual and group-level factors associated with
- 486 delayed initiation of breastfeeding in PNG. Adapted from previous studies.^{44,45}

