

1 **Evolving cartilage strain with pain progression and gait: a longitudinal** 2 **study post-ACL reconstruction at six and twelve months**

3 *Emily Y. Miller¹, Timothy Lowe², Hongtian Zhu², Woowon Lee², Pablo F. Argote², Danielle*
4 *Dresdner¹, James Kelly¹, Rachel M. Frank³, Eric McCarty³, Jonathan Bravman³, Daniel Stokes³,*
5 *Nancy C. Emery⁴, Corey P. Neu^{1,2,5,*}*

6 ¹ *Biomedical Engineering Program, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO, USA*

7 ² *Paul M. Rady Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder,*
8 *CO, USA*

9 ³ *CU Sports Medicine; Department of Orthopedics, University of Colorado Anschutz Medical*
10 *Campus, Aurora, CO, USA*

11 ⁴ *Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO,*
12 *USA*

13 ⁵ *BioFrontiers Institute, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO, USA*

14 ** Corresponding Author:*

15

16 **Corresponding Author Contact:**

17 Corey P. Neu, PhD

18 cpneu@colorado.edu

19 303-492-7330

20 *1111 Engineering Drive, UCB 427, Paul M. Rady Department of Mechanical Engineering,*
21 *University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO, USA, 80309-0427*

22 **Funding information:** Financial support was provided by the National Institutes of Health (2
23 R01 AR063712, and AR063712S1).

24 **Manuscript Type:** Original Research

25 **Word Count for Text:** 2432

26 **Data sharing statement:** Data generated or analyzed during the study are available from the
27 corresponding author by request.

28 **Keywords:** Osteoarthritis, MRI, markerless human motion, joint and tissue degeneration,
29 biomarkers.

30

31

32 **Abbreviated Title Page (Anonymized)**

33 **Evolving cartilage strain with pain progression and gait: a longitudinal**
34 **study post-ACL reconstruction at six and twelve months**

35 **Manuscript Type:** Original Research

36

37 **Summary Statement:** Early post-ACL reconstruction changes in cartilage strain patterns,
38 occurring between 6 and 12 months post-ACL surgery, are significantly correlated with increased
39 pain and altered knee loading patterns, suggesting potential early markers of cartilage
40 degeneration.

41

42 **Key Results:**

- 43 1. Increased axial and transverse strains in the tibial cartilage from 6 to 12 months post-ACL
44 reconstruction were significantly correlated with increased pain, suggesting evolving
45 changes in cartilage biomechanical properties over time.
- 46 2. Decreases in shear strain in inner femoral and central tibial cartilage regions were linked
47 to increased pain, indicating alterations in joint loading patterns.
- 48 3. Decreases in shear strain in the inner femoral cartilage were significantly associated with
49 decreased 12-month knee adduction moment (KAM), a surrogate for medial cartilage knee
50 loading during walking.

51

52 **List of Abbreviations:**

53 ACL: Anterior Cruciate Ligament

54 ACL: Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction

55 OA: Osteoarthritis

56 qMRI: Quantitative Magnetic Resonance Imaging

57 dualMRI: displacements under applied load Magnetic Resonance Imaging

58 KAM: Knee adduction moment

59 KOOS: Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score

60 WOMAC: Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index

61 **Abstract (300 words or less, structured as follows)**

62 **Background:** Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injuries are prevalent musculoskeletal conditions
63 often resulting in long-term degenerative outcomes such as osteoarthritis (OA). Despite surgical
64 advances in ACL reconstruction, a significant number of patients develop OA within ten years
65 post-surgery, providing a patient population that may present early markers of cartilage
66 degeneration detectable using noninvasive imaging.

67 **Purpose:** This study aims to investigate the temporal evolution of cartilage strain and relaxometry
68 post-ACL reconstruction using displacement under applied loading MRI and quantitative MRI.
69 Specifically, we examined the correlations between MRI metrics and pain, as well as knee loading
70 patterns during gait, to identify early candidate markers of cartilage degeneration.

71 **Materials and Methods:** Twenty-five participants (female/male = 15/10; average age = 25.6 yrs)
72 undergoing ACL reconstruction were enrolled in a prospective longitudinal cohort study between
73 2022 and 2023. MRI scans were conducted at 6- and 12-months post-surgery, assessing T2, T2*,
74 and T1 ρ relaxometry values, and intratissue cartilage strain. Changes in pain were evaluated
75 using standard outcome scores, and gait analysis assessed the knee adduction moment (KAM).
76 Regressions were performed to evaluate relationships between MRI metrics in cartilage contact
77 regions, patient-reported pain, and knee loading metrics.

78 **Results:** Increases in axial and transverse strains in the tibial cartilage were significantly
79 correlated with increased pain, while decreases in shear strain were associated with increased
80 pain. Changes in strain metrics were also significantly related to KAM at 12 months.

81 **Conclusions:** Changes in cartilage strain and relaxometry are related to heightened pain and
82 altered knee loading patterns, indicating potential early markers of osteoarthritis progression.
83 These findings underscore the importance of using advanced MRI for early monitoring in ACL-

84 reconstructed patients to optimize treatment outcomes, while also highlighting KAM as a
85 modifiable intervention through gait retraining that may positively impact the evolution of cartilage
86 health and patient pain.

87

88 **Introduction (no more than 400 words)**

89 Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injuries are a common orthopedic condition that can have
90 long-term consequences for patients. Despite advances in ACL reconstructive surgical
91 techniques, a significant number of patients develop osteoarthritis (OA) within ten years of ACL
92 injury (1). Osteoarthritis is a degenerative joint disease characterized by cartilage degradation
93 and structural changes impacting the entire knee joint. As this condition progresses, patients
94 frequently experience knee pain, stiffness, and a lower quality of life, often necessitating total
95 knee arthroplasty at later stages. Alterations in knee loading patterns are theorized to intensify
96 joint degeneration as osteoarthritis develops (2–5). These biomechanical changes can trigger
97 breakdown of the articular cartilage, as well as structural modifications like bone marrow edema,
98 synovitis, and osteophyte formation within the joint. It is crucial to identify patients at high risk of
99 future osteoarthritis early in the postoperative period, to allow intervention before irreparable
100 damage occurs. However, our understanding of the underlying mechanisms that initiate cartilage
101 degeneration following ACL injury and reconstruction, and how those differ on a personalized
102 basis, is still incomplete.

103 Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has emerged as a promising modality that can provide
104 insights beyond the conventional radiographic methods used to diagnose OA. Although existing
105 semi-quantitative scoring systems provide morphological information about cartilage, they are
106 unable to detect the subtle matrix alterations and biomechanical property changes that
107 characterize early osteoarthritic cartilage (6–8). Recent research has employed quantitative MRI
108 (qMRI) techniques and displacements under applied loading MRI (dualMRI) in healthy and ACL-
109 reconstructed individuals to quantify intratissue strain and relaxometry values (9–12). Building
110 upon this foundation, our study investigates the temporal evolution of cartilage strain and
111 relaxometry in a clinical cohort undergoing ACL-reconstruction (ACLR). Through longitudinal
112 assessment of dualMRI-derived strain and qMRI-derived relaxometry from 6 to 12 months post-

113 ACLR, and correlation with patient-reported outcomes and measures of knee loading through gait
114 analysis, we aim to identify early markers of cartilage degeneration and their clinical implications
115 as well as offer valuable insights into the progressive nature of cartilage remodeling. The study
116 had three objectives. First, we studied the relationship between medial articular cartilage dualMRI-
117 derived strains and qMRI relaxometry at 6- and 12-month post-ACLR. Second, we investigated
118 how changes in participant pain are related to changes in MRI over this period. Third, in a subset
119 of participants, we examined how strain changes functionally relate to human motion, using peak
120 knee adduction moment (KAM) at 12-months as a surrogate for knee loading.

121 **Materials and Methods**

122 *Participants:* Between 2022 and 2023, participants were enrolled in a longitudinal cohort study at
123 the University of Colorado Anschutz orthopedic clinic following unilateral ACL reconstructive
124 surgery. The University of Colorado IRB approved the study, and all participants gave informed
125 consent. Inclusion criteria included individuals aged 18 to 40 who had ACL surgery within three
126 months of injury, with no prior symptomatic knee pathologies. Exclusion criteria included previous
127 ACL surgery on either limb or cartilage chondral defect surgery. Participants who sustained further
128 knee injuries between six- and twelve-months post-surgery were also excluded. The ACL
129 reconstruction used either a bone-patella tendon-bone autograft or a quadriceps tendon autograft.
130 Rehabilitation adherence was not tracked, but all followed the MOON ACL protocol (13). MRI
131 scans were conducted at approximately six- and twelve-months post-surgery, before which they
132 completed the Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) and Western Ontario and
133 McMaster Universities Arthritis Index (WOMAC) questionnaires. Pain percent change was
134 calculated by scaling and averaging the KOOS and WOMAC pain subscores from six to twelve
135 months.

136 *Loading Protocol of Human Knee:* The study utilized a previously validated MRI-compatible
137 loading apparatus to apply a compressive load to the tibiofemoral cartilage during the DENSE

138 sequence (12). This apparatus directly applied a load perpendicular to the ankle joint, inducing a
139 varus moment at the knee, and causing the compressive force at the medial cartilage condyle
140 equivalent to 0.5 times the participant's body weight. Due to the nature of the DENSE sequence,
141 the loading was applied using a cyclic regime mimicking a walking cadence, with 1-second load
142 and unload intervals (9,11,12).

143 *MRI Scanning Protocol and Processing:* The knee imaging protocol remained consistent
144 throughout all scanning sessions. Initially, a fast gradient echo MR image sequence was utilized
145 for localization, which was followed by a 3D double echo steady state (DESS) acquisition,
146 quantitative T2, T2*, and T1 ρ measurements, and a displacement encoding with stimulated
147 echoes (DENSE) sequence during cyclic varus load of the knee joint. Additionally, to reduce the
148 viscoelastic effect of cartilage, participants underwent an eight-minute cyclic preconditioning load
149 before DENSE acquisition (10,11,13). The imaging procedures were carried out using a Siemens
150 3T Prisma MRI system equipped with a 15-channel quadrature knee coil. Segmentation of the
151 cartilage into femoral and tibial regions of interest (ROIs) was achieved using a semi-automatic
152 algorithm. Participants were excluded at this stage if motion or metal artifact obscured the medial
153 cartilage ROI. Strain calculations, including Green-Lagrange axial, transverse, and shear strains,
154 were determined from smoothed displacements using the deformation gradient tensor (13–17)
155 within each ROI. For the six-month data set, cartilage ROIs were warped through standard
156 nonrigid image registration using anatomical knee landmarks to align with those at twelve months
157 for each participant (18). Subsequently, a pixel-wise percent change was calculated for each MRI
158 metric and for each participant. Sub-regions were established within the ROIs based on cartilage
159 contact, with identification and segmentation achieved by fitting a spline to the middle of the region
160 of interest and then calculating the length of the spline from the most medial to the most lateral
161 extents. Each spline was then divided into thirds in the medial to lateral direction to define the
162 extent of each region, resulting in the identification of the most medial cartilage-cartilage contact

163 region, a central cartilage-cartilage contact region, and a more lateral cartilage-meniscus contact
164 area (10,11). To conduct statistical analyses, raw and percent change averages for each MRI
165 metric were computed within each cartilage subregion.

166 *Markerless motion capture and musculoskeletal simulation:* During their twelve-month visit, a
167 subset of the participants were selected to undergo gait analysis. All 9 participants performed 3 over-
168 ground walking trials at a self-selected pace. immediately prior to their MRI scan using OpenCap, a
169 markerless motion capture system(19). OpenCap was sampled at 60Hz using 3 commercial iPads
170 (iPad Pro, Apple Inc., Cupertino, CA, USA). The iPads were positioned 1.5m off the ground, 3m from
171 the center of the capture area, and at $\pm 45^\circ$ and 0° , where 0° faces the participant. A precision-
172 manufactured, 720 x 540 mm checkerboard was used for computing the extrinsic parameters during
173 OpenCap's camera calibration step, as per the operating procedures provided by OpenCap. After
174 recording trials, data was uploaded to the cloud. Trial data then went through automated extraction,
175 pose estimation, time synchronization, and 3D anatomical marker set derivation. 3D kinematics were
176 then computed from marker trajectories using invers kinematics and a musculoskeletal model with
177 biomechanical constraints(19–21). Finally, OpenCap estimated dynamics using muscle-driven
178 tracking simulations of joint kinematics. Peak KAM was then evaluated during the loading phase.
179 Technical details of OpenCap's software and processing can be found at www.opencap.ai and
180 reported in Uhlrich et al. "OpenCap: human movment dynamics from smartphone videos" (19). T-tests
181 were used to determine that there were no differences in age, BMI, or patient-reported outcome pain
182 scores between the subgroup of nine and the greater group of 25.

183 *Statistical Analysis:* Statistical analyses were carried out using SAS Version 9.4 (SAS Institute) with
184 a 2-sided significance level of $\alpha=0.05$ by author E.Y.M. Any data that did not meet the assumption of
185 normality as determined by the Shapiro-Wilk test underwent logarithmic transformation using standard
186 methods. Following this, the relationships between 6-month and 12-month MRI data within each
187 cartilage subregion were assessed using simple linear regression (22). Additionally, the associations
188 between changes in pain and MRI values for each cartilage subregion were evaluated using general

189 linear models. A separate model was developed for each MRI metric and subregion, with sex
190 considered as a fixed categorical effect and BMI as a covariate. The residuals from each model were
191 assessed to test for normality and homoskedasticity (23). General linear models were also employed
192 to investigate the correlation between peak knee adduction moment and strain change in the subgroup
193 that underwent gait analysis (23).

194 **Results**

195 *Participant characteristics:* In total, 27 participants took part in both the six-month and twelve-
196 month scans. Two individuals were excluded from the study pool due to motion or metal artifact
197 interference with the cartilage region of interest (Figure 1). Thus, the final sample size comprised
198 25 participants, including 10 males and 15 females. For the six-month scan, the average
199 participant age was 25.6 ± 5.8 years, with a mean body mass index (BMI) of 24.2 ± 4.1 . The
200 demographic and surgical characteristics of the participants are detailed in Table 1. Notably, the
201 subgroup chosen for OpenCap gait analysis encompassed 9 participants, consisting of 6 females
202 and 3 males. Their average age was 23.0 ± 3.1 , with an average BMI of 26.33 ± 6.1 .

203 *Positive correlations observed between six-month and twelve-month MRI data:* The percentage
204 change was mapped on a pixel level from six months to twelve months for each MRI metric (Figure
205 4). There was a positive correlation between the six-month average shear strain in the femoral
206 central contact area and the twelve-month femoral shear strains (Figure 2, $p = 0.02$).
207 Furthermore, positive correlations were observed for the axial strains in the tibial cartilage contact
208 areas between the six-month and twelve-month values (Figure 2, $p=0.01$, $p =0.03$, respectively).
209 However, no other strain averages displayed significant correlations between their six-month and
210 twelve-month values in any cartilage region. Relaxometry data as a whole exhibited stronger
211 positive correlations between six and twelve month data than strain data. T2 and T2* data showed
212 positive correlations between six-month and twelve-month values in all subregions of the tibial

213 articular cartilage (Figure 3). No significant correlations in T1 ρ values between time points were
214 observed in any region other than the femoral central contact region. All other results are
215 displayed in Figure 4.

216 *MRI changes and pain fluctuations between six and twelve months exhibit variable correlations:*

217 The pain percent change was determined through the scaling and averaging of the KOOS and
218 WOMAC pain subscores from six to twelve months. Both unadjusted and adjusted regressions
219 were performed for each cartilage subregion to explore the relationship between participants' pain
220 change and strain change. The adjusted regressions, presented in Figure 4, controlled for sex
221 and BMI. Four notable correlations were discovered in the analysis of strain data. Specifically, in
222 the inner-most tibial cartilage subregion, it was found that increases in axial and transverse strains
223 between six months and twelve months were associated with increased pain (Figure 4, $p = 0.007$,
224 $p = 0.045$, respectively). Conversely, an opposite trend was observed in shear strain. Decreases
225 in shear strain in the inner femoral and central tibial cartilage subregions were linked to increased
226 pain (Figure 4, $p = 0.032$, $p = 0.038$, respectively). Notably, no significant correlations were
227 observed in the outer cartilage-meniscal region, as well as with any qMRI data.

228 *Correlations with the twelve-month knee adduction moment vary by MRI metric:* During the

229 loading phase of gait analysis, we calculated the peak KAM for each participant. We conducted
230 both unadjusted and adjusted regressions for each cartilage subregion to investigate the
231 relationship between changes in strain among participants and the 12-month KAM. The adjusted
232 regressions, which are illustrated in Figure 6 for strain data, were controlled for sex and BMI. The
233 analysis of strain data revealed three significant correlations. In the central tibial cartilage region,
234 we observed that increases in axial strain were associated with decreased 12-month KAM (Figure
235 5, $p = 0.029$). Conversely, in the same subregion, we found that increases in transverse strain
236 were linked to increased 12-month KAM (Figure 5, $p = 0.043$). A similar trend was identified in the
237 inner-most femoral cartilage subregion, where decreases in shear strain were associated with

238 increased KAM (Figure 5, $p=0.043$). Notably, again we did not observe any significant correlations
239 in the outer cartilage-meniscal region or with any qMRI data.

240 **Discussion**

241 In evaluating the onset of cartilage degeneration, a non-invasive method is critical for
242 monitoring the pre-osteoarthritic cartilage state. Assessing cartilage mechanical and biochemical
243 properties quantitatively could potentially serve as an early indicator of pre-osteoarthritic cartilage
244 changes, which may relate to long-term joint health (24). First, we examined the relationship
245 between medial articular cartilage strains derived from dualMRI as well as qMRI metrics at 6 and
246 12 months post-ACLR. Second, we assessed how variations in participant pain correlate with
247 changes in MRI metrics over this timeframe. Third, within a subset of participants, we explored
248 the functional implications of strain changes on the peak KAM at 12 months, as quantified by
249 markerless motion capture system. From these objectives. our study yielded three primary
250 outcomes: 1. Increased axial and transverse strains in the tibial cartilage from 6 to 12 months
251 post-ACL reconstruction were significantly correlated with increased pain. 2. Decreases in shear
252 strain in the femoral and tibial cartilage were linked to increases in pain, highlighting the intricate
253 connection between complex biomechanical alterations and cartilage health. 3. Early post-
254 reconstruction regional strain changes were found to be related with knee adduction moment
255 patterns in a representative subgroup population. Our results imply that early post-reconstruction
256 strain patterns are critical for predicting long-term cartilage health.

257 Our findings align with previous studies that highlight the importance of biomechanical
258 factors in cartilage health (9–14,16,17,25). The use of qMRI and dualMRI techniques provided
259 detailed insights into cartilage strain and relaxometry, offering a more comprehensive
260 understanding of early cartilage changes compared to conventional imaging methods.
261 Additionally, our finding that lower 12-month KAM is associated with decreases in femoral shear
262 strain is supported by other findings(26). Previous work has shown that frontal plane knee

263 kinematics in walking in participants with post-traumatic OA vs non-traumatic OA differs (26).
264 Mechanistically, knee unloading after ACLR may shift the location and magnitude of force applied
265 to the cartilage during gait, which may disrupt the structural health of cartilage, leading to
266 alterations in regional strain patterns observed via dualMRI (27,28). The association between
267 decreased shear strain in the femoral cartilage contact region and increased pain may suggest
268 that altered load distribution and cartilage-cartilage contact patterns potentially exacerbate friction
269 within the joint and lead to pain. Conversely, the relationship between increased transverse and
270 axial strains in the tibial contact area and increased pain could indicate that there are concentrated
271 stresses on the cartilage, contributing to pain and cartilage degradation over time. Additionally,
272 tibial cartilage experiences significant compression during weight-bearing activities, making axial
273 and transverse strains crucial for assessing its mechanical response post-ACLR. Femoral
274 cartilage, subjected to shear forces during knee movement, highlights the importance of shear
275 strain in evaluating its structural integrity over time. Lower KAM impulse at 1 month post-
276 reconstruction is associated with longer T2 relaxation times at 2 years post-surgery, possibly
277 indicating poorer cartilage health (29). However, other studies have shown that increases in joint
278 loading are associated with later presence of OA (30). It is unknown whether initial underloading
279 can lead to progressive overloading or whether it is possible that both lower and higher loads in
280 cartilage may lead to harmful cartilage changes. In our work, the association between increased
281 pain, decreased KAM and specific strain changes indicates a bidirectional relationship where pain
282 may lead to altered gait and further cartilage degradation, or early cartilage changes may increase
283 joint stress and pain, leading to changes in gait.

284 Modifying the KAM offers a promising strategy for the reduction of pain and alteration of
285 cartilage mechanical properties in individuals with osteoarthritis, in particular following ACLR.
286 Excessive KAM during gait increases the load on the medial compartment of the knee, possibly
287 exacerbating cartilage degradation as well as pain (28). In particular, subject-specific gait
288 modifications that modify the foot progression (e.g., toe-in, toe-out) angle have been shown to

289 reduce peak KAM more than uniformly assigned modifications (31). However, much of the work
290 evaluating gait modifications has been performed in a different patient cohort (e.g., age-related
291 OA), and further work is required to assess the long-term and interventional efficacy of gait
292 modifications in post-traumatic OA, especially in the short-term period one to two years post ACL
293 reconstruction.

294 Several limitations of this study should be acknowledged. The sample size of 25
295 participants is relatively small, potentially affecting the generalizability of the results. The same
296 limitation can be applied to the sample size of the gait subset as well. Variability in participant
297 characteristics, such as age, BMI, and rehabilitation adherence, could influence outcomes,
298 although the use of adjusted regressions should allow for some control over introduced variability
299 from covariate data. Additionally, patient-reported outcomes like pain assessments are subjective
300 and may not accurately reflect underlying cartilage health. Future studies with larger, more diverse
301 cohorts and objective measures of pain and function are necessary to validate these findings.

302 In conclusion, our study underscores the significant impact of altered knee loading on
303 cartilage material properties post-ACLR. The observed regional strain changes and their
304 associations with pain and gait mechanics emphasize the need for early monitoring and
305 intervention to prevent long-term joint degeneration. Advances in qMRI and dualMRI techniques
306 hold promise for detecting early cartilage changes and guiding personalized treatment strategies
307 to improve outcomes for ACLR patients. Future research should focus on larger patient cohorts
308 to further elucidate the mechanisms underlying cartilage degeneration, and KAM as a modifiable
309 intervention through gait retraining that may positively impact the evolution of cartilage health and
310 patient pain.

311

312 **Acknowledgments**

313 Financial support was provided by the National Institutes of Health (2 R01 AR063712 and
314 AR063712S1). The funder of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis,
315 data interpretation, or writing of the report.

316 The authors are thankful for MRI technical support from Teryn S. Wilkes at the Intermountain
317 Neuroimaging Consortium at University of Colorado Boulder.

318

319 References

- 320 1. Patterson BE, Culvenor AG, Barton CJ, Guermazi A, Stefanik JJ, Crossley KM. Patient-reported
321 outcomes 1 to 5 years after ACL reconstruction: effect of combined injury, and associations
322 with MRI-defined osteoarthritis features. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. NIH Public Access;
323 2020;72(3):412–422. doi: 10.1002/ACR.23854.
- 324 2. Buck AN, Lisee CM, Bjornsen ES, et al. Biomechanical Threshold Values for Identifying
325 Clinically Significant Knee-Related Symptoms Six Months Following Anterior Cruciate
326 Ligament Reconstruction. *J Athl Train*. *J Athl Train*; 2024; doi: 10.4085/1062-6050-0562.23.
- 327 3. Chu CR, Williams AA, Erhart-Hledik JC, Titchenal MR, Qian Y, Andriacchi TP. Visualizing pre-
328 osteoarthritis: Integrating MRI UTE-T2* with mechanics and biology to combat
329 osteoarthritis—The 2019 Elizabeth Winston Lanier Kappa Delta Award. *Journal of*
330 *Orthopaedic Research*. Wiley; 2021;jor.25045. doi: 10.1002/jor.25045.
- 331 4. Williams JR, Neal K, Alfayyadh A, et al. Knee cartilage T₂ relaxation times 3 months after ACL
332 reconstruction are associated with knee gait variables linked to knee osteoarthritis. *Journal*
333 *of Orthopaedic Research*. Wiley; 2021;jor.25043. doi: 10.1002/jor.25043.
- 334 5. Williams AA, Erhart-Hledik JC, Asay JL, et al. Patient-Reported Outcomes and Knee
335 Mechanics Correlate With Patellofemoral Deep Cartilage UTE-T2* 2 Years After Anterior
336 Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction. . doi: 10.1177/0363546520982608.
- 337 6. Guermazi A, Alizai H, Crema MD, Trattinig S, Regatte RR, Roemer FW. Compositional MRI
338 techniques for evaluation of cartilage degeneration in osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*.
339 W.B. Saunders Ltd; 2015. p. 1639–1653. doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2015.05.026.
- 340 7. Jarraya M, Hayashi D, Roemer FW, Guermazi A. MR imaging-based semi-quantitative
341 methods for knee osteoarthritis. *Magnetic Resonance in Medical Sciences*. 2016;15(2):153–
342 164. doi: 10.2463/mrms.rev.2015-0058.
- 343 8. Hunter DJ, Guermazi A, Lo GH, et al. Evolution of semiquantitative whole joint assessment of
344 knee OA: MOAKS (MRI Osteoarthritis Knee Score). *Osteoarthritis and cartilage / OARS,*
345 *Osteoarthritis Research Society*. NIH Public Access; 2011;19(8):990. doi:
346 10.1016/J.JOCA.2011.05.004.
- 347 9. Miller EY, Lee W, Lowe T, et al. MRI-derived Articular Cartilage Strains Predict Patient-
348 Reported Outcomes Six Months Post Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction. medRxiv.
349 Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; 2024;2024.04.27.24306484. doi:
350 10.1101/2024.04.27.24306484.
- 351 10. Lee W, Miller EY, Zhu H, Schneider SE, Reiter DA, Neu CP. Multi-frame biomechanical and
352 relaxometry analysis during in vivo loading of the human knee by spiral dualMRI and
353 compressed sensing. *Magn Reson Med*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2023;90(3):995–1009. doi:
354 10.1002/MRM.29690.

- 355 11. Lee W, Miller EY, Zhu H, Luetkemeyer CM, Schneider SE, Neu CP. High frame rate
356 deformation analysis of knee cartilage by spiral dualMRI and relaxation mapping. *Magn*
357 *Reson Med*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2023;89(2):694–709. doi: 10.1002/MRM.29487.
- 358 12. Zhu H, Miller E, Lee W, Wilson R, Neu CP. In Vivo Human Knee Varus-Valgus Loading
359 Apparatus for Analysis of Mri-Based Intratissue Strain and Relaxometry. doi:
360 10.2139/SSRN.4569548.
- 361 13. Chan DD, Cai L, Butz KD, Trippel SB, Nauman EA, Neu CP. In vivo articular cartilage
362 deformation: Noninvasive quantification of intratissue strain during joint contact in the
363 human knee. *Sci Rep*. Nature Publishing Group; 2016;6(1):1–14. doi: 10.1038/srep19220.
- 364 14. Chan DD, Neu CP. Transient and microscale deformations and strains measured under
365 exogenous loading by noninvasive magnetic resonance. *PLoS One*. *PLoS One*; 2012;7(3). doi:
366 10.1371/journal.pone.0033463.
- 367 15. Chan DD, Neu CP. Probing articular cartilage damage and disease by quantitative magnetic
368 resonance imaging. *J R Soc Interface*. Royal Society; 2013. doi: 10.1098/rsif.2012.0608.
- 369 16. Chan DD, Neu CP, Hull ML. Articular Cartilage Deformation Determined in an Intact
370 Tibiofemoral Joint by Displacement-Encoded Imaging. *Magnetic resonance in medicine* :
371 official journal of the Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine / Society of Magnetic
372 Resonance in Medicine. NIH Public Access; 2009;61(4):989. doi: 10.1002/MRM.21927.
- 373 17. Chan DD, Cai L, Butz KD, et al. Functional MRI Can Detect Changes in Intratissue Strains in a
374 Full Thickness and Critical Sized Ovine Cartilage Defect Model. *J Biomech*. NIH Public
375 Access; 2018;66:18. doi: 10.1016/J.JBIOMECH.2017.10.031.
- 376 18. Xie D, Tanaka M, Pedoia V, et al. Baseline cartilage T1p and T2 predicted patellofemoral joint
377 cartilage lesion progression and patient-reported outcomes after ACL reconstruction.
378 *Journal of Orthopaedic Research*®. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2023;41(6):1310–1319. doi:
379 10.1002/JOR.25473.
- 380 19. Uhlich SD, Falisse A, Kidziński Ł, et al. OpenCap: Human movement dynamics from
381 smartphone videos. *PLoS Comput Biol*. Public Library of Science; 2023;19(10):e1011462.
382 doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL.PCBI.1011462.
- 383 20. Lai AKM, Arnold AS, Wakeling JM. Why are Antagonist Muscles Co-activated in My
384 Simulation? A Musculoskeletal Model for Analysing Human Locomotor Tasks. *Ann Biomed*
385 *Eng*. *Ann Biomed Eng*; 2017;45(12):2762–2774. doi: 10.1007/S10439-017-1920-7.
- 386 21. Rajagopal A, Dembia CL, DeMers MS, Delp DD, Hicks JL, Delp SL. Full-Body Musculoskeletal
387 Model for Muscle-Driven Simulation of Human Gait. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng*. *IEEE Trans*
388 *Biomed Eng*; 2016;63(10):2068–2079. doi: 10.1109/TBME.2016.2586891.
- 389 22. Griebel AJ, Trippel SB, Emery NC, Neu CP. Noninvasive assessment of osteoarthritis severity
390 in human explants by multicontrast MRI. *Magn Reson Med*. John Wiley and Sons Inc;
391 2014;71(2):807–814. doi: 10.1002/mrm.24725.

- 392 23. Griebel AJ, Khoshgoftar M, Novak T, van Donkelaar CC, Neu CP. Direct noninvasive
393 measurement and numerical modeling of depth-dependent strains in layered agarose
394 constructs. *J Biomech. Elsevier*; 2014;47(9):2149–2156. doi:
395 10.1016/J.JBIOMECH.2013.09.025.
- 396 24. Williams A, Winalski CS, Chu CR. Early articular cartilage MRI T2 changes after anterior
397 cruciate ligament reconstruction correlate with later changes in T2 and cartilage thickness.
398 *Journal of Orthopaedic Research. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd*; 2017;35(3):699–706. doi:
399 10.1002/JOR.23358.
- 400 25. Miller RH, Krupenevich RL. Medial knee cartilage is unlikely to withstand a lifetime of running
401 without positive adaptation: A theoretical biomechanical model of failure phenomena.
402 *PeerJ. PeerJ Inc.*; 2020;8:e9676. doi: 10.7717/PEERJ.9676/FIG-8.
- 403 26. Diamond LE, Grant T, Uhrich SD. Osteoarthritis year in review 2023: Biomechanics.
404 *Osteoarthritis Cartilage. W.B. Saunders*; 2024;32(2):138–147. doi:
405 10.1016/J.JOCA.2023.11.015.
- 406 27. Williams JR, Neal K, Alfayyadh A, et al. Patellofemoral contact forces after ACL
407 reconstruction: A longitudinal study. *J Biomech. Elsevier*; 2022;134:110993. doi:
408 10.1016/J.JBIOMECH.2022.110993.
- 409 28. Williams JR, Neal K, Alfayyadh A, et al. Patellofemoral contact forces and knee gait
410 mechanics 3 months after ACL reconstruction are associated with cartilage degradation 24
411 months after surgery. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage. W.B. Saunders*; 2023;31(1):96–105. doi:
412 10.1016/J.JOCA.2022.10.007.
- 413 29. Wellsandt E, Kallman T, Golightly Y, et al. Knee joint unloading and daily physical activity
414 associate with cartilage T2 relaxation times 1 month after ACL injury. *J Orthop Res. J Orthop
415 Res*; 2022;40(1):138–149. doi: 10.1002/JOR.25034.
- 416 30. Titchenal MR, Williams AA, Chehab EF, et al. Cartilage Subsurface Changes to Magnetic
417 Resonance Imaging UTE-T2* 2 Years After Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction
418 Correlate With Walking Mechanics Associated With Knee Osteoarthritis. *Am J Sports Med.
419 Am J Sports Med*; 2018;46(3):565–572. doi: 10.1177/0363546517743969.
- 420 31. Uhrich SD, Silder A, Beaupre GS, Shull PB, Delp SL. Subject-specific toe-in or toe-out gait
421 modifications reduce the larger knee adduction moment peak more than a non-personalized
422 approach. *J Biomech. NIH Public Access*; 2018;66:103. doi:
423 10.1016/J.JBIOMECH.2017.11.003.

424

425

426 **Tables**


427 **Table I:** Participant demographic, surgical characteristics, and patient-reported outcomes within
428 25 subjects included for all analyses.

Variable	Value at Six-Month Scan	Value at Twelve-Month Scan
Age at scan date (years)	25.6 ± 5.8	26.2 ± 5.9
BMI (kg/m ²)	23.5 ± 4.0	23.4 ± 4.0
Time since ACLR at MRI Scan (months)	6.9 ± 1.2	12.9 ± 0.9
Sex		
Male	10 (40.0%)	10 (40.0%)
Female	15 (60.0%)	15 (60.0%)
Graft Type		
Bone-patellar tendon-bone autograft	22 (88%)	22 (88%)
Quadriceps tendon autograft	3 (12%)	3 (12%)
KOOS Score	75.4 ± 8.6	82.0 ± 10.0
Symptoms	82.7 ± 11.5	83.5 ± 13.2
Pain	85.5 ± 7.8	87.6 ± 10.1
Activities of Daily Living	94.8 ± 5.5	97.3 ± 3.7
Sports and Recreation	61.0 ± 16.2	74.4 ± 18.0
Quality of Life	53.2 ± 15.3	66.2 ± 14.9
WOMAC Score	6.8 ± 5.6	5 ± 7.6
Pain	1.0 ± 1.2	1.1 ± 1.7
Stiffness	1.6 ± 1.2	1.0 ± 1.7
Physical Function	4.2 ± 4.3	2.7 ± 4.7
MARS Score	8.2 ± 5.3	9.2 ± 4.5

429
430 ^aData are reported as mean ± SD, or n (%). All KOOS scores are on a 0-100 scale, with 0
431 representing extreme knee problems. All WOMAC scores are on a scale of 0 to 96, with 96
432 representing extreme knee problems. WOMAC subscore pain is scored from 0-20, stiffness from
433 0 to 8, and physical function from 0 to 68. All MARS Scores are scaled from 0 to 16 with 16
434 representing highly physically active individuals. BMI, body mass index. ACLR, anterior cruciate
435 ligament reconstruction. KOOS, Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score. WOMAC,
436 Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index, MARS, Marx Activity Rating
437 (MARS)

438


439 **Figures and Figure Legends**



440

441 **Figure 1: Flow diagram of participant selection and inclusion criteria.** The experimental
 442 design included recruiting in the orthopedic clinic, 43 participants who had received a unilateral

443 ACL reconstruction. Four participants were excluded prior to the first MRI scan at six months post-
444 ACL reconstruction due to claustrophobia, prior ACLR on the contralateral limb, or cartilage
445 chondral defect. After scanning, four more participants were excluded from analysis due to the
446 presence of large metal artifact in the cartilage region of interest, or motion artifact that obscured
447 analysis. All participants who participated in the scanning session at six -months post ACL
448 reconstruction were invited back to participant in a second scan at twelve-months post ACL
449 reconstruction. 27 participants elected to participate in this scanning session. Two participants
450 were then excluded due to motion artifact. A subset of the 25 participants was selected to
451 participate in gait analysis. B. The MRI scanning session was the same at both the six-month and
452 twelve-month visits. The scanning session included a scout and 3D (double echo steady state
453 (DESS) sequences to visualize knee anatomy and chose a slice that maximizes tibiofemoral
454 contact for both DENSE and T1 ρ acquisition. Relaxometry measurements (T2, T2*, T1 ρ) were
455 then acquired. C. To apply a cyclic load to the medial knee cartilage during DENSE acquisition,
456 an MRI-compatible varus loading apparatus was used. To minimize the effect of cartilage
457 viscoelasticity, 8 minutes of load were applied in a preconditioning period before DENSE
458 acquisition. ACL, anterior cruciate ligament. MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.




459

460 **Figure 2: Correlations between six- and twelve-months display variability in time-evolving**
 461 **longitudinal strain patterns.** A. Representative images are shown of strain in a single participant
 462 at 6 months and 12 months. B. The relationship of average strain values at six- and twelve-months
 463 post-ACL reconstruction was evaluated. Most strain measures were not significantly correlated
 464 between six-month and twelve-month values. Unadjusted regressions were calculated for the
 465 averages in each cartilage subregion. Confidence intervals of 95% are shown in gray. Tibial
 466 cartilage experiences significant compression during weight-bearing activities, making axial and
 467 transverse strains crucial for assessing its mechanical response post-ACLR. Conversely, femoral
 468 cartilage, subjected to shear forces during knee movement, highlights the importance of shear
 469 strain in evaluating its structural integrity over time. These findings underscore the complexity of
 470 cartilage remodeling dynamics post-ACL surgery.

471


472




473

474 **Figure 3: Most relaxometry measures showed significant correlations between their values**
475 **at six- and twelve-months post-ACLR.** Unadjusted regressions were conducted using average
476 values from each cartilage subregion. Gray shading indicates 95% confidence intervals. The
477 inclusion of specific relaxometry measures—T2, T2*, and T1p MRI for both femoral and tibial
478 cartilage—reflects their biochemical significance in assessing post-surgical cartilage health. The
479 observed strong correlations suggest that biochemical changes may not yet be detectable over
480 this time interval, underscoring the importance of long-term longitudinal monitoring.

A. Changes in knee pain were compared to changes in strain



B. Adjusted regressions found four significant relationships between change in pain and change in strain



481

482 **Figure 4: Changes in regional strain patterns were significantly correlated with changes in**

483 **participant pain, with adjustments made for covariate data such as sex and BMI. Decreased**

484 shear strain in the femoral and tibial contact regions correlated with increased pain, whereas

485 increased transverse and axial strain in the tibial contact area also correlated with increased pain.

486 Decreased shear strain suggests altered load distribution and altered cartilage-cartilage contact

487 patterns, potentially exacerbating friction and leading to pain. Conversely, increased transverse

488 and axial strains in the tibial contact area could indicate increased mechanical loads on the

489 cartilage, contributing to pain and cartilage degradation over time. Adjusted regressions are

490 shown, which account for the variability introduced by covariate data such as sex and BMI. Strain

491 is displayed on the horizontal axis and adjusted regression for percent change in pain is displayed

492 on the vertical axis. The pain percent change was determined through the scaling and averaging

493 of the KOOS and WOMAC pain subscores from six to twelve months. No regressions were


494 significant in the outer cartilage-meniscal contact region in either the femoral or tibial cartilage.

495 Confidence intervals of 95% are shown in gray. See supplement Figure S1 for relaxometry data


496 and S2 for nonsignificant strain data.

497

A. Changes in strain were compared to knee loading during gait



B. Adjusted regressions found four significant relationships between knee adduction moment and change in strain



498

499 **Figure 5: Changes in regional strain patterns were significantly correlated with knee**
500 **adduction moment.** Increases in shear strain in the femoral cartilage contact area were
501 associated with higher knee adduction moments during gait, which was attributed to loss of joint
502 stability and altered load distribution. Alternatively, decreases in axial strain in the tibial contact
503 area of cartilage were correlated with higher knee adduction moments, likely due to realignment
504 of forces through the joint during gait that increased the medial-lateral stress. These findings
505 highlight the complex biomechanical relationships influencing knee joint dynamics and
506 underscore the importance of understanding regional strain patterns using noninvasive imaging
507 measures. Adjusted regressions are shown, which account for the variability introduced by
508 covariate data such as sex and BMI. No regressions were significant in the outer cartilage-
509 meniscal contact region in either the femoral or tibial cartilage. There were no significant
510 regressions found with relaxometry data. Confidence intervals of 95% are shown in gray. All other
511 (nonsignificant) data is shown in Figure S3.

512 **Strobe Checklist**


513 STROBE Statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational
514 studies

515

	Item No	Recommendation	a(N/A)
Title and abstract			
	1	(a) Indicate the study’s design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	yes
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	yes
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	yes
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	yes
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	yes
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	yes
Participants	6	(a) <i>Cohort study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up <i>Case-control study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	yes
		(b) <i>Cohort study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed <i>Case-control study</i> —For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	yes

Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	yes
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	yes
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	yes
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	yes
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	yes
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	yes
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	yes
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	yes
		(d) <i>Cohort study</i> —If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed <i>Case-control study</i> —If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed <i>Cross-sectional study</i> —If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	yes
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	yes

517 **Supplemental Materials**



518

519 **Figure S1: Changes in regional relaxometry values were not significantly correlated with**


520 **changes in participant pain, with adjustments made for covariate data such as sex and**

521 **BMI. Only increased T2 values in the tibial cartilage-meniscal contact area displayed a significant**

522 **positive correlation with participant changes in pain. Increased T2 values could indicated higher**

523 water content and changes in collagen organization, signifying early signs of cartilage
524 degeneration. Given that this region plays a crucial role in load transmission during weight-bearing
525 activities, elevated T2 values may indicate that the cartilage is undergoing structural changes in
526 response to increased mechanical stress. Adjusted regressions, adjusted for the variability
527 introduced by covariate data such as sex and BMI are shown. Average relaxometry value is
528 shown on the x-axis and adjusted regression for percent change in pain is shown on the y-axis.
529 The pain percent change was determined through the scaling and averaging of the KOOS and
530 WOMAC pain subscores from six to twelve months. Confidence intervals of 95% are shown in
531 gray.


532



533

534 **Figure S2: Changes in regional strain patterns were significantly correlated with changes**
 535 **in participant pain, with adjustments made for covariate data such as sex and BMI. All data,**
 536 **both significant and insignificant is shown here, whereas only the significant relationships are**
 537 **shown in Figure 4.**

538



539

540 **Figure S3: Changes in regional strain patterns were significantly correlated with knee**
 541 **adduction moment.** All data, both significant and insignificant is shown here, whereas only the
 542 significant relationships are shown in Figure 5.