Generation of Risk Score for Serious Non-Steroidal Anti-1 Inflammatory Drug (NSAID) Induced Cardiovascular Events 2 (NAÏVE) among Active-Duty Service Members and Veterans 3

Authors: Timothy J Atkinson, PharmD¹; Justin Petway, PharmD², Whitney Forbes, MS, 5 PhD³; Hannah Thorfinnson, PharmD⁴; Major Ryan C Costantino, MS, PharmD^{3,5}; Laura 6 7 E Gressler, MS, PhD⁶

8 9 1. Pain Management, Opioid Safety, PDMP (PMOP), National Program Office, 10 Department of Veterans Affairs, Washington, DC. 2. VA Tennessee Valley Healthcare System, Nashville, TN 11

- 12 3. Enterprise Intelligence and Data Solutions Program Management Office, 13 Program Executive Office, Defense Healthcare Management Systems, Rosslyn, 14 VA, USA.
- 15 4. James A. Haley Veteran's Hospital, Tampa, FL
- 5. Department of Military and Emergency Medicine, Uniformed Services University 16 17 of the Health Sciences, Bethesda, Maryland.
- 18 6. Division of Pharmaceutical Evaluation and Policy, College of Pharmacy, 19 University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, AR
- 20
- 21

4

- 22 Word Count: 2884 (max 3000) 23
- 24 Corresponding Author: Timothy J Atkinson, PharmD; timothy.atkinson@va.gov
- 25
- 26

27 Abstract

- 28
- 29 Importance: Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is a major global health concern,
- 30 responsible for a significant proportion of deaths annually. Despite the widespread use
- of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) in managing various conditions, their
- 32 association with cardiovascular events poses a challenge, and existing guidelines lack a
- 33 reliable risk prediction tool. This study addresses the critical need for an evidence-
- 34 based instrument to assess the likelihood of NSAID-induced cardiovascular events, that
- 35 provides clinicians with valuable decision support to improve safety in their use for pain
- 36 management, especially in patients vulnerable to cardiovascular events.
- 37 **Objective:** To develop a practical risk scoring tool, NSAID Induced Cardiovascular
- 38 Events (NAÏVE), for estimating the risk of serious cardiovascular events associated with 39 NSAID use.
- 40 **Design:** Retrospective nested case-control study
- 41 **Setting:** The study leveraged data from the DAVINCI database, integrating electronic
- 42 health records, administrative data, and clinical data from both the Veterans Health
- 43 Administration (VHA) and the Department of Defense (DoD).
- 44 **Participants:** The study cohort consisted of individuals with at least one NSAID
- 45 pharmacy claim, with cases defined as those experiencing non-fatal myocardial
- 46 infarction, non-fatal stroke, or new heart failure.
- 47 **Interventions:** Development of the NAÏVE risk scoring tool involved a comprehensive
- 48 analysis of demographic, clinical, and prescription-related variables, including NSAID
 49 exposure, comorbidities, and medication history.
- 50 Main Outcomes/Measures: The primary outcome was the first occurrence of a
- 51 cardiovascular event.
- 52 **Results:** The study cohort comprised 231,967 cases and 2,319,670 controls, identified
- from individuals with at least one NSAID pharmacy claim between October 1, 2016, and
- 54 September 30, 2020. The risk index, NAÏVE, demonstrated strong discriminatory ability
- and calibration, with a C-statistic of 0.88. Variables such as age, NSAID exposure,
- 56 comorbidities, and medication history were associated with increased odds of NSAID-
- 57 induced cardiovascular events.
- 58 **Conclusions/Relevance:** NAÏVE is the first evidence-based risk scoring tool providing
- 59 clinicians with valuable decision support for assessing the potential risk of serious
- 60 cardiovascular events associated with NSAID use. It fills a crucial gap in clinical
- 61 practice, allowing for transparent discussions with patients and shared decision-making
- 62 regarding NSAID prescriptions. Further validation and prospective testing are warranted
- 63 for broader applicability.
- 64
- 65 <u>Word Count:</u> 337 (max 350)
- 66

67 Introduction

68

69 Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide,

accounting for 31% of all global deaths or roughly 17.9 million deaths annually. CVD

encompasses conditions such as myocardial infarction, stroke, and heart failure.¹

72 Numerous studies have highlighted the prevalence of CVD and its associated risk

factors which include hypertension, dyslipidemia, smoking, obesity, diabetes mellitus,

- real sedentary lifestyle, and family history of CVD.²
- 75

76 Nearly 20 years ago, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) emerged as a

threat to provoke cardiovascular events, and yet we still cannot accurately predict the

risk of cardiovascular events associated with initiation or continuation of NSAID

- 79 pharmacotherapy.³⁻⁹ NSAID-related cardiovascular risk has been evaluated in
- 80 numerous studies with conflicting results mostly focusing on NSAID-specific factors
- such as COX selectivity, dose-dependent effects, and duration of NSAID therapy.^{5,8,10-13}
- 82 Unlike other NSAID-related adverse effects such as renal function or GI
- 83 ulceration/bleeding, previous studies determined that the cardiovascular risks
- 84 associated with NSAID use do not appear related to dose or duration of treatment.^{13,14}
- 85

86 Current clinical guidance on NSAID utilization revolves around avoidance of NSAIDs

after a cardiovascular event and in certain disease states susceptible to NSAID-related

adverse effects.¹⁵⁻¹⁸ However, for more than a hundred years, NSAIDs have been first-

- 89 line medications for every arthritic and inflammatory condition making them one of the
- 90 most utilized classes of medications worldwide and a cornerstone of treatment.¹⁹ Today,
- 91 multiple evidence-based practice guidelines continue to recommend NSAIDs as first-
- 92 line treatment making avoidance of NSAIDs impractical for many patients.²⁰⁻²⁹ NSAIDs
- are also important non-opioid pharmacotherapy options and key alternatives to opioid
- 94 medications for many chronic pain conditions amid the opioid overdose crisis.^{30,31}
- 95

96 Predictive models and scoring systems (risk indices) that estimate level of risk of an
97 adverse outcome are commonly developed in medical research and clinical practice
98 with the goal of preventing or mitigating an outcome. Common examples include

- 99 cardiovascular disease² and opioid-induced respiratory depression or overdose.³² While
- 100 there are screening instruments to assess risk of cardiovascular disease in general, no
- 100 there are screening instruments to assess risk of cardiovascular disease in general, r
- 101 published instruments currently provide clinically useful, evidence-based risk
- 102 information about the likelihood of NSAID-induced cardiovascular events.
- 103

104 This study is a collaboration between clinicians from the Veterans Health Administration

- 105 (VHA) and Enterprise Intelligence and Data Solutions Program Office of the Defense
- 106 Healthcare Management Systems in the Department of Defense (DoD). We previously
- 107 examined the potential predictors of serious NSAID-induced cardiovascular events in a
- 108 case-control study of US active-duty military and Veterans. Factors with the strongest
- 109 positive associations included age, NSAID-exposure, previous cardiovascular events,
- aspirin or other anticoagulants, and specific comorbidities.³³ Based on the results from
- the previous study, a practical risk scoring tool was developed to estimate the likelihood
- of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug-induced cardiovascular events (NAÏVE).

113

114 Methods

115

116 Study Design and Data Source

117 118 A retrospective nested case-control of the DAVINCI (Data Analysis and Visualization 119 Initiative) data was leveraged to develop the risk scores for cardiovascular events. 120 DAVINCI is a collaborative effort between the Department of Defense (DoD) and the 121 Veterans Health Administration (VHA) within the Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) in 122 the US.³⁴ The DAVINCI database integrates and analyzes various types of health data, 123 including electronic health records (EHRs), administrative data, and clinical data from 124 both the DoD and the VA using Observational Medical Outcomes Partnership (OMOP) 125 common data models. The clinical records include information regarding healthcare 126 visits, conditions, dispensed drugs, and procedures in both the inpatient and outpatient 127 settings.

128 Study Cohort

129 The study utilized the same derived cohort from the DAVINCI database as a previous 130 study that identified factors associated with the cardiovascular events.³³ More 131 specifically, the study cohort consisted of individuals with at least one NSAID pharmacy 132 claim with a days' supply greater than 7 days between October 1, 2016 and September 133 30, 2020 and excluded individuals under the age of 18, with missing sex, or missing 134 race variables. The authors determined that a 7 days' supply requirement balances 135 competing priorities to remove short-term prescriptions while recognizing that previous 136 studies indicated that CV risk may be significant within the first 30 days. A total of 137 4.408.315 individuals were identified in the dataset with at least one NSAID pharmacy 138 claim. Of these, 231,967 individuals were identified as cases. Cases were defined as 139 individuals who experienced a cardiovascular event. A cardiovascular event included a 140 non-fatal myocardial infarction, non-fatal stroke, and new onset of heart failure and was defined using International Classification of Disease, 10th Revision, Clinical Modification 141 142 (ICD10-CM) codes. Ten control patients were randomly assigned to each case and assigned the index date of the assigned case.^{35,36} To ensure that included individuals 143 144 were regular users of TRICARE or VHA health services, individuals were required to 145 have a recorded encounter in the 180 to 365 days prior to their identified or assigned 146 index date. The study cohort consisted of 231,967 cases and 2,319,670 controls.

147 Covariates and Outcomes

148 The first occurrence of a cardiovascular event, as defined by ICD-10 codes was the

149 primary outcome of interest. Independent variables considered for the risk score were

150 demographic, clinical, and prescription-related variables. Demographic variables were

age, sex, and race. Comorbidity measures included diabetes², hypertension²,

dyslipidemia², history of myocardial infarctions¹, arthritis or spondylitis³⁷, peripheral

153 artery disease², chronic kidney disease¹, atherothrombotic disease¹, history of tobacco

154 use², cerebrovascular disease², coronary artery disease², cardiomyopathy¹, obstructive

- 155 sleep apnea (OSA)¹, liver dysfunction¹, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
- 156 (COPD).¹ Prescription use measures included the use of aspirin and other
- 157 anticoagulants. NSAID-specific drug information included NSAID selectivity (Cox 1, Cox
- 158 2, and non-selective),^{5,10,14,38} dosage (low/medium and high dose),^{10,13,14,39} and time
- 159 since initial exposure (\leq 30, 31-90, 91+ and no exposure).^{6,13,14,39} Independent variables
- 160 were collected in the 180 days prior to the index date.

161 Statistical Analyses

- 162 The sample was characterized using descriptive statistics. Continuous variables were
- 163 summarized using means and interquartile ranges and compared between cases and
- 164 controls using t-tests. Categorical variables were summarized using frequencies and
- 165 proportions. Categorical variables between cases and controls were compared using
- 166 Chi-square tests.
- 167 Similar to other risk development protocols, multivariable logistic regression was
- 168 performed to identify potential predictors of cardiovascular events.^{40,41} All independent
- 169 variables were included in the model. Variables with a p-value greater than 0.10 were
- 170 removed from the model unless they were statistically identified as confounders.
- 171 Confounders were determined as variables whose removal from the model led to a 20%
- 172 or greater change in parameter estimates for one or more of the other variables,
- 173 compared to the original model. The final model included confounders and all variables
- 174 with a p-value of 0.10 or less.

175 Risk Index Construction

- 176 The items to be included in the final index were chosen based on the statistical
- 177 significance of their association with cardiovascular events in the logistic regression
- 178 model, to strengthen the usability of the risk score and the practical need for a concise
- 179 instrument that can be easily administered by healthcare professionals. To balance the
- 180 scientific and statistical considerations of each variable, the following were considered
- 181 during the risk score item selection process including the strength of association,
- 182 confirmation of the variable as a risk factor in published literature, generalizability to the
- population, and the feasibility of obtaining valid and reliable information for each item in
- 184 the risk score.
- 185 Point values for each of the risk questionnaire items were calculated by multiplying the β
- 186 coefficients generated from regression analysis by 10 and rounding to the nearest
- 187 integer. The calculated risk index scores were used in a multiple logistic regression
- 188 model to predict probabilities of experiencing the outcome of a cardiovascular event.
- 189 Receiver operating curves (ROC) and corresponding C-statistics were utilized to
- 190 determine the model's discrimination ability between individuals with and without the
- 191 outcome of interest.⁴²
- 192 To validate the risk index, the generated predicted probabilities were separated into
- 193 deciles. Ten risk classes were created based on the observed occurrence of
- 194 cardiovascular events. The number of patients, the average predicted probability of the

- 195 outcome, and observed incidence of events were calculated for each risk class. All
- 196 statistical analyses were performed using R. This study followed the Strengthening the
- 197 Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) reporting guideline.⁴³
- 198 This study was determined to be exempt by the Defense Health Agency Institutional 199 Review Board.
- 199 Review Board
- 200 <Table 1>

201 **Results**

202

203 Descriptive Statistics

204

205 Baseline characteristics, including demographics (age, race, and sex), predefined risk 206 factors (comorbidities), prescription drug information (including NSAID-related factors), 207 and time since NSAID exposure are shown in Table 1. As described in Forbes et al. 208 unadjusted analyses showed that cases of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug-induced 209 cardiovascular events (NAÏVE) were more likely to be older, non-white or black, male sex, and have a greater burden of illness as indicated by the number of comorbidities.³³ 210 211 Additionally, cases had higher numbers of previous cardiovascular events (history of 212 myocardial infarction and cerebrovascular accidents). Cases were more frequently 213 prescribed other potentially relevant medications including aspirin and anticoagulants 214 than controls. Lastly, low/medium dose NSAIDs were prescribed more in both cases 215 and controls compared to high-dose NSAIDs. 216

217 Multivariable Modeling

218

The logistic regression model for the primary outcome of NSAID-related composite cardiovascular event of myocardial infarction, nonfatal cerebrovascular accident, and new heart failure resulted in multiple, independent, statistically significant associations.³³ Of note, "History of heart failure" was removed from the predefined risk factors as there were only 10 cases of prior heart failure despite the large sample size.

224 225 **<Table 2>**

226

227 High-dose NSAID use was the only independent variable excluded from multivariable 228 regression modeling due to P>0.10 on bivariate analysis. Demographic variables 229 associated with higher odds of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug-induced 230 cardiovascular events (NAÏVE) included age 45-54 (OR 5.69, 95% CI 5.49, 5.89), 55-64 231 (OR 9.72, 95% CI 9.39, 10.05), 65-74 (OR 12.35, 95% CI 11.94, 12.79), and 75 and 232 older (OR 20.04, 95% CI 19.35, 20.77). Multiple predefined risk factors were 233 associated with an event including: cerebrovascular disease (OR 5.04, CI 95% 4.95, 234 5.13), cardiomyopathy (OR 2.70, 95% CI 2.63, 2.78), history of myocardial infarction 235 (OR 2.07, 95% CI 2.01, 2.13), coronary artery disease (OR 1.77, 95% CI 1.75, 1.80), 236 COPD (OR 1.61, 95% CI 1.59, 1.63), and hypertension (OR 1.57, 95% CI 1.55, 1.59). 237 NSAID exposure was the NSAID-specific risk factor with the strongest association to 238 NAÏVE and the highest likelihood peaking after 31-90 days of exposure (OR 9.54, 95%) 239 CI 9.15, 9.96), followed by less than 30 days (OR 8.97, 95% CI 8.58, 9.37), and 91-180

days (OR 8.69, 95% CI 8.33, 9.07) with a continued trend of slowly declining risk with
longer term exposure. Medication-related risk factors associated with higher odds of the
outcome were aspirin (OR 1.71, 95% CI 1.69, 1.73) and other anticoagulants (OR 2.58,
95% CI 2.53, 2.62).³³ The odds ratios of secondary outcomes are summarized in
Appendix Table 1.

- 245 246 **<Table 3>**
- 246 **<Tal** 247
- 248 NAÏVE Risk Index Score
- 249

Table 3 shows the risk index items retained from the statistically significant predictors in the final model, and their corresponding assigned point values. Several statistically significant items in the final model were excluded in the final risk score due to lack of clinical significance, and overall impact on model simplicity and accuracy. Demographics excluded from the final risk score were sex and race. Predefined risk

factors (comorbidities) excluded were history of heart failure, obstructive sleep apnea
 (OSA), dyslipidemia, peripheral arterial disease, and arthritis/spondylosis. Excluded
 NSAID specific factors were COX selectivity and dose.

258

Table 4 presents risk classes by deciles of predicted probability of NAÏVE and the 259 260 corresponding observed incidence. Based on risk factors present/absent during the 261 past 12 months before the index date of the NAÏVE event, the predicted probability of a 262 cardiovascular event ranged from 3% in the lowest risk class to 93% in the highest, and 263 the observed incidence of NAIVE increased commensurately. The risk class model's C-264 statistic was 0.88 and Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit statistic 1723 (P<0.001), 265 indicating very good calibration and discrimination between patients with and without an 266 event (Table 4). 267

- 268 <Table 4>
- 269
- 270 Discussion
- 271

272 NSAIDs have few alternatives and will continue to be utilized due to the strong body of 273 evidence to support their use for a variety of inflammatory and arthritic conditions including osteoarthritis and low back pain which are among the most common chronic 274 pain conditions where they remain first-line treatment.²⁰⁻²⁶ Healthcare providers are 275 aware of the potentially significant adverse effects with NSAID medications and have 276 strategies around mitigating risks for GI bleeds and monitoring for NSAID-related renal 277 impairment, but not for cardiovascular risk due to an inability to characterize that risk 278 and predict the probability in their patients.⁹ Frontline healthcare providers should have 279 280 clarity around the level of risk for each of their patients given the widespread nature of 281 NSAID use and the high incidence of cardiovascular events. 282

A novel screening tool was developed to estimate the risk of nonsteroidal anti-

- inflammatory drug-induced cardiovascular events (myocardial infarction, non-fatal
- stroke, and new heart failure) for patients prescribed oral NSAIDs. The NAÏVE risk

286 scoring tool performed well in the VHA/DoD study sample in identifying patients at 287 increased risk of such events. Higher risk scores correlated closely with increased 288 observed occurrence of events. NAÏVE is the first instrument intended to provide 289 healthcare professionals with clinical decision support for assessing the potential for the 290 most serious of adverse effects that can occur in patients being treated for common 291 pain and inflammatory conditions using NSAIDs. It provides current, quantitative, 292 evidence-based information about a patient's level of risk of serious prescription NSAID-293 induced cardiovascular events. NAÏVE, which is based on a multivariable regression 294 model, integrates independent risk factors and adjusts for confounding influences. As a 295 result, NAÏVE can provide valuable decision support to health care professionals 296 seeking to improve the safe and effective use of NSAIDs for pain management, 297 particularly in complex patients who are biologically vulnerable to cardiovascular events.

298

299 Intended Use and Interpretation of Results

300 301 The NAÏVE risk scoring tool requires responding to 13 items divided into 4 sections that 302 include factors well documented in the literature as predictors of cardiovascular events. 303 They comprise the risk factors most strongly associated with NAÏVE including age, 304 NSAID exposure, predefined comorbidities, concomitant prescribed medications, and 305 previous cardiovascular events. The NAÏVE risk scoring tool supports but does not 306 replace the health care provider's judgement in clinical decision-making and provides 307 the basis for transparent conversations and shared decision-making regarding CV risk 308 associated with NSAID use.

309

The intent of developing the NAÏVE screening tool is to assist health care professionals 310 311 who are considering prescribing NSAIDs, to assess a patient's baseline risk or re-312 evaluate current risk of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug-induced cardiovascular 313 events (NAÏVE). Due to its reliance on readily available demographic, medical 314 diagnosis codes, and prescription information in the electronic health record, the NAÏVE 315 screening tool can be easily incorporated into automated clinical decision support tools. 316 dashboards, and artificial intelligence driven health care initiatives for easier adoption 317 and implementation to improve quality of care and efficiency for busy providers. It also 318 can be employed periodically during ongoing treatment to reevaluate risk based on 319 changes in a patient's clinical condition or medication regimen. Explaining a NAÏVE 320 score to a patient creates an opportunity to discuss the benefits and risks associated 321 with the use of NSAIDs. For example, the provider may begin a discussion with. 322 "Patients with risk scores similar to yours (e.g., 61 points) "were predicted to have X% 323 chance (e.g., 26%) "of experiencing a cardiovascular event such as heart attack, stroke, 324 or develop heart failure." "This can occur quickly with the highest risk within the first 90 325 days of treatment. While NSAIDs are first-line treatment and may be effective for your 326 condition, we should consider these potential risks and discuss alternatives."

327

329

- 328 Strengths and Limitations
- 330 NAÏVE was developed using extensive administrative health care data in the U.S.
- 331 VHA/DoD population. Limitations inherent to observational studies using administrative

data include: 1) medical coding errors/misclassification of comorbidities and previous
cardiovascular events; 2) lack of data on patient adherence to prescribed medications
or utilization of over-the-counter (OTC) medications including NSAIDs; 3) potentially
relevant family history or demographics. In addition, while our VHA/DoD cohort had a
significant number of younger patients, women were less represented than the general
population and the study sample might not accurately reflect the broader U.S.
population of users of prescription NSAIDs. As such, the predictive ability of NAÏVE, like

- 339 other tools derived from observational data, is subject to residual confounding by
- 340 currently unknown or excluded contributory factors.
- 341

The final risk index score does not include all known risk factors, such as family history of cardiovascular events. In addition, some variables associated with an event in the VHA/DoD sample were excluded because they were either not clinically significant or did not contribute in a meaningful way to the predicted probability of cardiovascular events and the priority to simplify the model.

- 347
- 348 Implications for Future Research
- 349

While NAÏVE performed well in the VHA and DoD patient populations, it should be assessed and further validated in a separate population that is more representative of U.S. users of prescription NSAIDs. NAÏVE will also benefit from prospective reliability and validity testing across a broad spectrum of patients. NAÏVE can be formatted for electronic administration via Web or mobile platform to improve its real-world deployment by enabling automated risk scoring, and calculation of risk class.

- 357 Conclusion
- 358

356

NAÏVE is the first-known published risk score to provide current, evidence-based
 information to health care providers regarding the risk of cardiovascular events with use
 of prescription NSAIDs. Its performance should be validated, and refined as necessary,
 in a more generalized patient population or prospectively. Once validated, this index will

- assist health care professionals in identifying patients who are at increased risk of
 serious NSAID-induced cardiovascular events and help with decision-making regarding
- 365 interventions or alternative treatment options to mitigate risk.
- 366
- 367

References

- Benjamin E, Muntner P, Alonso A et al. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics-2019 Update: A Report From the American Heart Association. *Circulation.* 2019; 139(10):e56-e528. doi: 10.1161/CIR.000000000000659
- D'Agostino R, Vasan R, Pencina M et al. General Cardiovascular Risk Profile for Use in Primary Care: The Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation*. 2008; 117(6):743-753. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.699579
- 3. Bombardier C, Laine L, Reicin A et al. Comparison of Upper Gastrointestinal Toxicity of Rofecoxib and Naproxen in Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis. *N Eng J Med.* 2000; 343:1520-1528. doi: 10.1056/NEJM200011233432103
- Nussmeier N, Whelton A, Brown M et al. Complications of the Cox-2 inhibitors parecoxib and valdecoxib after cardiac surgery. *N Engl J Med.* 2005; **352**:1081-91. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa050330
- Kearney P, Baigent C, Godwin J et al. Do selective cyclo-oxygenase-2 inhibitors and traditional non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs increase the risk of atherothrombosis? Meta-analysis of randomized trials. *BMJ.* 2006; 332:1302-1305. doi: 10.1136/bmj.332.7553.1302
- Ray W, Varas-Lorenzo C, Chung C et al. Cardiovascular Risks of Nonsteroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs in Patients After Hospitalization for Serious Coronary Heart Disease. *Cir Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2009; 2:155-163. doi: 10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.108.805689
- Conaghan P. A turbulent decade for NSAIDs: update on current concepts of classification, epidemiology, comparative efficacy, and toxicity. *Rheumatol Int.* 2012; 32:1491-1502. doi: 10.1007/s00296-011-2263-6
- Trelle S, Reichenbach S, Wandel S et al. Cardiovascular safety of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs: network meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2011; 342:c7086. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c7086
- 9. Coxib and traditional NSAID Trialists' (CNT) Collaboration; Bhala N, Emberson J, Merhi A et al. Vascular and upper gastrointestinal effects of non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs: meta-analyses of individual participant data from randomized trials.
- Gunter B, Butler K, Wallace R et al. Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug-induced cardiovascular adverse events: a meta-analysis. *J Clin Pharm Ther*. 2017; 42:27-38. doi: 10.1111/jcpt.12484
- 11. Bally M, Dendukuri N, Rich B et al. Risk of acute myocardial infarction with NSAIDs in real world use: Bayesian meta-analysis of individual patient data. *BMJ.* 2017; 357:j1909. doi: 10.1136/bmj.j1909
- Martin Arias L, Gonazalez A, Fadrique R et al. Cardiovascular Risk of Nonsteroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs and Classical and Selective Cyclooyxgenase-2 Inhibitors: A Meta-analysis of Observational Studies. *J Clin Pharmacol.* 2019; 59(1):55-73. doi: 10.1002/jcph.1302
- Garcia Rodgriguez L, Tacconelli S, Patrignani P. Role of dose potency in the prediction of risk of myocardial infarction associated with nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs in the general population. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2008; 52(20):1628-1636. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2008.08.041

- 14. Helin-Salmivaara A, Virtanen A, Vesalainen R et al. NSAID use and the risk of hospitalization for first myocardial infarction in the general population: a nationwide case-control study from Finland. *Eur Heart J*. 2006; 27(14):1657-1663. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehl053
- 15. Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013; 62(16):e147-239. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2013.05.019.
- 16. Page RL, O'Bryant CL, Cheng D et al. Drugs That May Cause or Exacerbate Heart Failure: A Scientific Statement From the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2016; 134(6):e32-69. doi: 10.1161/CIR.00000000000426.
- Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS et al. 2017 Guideline for the Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Management of High Blood Pressure in Adults: Executive Summary: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Hypertension*. 2018; 71(6):1269-1324. doi: 10.1161/HYP.000000000000066.
- Stevens PÉ, Levin A. Evaluation and management of chronic kidney disease: synopsis of the kidney disease: improving global outcomes 2012 clinical practice guideline. *Ann Intern Med.* 2013;158(11):825-30. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-158-11-201306040-00007.
- 19. Ugurlucan M, M Caglar I, N Turnham Caglar F et al. Aspirin: from a Historical Perspective. *Recent Patents on Cardiovascular Drug Discovery.* 2012; 7:71-76. doi: 10.2174/157489012799362377
- 20. V.A./DoD Clinical Practice Guideline for the Non-Surgical Management of Hip & Knee Osteoarthritis. Published 2021 [Online]. Available at: <u>https://www.healthquality.va.gov/guidelines/CD/OA/VADoDOACPG.pdf</u>. Accessed November 5, 2023.
- 21. Bannuru RR, Osani MC, Vaysbrot EE et al. OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee, hip, and polyarticular osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis & Cartilage. 2019; 27:1578-1589. doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2019.06.011.
- 22. Kolasinski SL, Neogi T, Hochberg M et al. 2019 American College of Rheumatology/Arthritis Foundation Guideline for the Management of Osteoarthritis of the Hand, Hip, and Knee. Arthritis Care & Research. 2020; 72(2):149-162. doi: 10.1002/acr.24131.
- 23. VA/DoD Clinical Practice Guideline for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Low Back Pain. Published 2022 [Online]. Available at: <u>https://www.healthquality.va.gov/guidelines/pain/lbp/</u>. Accessed November 5, 2023.
- 24. Qaseem A, Wilt TJ, McLean RM et al. Noninvasive treatments for acute, subacute, and chronic low back pain: a clinical practice guideline from the American College of Physicians. *Ann Intern Med.* 2017; 166(7):514–30. doi: 10.7326/M16-2367
- 25. Stochkendahl MJ, Kjaer P, Hartvigsen Jet al. National clinical guidelines for nonsurgical treatment of patients with recent onset low back pain or lumbar radiculopathy. *Eur Spine J*. 2018; 27(1):60–75. doi: 10.1007/s00586-017-5099-2

- 26. Traeger AC, Buchbinder R, Elshaug A et al. Care for low back pain: can health systems deliver? *Bull World Health Organ*. 2019; 97:423-433. doi: 10.2471/BLT.18.226050
- 27. FitzGerald JD, Dalbeth N, Mikuls T et al. 2020 American College of Rheumatology Guideline for the Management of Gout. *Arthritis Care Res.* 2020; 72(6):744-760. doi: 10.1002/acr.24180
- 28. Becker CM, Bokor A, Hekinheimo O et al. ESHRE guideline: endometriosis. *Human Reproduction Open*. 2022; 2022(2):1-26. doi: 10.1093/hropen/hoac009
- 29. Singh JA, Guyatt G, Ogdie A et al. 2018 American College of Rheumatology/National Psoriasis Foundation Guideline for the Treatment of Psoriatic Arthritis. *Arthritis & Rheumatology*. 2019; 71(1):5-32. doi: 10.1002/art.40726
- 30. VA/DoD Clinical Practice Guideline Use of Opioids in the Management of Chronic Pain. Published 2022 [Online]. Available at: <u>https://www.healthquality.va.gov/guidelines/pain/cot/</u>. Accessed November 5, 2023.
- Dowell D, Ragan KR, Jones CM et al. CDC Clinical Practice Guideline for Prescribing Opioids for Pain – United States, 2022. MMWR Recomm Repl. 2022; 71(No. RR-3):1-95. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.rr7103a1
- 32. Zedler B, Xie L, Wang L et al. Development of a Risk Index for Serious Prescription Opioid-Induced Respiratory Depression or Overdose in Veteran's Health Administration Patients. *Pain Med.* 2015;16(8):1566-1579. doi: 10.1111/pme.12777
- 33. Forbes W, Petway J, Gressler L et al. Identifying Risk Factors for Cardiovascular Events among Active-Duty Service Members and Veterans Prescribed Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs (NSAIDs). *J Pain Res.* 2024; 17:1133-1144. doi: 10.2147/JPR.S440802.
- 34. DuVall SL, Matheny ME, Ibragimov IR, et al. A Tale of Two Databases: The DoD and VA Infrastructure for Clinical Intelligence (DaVINCI). *Stud Health Technol Inform*. 2019;264:1660-1661. doi:10.3233/SHTI190584
- 35. Wacholder S, McLaughlin JK, Silverman DT, Mandel JS. Selection of controls in case-control studies. I. Principles. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1992;135(9):1019-1028. doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a116396
- 36. Wacholder S, Silverman DT, McLaughlin JK, Mandel JS. Selection of controls in case-control studies. II. Types of controls. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1992;135(9):1029-1041. doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a116397
- 37. Wang H, Bail J, He B et al. Osteoarthritis and the risk of cardiovascular disease: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *Sci Rep*. 2016; 22(6):39672. doi: 10.1038/srep39672
- 38. Ungprasert P, Srivali N, Thongprayoon C. Nonsteroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs and Risk of Incident Heart Failure: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Observational Studies. *Clin Cardiol*. 2016; 39(2):111-118. doi: 10.1002/clc.22502
- Varas-Lorenzo C, Riera-Guardia N, Calingaert B, et al. Stroke risk and NSAIDs: a systematic review of observational studies. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf.* 2011;20:1225–1236. Doi: 10.1002/pds.2227

- 40. Zedler B, Xie L, Wang L, et al. Risk factors for serious prescription opioid-related toxicity or overdose among Veterans Health Administration patients. *Pain Med*. 2014;15(11):1911-1929. doi:10.1111/pme.12480
- 41. Zedler B, Xie L, Wang L, et al. Development of a Risk Index for Serious Prescription Opioid-Induced Respiratory Depression or Overdose in Veterans' Health Administration Patients. *Pain Med*. 2015;16(8):1566-1579. doi:10.1111/pme.12777
- 42. Cook NR. Use and misuse of the receiver operating characteristic curve in risk prediction. *Circulation*. 2007;115(7):928-935. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.106.672402
- 43. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE) statement: Guidelines for reporting observational studies. *International Journal of Surgery*. 2014;12(12):1495-1499. doi:10.1016/j.ijsu.2014.07.013

Table 1 Baseline Demographics & Predefined Risk Factors

Characteristics	Cas (n = 23 n (9	1,967)	Cont (n = 2,3 n (°	19,670)	P Value
DEMOGRAPHICS		- /			
Age (years), median (IQR)	69	14	49	32	<0.001
Age Group (years)					<0.001
18-34	3971	1.71	673864	29	
35-44	6444	2.78	346182	14.9	
45-54	18485	7.97	334967	14.4	
55-64	54305	23.4	404898	17.5	
65-74	89380	38.5	414475	17.9	
75+	59382	25.6	145284	6.26	
Male	216417	93.3	1916362	82.6	<0.001
Race					<0.001
Black or African American	47039	20.3	5000047	21.6	
White	145523	62.7	1553051	67	
Other	39405	17	266572	11.5	
PREDEFINED RISK FACTORS					
Diabetes	96042	41.4	359266	15.5	<0.001
Hypertension	181387	78.2	829599	35.8	<0.001
Dyslipidemia	148788	64.1	773176	33.3	<0.001
History of Myocardial Infarction	13314	5.74	14497	0.625	<0.001
Arthritis or Spondylitis	6887	2.96	31240	1.35	<0.001
Peripheral Arterial Disease	21595	9.31	38968	1.68	<0.001
Chronic Kidney Disease	29643	12.8	64783	2.79	<0.001
Atherothrombotic Disease	10885	4.69	20250	0.873	<0.001
History of Tobacco Use (Dx Only)	34515	14.9	190430	8.21	<0.001
Cerebrovascular Disease	41791	18	38342	1.65	<0.001
Coronary Artery Disease	69186	29.8	126074	5.43	<0.001
Cardiomyopathy	16127	6.95	17439	0.752	<0.001
Aspirin Use	69054	29.8	170292	7.34	<0.001
Other Anticoagulant Use	38386	16.5	52462	2.26	<0.001
Obstructive Sleep Apnea	56751	24.5	357819	15.4	<0.001
Liver Dysfunction	7497	3.23	26553	1.14	<0.001
COPD	50860	21.9	119186	5.14	<0.001
PRESCRIPTION DRUG INFORMATION					
BY ACTIVE INGREDIENT					
Celecoxib	17587	7.58	106505	4.59	<0.001

Diclofenac	19681	8.48	124792	5.38	<0.001
Diflunisal	101	0.0435	369	0.0159	<0.001
Etodolac	6841	2.95	39324	1.7	<0.001
Fenoprofen	80	0.0345	349	0.015	<0.001
Flurbiprofen	60	0.0259	230	0.00992	<0.001
Ibuprofen	69068	29.8	815442	35.2	<0.001
Indomethacin	10302	4.44	49567	2.14	<0.001
Ketoprofen	37	0.016	181	0.0078	<0.001
Ketorolac	198	0.0854	1181	0.0509	<0.001
Mefenamic Acid	3	0.0013	88	0.00379	0.08179
Meloxicam	62405	26.9	392807	16.9	<0.001
Nabumetone	1543	0.665	7784	0.336	<0.001
Naproxen	53190	22.9	496578	21.4	<0.001
Piroxicam	526	0.227	4170	0.18	<0.001
Sulindac	2451	1.06	11862	0.511	<0.001
Other (Summation of Highlighted)	2540	1.09	14312	0.617	<0.001
BY SELECTIVITY					
COX 1	82151	35.4	878473	37.9	<0.001
COX 2	86072	37.1	532371	23	<0.001
Non-Selective	71888	31	614930	26.5	<0.001
BY DOSE CATEGORY					
Low/Medium Dose	189875	81.9	1656424	71.4	<0.001
High Dose	44190	19.1	300509	13	<0.001
TIME SINCE INITIAL EXPOSURE (DAYS)					<0.001
LEQ 30	15630	6.74	136896	5.9	
31-90	33182	14.3	260316	11.2	
91-180	38287	16.5	319594	13.8	
181-365	52383	22.6	466322	20.1	
366-730	54133	23.3	459522	19.8	
731+	32563	14	257109	11.1	
No Exposure	5789	2.5	419911	18.1	

Table 2 Primary Outcome: Composite Cardiovascular Events

Covariates	All Patients			
	(Cases, n = 231,967;			
	Controls, $n = 2,319,670$)			
	Odds	95% CI P V		P Value
	Ratio			r value
DEMOGRAPHICS				
Age Group (years)				

18-34 (reference)				
35-44	2.59	2.49	2.70	<0.001
45-54	5.69	5.49	5.89	<0.001
55-64	9.72	9.39	10.05	<0.001
65-74	12.35	11.94	12.79	<0.001
75+	20.04	19.35	20.77	<0.001
Male	1.18	1.16	1.20	<0.001
Race				
Black or African American	1.08	1.07	1.10	<0.001
Other	1.13	1.12	1.15	<0.001
White (reference)				
PREDEFINED RISK FACTORS				
Diabetes	1.33	1.32	1.35	<0.001
Hypertension	1.57	1.55	1.59	<0.001
Dyslipidemia	0.91	0.90	0.92	<0.001
History of Myocardial Infarction	2.07	2.01	2.13	<0.001
Arthritis or Spondylitis	1.22	1.19	1.26	<0.001
Peripheral Arterial Disease	1.23	1.21	1.26	<0.001
Chronic Kidney Disease	1.42	1.39	1.44	<0.001
Atherothrombotic Disease	1.55	1.51	1.60	<0.001
History of Tobacco Use (Dx Only)	1.37	1.35	1.39	<0.001
Cerebrovascular Disease	5.04	4.95	5.13	<0.001
Coronary Artery Disease	1.77	1.75	1.80	<0.001
Cardiomyopathy	2.70	2.63	2.78	<0.001
Aspirin Use	1.71	1.69	1.73	<0.001
Other Anticoagulant Use	2.58	2.53	2.62	<0.001
Obstructive Sleep Apnea	1.06	1.05	1.07	<0.001
Liver Dysfunction	1.40	1.36	1.44	<0.001
COPD	1.61	1.59	1.63	<0.001
PRESCRIPTION DRUG INFORMATION				
BY SELECTIVITY				
COX 1	1.03	1.00	1.05	0.0291
COX 2	1.09	1.06	1.11	<0.001
Non-Selective	1.08	1.05	1.10	<0.001
BY DOSE CATEGORY				
Low/Medium Dose	1.06	1.02	1.10	0.0014
High Dose	1.02	0.98	1.05	0.3619
TIME SINCE INITIAL EXPOSURE (DAYS)				
No Exposure (reference)				
LEQ 30	8.97	8.58	9.37	<0.001

31-90	9.54	9.15	9.96	<0.001
91-180	8.69	8.33	9.07	<0.001
181-365	8.02	7.69	8.37	<0.001
366-730	7.14	6.85	7.45	<0.001
731+	5.80	5.55	6.06	<0.001

Table 3. Risk Score Calculation

Question	Points for Yes
	Response
Patient's age group	
35 – 44	9
45 – 54	17
55 – 64	23
65 – 74	25
75+	30

In the past year, has the patient had a healthcare visit (outpatient, inpatient, or ED) involving any of the following health conditions?	
Diabetes	3
Hypertension	5
Myocardial infarction	7
Chronic kidney disease	3
Atherothrombotic disease	4
History of tobacco use	3
Cerebrovascular disease	16
Coronary artery disease	6
Cardiomyopathy	10
Liver dysfunction	3
COPD	5
Other medications	
Aspirin	5
Other anticoagulant	9
Time since initial NSAID exposure (Prescription \geq 7 days and no	
gaps >30 days)	
30 days or fewer	22
31 – 90 days	23
91 days or more	21

Table 4. Risk Classes and Predicted Probabilities of NSAID-InducedCardiovascular Events

Risk Class	Risk Index Score (Points)	All Patients (n=2551637), n(%)*	Average Predicted Probability (95%CI)	Observed Incidence
1	0-50	1,811,997 (71.0)	0.03 (0.03, 0.03)	0.02
2	51-58	409,312 (16.0)	0.14 (0.14, 0.14)	0.14
3	59-63	137,973 (5.4)	0.24 (0.24, 0.24)	0.26
4	64-68	77,499 (3.0)	0.34 (0.34, 0.34)	0.39
5	69-72	37,932 (1.5)	0.46 (0.46, 0.46)	0.49
6	73-76	27,188 (1.1)	0.55 (0.55, 0.55)	0.55

7	77-80	18,131 (0.7)	0.65 (0.65, 0.65)	0.60
8	81-85	15,088 (0.6)	0.74 (0.74, 0.74)	0.64
9	86-93	11,443 (0.4)	0.84 (0.83, 0.84)	0.69
10	>=94	5,074 (0.2)	0.93 (0.93, 0.93)	0.75

*Percentage total not equal to 100 due to rounding

Model Performance

C-Statistic=0.88