Associated factors of acculturation strategies and mental health outcomes among international students in China

Chenchang Xiao¹, Jingyan Yan², Hanjia Li², Changmian Ding^{2,3*}, Bin Yu^{2*}

¹School of Medicine, Wuhan City College, Wuhan, China

²Department of Epidemiology and Health Statistics, School of Public Health, Wuhan University, Wuhan, China

³Department of Medical Record, Dehong People's Hospital of Yunnan Province, Dehong, China

[¶]These two authors contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence author: Bin Yu, MD, PhD Email: binyu1029@whu.edu.cn

1 Abstract

Objectives: There is an increasing number of international students in China. Acculturation
strategies are the way students cope with different cultures, including integration, assimilation,
separation, and marginalization. This study aims to investigate the acculturation strategies and
associated factors, and the effect of these strategies on mental health status among international
students in China.

7 **Study design**: Cross-sectional study.

Methods: Study data were collected from 567 international students attending universities in
China. Acculturation strategies, acculturative stress, depressive symptoms were measured using

10 reliable and valid scales. Linear and multinomial logistic regression were used for analysis.

Results: Study findings revealed that integration (31.57%) was the most preferred acculturation strategy, followed by marginalization (28.92%), separation (21.87%) and assimilation (17.54%). Females were more likely to choose separation strategy than marginalization, while students with religions had higher likelihood to choose integration strategy. Students majoring in Literature/Art and liking their major were more likely to use assimilation strategy. Students with more studying time in weekdays and medium studying time in weekends were more likely to prefer integration strategy. Students with separation and integration strategy had higher acculturative stress.

Conclusions: Integration is the most popular acculturation strategy among international students
in China. Students with separation and integration strategy had worse mental health status.

20 Gender, major, religion, daily study time were significantly associated with the preference of

21 acculturation strategies.

Key words: International students; Acculturation strategies; Acculturative stress; Mental health;
 23

24 Introduction

25 International students in China

26 With the development of economic globalization, China has attracted a large and increasing number of international students to study and work. By the end of 2017, there was a total of 27 28 489,200 international students from 204 countries studying in China³². Studying abroad provides international students with opportunities to access quality education and expand intercultural 29 competence³. However, adapting to a new cultural, social and educational environment is 30 31 challenging and stressful. International students may encounter a lot of challenges, including language barriers, loneliness, homesickness, and academic failures⁴⁻⁶. These difficulties may 32 increase the risk of developing mental health problems, such as acculturative stress and 33 depressive symptoms. 34

35 Acculturation and acculturation strategies

Acculturation is a challenging process of change in values, beliefs, attitudes, and behaviors when individuals interact with different cultures^{7, 8}. Acculturation strategies are the different coping styles taken by individuals when they live with or contact with two cultures⁹. Acculturation strategies are developed based on Berry's bi-dimensional acculturation: one is the identification with the heritage culture, and another is the identification with the host culture. Thus, four acculturation strategies are generated, including integration, assimilation, separation,

42	and marginalization (Fig 1) ⁷ . Integration refers to the strategy that individuals maintain the
43	heritage culture and adopt the host culture simultaneously, while the marginalization strategy
44	comes true for adopting neither of heritage nor host cultures. Assimilation is the strategy that
45	people adopt the host culture but reject the heritage culture, while separation is maintaining the
46	heritage culture but rejecting the host culture. Different acculturation strategies may affect the
47	process of acculturation for international students ^{7, 10, 11} .

48 <Insert Figure 1 here>

49 Effect of acculturation strategies on mental health status

Acculturation strategies have been found to be significantly associated with mental health 50 outcomes, especially acculturative stress and depression²⁰⁻²². For example, one study conducted 51 among 200 North Indian migrated students in Bangalore city of Karnataka found that students 52 with separation and marginalization strategies had higher acculturative stress²³. Another study 53 54 among Turkish immigrants in Germany showed that people with integration strategy had the lowest level of depression, while people with marginalization had the highest²⁴. International 55 students, as a special mobile population, experienced high levels of acculturative stress and 56 depression²⁷⁻³⁰. However, to our knowledge, few studies have explored the relationships between 57 58 acculturation strategies with mental health problems among international students in China. 59 Therefore, one aim of this study is to investigate the effects of different acculturation strategies 60 on mental health status.

61 Associated factors of acculturation strategies

An overwhelming empirical studies in acculturation have focused on the effects of different 62 acculturation strategies on various outcomes^{13, 14}. However, limited studies have investigated the 63 associated factors of acculturation strategies^{14, 15}. One study conducted among 210 Turkish 64 migrants showed that individuals who were young, female and with higher education often 65 applied a participatory strategy (e.g. integration and assimilation), while those aged 50 or older 66 67 and less educated often applied non-participating strategy (e.g. separation and marginalization)¹⁶. Another study conducted among 313 immigrant adolescents in Portugal 68 showed that ethnicity, majority identity, discrimination, experience adaptation, gender, age were 69 associated with acculturation strategy preference¹². Other studies also reported that international 70 students who were married and lived with their spouses may experience sociocultural 71 segregation because a substantial part of their time was invested in being with their spouses^{17, 18}. 72 Another study conducted among international students in China found that male and longer 73 residence significantly predicted lower degree of acculturation¹⁹. However, few studies have 74 investigated the effect of study-related factors (e.g., major, study time, etc.) on the preference of 75 acculturation strategies. The main purpose of the study is to investigate the demographic and 76 study-related factors of acculturation strategies among international students in China. 77

78 **Purpose of the study**

The present study aims to (1) investigate the preference of acculturation strategies among international students in China; (2) examine the associated factors of acculturation strategies; (3) analyze the associations between acculturation strategies with acculturative stress and depression. The ultimate goal is to provide data for future effective interventions on mental health among international students in China.

84

85 Methods

86 Participants and sampling

Participants in the study were international students who were attending universities in Wuhan, China with foreign nationality. Wuhan is a university city with 82 colleges and universities with over 19,000 international students were studying in Wuhan in 2016³³. Four largest universities in Wuhan, covering nearly 60% of the total international students, were selected to recruit participants³⁴.

Detailed sampling processes were described elsewhere^{5, 34}. Briefly, firstly, four universities 92 were selected from Wuhan, including Wuhan University, Huazhong University of Science and 93 Technology, Central China Normal University, and Zhongnan University of Economics and 94 Law. Secondly, in each selected university, classes of international students, the smallest unit for 95 schooling in China, were randomly selected. All international students in China were grouped 96 into classes to study Chinese mandarin with approximately 40-50 students per class. All 97 international students in the selected classes were eligible to participate. The study was 98 voluntary, and only the students who signed the written informed consent were recruited. A total 99 of 630 international students were recruited and completed the survey. 100

101 Data collection

Data were collected through the self-developed International Student Health and Behavior
 Survey (ISHBS). The ISHBS is a packet of questionnaires that asked about demographic and

104	study-related information, acculturative stress, acculturation strategies, and depression. Both
105	English and Chinese versions of the questionnaire were available for participants to choose from.
106	No significant difference was found between the data collected using Chinese version (n=62) and
107	English version $(n=505)^5$.
108	The survey was conducted in September 1st in 2012 and ended in November 30th. The
109	survey was implemented in a classroom with a vacant seat placed between two students to ensure

personal privacy and independence. At the end of survey, all participants were asked to put their

111 questionnaire into an envelope and placed it into a pre-prepared locked box. Data were manually

entered into the computer with Epidata software. Double-entry protocol was used for minimizing

data-entry errors. Among 630 international students, 63 were excluded due to the missing data

114 for several key variables, yielding 567 (90%) participants in the final sample. The study was

approved by the institutional review board at Wuhan University, China.

116 Measures

117 Acculturation Strategy

Acculturation strategy was measured using the self-developed Acculturation Strategy 118 Assessment (ASA) (supplementary Table S1). ASA was developed based on Berry's four 119 strategies of acculturation^{7, 35}, and several developed scales measuring acculturation strategies⁷, 120 ³⁶⁻³⁸. ASA consists of 30 items assessing two dimensions of acculturation with 15 items for each 121 122 dimension. One dimension measures the host culture adaptation, another dimension measures the self-culture maintenance. A seven-point Likert scale was used to measure the ASA ranging from 123 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree). Total score for each dimension was computed. 124 Median score for each dimension was used as cut-off point. Participants scored high on both 125

dimensions were categorized as "Integration", and participants who scored low on both were
categorized as "Marginalization". Participants were grouped into "Assimilation" if they scored
high on host culture subscale, and low on self-culture subscale, and participants were grouped
into "Separation" if scored opposite. The Cronbach alpha was 0.87 for total ASA, and 0.86 for
host culture subscale and 0.85 for self-culture subscale.

131 Acculturative Stress

Acculturative stress was measured using the Acculturative Stress Scale for International 132 Students (ASSIS)³⁹. ASSIS is a widely used scale with 36 items, measured using a five-point 133 Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). In our previous study⁵, we 134 categorized the ASSIS into seven subscales, including value conflict (VC), identity threat (IT), 135 opportunity deprivation (OD), mistreated (MT), lack of cultural competence (CC), lack of self-136 confidence(CS), and homesickness (HS) based on the item content, item response, exploratory 137 138 and confirmative factor analysis. Total score was estimated with higher score indicating greater 139 level of acculturative stress. The Cronbach alpha was 0.93.

140 Depression

141 Depression was measured using the 10-item Centers for Epidemiological Studies

142 Depression Scale (CESD-10)⁴⁰. A four-point Likert scale was used to assess individual items

143 with 0 (rarely or none of the time, or less than 1 day) to 3 (most of the time or 5–7 days). Two

items were reversely coded, and total scores were computed with higher scores indicating greater

145 depression. The Cronbach alpha was 0.70.

146 *Demographic variables and covariates*

147	Demographic variables and other covariates in the study included age (in years), gender
148	(male/female), place of origin (Asia/Africa/others), marital status (unmarried/married/divorced
149	/separated/others; and was categorized into unmarried/others), education (undergraduate
150	/graduate), religion (Christian/Muslim/Hinduism or Buddhism/Judaism/ no religion/others),
151	duration in China (in months; and was categorized into one year or less/more than one year),
152	major area (sciences/language and art/medicine/business/law/others), if like the major (don't
153	like/don't know/like), level of preparedness before coming to China (not well/well), number of
154	roommates $(0/1/2/3 \text{ or more})$, study time in weekdays/weekends $(0-2 \text{ hours}/3-4 \text{ hours}/5-6 \text{ hours}/3-4 \text{ hours}/5-6 \text{ hours}/3-4 \text{ hours}/3-4 \text{ hours}/3-4 \text{ hours}/3-4 \text{ hours}/3-6 \text{ hours}/3-4 \text{ hours}/3-6 \text{ hours}/3-4 \text{ hours}/3-6 \text{ hours}/3-$
155	hours/> 6 hours).

156 Statistical analysis

Descriptive analyses (e.g., frequency, mean, standard deviation) were used to describe the 157 sample characteristics. Chi-square analysis was used to compare the frequency of four 158 159 acculturation strategies across different covariates. Multinomial logistic regression was used to investigate the associated factors of four acculturation strategies with marginalization as 160 reference group. The covariates with p value less than 0.1 in the Chi-square test were included in 161 the multinomial logistic regression analysis. Linear regression was used to investigate the 162 163 associations between acculturation strategies with acculturative stress and depression when controlling for covariates. All statistical analyses were conducted using SAS version 9.4 (SAS 164 Institute, Cary, NC, USA). 165

166

167 **Results**

168 Sample characteristics

169	Among the total 567 participants, 336 (59.26%) were males with mean age of 22.75
170	(SD=4.11) years (Table 1). 84.24% of participants came from Africa or Asia, and 89.30% were
171	unmarried. 76.32% of participants were undergraduate students, and the average time of living in
172	China was 14.45 months (SD=12.11). Christian (35.77%) and Muslim (32.92%) were the most
173	common religious beliefs. Literature/Art and Medicine were the top two majors with 80% of the
174	students liked their major, and 72.69% were well prepared. 24.12% were living alone, and half
175	and one third of them were studying 5 or more hours during weekdays and weekends,
176	respectively.
177	<insert 1="" here="" table=""></insert>
177 178	<insert 1="" here="" table=""> Acculturation strategies</insert>
177 178 179	<pre><insert 1="" here="" table=""> Acculturation strategies Table 2 shows that the proportion of marginalization, integration, assimilation and</insert></pre>
177 178 179 180	<pre><insert 1="" here="" table=""> Acculturation strategies Table 2 shows that the proportion of marginalization, integration, assimilation and separation strategy were 28.92%, 31.57%, 17.54%, and 21.87%, respectively. Gender (χ^2=21.61,</insert></pre>
177 178 179 180 181	<pre><insert 1="" here="" table=""> Acculturation strategies Table 2 shows that the proportion of marginalization, integration, assimilation and separation strategy were 28.92%, 31.57%, 17.54%, and 21.87%, respectively. Gender (χ^2=21.61, p<0.001), religion (P<0.001*), major (χ^2=38.94, p<0.001), if like the major (χ^2=13.23, p=0.04),</insert></pre>
177 178 179 180 181 182	Solution strategies Acculturation strategies Table 2 shows that the proportion of marginalization, integration, assimilation and separation strategy were 28.92%, 31.57%, 17.54%, and 21.87%, respectively. Gender (χ^2 =21.61, p<0.001), religion (P<0.001*), major (χ^2 =38.94, p<0.001), if like the major (χ^2 =13.23, p=0.04), preparedness for studying in China (χ^2 =8.21, p=0.04), number of roommates (χ^2 =18.78, p=0.03),
177 178 179 180 181 182 183	Solution strategies Acculturation strategies Table 2 shows that the proportion of marginalization, integration, assimilation and separation strategy were 28.92%, 31.57%, 17.54%, and 21.87%, respectively. Gender (χ^2 =21.61, p<0.001), religion (P<0.001*), major (χ^2 =38.94, p<0.001), if like the major (χ^2 =13.23, p=0.04), preparedness for studying in China (χ^2 =8.21, p=0.04), number of roommates (χ^2 =18.78, p=0.03), daily studying time in weekday (χ^2 =25.74, p=0.002) were significantly associated with the

185

<Insert Table 2 here>

Associated factors of acculturation strategies

187 Results in Table 3 show that compared with males, female students were more likely to 188 choose separation strategy (OR=1.86, 95% CI = [1.03, 3.35]). Students with Christian (OR

189 =2.61, 95% CI = [1.01, 6.79]), Muslim (OR = 4.55, 95% CI = [1.65, 12.53]), and

Buddhist/Hindu (OR = 3.57, 95% CI = [1.20, 10.65]) had higher likelihood to choose integration

strategy. Students majoring in Literature/Art (OR=3.00, 95% CI = [1.05, 8.59]) were more likely

to use assimilation strategy, while students who like their own major preferred to utilize

assimilation strategy. Integration strategy was often applied by the students whose daily studying

time were 3-4 hours (OR=2.83, 95% CI = [1.28, 6.30]), 5-6 hours (OR=3.65, 95% CI = [1.47, 6.30])

195 9.08]), >6 hours (OR=3.99, 95% CI = [1.70, 9.39]) in weekday and 3-4 hours (OR=1.94, 95%

196 CI = [1.00, 3.79]) in weekend.

197

<Insert Table 3 here>

198 Effects of acculturation strategies on acculturative stress and

199 depression

Results in Table 4 show that compared with international students with marginalization 200 strategy, those with separation (β =11.08, p<0.001) and integration (β =6.66, p<0.05) had higher 201 acculturative stress. Individuals with separation strategy were more likely to have higher stress in 202 203 homesickness (β =3.14, p<0.001), value conflicts (β =1.77, p<0.001), mistreat (β =1.18, p<0.05), lack of cultural competence (β =1.75, p<0.001) and lack of self-confidence (β =1.34, p<0.05), 204 while students with assimilation had higher value conflicts than marginalization (β =1.08, 205 p<0.05), and students with integration had higher homesickness (β =1.69, p<0.001), value 206 207 conflicts (β =1.40, p<0.001) and lack of cultural competence (β =1.04, p<0.05).

208 <Insert Table 4 here>

170

Discussion 209

210	This study applied Berry's acculturation framework ^{7,9} to examine acculturation strategies of
211	international students in China. Four acculturation strategies, including marginalization,
212	separation, assimilation and integration, were measured. The study investigated the associated
213	factors of the four strategies and the associations between the four strategies and mental health
214	status among international students. Findings of the study provided information to understand the
215	acculturation strategies, and provide evidence in developing future effective interventions on
216	mental health among international students.

Acculturation strategies among international students in China 217

Our findings reveal that integration was the most popular strategy among international 218 students, consistent with previous studies in different countries, including China⁴², Japan⁴³, 219 Belgium¹⁵ and the United States¹³. Multicultural society has been gradually forming and played 220 an important role in choice of integration strategy^{15, 44, 45}. Assimilation was the least preferred 221 strategy and less than one third of participants abandoned their heritage culture and actively 222 participated in Chinese culture. One possible explanation was that the development of cultural 223 values is subtle, and it would be difficult to modify or abandon specific cultures⁴⁶. Another 224 225 possible reason was that most international students prefer to return to their home countries after completing their short-term studies in China. Thus, they are less likely to change their values¹⁵. 226 Marginalization and separation were found to be the moderate preferred strategies in our study, 227 which were in line with the previous studies^{43, 47}. 228

Acculturation strategies and associated factors 229

The study investigated the associated factors of the four acculturation strategies, and identified six significant factors, including gender, religion, major, preference of major, daily studying time in weekday and weekend. Relative to males, females were more likely to choose separation strategy. Females tend to build stronger co-national ties, whose primary social network was the friendships with people from the same home country¹⁵. More domestic cultural contacts than international exchanges may lead to higher likelihood of adopting separation strategy.

237 International students majoring in Literature/Art, liking their own major were more likely to prefer the strategy of assimilation. Students majoring in Literature/Art had higher aesthetic taste, 238 imaginative thinking, and historical logic, and were more knowledgeable in history, culture, 239 politics, society, etc. The skills and knowledge might play important roles in cross-cultural 240 contacts⁴⁸. In addition, compared with students majoring in Science, Liberal Arts students had 241 242 higher intercultural competence, including more intercultural communication, more confidence in dealing with situational diversities, and more likelihood to show active attitude toward various 243 cultures⁴⁹. Students majoring in Literature/Art were also often characterized with higher cultural 244 245 awareness. They studied Chinese language and cultural arts so as to comprehensively understand Chinese culture. Therefore, they will actively participate in the culture of China and enjoy the 246 fun during their limited study time. 247

Students with religions of Christian, Muslim, and Buddhist/Hindu were more likely to prefer integration strategy than marginalization. China is a multi-religious country with five major religions, including Buddhism, Taoism, Islam, Catholicism and Christianity⁵⁰. There are more than 100 million people with religions, more than 85,000 places for religious activities, and over 3,000 religious groups in China⁵⁰. Laws in China give people the freedom and respect

of religious beliefs. International students with various religions could maintain their religionwhile simultaneously enjoy the Chinese culture.

Findings of the study also show that students with more time on study in weekdays were more likely to be integrated in both cultures. The students spending more time on study in weekday may actively participate in the curriculum practice and maintain interactions with teachers and classmates to improve their academic achievements. Meanwhile, these students may gather more social support through the social networks developed in the process of learning and interpersonal communication with Chinese students and local people⁵¹. Furthermore, it was also beneficial in improving Chinese language and deepening the understanding of Chinese culture⁵².

262 Effects of acculturation strategies on mental health status

Findings of the study indicate that relative to marginalization strategy, international students 263 with separation strategy had higher level of acculturative stress, particularly higher in 264 265 homesickness, value conflict, mistreated, lack of cultural competence and lack of selfconfidence. It was consistent with previous studies that individuals who separate themselves 266 from the host culture were more likely to experience a higher level of cultural stress³⁵. 267 Individuals who applied separation strategy tend to perceive greater cultural distance⁵³, which in 268 turn may lead to higher acculturative stress and more homesickness^{54, 55}. On the other hand, 269 270 findings of the study show that students with integration strategy also had higher level of 271 acculturative stress and its components, including homesickness, value conflicts and lack of 272 cultural competence. The results were contrary to previous studies among immigrants that people 273 with integration strategy had the lowest acculturative stress^{4, 7}. The underlying mechanism of the 274 different results would be complicated. One possible reason was that international students,

unlike immigrants, arrived in the host country for a short period of time. Previous studies have
reported that individuals often experience higher acculturative stress in the first two years of
immigration^{56, 57}. In this study, 72.31% of international students have lived in China for only one
year or less. During the process of integration, poor language communication and insufficient
cultural integration may trigger different aspects of cultural conflicts, especially value conflicts.
International students often feel homesickness after leaving home⁵⁸, however, homelessness may
also become stressful when the lack of cultural competence and value conflict happened^{5, 39}.

282 Limitations

There were limitations in the study. Firstly, it was a cross-sectional study. Longitudinal studies are needed in the future to examine the causal relationships of international students' acculturation strategies with mental health. Secondly, the study was conducted with international students in Wuhan City. Cautions are needed when generalizing the results to the international students of the whole nation. Thirdly, data of this study were self-reported. Recall bias and social undesirability could not be ruled out.

289 **Conclusions**

Integration is the most popular acculturation strategy among international students in China. Students with separation and integration strategy had worse mental health status. Gender, major, religion, daily study time were significantly associated with the preference of acculturation strategies. Finding in the study deepened our understanding of acculturation strategy among international students in China, and provided evidence for developing future effective interventions to prevent the mental health in this special population.

296 Acknowledgments

297	The study conception and design were thought by Chenchang Xiao and Bin Yu. Data
298	collection was conducted by Chenchang Xiao, Jingyan Yan, Hanjia Li, Changmian Ding and Bin
299	Yu. Data analysis was conducted by Chenchang Xiao and Jingyan Yan. Drafting of manuscript
300	was conducted by Chenchang Xiao, Jingyan Yan, Hanjia Li and Changmian Ding. Review of the
301	manuscript was conducted by Bin Yu.

302 **Reference**

- Yusoff YM. Self-Efficacy, Perceived Social Support, and Psychological Adjustment in
 International Undergraduate Students in a Public Higher Education Institution in Malaysia.
 Journal of Studies in International Education. 2012; 16:353-71.
- 2. Oecd. Education at a glance 2017: OECD Publishing; 2017.
- 307 3. Rienties B, Luchoomun D, Tempelaar D. Academic and social integration of Master students:
- a cross-institutional comparison between Dutch and international students. Innovations in
 Education and Teaching International. 2014; 51:130-41.
- Smith RA, Khawaja NG. A review of the acculturation experiences of international students.
 International Journal of Intercultural Relations. 2011; 35:699-713.
- 5. Yu B, Chen X, Li S, Liu Y, Jacques-Tiura AJ, Yan H. Acculturative stress and influential
 factors among international students in China: A structural dynamic perspective. PLoS ONE.
 2014; 9:e96322.
- 315 6. Yu B, Chen XG, Li SY. Globalization, acculturative stress and their impact on health. Chinese
 316 Journal of Epidemiology. 2014; 35:338-41.

- 317 7. Berry J. Acculturation: Living successfully in two cultures. International Journal of
 318 Intercultural Relations. 2005; 29:697-712.
- LaFromboise T, Coleman HLK, Gerton J. Psychological impact of biculturalism: evidence
 and theory. Psychol Bull. 1993; 114:395-412.
- 321 9. Berry J, Sabatier C. Variations in the assessment of acculturation attitudes: Their relationships
- with psychological wellbeing. International Journal of Intercultural Relations. 2011; 35:658-
- **323 69**.
- Berry J, Sabatier C. Acculturation, discrimination, and adaptation among second generation
 immigrant youth in Montreal and Paris. International Journal of Intercultural Relations. 2010;
 34:191-207.
- 11. Kim E. Multidimensional acculturation attitudes and depressive symptoms in Korean
 Americans. Issues Ment Health Nurs. 2009; 30:98-103.
- 12. Neto F. Acculturation strategies among adolescents from immigrant families in Portugal.
 International Journal of Intercultural Relations. 2002; 26:17-38.
- Fosados R, McClain A, Ritt-Olson A, Sussman S, Soto D, Baezconde-Garbanati L, et al. The
 influence of acculturation on drug and alcohol use in a sample of adolescents. Addict Behav.
 2007; 32:2990-3004.
- 14. Tartakovsky E. Factors affecting immigrants' acculturation intentions: A theoretical model
 and its assessment among adolescent immigrants from Russia and Ukraine in Israel.
 International Journal of Intercultural Relations. 2012; 36:83-99.
- 15. Cao C, Zhu C, Meng Q. Predicting Chinese international students' acculturation strategies
 from socio-demographic variables and social ties. Asian J Soc Psychol. 2017; 20:85-96.
- 16. Ünlü Ince B, Fassaert T, de Wit MAS, Cuijpers P, Smit J, Ruwaard J, et al. The relationship

- between acculturation strategies and depressive and anxiety disorders in Turkish migrants in
 the Netherlands. BMC Psychiatry. 2014; 14:252.
- 342 17. De Verthelyi RF. International students' spouses: Invisible sojourners in the culture shock
 343 literature. International Journal of Intercultural Relations. 1995; 19:387-411.
- 18. Poyrazli S, Kavanaugh PR. Marital status, ethnicity, academic achievement, and adjustment
 strains: The case of graduate international students. College Student Journal. 2006; 40:767-
- **346** 80.
- 347 19. Wang J. A Study on Cross Cultural Adaptation of Indonesian Students at Anhui University
 348 [THESIS.MASTER]: Anhui University; 2014.
- Needham BL, Mukherjee B, Bagchi P, Kim C, Mukherjea A, Kandula NR, et al.
 Acculturation strategies and symptoms of depression: the mediators of atherosclerosis in
 south Asians living in America (MASALA) study. J Immigr Minor Health. 2017; 20:1-7.
- Pan JY, Wong DFK. Acculturative stressors and acculturative strategies as predictors of
 negative affect among Chinese international students in Australia and Hong Kong: a cross-
- cultural comparative study. Acad Psychiatry. 2011; 35:376-81.
- 22. Yoon E, Chang C-T, Kim S, Clawson A, Cleary SE, Hansen M, et al. A meta-analysis of
 acculturation/enculturation and mental health. Journal of Counseling Psychology. 2013;
 60:15-30.
- Behara J, Khan KA, Hasan B. Predicting effects of acculturation strategies on acculturative
 stress. IJPP. 2018; 9.
- 360 24. Morawa E, Erim Y. Acculturation and depressive symptoms among Turkish immigrants in
 361 Germany. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2014; 11:9503-21.
- 362 25. Kaplan RC, Bangdiwala SI, Barnhart JM, Castañeda SF, Gellman MD, Lee DJ, et al. Smoking

- among U.S. Hispanic/Latino adults: the Hispanic community health study/study of Latinos.
- American Journal of Preventive Medicine. 2014; 46:496-506.
- 26. Lui PP, Zamboanga BL. Acculturation and alcohol use among Asian Americans: A metaanalytic review. Psychol Addict Behav. 2018; 32:173-86.
- 367 27. Bai J. Perceived Support as a Predictor of Acculturative Stress Among International Students
 368 in the United States. Journal of International Students. 2016; 6:93-106.
- 28. Qin Y. A Study On The Cross Culture Adaption of Foreign Students in China
 [THESIS.MASTER]: SHENYANG AEROSPACE UNIVERSITY; 2017.
- 371 29. Rice KG, Choi C-C, Zhang Y, Morero YI, Anderson D. Self-Critical Perfectionism,
 372 Acculturative Stress, and Depression Among International Students. Couns Psychol. 2012;
 373 40:575-600.
- 374 30. Yang XY, Yang F. Acculturation Versus Cultural Retention: The Interactive Impact of
 375 Acculturation and Co-ethnic Ties on Substance Use Among Chinese Students in the United
 376 States. J Immigr Minor Health. 2018; 20:546-60.
- 377 31. Lv N. The influence of enrolling overseas students on economic development in our
 378 country—from public financial impact view [THESIS.DOCTORAL]: Financial science
 379 research institute of the ministry of finance; 2015.
- 380 32. Ministry of E. The double increase in the service scale of studying abroad and returning to
 381 China. Ministry of Education of the People's Repubic of China. 2018.
- 382 33. The Ministry of Education the People's Republic of C. A statistic of Chinese international
 383 students in 2016. The Ministry of Education the People's Republic of China. 2017.
- 384 34. Liu Y, Chen X, Li S, Yu B, Wang Y, Yan H. Path Analysis of Acculturative Stress
- 385 Components and Their Relationship with Depression Among International Students in China.

- 386 Stress Health. 2016; 32:524-32.
- 387 35. Berry J. Immigration, acculturation, and adaptation. Applied Psychology. 1997; 42:5-34.
- 388 36. Johnson KL, Carroll JF, Fulda KG, Cardarelli K, Cardarelli R. Acculturation and self-
- reported health among Hispanics using a socio-behavioral model: the North Texas Healthy
- Heart Study. BMC Public Health. 2010; 10:53.
- 37. Torres L, Driscoll MW, Voell M. Discrimination, acculturation, acculturative stress, and
 Latino psychological distress: a moderated mediational model. Cultur Divers Ethnic Minor
 Psychol. 2012; 18:17-25.
- Ward C, Kennedy A. Acculturation strategies, psychological adjustment, and sociocultural
 competence during cross-cultural transitions. International Journal of Intercultural Relations.
 1994; 18:329-43.
- 397 39. Sandhu DS, Asrabadi BR. Development of an Acculturative Stress Scale for International
 398 Students: preliminary findings. Psychol Rep. 1994; 75:435-48.
- 40. Radloff LS. The CES-D scale: A self-report depression scale for research in the general
 population. Appl Psychol Meas. 1977; 1:385-401.
- 401 41. Andresen EM, Malmgren JA, Carter WB, Patrick DL. Screening for depression in well older
 402 adults: evaluation of a short form of the CES-D (Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression
- 403 Scale). Am J Prev Med. 1994; 10:77-84.
- 404 42. Wang J. An Empirical Study on Correlation Factors and Acculturation Strategies of Asian
 405 Students in China [THESIS.MASTER]: Chongqing University; 2013.
- 406 43. Sun Y. Chinese students in Japan: the mediator and the moderator between their personality
 407 and mental health. Int J Psychol. 2012; 48:215-23.
- 408 44. Berry J, Kim U, Minde T, Mok D. Comparative studies of acculturative stress. International

409 Migration Review. 1987; 21:491.

- 410 45. Van Oudenhoven JP, Prins KS, Buunk BP. Attitudes of minority and majority members
- 411 towards adaptation of immigrants. Eur J Soc Psychol. 1998; 28:995-1013.
- 412 46. Gu Q. Maturity and Interculturality: Chinese students' experiences in UK higher education.
- 413 Eur J Education. 2009; 44:37-52.
- 414 47. Inoue T, Ito T. Acculturation attitudes and mental health of international students in their first
 415 year. Shinrigaku Kenkyu. 1997; 68:298-304.
- 416 48. Zhang R. Study on the Social and Cultural Adaptation of Mongolian Students in China.
- 417 Journal of Inner Mongolia Normal University (Philosophy & Social Science). 2017; 46:151-

418 5.

- 419 49. He S. Research on Status Quo and Countermeasures of Liberal Arts Students and Science
 420 Students' Intercultural Communicative Competence [THESIS.MASTER]: Hunan University
 421 of Science and Technology; 2013.
- 422 50. National Committee of the Chinese People's Political Consultative Conference tN, Religious
- 423 C. the religious profile of Chinese. Chinese Literature and History Publishing House2008.
- 424 51. Akhtar M, Kröner-Herwig B. Acculturative Stress Among International Students in Context
 425 of Socio-Demographic Variables and Coping Styles. Curr Psychol. 2015; 34:803-15.
- 426 52. Kashima ES, Loh E. International students' acculturation: Effects of international, conational,
- 427 and local ties and need for closure. International Journal of Intercultural Relations. 2006;428 30:471-85.
- 429 53. Alcott YD, Watt SE. Acculturation Strategy and Racial Group in the Perception of
 430 Immigrants. Journal of Pacific Rim Psychology. 2017; 11:1-16.
- 431 54. Greenland K, Brown R. Acculturation and contact in Japanese students studying in the United

432 Kingdom. J Soc Psychol. 2005; 145:373-89.

- 433 55. Suanet I, Van de Vijver FJR. Perceived cultural distance and acculturation among exchange
- 434 students in Russia. J Community Appl Soc Psychol. 2009; 19:182-97.
- 435 56. Gil AG, Vega WA. Two Different Worlds: Acculturation Stress and Adaptation among
 436 Cuban and Nicaraguan Families. J Soc Pers Relat. 1996; 13:435-56.
- 437 57. Cuellar I, Bastida E, Braccio SM. Residency in the United States, subjective well-being, and
 438 depression in an older Mexican-origin sample. J Aging Health. 2004; 16:447-66.
- 439 58. Saravanan C, Alias A, Mohamad M. The effects of brief individual cognitive behavioural
- therapy for depression and homesickness among international students in Malaysia. J Affect
- 441 Disord. 2017; 220:108-16.
- 442 59. Sussman S, Brannon BR, Dent CW, Hansen WB, Johnson CA, Flay BR. Relations of coping
 443 effort, coping strategies, perceived stress, and cigarette smoking among adolescents. Int J
- 444 Addict. 1993; 28:599-612.
- 445
- 446
- 447
- 448
- 449
- 450

451 Main results

452 Table 1. Characteristics of the study sample

Total, n (%)	226 (50 26)		
	330 (39.20)	231 (47.04)	567 (100.00)
Age, n (%)			
20 or less	99 (29.46)	100 (43.29)	199 (35.10)
21-25	160 (47.62)	106 (45.89)	266 (46.91)
>25	77 (22.92)	25 (10.82)	102 (17.99)
Mean (SD)	23.36 (4.43)	21.85 (3.40)	22.75 (4.11)
Place of origin, n (%)			
Asia	132 (40.24)	110 (49.11)	242 (43.84)
Africa	147 (44.82)	76 (33.93)	223 (40.40)
Others	49 (14.94)	38 (16.96)	87 (15.76)
Marital status, n (%)			
Unmarried	291 (87.65)	210 (91.70)	501 (89.30)
Others	41 (12.35)	19 (8.30)	60 (10.70)
Education, n (%)			
Undergraduate	240 (74.30)	179 (79.20)	419 (76.32)
Graduate	83 (25.70)	47 (20.80)	130 (23.68)
Religion, n (%)			
Christian	113 (33.73)	88 (38.77)	201 (35.77)
Muslim	133 (33.73)	52 (22.91)	185 (32.92)
Buddhist/Hindu	43 (12.84)	58 (25.55)	101 (17.97)
Others	4 (1.19)	2 (0.88)	6 (1.07)
None	42 (12.54)	27 (11.89)	69 (12.28)
Duration in China, n (%)			
One year or less	239 (71.13)	171 (74.03)	410 (72.31)
More than one year	97 (28.87)	60 (25.97)	157 (27.69)
Mean (SD), months	14.77 (12.28)	13.98 (11.88)	14.45 (12.11)
Major, n (%)			
Science/Engineering	57 (12.27)	21 (9.21)	78 (13.98)

Literature/Art	116 (35.15)	79 (34.64)	195 (34.95)
Medicine	101 (30.61	96 (42.11)	197 (35.30)
Others	56 (16.97)	32 (14.04)	88 (15.77)
If like major, n (%)			
Don't like	36 (11.04)	26 (11.45)	62 (11.21)
Don't know	27 (8.28)	21 (9.25)	48 (8.68)
Like	263 (80.67)	180 (79.30)	443 (80.11)
Preparedness, n (%)			
Not well	90 (29.70)	52 (23.96)	142 (27.31)
Well	213 (70.30)	165 (76.04)	378 (72.69)
Number of roommates, n			
0	77 (23.69)	53 (24.77)	130 (24.12)
1	105 (32.31)	63 (29.44)	168 (31.17)
2	65 (20.00)	35 (16.36)	100 (18.55)
3 or more	78 (24.00)	63 (29.44)	141 (26.16)
Study time in weekday (h	ours), n (%)		
0-2	67 (20.30)	51 (22.37)	118 (21.15)
3-4	88 (26.67)	62 (27.19)	150 (26.88)
5-6	67 (20.30)	49 (21.49)	116 (20.79)
>6	108 (32.73)	66 (28.95)	174 (31.18)
Study time in weekend (h	ours), n (%)		
0-2	108 (32.53)	80 (35.09)	188 (33.57)
3-4	95 (28.61)	73 (32.02)	168 (30.00)
5-6	58 (17.47)	39 (17.11)	97 (17.32)
>6	71 (21.39)	36 (15.79)	107 (19.11)

Variables	Marginalization	Separation	Assimilation	Integration	χ^2 (p value)
Total, n (%)	164 (28.92)	124 (21.87)	100 (17.54)	179 (31.57)	
Gender, n (%)					χ ² =21.61 (p<0.001)
Male	103 (62.80)	52 (41.94)	70 (70.00)	111 (62.01)	
Female	61 (37.20)	72 (58.06)	30 (30.00)	68 (37.99)	
Age, n (%)					χ ² =10.83 (p=0.09)
20 or less	59 (35.98)	54 (43.55)	28 (28.00)	58 (32.40)	
21-25	75 (45.73)	54 (43.55)	46 (46.00)	91 (50.84)	
>25	30 (18.29)	16 (12.90)	26 (26.00)	30 (16.76)	
Place of origin, n (%)					χ ² =8.14 (p=0.23)
Asia	61 (38.85)	63 (52.50)	42 (42.42)	76 (43.18)	
Africa	67 (42.68)	43 (35.83)	37 (37.37)	76 (43.18)	
Others	29 (18.47)	14 (11.67)	20 (20.20)	24 (13.64)	
Marital status, n (%)					χ ² =1.77 (p=0.62)
Unmarried	149 (91.98)	108 (87.80)	88 (88.89)	156 (88.14)	
Others	13 (8.02)	15 (12.20)	11 (11.11)	21 (11.86)	
Education, n (%)					χ ² =3.08 (p=0.38)
Undergraduate	119 (74.38)	95 (78.51)	69 (71.13)	136 (79.53)	
Graduate	41 (25.63)	26 (21.49)	28 (28.87)	35 (20.47)	

Table 2. Associated factors of acculturation strategies among international students in China

Religion, n (%)					P<0.001*
Christian	55 (33.95)	46 (37.10)	35 (35.35)	65 (36.72)	
Muslim	51 (31.48)	39 (31.45)	24 (24.24)	71 (40.11)	
Buddhist/Hindu	25 (15.43)	25 (20.16)	21 (21.21)	30 (16.95)	
Others	1 (0.62)	1 (0.81)	3 (3.03)	1 (0.56)	
None	30 (18.52)	13 (10.48)	16 (16.16)	10 (5.65)	
Duration in China, n (%)					χ ² =3.65 (p=0.30)
1 year or less	121 (73.78)	82 (66.13)	77 (77.00)	130 (72.63)	
More than 1 year	43 (26.22)	42 (33.87)	23 (23.00)	49 (27.37)	
Major, n (%)					χ ² =38.94 (p<0.001)
Science/Engineering	25 (15.63)	14 (11.48)	12 (12.24)	27 (15.17)	
Literature/Art	65 (40.63)	25 (20.49)	47 (47.96)	58 (32.58)	
Medicine	49 (30.63)	68 (55.74)	22 (22.45)	58 (32.58)	
Others	21 (13.13)	15 (12.30)	17 (17.35)	35 (19.66)	
If like major, n (%)					χ ² =13.23 (p=0.04)
Don't like	24 (15.29)	14 (11.38)	6 (6.06)	18 (10.34)	
Don't know	21 (13.38)	10 (8.13)	7 (7.07)	10 (5.75)	
Like	112 (71.34)	99 (80.49)	86 (86.87)	146 (83.91)	
Preparedness, n (%)					χ ² =8.21 (p=0.04)
Not well	52 (35.86)	31 (26.96)	22 (23.66)	37 (22.16)	
Well	93 (64.14)	84 (73.04)	71 (76.34)	130 (77.84)	

Number of roomma	te, n (%)				χ ² =18.78 (p=0.03)
0	38 (24.68)	21 (17.36)	29 (30.53)	42 (24.85)	
1	48 (31.17)	31 (25.62)	26 (27.37)	63 (37.28)	
2	28 (18.18)	22 (18.18)	20 (21.05)	30 (17.75)	
3 or more	40 (25.97)	47 (38.84)	20 (21.05)	34 (20.12)	
Study time in week	lay (hours), n (%)				χ ² =25.74 (p=0.002)
0-2	48 (30.19)	24 (19.51)	25 (25.00)	21 (11.93)	
3-4	39 (24.53)	39 (31.71)	20 (20.00)	52 (29.55)	
5-6	30 (18.87)	19 (15.45)	28 (28.00)	39 (22.16)	
>6	42 (26.42)	41 (33.33)	27 (27.00)	64 (36.36)	
Study time in weeke	end (hours), n (%)				χ ² =15.30 (p=0.08)
0-2	67 (41.88)	36 (29.27)	37 (37.00)	48 (27.12)	
3-4	38 (23.75)	34 (27.64)	32 (32.00)	64 (36.16)	
5-6	23 (14.38)	28 (22.76)	16 (16.00)	30 (16.95)	
>6	32 (20.00)	25 (20.33)	15 (15.00)	35 (19.77)	

Note: * fisher test;

Table 3. Multinomial logistic regression of acculturation strategies and associated factors,

Odds Ratio [95% CI].

Variables	Separation	Assimilation	Integration
Gender			
Male (ref)			
Female	1.86 [1.03, 3.35]	0.54 [0.28, 1.03]	0.91 [0.53, 1.60]
Age			
20 or less (ref)			
21-25	0.87 [0.46, 1.64]	1.39 [0.69, 2.82]	1.08 [0.60, 1.96]
>25	0.93 [0.35, 2.42]	1.63 [0.66, 4.01]	0.75 [0.32, 1.75]
Religion, n (%)			
Christian	1.43 [0.58, 3.51]	1.03 [0.43, 2.44]	2.61 [1.01, 6.79]
Muslim	1.12 [0.41, 3.05]	1.01 [0.38, 2.69]	4.55 [1.65, 12.53]
Buddhist/Hindu	0.96 [0.33, 2.80]	1.72 [0.61, 4.87]	3.57 [1.20, 10.65]
Others	1.33 [0.06, 28.51]	3.88 [0.28, 52.92]	2.69 [0.14, 51.59]
None (ref)			
Major			
Science/Engineering (ref)			
Literature/Art	0.49 [0.20, 1.22]	3.00 [1.05, 8.59]	0.86 [0.38, 1.91]
Medicine	1.78 [0.69, 4.59]	1.74 [0.53, 5.75]	0.82 [0.34, 2.00]
Others	1.29 [0.43, 3.87]	3.75 [1.10, 12.79]	1.74 [0.65, 4.68]
If like major			
Don't like (ref)			
Don't know	0.76 [0.24, 2.43]	1.23 [0.29, 5.20]	0.81 [0.25, 2.61]
Like	1.18 [0.50, 2.78]	3.05 [1.01, 9.18]	1.58 [0.70, 3.56]
Preparedness			
Not well (ref)			
Well	1.27 [0.68, 2.36]	1.79 [0.91, 3.51]	1.68 [0.93, 3.01]
Number of roommates	1.27 [0.99, 1.64]	1.10 [0.83, 1.44]	0.99 [0.78, 1.26]

Study time in weekday			
0-2 (Ref)			
3-4	1.17 [0.53, 2.58]	0.84 [0.35, 2.00]	2.83 [1.28, 6.30]
5-6	1.15 [0.45, 2.96]	2.46 [0.99, 6.14]	3.65 [1.47, 9.08]
>6	1.46 [0.62, 3.44]	1.33 [0.53, 3.31]	3.99 [1.70, 9.39]
Study time in weekend			
0-2 (Ref)			
3-4	1.49 [0.72, 3.09]	1.67 [0.79, 3.53]	1.94 [1.00, 3.79]
5-6	2.27 [0.95, 5.44]	1.10 [0.42, 2.87]	1.18 [0.51, 2.76]
>6	1.03 [0.41, 2.59]	0.71 [0.26, 1.96]	0.93 [0.40, 2.16]

Note: Marginalization was used as reference group.

Variables	Marginalization	Separation	Assimilation	Integration
Mental health status				
Acculturative stress				
Total	Ref	11.08**	3.30	6.66*
HS	Ref	3.14**	0.61	1.69**
VC	Ref	1.77**	1.08*	1.40**
IT	Ref	0.88	0.15	0.27
OD	Ref	0.16	0.20	0.31
MT	Ref	1.18*	0.41	0.80
CC	Ref	1.75**	-0.29	1.04*
SC	Ref	1.34*	0.91	0.71
Depression	Ref	0.09	-0.08	-0.07

Table 4. Associations between acculturation strategies with mental health status among international students in China, regression coefficients (β)

Note: ¹Age, gender, religion, major and study time were controlled. ²HS = Homesickness, VC= Value conflict, IT= Identity threat, OD = Opportunity deprivation, MT = Mistreated, CC = Lack of cultural competence, SC = Lack of self-confidence. ^{3*} p<0.05, **p<0.001

Acculturation		Host Culture .		
		Adoption	Rejection	
Heritage culture.	Maintenance.»	Integration.»	Separation	
	Rejection.	Assimilation	Marginalization.	

Fig 1. Acculturation strategies based on the attitudes towards the host culture and heritage culture

Acculturation		Host Culture .		
		Adoption.	Rejection	
Heritage culture₽	Maintenance	Integration.	Separation.	
	Rejection.	Assimilation.	Marginalization	

Figure