

1 **Impact of early postoperative ambulation on gait recovery after hip fracture surgery: A**
2 **multicenter cohort study**

3 **Short title:** Early ambulation after hip surgery

4 **Keisuke Nakamura, PhD, RPT^a, Yasushi Kurobe, PhD, RPT^b, Keita Sue, PhD, RPT^c,**
5 **Shinichi Sakurai, PhD, RPT^d, Tomohiro Sasaki, MHSc, RPT^e, Shuhei Yamamoto, PhD**
6 **RPT^f, Naoko Ushiyama, PhD, RPT^b, Masahito Taga, MHSc, RPT^g, Kimito Momose,**
7 **PhD, RPT^a**

8 ^aDepartment of Physical Therapy, School of Health Sciences, Shinshu University,
9 Matsumoto, Japan.

10 ^bDepartment of Rehabilitation, Fujimi-Kogen Hospital, Fujimi-Kogen Medical Center,
11 Fujimi town, Nagano, Japan.

12 ^cDepartment of Rehabilitation, JA Nagano Kouseiren, Kakeyu-Misayama Rehabilitation
13 Center Kakeyu Hospital, Ueda, Nagano, Japan

14 ^dDepartment of Rehabilitation, Saku Central Hospital, Saku, Nagano, Japan

15 ^e Department of rehabilitation, Matsumoto City Hospital, Matsumoto, Nagano, Japan

16 ^fDepartment of Rehabilitation, Shinshu University Hospital, Matsumoto, Japan.

17 ^gDepartment of Rehabilitation, Ina Central Hospital, Japan.

18

19 **Corresponding author:** Keisuke Nakamura, PhD, RPT

20 Department of Physical Therapy, School of Health Sciences, Shinshu University, 3-1-1

21 Asahi, Matsumoto, Nagano 390-8621, Japan

22 E-mail: keipons55@yahoo.co.jp

23 Tel.: +81-263-35-4600

24 **NOTE:** This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

25 **Abstract**

26 Objective: This study aimed to investigate the effect of early postoperative ambulation on
27 gait recovery at the initial postoperative week and at discharge after hip fracture surgery in
28 older patients.

29 Design: Multicenter prospective cohort study.

30 Setting and Participants: The study included 882 patients aged ≥ 65 years from 10 acute
31 hospitals in Japan.

32 Methods: Patients were divided into two groups according to the interval between surgery
33 and first ambulation: early-ambulation (EA) group (initiation of ambulation on postoperative
34 day 1 or 2) and late-ambulation (LA) group (initiation of ambulation on postoperative day 3
35 or later). The Functional Independence Measure (FIM) was assessed 1 day postoperatively, 1
36 week postoperatively, and at discharge. Independent walking regardless of use of walking
37 aids was defined as walking FIM ≥ 5 . Confounding variables were age, mobility and cognitive
38 function before injury, medical history, fracture type, and waiting days for surgery.

39 Multivariate logistic regression analysis was conducted to determine whether EA affected
40 independent walking at 1 week postoperatively and at discharge.

41 Results: The number of patients in the EA and LA groups was 292 (33.1%) and 590 (66.9%),
42 respectively. The number of patients walking independently 1 week postoperatively and at
43 discharge was 156 (17.7%) and 292 (33.1%), respectively. Multivariate logistic regression
44 analysis revealed that EA was associated with independent walking at 1 week postoperatively
45 (odds ratio [OR], 3.27; 95% confidence interval [CI], 2.17-4.94; $P < .0001$) and at discharge
46 after adjusting for confounders (OR, 3.33; 95% CI, 2.38-4.69; $P < .0001$). EA was associated
47 with the recovery to pre-injury walking status at discharge after adjusting for confounders
48 (OR, 3.05; 95% CI, 1.59–5.93; $P = .0009$).

49 Conclusion and Implications: Early ambulation after hip fracture surgery has an impact on
50 independent walking and recovery of pre-injury walking status at 1 week postoperatively and
51 at discharge from acute hospitals in older patients.

52

53

54

Introduction

55 The global incidence of hip fracture is estimated at 16.75 million annually, and it is
56 expected to increase further [1, 2]. Hip fractures significantly impact mobility, leading to
57 increased mortality, refracture rates, and the need for care [3, 4]. Previous studies have shown
58 that approximately 20%-30% of patients who can walk pre-fracture fail to recover their pre-
59 fracture walking status at 2 weeks or 6 months [5, 6]. The inability to walk at hospital
60 discharge is an independent predictor of 1-year mortality [4]. Therefore, enhancing
61 postoperative mobility is crucial for reducing mortality and mitigating long-term care and
62 health-care expenses.

63 The guidelines for hip fracture management has recommended that patients undergo
64 physiotherapy assessment and, unless medically or surgically contraindicated, mobilization
65 on the day after surgery because early mobilization is associated with survival and recovery
66 for patients after hip fracture [7-9]. The National Hip Fracture Database defines mobilization
67 as the ability to sit or stand out of bed with or without help [7, 10]. Previous studies have
68 shown that early mobilization leads to reduced mortality, reduced hospital stay, and increased
69 ambulatory recovery [7, 11-13]. However, research on the impact of the early initiation of
70 gait practice on gait recovery after surgery remains limited. In a previous randomized
71 controlled trial study, those who started ambulation practice within 48 h postoperatively had
72 higher gait reacquisition at 1 week postoperatively and were more likely to be discharged
73 home than those who started ambulation practice later [14]. The impact of initiating early
74 postoperative ambulation practice on gait recovery after the initial postoperative week
75 remains uncertain.

76 This multicenter study aimed to investigate the effect of early ambulation on gait
77 recovery after hip fracture surgery in the initial postoperative week and at discharge. We
78 hypothesized that early postoperative ambulation (initiation of ambulation on postoperative

79 day 1 or 2), would be associated with better gait recovery than late postoperative ambulation
80 (initiation of ambulation on postoperative day 3 or later).

81 **Methods**

82 *Study Design and Participants*

83 This multicenter cohort study utilized the Nagano hip fracture database in Japan. This
84 database contains information on the characteristics and rehabilitation outcomes of patients
85 admitted with hip fracture, and between December 1, 2019, and July 31, 2023, data of 1613
86 surgically treated consecutive patients from 17 hospitals were submitted. These data were
87 collected through a cloud electronic data capture system from each hospital. Patients who
88 were ambulatory, aged 65 years or older before the fracture, had a femoral neck or
89 trochanteric fracture, and admitted to an acute care hospital were included in the study.
90 Patients with postoperative weight-bearing restrictions were excluded from the study. A
91 flowchart of the patient selection process is presented in Figure 1.

Figure 1. Patients' recruitment and flow diagram

92
93

94 All procedures were approved by the ethics committee of the School of Medicine,
95 Shinshu University, on November 12, 2019 (protocol number 4541), and this study was
96 performed according to the guidelines in the Declaration of Helsinki. Although this study did
97 not require individual consent from participants, as it was an observational study and used
98 anonymized data from normal medical practice, information on the conduct of the study was
99 made public at each site. Participants were guaranteed the opportunity to opt out of the study
100 at any time. Study details, including the objectives, inclusion and exclusion criteria, and
101 primary outcome, were published in the publicly available University Hospital Information
102 Network (UMIN-CTR, unique identifier: UMIN000054114).

103 *Measurement*

104 The database contains the following information: patient background factors such as age,
 105 sex, body mass index, medical history (respiratory, cardiovascular, and neurological
 106 diseases), cognitive function before injury, mobility before injury, place of residence before
 107 admission, and residence after discharge, and medical factors such as fracture type, surgical
 108 procedure, complications (deep vein thrombosis, peroneal nerve palsy, infection, and falls),
 109 waiting days for surgery, waiting days for rehabilitation from admission, and rehabilitation
 110 factors (postoperative days to the start the ambulation and rehabilitation intervention time).
 111 Infections included pneumonia and urinary tract infections, and those diagnosed by a doctor
 112 were defined as having an infection. Cognitive function was assessed using the Degree of
 113 Daily Life Independence Score for People with Dementia (DDLIS-PD) [15, 16]. This
 114 assessment has seven scales and is widely used to evaluate dementia in Japan. In this study,
 115 cognitive impairment was defined as DDLIS-PD grade II, with independence by support with
 116 some hindrances, or higher grades. Functional Independence Measure (FIM) [17] at 1 day
 117 after surgery, 1 week postoperatively, and at discharge and walking status at discharge (e.g.,
 118 walking without aids, with one-point cane, with walker and other aids) were assessed as
 119 treatment outcomes.

120 **Table 1. Patients' demographic data**

	All (n = 882)	EA group (n = 292)	LA group (n = 590)	NMV
Age (years)	87 (81, 91)	84 (79, 89)	88 (83, 92)	0
Sex: male	201 (22.8)	70 (24.0)	131 (22.2)	0
BMI (kg/m ²)	20.1 (17.9, 22.6)	20.3 (17.9, 22.9)	20.0 (17.9, 22.4)	4
Pre-fracture living situation				0
Own home	727 (82.4)	263 (90.1)	464 (78.6)	
Nursing facility	155 (17.6)	29 (9.9)	126 (21.4)	
Pre-fracture walking status				0
Walking without aids	505 (57.3)	230 (78.8)	275 (46.6)	

Walking with one-point cane	147 (16.7)	30 (10.3)	117 (19.8)	
Walking with walker	230 (26.1)	32 (11.0)	198 (33.5)	
Cognitive impairment	405 (45.9)	89 (30.5)	316 (53.6)	0
Medical comorbidities				0
Respiratory disease	90 (10.2)	27 (9.2)	63 (10.7)	
Cardiovascular disease	228 (25.9)	68 (23.3)	160 (27.1)	
Neurological disease	145 (16.4)	48 (16.4)	97 (16.4)	
Fracture type				0
Femoral neck	449 (50.9)	167 (57.2)	282 (47.8)	
Trochanteric	433 (49.1)	125 (42.8)	308 (52.2)	
Surgical treatment				0
Osteosynthesis	560 (63.5)	177 (60.6)	383 (65.0)	
Artificial femoral head	322 (36.5)	115 (39.4)	207 (35.0)	
Complication during hospitalization				
Falls	34 (3.9)	11 (3.8)	23 (3.9)	1
Peroneal nerve palsy	10 (1.1)	1 (0.3)	9 (1.5)	1
DVT	65 (7.4)	14 (4.8)	51 (8.7)	1
Infection	15 (1.7)	2 (0.7)	13 (2.2)	0
FIM-All on the day after surgery	45 (33, 53)	52 (41, 59)	41 (30, 51)	6
FIM-Motor on the day after surgery	18 (17, 23)	20 (18, 28)	17 (16, 20)	6
FIM-Cognitive on the day after surgery	25 (15, 32)	30 (21, 35)	22 (14, 30)	6
Waiting days for surgery	2 (1, 4)	2(1, 4)	3(1, 4)	0
Time to first walk in rehabilitation after surgery (days)	3 (2, 6)	2 (1, 2)	5 (3, 7)	77
Time from surgery to discharge from hospital (days)	19 (14, 26)	18 (13, 24)	20 (15, 28)	1
Hospital stay (days)	22 (17, 29)	21 (16, 27)	23 (18, 30)	1
Destination after discharge				2
Own home	101 (11.5)	56 (19)	45 (7.7)	
Rehabilitation facility	613 (69.7)	201 (66.9)	412 (70.1)	

Other hospital	27 (3.1)	7 (2.4)	20 (3.3)
Nursing home	126 (14.3)	22 (7.6)	104 (17.7)
Others	11 (1.3)	6 (2.1)	5 (0.9)
Death	2 (0.2)	0 (0)	2 (0.3)

121 Data are presented as numbers (percentages) or median (25th and 75th percentiles).
122 NMV, number of missing values; BMI, body mass index; DVT, deep vein thrombosis; FIM,
123 Functional Independence Measure; EA, early ambulation; LA, late ambulation.
124

125 *Dependent Variables*

126 The main outcome was independent walking regardless of the use of aids, defined as
127 mobility (walking) with FIM grade ≥ 5 , following the approach outlined by Fu et al [17]. A
128 FIM score of ≥ 5 for walking indicates that the patient is able to walk with supervision or
129 independently [18]. Walking ability was assessed 1 week postoperatively and at discharge.
130 The secondary treatment outcome was walking recovery, which was defined as restoration of
131 pre-fracture walking status and FIM grade ≥ 5 . The other treatment outcomes were FIM score
132 at discharge, walking status at discharge (ambulating without or with one-point cane, walker,
133 or wheelchair), and days from operation to discharge.

134

135 *Independent Variables*

136 The independent variable was early postoperative ambulation following hip fracture
137 surgery. Patients were divided into two groups according to the interval between surgery and
138 their first postoperative walk: the early-ambulation (EA) group (initiation of ambulation on
139 postoperative day 1 or 2) and the late-ambulation (LA) group (initiation of ambulation on
140 postoperative day 3 or later) [8, 14]. The date of ambulation initiation was defined as the date
141 when a patient started ambulation regardless of the amount of the assistance or the use of
142 walking aids.

143 We adjusted for confounding variables identified in previous studies, including age [19-
144 23], walking status before injury [17, 21-25], cognitive impairment [12, 20-22, 24-26],
145 preoperative medical history [18, 19, 21, 23] of respiratory, circulatory, and neurological
146 diseases, fracture type [19, 21, 23, 24], and days from admission to surgery [6, 24], in the
147 analysis of factors influencing postoperative walking independence.

148

149 *Statistical Analyses*

150 Continuous variables are presented as median (25th and 75th percentile), whereas
151 categorical variables are expressed as numbers and percentages. Pearson's chi-squared test,
152 Fisher's exact test for count data, and Mann–Whitney U test were used to compare the
153 rehabilitation factors and treatment outcomes between the EA and LA groups.

154 Multivariate logistic regression analysis was conducted to ascertain whether early
155 postoperative ambulation initiation affected independent walking at 1 week postoperatively
156 and at discharge. In this analysis, the dependent variable was whether the patient walked
157 independently at 1 week postoperatively and at discharge, whereas the independent variable
158 was early postoperative ambulation. All confounding factors were reduced to one composite
159 characteristic by applying a propensity score. The propensity score, which reflected the
160 likelihood of study participants being assigned to the EA or LA group, was used in logistic
161 regression analysis as an independent variable [27]. Missing values were excluded because
162 they represented <5% of the total [28].

163 As a sensitivity analysis to assess the influence of missing data on postoperative walking
164 timing and recovery, we performed logistic regression analysis with multiple imputations of
165 missing sites. To address missing data in the dataset, we performed multiple imputation using
166 the “mice” package in R, version 4.3.2 (<https://www.r-project.org>), creating 20 complete
167 datasets using methods such as predictive mean matching for continuous variables and

168 logistic regression for categorical variables. Subsequently, logistic regression models were
169 applied to each imputed dataset, and the results across imputed datasets were integrated using
170 Rubin's rules [29]. We further examined the potential impact of unknown confounders on the
171 relationship between postoperative early first ambulation and independent walking by
172 calculating the E-values (<https://www.evalue-calculator.com/>) [30, 31]. Statistical analyses
173 were performed with R, version 4.3.2 (<https://www.r-project.org>). Statistical significance was
174 set at $P < .05$.

175

176 **Results**

177 A total of 882 patients with a median age (25th, 75th percentiles) of 87 (81, 91) years from
178 10 acute hospitals were included, with 292 (33.1%) and 590 (66.9%) patients in the EA and
179 LA groups, respectively. The patients' demographic data are presented in Table 1. The
180 median ages (25th, 75th percentiles) of the EA and LA groups were 84 (79, 89) and 88 (83,
181 92) years, respectively; 78.8% and 46.6% walked without aids before the injury, and the
182 percentages of cognitive impairment were 30.5% and 53.6%, respectively (Table 1).

183 The number of patients walking independently 1 week postoperatively and at discharge
184 was 156 (17.7%) and 292 (33.1%), respectively. The proportion of patients walking
185 independently at 1 week postoperatively and at discharge decreased with increasing duration
186 to postoperative first ambulation (Supplementary Figure 1). The EA group had a significantly
187 higher percentage of independent walking patients, defined as walking FIM grade ≥ 5 at 1
188 week postoperatively and at discharge, than the LA group ($P < .0001$) (Table 2). The EA
189 group had significantly better treatment outcomes than the LA group, including walking
190 status at discharge ($P < .0001$) and FIM at discharge ($P < .0001$) (Table 2).

191 **Table 2. Treatment outcomes**

	EA group (n = 292)	LA group (n = 590)	P-value	NMV
Walking status at discharge			<.0001	13
Walking without aids	13 (4.5)	6 (1.0)		
Walking with one-point cane	48 (16.5)	25 (4.3)		
Walking with walker	110 (37.8)	90 (15.6)		
Wheelchair	120 (41.2)	455 (78.7)		
Death	0 (0)	2 (0.3)		
FIM-All at discharge	84 (67, 100)	61 (43, 82)	<.0001	18
FIM-Motor at discharge	55 (45, 68)	38 (24, 52)	<.0001	18
FIM-Cognitive at discharge	31 (23, 35)	23 (15, 31)	<.0001	18
Walking FIM at 1 week postoperatively ≥ 5	103 (36.7)	53 (9.2)	<.0001	28
Walking FIM at discharge ≥ 5	171 (58.8)	121 (21.0)	<.0001	15
Recovery to pre-injury walking status	25 (8.6)	21 (3.6)	.002	15

192 Data are presented as numbers (percentages) or median (25th and 75th percentiles).
 193 NMV, number of missing values; FIM, Functional Independence Measure; EA, early
 194 ambulation; LA, late ambulation.
 195

196 Based on multivariate logistic regression analysis, EA (≤ 2 days from surgery to first
 197 ambulation) was associated with independent walking at 1 week postoperatively and at
 198 discharge after adjusting for confounders, with odds ratios (ORs) of 3.27 (95% confidence
 199 interval [CI], 2.17-4.94) and 3.33 (95% CI, 2.38-4.69), respectively (Table 3). Furthermore,
 200 EA was associated with the recovery to pre-injury walking status at discharge after adjusting
 201 for confounders, with OR of 3.05 (95% CI, 1.59-5.93) (Table 4).

202 **Table 3. Odds ratios for walking independence at 1 week postoperatively and at discharge from acute hospitals following early**
 203 **postoperative initiation of ambulation in older patients who underwent hip fracture surgery**
 204

Variables	Patients	Walking independently rate	Adjusted odds ratio*	95% CI		P-value	Adjusted odds ratio*	95% CI		P-value
				Lower	Upper			Lower	Upper	
At 1 week postoperatively			Completed data (n = 858)				Multiple imputation data			
LA (>2 days from surgery to first walk)	590 (66.9)	53 (9.2)	1.00 [ref]				1.00 [ref]			
EA (≤2 days from surgery to first walk)	292 (33.1)	103 (36.7)	3.27	2.17	4.94	<.0001	3.21	2.14	4.83	<.0001
At discharge from acute hospital			Completed data (n = 867)				Multiple imputation data			
LA (>2 days from surgery to first walk)	590 (66.9)	121 (21.0)	1.00 [ref]				1.00 [ref]			
EA (≤2 days from surgery to first walk)	292 (33.1)	171 (58.8)	3.33	2.38	4.69	<.0001	3.28	2.34	4.59	<.0001

CI, confidence interval; ref, reference; EA, early ambulation; LA, late ambulation.

205 *Applying the propensity score calculated using a model for predicting the postoperative ambulation timing, whether early or late ambulation,
 206 considering the confounding variables: age, preoperative walking ability, cognitive impairment, preoperative medical history of respiratory,
 207 circulatory, and neurological diseases, fracture type, and preoperative waiting period.

208
 209
 210
 211
 212
 213
 214
 215
 216
 217

218 **Table 4. Odds ratios for the recovery to pre-injury walking status following early postoperative initiation of ambulation in older patients**
 219 **who underwent hip fracture surgery**

220
 221
 222

Variables	Patients, n (%)	Walking recovery** rate, n (%)	Adjusted Odds Ratio*	95%CI		p-value	Adjusted Odds Ratio*	95%CI		p-value
				Lower	Upper			Lower	Upper	
Recovery to pre-injury walking status at discharge	Completed data (N=867)					Multiple imputation data				
LA (>2 days from surgery to first walk)	590 (66.9%)	21 (3.6)	1.00 [ref]				1.00 [ref]			
EA (≤ 2 days from surgery to first walk)	292 (33.1%)	25 (8.6)	3.05	1.59	5.93	0.0009	2.83	1.47	5.44	0.001

223 CI, confidence interval; ref, reference; EA, early ambulation; LA, late ambulation

224 * Applying propensity score calculated using a model to predict the postoperative ambulation timing, whether early or late ambulation,
 225 considering the confounding variables: age, preoperative walking ability, cognitive impairment, preoperative medical history of respiratory,
 226 circulatory, and neurological diseases, fracture type, and preoperative waiting period.

227 ** Walking recovery was defined as restoration of pre-fracture walking status and FIM grade ≥5.

228

Sensitivity analysis showed similar results for models with multiple assignments of missing values for the completed data models. Subsequently, to evaluate the influence of potential unmeasured confounders on the relationship between postoperative early first walk and independent walking at 1 week postoperatively and at discharge, we calculated the E-values, which were 3.02 and 3.05, respectively.

Discussion

This multicenter cohort study investigated the effect of early postoperative ambulation on gait recovery at the initial postoperative week and at discharge after hip fracture surgery in older patients, and the results showed that the initiation of ambulation within 2 postoperative days after hip fracture surgery was associated with independent walking at 1 week postoperatively and at discharge. Furthermore, early postoperative ambulation may influence the recovery of walking to pre-injury status. Other effects of early initiation of ambulation were reduced length of hospital stay, discharge home, and improved ability to perform activities of daily living.

The effect of early ambulation initiation in the present study supports the results of previous research [14, 32]. Oldmeadow et al. conducted a study to evaluate the effects of early assisted ambulation, defined as the first walk on postoperative day 1 or 2, compared with delayed assisted ambulation, defined as the first walk on postoperative day 3 or 4, in an acute hospital setting. The results showed that at 1 week after surgery, the early ambulation group required less assistance for transfers and ambulation and walked farther [14]. In the present study, the results showed a longer-term effect on gait recovery at discharge (approximately 3 weeks postoperatively) by early ambulation initiation, similar to the results of previous studies [14]. The present study showed that early postoperative ambulation initiation was effective in independent walking and recovery of walking status before injury, even after adjusting for the confounding factors mentioned in previous studies, such as age

[19-23], pre-fracture mobility [17, 21-25], cognitive function [12, 20-22, 24-26], comorbidity disease [17, 20, 22], fracture type [19, 21, 23, 24], and days from admission to surgery [6, 24]. Oldmeadow et al. stated that cardiovascular stability is a major determinant of successful early ambulation after hip fracture surgery, and thus, the present study used the presence of cardiovascular disease as a confounding factor [14]. Although the association between early postoperative ambulation initiation and gait reacquisition in this study is unclear, it is possible that early ambulation initiation prevented disuse and increased the amount of ambulation practice prior to discharge compared with late ambulation initiation, which may have resulted in the reacquisition of independent walking. Shimizu et al. and Marsault et al. reported that higher physical activity during hospitalization for hip fracture patients was associated with higher ability to perform activities of daily living at discharge [33, 34]. However, these studies assessed physical activity for only a short period of 3 days to approximately 1 week [33, 34]; therefore, future studies are needed to investigate the relationships between postoperative physical activity and independent walking.

There are several unmeasured confounding factors in this study [35], such as pain [36], delirium [37], nutritional status [6, 25, 38], and inflammation [6, 32], which affect early postoperative ambulation initiation and gait reacquisition according to previous studies. Postoperative hip fracture-related pain was associated with a trochanteric fracture [36]; thus, we can potentially take into account the impact of pain on gait reacquisition by adjusting for the confounding factor of a trochanteric fracture. With regard to delirium, a previous study reported a strong association between delirium and cognitive decline [39]. The present study adjusted for cognitive decline as a confounding factor, which is believed to consider the influence of delirium. In the present study, to evaluate the influence of potential unmeasured confounders, we calculated the E-values, and the resulting E-values, 3.02 and 3.05, exceeded the odds ratio of unobserved confounders independently affecting the association between

early ambulation and postoperative ambulation, suggesting a minimal effect of unknown or unmeasured confounders on this association.

This study has some limitations. First, the reasons for not being able to start walking early postoperatively were unclear. Oldmeadow et al. reported that early postoperative ambulation initiation failed when patients were medically unstable [14]. Other studies have found that reasons for delaying mobilization include pain, fatigue, and habitual cognitive status [36]. Second, the effect of early postoperative ambulation initiation on long-term gait reacquisition 6 months or 1 year later is unknown. Finally, in this study, walking independence was defined as walking FIM ≥ 5 , which is the ability to walk independently with or without aids for at least 15 m, which indicates the least ability of independent indoor walking [18], similar to the findings of Fu et al. Some walking status assessments were used in the previous studies, such as FIM [17], the Iowa Level of Assistance scale [14, 32], the Cumulated Ambulation Score [12], and walking status according to use of walking aids [6, 21]. In the future, more applied assessments of walking ability will need to use outcomes other than the FIM.

The strengths of this study include the large population with a multicenter cohort, the prospective design, and the systematic data collection, which eliminate single-center bias and may increase the generalizability of the results. Furthermore, the selected outcomes are of great importance in clinical practice. In addition, the independent variables used in this study included the most important factors known to be associated with the outcomes. This study reflects daily clinical practice in the acute hospital.

Conclusions and Implications

The study results indicate that initiating ambulation within 2 postoperative days after hip fracture surgery affects independent walking at postoperative week 1 and at discharge.

Furthermore, early postoperative ambulation may influence the recovery of walking to pre-injury status. However, the reasons for delayed ambulation postoperatively were unclear. Future investigation into the reasons for delayed ambulation could lead to a multidisciplinary team approach to encourage early ambulation within 2 days of surgery.

Acknowledgements: We thank all the participants who cooperated in our study as well as the rehabilitation staff in the cooperating hospitals for their assistance with data collection for the study.

References

1. Takusari E, Sakata K, Hashimoto T, Fukushima Y, Nakamura T, Orimo H. Trends in hip fracture incidence in Japan: estimates based on nationwide hip fracture surveys from 1992 to 2017. *JBMR Plus*. 2021;5: e10428. doi: [10.1002/jbm4.10428](https://doi.org/10.1002/jbm4.10428).
2. Feng JN, Zhang CG, Li BH, Zhan SY, Wang SF, Song CL. Global burden of hip fracture: the Global Burden of Disease Study. *Osteoporos Int*. 2024;35: 41-52. doi: [10.1007/s00198-023-06907-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-023-06907-3).
3. Van Haecke A, Viste A, Desmarchelier R, Roy P, Mercier M, Fessy MH. Incidence and risk factors for bilateral proximal femoral fractures. *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res*. 2022;108: 102887. doi: [10.1016/j.otsr.2021.102887](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otsr.2021.102887).
4. Dubljanin-Raspopović E, Markovic Denić L, Marinković J, Grajić M, Tomanovic Vujadinović S, Bumbaširević M. Use of early indicators in rehabilitation process to predict one-year mortality in elderly hip fracture patients. *Hip Int*. 2012;22: 661-667. doi: [10.5301/HIP.2012.10142](https://doi.org/10.5301/HIP.2012.10142).
5. Fukui N, Watanabe Y, Nakano T, Sawaguchi T, Matsushita T. Predictors for ambulatory ability and the change in ADL after hip fracture in patients with different levels

of mobility before injury: a 1-year prospective cohort study. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2012;26: 163-171. doi: [10.1097/BOT.0b013e31821e1261](https://doi.org/10.1097/BOT.0b013e31821e1261).

6. Mashimo S, Kubota J, Sato H, Saito A, Gilmour S, Kitamura N. The impact of early mobility on functional recovery after hip fracture surgery. *Disabil Rehabil*. 2023;45: 4388-4393. doi: [10.1080/09638288.2022.2151652](https://doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2022.2151652).

7. Goubar A, Martin FC, Potter C, Jones GD, Sackley C, Ayis S, et al. The 30-day survival and recovery after hip fracture by timing of mobilization and dementia: a UK database study. *Bone Joint J*. 2021;103–B: 1317-1324. doi: [10.1302/0301-620X.103B7.BJJ-2020-2349.R1](https://doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.103B7.BJJ-2020-2349.R1).

8. National clinical guideline centre (UK). The management of hip fracture in adults. UK: Royal College of Physicians; 2011 (Published). p. 2023 (Updated).

9. Sheehan KJ, Goubar A, Martin FC, Potter C, Jones GD, Sackley C, et al. Discharge after hip fracture surgery in relation to mobilisation timing by patient characteristics: linked secondary analysis of the UK National Hip Fracture Database. *BMC Geriatr*. 2021;21: 694. doi: [10.1186/s12877-021-02624-w](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12877-021-02624-w).

10. Royal College of Physicians. Falls and fragility fracture audit programme. National Hip Fracture Database (NHFD) Annual Report. In: Royal College of Physicians; 2019.

11. Sheehan KJ, Goubar A, Almilaji O, Martin FC, Potter C, Jones GD, et al. Discharge after hip fracture surgery by mobilisation timing: secondary analysis of the UK National Hip Fracture Database. *Age Ageing*. 2021;50: 415-422. doi: [10.1093/ageing/afaa204](https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afaa204).

12. Yamamoto N, Tomita Y, Ichinose A, Sukegawa S, Yokoyama S, Noda T, et al. Cumulated ambulation score as predictor of postoperative mobility in patients with proximal femur fractures. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg*. 2023;143: 1931-1937. doi: [10.1007/s00402-022-04401-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00402-022-04401-9).

13. Ferris H, Brent L, Coughlan T. Early mobilisation reduces the risk of in-hospital mortality following hip fracture. *Eur Geriatr Med.* 2020;11: 527-533. doi: [10.1007/s41999-020-00317-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s41999-020-00317-y).
14. Oldmeadow LB, Edwards ER, Kimmel LA, Kipen E, Robertson VJ, Bailey MJ. No rest for the wounded: early ambulation after hip surgery accelerates recovery. *ANZ J Surg.* 2006;76: 607-611. doi: [10.1111/j.1445-2197.2006.03786.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1445-2197.2006.03786.x).
15. Obayashi K, Masuyama S. Pilot and feasibility study on elderly support services using communicative robots and monitoring sensors integrated with cloud robotics. *Clin Ther.* 2020;42: 364–371.e4. doi: [10.1016/j.clinthera.2020.01.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinthera.2020.01.001).
16. Nakanishi K, Kanda T, Kobata T, Mori M, Yamada S, Kasamaki Y. New score including daily life independence levels with dementia is associated with the onset of deep vein thrombosis in frail older adults. *Geriatr Gerontol Int.* 2020;20: 414-421. doi: [10.1111/ggi.13873](https://doi.org/10.1111/ggi.13873).
17. Fu G, Li M, Xue Y, Wang H, Zhang R, Ma Y, et al. Rapid preoperative predicting tools for 1-year mortality and walking ability of Asian elderly femoral neck fracture patients who planned for hip arthroplasty. *J Orthop Surg Res.* 2021;16: 455. doi: [10.1186/s13018-021-02605-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13018-021-02605-0).
18. Hamilton BB, Laughlin JA, Fiedler RC, Granger CV. Interrater reliability of the 7-level functional independence measure (FIM). *Scand J Rehabil Med.* 1994;26: 115-119.
19. Bellelli G, Noale M, Guerini F, Turco R, Maggi S, Crepaldi G, et al. A prognostic model predicting recovery of walking independence of elderly patients after hip-fracture surgery. An experiment in a rehabilitation unit in Northern Italy. *Osteoporos Int.* 2012;23: 2189-2200. doi: [10.1007/s00198-011-1849-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-011-1849-x).
20. Doherty WJ, Stubbs TA, Chaplin A, Reed MR, Sayer AA, Witham MD, et al. Prediction of postoperative outcomes following hip fracture surgery: independent validation

and recalibration of the Nottingham Hip Fracture Score. *J Am Med Dir Assoc.* 2021;22: 663–669.e2. doi: [10.1016/j.jamda.2020.07.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2020.07.013).

21. Oba T, Makita H, Inaba Y, Yamana H, Saito T. New scoring system at admission to predict walking ability at discharge for patients with hip fracture. *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res.* 2018;104: 1189-1192. doi: [10.1016/j.otsr.2018.07.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otsr.2018.07.024).

22. Tam TL, Tsang KK, Lee KB. Development of a prognostic model to predict post-operative mobility of patients with fragility hip fractures: a retrospective cohort study. *Int J Orthop Trauma Nurs.* 2020;38: 100770. doi: [10.1016/j.ijotn.2020.100770](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijotn.2020.100770).

23. Pajulammi HM, Pihlajamäki HK, Luukkaala TH, Nuotio MS. Pre- and perioperative predictors of changes in mobility and living arrangements after hip fracture--a population-based study. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr.* 2015;61: 182-189. doi: [10.1016/j.archger.2015.05.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.archger.2015.05.007).

24. González de Villaumbrosia C, Sáez López P, Martín de Diego I, Lancho Martín C, Cuesta Santa Teresa M, Alarcón T, et al. Predictive model of gait recovery at one month after hip fracture from a national cohort of 25,607 patients: the Hip Fracture Prognosis (HF-Prognosis) Tool. *IJERPH.* 2021;18. doi: [10.3390/ijerph18073809](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18073809).


25. Tomita Y, Yamamoto N, Inoue T, Noda T, Kawasaki K, Ozaki T. Clinical prediction model for postoperative ambulatory ability outcomes in patients with trochanteric fractures. *Injury.* 2021;52: 1826-1832. doi: [10.1016/j.injury.2021.04.043](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.injury.2021.04.043).

26. Kamimura T, Kobayashi Y, Tamaki S, Koinuma M. Impact of prefracture cognitive impairment and postoperative delirium on recovery after hip fracture surgery. *J Am Med Dir Assoc.* 2024;25: 104961. doi: [10.1016/j.jamda.2024.01.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2024.01.030).

27. Biondi-Zoccai G, Romagnoli E, Agostoni P, Capodanno D, Castagno D, D'Ascenzo F, et al. Are propensity scores really superior to standard multivariable analysis? *Contemp Clin Trials.* 2011;32: 731-740. doi: [10.1016/j.cct.2011.05.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cct.2011.05.006).

28. Lee KJ, Tilling KM, Cornish RP, Little RJA, Bell ML, Goetghebeur E, et al. Framework for the treatment and reporting of missing data in observational studies: the Treatment and Reporting of Missing data in Observational Studies framework. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2021;134: 79-88. doi: [10.1016/j.jclinepi.2021.01.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2021.01.008).
29. Marshall A, Altman DG, Holder RL, Royston P. Combining estimates of interest in prognostic modelling studies after multiple imputation: current practice and guidelines. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* 2009;9: 57. doi: [10.1186/1471-2288-9-57](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-9-57).
30. VanderWeele TJ, Ding P. Sensitivity analysis in observational research: introducing the E-value. *Ann Intern Med.* 2017;167: 268-274. doi: [10.7326/M16-2607](https://doi.org/10.7326/M16-2607).
31. Mathur MB, Ding P, Riddell CA, VanderWeele TJ. Web site and R package for computing E-values. *Epidemiology.* 2018;29: e45-e47. doi: [10.1097/EDE.0000000000000864](https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.0000000000000864).
32. Morri M, Forni C, Marchioni M, Bonetti E, Marseglia F, Cotti A. Which factors are independent predictors of early recovery of mobility in the older adults' population after hip fracture? a cohort prognostic study. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* 2018;138: 35-41. doi: [10.1007/s00402-017-2803-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00402-017-2803-y).
33. Shimizu T, Kanai C, Asakawa Y. Relationship between independence in activities of daily living at discharge and physical activity at admission of older postoperative hip fracture rehabilitation inpatients: a retrospective case-control study. *Physiother Res Int.* 2024;29: e2070. doi: [10.1002/pri.2070](https://doi.org/10.1002/pri.2070).
34. Marsault LV, Ryg J, Madsen CF, Holsgaard-Larsen A, Lauritsen J, Schmal H. Objectively measured physical activity and its association with functional independence, quality of life and in-hospital course of recovery in elderly patients with proximal femur fractures: a prospective cohort study. *Rehabil Res Pract.* 2020;2020: 5907652. doi: [10.1155/2020/5907652](https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/5907652).

35. Xu BY, Yan S, Low LL, Vasanwala FF, Low SG. Predictors of poor functional outcomes and mortality in patients with hip fracture: a systematic review. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2019;20: 568. doi: [10.1186/s12891-019-2950-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-019-2950-0).
36. Münter KH, Clemmesen CG, Foss NB, Palm H, Kristensen MT. Fatigue and pain limit independent mobility and physiotherapy after hip fracture surgery. *Disabil Rehabil*. 2018;40: 1808-1816. doi: [10.1080/09638288.2017.1314556](https://doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2017.1314556).
37. Marcantonio ER, Flacker JM, Michaels M, Resnick NM. Delirium is independently associated with poor functional recovery after hip fracture. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2000;48: 618-624. doi: [10.1111/j.1532-5415.2000.tb04718.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-5415.2000.tb04718.x).
38. Lieberman D, Friger M, Lieberman D. Inpatient rehabilitation outcome after hip fracture surgery in elderly patients: a prospective cohort study of 946 patients. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2006;87: 167-171. doi: [10.1016/j.apmr.2005.10.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2005.10.002).
39. Yang Y, Zhao X, Dong T, Yang Z, Zhang Q, Zhang Y. Risk factors for postoperative delirium following hip fracture repair in elderly patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Aging Clin Exp Res*. 2017;29: 115-126. doi: [10.1007/s40520-016-0541-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40520-016-0541-6).



medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.12.24313534>; this version posted September 13, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a [CC-BY 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).