Full Title: "Dynamics of factors associated with neonatal

2 death in Madagascar: a comparative analysis of the 2003,

3 2008, 2021 DHS"

4 Short title: Neonatal mortality factors in Madagascar: DHS analysis 2003-2021

- 5 Authors: Sedera Radoniaina Rakotondrasoa^{1,4*}, Kadari Cissé², Tieba Millogo³, Hajalalaina
- 6 Rabarisoa^{1,4}, Felix Alain¹, Seni Kouanda^{2,3}, Julio Rakotonirina^{1,4}
- 7 ¹ Faculty of Medicine of Antananarivo, University of Antananarivo, Madagascar
- 8 ² Institute of Research in Health Sciences (IRSS), National Center for Scientific and
- 9 Technological Research, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso
- 10 ³ African Institute of Public Health (IASP), Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso
- ⁴ Teaching Hospital of Care and Public Health, Analakely (CHUSSPA), Antananarivo,

12 Madagascar

- 13 * Corresponding author
- 14 E-mail: <u>sederarado.srr@mail.com</u> (SRR)

NOTE: This preprint reports new research that has not been certified by peer review and should not be used to guide clinical practice.

15 Abstract

16 Neonatal mortality remains a major public health challenge, as reductions have stagnated 17 worldwide despite cost-effective interventions in recent years. The temporal evolution of its 18 determinants is insufficiently studied. This study aimed to analyze the dynamics of factors 19 associated with neonatal death in Madagascar between 2003 and 2021.

A secondary analysis was conducted using data from the 2003, 2008, and 2021 Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) of Madagascar. The study population is focused on children under the age of 5 years at the time of these surveys. The death of a newborn within 30 days after birth constitutes the outcome variable. A multilevel binomial logistic regression was performed.

24 The number of children under 5 included in the analysis were 5,415 in 2003, 12,448 in 2008 25 and 12,399 in 2021. The prevalence of neonatal deaths was 3.05% in 2003, 2.42% in 2008, and 26 2.56% in 2021. Persistent significant associations with neonatal death were observed for low birth weight, lack of breastfeeding, medium-sized households, large households, and high birth 27 28 weight. A loss of statistical significance of the association with neonatal death over time was 29 observed for a birth interval of 2 to 3 years and 4 years and more, mother's age 40-49 years, and 30 use of mosquito net by the mother. In 2021, new significant associations with neonatal mortality were identified in the province of Toliara, absence of difficulty in accessing health centers, 4 to 31 32 7 ANC visits, and supervised delivery.

The factors associated with neonatal mortality, which have worsened over time in Madagascar, include birth weight abnormalities, delivery in the presence of qualified personnel, and evaluation of neonatal health before discharge from the facility. This deterioration underscores the urgency of improving the quality of perinatal care in healthcare facilities, beyond mere geographical accessibility.

38 Keywords: Dynamics, factors associated, Madagascar, neonatal mortality, stagnation, trend.

39 1. Introduction

40 Neonatal mortality is defined as the occurrence of death of a newborn within 28 days of birth 41 [1,2]. It represents a global public health burden, particularly in low- and middle-income 42 countries. In 2020, neonatal mortality accounted for 47% of deaths in children under 5 years 43 old, totaling 2.4 million newborns [3]. The highest rates are observed in India, sub-Saharan 44 Africa, and central and southern Asia. At the country level, the rate ranges from 1 to 44 deaths 45 per 1.000 live births [3]. Eighty percent of these deaths are attributable to preventable and 46 treatable conditions, including complications related to prematurity, intrapartum factors 47 including birth asphyxia, and neonatal infections [4]. In order to reduce neonatal mortality, cost-48 effective evidence-based interventions have been identified and implemented in several 49 countries, but their impact varies from one country to another [1,2]. At the global level, neonatal mortality has decreased by 50%, or 2.4% per year from 1990 to 2021 [3,5]. However, it is 50 51 slower compared to that of children aged 1 to 59 months, which was 3.3% per year. In Sub-52 Saharan Africa, this reduction was approximately 39%, decreasing from 45.3 to 27.5 deaths per 1,000 live births between 1990 and 2019 [6]. Furthermore, neonatal mortality has remained 53 54 stagnant for several years in certain low- and middle-income countries, starting from 1990 in 55 the Philippines, 1994 in Ghana, 2006 in Uganda, and 2008 in Madagascar [7–13]. Potential 56 reasons for these stagnations are multiple, including the fragility of the healthcare system, the 57 deficiency of perinatal care coverage, and the weakness of the quality and equity of these care 58 services, likely resulting from insufficient preparation for the implementation of cost-effective 59 interventions. Additionally, the persistence of poverty, the behaviors and culture that are 60 detrimental to reproductive health, as well as the persistence of early adolescent pregnancies 61 [6–13]. Whereas, reducing neonatal mortality is a key objective of the Global Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), aiming to achieve a mortality rate of less than 12 per 1000 live 62

births by 2030 [6]. Many countries including Madagascar are committed to contributing to the
achievement of this goal.

65 Although the determinants of neonatal mortality have been the subject of several studies, their 66 temporal evolution remains poorly understood. However, the dynamics of these determinants, 67 particularly the absence of reduction in certain factors and the emergence of new determinants, 68 could be the cause of the observed stagnation in mortality rates. The few existing studies have 69 mainly focused on socioeconomic and demographic factors [11,14]. They have overlooked 70 other important aspects such as behavior and healthcare systems. Furthermore, they have 71 presented divergent results, highlighting a large inter-country variability in the evolving trend 72 of these factors. Given this variability and the multidisciplinary nature of the determinants of 73 neonatal mortality, a study in a different context, which takes into account these limitations by 74 integrating other variables for effective control of confounding factors, could enhance the 75 understanding of the factors underlying the stagnation of neonatal mortality and contribute to 76 its reduction.

77 In Madagascar, neonatal mortality remains high and stagnant, and has even shown an increase 78 in recent years, despite the implementation of interventions aimed at its reduction [13]. Indeed, 79 the neonatal death rate has increased from 24 to 26 per 1000 live births between 2008 and 2021. 80 Understanding the causes behind this stagnation, through knowledge of the dynamics of the 81 risk factors associated with neonatal mortality in the country, could contribute to the achievement of this global objective. This knowledge could guide the adaptation of existing 82 83 interventions. The current study aims to investigate the dynamics of factors associated with 84 neonatal death in Madagascar from 2003 to 2021, within the context of stagnation at a high 85 level of neonatal mortality. Specifically, it seeks to describe the evolution of the prevalence of neonatal death by studied characteristics, identify factors associated with neonatal death, and 86

assess the variations over time in the association of factors with neonatal mortality during thestudied periods.

89 2. Methods

90 2.1. Study setting and design

91 We conducted a secondary analysis of cross-sectional Demographic and Health Surveys data.

92 These surveys were carried out at a community level in Madagascar in 2003, 2008, and 2021.

93 Madagascar is a low-income country in sub-Saharan Africa. It is characterized by a predominantly rural population, with only 10% of this rural population belonging to the highest 94 95 quintile of economic well-being. In terms of education, only 7% of women and 7% of men have 96 completed primary school. The health system of the country is organized in a health pyramid 97 and divided into central, regional, district, and community levels. It has experienced 98 fluctuations in performance depending on the country's economic and political contexts. A 99 reform initiated in the 2000s has improved access to care but was compromised in 2009 due to 100 the economic crisis linked to political instability. Despite these efforts, the healthcare system 101 faces persistent challenges such as underfunding, a lack of gualified personnel, inadequate 102 infrastructure, and disparities in access to healthcare services between urban and rural areas. 103 The healthcare system's performance before the COVID-19 pandemic was 54.5%, with 104 difficulties in financial access [15], human resources, population utilization, and geographical 105 accessibility of healthcare services [16]. The COVID-19 pandemic has undoubtedly 106 exacerbated these problems and put a strain on the Malagasy healthcare system.

107 **2.2. Conceptual framework of neonatal death**

108 To analyze the complex factors that affect neonatal mortality, several conceptual frameworks 109 have been used over time. Among the existing conceptual frameworks, the Mosley and Chen 110 model (1984) was the first to propose a systematic approach to the determinants of infant 111 mortality in developing countries [17]. It distinguishes between proximal and distal causes of 112 infant mortality but does not consider social factors [18] or the various factors that lead to delays 113 in care [19]. The main conceptual frameworks used in studies show a chronological trend, 114 starting from a focus on the biomedical factors of neonatal mortality and gradually 115 incorporating behavioral, social, environmental, and political dimensions.

A specific conceptual framework was developed for this study, based on that of Mosley and
Chen and other similar studies [17,20–22]. Adjustments were then made based on the available
data in the DHS (Fig 1).

Fig 1: Conceptual framework of neonatal mortality, adapted from models by Mosley and Chen [17].

121 **2.3. Data source and sampling procedures**

122 The current study used the Birth Recode (BR) data of the 2003, 2008, and 2021 Demographic 123 Health Surveys (DHS) conducted in Madagascar. These surveys were carried out by the 124 National Institute of Statistics (INSTAT) of Madagascar, and their datasets are freely available 125 on the official website of the DHS Program [23]. These surveys initially focused on collecting information on reproductive health, mortality, nutrition, malaria, and HIV/AIDS in the country. 126 127 They were conducted among women of reproductive age, including mothers of live-born 128 children within the 5 years before the survey. The sampling methods employed were based on 129 a two-stage stratified cluster sampling design. The samples are representative at the national

- 130 level, as well as at the level of the 6 provinces (in 2003), 22 regions (in 2008 and 2021), and
- 131 urban and rural areas. The DHS sampling procedures for the three years are summarized in
- 132 Table 1. These procedures are further detailed in the respective national reports [13,24,25].

133 Table 1: Summary of the sampling procedures used in the 2003, 2008, and 2021 DHS

Characteristics	2003 DHS	2008 DHS	2021 DHS
Level of	6 provinces, urban	22 regions, urban and	22 regions, urban and
representativeness	and rural strata	rural strata	rural strata
Strata	12	45	45
Clusters	300	596	650
Households	8420	17857	20510
Women aged 15-49	7949	17375	18869
Response rate	95%	96%	95%
			Starts on March 3,
		N. 1 00 0000	2021, for 143
The data collection	November 23, 2003	November 23, 2008,	calendar days.
period	– March 28, 2004	to August 17, 2009	Resumed in February
			2021.

134

For this study, newborns born in Madagascar during the 5 years preceding each of the 3 surveys
were included. They correspond to live births during the following periods: 1998 to 2003, 2004
to 2008, and 2016 to 2021.

Initially, all children whose mothers were selected for the individual survey were included in the study. Then, children aged 5 years and older at the time of the survey were excluded to reduce potential recall bias, resulting in a sub-sample of children under 5 years old, which

formed the final sample for this study (Fig 2). The sample sizes for children under 5 were 5,415
in 2003, 12,448 in 2008, and 12,399 in 2021.

143 **2.4. Study variables**

144 **2.4.1. Outcome variable**

145 The dependent variable of this study is represented by the survival status of the newborn, either 146 alive or dead. To define cases of neonatal death, we considered deaths that occurred before the 147 age of 30 days, a period that differs from the conventional definition of neonatal mortality (28 days). This choice was motivated by the potential lack of accuracy in determining the exact 148 149 time of death for mothers who lacked documentation to support the precise date of death of 150 their newborn. In such cases, mothers estimated the date to be one month. Furthermore, this 151 allows for easier comparison with similar studies that also included all deaths within the first 152 month [11,26,27].

153 **2.4.2. Explanatory variables**

154 Distal factors

Distal factors encompass sociodemographic factors of the households such as the province, the area of residence (urban or rural), media exposure (the ability of the household to access sources of information such as radio, television, and the internet: yes or no), the wealth index (very poor, poor, medium, rich, very rich), household size (1 to 2, 3 to 5, 6 or more), mother's involvement in decision-making (whether mother participate independently or collaboratively with their husbands in decisions regarding their health, major household purchases, and visits to family or relatives) and the mother's occupation (yes or no).

162 Proximal factors

The proximal factors are grouped into six categories: maternal, related to prenatal care, neonatal, related to delivery, and postnatal care. Maternal factors include maternal education level (none, primary, secondary, university), maternal age at delivery (less than 20 years, 20-29 years, 30-39 years, or 40-49 years), birth intervals (less than 2 years, 2-3 years, 4 years or more), use of bed nets during pregnancy (yes or no), maternal smoking (yes or no), the presence of multiple maternal risks (the simultaneous presence of risk factors among the following: age less than 18 years or more than 35 years, birth intervals <24 months: yes or no).

Factors related to antenatal care include the number of antenatal care (ANC) visits made by the woman during pregnancy (less than 3, 4 to 7, more than 8), and difficulties in accessing the health center (the fact that the woman has encountered difficulties related to distance, transportation, or costs to reach the nearest health center).

Newborn and delivery factors include birth weight (low <2500g, normal 2500 – 4000g, high >
4000g), delivery in a health facility (yes or no), supervised delivery by skilled staff (the fact
that the woman was attended by a doctor, a midwife, nurse or paramedic during delivery), and
cesarean section (yes or no).

Factors related to postnatal care are represented by the newborn's pre-discharge health check
(the fact that the newborn baby has been examined by a health professional before leaving the
birth center), the timing of breastfeeding initiation after birth (immediate, less than 24 hours,
24 hours or more), and breastfeeding (never breastfed, breastfed).

182 **2.5. Data management and analysis**

The variables of interest were extracted from the BR (Birth recode) database using Stata 17® software (version 17, StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX 77845, USA). The dependent variable "neonatal death" was created from the quantitative variable "age of the child"

186 expressed in years. Children aged 5 years and older were suppressed, followed by the187 dichotomization of the variable.

All quantitative variables were discretized to facilitate the interpretation of the results. These
discretizations were performed manually using cut-offs commonly used in the literature and the
DHS program statistical manual [28].

191 The data were declared as a survey and were weighted using the individual sample weight of192 women, stratification, and the primary sampling unit variable.

A descriptive analysis was carried out first. It includes a description of the sample, presenting estimates as weighted frequencies and percentages. Then, the proportion of deaths was presented for each variable and year, expressed as weighted percentages with 95% confidence intervals.

197 Subsequently, bivariate analyses were performed for each variable and year. Chi-square tests 198 were applied. Crude odds ratios and their confidence intervals were calculated using simple 199 logistic regression. Variables were selected using an ascending approach, starting with an 200 empty model, and then gradually adding variables according to their statistical significance and 201 their epidemiological importance in the literature. Missing values were addressed using Stata's 202 multiple imputation methods by chained equations (ICE), with 20 imputations performed. It 203 was assumed that the missing data occurred randomly [29]. Multiple imputed datasets were 204 used to run multilevel logistic regressions. A post hoc sensitivity test was carried out by 205 comparing the results of the analysis of the original data with those of the imputed data. In the 206 end, the results of the imputed data were preferred. The selection of the multivariate model was 207 performed with multivariate logistic regression based on several criteria, such as the Akaike 208 information criterion (AIC) of the model, and the model's quality assessed by the Hosmer-209 Lemeshow test, McFadden's pseudo-R2 and the area under the ROC curve (AUC).

Multicollinearity was tested using the variance inflation factor (VIF) and the tolerance test. The aim was to obtain a model that included as many variables as possible while remaining statistically adequate to the data. The same explanatory variables were kept for all the years studied to track the evolution of the association measures over time while ensuring an acceptable model quality for each period [29].

215 Multilevel analysis was performed using a two-level multilevel logistic regression model. It is 216 assumed that the likelihood of a child dying in the neonatal period varies depending on the 217 community or village, as established by previous research [30]. Within the framework of the 218 Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS), the clusters represented by enumeration areas (EAs) 219 constitute the geographical level directly above households and are also the geographical areas 220 closest to the villages. In this study, the author chose to treat clusters as a community variable 221 due to the lack of village-specific variables in the DHS. Therefore, the random effect was 222 measured at the cluster level.

In this study, the two-level multilevel logistic regression model is written as [31] :

224
$$Y_{ij} = \log\left(\frac{\pi_{ij}}{1 - \pi_{ij}}\right) = \gamma_{00} + \gamma_{p0}X_{pij} + \gamma_{0q}Z_{qj} + u_{oj}$$
(1)

Where i refers to the individual and j to the corresponding village. Y_{ij} denotes the survival status of the *i*th child in the *j*th cluster during his neonatal period, and π_{ij} represents the probability of neonatal death for child i in village j. γ_{00} is the intercept of the model, representing the probability of neonatal death in the absence of explanatory variables. X_p and Z_q are explanatory variables at the individual and community levels, respectively. γ_{p0} denotes the regression coefficient of the individual-level explanatory variables X_{pij} . γ_{0q} is the regression coefficient of the community-level explanatory variables X_{pij} . Lastly, u_{oj} represents the level 2 random effect.

The intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC), which represents the proportion of contextual (community-level) variance in the total variance of neonatal death, was estimated. Its value varies between 0 and 1, and a higher value suggests greater similarity between individuals within the same community, and therefore a difference between communities in terms of neonatal death. The calculation is outlined as follows:

237
$$ICC = \frac{\delta_{community}^2}{(\delta_{community}^2 + \frac{\pi^2}{3})}$$
(4)

238 Where $\delta_{community}^2$ represents the community level variance and $\frac{\pi^2}{3}$ denotes the individual level 239 variance.

240 Finally, trend analyses over time were carried out by comparing the weighted proportions and 241 adjusted Odds ratios for each studied period. Improvement in the epidemiological indicators of 242 neonatal mortality was concluded when a significant reduction in the proportion of deaths (no 243 overlap of confidence intervals), loss of statistical significance of their association with neonatal 244 death over time, or a progressive weakening of their positive association with neonatal death 245 over time was observed. Conversely, deterioration of these indicators was deduced if there was 246 a significant increase in the proportion of deaths (no overlap of confidence intervals), significant 247 associations consistently present over time, an increase in the strength of the association, and a 248 recent emergence of a significant positive association between the characteristic and neonatal 249 death.

Data analysis was also performed using Stata 17[®] software. Results were reported according
to the STROBE guidelines for cross-sectional studies.

12

252 **2.6. Ethics approval and consent to participate**

253 Although this secondary analysis meets the criteria for exempt research as defined in 45 CFR 254 46.102, considering that the data is publicly accessible and de-identified, approval was still 255 obtained from the Committee for Biomedical Research Ethics (CERBM) at the Ministry of 256 Public Health in Madagascar to conduct the study. It is important to note that the DHS databases 257 are generated from standard DHS questionnaires, which have undergone review and approval 258 by the institutional ethics review board (IRB) of ICF to ensure compliance with the regulations 259 set forth by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services for the protection of human 260 subjects (45 CFR 46). At the national level, the text of voluntary consent was prepared by ICF 261 Macro based on the standard text developed by ICF Macro, and were reviewed and approved 262 by the Committee for Biomedical Research Ethics (CERBM) at the Ministry of Public Health 263 in Madagascar. During data collection, prior to each interview or test, written informed consent 264 is obtained from the participant. For individuals under the age of 18, written informed consent 265 from a parent or guardian was required and obtained. Further documentation on ethical issues 266 relating to the surveys is available at https://dhsprogram.com/methodology/Protecting-the-267 Privacy-of-DHS-Survey-Respondents.cfm. The data was accessed on August 31, 2022. A 268 written authorization was obtained from The DHS Program prior to utilizing the data, following 269 the submission of the study protocol and agreement to adhere to the conditions of use for the 270 DHS Program datasets. The author made no effort to search for information that could identify 271 individual participants throughout the study process and did not have access to it.

272 **3. Results**

3.1. Description of the study population

After the selection of the population, the sample consisted of 5,415 children under 5 years old in 2003, 12,448 in 2008, and 12,399 in 2021. Fig 2 below illustrates the sample size at each stage of participant selection.

Fig 2: Flowchart of sample selection steps in the 2003, 2008, and 2021 DHS.

278 The distribution of the population and missing data according to the studied characteristics and 279 years studied is presented in Table 2 below. Approximately a quarter of the population resides 280 in the province of Antananarivo (27.03% in 2003, 29.04% in 2008, and 25.53% in 2021). The 281 majority of children reside in rural areas (81.65% in 2003, 89.14% in 2008, and 84.48% in 282 2021). Over half of the children had a mother with primary education in 2003 and 2008 (52% 283 in 2003, 55.26% in 2008). Children from very poor households represented 28.34% of the 284 population in 2003, 25.78% in 2008, and 25.78% in 2021. Almost half of children lived in 285 households of six or more people in 2003 and 2008 (49.50% in 2003, 52.27% in 2008). From 286 2003 to 2021, a significant proportion of children have a working mother (86.87%, 92.51%, 287 and 86.48%).

Table 2: distribution of the population by studied characteristics

Variables	2003	2008	2021
	N=5415 (% ^a)	N=12448 (% ^a)	N=12448 (% ^a)
Province			
Antananarivo	1658 (27.03)	2808 (29.04)	2740 (25.53)
Fianarantsoa	984 (22.10)	3327 (23.30)	2819 (18.84)
Toamasina	807 (15.42)	1328 (14.59)	1376 (13.70)

Mahajanga	656 (14.73)	1985 (11.38)	1885 (12.07)		
Toliara	819 (13.83)	2245 (15.71)	2720 (22.32)		
Antsiranana	491 (6.88)	755 (5.99)	859 (7.54)		
Residence					
Urban	2951 (18.35)	2222 (10.86)	2364 (15.52)		
Rural	2464 (81.65)	10 226 (89.14)	10 035 (84.48)		
Wealth Index					
Very poor	1092 (28.34)	3596 (25.78)	3456 (25.78)		
Poor	780 (19.29)	2622 (22.38)	2758 (21.36)		
Medium	898 (20.93)	2234 (20.01)	2357 (20.23)		
Rich	1005 (16.74)	2018 (17.75)	2013 (17.97)		
Very rich	1640 (14.70)	1978 (14.08)	1815 (14.67)		
Household size					
1 to 2	131 (2.31)	243 (1.91)	391 (3.09)		
3 to 5	2696 (48.19)	5685 (45.82)	6686 (54.52)		
>=6	2588 (49.50)	6520 (52.27)	5322 (42.39)		
Education level					
None	1127 (26.86)	3510 (25.38)	3145 (22.79)		
Primary	2577 (52.00)	6415 (55.26)	5420 (44.16)		
Secondary	1587 (20.16)	2359 (18.33)	3533 (30.62)		
Academic	124 (0.98)	164 (1.04)	301 (2.42)		
Occupation					
Yes	4363 (86.87)	11 343 (92.51)	10 747 (86.48)		
No	1052 (13.13)	1105 (7.49)	1652 (13.52)		
Mother's age					
<20 years	991 (19.11)	2735 (21.07)	3087 (25.09)		
20 - 29 years	2820 (51.01)	5967 (47.83)	5907 (47.49)		
30 - 39 years	1367 (25.16)	3218 (26.58)	2876 (23.33)		
40 - 49 years	237 (4.72)	528 (4.52)	529 (4.09)		

medRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.08.30.24312842; this version posted August 31, 2024. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International li	icense .
---	----------

Birth interval					
<2 years	930 (18.34)	2159 (17.74)	1952 (15.71)		
2 to 3 years	2162 (41.84)	5092 (40.99)	3811 (29.82)		
>= 4 years	1002 (16.74)	2293 (18.69)	3193 (25.90)		
Not applicable (first	1321 (23.08)	2904 (22.58)	3443 (28.58)		
child)					
Sleeping under a					
mosquito net					
No	3328 (64.18)	5254 (45.07)	3931 (33.05)		
Yes	2087 (35.82)	7194 (54.93)	8468 (66.95)		
Multiple maternal risks					
No	5377 (99.12)	12 347 (99.25)	12 303 (99.29)		
Yes	38 (0.88)	101 (0.75)	96 (0.71)		
Number of ANC ^b visits					
<=3	1849 (37.75)	4241 (33.87)	3807 (29.72)		
4 to 7	1598 (25.21)	4040 (32.21)	5224 (43.23)		
>=8	242 (3.16)	288 (2.20)	234 (2.01)		
Unknown	1726 (33.87)	3879 (31.72)	3134 (25.03)		
Difficulty in accessing					
the health center					
Yes	2234 (47.43)	2936 (23.41)	4755 (36.94)		
No	3181 (52.57)	9512 (76.59)	7644 (63.06)		
Birth weight					
Low	288 (13.04)	611 (5.08)	573 (4.94)		
Normal	2030 (83.44)	4034 (32.81)	3582 (30.31)		
High	101 (3.52)	7803 (62.11)	8244 (64.75)		
unweighted/unknown	2996	0	0		
Health facility birth					
No	3316 (67.59)	7871 (64.35)	7738 (61.30)		

Yes	2029 (32.41)	4459 (35.65)	4602 (38.70)		
Missing	70	118	59		
Supervised delivery by					
qualified personnel					
No	2087 (51.76)	5997 (52.33)	5055 (38.92)		
Yes	3078 (48.24)	5628 (47.67)	7344 (61.08)		
Missing	250	823	0		
Cesarean delivery					
No	5265 (98.97)	12 263 (98.54)	12 048 (97.48)		
Yes	83 (1.03)	175 (1.46)	291 (2.52)		
Missing	67	10	60		
Child pre-discharge					
health check					
No	1820 (38.33)	2909 (22.77)	310 (2.76)		
Yes	1488 (28.46)	2342 (19.30)	3298 (27.56)		
Unknown/Not	2107 (33.21)	7197 (57.93)	8791 (69.69)		
applicable					
Breastfeeding initiation					
Immediate	3503 (60.55)	7907 (66.49)	7343 (63.19)		
<24 hrs	1290 (28.16)	3453 (26.02)	3567 (28.89)		
≥24 hrs	549 (11.29)	916 (7.49)	1111 (7.92)		
Missing	73	172	378		
Breastfeeding					
Never breastfed	78 (1.68)	216 (1.79)	540 (4.18)		
Breastfed	5280 (98.32)	12 094 (98.21)	11 859 (95.82)		
Missing	57	138	0		

289

^aWeighted Percentage; ^bAntenatal Care

290 **3.2. Distribution and evolution of the weighted prevalence of**

291 neonatal deaths

292 Table 3 presents the temporal trend of the weighted prevalence of neonatal deaths from 2003 293 to 2021, according to the different characteristics studied. Overall, the proportion of neonatal 294 deaths remained relatively stable over this period (3.05% [2.27-4.09] in 2003, 2.42% [2.07-295 2.82] in 2008, and 2.56% [2.25-2.91] in 2021). However, certain subgroups displayed notable 296 changes in neonatal mortality rates. Specifically, neonates residing in the province of Toliara 297 saw a significant decrease in neonatal deaths (4.33% [2.52-7.32] in 2003 to 1.79% [1.27-2.51] 298 in 2021), as did those whose mothers had no education (4.70% [3.11-7.06] in 2003 to 2.17% 299 [1.60-2.94] in 2021), and those who were never breastfed (60.18% [43.42-74.86] in 2003, 300 60.5% [50.15-69.98] in 2008, and 29.42% [23.94-35.56] in 2021). Conversely, over the same 301 period, a significant increase in neonatal deaths was observed among newborns whose health 302 was not checked before discharge (3.20% [2.22-4.60] in 2003, 1.82% [1.31-2.53] in 2008, and 303 7.61% [4.79-11.89] in 2021).

304

305 Table 3: Weighted prevalence of neonatal death by population characteristics, Madagascar DHS.

Variables		2003	}		2008			2021		
	n	%	[95% CI]	n	%	[95% CI]	n	%	[95% CI]	
Province										
Antananarivo	42	3.22	[1.54-6.58]	76	2.34	[1.68-3.25]	97	3.47	[2.81-4.29]	
Fianarantsoa	12	1.35	[0.58-3.10]	89	3.07	[2.36-3.99]	71	2.71	[2.02-3.64]	
Toamasina	21	3.61	[2.06-6.27]	21	1.59	[1.03-2.44]	36	2.69	[1.90-3.80]	
Mahajanga	19	4.04	[2.46-6.59]	44	2.55	[1.65-3.93]	42	2.30	[1.60-3.31]	
Toliara	26	4.33	[2.52-7.32]	45	1.90	[1.37-2.62]	53	1.79	[1.27-2.51]	
Antsiranana	10	1.96	[0.83-4.56]	23	3.40	[1.86-6.11]	13	1.52	[0.87-2.65]	
Residence										
Urban	54	1.83	[1.40-2.39]	52	2.56	[1.91-3.42]	65	2.78	[2.14-3.60]	
Rural	76	3.33	[2.40-4.61]	246	2.40	[2.03-2.84]	247	2.52	[2.17-2.91]	
Media exposure										
Yes	87	2.96	[2.04-4.28]	190	2.66	[2.21-3.21]	200	2.68	[2.27-3.16]	
No	43	3.22	[2.03-5.07]	108	2.06	[1.62-2.61]	112	2.38	[1.91-2.96]	
Mother's education level										
None	39	4.70	[3.11-7.06]	80	2.33	[1.81-3.00]	69	2.17	[1.60-2.94]	

Primary	51	2.28	[1.59-3.27]	165	2.52	[2.05-3.10]	143	2.71	[2.24-3.27]
Secondary	38	2.89	[1.75-4.74]	48	2.23	[1.48-3.34]	96	2.71	[2.12-3.47]
University	2	2.09	[0.50-8.28]	5	2.52	[1.02-6.07]	4	1.47	[0.53-3.97]
Wealth index									
Very Poor	34	3.45	[2.28-5.20]	81	2.52	[1.89-3.37]	72	2.24	[1.68-2.98]
Poor	20	3.01	[1.80-4.99]	66	2.42	[1.74-3.35]	65	2.52	[1.86-3.42]
Medium	23	3.00	[1.86-4.79]	45	1.98	[1.44-2.71]	68	2.65	[2.00-3.48]
Rich	23	3.00	[1.70-5.24]	60	2.78	[1.90-4.05]	58	2.83	[2.11-3.77]
Very Rich	30	2.49	[1.04-5.83]	46	2.39	[1.70-3.36]	49	2.71	[1.97-3.73]
Household size									
1 to 2	7	9.25	[4.07-19.68]	32	15.42	[9.34-24.40]	29	7.87	[5.13-11.89]
3 to 5	68	3.33	[2.20-5.01]	133	2.31	[1.83-2.91]	191	2.92	[2.46-3.46]
>= 6	55	2.50	[1.78-3.50]	133	2.04	[1.62-2.57]	92	1.71	[1.35-2.16]
Mother's participation in									
decision-making									
No	42	3.81	[2.80-5.18]	83	2.57	[2.00-3.31]	93	2.60	[2.07-3.25]
Yes	88	2.81	[1.97-4.00]	215	2.37	[1.98-2.83]	219	2.54	[2.17-2.98]
Mother's occupation									
Yes	107	2.96	[2.24-3.90]	263	2.36	[2.01-2.76]	267	2.50	[2.17-2.88]

No	23	3.69	[1.76-7.57]	35	3.19	[2.09-4.84]	45	2.93	[2.06-4.16]
Age of the mother									
<20 years	31	3.98	[2.53-6.22]	82	2.83	[2.13-3.74]	99	3.30	[2.62-4.15]
20 - 29 years	58	2.55	[1.74-3.73]	122	2.05	[1.63-2.57]	120	2.00	[1.59-2.51]
30 - 39 years	31	2.70	[1.60-4.53]	75	2.45	[1.83-3.27]	79	2.89	[2.21-3.76]
40 - 49 years	10	6.60	[2.62-15.63]	19	4.25	[2.28-7.79]	14	2.63	[1.43-4.78]
Birth interval									
<2 years	30	4.35	[2.83-6.63]	54	2.69	[1.96-3.68]	52	2.69	[1.92-3.75]
2 to 3 years	39	2.06	[1.22-3.45]	93	1.80	[1.41-2.30]	62	1.64	[1.24-2.17]
>= 4 years	17	2.06	[0.78-5.31]	45	2.32	[1.59-3.37]	79	2.53	[1.93-3.31]
Not applicable (first child)	44	4.55	[3.28-6.27]	106	3.41	[2.70-4.31]	119	3.47	[2.82-4.26]
Sleeps under a mosquito net									
No	85	3.01	[2.09-4.32]	149	2.80	[2.22-3.52]	109	3.00	[2.38-3.77]
Yes	45	3.13	[2.08-4.67]	149	2.11	[1.71-2.59]	203	2.34	[2.01-2.72]
Multiple maternal risks									
No	127	3.00	[2.23-4.02]	294	2.40	[2.06-2.80]	309	2.55	[2.24-2.91]
Yes	3	9.73	[3.19-26.05]	4	4.67	[1.18-16.68]	3	3.03	[1.00-8.83]
Number of ANC ^a visits									
<3	39	2.59	[1.73-3.86]	71	1.65	[1.22-2.23]	83	2.41	[1.90-3.05]

4 to 7	17	1.96	[0.93-4.12]	57	1.59	[1.15-2.20]	78	1.54	[1.19-2.00]
>8	4	1.18	[0.39-3.52]	8	4.76	[2.04-10.74]	5	1.93	[0.75-4.87]
Unknown (not the youngest	70	4.56	[3.24-6.39]	162	3.91	[3.21-4.76]	146	4.54	[3.73-5.51]
child)									
Difficulty accessing health									
center									
Yes	71	2.88	[1.92-4.29]	229	2.51	[2.10-2.99]	176	2.29	[1.93-2.72]
No	59	3.25	[2.26-4.66]	69	2.13	[1.55-2.93]	136	3.01	[2.44-3.70]
Birth weight									
Low	9	3.37	[1.15-9.46]	30	4.03	[2.63-6.11]	35	6.19	[4.32-8.80]
Normal	14	0.87	[0.37-2.02]	58	1.47	[1.06-2.05]	50	1.30	[0.93-1.81]
High	1	0.76	[0.11-5.19]	210	2.79	[2.32-3.35]	227	2.87	[2.47-3.33]
Not Weighed/Unknown	106	4.08	[3.06-5.43]	-	-	-	-	-	-
Delivery in a health facility									
No	76	2.92	[2.14-3.97]	165	2.21	[1.79-2.74]	162	2.09	[1.73-2.52]
Yes	39	2.25	[1.27-3.97]	119	2.61	[2.07-3.29]	146	3.22	[2.67-3.88]
Supervised delivery by skilled									
personnel									
No	57	3.07	[2.16-4.36]	132	2.25	[1.77-2.85]	97	2.06	[1.60-2.64]

Yes	55	2.41	[1.43-4.02]	140	2.54	[2.03-3.17]	215	2.88	[2.46-3.36]
Cesarean delivery									
No	125	3.02	[2.27-4.01]	294	2.40	[2.06-2.80]	290	2.43	[2.13-2.78]
Yes	3	2.00	[0.55-7.03]	4	3.75	[1.05-12.53]	19	6.59	[4.08-10.48]
Health check of the baby									
before discharge									
No	49	3.20	[2.22-4.60]	51	1.82	[1.31-2.53]	23	7.61	[4.79-11.89]
Yes	28	2.63	[1.64-4.19]	22	1.05	[0.65-1.69]	53	1.83	[1.35-2.49]
Unknown/Not Applicable	53	3.25	[1.99-5.25]	225	3.11	[2.61-3.69]	236	2.64	[2.27-3.07]
Breastfeeding initiation									
Immediate	58	2.15	[1.55-2.96]	146	1.70	[1.38-2.09]	135	1.89	[1.55-2.29]
<24h	27	2.47	[1.57-3.87]	58	1.71	[1.26-2.32]	60	1.42	[1.03-1.94]
>24h	12	2.52	[1.28-4.90]	20	2.36	[1.15-4.78]	26	2.50	[1.60-3.88]
Breastfeeding									
Never Breastfed	42	60.18	[43.42-74.86]	128	60.50	[50.15-69.98]	142	29.42	[23.94-35.56]
Breastfed	73	1.73	[1.21-2.45]	154	1.23	[0.99-1.52]	170	1.39	[1.16-1.66]

306 ^a Antenatal Care

307 3.3. Factors associated with neonatal deaths

308 **3.3.1.** Factors consistently associated with neonatal death over time

309 After adjusting for confounding factors, certain factors maintained a consistent association with 310 neonatal mortality in Madagascar from 2003 to 2021 (Table 4). When other variables were held 311 constant, low birth weight increased the risk of death during the first 30 days by 2.95 times in 312 2008 and 5.52 times in 2021 compared to normal birth weight. Breastfeeding reduced the risk 313 of death by 99% in 2003 and 2008, and by 95% in 2021 compared to no breastfeeding. Medium-314 sized households (composed of 3 to 5 people) reduced the risk of neonatal death by 81% in 315 2008 and 84% in 2021 compared to small households. Similarly, large households (6 people 316 and more) decreased the risk of death by 88% in 2008 and 92% in 2021. High birth weight 317 increased the risk of death by 2.52 times in 2008 and 2.95 times in 2021 compared to normal 318 birth weight.

319

320 Table 4: Multilevel analysis of factors associated with neonatal deaths, 2003, 2008, and 2021 Madagascar DHS.

Variables	200	03	20	008	2	2021		
	OR ^a [95% CI]	AOR ^b [95% CI]	OR ^a [95% CI]	AOR ^b [95% CI]	OR ^a [95% CI]	AOR ^b [95% CI]		
FIXED EFFECTS								
HOUSEHOLD								
CHARACTERISTICS								
Province								
Antananarivo	1	1	1	1	1	1		
Fianarantsoa	0.41 [0.13,1.27]	0.43 [0.11,1.73]	1.32 [0.86,2.04]	1.60 [0.87,2.96]	0.78 [0.53,1.13]	1.20 [0.68,2.10]		
Toamasina	1.13 [0.44,2.89]	1.40 [0.53,3.67]	0.67 [0.39,1.17]	1.01 [0.44,2.31]	0.77 [0.51,1.17]	0.52 [0.26,1.01]		
Mahajanga	1.27 [0.51,3.13]	0.86 [0.22,3.44]	1.09 [0.62,1.91]	1.69 [0.84,3.38]	0.66 [0.43,1.01]	0.70 [0.37,1.35]		
Toliara	1.36 [0.54,3.44]	1.29 [0.47,3.51]	0.81 [0.50,1.29]	0.93 [0.42,2.07]	0.51 [0.34,0.76] **	0.40 [0.23,0.70] **		
Antsiranana	0.60 [0.19,1.88]	0.87 [0.21,3.51]	1.47 [0.73,2.96]	0.64 [0.19,2.20]	0.43 [0.23,0.79] **	0.42 [0.17,1.01]		
Residence								
Urban	1	1	1	1	1	1		
Rural	1.85 [1.20,2.85] **	1.40 [0.63,3.08]	0.94 [0.66,1.32]	0.99 [0.51,1.93]	0.90 [0.66,1.23]	0.71 [0.45,1.10]		
Media exposure								
Yes	1	1	1	1	1	1		

No	1.09 [0.60,1.96]	0.61 [0.27,1.37]	0.77 [0.57,1.03]	0.70 [0.47,1.04]	0.88 [0.66,1.18]	0.94 [0.60,1.48]
Mother's education level						
None	2.31 [0.52,10.21]	2.65 [0.22,32.70]	0.92 [0.36,2.39]	3.88 [1.04,14.41] *	1.49 [0.51,4.35]	2.77 [0.70,11.00]
Primary	1.09 [0.26,4.68]	0.96 [0.09,10.63]	1.00 [0.39,2.55]	3.80 [1.10,13.14] *	1.87 [0.66,5.32]	2.57 [0.70,9.49]
Secondary	1.39 [0.32,6.01]	0.90 [0.08,10.19]	0.88 [0.33,2.39]	4.09 [1.18,14.20] *	1.87 [0.65,5.37]	2.87 [0.81,10.22]
University	1	1	1	1	1	1
Household size						
1 to 2	1	1	1	1	1	1
3 to 5	0.34 [0.13,0.90] *	0.81 [0.14,4.53]	0.13 [0.07,0.24] ***	0.19 [0.05,0.67] *	0.35 [0.22,0.57] ***	0.16 [0.08,0.33] ***
>=6	0.25 [0.09,0.67] **	0.61 [0.10,3.70]	0.11 [0.06,0.21] ***	0.12 [0.03,0.41] ***	0.20 [0.12,0.35] ***	0.08 [0.04,0.17] ***
Mother's Participation in						
Decision-Making						
No	1	1	1	1	1	1
Yes	0.73 [0.49,1.08]	0.63 [0.36,1.12]	0.92 [0.68,1.24]	1.05 [0.69,1.59]	0.98 [0.74,1.30]	1.25 [0.84,1.87]
Mother's occupation						
Yes	1	1	1	1	1	1
No	1.25 [0.62,2.55]	1.72 [0.74,3.97]	1.37 [0.87,2.13]	1.57 [0.75,3.30]	1.18 [0.79,1.75]	1.19 [0.70,2.02]
MOTHER'S						
CHARACTERISTICS						

Mother's age						
<20 years	1	1	1	1	1	1
20 - 29 years	0.63 [0.34,1.16]	1.65 [0.50,5.44]	0.72 [0.51,1.02]	1.40 [0.76,2.60]	0.60 [0.42,0.84] **	0.95 [0.60,1.52]
30 - 39 years	0.67 [0.33,1.37]	2.34 [0.62,8.83]	0.86 [0.56,1.33]	2.25 [0.90,5.62]	0.87 [0.60,1.26]	1.68 [0.87,3.26]
40 - 49 years	1.70 [0.68,4.28]	2.82 [0.31,25.43]	1.53 [0.76,3.08]	4.95 [1.54,15.93] **	0.79 [0.40,1.56]	1.82 [0.56,5.86]
Birth interval						
<2 years	1	1	1	1	1	1
2 to 3 years	0.46 [0.24,0.91] *	0.37 [0.17,0.81] *	0.66 [0.45,0.98] *	0.74 [0.46,1.21]	0.60 [0.40,0.92] *	0.83 [0.49,1.41]
>= 4 years	0.46 [0.17,1.27]	0.35 [0.14,0.88] *	0.86 [0.52,1.42]	0.53 [0.27,1.03]	0.94 [0.60,1.47]	0.92 [0.51,1.65]
Not applicable (first child)	1.05 [0.63,1.75]	1.29 [0.44,3.81]	1.28 [0.88,1.87]	1.09 [0.57,2.08]	1.30 [0.86,1.96]	1.31 [0.77,2.21]
Sleeps Under a Mosquito						
Net						
No	1	1	1	1	1	1
Yes	1.04 [0.63,1.72]	0.97 [0.49,1.91]	0.75 [0.54,1.03]	0.47 [0.29,0.74] **	0.78 [0.58,1.03]	0.86 [0.58,1.27]
Multiple maternal risks						
No	1	1	1	1	1	1
Yes	3.49 [1.12,10.93] *	1.64 [0.17,15.97]	1.99 [0.49,8.15]	1.37 [0.30,6.21]	1.19 [0.38,3.72]	2.38 [0.43,13.10]
PRENATAL CARE						
Number of ANC ^c Visits						

<3	1	1	1	1	1	1
4 to 7	0.75 [0.35,1.63]	0.70 [0.25,1.97]	0.96 [0.62,1.49]	1.07 [0.59,1.95]	0.63 [0.44,0.92] *	0.38 [0.20,0.70] **
>8	0.45 [0.14,1.47]	1.15 [0.24,5.56]	2.97 [1.17,7.53] *	0.38 [0.06,2.65]	0.79 [0.29,2.14]	0.39 [0.05,2.96]
Unknown (not the youngest	1.80 [1.13,2.88] *	3.08 [1.57,6.04] **	2.42 [1.69,3.46] ***	2.82 [1.49,5.33] **	1.92 [1.42,2.61] ***	3.28 [1.95,5.51] ***
child)						
Difficulty accessing health						
center						
Yes	1	1	1	1	1	1
No	1.13 [0.68,1.88]	0.86 [0.43,1.73]	0.85 [0.58,1.23]	0.83 [0.52,1.32]	1.32 [0.99,1.75]	1.46 [1.01,2.10] *
NEWBORN AND						
DELIVERY						
CHARACTERISTICS						
Birth weight						
Low	3.96 [1.65,9.54] **	2.90 [0.61,13.72]	2.81 [1.63,4.82] ***	2.95 [1.42,6.12] **	5.02 [3.02,8.33] ***	5.52 [2.98,10.21] ***
Normal	1	1	1	1	1	1
High	0.87 [0.10,7.32]	1.26 [0.07,21.34]	1.92 [1.32,2.79] ***	2.52 [1.40,4.56] **	2.24 [1.57,3.21] ***	2.95 [1.75,4.98] ***
Not weighed/unknown	4.83 [1.99,11.74] ***	4.91 [1.34,18.06] *				
Supervised delivery by						
skilled Personnel						

No	1	1	1	1	1	1
Yes	0.78 [0.40,1.50]	1.38 [0.54,3.54]	1.13 [0.81,1.59]	1.34 [0.75,2.38]	1.41 [1.03,1.93] *	1.59 [1.04,2.44] *
Cesarean delivery						
No	1	1	1	1	1	1
Yes	0.65 [0.17,2.46]	1.23 [0.20,7.62]	1.59 [0.43,5.89]	1	2.83 [1.69,4.75] ***	1.30 [0.51,3.33]
POSTNATAL CARE						
Health check of the baby						
before discharge						
No	1	1	1	1	1	1
Yes	0.82 [0.47,1.41]	1.36 [0.68,2.69]	0.57 [0.34,0.96] *	0.65 [0.34,1.27]	0.23 [0.12,0.41] ***	0.71 [0.22,2.32]
Unknown/Not applicable	1.01 [0.56,1.84]	1.32 [0.45,3.86]	1.73 [1.19,2.51] **	0.75 [0.37,1.53]	0.33 [0.20,0.56] ***	0.31 [0.08,1.15]
Breastfeeding initiation						
Immediate	1	1	1	1	1	1
<24h	1.15 [0.77,1.73]	1.02 [0.57,1.81]	1.01 [0.71,1.44]	0.72 [0.47,1.11]	0.75 [0.52,1.08]	0.71 [0.46,1.12]
>24h	1.18 [0.58,2.42]	0.79 [0.21,2.95]	1.40 [0.65,3.00]	0.99 [0.45,2.17]	1.33 [0.82,2.16]	1.10 [0.66,1.84]
Breastfeeding						
Never breastfed	1		1	1	1	1
Breastfed	0.01 [0.01,0.02] ***		0.01 [0.01,0.01] ***	0.01 [0.00,0.01] ***	0.03 [0.02,0.05] ***	0.05 [0.03,0.09] ***
Random effects						

Cluster variance	1.97 [0.87,3.08] ***	1.13 [0.58,1.67] ***	0.59 [0.22,0.96] **
PCV ^d	-64.60	-68.71	-25.26
ICCe	37.47	25.53	15.31
MOR ^f	3.75[2.32-5.18]	2.75[2.08-3.43]	2.09[1.61-2.56]
Model statistics			
AIC ^g	1015.33	1582.20	1719.97
Hosmer-Lemeshow (chi2; p-	6.77, p=.562	4.33, p=.826	7.58, p=.476
value)			
HATSQ (linktest)	-0.05, p=.963	0.53, p=.593	1.27, p=.204
AUC ^h (%)	82.07	80.78	82.48

321 *p-value < 0.05; ** p-value < 0.01; ***p-value < 0.001;

322 ^aodds-ratio; ^badjusted odds-ratio; ^cAntenatal Care; ^dProportional Change in Variance; ^eIntraclass Correlation Coefficient; ^fMOR: Median Odds Ratio; ^hAUC: Area Under the

323 ROC Curve

324

325 **3.3.2.** Factors associated with neonatal death that have lost their association

over time

327 Some factors initially significantly associated with neonatal mortality lost this association over 328 time (Table 4). In 2003, children born with a birth interval of 2 to 3 years and 4 years and more 329 had 63% and 65% lower risk of death, respectively, compared to those with a short birth 330 interval, but these significant associations disappeared over time. In 2008, mother's age 40 - 49 331 years 4.95 increased the risk of death by 4.95 times compared to mother's age <20 years, but 332 these significant associations disappeared in 2021. The use of a mosquito net by the mother in 333 2008 decreased the risk of neonatal death by 53% compared to not using mosquito nets in the 334 same year. However, this effect was not observed in 2021.

335 **3.3.3. Factors recently associated with neonatal death**

336 Over time, new factors associated with neonatal mortality have emerged as outlined in Table 4. 337 In 2021, children residing in the province of Toliara had a 60% reduced risk of neonatal death 338 compared to those living in the province of Antananarivo. Children whose mothers attended 4 339 to 7 ANC visits had a 62% lower risk of death compared to those whose mothers attended less 340 than 3 ANC visits. Children in households facing no difficulty accessing health centers had a 341 1.46 times higher risk of death during the neonatal period compared to those encountering this 342 difficulty in 2021. Supervised delivery increased the risk of neonatal death by 1.59 times compared to non-supervised delivery in 2021. 343

344 **3.3.4.** Results of random-effects measurements and model statistics

A significant cluster-level variance of neonatal death was observed over different periods, showing a decreasing trend from 2003 to 2021 (1.97 [0.87, 3.08] in 2003, 1.13 [0.58, 1.67] in 2008, and 0.59 [0.22, 0.96] (Table 4). The intracluster correlation coefficient (ICC) also decreased, from 37.47% in 2003 to 25.53% in 2008, and further to 15.31% in 2021. Individual

and community factors explained 96.2%, 85.78%, and 82.68% of the variance of neonatal death
in 2003, 2008, and 2021, respectively. The median odds ratio (MOR) followed the same
decreasing trend, from 3.75 (95% CI: 2.32-5.18) in 2003 to 2.75 (95% CI: 2.08-3.43) in 2008,
and then to 2.09 (95% CI: 1.61-2.56) in 2021. These significant values indicate that the
multilevel analysis is useful for each of the models. Furthermore, the decreases suggest a
reduction in disparities of neonatal death across clusters over the years.

The Hosmer-Lemeshow test showed a good fit of the model for all years, with non-significant p-values (p=0.562 in 2003, p=0.826 in 2008, and p=0.476 in 2021). The link function test (Hatsq) yielded non-significant results with values of (-0.05, p = .963) in 2003, (0.53, p = .593) in 2008, and (1.27, p = .204) in 2021, indicating no model specification problem in the three periods studied. Finally, the area under the curve (AUC) was 82.07% in 2003, 80.78% in 2008, and 82.48% in 2021, demonstrating a high and stable discriminatory capacity of the model over the years.

362 **4. Discussion**

363 The present study aims to investigate the dynamics of factors associated with neonatal mortality 364 in Madagascar between 2003 and 2021 in the context of stagnant neonatal mortality rates. Our 365 findings showed a stagnation in the prevalence of neonatal deaths from 2003 to 2021. Factors 366 associated with improvements in epidemiological indicators of neonatal mortality over time 367 included province of residence, age and education level of the mother, birth intervals, mosquito 368 net use, number of ANC visits, and breastfeeding. On the other hand, factors such as household 369 size, difficulty accessing healthcare services, low birth weight, supervised delivery by skilled 370 personnel and child health check before discharge were associated with worsening neonatal 371 mortality indicators over time.

4.1. Neonatal mortality trends in Madagascar

373 The evolution of neonatal mortality in Madagascar remained stagnant from 2003 to 2021, with 374 a slight non-significant decrease between 2003 and 2008. This trend reflects that observed in 375 sub-Saharan Africa, the Middle East, North Africa, and low-income countries, where stagnation 376 began later, around 2010, after a period of more rapid reduction [6]. In contrast, at the global 377 level, the reduction remained significant from 1999 to 2019. The slight improvement observed 378 in Madagascar between 2003 and 2008 coincides with the implementation and strengthening of 379 preventive community interventions in various regions of the country during this period [16]. 380 This phenomenon is in line with the improvements observed globally and in sub-Saharan Africa 381 before 2010, attributed to the implementation of high-impact interventions such as peripartum 382 and postnatal care, nutrition strengthening, vaccination, and hygiene since 1990 [6]. However, 383 the impact of these interventions seems to be less pronounced in Madagascar than in other 384 regions. The post-2010 stagnation identified in West and Central Africa, the Middle East, North 385 Africa, and the average of low-income countries could be due to the more pronounced 386 persistence of the common structural deficits mentioned by Sharrow et al. as a cause of the slow 387 global reduction in neonatal deaths [6].

388 4.2. Characteristics associated with improvement in

389

epidemiological indicators of neonatal mortality over time

Residing in the Toliara province, birth interval greater than 2 years, 4 to 7 ANC visits, use of mosquito net, and the absence of breastfeeding were associated with a lower risk of neonatal death. In addition, the mother's lack of education and her age (40-49) increased the risk of neonatal death. These factors showed improvement in neonatal mortality indicators (prevalence and adjusted odds ratio) over time. The results of the random effects of neonatal deaths between

clusters have also highlighted a reduction in the heterogeneity of neonatal mortality between
clusters over time, and could probably be linked to improvements within the previous associated
factors.

398 The association between neonatal mortality and each of these factors are generally consistent 399 with the majority of studies. Some authors have found that high rates of neonatal mortality 400 differ by province due to regional variations in the implementation of health policies [26,32]. 401 The mother's level of education is particularly important for improving knowledge of her child's 402 health and use of health services, unlike the father's, which generally facilitates access to well-403 paid jobs [33]. Children born with short birth intervals were conceived under unfavorable 404 maternal health conditions, and exposed to pregnancy complications due to inadequate recovery 405 after the previous pregnancy [34]. Pregnancies in elderly mothers present a high risk of 406 gestational diabetes and gestational hypertension. Uteroplacental aging can also induce 407 circulatory insufficiency and placental hypoperfusion, compromising fetal growth [35]. The 408 absence of protection by a mosquito net exposes mothers to malaria-related complications 409 during pregnancy [36-38]. Antenatal care (ANC) reinforces maternal education and 410 compliance, while also offering screening for pregnancy complications, infection treatment, 411 and early management of newborn illnesses [39]. Non-breastfed infants are deprived of the 412 benefits of breastfeeding, exposing them to risks of dehydration, malnutrition, or infections 413 [40].

The improvements observed for each of these characteristics could be attributed to the impact of increased coverage of preventive and promotional services particularly for elderly mothers. This expanded coverage includes increased use of modern contraceptives associated with a reduction in unmet family planning needs [41], wider distribution and use of mosquito nets,

34

418 improved attendance of adequate antenatal care visits, and intensified large-scale promotion of419 breastfeeding at the community level [42].

420 **4.3.** Characteristics associated with worsening of epidemiological

421

indicators of neonatal mortality over time

Ease of access to health centers, low and high birth weight, supervised delivery by skilled personnel, and child health check before discharge were associated with an increased risk of mortality during the neonatal period. Furthermore, medium and large household size were found to decrease the risk of neonatal death. For each of these factors, a deterioration in epidemiological (prevalence and adjusted odds ratio) indicators of neonatal mortality was observed over the years.

428 Among these factors, only the association of birth weight anomalies with neonatal death aligns 429 with existing evidence. Children with extreme birth weights are more likely to develop 430 respiratory, infectious, or metabolic complications due to their increased vulnerability [39,43]. 431 The absence of a child health check-up at discharge was not significantly associated with 432 neonatal mortality in this study, although it is a factor that can lead to delays in the diagnosis 433 and treatment of neonatal complications, such as infections, asphyxia or hypothermia [44]. 434 Existing evidence shows that small household size, easy access to health-care facilities and 435 supervised delivery by qualified personnel contribute to reducing neonatal mortality, which is 436 not in line with our result. The divergence of our results could be explained by several reasons. 437 According to some authors, a low probability of neonatal death in larger households could be 438 explained by the availability of an experienced person capable of taking care of the mother and 439 newborn [45]. Therefore, high mortality in smaller households could indicate parental 440 incompetence in adequately caring for sick or small newborns. Numerous studies have shown 441 that the presence of qualified personnel during childbirth is a protective factor against neonatal

442 mortality [46,47], although the results vary by region. In Asia, the presence of qualified 443 attendants during childbirth reduced neonatal mortality only during the first week of life, while 444 in Africa, it is associated with higher neonatal mortality due to the habit of the majority of the 445 population to seek qualified assistance only in case of complications, which could bias the effect 446 of these qualified personnel. This finding also highlights gaps in the training and equipment of 447 this personnel [48]. Our results are consistent with the findings of Singh and could be explained 448 by his hypotheses.

449 The deteriorations in neonatal mortality indicators observed over time could be explained by 450 deficiencies in both delivery and postnatal care, worsening over time. In other words, for birth 451 weight anomalies, it could reveal the inefficiency of interventions aimed at preventing and 452 managing preterm births, intrauterine growth retardation, and complications associated with 453 extreme birth weights. The absence of child health verification upon discharge could indicate 454 the persistence of poor delivery conditions, lack of medical follow-up, early discharge without 455 consent, or a shortage of healthcare personnel in maternal and child health [49]. Regarding 456 small household size, it could demonstrate the persistence of insufficient social and educational 457 support for parents unable to adequately care for their sick or weak newborns. Regarding ease of access to healthcare centers, particularly in urban areas, the deterioration could reflect 458 459 insufficient quality of care provided to the mother and child. The coronavirus pandemic would 460 also likely have influenced the study results in urban settings. Finally, regarding supervised 461 delivery by skilled personnel, the deterioration in neonatal mortality indicators over time would 462 indicate and underline the growing need to improve the quality of birth attendant training and 463 equipment, as well as access to emergency obstetric care.

464 **4.4. Limitations and validity of the study**

This study is representative of the national level and the level of the 22 regions of Madagascar. However, it is based on data from a cross-sectional survey that relies on retrospective maternal reports, which can potentially introduce recall, selection, and reporting biases. Measures have been taken to mitigate these potential biases by adjusting the data for potential confounding factors and context. Furthermore, the cross-sectional nature of the data does not allow for the study of the temporal sequence of causality.

It should also be noted that the data used do not allow for the evaluation of the effectiveness and efficiency of existing or potential interventions to reduce neonatal mortality, nor do they measure the impact of maternal and child health policies and programs. Evaluation studies based on randomized trials or cost-effectiveness analyses could be relevant to identify best practices and optimal strategies for reducing neonatal mortality.

Furthermore, the analyses could be biased by missing data. To manage the impact of these missing data, some were categorized as "unknown" or "not applicable" when part of the population was not concerned by the question asked in the variable, while the remaining missing data were treated by multiple imputation.

Another limitation is that the data used do not allow for the identification of the exact causes of these deaths, such as neonatal infections, asphyxia, prematurity, or congenital malformation. Additionally, political and environmental factors such as governance and the performance of the health system were not taken into account due to their unavailability in the EDS database. However, the results regarding factors related to difficulty accessing care and the quality of care in this study may reflect these unaccounted factors.

486 **5.** Conclusion

487 Overall, prenatal prevention and care have generally been associated with improved neonatal 488 mortality indicators, while care during delivery and the postnatal period has been associated 489 with a deterioration of these indicators. This study also found that the effect of the quality of 490 neonatal care in available health facilities on neonatal death is greater than that of geographical 491 accessibility.

492 Our recommendations for Madagascar underscore several critical priorities. It is imperative to 493 concentrate efforts on enhancing the quality of care provided during childbirth and the postnatal 494 period in easily accessible health centers, particularly within urban areas. This specifically 495 entails upgrading equipment and care protocols for both low- and high-birth-weight newborns, 496 re-evaluating and updating the training of skilled birth attendants, and improving the quality of 497 equipment utilized by these professionals. Furthermore, it is essential to strengthen protocols 498 for assessing the health of newborns before hospital discharge, develop targeted education and 499 support programs for parents in small households, and establish community support systems to 500 assist these families in caring for their newborns at home.

501 In the future, it would be important to evaluate, using rigorous methods, the impact of 502 community interventions on reducing neonatal mortality in Madagascar. In addition, it would 503 be pertinent to evaluate the effectiveness and impact of targeted interventions aimed at 504 improving the care of low-birth-weight newborns, as well as the competencies of parents from 505 small households in providing home-based care for their newborns. An in-depth study of the 506 quality of obstetric and neonatal care covering all regions and districts of the country would 507 also be of great importance, particularly during the postpartum period. Finally, an analysis of 508 parents' knowledge, attitudes and practices regarding home neonatal care is essential.

509 Acknowledgments

510 The research team would like to thank the DHS program for approving the use of the 511 Madagascar DHS database for this study.

512 Authors' contributions

- 513 Conceptualization: Sedera Radoniaina Rakotondrasoa, Seni Kouanda, Kadari Cissé
- 514 **Proposal validation:** Seni Kouanda and Julio Rakotonirina
- 515 Data curation and formal analysis: Sedera Radoniaina Rakotondrasoa
- 516 Interpretation of data: Sedera Radoniaina Rakotondrasoa, Kadari Cissé, Hajalalaina
- 517 Rabarisoa, Felix Alain
- 518 Methodology: Sedera Radoniaina Rakotondrasoa, Kadari Cissé, Hajalalaina Rabarisoa, Felix
 519 Alain
- 520 Supervision: Kadari Cissé, Seni Kouanda and Julio Rakotonirina
- 521 Writing and Original drafting: Sedera Radoniaina Rakotondrasoa, Hajalalaina Rabarisoa,
 522 Felix Alain
- 523 Review: Kadari Cissé, Tieba Millogo, Seni Kouanda, and Julio Rakotonirina
- 524 Editing: Sedera Radoniaina Rakotondrasoa, Hajalalaina Rabarisoa, Felix Alain, Kadari Cissé,

525 Seni Kouanda

- 526 Final validation: Kadari Cissé, Tieba Millogo, Seni Kouanda, Julio Rakotonirina
- 527 All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

528 **BIBLIOGRAPHICAL REFERENCE**

- Darmstadt GL, Bhutta ZA, Cousens S, Adam T, Walker N, de Bernis L, et al. Evidence based, cost-effective interventions: how many newborn babies can we save? Lancet Lond
 Engl. 2005 Mar 12;365(9463):977–88.
- Perin J, Mulick A, Yeung D, Villavicencio F, Lopez G, Strong KL, et al. Global, regional,
 and national causes of under-5 mortality in 2000–19: an updated systematic analysis with
 implications for the Sustainable Development Goals. Lancet Child Adolesc Health. 2022
 Feb 1;6(2):106–15.
- 3. WHO. Newborn Mortality [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2022 Sep 13]. Available from:
 https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/levels-and-trends-in-child-mortalityreport-2021
- WHO, UNICEF. Ending preventable newborn deaths and stillbirths by 2030: moving
 faster towards high-quality universal health coverage in 2020–2025 [Internet]. Geneva;
 2020 [cited 2023 Jul 1]. Available from: https://www.unicef.org/reports/endingpreventable-newborn-deaths-stillbirths-quality-health-coverage-2020-2025
- 543 5. UNICEF. Neonatal mortality [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2024 Jan 25]. Available from:
 544 https://data.unicef.org/topic/child-survival/neonatal-mortality/
- 545 6. Sharrow D, Hug L, You D, Alkema L, Black R, Cousens S, et al. Global, regional, and
 546 national trends in under-5 mortality between 1990 and 2019 with scenario-based
 547 projections until 2030: a systematic analysis by the UN Inter-agency Group for Child
 548 Mortality Estimation. Lancet Glob Health. 2022 Feb;10(2):e195–206.

- 549 7. Bhutta ZA, Black RE. Global Maternal, Newborn, and Child Health So Near and Yet
 550 So Far. N Engl J Med. 2013 Dec;369(23):2226–35.
- 8. Haileamlak A. Why is the Neonatal Mortality Remains Stagnant in Ethiopia? Ethiop J
 Health Sci. 2019 Nov;29(6):656.
- 553 9. Iii RB, Firth S, Hodge A, Jimenez-Soto E, Zeck W. Overcoming Stagnation in the Levels
 and Distribution of Child Mortality: The Case of the Philippines. PLOS ONE. 2015 Oct
 2;10(10):e0139458.
- 556 10. Pond B, Addai E, Kwashie ST. Stagnation of Ghana's under-5 mortality rate. The Lancet.
 557 2005 May 28;365(9474):1846.
- Asiimwe JB, Nyegenye W, Muyingo E. Trends and determinants of neonatal mortality in
 Uganda: further analysis of the demographic and health surveys [Internet]. Rockville,
 Maryland, USA: ICF; 2019. (DHS Working Paper No. 151). Available from:
 https://www.dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/WP151/WP151.pdf
- 562 12. Kraft AD, Nguyen KH, Jimenez-Soto E, Hodge A. Stagnant Neonatal Mortality and
 563 Persistent Health Inequality in Middle-Income Countries: A Case Study of the Philippines.
 564 PLoS ONE. 2013 Jan 7;8(1):e53696.
- ICF, INSTAT. Enquête Démographique et de Santé à Madagascar, 2021 : Indicateurs Clés
 [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2023 May 29]. Available from: https://www.unicef.org/madagascar/rapports/enqu%C3%AAte-d%C3%A9mographiqueet-de-sant%C3%A9-%C3%A0-madagascar-edsmd-v-2021

- Maniruzzaman M, Suri HS, Kumar N, Abedin MM, Rahman MJ, El-Baz A, et al. Risk
 factors of neonatal mortality and child mortality in Bangladesh. J Glob Health. 2018
 Jun;8(1):010417.
- 572 15. WHO. Rapport sur la performance des systèmes de santé dans la Région africaine de
 573 l'OMS. Genève: WHO; 2020.
- 574 16. Ministère de la Santé Publique de Madagascar. Plan national de devéloppement du secteur
 575 santé 2020-2024 (PDSS). 2019.
- 576 17. Mosley W, Chen L. An analytical framework for the study of child survival in developing
 577 countries. Popul Dev Rev. 1984;10 Suppl:25–45.
- 578 18. Upadhyay RP, Krishnan A, Rai SK, Chinnakali P, Odukoya O. Need to Focus Beyond the
 579 Medical Causes: a Systematic Review of the Social Factors Affecting Neonatal Deaths:
 580 Social factors influencing neonatal deaths. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol. 2014
 581 Mar;28(2):127–37.
- Lassi ZS, Middleton P, Bhutta ZA, Crowther C. Health care seeking for maternal and
 newborn illnesses in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review of
 observational and qualitative studies. F1000Research. 2019 Feb;8:200.
- 585 20. Målqvist M. Neonatal mortality: an invisible and marginalised trauma. Glob Health
 586 Action. 2011 Mar 16;4:10.3402/gha.v4i0.5724.
- 587 21. Victora CG, Huttly SR, Fuchs SC, Olinto MT. The role of conceptual frameworks in
 588 epidemiological analysis: a hierarchical approach. Int J Epidemiol. 1997 Feb;26(1):224–
 589 7.

- Akombi BJ, Ghimire PR, Renzaho AM. Factors associated with neonatal mortality in the
 African Great Lakes region: A pooled analysis of national surveys. J Glob Health Rep.
 2019 Aug 1;3:e2019043.
- 593 23. The DHS Program. Available Datasets [Internet]. [cited 2023 Jun 29]. Available from:
 594 https://dhsprogram.com/data/available-datasets.cfm
- 595 24. INSTAT. Annuaire des statistiques du secteur santé de Madagascar 2013 [Internet]. 2014
 596 [cited 2023 Sep 8]. Available from: https://www.instat.mg/p/min-san-annuaire-des597 statistiques-du-secteur-sante-de-madagascar-2013
- 598 25. INSTAT, ICF, Macro. Enquête Démographique et de Santé de Madagascar 2008-2009
 599 [Internet]. 2010 [cited 2023 Jul 3]. Available from:
 600 https://www.instat.mg/index.php/p/edsmd-iv-enquete-demographique-et-de-sante-2008601 2009
- 602 26. Fenta SM, Biresaw HB, Fentaw KD. Risk factor of neonatal mortality in Ethiopia:
 603 multilevel analysis of 2016 Demographic and Health Survey. Trop Med Health. 2021 Feb
 604 4;49(1):14.
- 605 27. Tesema GA, Worku MG. Individual-and community-level determinants of neonatal
 606 mortality in the emerging regions of Ethiopia: a multilevel mixed-effect analysis. BMC
 607 Pregnancy Childbirth. 2021 Jan 6;21(1):12.
- 608 28. USAID. Guide to DHS Statistics DHS-7 [Internet]. [cited 2022 Sep 17]. Available from:
 609 https://dhsprogram.com/data/Guide-to-DHS-
- 610 Statistics/index.htm#t=Early_Childhood_Mortality.htm%23Neonatal_Mortality_Ratebc-
- 611 2&rhtocid=_11_0_1

612	29.	Cottrell G, Cot M, Mary JY. L'imputation multiple des données manquantes
613		aléatoirement : concepts généraux et présentation d'une méthode Monte-Carlo. Rev
614		DÉpidémiologie Santé Publique. 2009 Oct;57(5):361-72.

30. Kuse KA, Chikako TU, Hagan JE, Seidu AA, Ahinkorah BO. Assessing Individual- and
Community-Level Variability in Predictors of Neonatal, Infant, and Under-Five Child
Mortality in Ethiopia Using a Multilevel Modeling Approach. Children. 2022 Jul
18;9(7):1071.

619 31. Tom A. B. Snijders. Multilevel Analysis. Int Encycl Stat Sci. 2011 Jan 1;879–82.

- Baraki AG, Akalu TY, Wolde HF, Lakew AM, Gonete KA. Factors affecting infant
 mortality in the general population: evidence from the 2016 Ethiopian demographic and
 health survey (EDHS); a multilevel analysis. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2020;20:1–8.
- 33. Islam MdA, Biswas B. Socio-economic factors associated with increased neonatal
 mortality: A mixed-method study of Bangladesh and 20 other developing countries based
 on demographic and health survey data. Clin Epidemiol Glob Health. 2021 Jul;11:100801.
- 34. Ogbo FA, Ezeh OK, Awosemo AO, Ifegwu IK, Tan L, Jessa E, et al. Determinants of
 trends in neonatal, post-neonatal, infant, child and under-five mortalities in Tanzania from
 2004 to 2016. BMC Public Health. 2019 Sep 9;19(1):1243.
- 35. Wu H, Zhao M, Liang Y, Liu F, Xi B. Maternal age at birth and neonatal mortality:
 Associations from 67 low-income and middle-income countries. Paediatr Perinat
 Epidemiol. 2021 May;35(3):318–27.

- 632 36. Sinaga RSH, Affandi B. Effectiveness of Insecticide Treated Nets (ITNs) and Intermittent
- 633 Preventive Treatment (ITP) in the prevention of malaria in pregnancy. Indones J Obstet
- 634 Gynecol. 2011 Sep 29;33(1):28–34.
- 635 37. Hartman TK, Rogerson SJ, Fischer PR, Fischer PR. The impact of maternal malaria on
 636 newborns. Ann Trop Paediatr. 2010 Jan 1;30(4):271–82.
- 637 38. Adeoye IA, Fagbamigbe AF. What is the Link between Malaria Prevention in Pregnancy
 638 and Neonatal Survival in Nigeria. Afr J Reprod Health. 2019 Apr 4;23(1):139–49.
- 639 39. Dheresa M, Daraje G. A 12 Years Neonatal Mortality Rate and Its Predictors in Eastern
 640 Ethiopia. Glob Pediatr Health. 2021 Jan;8:2333794X2110254.
- 40. Alebel A, Wagnew F, Petrucka P, Tesema C, Moges NA, Ketema DB, et al. Neonatal
 mortality in the neonatal intensive care unit of Debre Markos referral hospital, Northwest
 Ethiopia: a prospective cohort study. BMC Pediatr. 2020 Feb 15;20(1):72.
- 644 41. FP2030. Madagascar Aperçu des indicateurs de FP2030: Rapport de mesure 2022
 645 [Internet]. [cited 2023 Jul 1]. Available from:
 646 https://track20.org/pages/data analysis/core indicators/progress report.php
- 42. Victoria J. Quinn, Quinn VJ, A G, Guyon AB, Schubert JW, Stone-Jimenez M, et al.
 Improving breastfeeding practices on a broad scale at the community level: success stories
 from Africa and Latin America. J Hum Lact. 2005 Aug 1;21(3):345–54.
- 43. Rambliere L, de Lauzanne A, Nikhor Diouf JB, Zo AZ, Landau M, Herindrainy P, et al.
 Stillbirths and neonatal mortality in LMICs: A community-based mother-infant cohort
 study. J Glob Health. 2023;13:04031.

. 1..

.

653	44.	Kolola I, Ekubay M, Testa E, Morka W. Determinants of Neonatal Mortality in North
654		Shoa Zone, Amhara Regional State, Ethiopia. Simeoni U, editor. PLOS ONE. 2016 Oct
655		14;11(10):e0164472.

- Kamal A, Shakeel A. Differentials and determinants of neonatal mortality in Pakistan: A
 cross sectional analysis (PDHS 2017-18). J Pak Med Assoc. 2021 Jan 5;71(3):1–14.
- 658 46. Chaka EE, Mekurie M, Abdurahman AA, Parsaeian M, Majdzadeh R. Association
 659 between place of delivery for pregnant mothers and neonatal mortality: a systematic
 660 review and meta-analysis. Eur J Public Health. 2020 Aug 1;30(4):743–8.
- 47. Berhan Y, Berhan A. Skilled Health Personnel Attended Delivery as a Proxy Indicator for
 Maternal and Perinatal Mortality: A Systematic Review. Ethiop J Health Sci. 2014 Sep
 12;24(0):69.
- 48. Singh K, Brodish P, Suchindran C. A Regional Multilevel Analysis: Can Skilled Birth
 Attendants Uniformly Decrease Neonatal Mortality? Matern Child Health J. 2014
 Jan;18(1):242–9.
- 49. Andriantsimietry S, Rakotovao J, Ramiandrison ER, Andriamiharisoa H, Razakariasy
 EMR, Favero R, et al. Evaluation de la disponibilité des personnels qualifiés en santé
 maternelle et néonatale à Madagascar. Afr Eval J. 2015 Oct 12;3(2):8.

670




Fig1




Fig2