

1 **Effectiveness of Ghana’s COVID-19 policy responses and lessons learnt for the future:**

2 **A multi-methods evaluation**

3 Shirley Crankson¹, Subhash Pokhrel¹, Nana Anokye¹

4 ¹Division of Global Public Health

5 Department of Health Sciences

6 College of Health, Medicine and Life sciences

7 Brunel University London

8 Uxbridge, London – UK

9

10 Corresponding author: Shirley Crankson

11 Email: Shirley.Crankson2@brunel.ac.uk

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

22 **Abstract**

23 Ghana implemented various mitigating policies in response to the COVID-19 outbreak. This
24 study examined the effectiveness of these policies to contribute to the ongoing discussions on
25 proactive and pre-emptive interventions for similar future outbreaks.

26 A mix of qualitative and quantitative methods were used for the analysis. Data were drawn
27 from multiple sources, including peer-reviewed and grey literature, and academic experts from
28 Ghanaian universities. The data from the literature informed a questionnaire that was sent to
29 independent academic experts to explore their opinions on whether the policies met their
30 intended objectives. The experts' opinions were collected on a 5-point Likert scale and from
31 an open-ended question using an online data collection platform, Qualtrics. The data were
32 evaluated using narrative synthesis, descriptive statistics and thematic analysis.

33 We identified and evaluated eight key COVID-19 policy responses in Ghana: (1) partial
34 lockdown of epicentres; (2) COVID-19 public awareness campaigns; (3) ban on public
35 gatherings; (4) COVID-19 vaccination; (5) border closures; (6) entry border COVID-19
36 screening; (7) incentives for healthcare workers (HCWs); and (8) the Ghana Alleviation and
37 Revitalisation of Enterprises Support (GCARES). Two policies - the COVID-19 awareness
38 campaigns and border closure - effectively improved public awareness of COVID-19 and
39 helped to reduce COVID-19 case importation (median score ≥ 4).

40 Ghana's COVID-19 public awareness campaigns and border closure policies could serve as a
41 valuable model for informing proactive interventions to address future infectious disease
42 outbreaks.

43

44

45 **Introduction**

46 The COVID-19 outbreak has been one of the most consequential infectious disease outbreaks
47 in the 21st century, affecting all facets of life, including global health systems, education and
48 economies [1, 2]. However, while its horizontal experience has been comparable globally, its
49 vertical impact has differed in many countries due to contextual boundaries emanating from
50 multiple factors, such as socioeconomic and environmental variations [3]. Correspondingly, its
51 mitigating policies have also been akin, to some extent, and differed in many regards globally
52 to ensure their socioeconomic, cultural and environmental appropriateness and acceptability
53 [4]. For example, many countries implemented a lockdown policy to curtail the spread of the
54 outbreak; however, its operationalisation differed between countries owing to the earlier
55 mentioned differences in contextual characteristics, including variations in housing structures,
56 technological advancement, access to social amenities and economic activities [5]. A case in
57 point is the lockdown intervention approach in Ghana and the UK, where the former
58 implemented a partial lockdown and the latter a complete lockdown [6,7]. Apart from the
59 similar policies with different operationalisation between countries, the contextual variations
60 also accounted for very distinct and country-specific COVID-19 policies, like the Ghana
61 Alleviation and Revitalisation of Enterprises Support (GCARES) policy, to ensure countries
62 meet their specific pandemic and post-pandemic goals considering their COVID-19
63 experiences [8].

64 Notwithstanding the similarity and distinctiveness between countries regarding their COVID-
65 19 policies, enhanced globalisation suggests that countries must continually evaluate their
66 COVID-19 mitigating approaches to ultimately curb the outbreak on the global front [9]. One
67 such evaluation could be reflecting and assessing the effectiveness of implemented policies to
68 learn key lessons in order to inform policy guidelines for the continuous management of the
69 outbreak or similar outbreaks in the future. The current COVID-19 literature is inundated with

70 several such COVID-19 policies' effectiveness analyses, with many of the analyses skewed
71 towards many developed countries [10, 11]. Given the comparable policies globally, the
72 findings from these analyses could offer helpful policy guides for other countries with scarcer
73 literature representation. However, given the argued socioeconomic variations and country-
74 specific COVID-19 policies, there is an urgent need for more comprehensive effectiveness
75 analyses of country-specific COVID-19 policies, particularly from developing countries. These
76 analyses can provide crucial evidence to support targeted and more tailored interventions in the
77 event of another outbreak. They could also ensure a fair literature representation on COVID-
78 19 mitigating strategies, which is fundamental for guiding the global mitigation agenda for
79 similar outbreaks in the future. Therefore, this study, which evaluated the effectiveness of the
80 COVID-19 policy responses in Ghana, a developing country with comparably scarce data in
81 the literature on its COVID-19 policy assessments.

82 Ghana implemented several COVID-19 policies from 2020 to 2022 to lessen and avert any
83 further dire repercussions of the outbreak [12]. These policies include a partial lockdown in the
84 country's COVID-19 disease hotspots, COVID-19 public awareness campaigns, enhanced
85 testing capacities of earmarked COVID-19 testing centres and incentivisation of front-line
86 workers [13]. Some of these policies have been evaluated in a few studies to ascertain their
87 effectiveness and continuous relevance in the event of another outbreak. However, most of
88 these studies primarily used a single approach, primarily qualitative approaches, to assess the
89 policies' effectiveness [12, 13, 14], offering nuanced evidence but limited robustness on the
90 validity of the evidence. Further, most of the studies focused on the effectiveness of only a few
91 of Ghana's COVID policies [6, 15], offering insufficient data to enhance our understanding of
92 the interconnectedness of Ghana's multiple and simultaneously implemented COVID-19
93 policies and whether the policies' interconnectedness impacted Ghana's overall COVID-19
94 outcomes.

95 Therefore, this study was underpinned by a complementary multi-method approaches to
96 diminish the subjectivity in the previous studies and enhance the validity of the evidence on
97 the policies' effectiveness [16]. As such, it combined a literature review approach with expert
98 opinions (collected both qualitatively and quantitatively) to corroborate evidence on the
99 effectiveness of Ghana's COVID-19 policies. The literature review examined the existing
100 evidence on the effects of Ghana's COVID-19 policies and the quantitative and qualitative
101 analyses leveraged independent expert perspectives on a 5-point Likert scale and open-ended
102 questions to corroborate or challenge the literature review findings on the effectiveness of the
103 policies. The study design required a framework for a standard or benchmark approach for
104 demonstrating a policy's' effectiveness. Therefore, the policies' objectives were used as
105 benchmarks for assessing their effectiveness in the adopted multiple methods.

106

107 **Methods**

108 This study was carried out in several stages as described below:

109 **Stage 1: Literature review**

110 The study reviewed the grey and peer-reviewed literature to provide evidence on the
111 effectiveness of Ghana's COVID-19 policies in addressing the burden of the outbreak in
112 Ghana. The data for the review was sourced from multiple sources: First, Scopus, the largest
113 database of peer-reviewed journals and encompassing journals from other large databases [17],
114 was searched for peer-reviewed articles evaluating Ghana's COVID-19 policies using the
115 keywords: 'COVID-19', 'coronavirus', 'effectiveness', 'effect', 'impact', 'influence', 'policy',
116 'intervention', 'response', 'policy response', 'Ghana'. Second, the Google database was
117 searched for generic articles using a combination of the above search terms. Third, specific
118 websites, including the WHO, Worldometer and Government of Ghana (GoG) websites and
119 local news agencies, were searched for reports on COVID-19 policy responses in Ghana. The

120 GOG websites comprised Ghana Health Service (GHS), the Ministry of Health (MoH), the
121 Ministry of Education (MoE), the Ministry of Information (MoI) and the Ministry of Finance
122 (MoF) databases. The local media houses included Ghana Broadcasting Corporation (GBC),
123 Citi FM, TV3 and Joy News, acknowledged and recognised local news agencies in Ghana that
124 were involved in disseminating information on GoG's responses to the COVID-19 outbreak.
125 The multiple data sources contributed to enhancing the validity and reliability of identified
126 information on Ghana's COVID-19 policy responses. The search was first carried out from
127 30th March 2022 to 1st April 2022 and updated on 30th July 2024. After the literature search,
128 a narrative synthesis was done to compare information across multiple articles to provide
129 evidence on a policy's effectiveness. The whole review was guided by a logic model [18].

130 After the synthesis, a 3-point valuation measure was used to quantify a policy's gains. The
131 valuation, guided by the findings of the narrative synthesis, was necessary to provide an
132 objective overview of the policy's effectiveness. In the valuation, '0' was given if literature
133 review evidence showed that a policy did not effectively address any of its intended objectives,
134 '1' if at least one objective was met and '2' when all objectives were met. Consequently,
135 policies with a '0' score were considered ineffective, those with '1' were perceived as
136 somewhat effective, and those with a score of '2' were termed effective.

137

138 **Stage 2: Expert validation**

139 Experts were invited to validate the effectiveness of the COVID-19 policies per their identified
140 objectives in stage 1. Experts were defined in this study as academics, independent of the
141 research team, with sufficient knowledge of the local context regarding Ghana's COVID-19
142 policy landscape. The choice of academics as experts was informed by their documented
143 attention to details, scientific rigour, and broader perspectives in developing, reviewing, and
144 evaluating policies [19]. The academic experts were from universities in Ghana who were

145 either teaching or researching in any health or economics disciplines. The two disciplines were
146 informed by the nature of the COVID-19 policies in Ghana. A gatekeeper, who is affiliated to
147 multiple universities in Ghana, was used to recruit the experts. The gatekeeper informed the
148 experts about this study's objectives and their expectations through a Participant Information
149 Sheet (PIS) and furnished them with the link to the study's questionnaire. The link and PIS
150 were all shared through a broadcast study invitation email. The questionnaire was uploaded on
151 Qualtrics, an online tool for data collection. Consent information was also embedded in the
152 online questionnaire, and the experts could only record their responses after agreeing to the
153 consent statement by clicking 'agree' on the online questionnaire. The link to the questionnaire
154 was opened for the experts' responses from 08/12/2022 to 16/01/2023. The questionnaire
155 included sociodemographic questions and a question each on the objectives of the eight
156 COVID-19 policies, as identified from the literature review. The experts rated the effectiveness
157 of the policies on a scale of 1 to 5 (where 1 = not effective, 2 = not very effective, 3 = do not
158 know, 4 = effective, 5 = very effective), except for the vaccination policy which was rated on
159 a 1 to 3 scale (1 = no, 2 = maybe, 3 = yes) because it was still ongoing unlike the other policies,
160 and the assessment aimed to examine its possible influence at the end of its implementation as
161 per its prospective aim of inducing herd immunity. In addition to rating individual policies'
162 performance, the experts were given an open-ended field to record what they thought had
163 contributed to a specific policy's influence on a COVID-19 burden. Ethics approval for the
164 expert's recruitment and data collection was granted by the College of Health, Medicine and
165 Life Sciences (CHMLS) Research Ethics Committee.

166 The questionnaire to collect expert opinions was a multi-item survey developed specifically for
167 this study to allow items specific to the characteristics of Ghana's COVID-19 policies, as no
168 such questionnaire existed prior to this study. The questionnaire was informed by the findings
169 of the literature review, suggestions from academics within the Department of Health Sciences,

170 Brunel University London, and a review of the literature on standard approaches to
171 questionnaire development to ensure content and face validity, respectively [20, 21]. A
172 Cronbach's alpha test was conducted to examine the reliability of the items on the survey
173 questionnaire.

174 The analysis of the data on expert's opinions involved several steps. Descriptive and thematic
175 analyses were conducted to provide meanings to the experts' responses. The descriptive
176 analyses used frequencies, proportions, medians and Interquartile ranges (IQR) to summarise
177 the experts' characteristics. Policies with a median rating ≥ 4 were perceived as effective, and
178 those with a median < 4 were perceived as ineffective. An IQR value ≤ 1 was used to determine
179 experts' consensus on a policy's effectiveness [22] The thematic analysis identified common
180 themes from the participant's responses to the open-ended question on what they think
181 contributed to the outcomes of the COVID-19 policies. In the analysis, the responses from each
182 expert were first read thoroughly to ensure familiarisation with the data. Codes were generated
183 to represent phrases/sentences from the experts' responses in the data familiarisation. The
184 codes were then reviewed and observed for patterns regarding the policy's effectiveness.
185 Common codes from the experts were then combined into themes. The thematic analysis was
186 conducted by SC and reviewed by NA to ensure that they accurately represented the experts'
187 responses. The final themes were defined in sentences to provide meanings to the experts'
188 responses and enhance understanding of the policies' effect.

189 **Results**

190 We evaluated eight key COVID-19 policies implemented in Ghana between 2020 and 2022.
191 The policies were (1) partial lockdown of epicentres; (2) COVID-19 public awareness
192 campaigns; (3) ban on public gatherings; (4) COVID-19 vaccination; (5) border closures; (6)
193 entry border COVID-19 screening; (7) incentives for healthcare workers (HCWs); and (8) the
194 Ghana Alleviation and Revitalisation of Enterprises Support (GCARES). The valuation

195 measures, based on the literature review findings, found the public awareness campaigns,
 196 COVID-19 vaccination, border closures, entry border COVID-19 screening and the incentives
 197 for HCWs as ‘effective’, the partial lockdown and GCARES policies as ‘somewhat effective’
 198 and the bans on public gatherings as ineffective. Table 1 summaries the literature review and
 199 valuation measures findings.

200 Table 1: Review findings and valuation score of Ghana’s COVID-19 policies’ effectiveness

COVID-19 policy	Policy’s intended objectives	Review’s evidence on policy’s effect	Proportion (%) of studies reporting policy as effective	Valuation score
Partial lockdown of epicentres	Reduce spread of COVID-19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Did not reduce COVID-19 spread [6; 14; 23; 24] • Unclear/inconclusive evidence [13] 	0/5 (0)	1 (somewhat effective)
	Enhance COVID-19 disease surveillance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enhanced COVID-19 surveillance [24; 25; 26; 27] 	4/4 (100)	
	Scaling up COVID-19	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scaled up COVID-19 testing and treatment 	3/5 (60)	

COVID-19 policy	Policy's intended objectives	Review's evidence on policy's effect	Proportion (%) of studies reporting policy as effective	Valuation score
	treatment capacities	capacities [25; 27; 28] • Did not scale up COVID-19 testing and treatment capacity [26; 29].		
COVID-19 public awareness campaigns	Create awareness of COVID-19, its prevention and treatment protocols	• Created awareness [13; 14; 25; 26; 30; 31; 32]	7/7 (100)	2 (effective)
Ban on public gatherings	Reduce COVID-19 transmission	• Did not reduce COVID-19 transmission [23; 24; 27; 33]	0/4 (0)	0 (ineffective)
COVID-19 vaccination	Reduce risk and severity of	• Reduced number of COVID-19	2/2 (100)	2 (effective)

COVID-19 policy	Policy's intended objectives	Review's evidence on policy's effect	Proportion (%) of studies reporting policy as effective	Valuation score
	COVID-19 infections through vaccine-induced herd immunity	infections and deaths [23; 24]		
Border closures	Reduce COVID-19 case importation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reduced COVID-19 case importation by air [24; 34] 	2/2 (100)	2 (effective)
Entry border COVID-19 screening	Detect and isolate COVID-19 cases	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Detected and isolated over 7,000 COVID-19 active cases [24; 35] 	2/2 (100)	2 (effective)
Incentives for healthcare workers	Widen workforce capacity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increased in number of frontline healthcare workers [28; 36; 37] 	3/3 (100)	2 (effective)

COVID-19 policy	Policy's intended objectives	Review's evidence on policy's effect	Proportion (%) of studies reporting policy as effective	Valuation score
GCARES	Stimulate economic recovery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stimulating economic recovery [38] • Not simulating economic recovery [39; 40] 	1/3 (33)	1 (somewhat effective)

201

202 Thirty-four experts evaluated the eight COVID-19 policies, providing 272 main data points.

203 Most of them were women (n = 25; 73.5%), aged 18 – 34 years (n = 17; 50%) and were from

204 a health discipline (n = 30; 88.2%), including medicine, nursing and physiotherapy. They rated

205 the public awareness campaigns, bans on public gathering, partial lockdown and border

206 closures policies as effective (Median score ≥ 4), and the incentives for HCWs, COVID-19

207 entry border screening and GCARES policies as ineffective (Median score < 4). There was

208 consensus among the experts on the effectiveness of the public awareness campaigns (IQR =1).

209 The reliability test showed a Cronbach's alpha of 0.88, indicating a high internal consistency

210 between the questionnaire's items. Fig. 1 shows the median and IQR of the policies as rated by

211 the experts. The vaccination policy is not included in Fig 1 as it was not rated on a 1 to 5 scale

212 like the other policies. Also, only ten of the experts rated the effectiveness of the GCARES

213 policy.

214 Fig 1. Experts' ratings of the effectiveness of Ghana's key COVID-19 policy responses.

215

216 The review findings for each policy and corresponding experts' ratings of the policy's
217 effectiveness are presented below:

218 **(1) Partial lockdown of epicentres**

219 A partial lockdown policy was implemented in two metropolises in Ghana – Greater Accra and
220 Kumasi from 30th March 2020 to 20th April 2020 to reduce the burden of the COVID-19
221 disease [6, 41]. The policy allowed individuals in the targeted cities to access essential services,
222 like food, pharmacy and banking services when needed, and members of the executive,
223 judiciary, legislative, and media could operate [12, 13; 41]. The objective of the lockdown was
224 to halt the spread of the virus (through movement restriction), enhance disease surveillance and
225 scale up COVID-19 testing capacity [12, 42]. Before the policy, the number of COVID-19
226 cases was 152. This number increased to 1,042 on 20/04/2020 when the lockdown was lifted,
227 indicating an 85% increase in the case count [23, 24]. The number of cases is reported to have
228 increased steadily, even after the lockdown was lifted [23]. The increase in cases was attributed
229 to testing backlog and intensified contact tracing, one of the objectives of the lockdown [28].
230 The contact tracing activity intensified COVID-19 disease surveillance [24], accounting for
231 about 63% of active COVID-19 cases identified during the partial lockdown period [24, 25].

232 On the scaling up COVID-19 testing capacity objective, only two public laboratories, i.e., the
233 Kumasi Centre for Collaborative Research (KCCR) and Noguchi Memorial Institute for
234 Medical Research (NMIMR), were equipped to test for COVID-19 before and during the
235 partial lockdown period [26]. The combined testing capacity of the laboratories before the
236 lockdown was about 300 tests per day, and this doubled during the lockdown due to the
237 adoption of a 'pool testing system', where tests were conducted in groups of 10s instead of
238 individuals [34]. However, there were significant sample backlogs by the two labs during and

239 post-lockdown, which affected the testing turnaround time [12, 28]. The pooling method was
240 later abandoned when the case positivity rate increased [28]. Six hospitals were allocated for
241 the management of COVID-19 during the lockdown, with one earmarked as the main treatment
242 centre. Isolation and quarantine centres were also allocated in major cities during the lockdown
243 period, with assistance from churches and private entities [28]. Capacity training was provided
244 for the staff of these hospitals on COVID-19 testing, treatment, and appropriate use of Personal
245 Protective Equipment (PPEs) [28]. These facilities, however, faced inadequate PPEs during the
246 lockdown period, which affected their management of COVID-19 patients [26]. The partial
247 lockdown was associated with unintended outcomes, such as job losses for individuals and
248 institutions, social exclusion, and severe economic hardship for people with low incomes [14,
249 43]. The enhanced COVID-19 testing capacity of the country and some of the unintended
250 outcomes informed the lifting of the partial lockdown in April 2020 [13, 42]. On the experts'
251 perceived effectiveness of the partial lockdown policy, twenty-two indicated that the policy
252 was effective in enhancing COVID-19 disease surveillance (Effective: n = 17; Very Effective:
253 n = 5), and nineteen of them said it was effective in reducing the spread of the virus (Effective:
254 n = 15; Very effective: n = 4) and scaling up COVID-19 testing and treatment capacities
255 (Effective: n = 15; Very effective: n = 4).

256

257 **(2) COVID-19 public awareness campaigns**

258 COVID-19 public education and awareness campaigns were implemented in Ghana before the
259 country recorded its first two COVID-19 cases on 12th March 2020 [42]. In the early stages of
260 the outbreak, the campaigns were aimed to create public awareness of COVID-19 and ensure
261 public adherence to COVID-19 prevention and management protocols [13]. As the disease
262 progressed, the education campaigns were extended to include education on COVID-19
263 vaccination, with focus on demystifying the disease, ensuring social inclusion of recovered

264 individuals and promoting COVID-19 vaccine uptake. The President was the key agent in the
265 COVID-19 education campaigns. He encouraged the public to adhere to the COVID-19
266 preventive protocols and updated them on the government's efforts to curtail the disease
267 through frequent speeches through mass media [42]. There were several COVID-19 awareness
268 campaigns in different local dialects on mass media across the country, and regular updates on
269 the disease's characteristics were made on government portals [14; 43]. The public could
270 access COVID-19 information from multiple sources, including radio, TV and social media
271 [31], with the internet being the major source of information [30]. The information included
272 COVID-19 causes, symptoms, effects, and preventive measures [31]. Telecommunication
273 companies also used push SMS to educate the public about COVID-19 [13]. Data showed that
274 about 97% of Ghanaians knew about COVID-19 and the COVID-19 emergency centre [30],
275 and the COVID-19 campaigns significantly influenced the awareness of the disease [32].
276 Notwithstanding, there were also reported cases of misinformation, mostly channelled through
277 social media, friends and families [31, 44], and COVID-19-related stigmatisation [45].

278 The GoG and institutions issued directives to promote compulsory adherence to the COVID-
279 19 preventive protocols, such as wearing nose masks and hand hygiene directives [46].
280 However, studies report low public adherence to the protocols, especially on hand washing,
281 social distancing, and wearing face masks [46, 47, 48]. The low adherence to the protocols was
282 linked to decreasing advocacy and awareness of COVID-19 by relevant agents, including the
283 GOG [49]. There were campaigns on the benefits and safety of the COVID-19 vaccine [24;
284 26]. Some government officials took vaccines in public to create awareness of their safety [26].
285 Regular vaccine information was also provided on the GHS website. However, media
286 campaigns on vaccine education were reportedly low [26]. Many experts (n = 30) rated the
287 public awareness campaigns as effective (Effective: n = 21; Very effective: n = 9). The experts

288 who rated it ineffective were divided equally between ‘Not effective’ (n = 2) and ‘Not very
289 effective’ (n = 2).

290

291 **(3) Ban on public gatherings**

292 The President of Ghana announced a COVID-19 informed public gatherings ban on 15th March
293 2020 to curtail the spread of the disease. The policy banned school activities, conferences,
294 festivals, workshops, political rallies, religious activities, sporting events and all other social
295 events for one month. However, private burials with a maximum of 25 attendees were
296 permitted. The ban was initially imposed for four weeks and was extended until 5th June 2020,
297 when the President eased the restrictions, citing low mortality and morbidity rates as reasons
298 for the decision [42, 34]. Social activities, including conferences, workshops, and religious
299 activities, were allowed with a maximum of a hundred persons following the lifting of the ban,
300 and schools were reopened in batches for academic activities from 15th January 2021.
301 However, in February 2021, the President re-introduced all the initial restrictions on public
302 gatherings following a surge in COVID-19 cases [42]. The bans were finally lifted in March
303 2022.

304 During the public gatherings ban (from March 2020 to March 2022), the incidence of COVID-
305 19 infections fluctuated. However, the highest number of daily new cases (n = 2,521) since the
306 outbreak began was recorded within the ban period [23, 24]. It is argued that the reported high
307 daily COVID-19 incidences during the ban period were possibly influenced by spillovers from
308 before the ban’s imposition due to the observed delays in laboratory testing and case reporting
309 [12, 50]. Evidence suggests that COVID-19 transmission did not decline following the bans on
310 public gatherings, and relaxing the ban did not increase COVID-19 transmission [33].
311 Reopening schools, however, increased the COVID-19 transmission rate in some regions of
312 Ghana [33]. Several reports also allude to ban violations, especially by religious groups and

313 government officials during the ban period, which may have increased COVID-19 transmission
314 [13, 14, 45, 51]. Most experts (n = 24) rated the ban on public gatherings policy as effective
315 (Effective: n = 17; Very effective: n = 7) in reducing the spread of the virus. Of those that said
316 the policy was ineffective, six rated it as ‘not very effective’, and two rated it as ‘not effective’.

317

318 **(4) COVID-19 vaccination**

319 Ghana implemented a mass COVID-19 vaccination intervention on 1st March 2021 after
320 receiving 600,000 doses of AstraZeneca on 24th February 2021. The intervention was to reduce
321 the risk and severity of COVID-19 infections through vaccination-induced herd immunity [24,
322 52]. It targeted a 60% full vaccination (2 doses of a vaccine) of Ghanaians aged ≥ 15 years by
323 the end of June 2022 to achieve herd immunity [42]. The proportion of the targeted Ghanaians
324 vaccinated as of 9th September 2024 is 56.7% [24], 3.3% shy of the June 2022 target. The total
325 number of COVID-19-related deaths as of 1st March 2021, when the mass vaccination began,
326 was 607. This number had increased to 1,462 as of 7th April 2024 (The last COVID-19 death
327 updates by GHS) [24, 53], representing 855 deaths. The 607 reported deaths were in the space
328 of 12 months (between 12th March 2020 and 1st March 2021), representing approximately 51
329 deaths per month. The 855 additional deaths were also in the space of 37 months (2nd March
330 2021 to 7th April 2024), representing about 23 deaths per month. This data suggests that the
331 number of monthly COVID-19-related deaths reduced by about 55% since the vaccination
332 policy began. On the experts’ perspectives of whether the vaccination policy could achieve
333 vaccine-induced herd immunity, nineteen (56%) said the vaccine policy ‘may’ reduce the
334 COVID-19 burden through herd immunity, two (6%) said the vaccine would not achieve this
335 objective, and thirteen (38%) of them were certain that the policy would achieve the objective.

336 **(5) Border closures**

337 Ghana announced a non-admittance restriction on other nationals travelling from countries with
338 over 200 confirmed COVID-19 cases, except those with resident permits, on 15th March 2020
339 to reduce COVID-19 case importation [54]. This restriction continued until 22nd March 2020,
340 when all borders, including air, sea and land, were completely closed to all outbound and
341 inbound travellers; however, the movement of Cargo, essential goods and supplies was not
342 restricted [55]. The first two COVID-19 cases in Ghana were imported cases, which increased
343 to 105 by mid-March 2020, necessitating the border closure intervention [23, 24]. The borders
344 were opened for air travel on 1st September 2020, but the restrictions on land borders remained
345 until April 2022. Data shows that 705 imported cases were recorded from March 2020 to
346 December 2020 [28], suggesting that about 600 COVID-19 cases were imported after lifting
347 the air travel restrictions, i.e., from September to December 2020, as 105 imported cases had
348 already been reported pre-border closure. By estimation, about 150 cases were imported
349 monthly for the first four months after lifting the border closure restrictions. This estimation
350 could also suggest that about 150 cases per month, representing about 750 cases for the period
351 of the ban imposition (March – September; 5 months), were prevented [24, 34]. Most of the
352 experts (n = 20) said the border closure policy effectively prevented COVID-19 case
353 importation (Effective: n = 13; Very effective: n = 7). Three did not know whether the policy
354 prevented case importation, and eleven rated the policy as ineffective per its targeted objective.

355

356 **(6) Entry border COVID-19 screening**

357 Prior to the re-opening of air borders, Ghana introduced compulsory COVID-19 screening at
358 its main international airport, the Kotoko International Airport (KIA), in September 2020 [42].
359 The policy aimed to detect and isolate imported COVID-19 cases at entry points to prevent a
360 case-importation-induced increase in COVID-19 prevalence. In addition to the compulsory

361 airport testing, passengers were mandated to present a negative PCR test result from their
362 country of embarkment [28]. The compulsory entry border screening was suspended when the
363 land borders were opened for outbound and inbound travel in March 2022 [42]. Infographics
364 from GHS showed that the COVID-19 testing at KIA identified and isolated over 7,000 active
365 cases during the policy's implementation period [24]. The experts' ratings of the border
366 screening policy's effectiveness were spread equally between effective (Total = 17; Effective:
367 n = 15; Very effective: n = 2) and ineffective/unsure of effectiveness (Total = 17; Not effective:
368 n = 2; Not very effective: n = 10; Don't know: n = 5). But when fragmented, most experts (n =
369 15) rated the policy as 'effective' rather than 'not very effective' (n = 10).

370

371 **(7) Incentives for HCWs**

372 Ghana announced incentive packages for healthcare workers in March 2020 to widen its
373 workforce to fight the COVID-19 outbreak [37]. The incentives included about US\$60,000 in
374 insurance coverage per person, free transportation, 50% of the basic salary allowance for all
375 frontline workers, and tax-free salaries on employee emoluments for all health workers [37].
376 These financial packages were rolled out from April 2020 to December 2020 [56, 57]. In
377 addition, over 45,000 healthcare workers were recruited from March 2020 to November 2022,
378 increasing the health worker capacity by about 35% [36]. By the end of 2020, Ghana had spent
379 about US\$35 million on health workers' financial packages and recruitment to sustain and
380 boost its workforce capability against the COVID-19 outbreak [36]. The experts who said the
381 HCWs incentives policy was effective (Total = 14; Effective: n = 11; Very effective: n = 3)
382 were fewer than those who said the policy was ineffective (Total = 18; Not effective: n = 8; Not
383 very effective: n = 10) in widening the human resource capital against COVID-19.

384

385

386 **(8) GCARES**

387 Ghana implemented a GCARES policy in May 2020 to stimulate economic recovery from the
388 COVID-19 impact [58]. The three- and half-year program was rolled out in two phases [58].
389 The first phase focused on revamping the economy through tax exemptions, reduced cost of
390 essential services and provision of loans up to 600 million cedis with two years repayment
391 schedule for informal and formal Micro, Small and Medium-scale Enterprises (MSMEs) [58].
392 As of 21st May 2020, about 8,000 applicants had registered to access the loan to revamp their
393 businesses [59]. The first phase ended in July 2020. The second phase, launched for three years
394 (2021-2023), aimed to transform Ghana's economy through revived industries, such as
395 manufacturing, construction, digitalisation and agri-business [58]. Data shows that the Gross
396 Domestic Product (GDP) in the fourth quarter of 2021 (7%) was higher than 2020's GDP
397 (4.3%), indicating marginal economic growth, which could have been influenced by the
398 GCARES policy [38]. Of the ten experts who rated the effectiveness of the GCARES policy,
399 five said the policy was ineffective (Not effective: n = 3; Not very effective: n = 2) in
400 stimulating economic recovery from the COVID-19 impact. Four of the remaining five did not
401 know the policy's effectiveness, and one said it was effective.

402

403 On the open-ended responses on the rationale for the policies' effectiveness rating, ten of the
404 experts gave reasons for their effectiveness ratings of the COVID-19 policies. Their reasons
405 are summarised in Table 2 below. Many (n = 4) of them commented on the ban on public
406 gatherings policy and their reasons included:

407 *“On the ban of the public gathering, it really helped to curb the spread of the virus. It was*
408 *actually one of the effective measures employed” (Participant 010).*

409 *“The restriction on public gathering was very effective. This was because churches and club*
 410 *houses were closed, and anyone seen disobeying were punished” (Participant 009).*

411 On other policies, one explained that the *“the closure of the borders in a way helped to reduce*
 412 *spread of Covid-19, but it affected traders a lot and people used unauthorized borders to go*
 413 *about their business. So, at the end it wasn’t effectively controlled and not everyone got tested”*
 414 *(Participant 014).*

415 **Table 2: Thematic findings of the experts’ reasons for their policies’ effectiveness ratings**

Type of policy	Themes	Participant ID
Ban on public gathering	The policy curbed the spread of COVID-19	010, 030
	The Policy’s effectiveness was facilitated by the lockdown	014
	Policy was effective because it had punitive measures.	009
COVID-19 public awareness campaigns	The Public education campaign informed people about COVID1-19	007, 014
Incentives for HCWs	Policy was ineffective due to equipment unavailability	011, 014
Partial lockdown	Migration of people to non-locked down areas before lockdown implementation made the policy ineffective	014
	The public fear of COVID-19 made the lockdown policy effective	033
Border closures and entry border screening	The usage of some unauthorised borders affected the policies effectiveness	014

Type of policy	Themes	Participant ID
GCARES	Most entrepreneurs did not benefit from the GCARES policy	035
Vaccination	The COVID-19 vaccination can reduce the disease's burden by preventing related complications	014
All policies	All the policies collectively improved the COVID-19 outcomes	001
	The COVID-19 outcomes were worsened by poor attitudes towards policies	004

416

417 Discussion

418 To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the effectiveness of key COVID-
419 19 policies in Ghana, by first using a robust scrutiny of the literature and then validating the
420 findings in a rigorously structured expert poll. The literature review assessment of effective
421 (public awareness campaigns, COVID-19 vaccination, border closures, entry border COVID-
422 19 screening and HCWs), somewhat effective (GCARES and partial lockdown) and ineffective
423 (bans on public gatherings) policies was consistent with the experts' opinion for some policies
424 and inconsistent with others. For example, agreement was obtained between the review
425 findings and experts' ratings regarding the COVID-19 public awareness campaigns as being
426 effective but there was disagreement on the effectiveness of the partial lockdown, ban on public
427 gatherings, HCWs incentives, GCARES and entry border COVID-19 screening policies. The
428 disagreement could emanate from the policies' characteristics and operationalisation, which
429 blurs objective evaluations. For example, some of the policies were complementary, had
430 similar intended outcomes and were implemented around the same time, therefore obscuring

431 possible attribution of policy outcomes to specific policies. A case in point is the partial
432 lockdown and bans on gatherings policies which were implemented around the same time and
433 had the same intended outputs of reducing the spread of COVID-19. This similarity restricted
434 estimating actual outcomes attributable to either of the policies, limiting precise objective
435 assessments. This limitation could have contributed to the variations in the results between
436 experts' opinions and the initial ratings. Arguably, the study could have addressed this
437 attribution limitation with a period analysis of their outcomes [60]. However, given that these
438 policies were implemented concurrently, there were insufficient data for such an analysis.

439 Unlike the other policies, the vaccination policy was assessed prospectively by the experts,
440 given that it is still in force. Therefore, its experts' findings may not represent the policy's
441 present gains. Nonetheless, its literature review findings demonstrate a reduction in the number
442 of COVID-19 infections and related deaths since the vaccination intervention started. This
443 reduction is not specific to any vaccine type and no empirical data was found to attribute this
444 reduction to the vaccination intervention. However, a recent modelling study showed that
445 Ghana's vaccination intervention could reduce symptomatic COVID-19 infections in adults
446 aged 25 to 64 years by about 7% [61]. This evidence suggests that Ghana could enhance its
447 vaccination uptake to continue reducing its COVID-19 infections and deaths.

448 The literature review finding on whether the vaccination policy achieved herd immunity was
449 comparable to the experts' perspectives. Ghana did not achieve its herd immunity objective in
450 June 2022, even almost two years after, potentially due to vaccine unavailability and hesitancy
451 [52]. Studies have reported vaccine hesitancy in Ghana and have attributed it to poor
452 knowledge, anxiety, conspiracy theories, safety concerns and misconceptions [62 – 64]. This
453 observation, however, is not isolated to Ghana, as several studies from other jurisdictions have
454 also reported COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy [65 – 67]. When positioned within behavioural

455 models, it could be explained that vaccine uptake among populations could be influenced by a
456 multidirectional interplay of complex sociocultural, religious, and behavioural factors [68],
457 warranting a comprehensive intervention to prevent hesitancy. Policymakers in Ghana could,
458 therefore, explore these factors to scale-up its vaccination intervention uptake and address any
459 vaccine hesitancy that could impede efforts at meeting its herd immunity target.

460 The review showed that the entry border COVID-19 screening policy helped isolate and
461 quarantine travellers who tested positive for COVID-19 on arrival [35]. Consequently, those
462 testing negative for the virus were allowed entry into the country [35]. Therefore, it could not
463 be established whether the policy was sufficient in curtailing COVID-19 transmission from
464 imported cases, particularly as a negative test at the point of entry may not indicate a ‘true’
465 negative COVID-19 status, given the influence of viral incubation periods on test results [69],
466 and it was unclear in the literature whether the travellers with negative test results on arrival
467 were required to have a repeated test within specified time to confirm the arrival test outcome.

468 The partial lockdown policy did not reduce COVID-19 spread per the literature review
469 findings, and though the experts rated it effective in reducing COVID-19 transmission, they
470 did not achieve consensus. The increased COVID-19 cases during the lockdown [23] could
471 have been from the not-lockdown areas. However, data from GHS [24] shows reported
472 COVID-19 cases from the lockdown areas, suggesting viral spread in the epicentres during the
473 policy period. The viral spread could have been facilitated by hindered early identification and
474 isolation of cases due to the delayed testing turnaround time experienced during the lockdown
475 because of the lower testing capacities in Ghana [28, 70]. It could have also resulted from the
476 reported scaling down of contact tracers at some point during the lockdown imposition [25].
477 Like the partial lockdown, there was no consensus among the experts on the effectiveness of
478 the bans on public gatherings policy, and the review found it ineffective. Given the Ghanaian
479 socioeconomic context, it was probably impossible to curtail COVID-19 transmission through

480 the imposition of a public gathering ban. This is because it is impractical to attain complete
481 adherence to such a ban at all population levels due to socioeconomic inequalities [13]. Like
482 many developing countries, individuals at the bottom of the economic pyramid in Ghana face
483 myriad challenges, including low purchasing power, shared and poor sanitation facilities and
484 housing conditions [71]. These challenges may limit their tendency to avoid public gatherings
485 and practise social distancing [72].

486 There are several lessons learnt from this study for handling future pandemics of the nature and
487 scale of COVID-19. First, relevant policymakers in Ghana could consider the country's
488 socioeconomic and cultural fabrics, such as education, traditions, religion and housing
489 characteristics, when implementing policies like lockdowns and bans on public gatherings to
490 ensure their optimal impacts in the event of another pandemic. When needed to flatten the
491 transmission curve, Ghana could consider a lockdown intervention when the number of
492 infections exceeds treatment capacities [73]. However, this decision must be complemented
493 with scaled-up testing capacities and well-equipped treatment facilities to avoid prolonged
494 lockdown periods, which could have dire economic consequences [25]. Second, given Ghana's
495 economic situation, which usually affects its provision of equipment and resources for health
496 service delivery, a public-private partnership could be helpful to ensure an uninterrupted and
497 adequate supply of resources to manage pandemics. The government could also support
498 hospital facilities to generate internal funds through grants, such as clinical grants, to ensure
499 their self-reliance, which could boost their preparedness for emergencies like pandemics.

500 Third, Ghana's government could equip and support relevant state agencies and appropriate
501 private organisations to improve its policy regulations and enforcements strategies. This
502 support is necessary as the evidence suggests that enhanced policy enforcement strategies are
503 crucial to mitigate the burden of any similar future outbreak in Ghana [13]. Fourth, Ghana
504 could adopt its COVID-19 awareness campaigns as a model communication and awareness

505 strategy for educating the public in future infectious disease outbreak management, as evidence
506 supports its effectiveness for pandemic management [74]. The policy could be strengthened
507 further by blocking sources of misinformation. Finally, global systems could enhance their
508 efforts to promote COVID-19 herd immunity by ensuring equitable distribution of COVID-19
509 vaccines for the benefits of developing countries like Ghana. They could also consider strategic
510 partnerships with social media platforms to control spread of misinformation during disease
511 outbreaks.

512 Our study used data triangulation to advance the knowledge of the effectiveness of Ghana's
513 critical COVID-19 policy responses. Leveraging the advantages of this approach allowed our
514 study to contribute more reliable evidence of the policies' effectiveness. In addition, we
515 integrated quantitative and qualitative approaches, offering complementary approaches that
516 could diminish each approach's weaknesses while maintaining their strengths. However, as
517 associated with qualitative analysis, this study is possibly limited by some subjective data
518 interpretations, which could have implications for interpreting our findings. However, given
519 the data triangulation herein, this limitation could be subdued. Our study was also faced with
520 attribution of effects limitations, given the similar characteristics of the policies and the non-
521 availability of comparable data to address counterfactuals. For example, the researchers could
522 not attribute the identified COVID-19 outcomes to any single policy, as most of the evaluated
523 policies in this study were implemented concurrently. Therefore, by inferences, the COVID-
524 19 outcomes discussed here could be interpreted as the outcome of all the policies combined.
525 Also, the relatively small number of experts in this study limits the generalisation of the
526 findings as a reflection of the viewpoints of all academic experts in Ghana. Further, the
527 evaluations focused mainly on the policies' direct effects, limiting comprehensively accounting
528 for their indirect effects.

529 Comparing our findings to the literature, no study was found to have evaluated all the policies
530 herein at a go, as done in this study. Therefore, the findings presented here could not be
531 compared to the literature as a composite. However, when defragmented, the findings on the
532 partial lockdown policy and the public awareness policy are consistent with similar studies [6;
533 32].

534 **Conclusions**

535 Ghana's COVID-19-related public awareness campaigns and border closure policies
536 effectively informed the public about COVID-19 and contained COVID-19 case importation,
537 respectively. All the identified eight COVID-19 policies contributed to the COVID-19
538 outcomes in Ghana. Future studies could expand on the current understanding by exploring
539 more robust data and approaches to examine the effectiveness of Ghana's COVID-19 policies.

540 **Acknowledgments**

541 The authors wish to thank the Ghana Scholarships Secretariat for their immense support
542 towards this research, and all the experts who participated in this research.

543 **References**

- 544 1. Maia Chagas A, Molloy JC, Prieto-Godino LL, Baden T. Leveraging open hardware to
545 alleviate the burden of COVID-19 on global health systems. *PLoS biology*. 2020 Apr
546 24;18(4):e3000730.
- 547 2. Pitaloka H, Al Umar AU, Hartati ER, Fitria D. The economic impact of the COVID-19
548 outbreak: Evidence from Indonesia. *Jurnal Inovasi Ekonomi*. 2020 Apr 29;5(02).
- 549 3. Zhu J, Yan W, Zhu L, Liu J. COVID-19 pandemic in BRICS countries and its
550 association with socio-economic and demographic characteristics, health vulnerability,
551 resources, and policy response. *Infectious diseases of poverty*. 2021 Dec;10:1-8.

- 552 4. Alon T, Kim M, Lagakos D, VanVuren M. How should policy responses to the covid-
553 19 pandemic differ in the developing world? National Bureau of Economic Research;
554 2020 Jun 1.
- 555 5. Haider N, Osman AY, Gadzekpo A, Akipede GO, Asogun D, Ansumana R, Lessells
556 RJ, Khan P, Hamid MM, Yeboah-Manu D, Mboera L. Lockdown measures in response
557 to COVID-19 in nine sub-Saharan African countries. *BMJ Global health*. 2020 Oct
558 1;5(10):e003319.
- 559 6. Assan A, Hussein H, Agyeman-Duah DN. COVID-19 lockdown implementation in
560 Ghana: lessons learned and hurdles to overcome. *Journal of Public Health Policy*.
561 2022;43(1):129.
- 562 7. Smith LE, Amlôt R, Lambert H, Oliver I, Robin C, Yardley L, Rubin GJ. Factors
563 associated with adherence to self-isolation and lockdown measures in the UK: a cross-
564 sectional survey. *Public Health*. 2020 Oct 1;187:41-52.
- 565 8. Tuffour P, Opoku-Mensah E, Asiedu-Ayeh LO, Darko D. Assessing governments
566 response to exogenous shocks: Considering the COVID-19 pandemic in the Ghanaian
567 context. *Journal of Public Affairs*. 2022 Nov;22(4):e2755.
- 568 9. Bickley SJ, Chan HF, Skali A, Stadelmann D, Torgler B. How does globalization affect
569 COVID-19 responses?. *Globalization and health*. 2021 May 20;17(1):57.
- 570 10. Haug N, Geyrhofer L, Londei A, Dervic E, Desvars-Larrive A, Loreto V, Pinior B,
571 Thurner S, Klimek P. Ranking the effectiveness of worldwide COVID-19 government
572 interventions. *Nature human behaviour*. 2020 Dec;4(12):1303-12.
- 573 11. Brauner JM, Mindermann S, Sharma M, Johnston D, Salvatier J, Gavenčiak T,
574 Stephenson AB, Leech G, Altman G, Mikulik V, Norman AJ. Inferring the
575 effectiveness of government interventions against COVID-19. *Science*. 2021 Feb
576 19;371(6531):eabd9338.

- 577 12. Antwi-Boasiako J, Abbey CO, Ogbey P, Ofori RA. Policy Responses to fight COVID-
578 19; the case of Ghana. *Revista de Administração Pública*. 2021 Mar 5;55:122-39.
- 579 13. Khoo A. Ghana in COVID-19 pandemic. *Inter-Asia Cultural Studies*. 2020 Oct
580 1;21(4):542-56.
- 581 14. Smith CS, Quartey NK. Racialisation of COVID-19 Pandemic and its Impact in Ghana.
582 COVID-19 ODA Rapid Response Research Report. University of Liverpool. 2020
583 Aug.
- 584 15. Awekeya H, Dubik SD, Amegah K, Ashinyo A, Wuobar F, Kaitoo E, Ofosu W,
585 Ashinyo ME. An evaluation of COVID-19 surveillance system in New Juaben South
586 Municipality of Ghana: a cross-sectional study. *Pan African Medical Journal*. 2021 Dec
587 6;40(1).
- 588 16. Queirós A, Faria D, Almeida F. Strengths and limitations of qualitative and quantitative
589 research methods. *European journal of education studies*. 2017 Sep 7.
- 590 17. Baas J, Schotten M, Plume A, Côté G, Karimi R. Scopus as a curated, high-quality
591 bibliometric data source for academic research in quantitative science studies.
592 *Quantitative science studies*. 2020 Feb 1;1(1):377-86.
- 593 18. Knowlton LW, Phillips CC. *The logic model guidebook: Better strategies for great*
594 *results*. Sage; 2012 Aug 24.
- 595 19. Qu M, Ahponen P, Tahvanainen L, Pelkonen P. Chinese academic experts' assessment
596 for forest bio-energy development in China. *Energy Policy*. 2010 Nov 1;38(11):6767-
597 75.
- 598 20. Boynton PM, Greenhalgh T. Selecting, designing, and developing your questionnaire.
599 *Bmj*. 2004 May 27;328(7451):1312-5.

- 600 21. Khubchandani J, Sharma S, Price JH, Wiblishauser MJ, Sharma M, Webb FJ. COVID-
601 19 vaccination hesitancy in the United States: a rapid national assessment. *Journal of*
602 *community health*. 2021 Apr; 46:270-7.
- 603 22. Cheung KL, de Ruijter D, Hiligsmann M, Elfeddali I, Hoving C, Evers SM, de Vries
604 H. Exploring consensus on how to measure smoking cessation. A Delphi study. *BMC*
605 *Public Health*. 2017 Dec;17:1-0.
- 606 23. Worldometer. Coronavirus case count – Ghana. 2022 [Cited 2022 Feb 23]. Available
607 from: <https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/country/ghana/#graph-deaths-daily>.
608 Accessed: 2022 Feb 23.
- 609 24. Ghana Health Service. COVID-19 – Ghana’s outbreak response management updates.
610 2024 [Cited 2024 Aug 28]. Available from: <https://www.ghs.gov.gh/covid19/>
- 611 25. Kenu E, Odikro MA, Malm KL, Asiedu-Bekoe F, Noora CL, Frimpong JA, Calys-
612 Tagoe B, Koram KA. Epidemiology of COVID-19 outbreak in Ghana. *Ghana Medical*
613 *Journal*. 2020 Dec;54(4 Suppl):5.
- 614 26. Quakyi NK, Asante NA, Nartey YA, Bediako Y, Sam-Agudu NA. Ghana’s COVID-19
615 response: the Black Star can do even better. *BMJ global health*. 2021 Mar
616 1;6(3):e005569.
- 617 27. Agyeman-Manu K. COVID-19 response - Ghana’s experience. 2020 [Cited 2024 Aug
618 30]. Available from: https://apps.who.int/gb/COVID-19/pdf_files/07_05/Ghana.pdf
- 619 28. Sarkodie B, Asiedu-Bekoe F, Laryea DO, Ampofo WK, Phillips RO, Samba A, Nsiah-
620 Asare A, Asamoah-Baah A, Odame E, Ohene SA, Amoako YA. Overview of
621 preparedness and response to COVID-19 in Ghana. *Ghana medical journal*. 2021 Sep
622 1;55(2):38-47.
- 623 29. Tawiah O. KATH’s COVID-19 Management Team Threatens to Strike over Unpaid
624 Allowances. *Joy Online News*. 2021. [Cited 2024 Aug 30]. Available from:

- 625 <https://www.myjoyonline.com/kaths-covid-19-management-team-threatens-to-strike->
626 [over-unpaid-allowances/](https://www.myjoyonline.com/kaths-covid-19-management-team-threatens-to-strike-over-unpaid-allowances/)
- 627 30. Serwaa D, Lamptey E, Appiah AB, Senkyire EK, Ameyaw JK. Knowledge, risk
628 perception and preparedness towards coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) outbreak
629 among Ghanaians: a quick online cross-sectional survey. The Pan African Medical
630 Journal. 2020;35(Suppl 2).
- 631 31. Adu-Gyamfi S, Asante EA. Sources of information about COVID-19 among older
632 adults in Ghana, 2019-2021. Journal of Social, Behavioral, and Health Sciences.
633 2022;16(1):1-8.
- 634 32. Dubik SD, Amegah KE, Adam AS. Resumption of school amid the COVID-19
635 pandemic: a rapid assessment of knowledge, attitudes, and preventive practices among
636 final-year senior high students at a technical institute in Ghana. Education Research
637 International. 2021 Mar 31;2021:1-8.
- 638 33. Ofori SK, Schwind JS, Sullivan KL, Cowling BJ, Chowell G, Fung IC. Transmission
639 dynamics of COVID-19 in Ghana and the impact of public health interventions. The
640 American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 2022 Jul;107(1):175.
- 641 34. Sibiri H, Zankawah SM, Prah D. Coronavirus diseases 2019 (COVID-19) response:
642 Highlights of Ghana's scientific and technological innovativeness and breakthroughs.
643 Ethics, Medicine and Public Health. 2020 Jul 1;14:100537.
- 644 35. Adu B, Bonney JK, Odoom JK, Bonney EY, Obodai E, Asante IA, Aboagye J, Adusei-
645 Poku MA, Lartey L, Asiedu EK, Ampofo WK. SARS-CoV-2 detection among
646 international air travellers to Ghana during mandatory quarantine. Ghana Medical
647 Journal. 2021 Sep 1;55(2):48-50.
- 648 36. Asamani JA, Ismaila H, Okoroafor SC, Frimpong KA, Oduro-Mensah E, Chebere M,
649 Ahmat A, Nabyonga-Orem J, Christmalls CD, Nyoni J, Kuma-Aboagye P. Cost analysis

- 650 of health workforce investments for COVID-19 response in Ghana. *BMJ Global Health*.
651 2022 May 1;7(Suppl 1):e008941.
- 652 37. Ministry of Health - Ghana. Financial incentives for healthcare workers. 2020. [Cited
653 2022 Apr 30] Available from: [https://www.graphic.com.gh/news/general-](https://www.graphic.com.gh/news/general-news/coronavirus-ghana-announces-special-life-insurance-cover-for-health-workers.html)
654 [news/coronavirus-ghana-announces-special-life-insurance-cover-for-health-](https://www.graphic.com.gh/news/general-news/coronavirus-ghana-announces-special-life-insurance-cover-for-health-workers.html)
655 [workers.html](https://www.graphic.com.gh/news/general-news/coronavirus-ghana-announces-special-life-insurance-cover-for-health-workers.html)
- 656 38. Ghana Statistical Service. 'National Accounts: Annual GDP'. 2022. [Cited 2022 Apr
657 30]. Available at:
658 [https://statsghana.gov.gh/nationalaccount_macros.php?Stats=MjQyODAxMDY3NC4](https://statsghana.gov.gh/nationalaccount_macros.php?Stats=MjQyODAxMDY3NC42Mzg=/webstats/227532q92p)
659 [2Mzg=/webstats/227532q92p](https://statsghana.gov.gh/nationalaccount_macros.php?Stats=MjQyODAxMDY3NC42Mzg=/webstats/227532q92p)
- 660 39. Antwi-Boasiako J, Abbey CO, Ogbey P, Ofori RA. Policy Responses to fight COVID-
661 19; the case of Ghana. *Revista de Administração Pública*. 2021 Mar 5;55:122-39.
- 662 40. Duho KC, Kauppinen AR. Fiscal relations, class politics, and the election year in
663 Ghana's Covid-19 Context. In *Society for the Advancement of Socio-Economics*
664 (SASE) 33rd Annual Meeting 2021 Jun 25.
- 665 41. Salifu Yendork J, James S. COVID-19 in Ghana: Changes and the way forward. *Journal*
666 *of Comparative Family Studies*. 2020 Oct;51(3-4):369-84.
- 667 42. The Presidency - Republic of Ghana. Speeches. 2022. [Cited 2022 Feb 23]. Available
668 from: <https://presidency.gov.gh/index.php/briefing-room/speeches>
- 669 43. Amewu S, Asante S, Pauw K, Thurlow J. The economic costs of COVID-19 in sub-
670 Saharan Africa: insights from a simulation exercise for Ghana. *The European Journal*
671 *of Development Research*. 2020 Dec; 32:1353-78.
- 672 44. Tabong PT, Segtub M. Misconceptions, misinformation and politics of COVID-19 on
673 social media: a multi-level analysis in Ghana. *Frontiers in communication*. 2021 May
674 5; 6:613794.

- 675 45. Adom D, Mensah JA, Osei M. The psychological distress and mental health disorders
676 from COVID-19 stigmatization in Ghana. *Social sciences & humanities open*. 2021 Jan
677 1;4(1):100186.
- 678 46. Dzisi EK, Dei OA. Adherence to social distancing and wearing of masks within public
679 transportation during the COVID 19 pandemic. *Transportation Research*
680 *Interdisciplinary Perspectives*. 2020 Sep 1;7:100191.
- 681 47. Apanga PA, Kumbeni MT. Adherence to COVID-19 preventive measures and
682 associated factors among pregnant women in Ghana. *Tropical Medicine & International*
683 *Health*. 2021 Jun;26(6):656-63.
- 684 48. Apanga PA, Lettor IB, Akunvane R. Practice of COVID-19 preventive measures and
685 its associated factors among students in Ghana. *The American journal of tropical*
686 *medicine and hygiene*. 2021 Feb;104(2):526.
- 687 49. The National Commission for Civic Education – Ghana. ‘Change COVID-19 advocacy
688 language’. 2022 [Cited 2022 Apr 26} Available from:
689 [https://www.ghanabusinessnews.com/2020/06/26/change-covid-19-advocacy-](https://www.ghanabusinessnews.com/2020/06/26/change-covid-19-advocacy-language-ncce/)
690 [language-ncce/](https://www.ghanabusinessnews.com/2020/06/26/change-covid-19-advocacy-language-ncce/)
- 691 50. Ampofo WK. ‘COVID-19 Tests: Noguchi Clears All Backlog of Samples’. 2020.
692 [Cited 2022 Feb 25] Available from:
693 <https://www.peacefmonline.com/pages/local/news/202005/407488.php>
- 694 51. Yeboah AS, Takyi SA, Amponsah O, Anafo D. Assessing the practicality of the
695 COVID-19 social distancing guidelines to the urban poor in the Ghanaian context.
696 *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*. 2020 Jan 1;2(1):100087.
- 697 52. Acheampong T, Akorsikumah EA, Osae-Kwapong J, Khalid M, Appiah A, Amuasi JH.
698 Examining vaccine hesitancy in Sub-Saharan Africa: a survey of the knowledge and

- 699 attitudes among adults to receive COVID-19 vaccines in Ghana. *Vaccines*. 2021 Jul
700 22;9(8):814.
- 701 53. WHO. Ghana finds success in COVID-19 mass vaccination campaigns. 2023. [Cited
702 2023 May 10]. Available from: [https://www.afro.who.int/countries/ghana/news/ghana-](https://www.afro.who.int/countries/ghana/news/ghana-finds-success-covid-19-mass-vaccination-campaigns)
703 [finds-success-covid-19-mass-vaccination-campaigns](https://www.afro.who.int/countries/ghana/news/ghana-finds-success-covid-19-mass-vaccination-campaigns)
- 704 54. Duncan J. Coronavirus: Travellers from countries with over 200 cases won't be allowed
705 in Ghana. 2020 [Cited 2022 Apr 4]. Available from:
706 [https://citinewsroom.com/2020/03/coronavirus-travellers-from-countries-with-over-](https://citinewsroom.com/2020/03/coronavirus-travellers-from-countries-with-over-200-cases-wont-be-allowed-in-ghana/)
707 [200-cases-wont-be-allowed-in-ghana/](https://citinewsroom.com/2020/03/coronavirus-travellers-from-countries-with-over-200-cases-wont-be-allowed-in-ghana/)
- 708 55. Adogla-Bessa D. Coronavirus: Ghana to close all borders from Sunday. 2020. [Cited
709 2022 Apr 29]. Available from: [https://citinewsroom.com/2020/03/coronavirus-ghana-to-](https://citinewsroom.com/2020/03/coronavirus-ghana-to-close-all-borders-from-sunday/)
710 [close-all-borders-from-sunday/](https://citinewsroom.com/2020/03/coronavirus-ghana-to-close-all-borders-from-sunday/)
- 711 56. Amponsah R, Frimpong IA. Ghana in the face of COVID-19: economic impact of
712 coronavirus (2019-NCOV) outbreak on Ghana. *Open Journal of Business and*
713 *Management*. 2020 Jun 17;8(04):1404.
- 714 57. Arku J. 'COVID-19: Incentives for health workers extended to end of year'. 2022.
715 [Cited 2022 Apr 30] Available from: [https://www.graphic.com.gh/news/general-](https://www.graphic.com.gh/news/general-news/covid-19-incentives-for-health-workers-extended-to-end-of-year.html)
716 [news/covid-19-incentives-for-health-workers-extended-to-end-of-year.html](https://www.graphic.com.gh/news/general-news/covid-19-incentives-for-health-workers-extended-to-end-of-year.html).
- 717 58. Ministry of Finance. 'Ghana Covid-19 Alleviation and Revitalization of Enterprises
718 Support'. 2022 [Cited 2022 Apr 30]. Available from:
719 <https://mofep.gov.gh/sites/default/files/news/care-program.pdf>
- 720 59. Kwofi M. 'COVID-19 Alleviation Programme: 8,000 apply for loan'. 2022. [Cited
721 2022 May 1]. Available from: [https://www.graphic.com.gh/news/general-news/covid-](https://www.graphic.com.gh/news/general-news/covid-19-alleviation-programme-8-000-apply-for-loan.html)
722 [19-alleviation-programme-8-000-apply-for-loan.html](https://www.graphic.com.gh/news/general-news/covid-19-alleviation-programme-8-000-apply-for-loan.html)

- 723 60. Fuller J. Conceptual lessons for containing an epidemic of questionable COVID-19
724 counterfactual comparisons. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2021 Nov 1;75(11):1031-
725 2.
- 726 61. Ofori SK, Schwind JS, Sullivan KL, Chowell G, Cowling BJ, Fung IC. Age-stratified
727 model to assess health outcomes of COVID-19 vaccination strategies, Ghana.
728 *Emerging Infectious Diseases*. 2023 Feb;29(2):360.
- 729 62. Alhassan RK, Aberese-Ako M, Doegah PT, Immurana M, Dalaba MA, Manyeh AK,
730 Klu D, Acquah E, Ansah EK, Gyapong M. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy among the
731 adult population in Ghana: evidence from a pre-vaccination rollout survey. *Tropical*
732 *Medicine and Health*. 2021 Dec;49(1):1-3.
- 733 63. Lamptey E, Serwaa D, Appiah AB. A nationwide survey of the potential acceptance
734 and determinants of COVID-19 vaccines in Ghana. *Clinical and Experimental Vaccine*
735 *Research*. 2021 May;10(2):183.
- 736 64. Agyekum MW, Afrifa-Anane GF, Kyei-Arthur F, Addo B. Acceptability of COVID-19
737 vaccination among health care workers in Ghana. *Advances in Public Health*.
738 2021;2021(1):9998176.
- 739 65. Kreps SE, Goldfarb JL, Brownstein JS, Kriner DL. The relationship between US adults'
740 misconceptions about COVID-19 vaccines and vaccination preferences. *Vaccines*.
741 2021 Aug 13;9(8):901.
- 742 66. Bendau A, Plag J, Petzold MB, Ströhle A. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy and related
743 fears and anxiety. *International immunopharmacology*. 2021 Aug 1;97:107724.
- 744 67. Ullah I, Khan KS, Tahir MJ, Ahmed A, Harapan H. Myths and conspiracy theories on
745 vaccines and COVID-19: Potential effect on global vaccine refusals. *Vacunas*. 2021
746 May 1;22(2):93-7.

- 747 68. Breslin G, Dempster M, Berry E, Cavanagh M, Armstrong NC. COVID-19 vaccine
748 uptake and hesitancy survey in Northern Ireland and Republic of Ireland: Applying the
749 theory of planned behaviour. PLoS One. 2021 Nov 17;16(11):e0259381.
- 750 69. Mina MJ, Andersen KG. COVID-19 testing: One size does not fit all. Science. 2021
751 Jan 8;371(6525):126-7.
- 752 70. Asiiimwe N, Tabong PT, Iro SA, Noora CL, Opoku-Mensah K, Asampong E.
753 Stakeholders perspective of, and experience with contact tracing for COVID-19 in
754 Ghana: A qualitative study among contact tracers, supervisors, and contacts. PloS one.
755 2021 Feb 11;16(2):e0247038.
- 756 71. Asante D, Twumasi MA, Sakyi AS, Gyamerah S, Asante B. A socio-geographic
757 perspective of health and economic impacts of COVID-19 on poor households in
758 Ghana. GeoJournal. 2022 Oct;87(5):4113-25.
- 759 72. Asori M, Musah A, Peprah P. Spatial targeting and prediction of factors responsible for
760 optimum Covid-19 based social distancing—the case of Upper west region, Ghana.
761 Research Square. [Preprint]. 2022 [cited 2023 Dec 15]. Available from:
762 <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1364371/v1>
- 763 73. Caulkins J, Grass D, Feichtinger G, Hartl R, Kort PM, Prskawetz A, Seidl A,
764 Wrzaczek S. How long should the COVID-19 lockdown continue? Plos one. 2020
765 Dec 2;15(12):e0243413.
- 766 74. Kwame A, Makarova V, Hudu F, Petrucka PM. The Covid-19 pandemic in Ghana:
767 exploring the discourse strategies in president Nana Addo’s speeches. Humanities and
768 Social Sciences Communications. 2023 Nov 4;10(1).




Fig 1.
Figure