Hospital-onset bacteraemia and fungaemia as a novel automated surveillance indicator: results from four European university hospitals

Seven J.S. Aghdassi ^{1,2*}, Suzanne D. van der Werff ^{3,4*}, Gaud Catho ^{5,6}, Manon Brekelmans ^{7,8}, Luis A.
Peña Diaz¹, Niccolò Buetti ^{5,9}, Ferenc D. Rüther¹, Daniel Dinis Teixeira ⁵, Daniel Sjöholm ¹⁰, Pontu Peña Diaz *, Niccolò Buetti *,", Ferenc D. Rüther *, Daniel Dinis Teixeira *, Daniel Sjöholm *°, Pontus
Nauclér ^{3,4}, Michael Behnke ^{1#}, Maaike S.M. van Mourik ^{7#}, on behalf of the PRAISE-HOB working
group ^P
*; # Th g roup P

- Nauclér 3,7, Michael Behnke 3,7, Maaike S.M. van Mourik 7, on behalf of the PRAISE-HOB working
group Process and the S.M. In this work and share first/last authorship.
1. Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate mem
- *; # These authors contributed equally to this work and share first/last authorship. $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
- 1. Universität zu Berlin, Institute of Hygiene and Environmental Medicine, Berlin, Germany
1. Berlin Institute of Health at Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, BIH Biomedical Innovation
1. Academy, BIH Charité Digital Cl Berlin Institute of Health at Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, BIH Biomedical Innovati
Academy, BIH Charité Digital Clinician Scientist Program, Berlin, Germany
Department of Medicine Solna, Division of Infectious Dis 2. Berlin Institute of Medicine France – Universitätismedizing part 2010, Biometrical Institute Academy, BIH Charité Digital Clinician Scientist Program, Berlin, Germany
3. Department of Medicine Solna, Division of Infecti Manamy, Bin Similar Digital Similar Promins Program, Berlini, Perman, J.
Department of Medicine Solna, Division of Infectious Diseases, Karolinska
Sweden
Infection Control Program and WHO Collaborating Centre, University o 3. Department of Medicine Solna, Division of Infectious Diseases, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm,

Sweden

4. Department of Infectious Diseases, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden

5. Infection Control Pro
-
-
-
- Modern
Departm
Infection
Faculty c
Departm
the Neth 5. Infection Control Program and WHO Collaborating Centre, University of Geneva Hospit
Faculty of Medicine, Geneva, Switzerland
6. Infectious diseases division, Central Institute, Valais Hospital, Sion, Switzerland
7. Depa 5. Infectious diseases division, Central Institute, Valais Hospital, Sion, Switzerland
5. Infectious diseases division, Central Institute, Valais Hospital, Sion, Switzerland
7. Department of Medical Microbiology and Infect 5. Infectious diseases division, Central Institute, Valais Hospital, Sion, Switzerland
7. Department of Medical Microbiology and Infection Control, University Medical
1. Lentre for Infectious Diseases Control, National Ins France diseases measures and the settion of the Nepterlands
1. Department of Medical Microbiology and Infection Control, University Medical
1. Centre for Infectious Diseases Control, National Institute for Public Health an
- 7. The Netherlands

8. Centre for Infectious Diseases Control, National Institute for Public Health and the Environment

Bilthoven, the Netherlands

9. Université Paris Cité, Inserm, IAME, Paris, France

10. Department of Martin
Sentre for Infecti
Bilthoven, the Ne
Université Paris (
Department of M
Sweden
The members of
-
- 8. Bilthoven, the Netherlands

1. Chiversité Paris Cité, Inserm, IAME, Paris, France

10. Department of Medicine Solna, Division of Clinical Epidemiology, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm

1. The members of the network are 9. Université Paris Cité, Inserm, IAME, Paris, France
10. Department of Medicine Solna, Division of Clinical Epidemic
Sweden
P. The members of the network are listed under Collaborators 9. Philosophical Paris Cité, Inserting Paris, Inserting 10. Department of Medicine Solna, Division of Clinica
Sweden
P. The members of the network are listed under Col
Corresponding author: 10. Sweden
10. Department of the Metwork are listed under Collaborators
10. Department of Medicine Solna, Division of Infectious Diseases, Karolinska Institute
10. Department of Medicine Solna, Division of Infectious Disea
-

Corresponding author:

The men
re<mark>spondi</mark>
inne D. v
tutet -St **Corresponding author:**
Suzanne D. van der Werff, Department of Medicine Solna, Divisio
Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden. Email: <u>suzanne.ruhe.van.der.wer</u>

Collaborators (alphabetically)

Suzanne D. Van der Werk, Department of Meanane Prince Solna, D. Van D. Waren of D. Van D. Van D. Van D. Van D
Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden. Email: <u>suzanne ruhe van der werff@ki.se</u>.
Collaborators (alphabetically)
Heike D Institute
Instituted, Stockholm
Infection Control Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria.
Infection Control Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria.
Anders E. Johansson (https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0548-5943)

Infection Control Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria.
Anders F. Johansson (https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0548-5943) - Department of Clinical Microbiology,
Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden.
Elisabeth Presterl (https:/ Infection Control Medical Orions, 1986.
Anders F. Johansson (https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0548-5943)
- Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden.
Elisabeth Presterl (https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4395-7403) - De
Infection Control Medical

Mache Chromater F. <u>(Higer, Johnson, 1988)</u>
Anneå University, Umeå, Sweden.
Elisabeth Presterl (https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4395-7403) - Department Hospital Epidemiology and
Infection Control Medical University of Vienna, Elisabeth Presterl (https://orcid.or
Infection Control Medical Universi
Louise Stougaard - Department of
Denmark.
Tjallie van der Kooi (https://orcid..
and the Environment, Centre for I

Infection Control Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria.
Louise Stougaard - Department of Data Integration and Analysis, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen,
Denmark.
Tjallie van der Kooi (https://orcid.org/0000-000 Louise Stougaard - Department of Data Integration and Analysis,
Denmark.
Tjallie van der Kooi (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5346-7224) - N
and the Environment, Centre for Infectious Disease Control, Biltl

Louis Stougaard - Departments.
Tiallie van der Kooi (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5346-7224) - National Institute for Public Health
The Environment, Centre for Infectious Disease Control, Bilthoven, the Netherlands.
1 **Tiallie van
Tiallie van
and the En
Track.** and the Environment, Centre for Infectious Disease Control, Bilthoven, the Netherlands.

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

Abstract

Background: Conventional manual surveilance of healthcare-associated infections is labour-intensive
and therefore often restricted to areas with high-risk patients. Fully automated surveillance of
hospital-onset bacteraemi

and discrete controlled to areas with high-risk patients. The consideration of high-risk patients. Aim: To develop an algorithm and minimal dataset (MDS) required for automated surveillance and apply it to real-life routin

Aim: To develop an algorithm and minimal dataset (MDS) required for automated surveilland apply it to real-life routine data in four European hospitals.
Methods: Through consensus discussion a HOB definition with MDS suita Affility of develop an algorithm and minimal dataset (MDS) required for automated survemance of HOB
and apply it to real-life routine data in four European hospitals.
Methods: Through consensus discussion a HOB definition Methods: Through consensus discussion a HOB definition with surveillance was developed and applied in a retrospective multional admitted adult patients (2018-2022). HOB was defined as a precognised pathogen two or more day surveillance was developed and applied in a retrospective multicentre observational study in
all admitted adult patients (2018-2022). HOB was defined as a positive blood culture with a
recognised pathogen two or more days all admitted adult patients (2018-2022). HOB was defined as a positive blood culture with a recognised pathogen two or more days after hospital admission. For common commensals, two blood cultures with the same commensal w an admitted adult patients (2018-2022). The Edmond and premise and process calculated pathogen two or more days after hospital admission. For common commensals, blood cultures with the same commensal within two days were r

blood cultures with the same commensal within two days were required. Annual HOB rates were
calculated per 1,000 patient days for the hospital and for intensive care units (ICU) and non-ICU.
Results: HOB rates were compa calculated per 1,000 patient days for the hospital and for intensive care units (ICU) and non-ICU.
Results: HOB rates were comparable between the four hospitals (1.0 to 2.2 per 1,000 patient days
HOB rates were substanti **Results:** HOB rates were comparable between the four hospitals (1.0 to 2.2 per 1,000 patient days HOB rates were substantially higher in ICU than non-ICU across the four hospitals, and HOB with common commensals accounted Results: HOB rates were comparable between the four hospitals (1.0 to 2.2 per 1,000 patient days).
HOB rates were substantially higher in ICU than non-ICU across the four hospitals, and HOB with
common commensals accounted common commensals accounted for 14.8-28.2% of all HOB. HOB rates per 1,000 patient days were
rather consistent over time, but were higher in 2020 and 2021. HOB caused by *Staphylococcus aureus*
accounted for 8.4-16.0% of a

commen commensative accounted for 14.8 accounted for 14.8-28.2% of all HOB.
accounted for 18.4-16.0% of all HOB.
Conclusion: Automated HOB surveillance using a common definition was feasible and reproducible
across four rather consistent over time, but were higher in 2020 and 2021. HOB caused by *Staphylococcus dureus*
accounted for 8.4-16.0% of all HOB.
Conclusion: Automated HOB surveillance using a common definition was feasible and r **Conclusion**: Automated HOB surveill
across four European hospitals. Futu
of HOB, and focus on strategies to m
tool. conclusion: Automated HOB surveillance using a common definition was feasible and reproducible
across four European hospitals. Future studies should investigate clinical relevance and preventabilit
of HOB, and focus on str of HOB, and focus on strategies to make the automated HOB metric an actionable infection control
tool.
Keywords: hospital-onset bactaeremia and fungaemia, surveillance, bloodstream infection,
automated surveillance

of HOB, and focus on strategies to make the automated HOB metric an actionation infection infection.
Keywords: hospital-onset bactaeremia and fungaemia, surveillance, bloodstream infection, automated surveillance <mark>Keyw</mark>
autor ן
ה Keywords: hospital-onset bactaeremia and fungaemia, survenance, bloodstream infection,
automated surveillance

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

Background

Entrance of the interaction (HAI) is a controlled infection protection products and primarily on manual chart review to detect infections, which is resource-intensive, time consuming, and prone to subjective interpretation systems rely primarily on manual chart review to detect infections, which is resource-intensive
consuming, and prone to subjective interpretation (4). Automated surveillance (AS) systems ut
algorithms to complement or repl systems rely primarily on manual chart review to detect infections, which is resource-intensive, time-intensive, timealgorithms to complement or replace certain steps of manual surveillance by analysing routine care
data that are automatically extracted from electronic health records (EHR). AS systems offer
potentials to obtain surveilla algorithms to complement or replace certain steps of manufal surveintments, and ying relative later data that are automatically extracted from electronic health records (EHR). AS systems offer potentials to obtain surveill

potentials to obtain surveillance data in a timelier manner, to increase standardisation and
interpretability of results, and once set-up, to be less time-consuming (5-7).
Currently, AS systems are mostly limited to resear potentials to obtain surveillance data in a timelier manner, to missing (5-7).
Interpretability of results, and once set-up, to be less time-consuming (5-7).
Currently, AS systems are mostly limited to research settings an Currently, AS systems are mostly limited to research settings and single instit
considerably in terms of methods (7). As a result, there is a great need for H,
suitable for AS, reliable detection algorithms, and data struc Considerably in terms of methods (7). As a result, there is a great need for HAI definitions and targe
suitable for AS, reliable detection algorithms, and data structures that promote interoperability of
medical data acros suitable for AS, reliable detection algorithms, and data structures that promote interoperability of
medical data across different institutions. The PRAISE (Providing a Roadmap for Automated Infection
Surveillance in Europ suitable for AS, reliable across different institutions. The PRAISE (Providing a Roadmap for Automated Infection Surveillance in Europe) network aims to provide methods and resources for facilitating the transition of AS f medical data across different institutions. The Providing a Roadmap for Californian medicines.
Surveillance in Europe) network aims to provide methods and resources for facilitating the transition
of AS from the research s of AS from the research setting to large-scale implementation (8, 9). Multi-institutional development
of AS methods will be crucial to maintain comparability of surveillance outcomes, one of the essentia
aspects of surveil

of AS methods will be crucial to maintain comparability of surveillance outcomes, one of the essentia
aspects of surveillance.
Hospital-onset bacteraemia and fungaemia (HOB) has been suggested as a novel surveillance and
q of Assects of surveillance.

Hospital-onset bacteraemia and fungaemia (HOB) has been suggested as a novel surveillance and

quality indicator and has been considered a suitable primary target for large-scale AS

implementa aspects of surveillance.
Hospital-onset bacteraemia and fungaemia (HOB) has been suggested as a novel surveillance and
quality indicator and has been considered a suitable primary target for large-scale AS
implementation, Hospital-onset and has been considered a suitable primary target for large-scale AS

implementation, given the relative simplicity of the case definition with a focus on microbiological

results from blood cultures (10). C implementation, given the relative simplicity of the case definition with a focus on miresults from blood cultures (10). Compared to conventional manual surveillance of ce
associated bloodstream infections (CLABSI), automa associated bloodstream infections (CLABSI), automated HOB surveillance may enable coverage of the entire in-patient populations (i.e., surveillance of all admitted patients not just selected populations) and an increased s for fully automated surveillance, has not been published to date. entire in-patient populations (i.e., surveillance of all admitted patients not just selected populations) entire in-patient populations (i.e.) surveillance to an admitted patients increased populations (BSI) not
associated with intravascular catheters (11). While the potential of HOB surveillance has been
discussed in various associated with intravascular catheters (11). While the potential of HOB surveillance has been
discussed in various recent publications (11-13), a detailed HOB definition and method that is suitabl
for fully automated surv

discussed in various recent publications (11-13), a detailed HOB definition and method that is a
for fully automated surveillance, has not been published to date.
In this article, we report the collaborative development of for fully automated surveillance, has not been published to date.
In this article, we report the collaborative development of an automated HOB surveillance algorithm
within the PRAISE network and its application to retrosp In this article, we report the collaborative development of an auto
within the PRAISE network and its application to retrospective dat
hospitals. We aim to illustrate potential applications of HOB surve
improvement and ide within the PRAISE network and its application to retrospective data from four European university
hospitals. We aim to illustrate potential applications of HOB surveillance to guide quality
improvement and identify questio hospitals. We aim to illustrate potential applications of HOB surveillance to guide quality hospitals. We aim to interference potential appearance to the Latitude to guide quality
improvement and identify questions for future research.
Development of PRAISE-consensus HOB definition

Methods

Development of PRAISE-consensus HOB definition

The HOB definition and minimal dataset (MDS) were developed through iterative discussion between members within the PRAISE network. The PRAISE network was formed in 2019 with the ambition to
support the development and implementation of automated surveillance in Europe (8). Since 2021, a
dedicated HOB working group ha support the development and implementation of automated surveillance in Europe (8). Since 2021, and
dedicated HOB working group has focused on developing a HOB definition suitable for large-scale
automated surveillance. Th support the developmentation products and vendoring a HOB definition suitable for large-scale
automated surveillance. This PRAISE-HOB working group includes infectious disease and IPC
specialists, epidemiologists, and soft automated surveillance. This PRAISE-HOB working group includes infectious disease and IPC
specialists, epidemiologists, and software developers from eight European countries, representing
hospitals as well as national refe specialists, epidemiologists, and software developers from eight European countries, represe
hospitals as well as national reference centres for HAI surveillance.
This precillance. specialists as well as national reference centres for HAI surveillance. hospitals as well as national reference centres for HAI surveillance.

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

The following general principles guided the development: feasibility of implementation in all hospitals or hospital networks involved, alignment with existing surveillance methods where possible, and achieving unambiguous achieving unambiguous definitions of HOB suitable for automated surveillance. No formal conser
methods were used during the development process. Differences were resolved through discussi-
and, in case of doubt, explorativ achieving analong text a chinamonic of HOB summated surveillance. The Finance for automated surveillance.

and, in case of doubt, explorative sensitivity analysis were performed to assess the impact of

decisions. The fina and, in case of doubt, explorative sensitivity analysis were performed to assess the impact of decisions. The final algorithm is presented in **Appendix 1** and summarised in **Figure 1**.
Figure 1. Schematic representation

decisions. The final algorithm is presented in Appendix 1 and summarised in Figure 1.
Figure 1. Schematic representation of the HOB algorithm
BC = blood culture, BC+ = positive blood culture, COB = community-onset bacterae decisions. The final algorithm is presented in Appendix 1 and summarised in Figure 1.
Figure 1. Schematic representation of the HOB algorithm
BC = blood culture, BC+ = positive blood culture, COB = community-onset bacterae |
| E
| C
| C Figure 1. Schematic representation of the HOB algorithm
BC = blood culture, BC+ = positive blood culture, COB = community-onset bacte
and fungaemia.
This is a simplified version of the HOB algorithm that is not intended fo BC = blood culture, BC+ = positive blood culture, COB = community-onset bacteraemia and fungaemia, HOB = hospital-onset bacteraemia and fungaemia.

This is a simplified version of the HOB algorithm that is not intended for real-time processing of data, but to visualise how the different concepts relate to each other. For more details, please refer to Appendix 1.

|
| C
| C In short, microorganisms in an architectural community in all althcare Safe

Network) classification of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (14). A positive bloo

culture with a pathogen or two repeated blood cu Network) classification of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (14). A positive blood
culture with a pathogen or two repeated blood cultures with common commensals within two d
constitute a *microorganism event* Network) classification of two repeated blood cultures with common commensals within two constitute a *microorganism event*. Solitary common commensals (i.e., common commensals with a confirmation within two days) are disr constitute a *microorganism event*. Solitary common commensals (i.e., common commensals without
a confirmation within two days) are disregarded. A microorganism event marks the onset of a
microorganism episode with a dur constitute a *microorganism event*. Solitary common commensals (i.e., common commensals without a confirmation within two days) are disregarded. A microorganism event marks the onset of a *microorganism episode* with a dur microorganism episode with a duration of 14 days or until discharge, whichever comes first. Du
microorganism episode, the same microorganism in other blood cultures is considered a repeatisolate (copy strain) and does not microorganism episode with a duration of 14 days or until discharge, whichever comes first. During a
microorganism episode, the same microorganism in other blood cultures is considered a repeated
isolate (copy strain) and isolate (copy strain) and does not constitute a new episode. Microorganism episodes starting withit
days of each other are grouped into *polymicrobial episodes* as they likely represent one clinical eve
Finally, the microo days of each other are grouped into *polymicrobial episodes* as they likely represent one clinical event.
Finally, the microorganism episodes are classified as hospital-onset, i.e. *HOB*, if they start on day 2 of
admissio days of each other are grouped into *polymicrobial episodes* as they likely represent one clinical event.
Finally, the microorganism episodes are classified as hospital-onset, i.e. *HOB*, if they start on day 2 of
admissio Finally, the microorganism episodes are classified as hospital-onset, i.e. 170B, if they start on day 2 of admission or later (with day 0 being the day of admission). Of note, multiple (overlapping) HOB episodes are possib episodes are possible, for example when different microorganisms are recovered from blood cultures
drawn later in time. HOB episodes are attributed to the ward where the patient was two days prior to
the start of the episo eptend in time. HOB episodes are attributed to the ward where the patient was two days prior to
the start of the episode. Patient days are counted by ward and by groups of wards (e.g., ward type or
specialty). In this manu

the start of the episode. Patient days are counted by ward and by groups of wards (e.g., ward type or
specialty). In this manuscript, all mentions of bacteraemia reflect bacteraemia and fungaemia.
To support validation and the start of the spin and the the episode. Patient days are counted by groups of the equivale, the expecialty). In this manuscript, all mentions of bacteraemia reflect bacteraemia and fungaemia.
To support validation and f specific support validation and further deployment in different settings, an MDS was defined, specific
the minimally needed data elements for application of the HOB algorithm as well as the require
structure. Multiple roun To support validation and further depth parameter and further density, produces the minimally needed data elements for application of the HOB algorithm as well as the required data structure. Multiple rounds of discussion structure. Multiple rounds of discussion were necessary to define the MDS. Appendix 1 describes the details of the consensus definition, including examples, a more in-depth depiction of the algorithm, the MDS specification details of the consensus definition, including examples, a more in-depth depiction of the algorithm,

Study design and study population

details of denominator data calculation.

Study design and study population

The HOB algorithm was applied in a retrospective cohort study including all patients admitted to one **Study design and study population**
The HOB algorithm was applied in a retrospective cohort study inclu
of the participating hospitals during the study period (University Me י)
|
| הו of the participating hospitals during the study period (University Medical Centre Utrecht (Utrecht, the
Netherlands), Charité-University Hospital (Berlin, Germany), Karolinska University Hospital
(Stockholm, Sweden), and G of the participating in participating the study period (Christiny Indonetry Protein) (Prosin) and Netherlands), Charité-University Hospital (Berlin, Germany), Karolinska University Hospital (Stockholm, Sweden), and Geneva Netherlands), Charles Emersity, Netherlands (Ceneva, Switzerland)). University Netherlands, Sweden), and Geneva University Hospitals (Geneva, Switzerland)). University Netherlands Centre Utrecht has around 1,000 in-patient (Sentre Utrecht has around 1,000 in-patient beds, 27,000 annual in-patient admissions and 170,00
annual patient days. Charité-University Hospital has approximately 3,000 in-patient beds, 120,000
annual in-patient admission Centre Utrecht has around 1,000 in-patient beds, 2000 in-patient beds, 220,000 annual patient days. Charité-University Hospital has approximately 3,000 in-patient beds, 120,000 annual in-patient admissions and 800,000 annu annual in-patient admissions and 800,000 annual patient days. Karolinska University Hospital has annual in-patient admissions and 800,000 annual patient days. Karolinska University Hospital has

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

around 1,100 in-patient beds, 70,000 in-patient admissions and 370,000 annual patient days. Geneva
University Hospital has approximately 2,100 in-patient beds, 50,000 annual in-patient admissions and
700,000 annual patient

TOO,000 annual patient days. All hospitals are publicly funded tertiary care hospitals (i.e., providing
maximum care as per national classification).
The study period varied across hospitals ranging from 2018 to 2022 (see The study period varied across hospitals are publicly funded tertiary care hospitals (i.e., processing maximum care as per national classification).
The study period varied across hospitals ranging from 2018 to 2022 (see The study period varied across hospitals rangipaediatric patients, defined as age < 18 or adianalysis. Moreover, certain wards providing mexcluded at the discretion of each hospital. In collected from these wards were not The study period varied across hospitals ranging from 2018 to 2022 (see Table 1). In this study,
paediatric patients, defined as age < 18 or admissions to a paediatric ward, were excluded from
analysis. Moreover, certain w parameter patients, a summarizing the communitation of parameters, the structure in the analysis. Moreover, certain wards providing mainly psychiatric, rehabilitation or short-term care, we
excluded at the discretion of ea excluded at the discretion of each hospital. In these cases, denominator data and blood cultures
collected from these wards were not included in the denominator or the algorithm. In all hospitals,
ethical approval was obta excluded at the discretion of each of the denominator of the algorithm. In all hospital ethical approval was obtained and informed consent was not needed. If applicable per local regulations, patients who objected to the u ethical approval was obtained and informed consent was not needed. If applicable per local
regulations, patients who objected to the use of their data for the purpose of research were
excluded.
Algorithm application ethical approval was obtained and informed consent was not needed to perpose of research were
rexcluded.
Algorithm application

Algorithm application

All hospitals applied the algorithm locally and only the aggregated outcomes were combined in this manuscript. Data was collected electronically from EHR systems (microbiology results, patient excluded.
Al<mark>gorithm</mark>
All hospita
manuscrip /
/
r Manuscript. Data was collected electronically from EHR systems (microbiology results, patient
movement data, see Appendix 1). To assess whether all algorithms developed by the participating
hospitals yielded comparable res movement data, see Appendix 1). To assess whether all algorithms developed by the participa
hospitals yielded comparable results, an in-person meeting was organised (July 2023), where c
algorithm implementation scripts wer movement data, see Appendix 1). To assess whether all algorithms developed by the participating
hospitals yielded comparable results, an in-person meeting was organised (July 2023), where only
algorithm implementation scri algorithm implementation scripts were exchanged and run on real-life EHR data as well as fictional
test data including rare or extreme scenarios (i.e., no sharing of patient data). These datasets were
formatted according t algorithm including rare or extreme scenarios (i.e., no sharing of patient data). These datasets were
formatted according the MDS. All local algorithms detected the same HOB episodes in both real life
and test data.
Algori

formatted according the MDS. All local algorithms detected the same HOB episodes in both real life
and test data.
Algorithms were programmed in different programming languages, depending on the hospital's
expertise and loc Formatted according the MDS. Algorithms detected the same HOB episodes in both real life
and test data.
Algorithms were programmed in different programming languages, depending on the hospital's
expertise and local convent Algorithms were programmed in different programming languages, depending on the hospital's expertise and local conventions (SAS enterprise guide, R version 4.1.0, JAVA, or webservice using and .Net Core v6). expertise and local conventions (SAS enterprise guide, R version 4.1.0, JAVA, or webservice using
and .Net Core v6).
Analysis expertise and local conventions (SAS enterprise guide, R version 4.1.0, JAVA, or webservice using C#
and .Net Core v6).
Analysis
This manuscript includes an overall presentation of HOB epidemiology in the four European hos

Analysis

,
Analysis
This manuscript ine
and illustrates poss $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ The manufacture includes an order presentation of HOB surveillance. We present yearly HOB rates, differentiated
by pathogen or common commensal, intensive care unit (ICU) or non-ICU, as well as trends over time.
Rates are and industrates possible applications of the surveillance of process products are calculated per 1,000 in-patient days. We do not aim to compare rates directly. Instead, we present first insights into the epidemiology of t Rates are calculated per 1,000 in-patient days. We do not aim to compare rates directly. Instead, we
present first insights into the epidemiology of this standardised metric. Furthermore, the frequency
of sampling of blood present first insights into the epidemiology of this standardised metric. Furthermore, the frequency
of sampling of blood cultures taken on day two after hospital admission or later was depicted to
explore the relationship present meaning in the epidemiology of this standardised metric. The inspired to
of sampling of blood cultures taken on day two after hospital admission or later was depicted to
explore the relationship of blood culture fr explore the relationship of blood culture frequency with HOB rates. The usability of HOB as a
surveillance metric will be further explored by examining the causative microorganisms and draw
careful conclusions from the pat explored by examining the causative microorganisms and d
careful conclusions from the pathogen distribution. For data presentation, microorganisms we
separated into the following groups: anaerobes, coagulase-negative staph careful conclusions from the pathogen distribution. For data presentation, microorganisms were
separated into the following groups: anaerobes, coagulase-negative staphylococci, Enterobacterales,
enterococci, other Gram-neg separated into the following groups: anaerobes, coagulase-negative staphylococci, Enterobactera
enterococci, other Gram-negative rods, *Pseudomonas* species, *Staphylococcus aureus*, streptococ
yeasts and other/unspecified separated into the form into the following groups: analyses of separate to gain to the form into the form into the form integrative rods, *Pseudomonas* species, *Staphylococcus aureus*, streptococci, yeasts and other/unspe enterococci, other Gram-negative rods, Pseudomonas species, Staphylococcus aureus, streptococci,
yeasts and other/unspecified. yeasts and other/unspecified.

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

Results

Cohort description

period, 2,100 bacteraemia episodes were observed in Hospital 1, of which 996 (47.4%) were HOB. In
Hospital 2, 15,096 bacteraemia episodes were recorded, of which 6,895 (45.7%) were HOB. In
Hospital 3, 5,411 bacteraemia epi period, 2, 15,096 bacteraemia episodes were recorded, of which 6,895 (45.7%) were HOB. In
Hospital 3, 5,411 bacteraemia episodes were recorded, of which 1,697 (31.4%) were HOB. In Hospita
4, there were 6,964 bacteraemia ep Hospital 3, 5,411 bacteraemia episodes were recorded, of which 1,697 (31.4%) were HOB. In H
4, there were 6,964 bacteraemia episodes, of which 2,499 (35.8%) were HOB. **Table 1** illustrate
analysed dataset by hospital.
Tabl 4, there were 6,964 bacteraemia episodes, of which 2,499 (35.8%) were HOB. Table 1 illustrates the analysed dataset by hospital.

Table 1. Description of dataset and rates of HOB per hospital for the entire observation period

CC = common commensal; HOB = hospital-onset bacteraemia and fungaemia; IQR = interquartile range; No. = number.

Data depicted in this table pertains only to wards included in the study. Multiple HOB with different microorganisms occurring within a twoday period were counted as one polymicrobial HOB. Since polymicrobial HOB with both pathogen and common commensal were possible, the sum of HOB with pathogen and HOB with common commensal is greater than or equal to the number of all HOB. For counting blood cultures, only those taken from day two onwards were considered to align with HOB counting.

|
|}
|} (Hospital 2). HOB rates per 1,000 patient days were comparable between the four hospitals and
ranged from 1.0 to 2.2. The share of HOB with common commensals and pathogens as well as
polymicrobial HOB among all HOB was com Tranged from 1.0 to 2.2. The share of HOB with common commensals and pathogens as well as
polymicrobial HOB among all HOB was comparable across hospitals. In all four hospitals, the med
duration between hospital admission ranged from 1.0 to 1.1.1 the share of the 2.1.1 to 2.1.1 to 2.1.1 and pathogens are pathogens as replymicrobial HOB among all HOB was comparable across hospitals. In all four hospitals, the m
duration between hospital admi polymicrobial HOB among all HOB was comparable across hospitals. In all four hospitals, the median
duration between hospital admission and onset of HOB was over one week, with a range of 9
(Hospital 3) to 28 (Hospital 4) d (Hospital 3) to 28 (Hospital 4) days. Hospital 4 has a longer median and IQR admission time th:
other three hospitals because it is organised as a network of several hospitals. Therefore, pati
are not considered as readmit

(Hospital 3) to the reflection three hospitals because it is organised as a network of several hospitals. Therefore, patients
are not considered as readmitted when transferred between different hospitals.
Table 2 displays onter three hospitals because its displacement of section interpretations are not considered as readmitted when transferred between different hospitals.
 Table 2 displays the analysed dataset per hospital separately for Table 2 displays the analysed dataset per hospital separately for ICU and non-ICU
blood culture sampling frequency and HOB rates per 1,000 patient days were hig
ICU. The proportion of positive blood cultures among all bloo Table 2 displays the analysed dataset per hospital separately for ICO and non-ICO. In all four hospitals, blood culture sampling frequency and HOB rates per 1,000 patient days were higher in ICU than non-ICU. The proportio small differences between ICU and non-ICU. Similarly, the shares of HOB with common commensals
and pathogens were comparable between ICU and non-ICU when considered for the individual
hospitals. and pathogens were comparable between ICU and non-ICU when considered for the individual and pathogens were comparable between ICU and non-ICU and non-ICU and non-ICU when considered for the individual hospitals. hospitals.

Table 2. Description of dataset and rates of HOB in intensive care units (ICU) and non-ICU per hospital for the entire observation period

Data depicted in this table pertains only to wards included in the study. Multiple HOB with different microorganisms occurring within a two-day period were counted as one polymicrobial HOB. Since polymicrobial HOB with both pathogen and common commensal were possible, the sum of HOB with pathogen and HOB with common commensal is greater than or equal to the number of all HOB. For counting blood cultures, only those taken from day two onwards were considered to align with HOB counting.

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

Trends

Figure 2A shows HOB rates and the number of blood cultures per 1,000 patient days over time for all included in-patient areas separately for the four hospitals, while Figure 2B and Figure 2C pertain exclusively to data from ICU and non-ICU, respectively. In all hospitals, HOB rates seemed to align with blood culture frequency. When viewed over time, HOB rates remained rather stable at the respective hospitals. This was the case for all HOB combined, and for HOB with common commensals and HOB with pathogens considered separately. However, when looking at ICU only, HOB rates and blood culture frequency were higher during the years 2020 and 2021, coinciding with the COVID-19 pandemic. blood culture frequency were higher during the years 2021 and 2021, coinciding with the COVID-19
pandemic. pandemic.

l

Figure 2. Rates of HOB and blood culture frequency over time per hospital HOB = hospital-onset bacteraemia and fungaemia; ICU = intensive care unit. Figure 2A pertains to all in-patient areas of the hospital. Figure 2B pertains only to intensive care units. Figure 2C pertains only to nonintensive care units.

$\overline{\mathbf{a}}$ Causative microorganisms

Microorganisms in HOB showed variability between the four hospitals, with enterococci accounting
for a larger portion of microorganisms in HOB in Hospital 1 than in the other hospitals and for example more Enterobacterales in Hospital 4. Moreover, differences between ICU and non-ICU were observed, with a general trend of more enterococci HOB in ICU across all four hospitals. Conversely, the proportion of HOB caused by Enterobacterales was higher in non-ICU than ICU. Of note, HOB caused by Staphylococcus aureus accounted for approximately 8.6% of HOB in Hospital 1, 9.1% in caused by *Staphylococcus aureus accounted for approximately 8.6% of HOB in Hospital 1, 9.1% in*
Hospital 2, 16.0% in Hospital 3, and 8.4% in Hospital 4. More details are depicted in **Figure 3**. Hospital 2, 16.0% in Hospital 3, and 8.4% in Hospital 4. More details are depicted in Figure 3.

|
| Figure 3. Share of microorganism group among all microorganisms in HOB episodes per hospital CNS = coagulase-negative staphylococci; ICU = intensive care unit.

$\overline{}$

This multicentre retrospective cohort study is the first fully automated and standardised
multinational implementation of HOB surveillance. We demonstrate the feasibility of developing and applying a consensus definition for the fully automated surveillance of HOB in four European hospitals and provide detailed guidance for future implementation. The incidence of HOB found in our study adds to results from other reports, ranging from 6.9 to 22.1 HOB per 1,000 patient days $(3.2 \text{ to } 8.9 \text{ in this study})$ in the adult ICU setting and around 1.8 per 1,000 patient days in the non-ICU setting (1.0 to 1.7 in this study) (10, 15). However, in many previous studies important details of the definition are not always provided or differ across studies, precluding direct comparison of rates and epidemiological characteristics (10, 13, 16, 17). In the PRAISE-HOB definition, we have addressed these possible sources of inconsistency by providing detailed implementation guidance. these possible sources of inconsistency by providing detailed implementation guidance.

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

The PRAISE-HOB consensus definition differs from recently published approaches in that single definition also specifies explicitly how to handle pre-existing community-onset bacteraemia. A further important element of the PRAISE-HOB definition that adds to previously published approaches, is the systematic grouping of microorganism episodes starting within 2 days of each other as single bacteraemia episodes (polymicrobial HOB), as this most likely represents a single clinical event. Interestingly, the contribution of polymicrobial HOB is sizeable, representing 12 to 16 % of HOB episodes in this study. Finally, we have set the episode duration (the timeframe during which onset of a new HOB with the same microorganisms is not possible) to 14 days, but other specifications (e.g., 30 days) can be found in the literature (18).

Application of the consensus definition to real-life data revealed both commonalities and differences in the epidemiology of HOB between four university hospitals in Europe. The patient population under consideration likely differed substantially across the four settings, hence the analysis does not aim to compare rates across hospitals. Strikingly however, the HOB rates were fairly consistent across the different hospitals and also the proportion of all bacteraemia episodes that were hospital-onset was comparable across the four hospitals. HOB surveillance may provide multiple insights to identify potential areas of quality improvement. For example, HOB surveillance allows for large-scale and consistent monitoring of the full breadth of the in-patient population. Interestingly, when looking at absolute numbers, the majority of HOB episodes in our study were attributable to non-ICU wards, likely resulting from the substantially higher number of non-ICU patient days. Nevertheless, the fact that HOB are not only occurring in ICU, and that in total numbers HOB are more frequent outside of ICU, is likely not exclusive to our study (19). This highlights the broad applicability of HOB surveillance to patients without central lines and of diverse underlying diseases, which represents a key advantage over CLABSI surveillance. HOB surveillance may also be employed to detect increased incidence of BSI over time. In our analysis, we observed an increase in HOB during the years most impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic (2020 and 2021), which is in alignment with other studies that have reported increased nosocomial BSI during the pandemic (20, 21). In addition, closer inspection of the local microorganism distribution can provide clues for targeted prevention efforts. For example, in Hospitals 1 and 4 respectively, the relatively large share of enterococci or Gram-negative HOB may lead to further investigations and pathogen-specific HOB rates (e.g. S. aureus or Candida sp.) may also provide information that can inform IPC responses.

In this study we did not perform an assessment of the sources of HOB. Recent studies have found that major sources include endovascular infections, gastro-intestinal and abdominal infections, urinary tract infections, skin and soft tissue or surgical site infections, while in a considerable proportion, no known source could be detected (16). Interestingly, although there seems to be correlation between HOB and CLABSI rates, their overlap appears to be only modest. In a recent study from India, only 13% of HOB represented CLABSI episodes (15). Conversely, HOB rates showed a high correlation with CLABSI rates in a study focused on the American ICU setting (10). To gain deeper insights into both source of HOB and its concordance with established surveillance metrics such as CLABSI, further research will be necessary, particularly with a focus on the epidemiology in Europe. Another aspect warranting further study is the preventability of HOB. Several studies have described and used methods to assess the preventability of HOB (22) and initial results demonstrate that over 50% of HOB might be potentially preventable (15, 16, 23). Application of uniform definitions and methods across hospitals and countries, as demonstrated in this study, will likely be of great value when assessing preventability and characterising the clinical significance of HOB episodes. Similarly, when assessing preventability and characterising the characterising the clinical significance of HOB episodes. Similarly,

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

future research is needed to determine the most suitable approach for reporting HOB-related results
to stimulate improvements in the quality of care, and suitable means of benchmarking HOB data, taking into account extraneous factors affecting HOB rates, such as blood culture frequency or baseline risk. taking into account affiliations factors affecting HOB rates, such as blood culture frequency or baseline risk.

The detailed consensus definition and detailed implementation guidance provided in this study allows for implementation in settings with different IT infrastructure. We expect it to also be feasible outside of the university hospital setting, as the data needed for implementation are likely available in most European EHR systems. Importantly however, giving priority to developing fully automated surveillance definition that is feasible in variable settings, meant that we purposefully excluded clinical details, possibly at the cost of accuracy in some cases. Given the intended broad applicability of the PRAISE HOB consensus definition, we believe it will serve as an important reference in the context of transitioning from manual to automated surveillance in Europe, and that it will be complementary to other activities on the matter at the national and international level in Europe (24, 25). complementary to other activities on the matter at the matter at the national level in Europe (24, $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$,

This study has several limitations. The specifications of the HOB definitions were defined based on consensus discussion, supported by existing literature and sometimes by exploratory data analysis. The impact and suitability of certain choices (e.g., duration of episode, definition for polymicrobial HOB) on the outcomes, will have to be investigated in follow-up studies. In addition, the retrospective data analysis was limited to existing patient cohorts, thereby leading to not fully overlapping time periods between the four hospitals. Finally, at this stage of development, we did not include information on antimicrobial susceptibility into the algorithm and the data presented excludes the paediatric population. Moreover, as manual HOB surveillance was not performed in our centres using a similar definition, we did not validate the automated HOB algorithm against manual surveillance and we also did not validate our results directly against data show in EHR systems.

In conclusion, the definition and method for automated HOB surveillance was successfully applied and reproduceable in four hospitals from four different European countries. This new consensus definition addresses the difficulty to transpose the existing definitions for surveillance to automated surveillance and provides an important basis for future development. Future studies will need to further characterise the conditions underlying HOB episodes, assess preventability and effective interventions, and define the metric's role in infection prevention programs. interventions, and define the metric's role in infection prevention programs.

$\overline{}$ **Declarations**

Ethical statement

In all hospitals ethical approval was obtained separately and informed consent was not needed; if
applicable per local regulations patients who objected to the use of their data for the purpose of
research were excluded. $\frac{1}{2}$ research were excluded.

Process numbers of ethical approval are as follows: UMC Utrecht: 21-856DB; Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin: EA2/060/23; Region Stockholm: 2018/1030-31.

The data collection and analysis of the Swiss cohort at the Hôpitaux Universitaires de Genève (HUG) was considered as falling outside of the scope of the Swiss legislation regulating research on human subjects, so that the need for local ethics committee approval was waived by the Commission subjects, so that the need for local ethics committee approval was waived by the Commission Cantonale d'Éthique de la Rercherche de Genève (decision number: Req-2024-01048).

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

Funding statement

The work at UMC Utrecht was funded by the RZN ABR network Utrecht through a grant from the Dutch Ministry of Healthcare, Welfare and Sport (grant number 331724).

Activities concerning hospital-onset bacteraemia and fungaemia at Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin are partially funded within the project RISK PRINCIPE, which is supported by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (DLR Projektträger)), Germany (grant number: 01ZZ2323E).

The work in Stockholm was supported by Sweden's Innovation Agency (Vinnova grant 2018-03350) and Swedish Research Council (VR grant 2021-02271). PN was supported by Region Stockholm (clinical research appointment; ALF grant 2019-1054). \mathcal{C} research approximation approximation; \mathcal{C} grant 2019-1054).

Use of artificial intelligence tools

None declared.

l Data availability

The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study are not publicly available due to
ethical limitations related to sharing patient information, but are available via the corresponding author on reasonable request. author on reasonable request.

$\overline{1}$ Acknowledgements

Dr Aghdassi is participant in the Charité Digital Clinician Scientist Program funded by the DFG, the Charité Universitätsmedizin – Berlin, and the Berlin Institute of Health at Charité (BIH).

$\overline{}$

Conflict of interest
SvdW and PN are involved in the company P3S (Patient Safety Surveillance Solutions) that works on automated surveillance for adverse events.

NB received a Mobility grant from the Swiss National Science Foundation (Grant number: P400PM_183865) in 2021.

The other authors declare that they have no competing interests. The other authors declare that they have no competing interests.

$\overline{}$ Authors' contributions

All authors and collaborators were involved in drafting the consensus definition and minimal dataset.
MB, LP, DS, SvdW, and DT performed data collection and applied the algorithm. All authors were involved in data interpretation. MvM, SA, SvdW drafted the initial manuscript. All authors and collaborators reviewed and approved the manuscript. collaborators reviewed and approved the manuscript.

It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) .

References

- $1¹$ Storr J, Twyman A, Zingg W, Damani N, Kilpatrick C, Reilly J, et al. Core components for effective
infection prevention and control programmes: new WHO evidence-based recommendations. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control. 2017;6:6.
- $2₁$ Rice S, Carr K, Sobiesuo P, Shabaninejad H, Orozco-Leal G, Kontogiannis V, et al. Economic evaluations of interventions to prevent and control health-care-associated infections: a systematic review. Lancet Infect Dis. 2023;23(7):e228-e39.
- Zuschneid I, Schwab F, Geffers C, Behnke M, Rüden H, Gastmeier P. Trends in ventilator- $3.$ associated pneumonia rates within the German nosocomial infection surveillance system (KISS). Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2007;28(3):314-8.
- $4¹$ Streefkerk HRA, Verkooijen RP, Bramer WM, Verbrugh HA. Electronically assisted surveillance systems of healthcare-associated infections: a systematic review. Euro Surveill. 2020;25(2).
- $5 -$ Sips ME, Bonten MJM, van Mourik MSM. Automated surveillance of healthcare-associated infections: state of the art. Curr Opin Infect Dis. 2017;30(4):425-31.
- Trick WE, Zagorski BM, Tokars JI, Vernon MO, Welbel SF, Wisniewski MF, et al. Computer 6. Trick WE, Zagorski BM, Tokars JI, Vernon MO, Welbel SF, Wisniewski MF, et al. Comp
|algorithms to detect bloodstream infections. Emerg Infect Dis. 2004;10(9):1612-20.
- Verberk JDM, Aghdassi SJS, Abbas M, Nauclér P, Gubbels S, Maldonado N, et al. Automated 7. Verberk JDM, Aghdassi SJS, Abbas M, Nauclér P, Gubbels S, Maldonado N, et al. Automated experiences from real-life utilization. J Hosp Infect. 2022;122:35-43.
- $8₁$ van Mourik MSM, van Rooden SM, Abbas M, Aspevall O, Astagneau P, Bonten MJM, et al. PRAISE: providing a roadmap for automated infection surveillance in Europe. Clin Microbiol Infect. $2021;27$ Suppl $1:53$ -s19.
- Behnke M, Valik JK, Gubbels S, Teixeira D, Kristensen B, Abbas M, et al. Information technology 9. Behnke M, Valik JK, Gubbels S, Teixeira D, Kristensen B, Abbas M, et al. Information techno
aspects of large-scale implementation of automated surveillance of healthcare-associated infections. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2021;27 Suppl 1:S29-s39.
- 10. Rock C, Thom KA, Harris AD, Li S, Morgan D, Milstone AM, et al. A Multicenter Longitudinal Study of Hospital-Onset Bacteremia: Time for a New Quality Outcome Measure? Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2016; 37(2): 143-8.
- 11. Gurney H, White J, Sweeney J, Valyko A, Washer L. Evaluation of hospital-onset bloodstream infections compared to central line...associated bloodstream infections at an acute, tertiary care hospital. Am J Infect Control. 2023;51(10):1120-3.
- 12. Yu KC, Jung M, Ai C. Characteristics, costs, and outcomes associated with central-line-associated bloodstream infection and hospital-onset bacteremia and fungemia in US hospitals. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2023;44(12):1920-6.
- 13. Yu KC, Ye G, Edwards JR, Gupta V, Benin AL, Ai C, et al. Hospital-onset bacteremia and fungemia: An evaluation of predictors and feasibility of benchmarking comparing two risk-adjusted models among 267 hospitals. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2022;43(10):1317-25.
- 14. National Healthcare Safety Network. 2024. Master Organism Commensals List. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/xls/master-organism-com-commensals-lists.xlsx. https://www.cdc.gov/nhsn/xls/master-organism-com-commensals-lists.xlsx.
- 15. Gandra S, Singh SK, Chakravarthy M, Moni M, Dhekane P, Mohamed Z, et al. Epidemiology and Hosp Epidemiol. 2024;45(2):157-66.
- 16. Leekha S, Robinson GL, Jacob JT, Fridkin S, Shane A, Sick-Samuels A, et al. Evaluation of hospitalonset bacteraemia and fungaemia in the USA as a potential healthcare quality measure: a cross-sectional study. BMJ Qual Saf. 2024. onset bacteraemia and fungaemia in the USA as a potential healthcare quality measure: a cross-
- 17. Gubbels S, Nielsen J, Voldstedlund M, Kristensen B, Schønheyder HC, Ellermann-Eriksen S, et al. National Automated Surveillance of Hospital-Acquired Bacteremia in Denmark Using a Computer Algorithm. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology. 2017;38(5):559-66.
- 18. Serum Statens Institut. HAIBA bacteraemia case definition. Available from: https://miba.ssi.dk/overvaagningssystemer/haiba/casedefinitioner/bakteriaemi.
- 19. Nutman A, Wullfhart L, Temkin E, Feldman SF, Schechner V, Schwaber MJ, et al. Hospital-Onset Bloodstream Infections Caused by Eight Sentinel Bacteria: A Nationwide Study in Israel, 2018-2019. Microorganisms. 2022;10(5).
- 20. Lastinger LM, Alvarez CR, Kofman A, Konnor RY, Kuhar DT, Nkwata A, et al. Continued increases in the incidence of healthcare-associated infection (HAI) during the second year of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2023;44(6):997-1001.
- 21. Valik JK, Hedberg P, Holmberg F, van der Werff SD, Nauclér P. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the incidence and mortality of hospital-onset bloodstream infection: a cohort study. BMJ Qual Saf. 2022;31(5): 379-82.
- 22. Schrank GM, Sick-Samuels A, Bleasdale SC, Jacob JT, Dantes R, Gokhale RH, et al. Development and evaluation of a structured guide to assess the preventability of hospital-onset bacteremia and fungemia. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2022;43(10):1326-32.
- 23. Dantes RB, Rock C, Milstone AM, Jacob JT, Chernetsky-Tejedor S, Harris AD, et al. Preventability of hospital onset bacteremia and fungemia: A pilot study of a potential healthcare-associated infection outcome measure. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2019;40(3):358-61.
- 24. Marschollek M, Marquet M, Reinoso Schiller N, Naim J, Aghdassi SJS, Behnke M, et al. [Automated surveillance and risk prediction with the aim of risk-stratified infection control and prevention (RISK PRINCIPE)]. Bundesgesundheitsblatt Gesundheitsforschung Gesundheitsschutz.
2024;67(6):685-92. prevention (RISK Principe)]. Bundesgesundheitsblatt Gesundheitsfording Gesundheitsforder.
2024;67(6):685-92.
- 25. Sentís A, Dalby T, Plachouras D, Rodrigues AP, Hooiveld M, Kärki T, et al. First steps in establishing EU/EEA level surveillance of bloodstream infections from electronic health records (EHR-BSI). EU/EEA level surveillance of bloodstream infections from electronic health records (EHR-BSI).
P3925. Poster presentation, ESCMID Global 2024. P3925. Poster presentation, ESCMID Global 2024.

Microorganism isolated in BC within hospital admission (BC+)

preprint **(which was not certified by peer review)** is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. $\frac{1}{2}$ sted September 17, 2024. The copyright holder for this

is the prefect who has detailed by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted meanixed in eers a clusplay the preprint in perpetuity. The copyright holder for this version posted September 17, 2024. If α is α is α is α is α is a copyright of α is α

is the prefi**lule is the authority. Who has granted** medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. Preprint in perfective