- <sup>1</sup> Improving Type II Diabetes Care in West Africa:
- <sup>2</sup> A Scoping Review of Barriers, Facilitators and

# <sup>3</sup> the Way Forward

4 5	Abdul-Basit Abdul-Samed <sup>*1</sup> ,Yasmin Jahan <sup>2</sup> , Veronika Reichenberger <sup>2</sup> , Ellen Barnie Peprah <sup>1</sup> , Mary Pomaa Agyekum <sup>1</sup> ,Henry Lawson <sup>1</sup> , Dina Balabanova <sup>2</sup> , Tolib Mirzoev <sup>2</sup> , Irene Agyepong <sup>1</sup>
6	
7	<sup>1</sup> Ghana College of Physicians and Surgeons, Accra, Ghana
8	<sup>2</sup> London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, United Kingdom
9	
10	*Corresponding Author
11	abdulbasitgunu@gmail.com
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	

# 20 Abstract

22	Background: The prevalence of diabetes is rising rapidly across West Africa, posing a significant public
23	health challenge. Effective diabetes management through accessible and quality primary healthcare is
24	crucial, yet multiple barriers persist. This review aimed to synthesise the available evidence on factors
25	influencing access, utilisation, and quality of diabetes primary care in West Africa.
26	Methods: Our scoping review followed Arksey and O'Malley's methodology and PRISMA-ScR
27	guidelines. We comprehensively searched electronic databases and grey literature for studies covering
28	2000-2023 that reported factors related to diabetes primary care in West African countries. Data was
29	extracted and analysed through a framework synthesis approach integrating the World Health
30	Organisation Operational Framework for Primary Health Care, the Social Determinants of Health model,
31	and the Innovative Care for Chronic Conditions model.
32	<b>Results</b> : Twelve studies were included from Nigeria (n=7), Ghana (n=4), and Senegal (n=1). Key barriers
33	to access, utilisation, and quality were identified as health system deficiencies, including lack of
34	infrastructure, workforce shortages, supply gaps, fragmented care coordination, absence of standardised
35	guidelines, high costs of care, and limited governance for chronic disease management. Broader
36	determinants of health, such as poverty, gender, cultural beliefs, reliance on traditional medicine, and
37	health policy gaps, were found to influence access to and utilisation of care significantly. Individual-level
38	barriers like psychological distress and delays in care-seeking were also significant. However,
39	family/social support systems emerged as potential facilitators of accessing and utilising PHC services.
40	Conclusions: Our review indicates a complex interplay between health system deficiencies, deeply rooted
41	cultural beliefs, and lived experiences shaping diabetes care in West Africa. The region's unique
42	challenges demand a paradigm shift from conventional chronic care models to context-specific, adaptive
43	models. Crucially, the gendered aspects of care and the integration of traditional healing practices emerge

as pivotal yet often overlooked factors in diabetes management. Furthermore, addressing the bidirectional
relationship between mental health and diabetes offers a promising avenue for improving outcomes.
Future strategies must transcend the biomedical model, embracing a sociocultural approach that leverages
community assets and addresses structural inequities.

# 48 Introduction

49

According to the World Health Organisation (WHO), the prevalence of diabetes has become a significant threat to global health, with over half a billion adults currently living with this condition worldwide. This burden is profoundly felt in low and middle-income countries (LMICs) in regions such as Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), where an estimated 24 million people had diabetes in 2021(1). SSA is currently grappling with the double burden of communicable and noncommunicable diseases (NCDs), which is impacting the lives of millions across the continent (1).

56 In the West African region, the prevalence of undiagnosed diabetes is estimated at 4% and rising, with

57 further estimates asserting that prevalence rates of undiagnosed diabetes in this region outpace rates in the

rest of Africa (2–4). According to a systematic analysis (2), the pooled prevalence of undiagnosed

59 diabetes mellitus across West Africa is at 4.72%, higher than the prevalence rates observed in other

60 African regions, such as 4.43% in Eastern Africa, 4.27% in Northern Africa, and 1.46% in Southern

61 Africa. The prevalence of diabetes varies across West African countries, with estimates ranging from

62 2.8% to 3.95% in Ghana, 1.7% to 2.1% in Burkina Faso, and as high as 5.6% in Niger (5–7).

63 Uncontrolled diabetes can lead to complications, including cardiovascular disease, kidney failure,

64 blindness, and premature death (8,9). These complications not only impact the quality of life of

65 individuals living with diabetes but also place a substantial burden on healthcare systems and societies.

66 Improving prevention, early detection, and management through effective primary health care (PHC) can

prevent complications and adverse outcomes in ambulatory care-sensitive (ACS) conditions such as typeII diabetes.

For this study, we define primary health care as encompassing three interrelated components: integrated health services that prioritise primary and public health functions; multisectoral policy and action for health; and empowered people and communities (10). PHC represents the first point of contact within the health system, offering continuous, comprehensive, and coordinated care that includes prevention, early diagnosis, treatment, and management of complications.

74 The WHO recognises PHC as the most inclusive, equitable, and cost-effective approach to achieving

virus of the struggle with universal health coverage (11). Compared to high-income countries (HIC), LMICs struggle with

76 providing accessible, uninterrupted quality care for managing ACS conditions like diabetes that require

<sup>77</sup> lifelong self-care and continuous health system support (12). West Africa, in particular, has considerable

barriers hampering access to quality PHC, consequently creating substantial gaps in the delivery of

reffective first-line services for diabetes (13,14). Health system barriers include limited resources, a

shortage of human resources trained to manage diabetes, inadequate infrastructure, equipment, tools and
supplies, and essential medicines (15–17).

82 Furthermore, in many settings, cultural beliefs and practices can also have a positive or negative influence 83 on how people engage with PHC systems. For instance, deeply rooted traditional beliefs of supernatural causes of disease sometimes orient patients towards traditional healing rather than PHC services (18,19); 84 85 such beliefs and practices can contribute to delayed and interrupted care (18). However, cultural beliefs 86 and practices may facilitate care-seeking if appropriately leveraged through health system adaptation and community engagement (20,21). The multifaceted interactions between cultural factors and health 87 88 systems highlight the need for a comprehensive approach to successfully integrate diabetes management 89 into responsive PHC systems, which remains an immense challenge across Africa (22).

91

- Framework for Primary Health Care 92
- 93

The WHO's PHC framework helps to understand and address the challenge of access to primary care by 94 95 recognising the interdependence of three key elements: integrated health services, multisectoral policy and action, and empowered individuals and communities. These components are connected to primary 96 97 healthcare (PHC) levers, such as political commitment and leadership, governance and policy structures, funding and resource distribution, and community and stakeholder involvement. When these components 98 99 and levers function together effectively, they can enhance the quality, equity, and effectiveness of primary 100 care services, ultimately resulting in improved health outcomes. However, deficiencies across multiple 101 points in this continuum persist in LMICs, fundamentally constraining integrated diabetes care (11,23).

The literature on West Africa highlights gaps in integrated health service delivery models that do not 102 103 adequately address the increasing burden of non-communicable diseases (NCDs). There is limited 104 multisectoral coordination for diabetes prevention and a lack of community awareness and engagement in 105 diabetes care. These factors, spanning across the healthcare system and society, significantly impact primary care for diabetes (22-24). 106

#### Quality in Diabetes Care 107

108

109 Quality of care is a complex, multifaceted concept that evolves through patients' experiences. Key attributes include responsiveness to individual patient needs and preferences, quality of patient-provider 110 111 interactions, and management practices (25). Hanefeld et al. argued that quality is co-produced by various actors - patients, families, communities, providers, and health systems - and shaped by sociocultural 112 contexts (25). Realising high-quality diabetes care involves providing safe, effective, timely, efficient, 113 114 equitable and patient-centred prevention and treatment (26). This requires addressing challenges at multiple levels, from health worker skills and medication availability at the health system level to social 115

support systems at the community and individual levels. A holistic view spanning micro to macro factorsis necessary to understand the various barriers and ensure quality, patient-centred diabetes care.

While previous studies have looked at the prevalence of diabetes and its risk factors in Africa, there is a 118 119 notable lack of synthesised evidence on the various barriers and facilitators within primary healthcare 120 systems that affect access to, utilisation and quality of diabetes-related primary care across West Africa 121 (24). This lack of comprehensive understanding hinders the development of effective interventions and policy actions to improve diabetes care in the region. Our scoping review aims to address this gap by 122 123 identifying and synthesising the literature on factors influencing access to, utilisation and quality of diabetes care in West Africa, exploring how and why these manifest, and distilling recommendations for 124 strengthening PHC policy, practice, and implementation research to improve diabetes care. By providing 125 this synthesis, we aim to inform more targeted and context-appropriate strategies for diabetes 126 127 management in West African PHC settings.

# 128 Materials and Methods

This scoping review followed the methodology outlined in our published protocol (27), which adhered to
the framework by Arksey and O'Malley (28), guidance from the Joanna Briggs Institute (29), and the
PRISMA-ScR guidelines (30).

132 Two overall research questions were developed through an iterative process and extensive consultation133 with the research team and other stakeholders:

134 (1) What factors influence primary healthcare access, utilisation and quality for diabetes in West Africa?

135 (2) How and why do these factors work, and what are the gaps in the current literature?

136 The review focused on original peer-reviewed research published in English or French between January

137 1, 2000, and December 31, 2023. It focused on factors influencing diabetes care in the adult population,

138 excluding gestational diabetes. The inclusion and exclusion criteria are detailed in *Table 1*.

#### 139

#### 140 Table 1 - Inclusion & Exclusion Criteria

	Inclusion	Exclusion		
Year of publication	2000 - 2023	N/A		
Language	English, French	Other Languages		
Population	Adults with diabetes	People with gestational diabetes		
Concept	Access Utilisation Quality PHC	N/A		
Context	West Africa	Regions outside West Africa		
Study design	<ul> <li>Qualitative studies, Quantitative studies, Meta- analyses, Social Science Case studies/reports</li> <li>Multi-country studies, which include West African countries</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Studies that do not contain empirical data on factors affecting access, utilisation and quality of PHC</li> <li>Abstracts</li> <li>Commentaries</li> <li>Opinion pieces</li> </ul>		

's or

141

The search strategy, developed with the assistance of an experienced librarian, was adapted for each 142 database (PubMed, Scopus, Google Scholar, CAIRN Info) and utilised a combination of keywords and 143 144 controlled vocabulary terms. Grey literature and reference lists of included studies and relevant systematic 145 reviews were also searched. The search results were exported into Rayyan software for screening and 146 management (31). 147 The study selection process, conducted by a team of six members who worked in pairs, involved three stages: deduplication, title/abstract screening and full-text screening. Conflicts were resolved through 148 149 discussion and consensus with a third reviewer. A PRISMA flow diagram illustrates the study selection 150 process (Fig 1). 151 Figure 1 - PRISMA Flow Diagram 152 153 Data extraction was performed using a standardised form in Microsoft Excel, which included study characteristics (author, year, country, design, population), barriers and facilitators to diabetes care access, 154 utilisation, and quality at the health system and contextual levels, as well as key findings and conclusions. 155 Four reviewers independently extracted data, which were then collated and summarised in a tabular 156 157 format.

158 Further details of our methodology are available in our published protocol (27).

159 Twelve papers were included in this scoping review after screening 4,290 documents.

160

161

#### 162 Conceptual Framework

We utilised a framework analysis approach to direct the analysis process for this scoping review. To gaina holistic and context-specific understanding, various factors were analysed at the macro (broader

societal, economic, political, cultural, and environmental factors influencing health and healthcare), meso

166 (organisational and community level factors), and micro (individual patient, family, and healthcare

167 provider level factors) levels. This taxonomy drew on multiple complementary frameworks: the

168 Operational Framework for PHC, which is an expansion of the WHO's six building blocks of health

systems (11), the Social Determinants of Health (SDH) framework (32), and the Innovative Care for

170 Chronic Conditions model (ICCC) (33).

171 These frameworks were selected because of their relevance to the complexity of diabetes care. The three

172 frameworks we combined by examining their key domains and concepts, looking for similarities and

differences. The WHO PHC framework served as the primary structure for health system components

174 (11), while the SDH model allowed us to contextualise these within broader societal factors (32). The

175 ICCC model offered specific insights into chronic disease management relevant to diabetes care (33).

176 We then created a unified scheme incorporating elements from all three frameworks. For example, the

177 'health workforce' from the WHO PHC framework was expanded to include social and cultural

178 competencies highlighted in the SDH model and the concept of a 'prepared, proactive practice team' from

the ICCC model.

180 During data extraction and analysis, we applied this integrated scheme, allowing us to capture the

181 multifaceted nature of factors influencing diabetes care. In our synthesis, we carefully considered how the

182 findings were related to multiple framework components. For instance, a finding related to patient

- adherence is analysed in terms of health system factors (WHO PHC), social determinants (SDH), and
- 184 self-management support (ICCC).
- 185 This synthesised approach helped us gain a better understanding of the complex factors influencing
- 186 diabetes care in West African primary healthcare settings.
- 187 Our analytical framework distinguishes two main categories: contextual and health system factors across
- the macro, meso, and micro levels (Fig 2). Context refers to the surroundings or milieu in which a
- particular event or phenomenon is situated and is a crucial factor in shaping health and healthcare (34,35).
- 190 Fig 2- Structure for analysis of factors

### 191 Results

#### 192

193 Most of the included studies (n=7) were from Nigeria, with the remaining studies conducted in Ghana and 194 Senegal. The study designs employed across the various studies included qualitative, cross-sectional, and mixed-methods studies, with study populations predominantly involving healthcare providers and 195 patients. 196 197 The findings of this scoping review are organised and presented according to the integrated conceptual framework. We will explicitly link each key finding to relevant framework domains to provide a 198 199 comprehensive understanding of the factors influencing diabetes care in West African primary healthcare 200 settings (Table 2 and Table 3). 201 202 203 Table 2 - Summary of included studies and findings

Author(s)	Title	Country	Aim/Purpose of the Study	Study Participants	Key Findings
Ajisegiri et al (2023)	"We just have to help": Community health workers' informal task-shifting and task-sharing practices for hypertension and diabetes care in Nigeria	Nigeria	of the Study Explore roles and practices of CHWs in hypertension and diabetes care delivery	Participants CHWs (n=77), local and state government stakeholders (n=7)	Macro Inadequate training for Community Health Workers (CHWs) (reported by 84% of CHWs) Inadequate supplies of equipment (81%) Poor infrastructure (71%) Meso Inadequate supervision of CHWs (52%) CHWs flexibly implement national guidelines for hypertension and diabetes, exercising considerable discretion in interpretation Limited communication between Primary Health Care (PHC) facilities and higher-level facilities during referral processes Inadequate medication supply at PHC facilities Need for simplified NCD clinical algorithms/guidelines that can easily be used by CHWs at the point of care Continuous capacity building for CHWs is required to enhance their roles in NCD care <b>Micro</b>
					Imited medication supply Balancing the need for referrals with maintaining patients' trust

					in the services provided at the PHC facility
Okoronkwo et al.(2015)	Economic burden and catastrophic cost among people living with type2 diabetes mellitus attending a tertiary health institution in south-east zone, Nigeria	Nigeria	To assess the magnitude of economic burden borne and catastrophic costs incurred by people living with type 2 diabetes mellitus	T2D patients (n = 308) receiving care out- patient care	<ul> <li>Macro</li> <li>High cost of care impeding effective diabetes management due to low purchasing power, out-of-pocket payment at the point of need, and absence of prepayment mechanisms</li> <li>The magnitude of economic burden and catastrophic cost observed in the study area, despite being one of the oil- producing states with better resource allocation</li> <li>Implementation of the National Health Insurance Scheme, which presently covers some categories of government workers, but does not adequately protect the poor from the impact of out-of- pocket spending and increasing care costs (inequity)</li> <li>Micro</li> <li>Disproportionate experience of catastrophic costs tilting towards lower socioeconomic groups, indicating that the poor are not protected from the impact of out-of-pocket spending and increasing care costs</li> <li>People living with diabetes (PLWD) need to contend with high costs of care throughout their lifetime</li> </ul>

Bosun-Arije et al.(2020)	Organisational factors influencing non- pharmacological management of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) in public hospitals across Lagos, Nigeria: A qualitative study of nurses' perspectives	Nigeria	Examine organisational factors influencing T2D management	Nurses from urban, suburban, and rural regions (n=17)	Meso Information and knowledge management within the clinical environment Relationship management within the clinical environment Staffing levels and nurse-to- patient ratio Decision-making autonomy of doctors Availability of medical supplies such as glucometers and strips
Iregbu et al. (2022)	An Interpretive Description of Sociocultural Influences on Diabetes Self- Management Support in Nigeria	Nigeria	To explore how the Nigerian social and cultural context influences healthcare providers' self- management support practices	HCPs from two diabetes clinics (n=19)	Macro Lack of health insurance, leading to out-of-pocket payments for treatment, which is significant considering the average income of Nigerians Inadequate funding for state- owned and -operated hospitals compared to federally funded hospitals, resulting in fewer staff and limited capacity to provide comprehensive care. Meso The rigidity of a hierarchical acute care model of diabetes services, not designed for ongoing diabetes self- management education or support Healthcare providers working in silos, with no evident interprofessional collaboration Absence of designated diabetes educators in hospitals, leading to unclear roles in providing diabetes education

> Lack of essential resources and leadership capacity in hospitals to effect change, resulting in healthcare providers feeling helpless and unable to make real changes

> Lack of support for continuing education for healthcare providers, resulting in a lack of skills for individualised support for patients

Absence of a structured education plan and uniform approach among healthcare providers

Absence of a structure for continued self-management support, patient follow-up, and coordination of care within the community

#### Micro

Patients' widespread beliefs in the supernatural origins of diabetes, impacting selfmanagement and support strategies, and leading to delayed healthcare

Patients' inability to afford medical bills, drugs, and necessary resources for diabetes self-management, forcing healthcare providers to adapt treatment

Patients' lack of understanding of diabetes and its management, even after attending the clinic for some time

Individual-family interdependence, myths, and limited understanding of

					diabetes and its management among patients
Ugwu et al. (2020)	Diabetes care knowledge and practice among primary care physicians in Southeast Nigeria: a cross-sectional study	Nigeria	To evaluate diabetes care knowledge and practice among primary care physicians	Primary care physicians (n=64)	<b>Macro</b> Absence of local diabetes clinical practice guidelines (CPG), identified as the greatest challenge faced by primary care physicians (PCPs) in managing people with diabetes.
					Meso Lack of access to diabetes specialists, identified by 70% of the respondents
					Lack of access to allied healthcare professionals, identified by 66% of the respondents
					Shortage of diabetes specialists and reliance on PCPs as the largest diabetes care medical manpower in Nigeria
					Low level of diabetes care knowledge among PCPs in Southeast Nigeria, indicating a significant gap in the quality of primary health care for diabetes.
					Micro Low knowledge of glycemic cut- offs for diagnosis of diabetes among PCPs: 26.6% for FBG, 45.3% for RBG, and 10.9% for A1c
					Lack of knowledge about prediabetes among PCPs

					Only 7.8% of PCPs correctly knew all three glycemic values for diagnosis of diabetes, while 48.4% did not have correct knowledge of any one of the glycemic cut-offs 79.7% of respondents were not aware of any diabetes management guideline
Ugwu et al. (2020)	Barriers to Diabetes Care in a Developing Country: Exploratory Evidence from Diabetes Healthcare Providers	Nigeria	To explore important barriers and facilitators to diabetes care from the perspectives of diabetes healthcare providers	Diabetes healthcare providers (n=129)	Macro Poverty (ranked first among the major barriers, reported by 89.1% of respondents) Dysfunctional primary healthcare (PHC) system Unregulated alternative medicine practices.  Meso Lack of diabetes care support staff Micro Low diabetes awareness (reported by 82.9% of participants) False religious and cultural beliefs Poor general practitioner's (GP's) knowledge of diabetes care Poor patient's knowledge of diabetes self-management
Oyewole et al. (2020)	Primary Health Care Nurses' Competencies and Resources Availability for Diabetes Mellitus Care at Local Government Areas of Ibadan	Nigeria	To investigate PHC nurses' competency on diabetes mellitus care and evaluate	Primary healthcare nurses (n=88)	Meso Lack of availability of insulin and insulin syringes at 98.4% and 100% of the primary healthcare centers (PHCs), respectively

			the material resources available for care in the community		Unavailability of glucometers, glucometer test strips, and teaching aids at 53.2%, 58.1%, and 62.9% of the PHCs, respectively Lack of functioning refrigerators for storing insulin in 64.5% of the PHCs.
					Micro Nurses' knowledge gaps in diabetes mellitus care: a. 69.3% got the definition of diabetes mellitus diet wrong b. 71.6% did not know the best method for home glucose testing c. Only 27.3% knew the treatment for low blood glucose d. 62.5% did not know what could cause a low blood glucose level e. 73.9% did not know the signs of ketoacidosis Overall, 58% of nurses had adequate knowledge of diabetes mellitus 36.4% of nurses had never identified or treated hyperglycemia 54.5% of nurses practiced below the expected level of diabetes mellitus nursing skills, while 45.5% practiced within
Opare- Addo et al. (2022)	Healthcare services utilisation among patients with hypertension and diabetes in rural Ghana	Ghana	To determine the prevalence of hypertension and diabetes in rural districts and factors influencing	Patients from rural districts (n=684)	Macro Educational level and health insurance enrollment increased likelihood of seeking care in public hospitals. Meso

			preferences of healthcare facilities/ services		Perceived good quality of care influenced the preference for health facilities. A little above half of the respondents (73; 53.28%) cited quality of care as the main reason for their preferred health facility followed by proximity/monce, which was also cited by 48 (35.04%) respondents. <b>Micro</b> Predisposing factors (age, marital status, education, occupation) and enabling factors (income, socioeconomic status) influenced preference for health facility
Kretchy et al. (2020)	The Association between Diabetes-Related Distress and Medication Adherence in Adult Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Cross- Sectional Study	Ghana	To estimate diabetes- specific distress and assess its impact on medication adherence	T2D patients recruited from outpatient clinic (n=188)	Macro Lack of psychological and social support systems for diabetes- related distress Meso Lack of routine screening for diabetes-related distress in the health system Micro High levels of diabetes-related distress associated with lower medication adherence, negative emotions, dietary concerns, dissatisfaction with external

					support, and diabetes management helplessness
Mogre et al. (2019)	Attitudes towards, facilitators and barriers to the provision of diabetes self-care support: A qualitative study among healthcare providers in Ghana	Ghana	Explore attitudes, barriers, facilitators to diabetes care support among HCPs	Healthcare providers (n=14)	Macro Inadequate training of healthcare professionals (HCPs) in diabetes care due to little attention given to diabetes and its treatment in the curriculum Inadequacy of continuous professional development programmes for HCPs to equip their competencies regarding diabetes care Limited internet connectivity in hospitals, hindering opportunities for HCPs to learn more about diabetes Nutrition and dietetic care services are not insured, requiring patients to pay out-of- pocket Meso Poor inter-professional collaboration among the various types of healthcare professionals required to provide diabetes care (especially between nurses and nutritionists/dieticians) Insufficient number of trained healthcare professionals in diabetes clinics HCPs' perception of inadequate training in diabetes care Lack of regular access to basic diagnostic tools to test for important clinical variables such as HbA1c

					<b>!</b>
					Micro
					HCPs adopting information- centric approaches instead of building patient-provider teams and patients' confidence for behavior change
					Good teamwork among HCPs of the same profession (e.g., nurses) but poor inter- professional collaboration
					Some types of healthcare professionals feeling more competent than others or perceiving a more important role in diabetes care, hindering inter-professional collaboration
					Language barriers between patients and HCPs, affecting effective communication, health literacy, and patients' trust in HCPs to manage their diabetes
					Patients' inability to pay for uninsured services like nutrition and dietetic care, negatively affecting the receipt of self-care support regarding diet
BeLue et al. (2012)	A CULTURAL LENS TO UNDERSTANDING DAILY EXPERIENCES WITH TYPE 2 DIABETES SELF- MANAGEMENT AMONG CLINIC PATIENTS IN M'BOUR, SENEGAL	Senegal	To examine experiences with diabetes self- management among clinic patients using the PEN-3 cultural model	Clinic patients with type 2 diabetes (n=54)	Macro Lack of integration between Western and Traditional medicine, with participants having their own perspectives about the utility of Traditional and/or biomedical/Western medicine The Wolof concept of "Lambatu," which loosely translates as "try whatever works until you feel better" in terms of healthcare seeking

					Meso
					Family dynamics serving as both supportive and inhibiting forces
Mogre et	Barriers to diabetic self-	Ghana	Explore	Healthcare	Micro Belief in supernatural origin of diabetes, individual-family interdependence, myths and limited understanding of diabetes, Financial challenges related to accessing medical care and adhering to prescribed diabetic diet Macro
al. (2019)	care: A qualitative study of patients' and healthcare providers' perspectives		patient and provider perspectives on diabetes care barriers	providers (n=14) and people living with type 2 diabetes (n=23)	Poor income levels, inadequate access to variety of foods Meso Subjective norms/normative beliefs: a. Inadequate family support b. Culture and beliefs c. Social stigma
					Micro
					Attitudes/behavioral beliefs: a. Misconception and use of herbal medicine b. Difficulty changing old habits c. Intentional nonadherence and fatalism d. Worrying about the continuous taking of medication e. Feeling lazy to perform exercise f. Side effects of medication g. Fear of pricking the finger
					Perceived behavioral control/control beliefs: a. Nonreceipt of self-care support to perform self-monitoring of blood glucose (SMBG) b.

		Inadequate knowledge and
		skills to operate the glucometer
		c. Patients having comorbid
		conditions d. Old age and body
		pains e. Poor income levels f.
		Inadequate access to a variety
		of foods g. Diet
		recommendations being too
		restrictive h. Busy work
		schedules

- 206 To provide a comprehensive overview of our findings and their relationship to the integrated conceptual
- 207 framework, we have synthesised the key results in Table 3
- 208 Table 3 Summary of Key Findings on Diabetes Care in West Africa by Health System and Contextual Domains

	Key Findings	Source Studies
Service Delivery	- Inadequate clinical spaces for diabetes care	(36–38)
	- Limited avenues for diabetes education and	
	counselling	
	- Absence of standardised clinical guidelines	
Health Workforce	- Shortages of healthcare professionals, especially in	(39–41)
	rural areas	
	- Lack of up-to-date knowledge and skills among	
	PHC workers	
Health Financing	- Lack of health insurance	(39,40,42,43)
	- High out-of-pocket expenditures	
	- Inadequate funding for state-owned hospitals	

Governance	- Poor prioritisation of chronic diseases in health	(40,42)
	agendas	
	- Lack of robust regulatory framework for diabetes	
	care	
Social Determinants of	- Widespread beliefs in supernatural causes of	(36,39,42,44–
Health	diabetes	46)
	- Cultural food preferences challenging dietary	
	management	
	-Proximity of health facilities	
Self-Management Support	- Family and social support as critical enablers	(42,45,47)
	- Women's central role in dietary modifications	
	- Psychological strains of diabetes and its	
	management	

209

### 210 Health System Factors

211

212 This review identified several barriers within the health system that contribute to suboptimal primary

213 healthcare for diabetes in West Africa. As outlined below, these barriers include policy-related

challenges, financial barriers, issues at the facility level, and workforce challenges.

#### 215 Service Delivery and Organisation of Care

216 Our findings highlight prominent service delivery challenges, such as inadequate clinical spaces and limited

- 217 avenues for diabetes education and counselling, significantly hindering the delivery of comprehensive diabetes
- 218 care services (36). This issue was compounded by the absence of standardised clinical guidelines and care
- 219 protocols for diabetes management at the primary care level, leading to inconsistencies in care provision and

potentially suboptimal outcomes (37). Ugwu et al. found that most primary care physicians were unaware of
any diabetes management guidelines, suggesting the severity of this issue (37). Furthermore, the fragmentation
of services and lack of teamwork undermined the quality and continuity of diabetes care, resulting in poor
feedback, coordination, and management of patient transitions across levels of care (38).

#### 224 Health Workforce

225 Workforce challenges posed another major barrier to effective diabetes care. Shortages of healthcare

professionals, particularly in rural primary care facilities, hampered effective diabetes care delivery (39). This

shortage was exacerbated by the fact that frontline PHC workers often lacked up-to-date knowledge, skills, and

training in evidence-based standards of diabetes care, leading to poor management of patients (40,41).

229 Oyewole et al. observed negative attitudes towards caring for people with diabetes among care providers,

further impacting the quality of care provided (41). However, the reviewed studies did not explicitly explore

the underlying reasons for these negative attitudes.

232 Insufficient coordination and collaborative practices among healthcare provider cadres compounded these

233 workforce issues. Instead of adopting an integrated, consultative approach to patient care, providers often

worked in silos (44). The lack of clear role assignments and poor interprofessional collaboration among

different types of healthcare providers involved in diabetes care, such as doctors, nurses, dietitians, and health

educators, resulted in these noticeable silos. Iregbu et al. (44) found that nurses felt underutilised and that their

237 roles did not optimally leverage their knowledge and skills. Some doctors believed they were solely

responsible for providing diabetes education with little contribution from other professionals. Referrals from

239 doctors to dietitians were inconsistent, and doctors sometimes needed to remember to refer patients or believed

they could provide dietary counselling themselves.

241 Similarly, Mogre et al. showed that silos existed between nurses, doctors, and dietitians/nutritionists. There

242 was limited teamwork and cooperation across these professional groups, with more collaboration occurring

- 243 within specific professionals (e.g., among nurses) rather than between different health personnel. Poor
- 244 communication, lack of information sharing, competition, and perceptions of relative importance in diabetes

care contributed to these silos. These professional silos primarily occurred at the provider level, reflecting alack of integrated, multidisciplinary care teams.

#### 247 Health Financing

Financial barriers emerged as a significant challenge in our review. The lack of health insurance and high out-248 249 of-pocket expenditures for medications, supplies, laboratory tests, provider fees, and related healthcare costs 250 severely limited access to quality diabetes care (39,40,42,43). Okoronkwo et al. noted the inadequate 251 implementation of the National Health Insurance Scheme in Nigeria, which failed to protect people 252 experiencing poverty from the impact of out-of-pocket spending and increasing care costs (42). This issue was 253 further compounded by insufficient funding for state-owned and operated hospitals compared to federally 254 funded hospitals, resulting in fewer staff and limited capacity to provide comprehensive diabetes care (44). In some cases, crucial components of diabetes self-management support, such as nutrition and dietetic care, were 255 256 not covered by health insurance schemes, forcing patients to pay out-of-pocket and further limiting access to comprehensive care (36). 257

#### 258 Leadership/Governance

Several policy and governance issues undermined the provision of quality diabetes care. Authorities poorly prioritised and planned for the growing burden of chronic diseases like diabetes as health agendas continued to be dominated by infectious diseases (39). This lack of prioritisation was further worsened by high poverty rates and inadequate financial risk protection policies that limited the affordability of care (42,43). Consequently, the health system lacked the necessary resources and preparedness to manage the increasing prevalence of diabetes effectively.

Another significant challenge was the lack of a robust regulatory framework to ensure evidence-based practices and maintain quality standards in diabetes care. Ugwu et al. reported unregulated alternative medicine practices in Nigeria, which hindered effective diabetes management by promoting the use of unproven or potentially harmful treatments.

The review identified significant evidence of challenges in service delivery, health workforce, financing, and
governance in primary healthcare settings in West Africa. However, there were noticeable gaps in evidence

271	regarding health information systems and comprehensive access to essential medicines and technologies for
272	diabetes care. However, some studies found instances of shortages in necessary resources and supplies, such as
273	glucometers, test strips, and teaching aids, which hindered effective diabetes management (41,44).

# 274 Contextual Factors

275

276 Multiple studies reported widespread beliefs in supernatural causes of diabetes, such as curses, witchcraft, and

spiritual attacks (39,40,44,45). These beliefs may lead to over-reliance on alternative medicine rather than

evidence-based therapies, delaying effective diabetes management (39).

279 Furthermore, the dominance of carbohydrate-rich staple foods and dishes in typical West African cuisine

presented a significant challenge for the dietary management of diabetes (40,45). The high consumption of

281 carbohydrate-rich foods in West African diets was due to cultural preferences, the affordability of these staple

foods compared to healthier options, and the wide availability of high-carbohydrate ingredients in local

283 markets and cuisine. These factors posed significant challenges for adopting diabetes-friendly diets in these

contexts.

Distance to health facilities was also cited as a factor influencing the choice of healthcare providers, with a
significant proportion of respondents more likely to utilise facilities nearby (46).

287 Interestingly, while some cultural beliefs posed barriers, family and social support systems emerged as critical

enablers deeply rooted in sociocultural norms. Women were identified as playing a central role in leading

289 household dietary modifications for diabetes management, leveraging their traditional responsibilities in food

290 preparation and family caregiving (44). However, the impact of family dynamics was not uniformly positive.

291 The lack of social support also contributed to treatment non-adherence and difficulties in implementing

292 lifestyle changes in specific contexts.(45). These findings emphasised the interplay of cultural beliefs, gender

roles, and family structures and their influence on diabetes care.

294 At the behavioural level, patients' preferences for traditional medicine over biomedical care and delays in care-

seeking (albeit often related to high costs of care) were found to be significant barriers to effective diabetes

296 management (44). Crucially, mental health emerged as a critical psychosocial determinant shaping health

297	outcomes for diabetes. The study by Kretchy et al. highlighted the multifaceted nature of diabetes-related
298	distress and its strong association with poorer self-management behaviours and decreased medication
299	adherence in the Ghanaian context.

300 The findings also revealed that diabetes distress stemmed not only from the burden of the illness itself but also

- from its wider psychosocial ramifications (47). Notably, the study shed light on how people living with
- 302 diabetes experience psychological distress due to poor social support, concerns related to meeting dietary
- 303 requirements, and the risks of developing complications, leading to significant psychological turmoil. The
- 304 psychological impact thus extended beyond individual concerns to include socioeconomic well-being.
- 305 Furthermore, dissatisfaction with external support from healthcare providers and social networks was found to
- 306 be a significant component of diabetes distress. Patients who did not receive support from their caregivers and
- 307 care providers were more likely to experience distress and have poorer medication adherence.

# 308 Discussion

#### **309** Transforming Diabetes Care - Insights from a PHC-Centered Analysis

310

311 Amidst the rising burden of NCDs in LMICs, our scoping review explored the factors influencing access

to, utilisation of, and quality of diabetes care in West African primary health systems. This review

- 313 catalogues barriers and facilitators and unravels the intricate connections between health systems,
- sociocultural contexts, and individual experiences that shape diabetes care. Our findings also highlight the
- importance of deeply rooted cultural beliefs and the lived experiences of both patients and providers.
- 316 As we discuss results, we aim to map out a future that recognises the unique complexities of the West
- 317 African context while drawing on other, primarily similar global contexts to improve diabetes care in
- 318 resource-constrained settings.
- 319 Our analysis of diabetes care in West Africa is grounded in the synthesis of three complementary
- 320 theoretical frameworks: the WHO Operational Framework for Primary Health Care (PHC), the Social

321	Determinants of Health (SDH) model, and the Innovative Care for Chronic Conditions (ICCC) model.
322	This multi-framework approach provides a comprehensive lens through which we interpret our findings
323	and develop recommendations. By leveraging the strengths of each framework, we create a more robust
324	analytical tool for understanding and addressing diabetes care in West Africa.
325	This synthesis allows us to capture the complexity of diabetes care in LMICs, addressing challenges such
326	as fragmented health systems, the impact of social determinants on diabetes management, and the
327	integration of traditional healing practices. Our findings suggest that these frameworks, when adapted to
328	LMIC contexts, can provide a more in-depth understanding of diabetes care challenges. For instance, the
329	ICCC's emphasis on patient and family involvement is enriched by considering the gendered aspects of
330	care prevalent in West African contexts.
331	The multi-framework approach enables us to interpret our results using established theoretical constructs
332	and contributes to the evolution of these frameworks for better applicability in diverse global health
333	contexts.
334 335 336	Strengthening Integrated Health Services for Diabetes Care – The Challenges, The Opportunities
337	Our review reveals profound health system barriers impacting diabetes care in West Africa. Inadequate
338	infrastructure, lack of standardised guidelines, and fragmented services compromise access to and quality
339	of diabetes care. Workforce shortages, insufficient training, and financial barriers further exacerbate these
340	challenges. Overarching these issues are policy gaps, with chronic diseases often deprioritised. Despite
341	these challenges, we uncover critical leverage points to improve diabetes care, potentially leading to
342	fundamental change in resource-constrained settings.
343	
545	

In West Africa, the 'ideal' integrated PHC system is unique. Healthcare providers often navigate the
intersection of biomedical approaches and traditional healing practices in diabetes management. This dual
paradigm necessitates reimagining patient education and adherence support rooted in local sociocultural
structures.

Although West Africa's health system barriers share commonalities with other LMICs, they manifest in 350 ways that challenge conventional global health models. For instance, workforce shortages transcend 351 352 numerical deficits, encompassing capacity issues and competing health priorities. The persistent tension 353 between addressing infectious diseases and the rising burden of NCDs exerts unique pressure on the 354 health system, necessitating strategic resource reallocation and re-evaluations of care delivery. This 355 dynamic connection between communicable and non-communicable disease management represents a 356 blind spot in many global frameworks for chronic care (24). Similar challenges in resource reallocation 357 from infectious disease programs to NCD management are evident in Southeast Asian countries like Indonesia and Vietnam (48), highlighting a broader LMIC phenomenon. 358

359 Based on our findings, care fragmentation in West Africa reveals a misalignment between long-term 360 diabetes care needs and current primary health service structures. This misalignment, compounded by 361 resource constraints limiting access to essential medicines and diagnostics, creates a system ill-equipped 362 for effective diabetes management. Moreover, fragmented referral systems further worsen this issue, 363 hindering the provision of comprehensive and continuous care for diabetes patients. Similar challenges 364 have been observed in other regions, like India and South Africa (49,50), where efforts to integrate 365 diabetes care into primary health services have faced implementation barriers, particularly in coordinating care across different health system levels and contributing to poor health outcomes. 366

367 The ICCC model's emphasis on prepared, proactive practice/care teams requires substantial

368 reinterpretation in regions like West Africa. Preparedness in these regions extends beyond clinical

369 knowledge to encompass cultural competence and resource-constrained problem-solving. This calls for

370 rethinking health workforce training and fostering adaptability and innovation beyond standardised

guidelines. Addressing diabetes care in LMICs demands more than merely adapting existing care models.
It requires a complete rethinking of delivering chronic care, considering local realities, and strategically
using global best practices.

- 374 Adapting Global Best Practices to Local Contexts
- 375
- 376 While global recommendations and best practices provide valuable guidance for diabetes care, our

377 findings assert the critical importance of translating these into locally relevant and feasible interventions.

378 This adaptation process must consider West African countries' unique challenges, resources, and

379 sociocultural contexts. For instance, while comprehensive, the WHO's Package of Essential

380 Noncommunicable (PEN) disease interventions may need significant modification to fit the West African

381 context. Our review highlighted the prevalence of beliefs in supernatural causes of diabetes, utilisation of

traditional medicine and the dominance of carbohydrate-rich diets, necessitating culturally sensitive

383 adaptations.

Adapting the PEN package could involve several key strategies. Diabetes education programs could

385 acknowledge traditional beliefs while integrating biomedical explanations. Additionally, nutritional

386 guidance could focus on modifying traditional West African dishes to be more diabetes-friendly. This

approach is similar to efforts in the US to adopt the DASH diet for African American communities (51).

388 Furthermore, the decisive role of family support systems identified in our review suggests that family-

389 centred diabetes education could be more effective than individual-focused approaches, aligning with

390 successful community-based interventions in other developing regions (52).

391 The adaptation process must be context-specific and involve key elements such as stakeholder

392 engagement, pilot testing, and continuous evaluation. Including patients, healthcare providers, traditional

healers, and community leaders in the adaptation process ensures relevance and acceptability.

394 Implementing adapted interventions on a small scale allows for assessing feasibility before a broader

rollout. Regular evaluation of the effectiveness of these adapted interventions will enable iterative

improvements over time.

# Multisectoral Policy and Action, People and Communities – The BroaderDeterminants

399

400 While addressing health system barriers is crucial, our review uncovered the profound impact of factors

- 401 beyond the health sector on diabetes care in West Africa. A multisectoral lens reveals how finance,
- 402 education, urban planning, and agriculture policies significantly influence diabetes care.
- 403 For instance, our review revealed that the lack of financial risk protection mechanisms, such as
- 404 comprehensive health insurance schemes, directly correlates with reduced access to diabetes care and
- 405 poorer health outcomes. The lack of financial risk protection mechanisms directly correlates with reduced
- 406 access to diabetes care and poorer health outcomes. This misalignment between health system structures
- 407 and socioeconomic realities undermines broader health equity and universal health coverage aspirations
- 408 (53,54). LMICs face unique hurdles due to high poverty rates and limited formal employment sectors,
- 409 which complicate the implementation of health insurance models (55).
- 410 Our review highlights several promising examples of intersectoral collaboration, demonstrating the
- 411 transformative potential of coordinated action. The implementation of "sin taxes" on unhealthy products
- 412 in the Philippines, ingeniously generating revenue for health programs, including diabetes prevention,
- 413 stands out as a beacon of innovative policy (56). Equally compelling is Rwanda's expansion of
- 414 community-based insurance schemes, which have significantly reduced out-of-pocket expenses and
- 415 improved healthcare access for vulnerable populations (57). These initiatives emphasise that successful
- 416 Universal Health Coverage requires collaboration across sectors to establish sustainable financing
- 417 mechanisms and prioritise PHC in national budgets (58).

Complementing financial risk protection strategies with intersectoral actions that address the social
determinants of health is important. Education, housing, and transportation sectors play crucial roles in
creating environments that promote or hinder healthy lifestyles. The "Ciclovia" program in Colombia
serves as an example of how urban planning can encourage physical activity and offers an adaptable

422 model for LMICs (59,60). Such initiatives transform cities from contributors to the NCD epidemic into
423 active partners in health promotion.

424 As we shift our focus to empowered people and communities, we must rethink the connection between

425 health systems and those they serve. The success of interventions at the system level is tied to their

- 426 capacity to involve and empower individuals and communities as active partners in preventing and
- 427 managing diabetes. The connection between system factors and community empowerment requires a
- 428 comprehensive, system-based approach to enhance diabetes care in low- and middle-income countries

429 (LMICs). This approach should acknowledge the interdependence of all PHC components and utilise

- 430 local strengths and cultural resources to develop sustainable, contextually suitable solutions.
- 432

# 431 Empowering People and Communities

433 Our exploration of cultural beliefs and traditional medicine practices provides further insight into our

434 second research question, illustrating how these factors shape diabetes care. The widespread influence of

435 cultural norms and alternative medicine on care-seeking behaviours demonstrates the intricate

436 relationship between sociocultural contexts and health system utilisation.

#### 437 Cultural Beliefs and Traditional Medicine

438

The influence of cultural beliefs, social norms, and complementary and alternative medicine on diabetes care-seeking behaviours in Africa is widely recognised (61,62). Rather than viewing these factors as obstacles, they present unique opportunities for creating culturally resonant care models. The systematic study by Zeh et al. (63) highlights the significance of cultural relevance in diabetes care and pushes us to rethink this in various contexts.

444 Cultural sensitivity remains crucial even in situations of trans-migration. A study of Korean Americans

showed improved glycemic control when community health workers had culturally tailored training (64).

446 This example calls for integrating cultural competence into healthcare delivery, linking biomedical and

447 traditional care systems.

448 Studies in Tanzania and ASEAN countries further highlight the role of traditional medicine in diabetes

449 management, emphasising the need for culturally sensitive interventions (65,66). These indicate the

450 importance of developing culturally appropriate health promotion strategies that engage community

- 451 stakeholders to raise awareness about diabetes and promote timely care-seeking.
- 452 By leveraging cultural beliefs and traditional practices, we can empower communities to take ownership

453 of diabetes prevention and management, fostering sustainable, context-appropriate solutions.

- 454 Mental Health and Psychosocial Aspects of Diabetes Care
- 455

456 While cultural factors significantly influence diabetes care, our review also reveals the critical dimension

457 of mental health, particularly in LMIC settings such as West Africa. The manifestation of psychological

458 vulnerability as burnout, low motivation, and disengagement from treatment (67) points to a cyclical

459 pattern where poor mental health further deteriorates glycemic control. The psychological impact of diabetes

460 extends far beyond the burden of self-care routines, encompassing complex psychosocial dimensions that

461 are often overlooked in traditional care models.

462 Our review found that diabetes distress is deeply intertwined with sociocultural expectations and 463 economic realities. Kretchy et al. revealed how diabetes can undermine one's sense of identity and social 464 value, particularly among male patients struggling to fulfil traditional breadwinner roles (47). Similar 465 findings in other cultural contexts, such as among Hispanic men in the U.S. (73), highlight the universal 466 nature of this challenge. Moreover, the psychological burden often extends to the entire family unit. 467 Diabetes-related financial stress significantly impacts family dynamics and the mental health of both 468 patients and caregivers (74).

A growing body of evidence asserts a bidirectional relationship between diabetes and mental health disorders (68,69), with comorbidities significantly impairing self-management behaviours (70). The chronic nature of diabetes and its associated complications contribute to substantial psychological distress and diminished quality of life(71,72), hence creating a cycle of deteriorating physical and mental health.

473 Addressing the mental health aspects of diabetes care is crucial for empowering individuals to manage474 their condition effectively, emphasising the need for holistic, person-centred approaches to diabetes care.

475 Gender Dynamics in Diabetes Management

476

Just as mental health plays a crucial role in diabetes management, our review also uncovered significant
gender dynamics influencing care. Women's central role in leading household dietary modifications for
diabetes management, leveraging their traditional responsibilities in food preparation and family
caregiving, is a crucial finding resonating across many cultures, particularly in Africa and other LMICs
(44). This observation serves as a springboard for understanding the interactions between gender and
diabetes care.

Women's pivotal role in dietary management significantly influences household nutrition, shaped by local 483 cultural norms and socioeconomic factors (75). However, this responsibility is a double-edged sword, 484 485 empowering women to lead health-promoting changes and adding to their substantial caregiving burden. 486 Research in South Africa and among Black and Latina women in the U.S. highlights how women with diabetes often struggle to balance their own health needs with caregiving roles (76,77). This struggle is 487 488 exacerbated by economic constraints, with studies across Africa revealing that women are more likely 489 than men to forgo treatment due to financial limitations (76,78) – a pattern also observed in other LMICs 490 like India (79).

491 The impact of cultural beliefs and gender roles on diabetes management illustrates the emphasis of the 492 SDH model on sociocultural factors affecting health. These deeply ingrained factors in West African 493 societies highlight the importance of diabetes care strategies that consider both the clinical and broader 494 social context of health.

In essence, the provision of diabetes care in LMICs is influenced by a complex interplay of factors that
involve community-level dynamics and broader systemic issues - an intricate web of interactions at
macro, meso, and micro levels. For example, macro-level health financing policies directly impact meso-

level health system capacities, affecting micro-level patient experiences. Resource scarcity at the meso
level influences individual health-seeking behaviours, often causing patients to postpone seeking care or
explore alternative treatments.

This analysis highlights the drawbacks of isolated approaches or solutions. It stresses the importance of comprehensive strategies that tackle policy obstacles, enhance healthcare system capabilities, and consider sociocultural factors to bring about positive improvements in diabetes care at all levels in West Africa.

#### 505 The Path Forward

506

The path forward for diabetes care in West Africa and other LMICs demands a bold reimagining of health 507 508 systems and societal structures, transcending traditional boundaries. This calls for a revolutionary 509 approach to interweaving health system strengthening, community empowerment, and multisectoral collaboration. At its core, this approach aligns with the WHO building blocks and the PHC framework 510 511 while adapting to the unique challenges and opportunities in resource-constrained settings. 512 Central to this vision is strengthening health service delivery through innovative, context-specific strategies. We must amplify task-shifting approaches and implement a tiered strategy that trains 513 514 community health workers in diabetes screening, education, and management while enhancing primary care providers' knowledge and skills through specialised curricula and programs in areas such as dietary 515 516 management of diabetes. This helps address immediate workforce shortfalls and builds a system of diabetes care expertise. Additionally, we must develop and implement context-specific clinical guidelines, 517 drawing inspiration from successful programs like the PACK (Practical Approach to Care Kit) adopted in 518 519 South Africa, Botswana, and Brazil (80-82). 520 These strategies should be complemented by integrating diabetes care with other primary health services,

521 including mental health support, and aligning with global trends towards holistic care models, as seen in

522 places like Chile (83). It is crucial to Train healthcare providers to recognise and address the psychosocial

aspects of diabetes. We propose developing integrated care models incorporating mental health and
traditional healing practices, ensuring evidence-based and culturally resonant care. A robust referral
system leveraging digital health technologies should be a key component, ensuring continuity of care
across different levels of the health systems.

The CASALUD model from Mexico offers valuable lessons in leveraging technology and community 527 resources to improve diabetes care in resource-limited settings (84). Building on this, we recommend 528 529 developing integrated diabetes information systems that combine clinical, community, and social data. 530 This system should support patient management, population health strategies, resource allocation 531 decisions, and policy evaluation, facilitating multisectoral action through interoperability with other 532 sectoral information systems. Furthermore, access to essential medicines and technologies for diabetes 533 care must be improved through strengthened supply chain management. By harnessing digital 534 technologies for improved inventory tracking and forecasting, we can ensure a consistent supply of 535 essential diabetes medicines and diagnostics. This technological integration aligns with the broader vision of leveraging innovation to overcome resource constraints. 536

537 We must rethink capacity building as a collaborative endeavour spanning multiple sectors, including education, agriculture, urban planning, information technology, finance, and social services (85). The 538 539 multisectoral symphony can potentially redefine diabetes care. It can shift our focus from treating a 540 disease to nurturing health-conscious societies. Envision communities where urban planners design cities 541 that nudge citizens towards healthier lifestyles, where agricultural policies cultivate not just crops but 542 community health, and where educational curricula sow the seeds of health literacy from early childhood. The path forward also demands a fundamental shift in the power dynamics of healthcare, repositioning 543 544 patients and communities from passive recipients to active co-creators of health. This transformation 545 involves integrating culturally sensitive mental health support into diabetes care, implementing family-546 centred approaches that address the broader impact of diabetes on household dynamics and economics. 547 and developing community-based support programs to mitigate social and economic challenges,

especially for vulnerable populations like women. Engaging stakeholders through community-based
education programs and peer support groups is essential. Moreover, exploring collaborative partnerships
with traditional healers can foster culturally sensitive care delivery, bridging the gap between formal
healthcare systems and community practices.

552 To support these initiatives, strengthening the health information system is crucial. Establishing clear

indicators and targets and promoting data sharing across sectors will enable evidence-based decision-

making and policy influence. Innovative tools like the web-based ALMA Scorecards, successfully used

555 for other health issues in developing countries (86), can be adapted to track progress in diabetes care.

- 556 Robust monitoring and evaluation mechanisms will be key to the success of multisectoral initiatives.
- 557 Future research should focus on evaluating the long-term impact of various financial risk protection

558 models on diabetes care outcomes in West Africa, investigating the effectiveness of intersectoral

interventions in addressing social determinants of diabetes in LMICs, and exploring innovative financing

560 mechanisms to sustain diabetes prevention and control efforts in resource-constrained settings.

561 Leadership and governance play a critical role in realising this vision. Effective multisectoral action for

562 diabetes care in West Africa requires strong political commitment, stakeholder engagement, and

sustainable financing mechanisms. By prioritising diabetes and, by extension, NCDs on national health

agendas, fostering cross-sectoral partnerships, and exploring innovative funding sources, LMICs can lay

the foundation for more resilient and responsive PHC systems.

### 566 Strengths and Limitations

The predominance of studies from Nigeria in our review may limit the generalizability of findings across West Africa due to contextual variations. Secondly, the heterogeneity of study designs, populations, and settings could introduce variability and impact comparability. Additionally, the lack of formal quality assessment of included studies, consistent with the scoping review methodology, may affect the reliability of the synthesised evidence. The focus on published literature risks potential publication bias, while the

572	time-bound evidence synthesis may have missed developments in the rapidly evolving diabetes care
573	landscape. Despite these limitations, this review aims to offer a comprehensive synthesis, identifying
574	critical gaps and highlighting areas for future research to advance strategies for improving diabetes
575	primary care in West African settings.
576	

577

# 578 Conclusions

579

580 Our multi-framework analysis revealed the complex landscape of diabetes care in West Africa, calling for 581 a significant change in how we conceptualise and implement health interventions in resource-constrained settings. Our findings underscore the need to move beyond siloed approaches and embrace a systems-582 583 thinking approach that recognises the connections between health systems, sociocultural contexts, and individual experiences. This holistic perspective requires us to redefine NCDs not just as medical 584 585 conditions but also as sociocultural phenomena deeply ingrained in societies. Looking ahead, the challenge lies in translating these insights into actionable strategies. This necessitates 586 587 boldly reimagining health system strengthening efforts that prioritise context-specific innovations, empower communities as active partners in health creation, and foster multisectoral collaboration. Future 588 589 research and policy initiatives must focus on developing and evaluating adaptive, culturally resonant 590 models of care that can effectively navigate biomedical and sociocultural factors shaping diabetes management in LMICs. By embracing this complexity and leveraging local strengths, we can pave the 591 592 way for more resilient, equitable, and effective NCD care systems that improve health outcomes and contribute to broader social and economic development. 593

595		
596		
597		
598		
599		
600		
601		
602	Re	eferences
603		
604 605	1.	WHO   Regional Office for Africa [Internet]. [cited 2024 Feb 8]. Available from: https://www.afro.who.int/
606 607 608	2.	Dessie G, Mulugeta H, Amare D, Negesse A, Wagnew F, Getaneh T, et al. A systematic analysis on prevalence and sub-regional distribution of undiagnosed diabetes mellitus among adults in African countries. J Diabetes Metab Disord. 2020 Dec 1;19(2):1931–41.
609 610 611	3.	Abubakari AR, Lauder W, Jones MC, Kirk A, Agyemang C, Bhopal RS. Prevalence and time trends in diabetes and physical inactivity among adult West African populations: The epidemic has arrived. Public Health. 2009 Sep 1;123(9):602–14.
612 613	4.	Diawara A, Coulibaly DM, Hussain TYA, Cisse C, Li J, Wele M, et al. Type 2 diabetes prevalence, awareness, and risk factors in rural Mali: a cross-sectional study. Sci Rep. 2023 Mar 6;13:3718.
614 615 616	5.	Kazibwe J, Gad M, Abassah-Konadu E, Amankwah I, Owusu R, Gulbi G, et al. The epidemiological and economic burden of diabetes in Ghana: A scoping review to inform health technology assessment. PLOS Glob Public Health. 2024 Mar 12;4(3):e0001904.
617 618	6.	International Diabetes Federation [Internet]. [cited 2024 May 7]. Burkina Faso. Available from: https://idf.org/our-network/regions-and-members/africa/members/burkina-faso/
619 620	7.	World Bank Open Data [Internet]. [cited 2024 May 7]. World Bank Open Data. Available from: https://data.worldbank.org
621 622 623 624	8.	Risk of Cause-Specific Death in Individuals With Diabetes: A Competing Risks Analysis   Diabetes Care   American Diabetes Association [Internet]. [cited 2024 Feb 13]. Available from: https://diabetesjournals.org/care/article/39/11/1987/37229/Risk-of-Cause-Specific-Death-in-Individuals- With
625 626	9.	Deshpande AD, Harris-Hayes M, Schootman M. Epidemiology of Diabetes and Diabetes-Related Complications. Phys Ther. 2008 Nov;88(11):1254–64.
627 628	10.	Declaration of Astana [Internet]. [cited 2024 Jun 27]. Available from: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-HIS-SDS-2018.61

- 629 11. Operational Framework for Primary Health Care [Internet]. [cited 2024 Feb 10]. Available from: 630 https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/9789240017832
- Atun R, Jaffar S, Nishtar S, Knaul FM, Barreto ML, Nyirenda M, et al. Improving responsiveness of
   health systems to non-communicable diseases. The Lancet. 2013 Feb 23;381(9867):690–7.
- Ameh S, Akeem BO, Ochimana C, Oluwasanu AO, Mohamed SF, Okello S, et al. A qualitative inquiry of
   access to and quality of primary healthcare in seven communities in East and West Africa (SevenCEWA):
   perspectives of stakeholders, healthcare providers and users. BMC Family Practice. 2021 Feb 25;22(1):45.
- Adebisi YA, Alaran A, Badmos A, Bamisaiye AO, Emmanuella N, Etukakpan AU, et al. How West
  African countries prioritize health. Tropical Medicine and Health. 2021 Oct 26;49(1):87.
- 638 15. Godman B, Basu D, Pillay Y, Mwita JC, Rwegerera GM, Anand Paramadhas BD, et al. Review of
  639 Ongoing Activities and Challenges to Improve the Care of Patients With Type 2 Diabetes Across Africa
  640 and the Implications for the Future. Frontiers in Pharmacology [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2024 Feb 9];11.
  641 Available from: https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/pharmacology/articles/10.3389/fphar.2020.00108
- 16. Tesema A, Joshi R, Abimbola S, Ajisegiri WS, Narasimhan P, Peiris D. Addressing barriers to primary
   health-care services for noncommunicable diseases in the African Region. Bull World Health Organ. 2020
   Dec 1;98(12):906–8.
- WHO | Regional Office for Africa [Internet]. 2024 [cited 2024 Feb 9]. African region tops world in
   undiagnosed diabetes: WHO analysis. Available from: https://www.afro.who.int/news/african-region tops-world-undiagnosed-diabetes-who-analysis
- 648 18. Sifuna N. African Traditional Medicine: Its Potential, Limitations and Challenges. Journal of Healthcare.
   649 2022 Sep 30;5(1):141.
- Aikins A de-Graft. Healer shopping in Africa: new evidence from rural-urban qualitative study of
   Ghanaian diabetes experiences. BMJ. 2005 Sep 29;331(7519):737.
- Stone L. Cultural influences in community participation in health. Social Science & Medicine. 1992 Aug
   1;35(4):409–17.
- Traditional healers broaden health care in Ghana [Internet]. 2024 [cited 2024 Feb 9]. WHO | Regional
   Office for Africa. Available from: https://www.afro.who.int/photo-story/traditional-healers-broaden health-care-ghana
- 22. Tesema AG, Ajisegiri WS, Abimbola S, Balane C, Kengne AP, Shiferaw F, et al. How well are noncommunicable disease services being integrated into primary health care in Africa: A review of progress
  against World Health Organization's African regional targets. PLOS ONE. 2020 Oct 22;15(10):e0240984.
- 660 23. Galvanizing Action on Primary Health Care: Analyzing Bottlenecks and Strategies to Strengthen
   661 Community Health Systems in West and Central Africa | Global Health: Science and Practice [Internet].
   662 [cited 2024 Feb 11]. Available from: https://www.ghspjournal.org/content/9/Supplement\_1/S47
- Atun R, Davies JI, Gal EAM, Bärnighausen T, Beran D. Diabetes in sub-Saharan Africa: from clinical care to health policy. 2017;5.
- 465 25. Hanefeld J, Powell-Jackson T, Balabanova D. Understanding and measuring quality of care: dealing with
   a complexity. Bull World Health Organ. 2017 May 1;95(5):368–74.

- 667 26. Quality of care [Internet]. [cited 2023 Jun 18]. Available from: https://www.who.int/health-topics/quality 668 of-care
- Abdul-Samed AB, Peprah EB, Jahan Y, Reichenberger V, Balabanova D, Mirzoev T, et al. Exploring the
  path to optimal diabetes care by unravelling the contextual factors affecting access, utilisation, and quality
  of primary health care in West Africa: A scoping review protocol. PLOS ONE. 2024 May
  20;19(5):e0294917.
- Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework. International Journal of
  Social Research Methodology. 2005 Feb 1;8(1):19–32.
- 29. 11.3 The scoping review and summary of the evidence JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis JBI Global
  Wiki [Internet]. [cited 2024 Feb 13]. Available from: https://jbi-globalwiki.refined.site/space/MANUAL/4687770/11.3+The+scoping+review+and+summary+of+the+evidence
- 30. Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, et al. PRISMA Extension for Scoping
  Reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and Explanation. Ann Intern Med. 2018 Oct 2;169(7):467–73.
- 680 31. Rayyan Intelligent Systematic Review Rayyan [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2024 May 27]. Available from: https://www.rayyan.ai/
- A Conceptual Framework for Action on the Social Determinants of Health [Internet]. [cited 2024 Mar 25].
   Available from: https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/9789241500852
- 33. Innovative care for chronic conditions : building blocks for actions [Internet]. [cited 2024 Mar 25].
   Available from: https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/innovative-care-for-chronic-conditions-building-blocks-for-actions
- Kaplan HC, Provost LP, Froehle CM, Margolis PA. The Model for Understanding Success in Quality
   (MUSIQ): building a theory of context in healthcare quality improvement. BMJ Qual Saf. 2012 Jan
   1;21(1):13–20.
- 690 35. Mirzoev T, Das M, Ebenso B, Uzochukwu B, Rawat B, Blok L, et al. Contextual influences on the role of
  691 evidence in health policy development: what can we learn from six policies in India and Nigeria?
  692 Evidence & Policy. 2017 Jan;13(1):59–79.
- Mogre V, Johnson NA, Tzelepis F, Paul C. Attitudes towards, facilitators and barriers to the provision of
   diabetes self-care support: A qualitative study among healthcare providers in Ghana. Diabetes &
   Metabolic Syndrome: Clinical Research & Reviews. 2019 May 1;13(3):1745–51.
- G96 37. Ugwu E, Young E, Nkpozi M. Diabetes care knowledge and practice among primary care physicians in
   G97 Southeast Nigeria: a cross-sectional study. BMC Family Practice. 2020 Jul 1;21(1):128.
- Ajisegiri WS, Abimbola S, Tesema AG, Odusanya OO, Peiris D, Joshi R. "We just have to help":
  Community health workers' informal task-shifting and task-sharing practices for hypertension and diabetes care in Nigeria. Front Public Health. 2023 Jan 26;11:1038062.
- 39. Ugwu E, Onung S, Ezeani I, Olamoyegun M, Adeleye O, Uloko A. Barriers to diabetes care in a
   developing country: exploratory evidence from diabetes healthcare providers. Journal of Advances in
   Medicine and Medical Research. 2020;32(10):72–83.
- 40. Mogre V, Johnson NA, Tzelepis F, Paul C. Barriers to diabetic self-care: A qualitative study of patients' and healthcare providers' perspectives. Journal of Clinical Nursing. 2019;28(11–12):2296–308.

- Rn OEY, Rn OLY, Abimbola OO. Primary Health Care Nurses' Competencies and Resources
   Availability for Diabetes Mellitus Care at Local Government Areas of Ibadan.
- 42. Okoronkwo IL, Ekpemiro JN, Okwor EU, Okpala PU, Adeyemo FO. Economic burden and catastrophic cost among people living with type2 diabetes mellitus attending a tertiary health institution in south-east zone, Nigeria. BMC Res Notes. 2015 Oct 1;8:527.
- 43. Bosun-Arije FS, Ling J, Graham Y, Hayes C. Organisational factors influencing non-pharmacological
  management of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) in public hospitals across Lagos, Nigeria: A qualitative
  study of nurses' perspectives. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice. 2020 Aug 1;166:108288.
- 44. Iregbu SC, Duggleby W, Spiers J, Salami B. An Interpretive Description of Sociocultural Influences on Diabetes Self-Management Support in Nigeria. Global Qualitative Nursing Research [Internet]. 2022;9.
  Available from: https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.085138718707&doi=10.1177%2f23333936221121337&partnerID=40&md5=49e6236d3191543abb277dde
  2dbdec95
- 45. BeLue R, Diaw M, Ndao F, Okoror T, Degboe A, Abiero B. A cultural lens to understanding daily
  experiences with type 2 diabetes self-management among clinic patients in M'bour, Senegal. Int Q
  Community Health Educ. 2012 2013;33(4):329–47.
- 46. Opare-Addo MNA, Osei FA, Buabeng KO, Marfo AF, Nyanor I, Amuzu EX, et al. Healthcare services utilisation among patients with hypertension and diabetes in rural Ghana. African Journal of Primary
  Health Care & Family Medicine [Internet]. 2020 [cited 7AD Jan 1];12(1). Available from: http://www.phcfm.org/index.php/PHCFM/article/view/2114
- 47. Kretchy IA, Koduah A, Ohene-Agyei T, Boima V, Appiah B. The Association between Diabetes-Related
  Distress and Medication Adherence in Adult Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Cross-Sectional
  Study. J Diabetes Res. 2020 Mar 1;2020:4760624.
- 48. Dans A, Ng N, Varghese C, Tai ES, Firestone R, Bonita R. The rise of chronic non-communicable diseases in southeast Asia: time for action. The Lancet. 2011 Feb 19;377(9766):680–9.
- 49. Bhojani U, Devedasan N, Mishra A, Henauw SD, Kolsteren P, Criel B. Health System Challenges in
  Organizing Quality Diabetes Care for Urban Poor in South India. PLOS ONE. 2014 Sep 4;9(9):e106522.
- 50. Maimela E, Van Geertruyden JP, Alberts M, Modjadji SE, Meulemans H, Fraeyman J, et al. The
  perceptions and perspectives of patients and health care providers on chronic diseases management in
  rural South Africa: a qualitative study. BMC Health Services Research. 2015 Apr 8;15(1):143.
- 51. Baker EA, Barnidge EK, Schootman M, Sawicki M, Motton-Kershaw FL. Adaptation of a Modified
  DASH Diet to a Rural African American Community Setting. Am J Prev Med. 2016 Dec;51(6):967–74.
- 52. Teli M, Thato R, Hasan F, Rias YA. Effectiveness of Family-Based Diabetes Management Intervention on
  Glycated Haemoglobin Among Adults With Type 2 Diabetes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of
  Randomized Controlled Trials. Biological Research For Nursing. 2024 Apr 1;26(2):315–33.
- 53. Afroz A, Alramadan MJ, Hossain MN, Romero L, Alam K, Magliano DJ, et al. Cost-of-illness of type 2
  diabetes mellitus in low and lower-middle income countries: a systematic review. BMC Health Services
  Research. 2018 Dec 17;18(1):972.
- 54. Mutyambizi C, Pavlova M, Chola L, Hongoro C, Groot W. Cost of diabetes mellitus in Africa: a systematic review of existing literature. Globalization and Health. 2018 Jan 16;14(1):3.

- 55. Akokuwebe ME, Idemudia ES. A Comparative Cross-Sectional Study of the Prevalence and Determinants
  of Health Insurance Coverage in Nigeria and South Africa: A Multi-Country Analysis of Demographic
  Health Surveys. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022 Feb 4;19(3):1766.
- 56. Official Gazette of the Republic of the Philippines [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2024 Jun 3]. Republic Act No.
   10351 | GOVPH. Available from: https://www.officialgazette.gov.ph/2012/12/19/republic-act-no-10351/
- 57. Chemouni B. The political path to universal health coverage: Power, ideas and community-based health
   insurance in Rwanda. World Development. 2018 Jun 1;106:87–98.
- 58. Koohpayehzadeh J, Azami-Aghdash S, Derakhshani N, Rezapour A, Alaei Kalajahi R, Sajjadi Khasraghi
  J, et al. Best Practices in Achieving Universal Health Coverage: A Scoping Review. Med J Islam Repub
  Iran. 2021 Dec 30;35:191.
- Mujahid MS, Maddali SR, Gao X, Oo KH, Benjamin LA, Lewis TT. The Impact of Neighborhoods on
   Diabetes Risk and Outcomes: Centering Health Equity. Diabetes Care. 2023 Jun 24;46(9):1609–18.
- Atlas Obscura [Internet]. [cited 2024 Jun 3]. La Ciclovía de Bogotá Bogotá, Colombia. Available from: https://www.atlasobscura.com/places/la-ciclovia-de-bogota
- 61. Ekpor E, Osei E, Akyirem S. Prevalence and predictors of traditional medicine use among persons with
   diabetes in Africa: a systematic review. International Health. 2023 Sep 14;ihad080.
- James PB, Wardle J, Steel A, Adams J. Traditional, complementary and alternative medicine use in Sub Saharan Africa: a systematic review. BMJ Global Health. 2018 Oct 1;3(5):e000895.
- 764 63. Zeh P, Sandhu HK, Cannaby AM, Sturt JA. The impact of culturally competent diabetes care
  765 interventions for improving diabetes-related outcomes in ethnic minority groups: a systematic review.
  766 Diabetic Medicine. 2012;29(10):1237–52.
- Kim MT, Kim KB, Huh B, Nguyen T, Han HR, Bone LR, et al. The Effect of a Community-Based SelfHelp Intervention Korean Americans With Type 2 Diabetes. Am J Prev Med. 2015 Nov;49(5):726–37.
- Kasole R, Martin HD, Kimiywe J. Traditional Medicine and Its Role in the Management of Diabetes
   Mellitus: "Patients' and Herbalists' Perspectives". Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative
   Medicine. 2019 Jul 4;2019:e2835691.
- 66. Pumthong G, Nathason A, Tuseewan M, Pinthong P, Klangprapun S, Thepsuriyanon D, et al.
  Complementary and alternative medicines for diabetes mellitus management in ASEAN countries.
  Complementary Therapies in Medicine. 2015 Aug 1;23(4):617–25.
- 67. Chew BH, Shariff-Ghazali S, Fernandez A. Psychological aspects of diabetes care: Effecting behavioral change in patients. World J Diabetes. 2014 Dec 15;5(6):796–808.
- 68. Mendenhall E, Norris SA, Shidhaye R, Prabhakaran D. Depression and Type 2 Diabetes in Low and
   Middle Income Countries: A Systematic Review. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2014 Feb;103(2):276–85.
- 69. Egede LE, Ellis C. Diabetes and depression: global perspectives. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2010
   Mar;87(3):302–12.
- 781 70. Tovilla-Zárate C, Juárez-Rojop I, Jimenez YP, Jiménez MA, Vázquez S, Bermúdez-Ocaña D, et al.
  782 Prevalence of Anxiety and Depression among Outpatients with Type 2 Diabetes in the Mexican
  783 Population. PLOS ONE. 2012 May 18;7(5):e36887.

- 784 71. Mendenhall E, Kohrt BA, Norris SA, Ndetei D, Prabhakaran D. Non-communicable disease syndemics:
  785 poverty, depression, and diabetes among low-income populations. Lancet. 2017 Mar 4;389(10072):951–
  786 63.
- 787 72. Tan K, Chan G, Eric H, Maria A, Norliza M, Oun B, et al. Depression, anxiety and stress among patients
   788 with diabetes in primary care: A cross-sectional study. Malays Fam Physician. 2015 Aug 31;10(2):9–21.
- 789 73. Cabassa LJ, Hansen MC, Palinkas LA, Ell K. "Azúcar y Nervios: Explanatory Models and Treatment
   790 Experiences of Hispanics with Diabetes and Depression." Soc Sci Med. 2008 Jun;66(12):2413–24.
- 791 74. Jorwal P, Verma R, Balhara Y. Psychological health of caregivers of individuals with type 2 diabetes
   792 mellitus: A cross-sectional comparative study. Journal of Social Health and Diabetes. 2015
   793 Dec;03(02):095–101.
- 794 75. Lufuke M, Bai Y, Fan S, Tian X. Women's Empowerment, Food Security, and Nutrition Transition in
   795 Africa. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022 Dec 24;20(1):254.
- 796 76. Mendenhall E, Norris SA. Diabetes care among urban women in Soweto, South Africa: a qualitative study. BMC Public Health. 2015 Dec 26;15(1):1300.
- 77. Britton LE, Kaur G, Zork N, Marshall CJ, George M. 'We tend to prioritise others and forget ourselves':
   How women's caregiving responsibilities can facilitate or impede diabetes self-management. Diabet Med.
   2023 Mar;40(3):e15030.
- 78. de-Graft Aikins A, Awuah RB, Pera TA, Mendez M, Ogedegbe G. Explanatory models of diabetes in urban poor communities in Accra, Ghana. Ethnicity & Health. 2015 Jul 4;20(4):391–408.
- 803 79. Bansode B, Jungari DS. Economic burden of diabetic patients in India: A review. Diabetes & Metabolic
  804 Syndrome: Clinical Research & Reviews. 2019 Jul 1;13(4):2469–72.
- 805 80. Cornick R, Picken S, Wattrus C, Awotiwon A, Carkeek E, Hannington J, et al. The Practical Approach to
  806 Care Kit (PACK) guide: developing a clinical decision support tool to simplify, standardise and strengthen
  807 primary healthcare delivery. BMJ Global Health. 2018 Oct 1;3(Suppl 5):e000962.
- 808 81. Tsima BM, Setlhare V, Nkomazana O. Developing the Botswana Primary Care Guideline: an integrated,
   809 symptom-based primary care guideline for the adult patient in a resource-limited setting. J Multidiscip
   810 Healthc. 2016;9:347–54.
- 811 82. Wattrus C, Zepeda J, Cornick RV, Zonta R, Andrade MP de, Fairall L, et al. Using a mentorship model to
  812 localise the Practical Approach to Care Kit (PACK): from South Africa to Brazil. BMJ Global Health.
  813 2018 Oct 1;3(Suppl 5):e001016.
- 814 83. Andrea K Rialda, Traub Donoso, Carolina Andrea, Martínez, Mayra, Corona Ravest, Francisca. World Bank.
  815 [cited 2024 Jun 24]. Innovations in People-Centered Care for Chronic Conditions Chile's Story of
  816 Integration of Mental Health and Noncommunicable Disease Services at Primary Healthcare : Policy
  817 Note. Available from: https://documents.worldbank.org/en/publication/documents818 rementadatail/000005000102226165 (JDL/01464/d/ch055240456908a8a062567acd1502)
- 818 reports/documentdetail/099905009192326165/IDU01f6d4d6b0f534045f808e8a0f35e7aed1503
- 84. Tapia-Conyer R, Gallardo-Rincon H, Saucedo R. CASALUD: An innovative health-care system to control
   and prevent non-communicable diseases in Mexico. Perspectives in public health. 2015 Jul 27;135.

- 821 85. Saraf DS, Nongkynrih B, Pandav CS, Gupta SK, Shah B, Kapoor SK, et al. A Systematic Review of
- School-Based Interventions to Prevent Risk Factors Associated With Noncommunicable Diseases. Asia
  Pac J Public Health. 2012 Sep 1;24(5):733–52.
- 824 86. ALMA Scorecard Hub [Internet]. [cited 2024 Jun 3]. Introduction to the Scorecard Web Platform.
- 825 Available from: https://scorecardhub.org/swp-guide/introduction-to-the-scorecard-web-platform/



# PRISMA Flow Chart



Structure for Analysis of Factors