- 1 The Practice of Gender and Protection Mainstreaming
- in Health Response in Humanitarian Crisis A Case
- Study from the Refugee Camps in Cox's Bazar,
 - Bangladesh
- 7 Charls Erik Halder^{1,2*}

4

5

6

8

11

12

15

- 9 1. Global Public Health Specialist, Chattogram, Bangladesh
- 2. Humanitarian and Conflict Response Institute, University of Manchester, UK
- * Corresponding author
- 14 Email: cehalder@outlook.com

Abstract:

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

Background: The health system is required to be safe, equitable, and accessible to all ages, gender, and vulnerable groups, including older persons and persons with disability, and address their specific needs and concerns. However, limited evidence is available on the effectiveness and practicality of gender and protection mainstreaming interventions in health response in humanitarian crises. **Objective:** The overall objective of the research was to explore practices, gaps, and challenges and generate recommendations regarding gender and protection mainstreaming in health response to the Rohingya refugee crisis in Cox's Bazar, Bangladesh. Methodology: The research employed a qualitative case study design to explore the practice of gender and protection mainstreaming in health response in Cox's Bazar. Data collection methods include an extensive literature review and in-depth interviews with professionals. **Result:** The study found a range of good practices on gender and protection mainstreaming in health response, e.g. placement of a gender action plan, monitoring system for gender and disability inclusion, emergency preparedness and response system, availability of sex-segregated toilets and waiting spaces, availability of gender-based violence service and engagement of female community health workers. The study also revealed some best practices which have the potential to scale up, e.g. psycho-social spaces at health facilities for children, palliative care for terminally ill patients, integrated medical and protection services, and facilitation of community health facility support groups. Critical gaps were found in the areas of women's leadership, coordination, capacity building, targeted interventions for vulnerable groups, infrastructural adaptation and consultation with the community on their concerns. Conclusion: We urge policymakers, sector coordinators, health program management, healthcare workers, and global stakeholders to address the gaps and challenges, learn and scale up the best practices, and take action to implement the study's recommendations to maximise gender and protection mainstreaming in health response.

Background:

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

In humanitarian crises, health response aims to reduce avoidable mortality, morbidity and disability that could result from the crisis and to restore access to preventive and curative healthcare (1). The humanitarian principle requires that any humanitarian aid, including health assistance, should be provided based on need and without discrimination (2), meaning that everyone should have meaningful access, safety and dignity in humanitarian health service (3). Therefore, the health system requires it to be safe, equitable and accessible to all ages, gender and vulnerable groups, including children, older persons, men, women, gender-diverse populations (GDP) and persons with disability, and address their specific needs and concerns. In a word, gender and protection should be mainstreamed with health response in humanitarian settings, which means that the health response should prioritise safety and dignity, avoid causing harm, ensure meaningful access of all people to health service without any discrimination, promote accountability to the affected population and assist people in claiming their rights to health (3). Humanitarian crises disproportionately affect women, girls and children and put them at a heightened risk of gender-based violence (GBV) due to breaches of security and protection measures (4). In some crises, more than 70% of women have faced GBV (4). Patriarchy and discriminatory social norms increase the vulnerability of women to disease, disability and injuries and impede their access to healthcare (5,6). Globally, approximately 15% of the population has some form of disability, and around 13% of the people are aged over 60 (7). Persons with disability and older persons often face discrimination based on their age and disability. In many cases, the inclusion of persons with disability and older people remains under-prioritised, and health partners may lack the capacity to improve their access and respond to their unique needs (7,8). People with other vulernabilities, e.g., people living with HIV (PLHIV) or sexually transmitted infections (STI), may be stigmatised in society, affecting their dignified access to health services. This qualitative case study was implemented in the context of the Rohingya refugee crisis in Cox's Bazar, Bangladesh, one of the fastest-growing and largest refugee crises in the world. An estimated 925,380 Rohingya refugees are residing in 33 extremely congested camps in Cox's

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

Bazar following their mass displacement from Myanmar in 2017, ignited by systematic discrimination and targeted violence (9). The refugee crisis resulted in an increased demand for health services, which is being further exacerbated by frequent outbreaks of infectious diseases, like diphtheria, measles, cholera, COVID-19, and dengue (10–12). To meet the demand currently, 80 health partners are providing primary and secondary healthcare services in the camps through 93 health posts, 43 Primary Health Care centres (PHCs), Field Hospitals and a network of 1300 community healthcare workers (CHW) (13). The gender and protection concerns mentioned above also mirror in this crisis. Rohingya women and girls have the added risk and challenges of insecurity, violence, restricted mobility or speaking up and lack of influence on decision-making (14). An estimated 12% of the population has some form of disability and faces multiple barriers, discriminations and limitations to access care and services, and older female persons with disabilities were disproportionately affected by the gaps and challenges (15). To ensure the mainstreaming of gender and protection in health response in humanitarian crises, many guidelines and tools are made available for the humanitarian partners both globally and in specific local contexts, especially in Cox's Bazar. Global protection cluster (16), as well as protection clusters of different humanitarian crisis settings, including Pakistan (17) and Somalia (18) as well as UNICEF (19) produced guidelines on protection mainstreaming in humanitarian health response. The guidelines highlighted a wide range of measures, including safety and dignity, gender and disability-inclusive infrastructure, accessibility of health service to all, including persons with disability and the elderly, prevention of and response to gender-based violence, staffing representative of gender, ethnic and economic differences, provision of reproductive and obstetric health services, provision of private breastfeeding space, women's empowerment and leadership, provision of community outreach activities for women and girls, and interventions for culturally appropriate psycho-social support. Considering the inclusion of persons with disability and older people is not adequately prioritised in humanitarian health response, IASC guidelines on the inclusion of persons with disability in humanitarian action (20) and the Humanitarian inclusion standards for older people and persons with disability (7) clearly outlined the actions and standards for the inclusion of older people and persons with disability,

specific to health response. Locally, in the context of the Rohingya refugee crisis in Cox's Bazar,

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123124

125

126

several guidelines are also available for gender and protection mainstreaming in humanitarian health response. However, as per the author's literature review, no study was found that comprehensively examined the practical aspects of overall protection and gender mainstreaming in humanitarian health response except some isolated studies on women's leadership, sexual and reproductive health services, prevention of and response to sexual and gender-based violence (SGBV) and inclusion of persons with disability and older persons. For instance, although enhancement of gender-inclusive leadership and engagement of women frontline workers, women groups and networks in decision-making can contribute to achieving better health outcomes for the affected population in humanitarian crises, women are found extremely underrepresented in humanitarian leadership (21,22). In some fragile and post-conflict contexts, women are primarily employed in nursing and midwifery positions; however, they are grossly under-represented in the management (23). Female staff in humanitarian contexts often face challenges in balancing their career and cultural expectations of household activities (24). Strong leadership and effective resource mobilisation, engaging with communities through outreach initiatives and tiered community reproductive health services, deployment of female community health workers, psychosocial interventions to address emotional and mental health needs and capacity building of healthcare workers, including those at lower levels are among the best practices in sexual and reproductive health (SRH) interventions evidenced in different humanitarian settings (25–30). Some barriers in this field identified were lack of training, weak communication, inadequate staffing, limited attention to local organisation and insufficient focus on clinical management of rape services (25). Despite the availability of general guidance on the inclusion of persons with disability and older people in humanitarian response, there are significant research gaps in evidence regarding the effectiveness of inclusion efforts, use of disability and age-disaggregated data over 60 years, cost and benefits of inclusion strategies, and the intersection of disability and older age (8,31). In summary, both globally and in the local context of Cox's Bazar, adequate guidelines and tools are available for the humanitarian partners for protection and gender-inclusive health

interventions in humanitarian response. However, except in the area of SRH services, minimal studies have been available that have generated evidence on the uptake, practicality, and effectiveness of those interventions. The research gap is more prominent for the Rohingya refugee crisis in Cox's Bazar. This study was undertaken to fill up the research gap with the overall objective of exploring practices, gaps, and challenges and generating recommendations concerning gender and protection mainstreaming in humanitarian health response to Cox's Bazar Rohingya refugee crises.

Research Methodology

Study design:

This was a case study with a qualitative design aimed to explore the practices, gaps, and challenges and generate recommendations concerning gender and protection mainstreaming in humanitarian health response. Given that the protection and gender mainstreaming is a complex phenomenon which involves awareness, actions, behaviour and capacity of a broad spectrum of stakeholders, including policymakers, humanitarian workers and the community, a qualitative approach was chosen to gain an understanding of what interventions in gender and protection mainstreaming are being taken, how practical or effective they are, what is going well and what is not, and how we can further improve the system. Further, a case study as a qualitative study type is well suited to get extensive and in-depth explanations of a complex social phenomenon (32). Since no study is available that gives a comprehensive picture of the practicality of gender and protection mainstreaming in health response in the humanitarian context, a case study of the refugee context in Cox's Bazar was chosen to gain a comprehensive understanding of this complex phenomenon.

Research philosophy:

This qualitative study design is underpinned by a critical realism epistemological position. The research investigated the experiences of health and protection sector experts to understand the complexity of gender and protection mainstreaming practice in humanitarian health response, which was triangulated with existing literature/reports.

Research Setting:

The study was implemented in Cox's Bazar district of Bangladesh. This district is inhabited by around 1 million Rohingya refugees living in 33 congested camps. This is one of the most significant refugee crises in the world and has a cluster-like humanitarian response involving multiple sectors and working groups, including health, protection, WASH, site management and communication with the community (CWC) (33). More than 60 humanitarian organisations, including a mix of local, national and international organisations, are responding to this crisis under the umbrella of Intersector Coordination Group (ISCG) (34). Thus, a case study on gender and protection mainstreaming in this environment can represent comparable humanitarian situations worldwide, and the results can help enhance gender and protection mainstreaming in health in current and future crises globally.

Data collection methods and processes:

Two qualitative methods were deployed for this study – a) secondary data collection through an extensive literature review and b) primary data collection through in-depth interviews of 12 key informants.

a) Literature review: In addition to a generic literature review during the design phase of this study, an extensive literature review from the local context was done as part of data collection. This included a review of existing policies, strategies, guidelines and reports that focus or reflect on gender and protection mainstreaming in health response. The literature review aimed: a) to provide analysis of existing policy/strategic recommendations for gender/protection mainstreaming, b) to provide themes of

discussions for in-depth interviews, and c) to enable triangulation and comparison of the findings of in-depth interviews in contrast to the existing recommendations/reports

b) In-depth interviews with key informants: Key informant interviews were conducted with experts from the health and protection sectors. Sampling: Purposive and snowball sampling techniques were employed to select the participants who have specialisation and expertise in the areas of a) gender, child protection and general protection; b) emergency health response; c) operation and management of primary health care; and experience with the Rohingya refugee crisis. The total sample size for the qualitative interviews was 12. Eight participants were initially selected purposively from the health and protection sector partners, and the remaining four were selected through the snowball technique. The purposive sample size is usually determined based on theoretical saturation (35); however, as data review and analysis were done in parallel with data collection, it was found that the total sample size of 12 was enough for this study.

Data collection instrument:

An interviewer-administered semi-structured questionnaire was used for data collection from the participants. The question had open-ended questions focusing on the experience of the participants in gender and protection mainstreaming in health response, particularly a) existing practices, b) practicability, effectiveness and efficiency of the practices, c) available guidelines and tools, d) competency, relevance and practicality of the tools, e) gaps and challenges, f) best practices, and g) recommendations for further improvement. The tool was piloted among 2 participants. During interviews, participants were directed by the themes highlighted in the literature review while leaving questions open-ended and retaining their natural social world.

Data collection process:

One-to-one in-person or online interviews were conducted. Using the semi-structured questionnaire (**S1. Interview Guidance**), each participant was asked about their experience, insight and opinions on existing and proposed practices of gender and protection mainstreaming

in health response. The interviews lasted for about 1 - 1.5 hours and were arranged in a preferred private setting of the participant (e.g. office room of the participant, residence) in Cox's Bazar city or using Microsoft Team. Interviews were conducted in English and Bangla as per the convenience of the participant. In in-person interviews, data were scripted into a Microsoft Word document. In the case of online interviews, the recording was done by the Microsoft team, and after transcription writing, the recordings were permanently deleted.

Data analysis:

Recommendations from the existing policies and strategies were thematically organised. As mentioned, interviews were transcribed verbatim in a Microsoft Word document. Thematic analysis was done for the data collected through in-depth interviews since it is the appropriate method for the analysis of experiences, thoughts and behaviours (36). As guided by Braun and Clerke (37), data were manually analysed in six steps: a) familiarisation with all the data through reading and re-reading, b) coding key features into the entire data set, c) collating the codes into potential themes; d) reviewing the themes against the codes and dataset and generating a thematic map; e) defining and naming the themes, and f) writing the narrative of analysis. During the analysis process, themes were generated inductively from the interview data as well as deduced from the literature review. Validation of the data was an integral part of the data analysis process. Items with low relevance to the research questions/themes were eliminated, and items having similar concepts were merged.

Ethical consideration and data confidentiality:

The research project was reviewed and approved by the School of Arts, Languages and Cultures Ethics Committee at the University of Manchester (Ref: 2022-13668-24736). The study was designed in such a way that it eliminated any risk of physical or mental discomfort, harm, or damage from its process or publication and placed the highest priority on protecting the rights and welfare of research participants as well as vulnerable refugees in the target settings. The research is planned based on morality to address the evidence gaps on gender and protection

mainstreaming in humanitarian health response and generate recommendations for improvement in this field in local and global humanitarian contexts. No commercial or financial relationships could be taken as a potential conflict of interest.

The study did not collect any personally identifiable information (except for the consent form) or any sensitive or confidential information. The study did not involve participants from vulnerable or dependent groups, such as Rohingya refugees, considering their protection concerns. Before the interview with the professionals, the participants were provided with clear information on the background, objectives, and study procedure. Written informed consent was taken from each participant. Participants were allowed to skip any question or withdraw themselves from the interview at any time. All data were stored in the university-provided P-drive, and data analysis was done on an encrypted computer. In the case of online interviews, the recordings were permanently deleted from the storage once transcription writing was completed. Identifying information was stored securely and separately from the study data. The information was kept in a password-protected file.

Results:

This research explored current strategies, guidelines and practices of gender and protection mainstreaming and the practicality of different interventions in healthcare settings, including the best practices, gaps and challenges. In this section, firstly, the findings from the review of local policies, strategies and guidelines are presented, and the gender and protection mainstreaming interventions recommended by relevant strategies and guidelines are summarised into a thematic framework. Later, we presented the findings from the in-depth interviews as well as different local reports under each theme deduced from the framework as well as new themes derived inductively from the interviews.

Policy, strategies and guidelines:

A significant number of strategies, guidelines and resources are available in Cox's Bazar to guide the humanitarian partners on gender and protection mainstreaming in health response. Gender and protection mainstreaming is strategised in the health sector strategic plan through its commitment to prevent and respond to GBV, strengthen mainstreaming of accountability to affected population (AAP) in all phases of health response, promote accessibility and inclusion of persons with disability and prevent sexual exploitation and abuse (PSEA)(13).

The health sector gender action plan (GAP) outlined targeted and gender mainstreaming interventions in line with the overall objectives of the sector (38). The plan focused on generating sex, age, and disability disaggregated data and reports, monitoring the provision of GBV and gender-responsive health services, establishing gender-responsive health facilities (e.g. sex-segregated rooms, female staff), engaging women and community leaders on gender-responsive health operation, capacity building of staff and volunteers on gender, GBV, protection and PSEA and updating the partners on needs, challenges and responses concerning gender-responsive health service. The plan provides specific indicators in these areas to monitor the interventions (38).

The health and protection sectors jointly formulated a tip sheet for protection mainstreaming in health response for the Rohingya refugee response in line with the Global Protection Mainstreaming Health Tip Sheet (39). To prioritise safety, dignity and avoiding harm, the document guided safe location and routes of health facilities, infrastructural adaptation, prioritisation of vulnerable groups for care, general awareness raising on PSEA, respectful and inclusive cultural and religious practices, confidentiality and privacy, and deployment of female staff. It provided tips to ensure meaningful access to health services by all, inclusive of persons with disability, older persons and mental health conditions, suggested having staff representative of gender and ethnic differences, and outlined action points for health staff to respond to specific needs of victims of human rights violence including GBV. The guideline also outlined actions to ensure accountability and participation of vulnerable individuals through coordination with local authorities and civil society organisations working with older persons and persons with disability, facilitation of health committees with representation from all layers of society, capacity building

of staff on communication with children and establishment of suggestions and complaint mechanism (39).

The health sector developed a framework for ensuring AAP in line with Global Health Cluster Operational Guidance on AAP to mainstream accountability of health partners to patients, beneficiaries and their communities (40). The framework focused on the engagement of all layers of people in all phases of the health program, enhancing awareness of patient rights, communication with the community and establishment of feedback and response mechanism (40).

The Health Sector and Child Protection subsector jointly developed guidance for child protection and health care for children in health facilities during the COVID-19 outbreak, addressing their vulnerabilities (41). This is detailed under the child protection section later in this article.

Application of Gender with Ager Marker (GAM) (42) is a prerequisite for submitting a project proposal by the health partners to the Joint Response Plan (JRP), i.e., the humanitarian appeal, to assess how their projects contribute to enhancing opportunities for different gender, age, and disability groups through meaningful and effective engagement. The health sector partners are trained by the Gender in Humanitarian Action (GiHA) Working Group to effectively apply the tool in their applications.

The framework below summarises the actions for gender and protection mainstreaming in health response recommended by local policies, strategies, and guidelines in Cox's Bazar.

Table 1: Actions for gender and protection mainstreaming in Cox's Bazar recommended by policies and strategies*:

Themes		Recommended interventions
Coordination partnership	and	Develop, implement, and monitor the health sector gender action plan; discuss gaps/challenges in health sector coordination meetings.
		Develop minimum standards to guide partners on disability inclusion in healthcare.

	Coordinate with local authorities and Civil Society Organizations specialised in
	working with persons with disability to include them in the assistance program.
	Coordinate with the Age and Disabilities Working Group (ADWG) to map out NGOs offering services to vulnerable groups for training and referral of health staff.
	Distribute advocacy/joint communication products on gender-responsive programming and GBV.
	Update Health Sector partners and key health networks on gender-responsive health developments.
	Sensitize JRP partners for adherence to PSEA principles
	Collaborate with the protection sector, GBV and child protection subsectors, ADWG and Gender in Humanitarian Action working group
Staffing, leadership and capacity building	Staff should be representative of gender and ethnic differences; employ an adequate number of female health staff members;
	Staff capacity building on gender, child and protection mainstreaming, including linkage to psychosocial support and legal support
	Staff should speak in Rohingya language or be aware of common local vocabulary appropriate for the work.
Safety and security:	Safe and accessible location of health facilities and routes
	Consider seasonal environmental risks for accessing health facilities - consider transport arrangements.
	Place security measures for health facilities and routes (e.g. lights, security)
	Safe placement of medical supplies to avoid harm to children
	Placement of security guards, if necessary, for safety and protection
	Safe disposal of healthcare wastes
	Place crowd control and infection prevention and control measures
Infrastructural	Separate waiting areas for male and female
adaptation, WASH and patient flow	Infrastructural adaptation to health facilities and latrines for older persons and persons with disabilities (e.g. smooth paths, ramps)
	Prioritisation of older persons with disability, unaccompanied children and pregnant women in the queue
	Dignified waiting space for people with specific vulnerabilities (e.g. persons with disability, pregnant women, breastfeeding mothers, children, PLHIV and gender-diverse populations (GDP))
	Separate male and female toilets in the health facility with appropriate labelling

	Child-friendly latrine design
	Separate shade for children and lactating mothers; breastfeeding corner
	Menstrual hygiene management kit available at health facility with training to staff
	Separate examination room with proper privacy
	Sex-segregated spaces and rooms at health facilities
Child protection	Signing of code of conduct by staff for child safeguarding
	Child-friendly waiting space; Space for children to play if they wait for caregivers.
	Child-friendly communication by health staff, including guards
	Ensure access to health services by children.
	Train staff on child protection, communication with children, and referral mechanisms for child protection cases.
	Explanation to children/caregivers before physical contact with children
	Contact with child protection actors for engagement with children without a caregiver.
Targeted interventions	Special arrangements for individuals who cannot access health services, e.g. mobile health teams or home-based care.
	Establish a referral system for victims of human rights violations to specialised services, including MHPSS.
	Special service provision for consultation/treatment for persons with hearing, speech, language, psychosocial and/or intellectual disabilities.
Prevention of and	General awareness raising on (PSEA) rules and code of conduct
response to GBV (including PSEA)	Train staff on GBV/harmful traditional practices, response and referral pathways and psychosocial support
	Health facilities to collaborate with GBV and general protection partners for referral of GBV survivors
	Facilitate meetings among health, protection, GBV, and child protection actors on the referral pathways.
	Consider room design, type of furnishings and equipment for examination/consultation of GBV survivors.
	Place guidelines and mechanisms for monitoring and reporting abuse or exploitation
	Train staff on PSEA, including security guards and volunteers
	Present PSEA messages in a child-friendly and gender-sensitive manner
	Aware staff of do-not harm by recalling memories during psychosocial treatment

	Safety audit to identify current and newer risk
	Survivor-centred approach for all patients
	Report and share protection concerns of individuals to the protection sector, including GBV and child protection subsector
Communication with the community and accountability to the	Design and implement health activities with community engagement in all phases of the project lifecycle
affected population	Functional context appropriate (safe, accessible, trusted) feedback and complaint mechanism in an accessible place with multiple options and proper privacy
	Feedback mechanism flexible for children, illiterate people, persons with disability and older persons
	Consultation and meaningful engagement with all layers of the community (e.g. women, men, girls, boys, children, elderly, GDP and persons with disability) to identify and respond to the health needs
	Ensure consistent participation of all layers of the community and integrate their feedback during assessment, planning, implementation, monitoring, and evaluation.
	Ensure health committees and workers are representatives of all layers
	Training health committees on child protection, GBV, disability and diversity
	Engage women, community and religious leaders in gender-responsive health operations.
	Wide range of IEC materials (e.g. pictorials, audio, video, infographics) etc. to reach out to persons with non-mobility-related disabilities
	Support cultural, religious and social activities and practices that can impact safeguarding health.
	Ensure that information on waiting times, processes, and procedures at a facility is shared in the local language.
	Let beneficiaries know their rights to healthcare and how to access it.
	Consider local solutions/coping strategies to address the vulnerabilities (e.g. pooled funds for taxi services)
Information management	Set project indicators and reports disaggregated by age, sex, gender, location and specific groups (e.g. persons with disability and ethnic minorities)
	Monitor and review the proportionate distribution of health services.
	Confidential handling of information – collection, processing, storing or communicating
	Confidentiality and privacy during consultation, counselling or personal information sharing

Informed consent for sharing any information

*Synthesized from a) Health Sector Strategic Plan (2023 – 2024) (13); b) Health Sector Gender Action Plan (38); c) Health Sector Programme - Tips for Protection Mainstreaming (39); d) Accountability to Affected Populations (AAP) Framework (40); and Child Protection & Health Care for Children in Health Facilities during COVID-19 Cox's Bazar (41)

Coordination and partnership

The health sector implements several initiatives for gender mainstreaming in line with its gender action plan, which includes sharing the Gender Action Plan with the partners, collecting and disseminating gender-disaggregated reports and supportive supervision of health facilities for their adherence to recommended gender-responsive measures. Linked to the Gender Action Plan and other social inclusion actions, the health sector also performs quarterly monitoring of the actions, e.g., the presence of sex-segregated toilets, measures for access for persons with disability, availability of GBV services, presence of community complaints and feedback mechanisms. Although this monitoring system contributes a lot to the partners' adherence to the recommended actions, some of the indicators in the system only focus on physical presence/availability rather than quality and/or functionality. As expressed by one participant, "...for instance, the monitoring system finds out whether a health facility has a disability-friendly toilet, but the system cannot track whether the toilet is actually being utilised by a person with a disability".

For the Rohingya refugee crisis, there is a Gender in Humanitarian Action Working Group (GiHA WG) that provides support to all sectors and humanitarian organisations for effective integration of gender in their actions through coordination, technical advice, guidance, capacity building, advocacy, assessment, and information sharing. (43). The health sector collaborates with GiHA WG for the strategic review of gender mainstreaming in health response and capacity building of the partners in the aspect of gender integration (e.g., Gender with Age Marker) and commemoration of relevant days (e.g., International Women's Day 2022).

There is also close collaboration between the health sector (and its relevant working groups, e.g., SRH working group) and the GBV subsector of the protection sector for establishing and implementing the GBV referral pathways at the refugee camps, including capacity building of the partners on compassionate GBV referrals. However, as per some participants, limited partnership and networking efforts are observed in terms of protection of other vulnerable individuals, especially persons with disability and older people. Only a few agencies, e.g., Humanity & Inclusion and Help Age International, in Cox's Bazar, have dedicated health programs for persons with disability and older people.

There is also a gap noted on the unavailability of a contextualised framework for the inclusion of persons with disability and older persons, as expressed by an emergency health expert –

"...there are some guidelines available globally for age and disability inclusion. For this context, it is required to have guidance for the partners on what minimum practical measures should be placed at the health facility as well as other health programs, for example, immunisation for improving access of persons with disability and older people".

Staffing and leadership

The guidelines recommend employing female health staff with skills and experience in working with women and children and ensuring that the health facilities have both male and female doctors and nurses. (39). It has been found in the health sector quarterly monitoring report that all health facilities (100%, n=136) have a minimum of a female medical professional in the functioning hours of the facility (44). Participants expressed that having female staff in the health facility allows women patients/clients to access health care from the facility comfortably; they feel more comfortable speaking and taking part in physical examinations. According to the number of consultations in a medical facility, as several participants mentioned, women use the facilities more frequently than males in the Cox's Bazar context.

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

In Bangladesh, nurse and midwife positions are traditionally seen as occupations for women. Therefore, naturally, most nurses and all midwives in the health facilities in the camps are women. However, females are still grossly lagging in other health professions, e.g., doctors, medical assistants, and laboratory technologists. This is a fact for leadership positions as well. Women have less representation in leading positions, like health facility managers or coordinators. During the study, it was found that only two of the twelve camp health focal persons and field coordinators who coordinate camp-based health sector responses were female. While women have more significant leadership in the SRH Working Group, they have less representation in other working groups and technical committees. During the study, most of the working groups, e.g., Strategic Advisory Group, MHPSS working group, Emergency Preparedness and Response Technical Committee, Mobile Medical Team Working Group, and Community Health Working Group, were led by men. It can be related to the lack of family and social acceptance for women to work in humanitarian crises and the fact that there is no particular prioritisation system in place for women to be in leadership roles. As expressed by a participant, "Although it is written in the job advertisements that women are encouraged to apply, often there is no mechanism in place for prioritising us (women) in different key roles." One gender expert expressed that "...a reason (for lack of women leadership) can be their (women's) families and societies do not allow them or feel comfortable for them to work in a crisis setting, like Cox's Bazar".

It is recommended that staff should be trained on different aspects of gender and protection mainstreaming, including gender diversity, gender-based violence, CMR, child protection, disability and diversity, and PSEA mainstreaming (39). Many of these trainings, e.g., PSEA and gender mainstreaming, are mandatory for all staff working in the UN agencies or international organisations. As a public health expert expressed,

"gender mainstreaming, PSEA, and code of conduct training are either mandatory or routinely organised for UN agencies and international organisations. However, this practice should be standardised for all humanitarian agencies, especially for local NGOs. The training methods should be adapted based on the different levels of capacity and skill of the staff or healthcare

workers. For instance, a webinar could be helpful for office staff, but more practical and simplified training is required for ambulance drivers and cleaners, who are often third-party contracted".

Participants also opined that there are only a few training initiatives are undertaken for healthcare workers or health program managers/officers on age and disability inclusion, child protection, and protection of other vulnerable groups (e.g., gender-diverse populations, patients with HIV or Hepatitis C).

Safety and Security

While most facilities are located in an accessible location, due to the area's hilly terrain, there are a few facilities located on hilltops or at a location inaccessible by ambulances. This affects the accessibility of vulnerable individuals, especially pregnant women, persons with disability, older persons, and critically ill patients. The health sector and its partners conducted a rationalisation exercise to identify such facilities and recommend them for relocation to a safer and easily accessible location (45). Some partners, after receiving recommendations from either the community or the sector, relocated their facility to a safer and more accessible location.

Apart from this, heavy monsoons resulting in flash floods sometimes impede the accessibility of the general community, especially vulnerable individuals, to health facilities. To mitigate the risk, the health sector established a mechanism for early notification of the closure of any facility due to monsoon or weather-related events. In such cases, a Mobile Medical Team can be activated to provide care to the affected community from an alternate site. However, in reality, the floods affect not only the accessibility of the community but also the healthcare workers travelling to the camps. During monsoon season, if the flood impedes the accessibility of healthcare workers to the camp, the health facility may be closed, resulting in the unavailability of health services for the affected population.

As recommended in the protection mainstreaming guideline (39), most facilities have placed security measures, e.g., lights, security guards, etc., to avoid any incidence of violence and to

promote safer access to the facility by the beneficiaries, especially those with vulnerabilities. One of the challenges expressed by some participants is that the power supply is not always consistent in the camp setting. Most facilities rely on solar systems or electric generators. Rainy weather and mechanical disturbances of the solar system/generator may affect the solar/generator function.

Usually, medical equipment and supplies are kept in a safe location away from children to avoid any possible harm to them. However, participants expressed that the pharmacist and logistic staff should be further sensitised on this issue.

Further, participants stated that a good infection prevention and control (IPC) system has been placed in most health facilities in Cox's Bazar. World Health Organization provides training and technical assistance to the facilities for implementing IPC measures to prevent hospital-acquired infection among patients, healthcare workers, and the community. Healthcare waste management is still a critical concern for health facilities. However, partners are now more sensitised and trying to adopt environmentally friendly measures for biomedical waste management to prevent any harm or exposure to the patients and the community.

Infrastructural adaptation, WASH, and patient flow:

In line with the protection and gender mainstreaming guidelines (39), participants opined that most health facilities have physically separated and labelled toilets for males and females. This is also evident in the health sector quarterly monitoring report suggesting that 90% of the health facilities in the camps had sex-segregated latrines for patients (44). However, 30% of the facilities did not have sex-segregated latrines for staff (44). Participants also suggested that some agencies have the practice of placing a menstrual hygiene kit in the female toilets for patients and staff. However, this practice is not widely used by the partners and is not adequately monitored.

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470 471

472

473

474 475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

Usually, most health facilities have segregation in waiting spaces for males and females, but due to high patient turnover and space limitations, it is often difficult to have separate consultation rooms for males and females, especially in small health posts. Participants opined that such a separate arrangement is needed in this setting to increase women's access to healthcare services. Prioritisation of older people, persons with disability, unaccompanied children, and pregnant women is recommended (39), however, this is not standardised for all facilities. This is partly caused by the fact that—

"triage system is not standardised for all partners. Some use the ETAT protocol of WHO, some use the interagency integrated triage tool (IITT), and some do not even have any protocol. Standard protocols prioritise patients based on acuity or emergency; there is no mention of prioritisation on the grounds of older age, disability, or pregnancy. However, this is anyway happening in some facilities from the humanitarian ground..." expressed by a triage/IPC expert.

One of the recommendations for protection mainstreaming in health response is infrastructural adaptations to the health facilities and restrooms, e.g. ramps and railings, handle grip, etc., for older persons and persons with disability (38,39). According to the health sector quarterly monitoring report (44), less than 50% of the health facilities had a disability-friendly latrine. Only 39% of the health posts and 58% of the PHCs had the provision of ramps. Below half of the PHCs and only one-fourth of the health posts had the provision of side rails. Other measures placed by the health facilities for persons with disability included the provision of wheelchairs (74% health posts, 97% PHCs), stretchers (58% health posts and 86% PHCs), and Crutch (11% health posts, 23% PHCs) (44). Therefore, a large number of health facilities are not using appropriate infrastructural and other measures to increase the accessibility of persons with disability and older persons. Some experts expressed that although some facilities placed some measures for the inclusion of persons with disability and older persons, in many cases, these are not functionalised. For instance, one of the experts shared that one health facility labelled a toilet as disability-friendly, but in practice, they were using it as a storeroom. Factors behind poor compliance of the health facilities for disability-friendly infrastructural adaptations include lack of sensitisation of the management and healthcare workers and lack of availability of infrastructural guidance.

While it is essential to ensure the labelling of the toilets is understandable to all literacy levels, universal icons/symbols are usually used as labels, which may not be comprehensible to the general community in the camps. Moreover, the designs of the toilets (e.g. high commode) and locking system may not be familiar to the beneficiaries. Therefore, it is recommended to consult with the community, including persons with disability and older people, on their preferences (39)However, it is opined that such a consultation process merely happens in this context. One of the good practices mentioned is using Burmese or Rohingyalish (a Rohingya language written in the English alphabet) instead of English or Bangla for easy understanding by the refugee community.

One of the challenges mentioned was that toilets in many of the facilities are not friendly to children with or without disabilities. Although the protection mainstreaming guideline recommends that latrine design account for children, this is barely taken into account during latrine installation. This could be caused by the program staff or engineers' lack of awareness of this issue. Similarly, most facilities do not provide separate space for children and lactating women, as is recommended; however, the majority of primary healthcare facilities maintain a breastfeeding corner.

Water, Sanitation and Hygiene Health Facility Improvement Tool (WASH FIT) outlined some indicators to monitor for gender and disability inclusion in WASH in health facilities, e.g., malefemale segregated toilets for patients and staff, provision of menstrual hygiene management kits at least in one toilet and provision of disability-friendly toilet (46). With WHO's initiative, a training program was cascaded among healthcare workers, facility managers, and engineers in 2019 on this. Experts opined that this initiative significantly improved gender and disability inclusion in WASH at health facilities. The participants recommended continuing such training and placing appropriate follow-up and monitoring systems.

Child protection

For the protection of children, the health facilities maintain close coordination with child protection actors in the camp. It is recommended that the waiting space should be child-friendly and there should be space for children to play (39). Primary healthcare specialists who participated in this study expressed that this is often not possible to implement in a camp setting due to space limitations and overcrowding. However, many of the facilities have mental health and psychosocial units which organise entertainment and social events for children and adolescents. A few agencies have some space for children to play or art. Participants expressed that there is a critical gap that training or learning opportunities for healthcare workers are not sufficient for child protection and communication with children. This results in a lack of initiative from the healthcare workers to create a child-friendly environment in the health facilities.

Targeted interventions toward vulnerable groups:

There are only a few agencies in the camps that are implementing targeted interventions for persons with disability, older age and critically ill patients. Some agencies (e.g. YPSA, Helpage International) provide health screening, healthcare and referral support to geriatric patients through home-based care and age-friendly spaces. (47,48). However, geriatric services are not mainstreamed with the provision of the primary healthcare provided by the PHCs and health posts. Few agencies, e.g. Humanity and Inclusion (HI), are providing specialised support to persons with disability with physiotherapy and assisted devices. Participants opined that such support to persons with disability is inadequate in comparison to the need. This can be linked to the fact that 56% of persons with disability reported not having received any assisted device in a year, as revealed by an assessment conducted by the age and disability working group (15). The survey also reported that female older persons with disabilities were disproportionately affected; 67% of female older persons with disabilities had not received any assistive devices (15). Further, participants of this study stated that there are no standardised guidelines or referral pathways available for the treatment, support and care of persons with disability and older people.

The referral system in Cox's Bazar, from the refugee camps to tertiary hospitals, mostly focuses on life-saving assistance to acutely ill patients. Patients with chronic conditions requiring long-

term and cost-intensive treatment (e.g. chronic hepatitis, end-stage kidney diseases, advanced cancers) often do not get referral support for definitive treatment. Palliative care appears to be a new concept among health actors, and currently, only one organisation, namely IOM, provides home- and facility-based palliative care in the camp.

"There could be many patients in the camps who are at their terminal stage of disease. They may not need comprehensive treatment support. But at least they have the right to lead a peaceful life, physically and mentally, until the end of their life, meaning they will need palliation of their pain and suffering. However, palliative care is not mainstreamed with the primary healthcare service provision. As far as I know, IOM is the only agency that provides home-based and facility-based palliative care in a few camps. Such service needs further scale up".

Although SRH and MHPSS are integrated into primary healthcare, very few interventions are taken by partners to improve the physical, mental, and psychosocial health of gender-diverse populations (GDP). It is believed that there remains a low level of awareness and a high degree of stigmatisation toward GDP.

Prevention of and response to gender-based violence:

Prevention of and response to Gender-based violence (GBV) is a multisectoral approach. Almost in all refugee camps, a comprehensive GBV referral pathway is established, mentioning the contact details of health (clinical management), mental health, case management (adult and children), legal aid and safe shelter service providers from the health and protection sectors (49). Listed service providers are trained in providing relevant GBV services.

The Minimum Package of Essential Health Services for Primary Healthcare Facilities in FDMN Camps stipulates that primary healthcare facilities (24/7 clinics with inpatient) in refugee camps must provide first-line support, clinical care for sexual violence and intimate partner violence (i.e. Clinical Management of Rape - CMR) and facilitate safe referrals to other service providers (50). While CMR is not a requirement for health posts (daytime outpatient posts), they should provide

survivor-centred care, first-line support, and safe referral support. Ninety-three per cent of PHCs (n = 43) had the provision of GBV services, according to the quarterly monitoring report of the health sector (44). More than 90% of these facilities offered emergency contraceptive pills and a CMR referral pathway, and approximately 70% provided post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) and menstrual regulation kits (44). Only 45 per cent of health posts (n = 93) were found to have GBV health services, and only nearly half of these facilities had a CMR referral pathway (44). The survey also revealed that three out of thirty-four refugee camps lacked a single facility that provides GBV post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP).

Research participants expressed one of the key challenges in CMR or GBV services at the facilities that there is a lack of awareness of the healthcare workers on the reporting arrangement; for example, many of the healthcare workers have the misperception that all cases of rape or sexual violence should be reported to the police. However, with repeated training and advocacy, this misperception is being significantly reduced among healthcare workers. Frequent staff turnover is another challenge requiring organising training for the newly joined staff. This also affects the availability of trainers in the pool for facilitating relevant training (e.g. CMR) since the lead agencies organise the training of trainers with the aim of creating a pool of trainers for cascading the training among healthcare workers at health facilities.

Some agencies are finding better ways to integrate health and protection support for GBV survivors. For example, some agencies have GBV case workers within the health facility, which can provide integrated health and protection services. Another best practice noted by an agency is having healthcare workers (e.g. midwives) in the women and girl safe spaces (WGSS) and secure and health learning spaces for providing health care and education services in integration with GBV prevention and case management services of the protection sector. Several agencies engage their CHWs to raise awareness of the community on GBV and its referral pathways, enhancing access of GBV survivors to care and support.

Communication with community and accountability to

affected population

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

Engagement of community including all layers of the community, including women, men, girls, boys, children, elderly, GDP and persons with disability throughout the project life cycle is an essential requirement for accountability to the affected population as well as to appeal to Joint Response Plan (39,40). Participants expressed that community engagement in health program design is often neglected. There is no mechanism in place to track whether actual consultation with the community was made during the project design. Some participants also emphasised that there is limited effort to engage with women's groups, and most community groups are dominated by male community leaders (e.g., Majhi/Imams). The establishment of a community feedback mechanism is another area of focus for AAP (40). Participants expressed that most facilities have some form of community feedback mechanism in place, e.g. feedback box, hotline number, box and coin method. Participants expressed that these mechanisms are not often utilised because either participants may be unaware of the system or the system may not be flexible to all, e.g. illiterate, children, persons with disability. In many cases, it is also unclear how or whether these systems can influence the improvement or adjustment of the quality of service. Participants mentioned a good practice that some health facilities are facilitating community health facility support groups with representation from different gender and ages, persons with disability and community leaders. This group owns the health facility and provides feedback through periodic meetings with the facility managers. The input allows the management to take necessary measures to improve its service. There is a network of 1300 community health workers (CHW) across the refugee camps who perform risk communication and community engagement activities. Most of them are recruited from the local community (i.e. Rohingya), and the majority of them are women. Being women, they have more accessibility to the households and can discuss things with female family members. CHWs facilitate health promotion sessions, perform active case searches and referrals, follow-up immunisation, and raise awareness of gender and various health issues in the

community through regular household visits and community group meetings. People from different gender, age groups and background participate in these sessions.

Several working groups and agencies are active in this setting for the development and dissemination of information, education and communication (IEC) materials, including flip charts, posters, audio clips and videos in local languages. Some participants suggested that the community should be more engaged in developing risk communication strategies and materials. Concerns of different gender, age groups and persons with disability are often not considered in many communication products and strategies. As one participant questioned -

"We have developed frequently asked question aids for dengue risk communication. CHWs use this job aid to share the information during their household visits or group sessions. But have we considered any culturally familiar methods, e.g. street drama or folk songs? Have we considered how we will reach out to those messages who have difficulty in speech and hearing? How about older people who often cannot join during the sessions?"

Information Management

One of the core requirements for gender analysis of health is generating and reporting cases of COVID-19 and OPD services with sex, age and disability disaggregation (38). Multiple reporting systems are in place in Cox's Bazar for disease surveillance and health information management. While disaggregation of male-female data is happening in all reporting systems, some key reporting systems have limitations for age and disability disaggregation. Most of the systems do not have segregation options for gender-diverse populations. WHO Early Warning, Alert and Response System (EWARS) is a surveillance tool designed for early detection and warning of infectious disease outbreaks. The weekly indicator-based surveillance platform of the system collects and reports data disaggregated by sex (male and female) and age group over-5 and under-5 years. District Health Information System -2 (DHIS-2) also have a similar arrangement for daily morbidity reporting. Therefore, both systems fail to give analysis regarding the accessibility, morbidity and concerns of different age groups, e.g. older persons, adolescents, and persons with disability. However, EWARS and GoData (another surveillance tool of WHO) have case report forms for some ongoing/threatening outbreak conditions, e.g. COVID-19, Diphtheria, Dengue,

acute watery diarrhoea, Acute Flaccid Paralysis, which collect detailed case-by-case information, including exact age of the individuals, allowing proper segregation of data by age group. Yet, disability disaggregation is not included in these systems. Only a few agencies use the Washington Group Short Set on Functioning (WG-SS) tool as part of their internal health information system to document the proportion of individuals treated at their health facilities who have a disability. However, experts have opined that this tool cannot be used for children below two years of age.

Emergency preparedness and response:

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

674

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

Cox's Bazar is a disaster-prone district, and the Rohingya refugees and adjacent host communities are highly susceptible to the direct and indirect health impacts of cyclone and monsoon-related heavy rains and landslides, such as drowning, mass casualty, and outbreaks of disease (51). Mobile medical teams play a crucial role in such preparedness and response. The MMTs are required to have a midwife to ensure the integration of SRH services and the representation of female personnel. The MMT team composition includes a protection officer who leads the identification of people requiring protection assistance, such as separated families, unaccompanied children, survivors of GBV, persons with disability, and older people, and facilitates the referral of the identified individuals to protection service providers (such as protection focal persons, Protection Emergency Response Unit - PERU) (52). Partners have various options for engaging a protection officer in the team, including a) having a dedicated protection staff from the agency, b) having a seconded protection sector staff within the MMT, and c) training MHPSS staff or other team members to provide protection services. Protection officers are trained to provide survivor-centre support to GBV survivors, including psychological first aid (PFA) and safe referrals; to provide child-friendly support to unaccompanied and separated children (UASC) or children who have experienced any form of violence, and to facilitate referrals of vulnerable individuals in need of specialised protection assistance.

The Mobile Medical Team Working Group of the health sector conducts regular training on protection mainstreaming for MMT health staff and protection officers. This is another example

of a best practice for protection mainstreaming in emergency health response. A functional link is also established between Mobile Medical Teams and Protection Emergency Response Units for referring survivors of gender-based violence to each other for clinical management and protection assistance, respectively. However, experts in emergency health response believe that the central coordination between the health and protection sectors should be strengthened to standardise the mainstreaming of protection focal persons across all MMTs.

COVID-19 outbreak response:

The COVID-19 outbreak within the camps and the pandemic as a whole had a significant impact on gender and vulnerabilities. Humanitarian partners have taken substantial steps to mainstream gender and protection into COVID-19 preparedness and response. One of the indicators set in the health sector GAP is "Percentage of reports of FDMN/Rohingya refugee and host community samples tested with results disaggregated by age and sex" (38). In the case management system, partners had the provision of separate male, female and child wards with proper privacy.

One challenge the healthcare workers and the general community encountered was that many personal protective equipment (PPE) were not gender and child-inclusive. For instance, the masks provided to the community or health facility were not designed for children. Outbreak response experts informed that the gowns and scrubs were not designed according to the body structure of women. However, there were also some best practices mentioned for gender mainstreaming; for instance, one of the partners produced and distributed "medical hijab' and culturally friendly scrubs to women.

One of the misconceptions persists within the community that if the women wear *nikab* (a thin piece of garment that cover face of Muslim women), they do not need to wear a mask assuming *nikab* and mask give same level of protection. As one of the experts expressed, "...the perception that nikab can protect women from COVID-19 increased their exposure to the virus and as a result proportion of COVID-19 among women gradually increased".

The health sector and child protection subsector developed comprehensive guidance for the care of children in health facilities during COVID-19 (41). From each Severe Acute Respiratory Illness Isolation and Treatment Center (SARI ITC), the child protection actors trained some healthcare workers on psychological first aid (PFA), psychosocial support, and communication with children. These trained healthcare workers acted as "child carers" to provide care to unaccompanied children while in the centre. The SARI ITCs were linked with child protection actors to address a variety of child protection concerns, including a) how to mitigate if a child leaves the centre with a non-caregiver if the child is distressed seeing critically ill patients, b) who will accompany a child with COVID-19 at a SARI ITC if the formerly healthy caregiver contract COVID-19, c) what alternative options are available for healthy children of a COVID-19 infected caregiver, d) how the child will be supported if their caregiver dies at the ITC, e) what support can be provided to the children abandoned at the ITC or f) how to mitigate the risk of children/adolescents running away from the health facility. However, in practice, many partners faced challenges in admitting a COVID-19-infected caregiver who might have multiple dependent young children. Participants in the study view the COVID-19 vaccination campaign for Rohingya refugees in Cox's Bazar as one of the best examples of gender and protection integration in a health response. The vaccination campaign began with individuals over 55 years old and expanded to include all adults (>18 years) and adolescents over time (12-17 years). During this study, preparations were made to vaccinate children aged 5 to 11. Through the network of CHWs and volunteers in communication for development, extensive risk communication efforts were made to mobilise

with mobility issues and provide porter assistance (e.g. persons with disability, extreme age,

all target populations, including men, women, persons with disability, and the elderly. Some

health agencies collaborated with site management and protection actors to identify individuals

critically ill patients).

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

Discussion:

The research investigated gender and protection mainstreaming practices, gaps, and challenges and generated recommendations for their implementation in humanitarian health response. To the author's knowledge, this is the first study ever conducted in a humanitarian setting on the practice of gender and protection mainstreaming in health response. The discussion is organised into eleven broad themes, the same as the result section.

Strategy, partnership and collaboration:

748

749

750

751

752

753

754

755

756

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

765

766

767

768

769

770

771

772

773

774

Health and protection sectors in Cox's Bazar developed a significant number of gender and protection mainstreaming plans and guidelines. The requirement for the application of Gender with Age Marker (GAM) for gender and protection mainstreaming in making JRP appeal is one of the best practices in this setting. Another key strength identified in this setting is the extensive collaboration of the health sector with the protection sector, child and GBV subsectors and GiHA. However, although a lot of focus has been given to enhancing the inclusion of women in staffing and access to service, there is no significant strategy proposed to enhance women's leadership in health program management and coordination. This gap is noted not only for this particular context in Cox's Bazar but also found in other gender and protection mainstreaming plans/strategies (17,18). Further, although the health sector strategic plan recognises the need for a minimum standard of disability inclusion for health response, unlike gender mainstreaming, the coordination mechanism is less focused on addressing other vulnerabilities, especially persons with disability and older age individuals. The sector has a system in place to monitor the adherence of the partners to different recommended measures, however, the system focuses mainly on quantitative achievement rather than functionality and quality. The system can be further strengthened to address this concern by adding qualitative indicators and methods into the M&E system (53).

Staffing and capacity building

One of the key strengths of this context is the significant representation of women in the health workforce, as evidenced by the fact that all health facilities have at least one female medical

professional staff member. The study revealed, however, that the distribution of female employees is not proportional across all positions and that women are underrepresented in leadership and coordination roles, particularly in program management, camp coordination, and leading the working groups. The findings of this study are similar to studies in some other contexts. For instance, Patel et al. identified that women are underrepresented in humanitarian leadership (21), and Witter et al. found that women were largely employed in nursing and midwifery positions and under-represented in management (23). This study also found that such underrepresentation might be caused by a lack of family and social acceptance for women to work in humanitarian settings and the fact that there is no special prioritisation system in place for women to be in leadership roles. This is similar to the finding of RedR in Jordan that female staff have to balance their career and cultural expectations of household activities (24).

The study also found that although training is organised on different aspects of gender and protection mainstreaming, there is a lack of focus on training on child protection, communication with children and inclusion of various vulnerable groups, e.g. older persons, persons with disability and people, and people living with HIV. Also, the training opportunities are not equal for all agencies, and sometimes training methods are not adapted according to the capacity level of the staff.

Safety and security:

A strength of this response is that the health sector and partners conduct a rationalisation exercise that can recommend partners to establish or relocate their facilities to a safer and more accessible location. This appears to be an effective strategy given that some partners have relocated their facilities to safer places following the rationalisation's recommendation. Even though natural disasters such as monsoons and cyclones pose critical threats to health operations, the health partners implement mitigating measures, such as the operation of mobile medical teams, to provide lifesaving health services. The installation of general security measures, such as lights, security guards, etc., by most facilities is also recognised as an effective safety measure.

The study found that inconsistent power supply to the health facilities in the refugee camps is a critical challenge, which can increase the risk of exposure to gender-based violence or impede timely access to emergency health services. A sustainable emergency solution should be planned at the sector and policy level, taking into account different best stories in a similar context (54,55).

The placement of infection and control measures in most health facilities by the partners is a strength of this system to prevent hospital-acquired infection among staff, patients and the community. However, further science-based research should be undertaken to better understand the effectiveness of the protocols in the facilities (56). The study found that Cox's Bazar is yet to have a comprehensive biomedical waste management system which is linked to the protection risk of the community and healthcare workers due to the infectious and hazardous nature of biomedical waste. This concern is not specific to Cox's Bazar but generalised for other emergency and low-resource settings, exacerbated during the COVID-19 pandemic (57,58). The health partners should coordinate and share responsibilities for a harmonised waste management system exploring innovative solutions (57).

Infrastructure, WASH and patient flow:

This study found a mixed type of adherence of partners to the recommendations on infrastructure, WASH and patient flow in relation to gender and protection mainstreaming. While most of the facilities have sex-segregated toilets, only half of the facilities have a disability-friendly toilet, and consideration of access to children is merely considered in the toilet facilities. A gross number of health facilities are not adhering to recommended infrastructural adaptations to the health facilities for older persons and persons with disabilities. Also, concern was raised that having the physical presence of these segregated toilets or adapted structures does not guarantee their appropriate utilisation by the target groups. These concerns could be linked to a lack of guidance on infrastructure design, a lack of sensitisation of facility designers on gender and protection mainstreaming, as well as a lack of orientation of the healthcare workers on the

need for such segregation and arrangement. Having separate consultation rooms for males and females is often not practical in many facilities, especially in small facilities with limited human resources.

Although the Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene Health Facility Improvement Tool (WASH FIT) outlines some indicators to monitor gender and disability inclusion in WASH in health facilities, comprehensive guidance should be developed for the partners to address the above concerns of gender and protection mainstreaming in health facility infrastructure, WASH, and patient flow systems.

Prioritisation of older people, persons with disability, unaccompanied children and pregnant women in the patient queue is not standardised and not practised universally in all facilities. This is linked to a lack of standardised triage tools for all health facilities and the fact that standard triage tools used globally (e.g. ETAT, IITT) prioritise patients based on acuity, not based on social vulnerability. The study also found that vulnerable groups with protection concerns (e.g. women, pregnant and lactating mothers, older persons, persons with disability, and people living with HIV) are often not consulted in practice to learn their concerns, needs and cultures, which could be reflected in the health facility design and infrastructural adaptation.

Child protection:

The research identified a critical gap in the capacity building of healthcare workers on communication with children and child protection. Strengthening the child protection system is crucial to preventing and responding to abuse and exploitation (59) and strengthening the health workforce can contribute to strengthening the child protection system. The research also identified some best practices, e.g., organising social/entertainment events for children and having space for children to play/art by a few agencies, which can be scaled up for better psychosocial well-being of the children.

Targeted interventions toward vulnerable groups:

The research found that only a few agencies in the camps are engaged in rehabilitative, palliative and home-based care for persons with disability and older persons. Also, there are no standardised guidelines or referral pathways made available for the treatment, support and care of persons with disability and geriatric illness. This can be related to the fact that rehabilitative and palliative care are not integrated with the primary healthcare provision in Cox's Bazar (50) and there is limited data available on the barriers and concerns of persons with disability and older people not only in Cox's Bazar but globally in other contexts (8,31). The study also found a lack of targeted initiatives for the gender-diverse population (GDP), which could contribute to systemic inequalities and hinder the effectiveness of broader efforts aimed at promoting inclusivity and equity. Therefore, evidence-based targeted initiatives are warranted to address the specific barriers and opportunities of people with different vulnerabilities.

Prevention and Response to Gender Based Violence (GBV)

The study found that a significant effort has been made to prevent and respond to GBV in the Rohingya refugee camps, as evidenced by a comprehensive GBV referral pathway in place for all camps, and over 90% of the PHCs have the provision of GBV services. However, there are some gaps, such as only half of the health posts having a CMR referral pathway and a few camps not having the facility to provide GBV PEP services. The study also found some key challenges, like misconceptions among staff regarding reporting GBV cases and frequent staff turnover affecting the availability of trainers for facilitating relevant training. One of the best practices identified by the research is that some agencies provide GBV medical (CMR) and protection (case management) services in the same facility, enhancing the timeliness, promptness, effectiveness, and confidentiality of the response. Another innovation is that an agency has healthcare workers (e.g., midwives) in the women and girls safe spaces (WGSS) and safe and health learning spaces to provide healthcare and education services integrated with GBV prevention and case management services. With the partners' efforts and a strong monitoring and coordination system, GBV service is well-mainstreamed in health response. This is significant progress in

comparison to the preliminary situation in refugee influx, when a study found that the public health and aid agencies gave little attention to the survivors of GBV in Rohingya refugee camps (60). The progress can be considered as a strength in this response, comparing the findings with other similar settings like the Uganda crisis, where the GBV response faced several challenges, including the inadequate provision of screening, health staff, drugs and treatment psycho-social counselling (61,62).

Communication with the community and accountability to the

affected population

888

889

890

891

892

893

894

895

896

897

898

899

900

901

902

903

904

905

906

907

908

909

910

911

912

913

914

915

Our study found that although it is in principle to engage different layers of the community, including women, men, girls, boys, children, elderly, GDP and persons with disability throughout the project life cycle, this is often neglected in practice. Partners have various methods of collecting feedback from the community, but concern was raised on whether such feedback is taken into consideration to influence the facility's quality improvement. However, one of the best practices mentioned is having a community health facility support group with representation from different layers of society, which can have direct dialogue with the facility management and influence system change and improvement. The research also found that a network of 1300 community health workers, mostly female, play a critical role in community engagement through household visits and community sessions. These findings are similar to another research study by the author on COVID-19 risk communication and community engagement, which concluded that the selection of CHWs from the local community, deployment of female CHWs, and speaking in the local dialect can significantly contribute to community engagement (63). Engagement of female CHWs was also considered best practice in other contexts (27,64). However, the risk communication and community engagement strategy should be more inclusive of gender, age, and disability, and specific strategies and materials should be designed to reach out to different groups. WHO community engagement guidelines also emphasise local understanding and engagement consistent with the language, culture, and context (65).

Information Management

916

917

918

919

920

921

922

923

924

925

926

927

928

929

930

931

932

933

934

935

936

937

938

939

940

941

942

943

Although one of the core requirements for gender and protection mainstreaming is to have sex, age and disability disaggregated data, some key reporting systems in Cox's Bazar, e.g. EWARS, DHIS-2 only desegregate data based on sex (male-female) and below five years and over five years with the exception for case report forms for ongoing outbreaks having details of gender and age. The systems hardly have options for disaggregation of data for persons with disability and GDP. The finding of this study echoes the result of a study by Robinson el (2020) (8), which found limited evidence on data collection and identification of persons with disability and older persons in humanitarian response. Disaggregation of data to the extent possible enables to identify whether assistance is being distributed or accessed impartially or disproportionately (2). Therefore, the reporting systems should be upgraded to have proper disaggregation based on age (inclusive of adolescent and older age), gender (not just sex) and disability. The study found a good practice of using the Washington Group Short Set on Functioning (WG-SS) by some agencies to document the proportion of people with disability, though it has the drawback that it is not child-inclusive and does not capture the barriers of persons with disability. Therefore, WG-SS should be further scaled up at all facilities and other relevant tools, e.g. DTM MSLA for Disability Inclusion, can be used to identify barriers faced by persons with disability (66). Washington Group/UNICEF child functioning module can be used for surveying children with disability (67).

Emergency preparedness and response:

The study found that the emergency preparedness and response system in Cox's Bazar has a strong collaboration between health and protection partners. During emergencies, a protection officer remains as a part of the emergency mobile medical teams to deal with the protection concern. Mobile medical teams also coordinate with Protection Emergency Response Units (PERU) to integrate the management of protection concerns (e.g., GBV, UASC). Additionally, the mobile medical teams ensure that midwives and MHPSS staff in the teams provide SRH, mental health, and psychosocial support. Our study echoes the finding of An After Action Review

following a massive fire incident in 2021 that a protection focal person from the protection sector in MMT is a best practice for protection mainstreaming in emergency health response for early identification, initial support and referral of individuals with protection concern (68).

COVID-19 outbreak response:

944

945

946

947

948

949

950

951

952

953

954

955

956

957

958

959

960

961

962

963

964

965

966

967

968

969

970

971

The COVID-19 response in Cox's Bazar made some remarkable arrangements for gender and protection mainstreaming, which includes age and sex-disaggregated data systems and separate male, female and child wards. There was strong collaboration between health and child protection partners for admission of children with COVID-19 or caring for children with a COVID-19-positive caregiver. The Child Protection subsector also trained healthcare workers as "child carers" to provide for unaccompanied children while in the centre. One challenge was that the PPE designed for the healthcare workers and the general community were not gender inclusive. This is not just a local concern in Cox's Bazar but a general concern that happened globally (69). However, partners demonstrated some innovative solutions of having "medical hijab' and culturally friendly scrubs for women, which can be marked as a best practice and replicated in other outbreaks. The study also found that there was confusion or misconception among women regarding the nikab, whether it is equivalent to a mask. Such confusion was also found in other settings in the world (70). However, this confusion/misconception was not addressed in the risk communication and community engagement strategy, which increases the exposure risk of women to COVID-19. The COVID-19 vaccination campaign for the Rohingya refugees is considered another best example of gender and protection mainstreaming where all gender and age groups are gradually enrolled. A best practice of collaboration among health, site management and protection actors was found to identify and provide porter support to individuals having mobility issues (e.g. persons with disability, extreme age, and critically ill patients).

Limitation of the study:

This research could be more informative if more data collection methods were employed, e.g., actual observation of gender and mainstreaming processes in the refugee camps, focus group discussion, or interviews with vulnerable groups. However, due to the limitations of time and resources and consideration of protection concerns, the research methods were limited to the literature review and in-depth interviews of professionals.

Sexual and reproductive health concerns were intentionally excluded from the study, considering the availability of extensive literature in this field.

Conclusion and Recommendations

972

973

974

975

976

977

978

979

980

981

982

983

984

985

986

987

988

989

990

991

992

993

994

995

996

997

998


999

Gender and protection mainstreaming in humanitarian health response is a complex phenomenon that involves multiple sectors and actors from all layers. Analysing existing strategies, tools and guidelines, our study identified 68 recommended interventions under nine general themes, i.e., partnership and coordination, safety and security, infrastructure, WASH and patient flow, child protection measures, targeted interventions toward vulnerable groups, GBV prevention and response and information management. Exploring practices on gender and protection mainstreaming, we added two more themes: emergency preparedness and response and COVID-19 response. The study revealed a range of good practices on gender and protection mainstreaming in health response, e.g. placement of a gender action plan, application of Gender with Age Marker (GAM), monitoring system for gender and disability inclusion, rationalisation exercise on location and distribution of health facilities, emergency preparedness and response system, infection prevention and control system, availability of sex-segregated toilets and waiting spaces, established GBV referral pathway and availability of GBV service at most PHCs and engagement of female CHWs from local community. The study also revealed some best practices that are happening on a small scale but could be scaled up, such as arranging psycho-social events/spaces at health facilities for children, palliative care for terminally ill patients, integrated medical and protection services at health facilities, and facilitating community health facility support groups. We identified some interventions that need further improvement or scale-up,

e.g., the provision of disability-segregated toilets, setting up a comprehensive waste management system, provision of sex, age and disability-disaggregated data and a responsive community feedback mechanism. We also found critical gaps in some areas, e.g. lack of women's leadership in health response, gaps in terms of coordination, capacity building, strategy planning and targeted interventions to address needs and concerns of older age, disability, GDP and other vulnerable groups, limited scope of monitoring the quality/functionality of the interventions, lack of adherence to infrastructural adaptation measures, inconsistent power supply to the health facility, limited effort in consultation with community, especially women and vulnerable groups on their concerns and absence of any triage protocols that prioritise socially vulnerable individuals.

Based on the research findings, we recommend the following actions to improve gender and protection mainstreaming in health response.

- (1) There should be a comprehensive gender and protection mainstreaming practical guide for the partners harmonising all existing resources with details on practical solutions. The focus should be given to the inclusion of all vulnerabilities, including gender, age, disability and any form of discrimination and stigmatisation. In line with the guideline, the Gender Action Plan can be further expanded, covering all vulnerabilities with clear actions.
- (2) Women's leadership in health response should be promoted and strategised, ensuring their representation in all technical, management, and coordination roles at all levels.
- (3) The Monitoring and evaluation system for gender and protection mainstreaming should be further strengthened, incorporating qualitative measures to measure the quality and functionality of the interventions.
- 1023 (4) A comprehensive capacity-building plan on gender and protection issues should be
 1024 developed, ensuring the benefit of staff from all levels of agencies and staff.
- 1025 (5) All facilities in inaccessible areas or with unsafe routes should be relocated to safer and more accessible locations.
- 1027 (6) Advocacy initiatives should be undertaken to ensure consistent power supply to health facilities. Low-cost innovative solutions can be further researched.



practices, and apply the recommendations mentioned above. Although the research addressed gender and protection mainstreaming at the local level, many of the findings can be generalised to other similar humanitarian contexts; consequently, the global health and protection clusters and respective sectors in other local contexts can use the research findings to advance the field. Moreover, this study paved the way for future quantitative and qualitative research on the themes and actions found in this study pertaining to gender and protection mainstreaming in humanitarian health responses.

Acknowledgement

1058

1059

1060

1061

1062

1063

1064

1065

1066

1067

1068

1069

1070

1071

1072

1073

1074

1075

This article is based on a dissertation by the author that was submitted to the University of Manchester for the MSc in Global Health at the Humanitarian and Conflict Response Institute in the Faculty School of Humanities. The author thanks everyone who provided their generous support for the successful completion of this study and acknowledges the contribution of the 12 health and protection experts in Cox's Bazar who took part in the in-depth interviews and gave their valuable time, information and opinions for this research.

References:

- 1076 1. World Health Organization. Managing WHO Humanitarian Response in the Field: Draft
- 1077 [Internet]. 2008 [cited 2022 Aug 30]. Available from:
- 1078 https://www.who.int/hac/techguidance/tools/full manual.pdf
- 1079 2. Sphere Project, editor. The sphere handbook: humanitarian charter and minimum standards in
- humanitarian response. Fourth edition. Geneva, Switzerland: Sphere Association; 2018. 406 p.
- 1081 3. Global Protection Cluster. Brief on protection mainstreaming [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2022 May
- 1082 24]. Available from: https://globalprotectioncluster.org/sites/default/files/2022-
- 1083 05/brief on protection mainstreaming.pdf
- 1084 4. ActionAid. On the frontline: Catalyzing women's leadership in humanitarian action. [Internet].
- 1085 ActionAid; 2016 [cited 2022 Aug 30]. Available from:

- 1086 https://www.actionaid.org.uk/sites/default/files/publications/actionaid policy report on the frontlin
- 1087 e catalysing womens leadership in humanitarian action.pdf
- 1088 5. Aditi Sharma. The influence of patriarchy on women's health. Scholarsphere [Internet]. 2021
- 1089 [cited 2022 Aug 30]; Available from: https://scholarsphere.psu.edu/resources/af04330a-2df1-460c-a61f-
- 1090 91573f688be3
- 1091 6. Antai D, Namasivayam, Osuorah, Syed. The role of gender inequities in women's access to
- 1092 reproductive health care: a population-level study of Namibia, Kenya, Nepal, and India. Int J Womens
- 1093 Health. 2012 Jul;351.
- 7. Age and Disability Consortium. Humanitarian inclusion standards for older people and people
- with disabilities. [Internet]. Age and Disability Consortium; 2018 [cited 2022 May 6]. Available from:
- 1096 https://reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/Humanitarian_inclusion_standards_for_older_p
- 1097 eople_and_people_with_disabi....pdf
- 1098 8. Alex Robinson, Manjula Marella, Lana Logam. Gap Analysis: the inclusion of people with
- disability and older people in humanitarian response; Key findings from academic and grey literature
- 1100 reviews [Internet]. London: ELHRA; 2020 [cited 2022 Aug 30]. Available from:
- 1101 https://www.elrha.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/07/Elrha-HIF Gap-Analysis Inclusion-of-people-with-
- disability-and-older-people_Literature-Review2020-A.pdf
- 1103 9. UNHCR Bangladesh Operational Update April 2022 [Internet]. UNHCR; 2022 Apr. Available from:
- 1104 https://data.unhcr.org/en/documents/details/92906
- 1105 10. Polonsky JA, Ivey M, Mazhar MdKA, Rahman Z, Le Polain De Waroux O, Karo B, et al.
- 1106 Epidemiological, clinical, and public health response characteristics of a large outbreak of diphtheria
- among the Rohingya population in Cox's Bazar, Bangladesh, 2017 to 2019: A retrospective study. Spiegel
- 1108 P, editor. PLOS Med. 2021 Apr 1;18(4):e1003587.
- 11. Faruque ASG, Khan AI, Islam SMR, Nahar B, Hossain MN, Widiati Y, et al. Diarrhea treatment
- 1110 center (DTC) based diarrheal disease surveillance in settlements in the wake of the mass influx of
- forcibly displaced Myanmar national (FDMN) in Cox's Bazar, Bangladesh, 2018. Riddle MS, editor. PLOS
- 1112 ONE. 2021 Aug 2;16(8):e0254473.
- 1113 12. Halder CE, Hasan MA, Mohamud YM, Nyawara M, Okello JC, Mizan MN, et al. COVID-19
- 1114 preventive measures in Rohingya refugee camps: An assessment of knowledge, attitude and practice.
- 1115 Dubik SD, editor. PLOS ONE. 2024 Jan 24;19(1):e0282558.
- 1116 13. Health Sector, Cox's Bazar, Health sector Strategic Plan (2023 2024) [Internet]. Cox's Bazar,
- 1117 Bangladesh: Health Sector; 2022. Available from: https://rohingyaresponse.org/wp-
- 1118 content/uploads/2023/04/Health-Sector-Strategic-Plan-2023-2024.pdf
- 1119 14. OXFAM. Breaking barriers for Rohingya refugee women [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2022 Aug 30].
- 1120 Available from: https://www.oxfam.org/en/breaking-barriers-rohingya-refugee-women
- 1121 15. REACH. Bangladesh: age and disability inclusion needs assessment Rohingya refugee response
- 1122 [Internet]. REACH; 2021 May [cited 202AD Aug 28]. Available from: https://www.impact-

- 1123 repository.org/document/reach/17afa088/REACH BGD Report Age-and-Disability-Inclusion-Needs-
- 1124 Assessment_May-2021.pdf
- 1125 16. Global Protection Cluster. Health Tips for Protection Mainstreaming [Internet]. 2014 [cited
- 1126 2022 May 24]. Available from:
- 1127 https://www.globalprotectioncluster.org/_assets/files/aors/protection_mainstreaming/PM_training/Pr
- 1128 otection%20Mainstreaming%20Tip%20Sheet%20-%20Health%20Programs.docx
- 1129 17. Pakistan Protection Cluster. Checklist for protection mainstreaming in health [Internet]. Pakistan
- 1130 Protection Cluster; 2012 [cited 2022 May 4]. Available from:
- 1131 https://www.globalprotectioncluster.org/_assets/files/aors/protection_mainstreaming/Country
- 1132 Examples/Pakistan/PAK_Protection_Mainstreaming_Checklist_Health_2012_EN.pdf
- 1133 18. Somalia Protection Cluster. Mainstreaming Protection in health Somalia operation [Internet].
- Somalia Protection Cluster; 2019 [cited 2022 Aug 26]. Available from:
- 1135 https://reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/pm somalia booklet health final.pdf
- 1136 19. UNICEF. Enhancing gender in humanitarian response [Internet]. UNICEF; 2018 [cited 2022 May
- 1137 4]. Available from: https://www.unicef.org/rosa/media/2481/file/Enhancing Gender in Humanitarian
- 1138 Response.pdf
- 1139 20. Inter-Agency Standing Committee. Guidelines inclusion of persons with disabilities in
- humanitarian action [Internet]. 2019 [cited 2022 May 6]. Available from:
- 1141 https://interagencystandingcommittee.org/system/files/2020-
- 11/IASC%20Guidelines%20on%20the%20Inclusion%20of%20Persons%20with%20Disabilities%20in%20H
- 1143 umanitarian%20Action%2C%202019 0.pdf
- 1144 21. Patel P, Meagher K, El Achi N, Ekzayez A, Sullivan R, Bowsher G. "Having more women
- 1145 humanitarian leaders will help transform the humanitarian system": challenges and opportunities for
- women leaders in conflict and humanitarian health. Confl Health. 2020 Dec;14(1):84.
- 1147 22. Meagher K, Singh NS, Patel P. The role of gender inclusive leadership during the COVID-19
- pandemic to support vulnerable populations in conflict settings. BMJ Glob Health. 2020
- 1149 Sep;5(9):e003760.
- 1150 23. Witter S, Namakula J, Wurie H, Chirwa Y, So S, Vong S, et al. The gendered health workforce:
- mixed methods analysis from four fragile and post-conflict contexts. Health Policy Plan. 2017 Dec
- 1152 1;32(suppl 5):v52-62.
- 1153 24. Selma Scheewe. Power women female leaders in the humanitarian sector Jordan: experiences
- 1154 of context project participants [Internet]. RedR UK; [cited 2022 Aug 30]. Available from:
- https://www.redr.org.uk/getmedia/d2d7cd16-5551-493e-a33a-bcc7fada7397/CPW_report_1.pdf
- 1156 25. Myers A, Sami S, Onyango MA, Karki H, Anggraini R, Krause S. Facilitators and barriers in
- implementing the Minimum Initial Services Package (MISP) for reproductive health in Nepal post-
- earthquake. Confl Health. 2018 Dec;12(1):35.

- 1159 26. Beek K, Drysdale R, Kusen M, Dawson A. Preparing for and responding to sexual and
- 1160 reproductive health in disaster settings: evidence from Fiji and Tonga. Reprod Health. 2021
- 1161 Dec;18(1):185.
- 1162 27. Warren E, Post N, Hossain M, Blanchet K, Roberts B. Systematic review of the evidence on the
- 1163 effectiveness of sexual and reproductive health interventions in humanitarian crises. BMJ Open. 2015
- 1164 Dec;5(12):e008226.
- 1165 28. Desrosiers A, Betancourt T, Kergoat Y, Servilli C, Say L, Kobeissi L. A systematic review of sexual
- and reproductive health interventions for young people in humanitarian and lower-and-middle-income
- country settings. BMC Public Health. 2020 Dec;20(1):666.
- 1168 29. Casey SE, Chynoweth SK, Cornier N, Gallagher MC, Wheeler EE. Progress and gaps in
- reproductive health services in three humanitarian settings: mixed-methods case studies. Confl Health.
- 1170 2015 Dec;9(S1):S3.
- 1171 30. Guttmacher Institute, Sully EA, Biddlecom A, Darroch JE, Riley T, Ashford LS, et al. Adding It Up:
- 1172 Investing in Sexual and Reproductive Health 2019 [Internet]. Guttmacher Institute; 2020 Jul [cited 2024]
- 1173 Jul 26]. Available from: https://www.guttmacher.org/report/adding-it-up-investing-in-sexual-
- 1174 reproductive-health-2019
- 1175 31. P. Wesley, Manjula Marella, Alex Robinson. Gap Analysis: the inclusion of people with disability
- and older people in humanitarian response; Part 2: Beyond the evidence: Implications for innovation
- and practice [Internet]. London: ELHRA; 2020 [cited 2022 Aug 20]. Available from:
- 1178 https://www.elrha.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/Nossal-Elrha Report Part 2-accessible.pdf
- 1179 32. Baškarada S. Qualitative Case Study Guidelines [Internet]. Commonwealth of Australia; 2013
- 1180 [cited 2022 Aug 30]. Available from: https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/pdfs/ADA594462.pdf
- 1181 33. Rohingya refugee response. About the Rohingya Refugee Response [Internet]. Available from:
- 1182 https://rohingyaresponse.org/about-us/
- 1183 34. ISCG. Joint Response Plan 2022: Rohingya Humanitarian Crisis. [Internet]. 2022. Available from:
- 1184 https://reliefweb.int/report/bangladesh/2022-joint-response-plan-rohingya-humanitarian-crisis-
- 1185 january-december-2022
- 1186 35. Family health international. Module 1: Qualitative Research Methods Overview. In: Qualitative
- 1187 Research Methods: A Data Collector's Field Guide [Internet]. Family health international; Available from:
- 1188 https://course.ccs.neu.edu/is4800sp12/resources/qualmethods.pdf
- 1189 36. Kiger ME, Varpio L. Thematic analysis of qualitative data: AMEE Guide No. 131. Med Teach. 2020
- 1190 Aug 2;42(8):846-54.
- 1191 37. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qual Res Psychol. 2006 Jan;3(2):77–
- 1192 101.
- 1193 38. Health Sector, Cox's Bazar. Health Sector Gender Action Plan 2021. 2021.
- 1194 39. Protection Sector, Cox's Bazar. Health Sector Programs Tips for Protection Mainstreaming
- 1195 [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2022 Aug 3]. Available from: https://reliefweb.int/attachments/43d86f01-647a-

- 1196 34c0-ae0f-06d3920b1bf7/protection mainstreaming tip sheet health programs -
- 1197 _revision_2022.pdf
- 1198 40. Health Sector, Cox's Bazar. Accountability to Affected Populations (AAP) Framework [Internet].
- 2021. Available from: https://rohingyaresponse.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/04/Accountability-to-
- 1200 Affected-Populations-AAP-Framework-August-2021.pdf
- 1201 41. Health Sector, Cox's Bazar. Child Protection & Health Care for Children in Health Facilities during
- 1202 COVID-19 Cox's Bazar [Internet]. 2020. Available from: https://reliefweb.int/attachments/6ece8ada-
- 1203 203d-388b-bae8-43b4c96cf81f/protection_care_for_children_in_health_facilities_fnl.pdf
- 1204 42. Inter-Agency Standing Committee. Gender with Age Marker (GAM) [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2022
- 1205 Aug 28]. Available from: https://www.iascgenderwithagemarker.com/en/home/
- 1206 43. Gender in Humanitarian Action Working Group. Gender in Humanitarian Action [Internet]. [cited
- 1207 2022 Aug 28]. Available from: https://rohingyaresponse.org/cross-cutting/gender-in-humanitarian-
- 1208 action/
- 1209 44. Health Sector, Cox's Bazar. Health sector quarterly monitoring report (Jan Mar 2022)
- 1210 [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2022 Aug 30]. Available from: Health Sector Quarterly Bulletin (April June 2022).
- 1211 45. Health Sector, Cox's Bazar. Health Sector Quarterly Bulletin (April June 2022) [Internet]. Cox's
- Bazar: Health Sector; [cited 2022 Aug 30]. Available from: https://reliefweb.int/attachments/72c694d9-
- 1213 8990-41f8-8912-ca640b1d4c43/april-june 2022 health sector bulletin.pdf
- 1214 46. World Health Organization. Water and sanitation for health facility improvement tool (WASH
- 1215 FIT): a practical guide for improving quality of care through water, sanitation and hygiene in health care
- facilities [Internet]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2017 [cited 2024 Jul 26]. 104 p. Available from:
- 1217 https://iris.who.int/handle/10665/254910
- 1218 47. Khan HTA, Rahman MA, Molla MH, Shahjahan M, Abdullah RB. Humanitarian Emergencies of
- 1219 Rohingya Older People in Bangladesh: A Qualitative Study on Hopes and Reality. Ageing Int. 2022
- 1220 Mar;47(1):20-37.
- 1221 48. Help Age. Myanmar crisis [Internet]. [cited 2022 Aug 28]. Available from:
- 1222 https://www.helpage.org/what-we-do/emergencies/humanitarian-response/rohingya-crisis/
- 1223 49. Protection Working Group Cox's Bazar, Bangladesh: Referral Pathway for different services (as
- of November 2022) [Internet]. [cited 2022 Aug 2]. Available from:
- 1225 https://reliefweb.int/report/bangladesh/protection-working-group-coxs-bazar-bangladesh-referral-
- 1226 pathway-different-services-november-2022
- 1227 50. Health Sector, Cox's Bazar. Minimum Package of Essential Health Services for Primary healthcare
- facilities in the FDMN camps, Cox Bazar [Internet]. Cox's Bazar; 2020 [cited 2022 Aug 1]. Available from:
- 1229 https://rohingyaresponse.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/04/Minimum-Package-of-Essential-Health-
- 1230 Services-for-Primary-Healthcare-Facilities-in-FDMNRefugee-Camps.pdf
- 1231 51. Health Sector, Cox's Bazar. Health sector cyclone and monsoon contingency plan. Cox's Bazar.
- 1232 2021.

- 1233 52. Health Sector, Cox's Bazar. Mobile Medical Team Operational Plan, Cox's Bazar. Cox's Bazar:
- 1234 Mobile Medical Team Technical Working Group; 2020.
- 1235 53. European Institute for Gender Equality. Gender Equality in Academia and Research [Internet].
- 1236 2022. Available from: https://eige.europa.eu/gender-mainstreaming/toolkits/gear/step-step-
- 1237 guide/step-5
- 1238 54. IOM. Solar Power Brings 24-Hour Healthcare to Rohingya Refugees, Local Communities in
- 1239 Bangladesh [Internet]. Cox's Bazar: IOM; 2017 [cited 2022 Aug 28]. Available from:
- 1240 https://www.iom.int/news/solar-power-brings-24-hour-healthcare-rohingya-refugees-local-
- 1241 communities-bangladesh
- 1242 55. Reliefweb. Going Solar in Cox's Bazar: Ensuring a Sustainable Future for Refugees and Host
- 1243 Communities [Internet]. Reliefweb; 2021 [cited 2022 Aug 28]. Available from:
- 1244 https://reliefweb.int/report/bangladesh/going-solar-cox-s-bazar-ensuring-sustainable-future-refugees-
- 1245 and-host-communities
- 1246 56. Barrera-Cancedda AE, Riman KA, Shinnick JE, Buttenheim AM. Implementation strategies for
- 1247 infection prevention and control promotion for nurses in Sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review.
- 1248 Implement Sci. 2019 Dec;14(1):111.
- 1249 57. Sharma U, Kalra S, Gupta S, Seth N, Mawari G, Kumar N, et al. The crisis of biomedical wastes
- 1250 associated with the COVID-19 pandemic and management using sustainable technologies for sound
- management of healthcare waste associated with pandemics. Int J Community Med Public Health. 2022
- 1252 Jan 28;9(2):1084.
- 1253 58. Chaudhary N, Mahato SK, Chaudhary S, Bhatiya BD. Biomedical Waste Management in Nepal: a
- 1254 Review. J Univers Coll Med Sci. 2015 Feb 7;2(4):45–52.
- 1255 59. UNICEF. Protecting children in humanitarian action [Internet]. UNICEF; [cited 2022 Aug 29].
- 1256 Available from: https://www.unicef.org/protection/protecting-children-in-humanitarian-action#how
- 1257 60. Hossain MM, Sultana A, Das A. Gender-based violence among Rohingya refugees in Bangladesh:
- 1258 a public health challenge. Indian J Med Ethics [Internet]. 2018 Jun 6 [cited 2024 Jul 25]; Available from:
- 1259 http://ijme.in/articles/gender-based-violence-among-rohingya-refugees-in-bangladesh-a-public-health-
- 1260 challenge/?galley=html
- 1261 61. Henttonen M, Watts C, Roberts B, Kaducu F, Borchert M. Health Services for Survivors of
- 1262 Gender-Based Violence in Northern Uganda: A Qualitative Study. Reprod Health Matters. 2008
- 1263 Jan;16(31):122-31.
- 1264 62. Liebling H, Barrett H, Artz L. South Sudanese Refugee Survivors of Sexual and Gender-Based
- 1265 Violence and Torture: Health and Justice Service Responses in Northern Uganda. Int J Environ Res Public
- 1266 Health. 2020 Mar 5;17(5):1685.
- 1267 63. Halder CE, Hasan MA, Mohamud YM, Nyawara M, Okello JC, Mizan MN, et al. Understanding the
- 1268 challenges and gaps in community engagement interventions for COVID-19 prevention strategies in
- 1269 Rohingya refugees: a qualitative study with frontline workers and community representatives. Front
- 1270 Public Health. 2023 Aug 3;11:1169050.

- 1271 64. Singh NS, Smith J, Aryasinghe S, Khosla R, Say L, Blanchet K. Evaluating the effectiveness of
- 1272 sexual and reproductive health services during humanitarian crises: A systematic review. Keygnaert I,
- 1273 editor. PLOS ONE. 2018 Jul 6;13(7):e0199300.
- 1274 65. World Health Organization. Community engagement: a health promotion guide for universal
- health coverage in the hands of the people. World Health Organization [Internet]. Geneva, Switzerland;
- 1276 2020. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/334379
- 1277 66. IOM. DTM MSLA for Disability Inclusion [Internet]. [cited 2022 Aug 28]. Available from:
- 1278 https://displacement.iom.int/dtm-partners-toolkit/guide/dtm-msla-disability-inclusion
- 1279 67. UNICEF. Child Functioning [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2022 Aug 30]. Available from:
- 1280 https://data.unicef.org/topic/child-disability/data-collection-tools/module-on-child-functioning/
- 1281 68. World Health Organization, International Organization for Migration. After Action Review (AAR)
- 1282 Report: Response to the Fire Incident by the Mobile Medical Teams in Rohingya Refugee Camps
- 1283 [Internet]. Cox's Bazar; 2021 [cited 2022 Sep 30]. Available from:
- 1284 https://reliefweb.int/attachments/046ff6dd-9058-32fe-8c74-
- 1285 0204e2eeb5fd/after_action_review_aar_report_of_mmt_response_to_the_fire_incident_in_march_20
- 1286 21-2.pdf

1293

1295

- 1287 69. Root RL. PPE is designed for men. Some health experts are hoping to change that. Devex
- 1288 [Internet]. 2021 Jul 26 [cited 2022 Aug 30]; Available from: https://www.devex.com/news/ppe-is-
- designed-for-men-some-health-experts-are-hoping-to-change-that-100388
- 1290 70. Bessonov A. Do religious face coverings count as masks? Your COVID-19 questions answered.
- 1291 CBC News [Internet]. 2020 Jul 22 [cited 2022 May 6]; Available from:
- 1292 https://www.cbc.ca/news/health/religious-face-coverings-covid-questions-answered-1.5657670

Supporting information

S1. Interview Guidance. Guidance Questionnaire for Interview