1	The public health co-benefits of strategies consistent
2	with net-zero emissions: a systematic review of
3	quantitative studies
4	
5 6	Léo Moutet, ^{1,} *, Paquito Bernard, ² Rosemary Green, ³ James Milner, ^{3,4} Andy Haines, ^{3,4,} Rémy Slama ^{5,6} Laura Temime, ¹ and Kévin Jean ^{1,6,7}
7	
8	¹ MESuRS Laboratory, Conservatoire national des Arts et Métiers (Cnam), Paris, France
9 10	² Univ Rennes, Inserm, EHESP, Irset (Institut de Recherche en Santé, Environnement et Travail) - UMR_S, 1085, Rennes, France
11 12	³ Centre on Climate Change and Planetary Health, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London WC1E 7HT, UK
13 14	⁴ Department of Public Health, Environments and Society, London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London WC1H 9SH, UK
15	⁵ Smile Team, IBENS, Inserm, École Normale Supérieure (ENS-PSL), CNRS, INSERM, Paris, France
16 17	⁶ PARSEC (Paris Recherche Santé Environnement Climat), Ecole Normale Supérieure, Inserm, Paris, France
18 19	⁷ Eco-Evolutionary Mathematics team, IBENS, École Normale Supérieure, CNRS, INSERM, Université Paris Science & Lettres, Paris, France
20	

21 * Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed. Email: leo.moutet@gmail.com

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

22 ABSTRACT

- 23 Moving toward net-zero emission societies is projected to provide health co-benefits, yet their
- 24 magnitude is not well documented and may be context-specific. Synthesizing the evidence on these
- 25 co-benefits could enhance the engagement of decision-makers and populations in climate mitigation
- actions. We performed a systematic review including 58 quantitative studies exploring 125 scenarios.
- 27 Across air guality, physical activity and dietary changes pathways, substantial health co-benefits were
- found, with half of scenarios showing a mortality reduction by more than 1.5%, in addition to
- 29 benefits directly related to climate stabilization. However, these co-benefits varied with explored
- 30 emission sectors, decarbonization levers, modelling approaches and locations. Among studies
- 31 including a cost-benefit analysis, 11 of 13 estimated that monetized benefits outweighed the costs of
- 32 implementing climate policies. This review highlights the need for a standardised framework to
- assess and compare health impacts of climate mitigation actions across sectors, and confirms that
- 34 achieving net-zero goals supports far-reaching public health policies.
- 35 Keywords: Health impact assessment, Net-zero emission pathways, Systematic review, health co-
- 36 benefits of climate policies, climate change mitigation

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

37 INTRODUCTION

38 In 2016, 196 governments signed the Paris agreement that aims to reduce anthropogenic

39 greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions to net-zero by mid-century to limit global warming well below 2°C

40 above preindustrial levels.¹ Resulting nationwide commitments, identified as Nationally Determined

41 Contributions (NDCs), fall short of addressing these objectives and a majority of currently

- 42 implemented policies do not achieve pledged contributions.^{2,3} Beyond NDCs, various governmental
- 43 or non-governmental organizations have been developing roadmaps that outline technical and
- 44 political solutions for society to attain net-zero emissions (i.e. GHG emissions reduced to the lowest
- 45 possible level with remaining emissions being offset by natural or artificial carbon sinks). These
- 46 strategies activate different levers, such as technological innovation improving energy efficiency and

47 allowing decarbonized energy production; or political, fiscal and behavioural instruments, reducing

48 the use of energy and materials, often referred to as demand-side policies.

- 49 Many climate mitigation policies are likely to also benefit human health by directly and indirectly
- 50 targeting modifiable environmental and behavioural risks, such as air pollution or diet.^{2,4} Several
- 51 studies have assessed the health co-benefits arising from either single climate mitigation actions or
- regional or national multi-sectoral climate policies.^{5,6} Recently, the Lancet Pathfinder initiative
- 53 produced an umbrella review exploring the health co-benefits of a wide range of specific GHG
- 54 mitigation actions.⁴ As yet, no systematic review has explored the health impact of combinations of
- 55 actions aimed at achieving net-zero emissions.
- 56 Such an appraisal could provide valuable insights for identifying specific health pathways, sectors of
- activity or levers of decarbonization that are likely to optimize the co-benefits of climate mitigation

actions. Summarizing the existing evidence regarding the health co-benefits of pathways to net-zero

- 59 GHG emissions is also key to increasing the commitment of people and their governments to climate
- actions in a context where implemented or pledged policies fall short of the goals of the Paris
- 61 Agreement.^{7,8}
- 62 Here, we systematically reviewed the current evidence regarding the health co-benefits of
- 63 prospective net-zero GHG emission scenarios (thereafter "net-zero scenarios"). We compare the

64 predicted health co-benefits across published health impact assessment (HIA) studies, accounting for

various sectors of activity and co-benefit pathways. We also identify the main gaps in knowledge,

66 needs for future research, and provide some recommendations for health impact assessments of

67 prospective net-zero emission scenarios.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

68 METHODS

69 We conducted a systematic review, following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review

and Meta-analysis (PRISMA) 2020 guidelines⁹. The PRISMA checklist is available as Table S1. The

study protocol was registered in PROSPERO (ID: CRD42023429759).

72 Search strategy

- 73 We searched three literature databases for studies published prior to January 2024: PubMed, Web of
- 74 Science and Scopus. The search query included two mandatory terms, referring to health or mortality

on the one side; and to net-zero emissions targets or limited climate change on the other. The

76 detailed strategy is available in Table S2.

77 Selection criteria and screening

Studies were screened by two independent reviewers (LM and KJ) using the Covidence management
 tool.¹⁰ A third researcher (LT) resolved any conflicts.

80 Screening was first carried out based on titles and abstracts (step 1), from which only original

research pieces were included. At this stage, we only included studies explicitly referring to a GHG

82 emission objective and assessing quantitative health outcomes or an economic valuation of health

83 impacts. Qualitative studies, reviews, meta-analyses or opinion pieces were excluded although we

84 screened meta-analyses and reviews for potential studies to include.

- In the full-text assessment (step 2), we included studies which: 1) relied on a prospective scenario that included socio-economic and/or technical choices sufficient to attain net-zero GHG emissions or meet Paris agreement objectives (a climate warming limited to 1.5 °C or failing that to well under 2 °C); 2) provided quantitative estimates of health impacts or economic assessments of such benefits; and 3) explored at least one health co-benefit pathway of mitigation actions. The studies were not required to assess all health pathways that would be affected by the emission sectors considered in the overall prospective scenario.
- 92 Co-benefits pathways were defined here as climate mitigation actions that improve human health by
- pathways, unmediated by climate. They included, but were not *a priori* limited to, air quality
- 94 improvement, enhanced active transport and healthy dietary patterns. We considered the mitigation
- 95 of extreme heat or extreme climatic events as a direct benefit of climate mitigation policies; and
- 96 therefore excluded them from quantitative analyses.

97 Data extraction

- 98 For all included articles, two authors (LM and PB) independently extracted information on the
- 99 following characteristics: time and geographical scale, emission sector(s) considered (power
- 100 generation, transportation, agriculture), explored co-benefits pathways (e.g. diet, physical activity, air
- 101 pollution...) and assessed health outcome metrics (number of deaths prevented, life-years gained...).
- 102 When available, the disaggregated impacts estimated across different sectors or pathways were
- 103 extracted. We also retrieved characteristics regarding the modelling methods: demographic
- 104 hypothesis, models of exposure, health impact assessment approach, and exposure-response
- 105 function applied.
- 106 For each study (and each scenario assessed when the study assessed several), we categorized net-
- 207 zero scenarios based on the major lever of mitigation assumed, using the following in-house

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

- 108 categorisation: energy decarbonization, demand reduction, health in climate policies, financial
- 109 instrument. Baseline scenarios were also categorized based on their assumptions regarding evolution
- 110 of GHG emissions or utilization of a reference year (Figure S1).

111 Confidence assessment

- 112 Since there is no validated tool to assess methodological bias in health impact assessment studies,
- 113 we referred to guidelines reported by Hess et al for modelling and reporting health effects of climate
- 114 change mitigation actions.¹¹ Among 36 modelling and/or reporting criteria suggested by Hess et al,
- 115 we retrieved those relevant to our study context and merged them into major topics, ending up with
- 116 13 final criteria (see table S3 for details).

117 Health impacts scaling

- 118 In order to compare health impacts across studies, we retrieved and scaled estimates of the number
- of deaths prevented and/or life-years gained. When only life-years gained were estimated and if the
- region of investigation was available in the Global Burden of Disease 2021, they were converted into
- 121 premature deaths prevented.¹² The scaled outcome analysed was the preventable mortality fraction,
- estimated based on the ratio between the number of deaths prevented by a scenario relative to a
- baseline and the number of deaths projected for the associated location, time and age range. More
- details on the scaling calculations are provided in supplementary text 1. Analyses were conducted
- 125 using R and are available at: <u>https://github.com/LeoMoutet/revue_syst</u>.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

126 **RESULTS**

- 127 Descriptive findings
- 128 Figure 1. Flow-chart of study selection



129

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

- 130 We identified 3,976 records from the three databases, of which 1,433 duplicates were removed
- 131 (Figure 1). Of the 2,582 abstracts screened (step 1), 92 qualified for full-text screening. In the full-text
- assessment (step 2), 34 studies were excluded, mainly because they did not estimate quantitative
- health metrics (n=10) or because they were not explicitly based on net-zero scenarios (n=14). All
- 134 corresponding authors from included studies were contacted in December 2023 to request potential
- relevant unidentified peer-reviewed studies, resulting in the inclusion of two additional studies.
- 136 Eventually, 58 studies met our inclusion criteria.
- 137 In addition to 12 worldwide studies,^{13–24} eight were conducted on a multinational scale (Figure 2)
- 138 involving from two to 139 countries^{5,6,25–30}, and 25 on single countries. These national assessments
- 139 focused on north-east Asia,³¹⁻⁴⁸ Europe,⁴⁹⁻⁵² India^{53,54} or the USA⁵⁵ and 13 sub-national studies
- 140 conducted in east-China, ^{56–61} Europe, ^{62,63} California (USA), ^{64–66} Virginia (USA), ⁶⁷ and Santiago de
- 141 Chile.⁶⁸
- 142

143 **Figure 2. Geographical distribution of studies included.** *Worldwide studies (n=12) are not*

144 represented on the map.



It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

- 146 The main characteristics of included studies are described in Figure 3. The majority (91%) of the
- 147 included papers were published since 2018 (Figure 3A).
- 148
- 149 Figure 3. Descriptive analysis of included studies, by publication year (A), type of scenario (B),
- 150 emission sector (C) and co-benefit pathway studied (D).



151

152 Net-zero emission scenarios

153 14 studies assessed comprehensive scenarios from external prospective net-zero emission plans, i.e.

developed by a governmental or non-governmental institution. Ten studies based their scenarios on

official NDCs and 20 studies relied on the temperature target from the Paris agreement to estimate

- subsequent GHG emissions and air pollution projections. For 14 studies, the authors developed an in-
- 157 house scenario (e.g. Net-zero CO2 emission target year for each G20 countries) to assess the impacts of
- various specific measures (more details in supplementary text 2).
- 159 Out of 125 scenarios, 58 provided specific details on the projected levers to achieve net-zero
- 160 emissions (Figure 3B). The main policy lever identified was decarbonization of the energy sector
- 161 through the scale-up of technologies such as carbon capture and storage, renewable energy,
- 162 electrification or development of nuclear energy production. Some scenarios aimed specifically at the
- 163 improvement of human health in a "health in all policies" approach, most commonly by improving air
- 164 guality.^{5,18,19,21,27,29,38,53,57,62,66,68} A few scenarios relied on demand-side interventions (e.g. decreased
- 165 energy or transport demand, n=7)^{6,17,31,35,47,52,56} or financial instruments (e.g. carbon taxes or prices of
- parking, n=4)^{16,53,62,66}, projected to induce various behavioural shifts (Figure S1).
- 167 Emission sectors and co-benefit pathways considered
- 168 Heterogenous combinations of emission sectors and co-benefits pathways were explored (Figure 4).

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

169	The emission sectors most frequently studied were energy (n=40), transport (n=27), industry (n=21),
170	housing (n=15) and Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use (AFOLU) (n=13) (Figure 3C). A majority
171	(n=23) of the studies were multi-sectoral and 14 studies modelled global anthropogenic emissions,
172	with some studies including natural emissions (such as vegetation fire, dust, sea sprays, biogenic
173	volatile organic compounds). These models do not incorporate any specific changes in natural
174	emissions based on the scenarios. The vast majority of studies (n=56) assessed health impacts
175	related to air quality, including fine particulate matter or $PM_{2.5}$ (n=53), O_3 (n=22), SO_2 (n=4), NO_x
176	(n=3), NO ₂ (n=4), and PM ₁₀ (n=3); five of these included household exposures to PM _{2.5} (n=5), radon
177	and tobacco smoke (n=2), O $_3$ (n=1), increased winter temperature attributable to home energy
178	efficiency (n=1) and mould (n=1). Out of the studies including PM _{2.5} , 17 considered specifically black
179	carbon. Six scenarios investigated physical activity enhanced by active transport, while five scenarios
180	examined dietary changes, with notably a reduction in red meat consumption (Figure 3D). Two
181	studies combined air pollution, diet and physical activity, ^{5,6} two studies focused exclusively on
182	physical activity 52,62 and one on household air temperature and air quality (PM $_{2.5}$, radon, tobacco
183	smoke and mould). ⁶³

184

185 Figure 4. Linkage between typology of scenario, sector of emission, co-benefit pathway and health

- 186 outcome across net-zero scenarios. Each scenario can have links to several emissions sectors,
- 187 *exposition and outcome. AFOLU: Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use.*



188

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

189 Methods used

- 190 Various health outcomes were quantified in the studies selected: 46 estimated the number of
- 191 premature deaths prevented, four calculated changes in life expectancy, six assessed life-years
- 192 gained and one calculated disability-adjusted life years. Additionally, seven studies specified
- 193 morbidity outcomes and 28 studies conducted an economic assessment, mainly using the value of a
- 194 statistical life year (n=24), with some studies adding a cost of illness (n=5) or a social cost of carbon
- 195 (n=2) assessment. Others based their assessment on external costs from the European Commission
- 196 (n=2), the unit value of health outcome (n=1) or the cost of conserved energy (n=1).
- 197 Several framework for modelling exposure were used across included studies to: 1) spatialize air
- 198 pollution concentrations based on emissions reduction using a single model or a model mixture
- 199 (atmospheric-chemistry, energy system, integrated assessment with air quality module); 2) attribute
- 200 health outcomes to changes in active transport in the population; 3) attribute health outcomes to
- 201 changes in dietary patterns in the population.
- 202 Methods to quantify health impacts were more limited in number, with 44 studies using comparative
- risk assessment methods (CRA), 13 studies relying on lifetable approaches, and one employing
- 204 microsimulations.⁵³

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

205 Confidence assessment

206 According to our criteria adapted from Hess et al.¹¹, general modelling methods were overall well

- 207 conducted (Figure 5, criteria 1 to 6). The policies, scenarios and timeframes were well defined,
- 208 whereas the most overlooked criterion was the evaluation of the equity impacts of policy adoption.
- 209 Discussion of the adverse consequences of mitigation actions, sources of uncertainty and sensitivity
- analyses were limited. There were also very little data and code publicly available. Detailed results of
- the confidence assessment by study are available in Table S4.
- 212

213 Figure 5. Confidence assessment of included studies per criterion adapted from Hess et al.¹¹



214

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

215 Synthesis of the evidence

216 Quantitative health impact

217 We were able to retrieve and scale the preventable mortality fraction of 96 scenarios across 45 218 studies. Across these scenarios, two (from one study) reported detrimental health impacts (i.e. 219 adverse effects on health) in the energy sector (-0.09% and -0.04% of mortality fraction).⁵¹ All other 220 scenarios (i.e. 94 over 96) vielded considerable reductions in all-cause mortality, with an inter-221 quartile range between 0.55% and 3.59%, and up to 18.74% (highest estimated impact),⁴⁵ with a median value of 1.48% (Figure 6A). The estimated health impacts seemed lower in studies using 222 223 lifetables and higher when accounting for increasing GHG emissions in the baseline scenario (Figure 6 224 B/C), a finding which holds true even when considering air pollution pathway only (Figure S2). 225 Although very few studies assessed the impacts of diet and physical activity pathways, the benefits 226 arising from changing their patterns have the potential to yield significant health benefits (Figure 6D). 227 Modelling emissions from multiple or unique sectors may have provided as much health benefit compared with using whole economy models (Figure 6E). We did not identify any single common 228 229 factor among the scenarios that yielded the greatest health benefits. When comparing the economic 230 benefits arising from health impacts and the implementation costs of the policies (n=13), most 231 studies (n=11) found net benefits and two found a partial compensation (or a net benefit depending 232 on the country).

233

Figure 6. Preventable mortality fraction (%) across net-zero scenarios. We depicted all scalable mortality fractions from our total sample (A) and stratified by health impact assessment methods (B), choice of the baseline scenario (C), type of co-benefit pathway (D) or sector of emission (E).

237 Horizontal bar represents the median value of preventable mortality (%). CRA: Comparative risk





239

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

240 Health impact across emission sectors and pathways of co-benefits

- 241 Most studies focused only on air pollution in association with one or several emission sectors (Figures
- 6D and S2), with a wide amplitude of health impacts, as for physical activity and diet pathways.
- 243 Regarding the most frequently studied air pollutants, fine particulate matter <2.5µm (PM_{2.5}) and
- 244 ozone (O₃), the sectors associated with the largest health co-benefits were industry, household,
- energy, transport and agriculture.^{26,42,46,68} Population density, the sectors of emissions and baseline
- 246 levels represented important drivers of potential health benefits arising through better air
- 247 quality.^{24,26,37,47,66} Health co-benefits from decreasing air pollution arose mainly from reduced acute
- 248 and chronic cardiovascular and respiratory tract diseases.^{31,32,48,61}
- 249 Increased physical activity also generated substantial public health benefits, which were comparable
- 250 to the gains expected by large scale health prevention interventions.⁵² In many countries, attainment
- 251 of net-zero emissions yielded larger co-benefits through dietary shifts, compared to air pollution
- reduction or active travel.⁵ The pathway yielding the greatest health benefits depended on regional
- 253 context and the number of mitigation actions modelled.^{5,6}

254 Health impact across the typology of net-zero scenarios

- 255 Due to a higher potential for reducing air pollution, a scenario that implemented demand reduction
- policies provided greater health benefits than an energy decarbonization scenario.¹⁷ Greater benefits
- were expected if the energy sector was based on renewable instead of carbon capture and storage technologies.³¹ "Health in all policies" scenarios (electrification and clean renewable energy) yielded
- technologies.³¹ "Health in all policies" scenarios (electrification and clean renewable energy) yielded
 four times more health co-benefits than financial instrument (combustible renewable fuels).⁶⁶ A city-
- 259 four times more nearth co-benefits than financial instrument (combustible renewable fuels). A city-
- 260 level study (Beijing) found that developing active travel and public transport yielded higher health co-
- benefits than the electrification of private vehicles (even without accounting for increased physical
- activity).⁵⁷ Different socio-economic projections, priorities given and levels of ambition yielded very
- 263 different health impacts,¹⁹ especially for physical activity and diet.⁶
- 264 Equity impact and regional disparities in net-zero scenarios
- 265 Very few studies explored the distribution of health impacts regarding socially and economically
- 266 marginalized populations (n=6). In India, health benefits of net-zero emission scenarios were
- 267 modelled to be greater for men, urban and high socio-demographic index population.⁵⁴ The
- 268 implementation of integrated climate, air quality, and clean energy access interventions had a
- synergistic impact, avoiding millions of stunted children, particularly for the most disadvantaged
- 270 children and geographic regions.⁵³
- 271 Ambitious GHG reduction efforts in California provided substantial health co-benefits, especially for
- 272 residents of disadvantaged communities.⁶⁴ In the US, the enhanced electrification of the transport
- 273 sector was shown to benefit disadvantaged communities more effectively than building
- 274 electrification.⁶⁵ Accounting for air pollution-related health impacts showed that climate policies
- 275 have the potential to reduce inequality and increase welfare at several geographical scales, partly
- 276 because the most disadvantaged communities were more exposed in some regions.^{16,67} However,
- even if inequalities were reduced with air quality improvements, they would remain high as long as
- 278 control measures do not target lower-income regions.²⁰
- 279 Partially due to a high baseline exposure and population density, air pollution co-benefits were the
- 280 greatest for China (Figure S3) and India.^{5,15–17,20–22} In G20 countries, benefits were mainly attributable
- 281 to PM_{2.5} emission reduction.²⁶ Mitigation policies affecting air pollution emissions had substantial
- transboundary health impacts, with the transport sector being a major contributor to these benefits.
- 283 ^{13,26} Carbon trading based on historical mitigation rate and low-carbon investment transfer across

- regions improved the efficiency of global mitigation actions in some contexts.¹⁴ Disparities in health
- impacts were also influenced by population aging, which is expected to increase in the coming years.
- 286 However, the health co-benefits arising from air pollution mitigation have the potential to offset the
- effects of population ageing, even for a rapidly ageing country such as China. 41, 43–45, 59

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

288 DISCUSSION

289 Review findings

290 Studies assessing the health impact of scenarios aiming at net-zero emissions show public health co-291 benefits arising from a wide array of scenarios, emission sectors, and co-benefit pathways (Figure 4). 292 98% of scenarios (94 out of 96) found favourable health impacts that depended on the scenario 293 assumptions, co-benefits pathways and region of implementation. Half of scenarios yielded more 294 than 1.5% of preventable mortality fraction. However, the preventable fraction cannot simply be 295 extrapolated from one setting to another because of the heterogeneity in co-benefit pathways, 296 demographic characteristics, modelling methods and assumptions. A large majority of studies that 297 compared implementation costs with monetized health benefits (11 out of 13) reported that the 298 costs of net-zero policies would be offset by the economic gains provided by health benefits. 299 The available evidence mostly focused on three major health pathways, namely dietary risks, air

- 300 pollution and physical inactivity, that have been estimated to be responsible for respectively up to 7,
- 301 8 and 4 million global deaths annually.^{12,69,70} Similarly to improved dietary patterns, reduced
- 302 exposure to air pollution would have the potential to yield very important health benefits, especially
- 303 in high-density and polluted regions.⁵ More comprehensive policies also targeting household air
- 304 quality could yield larger health benefits in some regions.⁶ Active transport policies also have a great
- 305 potential where the lack of physical activity already induces a high health burden.⁵
- 306 Our review identified several sources of variability in the assessed impacts. In the reviewed studies,
- 307 most health impacts were assessed either by CRA or lifetable approaches. CRA is a simpler approach
- 308 but might overestimate health impacts because it completely averts a proportion of deaths. Lifetable
- 309 approaches adopt a more realistic model of deaths over time, as they account for age-specific
- 310 mortality in the population.⁷¹ The assumptions regarding the baseline scenario, especially the
- evolution of GHG emissions, might affect the magnitude of predicted health outcomes (Figure 6C).
- 312 Explored scenarios and settings were also highly variable. Energy decarbonization based on various
- 313 technologies received the highest attention, while many net-zero scenarios were not explicit in the
- 314 transformations assumed to achieve net-zero. Despite their high mitigation potential and synergy
- 315 with well-being, demand reduction strategies were often marginalized in climate policy and scenarios
- 316 (Figure S1), with many studies failing to specify implementation mechanisms.^{4,72} A majority of studies
- 317 were performed in high-income regions (Figure S4) and only a few addressed health inequalities
- despite their relevance for public health and environmental justice.⁷³

319 Implication of the results

- 320 Given the long residence time of some GHGs (especially CO₂) in the atmosphere, accelerated and
- 321 equitable mitigation actions have the potential to attain net-zero emissions only at mid- to long-
- 322 term, depending on the emission sector (2030-35 for AFOLU and 2050 for the industry).³ Conversely,
- these same actions have the potential to improve health and well-being in the near term² by
- 324 improving cardio-vascular, respiratory and mental health outcomes associated with co-benefits
- 325 pathways ^{74,75} particularly from air pollution, diet and physical activity.⁶
- 326 Another important feature of health co-benefits of climate mitigation policies highlighted by this
- 327 review is their largely unconditional nature. From a climate perspective, mitigation actions require to
- 328 be implemented in a large part of countries and regions to allow for a control of global warming. This
- nature of climate benefits, which are conditional to global coordinated actions, may be prone to the

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

330 free-rider problem, where actors do not actively contribute to efforts while expecting to take 331 advantage from collective benefits. Conversely, most of the studies projecting net-zero scenarios 332 reported important health co-benefits while making no specific assumption regarding global 333 coordinated climate actions. In other words, health co-benefits of mitigation policies are largely 334 unconditioned to climate action from other countries or regions, and therefore likely less affected by 335 the free-rider problem. For some pathways (such as physical activity and diet), the health benefits 336 are restricted to the territories that implement the policies. For air quality, the magnitude of health benefits partially depends on the policies implemented by neighbouring countries,^{13,26} but out of the 337 35 studies assessing air pollution pathway at a national or sub-national scale, 34 revealed that net-338 339 zero policies would bring significant local air quality benefits, independently of the actions taken in 340 neighbouring countries.

- Relying on monetary valuation of health impacts, studies have shown that health co-benefits of
- 342 climate policies have the potential to outweigh the costs of net-zero policies, depending on the
- 343 region, with India and China showing the largest benefits. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
- Change (IPCC) also reports that the global benefits of climate policies (not accounting for health)
- exceed the cost of mitigation.² Economic impact assessments anticipate other benefits directly or
- indirectly affecting human health, such as the net creation of millions of jobs, fewer work loss days
- and tens of billions of dollars for labour productivity, crop yield increase, reduced hospital
 expenditures ^{13,25,55,67} and a more resilient energy system.¹⁸

349 Research gaps

- 350 The high heterogeneity of retrieved studies regarding scenarios, emission sectors, co-benefit
- 351 pathways and modelling approaches prevented us from drawing conclusions about a clear ranking of
- 352 co-benefits pathways in terms of potential health impact. In addition, our comparison of health
- impacts does not account for factors that could potentially lead to differences across studies,
- 354 particularly due to variations in locations and study populations.
- While our review highlighted important health and economic benefits, numerous health impacts remain underestimated. For instance, modal shift to active mode of transportation could provide additional health co-benefits by reducing noise exposure.⁷⁶ Included HIAs also fail to address mental health impacts, despite evidences suggesting an association between air quality and physical activity with mental health.^{74,77} Adaptation measures not accounted for, such as urban green space, also have the potential to yield substantial health benefits.⁷⁸ Incorporating household pollution is
- 361 essential for assessing potentially detrimental health impacts associated with poorly ventilated
- 362 housing.⁶³ Lastly, only one study considered the impact of prenatal environmental exposures.⁵³
- 363 Uncertainties in health impact quantification also result from difficulties in considering multiple 364 parameters such as specific exposure-response functions (across age, sex or social factors) or the 365 specific distribution of exposures among the studied population. For each mitigation action, there 366 are also potential positive synergistic effects that can be hard to account for in quantitative 367 assessments, such as reduced air pollution emissions along with changes in active transport and dietary patterns. Conversely, extreme climate hazards can restrain cycling behaviours, and health 368 impacts from combined air pollution and heat exposure are exacerbated.⁷⁹ Prospective assessments 369 370 also assume a consistent healthcare system efficiency across all scenarios while higher air pollution and temperature are associated with increased hospital admissions.⁸⁰ 371
- 372 Many of the studies and scenarios are from high and upper-middle-income regions, where the 373 mitigation efforts are expected to be the greatest, and therefore related societal changes are

- 374 expected to be important. Whether the magnitude of health co-benefits would be of the same scale
- in low-income countries remains unclear and will greatly depend on levels of fossil fuel related air
- pollution, dietary patterns and levels of physical activity.⁶⁹ For instance, evidence suggests that air
- 377 pollution reduction (and notably household pollution from cooking stoves) could have a high health
- 378 co-benefit potential in India.^{53,54} Conversely, one study showed that only modest benefits may be
- 379 expected in Nigeria from sustainable diet policies.⁵
- 380 Evidence on the feasibility and acceptability of implementing assessed actions is limited. However,
- 381 known effective interventions include dietary modifications through education, persuasion, and
- 382 environmental restructuring.⁸¹ In the transport sector, active mobility policies are most effective
- when integrating safe walking and cycling infrastructure with strong public transport support and
 educational programs.⁸²
- Finally, we did not investigate grey literature due to methodological issues, and may thus, for instance, have missed assessments published as reports.
- 387 **Perspectives and future directions**
- 388 Several recommendations for future HIA of net-zero scenarios may be inferred from our review.
- 389 First, studies should clearly state and justify which mitigation lever(s) are implied by the policy
- 390 assessed to better estimate the impacts of diverse type of net-zero emission policies.^{17,57,62} While
- 391 they gathered a relatively low research interest, demand-side mitigation policies are essential as they
- 392 have the potential to induce fundamental lifestyle changes that would support the implementation
- 393 of sustainable and healthy actions.⁷² Policies and actions must extend beyond technological
- 394 efficiency improvements to address unsustainable systems that drive high energy and material
- demands, leading to elevated emissions while neglecting healthy environments.⁴ This is particularly
- 396 evident in the transport sector, where decarbonization policies exclusively focused on technological
- 397 improvements could exacerbate physical inactivity in the population.⁸³
- 398 As aging populations can have a significant impact on estimates,⁴⁵ HIAs should prefer lifetable
- 399 approaches to estimate more accurately health impacts over time while baseline scenarios should
- 400 include a projection of the studied population to compare the impacts based on the same population
- 401 pyramid. Prospective HIAs of net-zero scenarios should carefully use adapted vulnerability indicators
- 402 to assess health impacts when possible and otherwise address inequality impacts qualitatively.⁸⁴
- 403 Assessment of energy decarbonization policies should address energy poverty which has
- 404 environmental justice implications.⁸⁵
- The lack of code and data sharing by most of the studies presents a significant barrier to advancing
 health impact monitoring associated with net-zero scenarios, such as the development of living
 systematic reviews. Accelerating research and monitoring of health impacts is essential to provide
 evidence-based and timely feedback to decision-makers.
- 409 Finally, our review highlights a need for a standardized framework to assess the health impacts of
- 410 net-zero emission scenarios. This framework should make use of already existing scalable tools and
- 411 methods to compare prospective scenarios regarding the evolution of specific exposures, to
- 412 incorporate a relevant baseline scenario and attribute health impacts across populations over time.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

413 Conclusion

- 414 Our synthesis of the available evidence suggests that achieving net-zero emissions across different
- 415 sectors would generate large health co-benefits and prevent a considerable fraction of mortality.
- 416 Therefore, each further delay in implementing transformative changes toward net-zero society may
- 417 not only increase risks induced by climate change, but also represent a missed opportunity to
- 418 improve human health. Especially because health co-benefits of climate mitigation policies are
- 419 expected to manifest in the short term, are not conditioned to global coordinated climate action, and
- 420 may outweigh the costs of mitigation policies, highlighting these health co-benefits make a strong
- 421 case for driving impactful mitigation action.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

422 DECLARATIONS

423 Availability of data and materials

- 424 All codes, analysis, extraction and quality grid are available in the following GitHub repository:
- 425 <u>https://github.com/LeoMoutet/revue_syst.</u>

426 Competing interests

427 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

428 Funding

429 This project did not receive any specific funding.

430 Authors' contributions

- 431 LM, LT and KJ designed the scope of the review, perfomed the study selection and wrote the original
- 432 draft of the article. LM and PB extracted the data from included studies. LM perfomed the
- 433 confidence assessment. RG and JM provided inputs regarding health impact assessment frameworks
- and health co-benefits. AH and RS contributed to the interpretation of the results. All authors read
- 435 and approved the final manuscript.

436 Acknowledgements

437 The authors would like to thank Audrey De Nazelle for helpful discussions regarding review findings.

438 Review protocol

439 No review protocol was published prior to this study.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

440 **REFERENCES**

- 4411UNFCCC (United nations Framework Convention on Climate Change), 21st session. Paris442Agreement. 2015. https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/english_paris_agreement.pdf.
- 2 Calvin K, Dasgupta D, Krinner G, et al. IPCC, 2023: Climate Change 2023: Synthesis Report.
- 444 Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Sixth Assessment Report of the
- 445 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Core Writing Team, H. Lee and J. Romero (eds.)].
- 446 IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 2023
- 447 DOI:10.59327/IPCC/AR6-9789291691647.
- Intergovernmental Panel On Climate Change (Ipcc), editor. Climate Change 2022 Mitigation of
 Climate Change: Working Group III Contribution to the Sixth Assessment Report of the
 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 1st edn. Cambridge University Press, 2023
 DOI:10.1017/9781009157926.
- 4 Whitmee S, Green R, Belesova K, *et al.* Pathways to a healthy net-zero future: report of the Lancet
 Pathfinder Commission. *The Lancet* 2024; **403**: 67–110.
- 454 5 Hamilton I, Kennard H, McGushin A, *et al.* The public health implications of the Paris Agreement: a
 455 modelling study. *Lancet Planet Health* 2021; 5: e74–83.
- 456 6 Milner J, Turner G, Ibbetson A, et al. Impact on mortality of pathways to net zero greenhouse gas
 457 emissions in England and Wales: a multisectoral modelling study. Lancet Planet Health 2023; 7:
 458 e128–36.
- 459 7 Landrigan PJ, Britt M, Fisher S, *et al.* Assessing the Human Health Benefits of Climate Mitigation,
 460 Pollution Prevention, and Biodiversity Preservation. *Ann Glob Health* 2024; **90**: 1.
- 461 8 Poortinga W, Whitmarsh L, Steentjes K, Gray E, Thompson S, Brisley R. Factors and framing effects
 462 in support for net zero policies in the United Kingdom. *Front Psychol* 2023; 14: 1287188.
- Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, *et al.* The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for
 reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ* 2021; : n71.
- 465 10 Veritas Health Innovation, Melbourne, Australia. Covidence systematic review software.
 466 www.covidence.org.
- 467 11 Hess JJ, Ranadive N, Boyer C, *et al.* Guidelines for Modeling and Reporting Health Effects of
 468 Climate Change Mitigation Actions. *Environ Health Perspect* 2020; **128**: 115001.
- 12 Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME). Global Burden of Disease Collaborative
 Network (GBD 2021). 2024. https://vizhub.healthdata.org/gbd-results/ (accessed March 28,
 2024).
- 472 13 Wang Y, Xie M, Wu Y, *et al.* Ozone-related Co-benefits of China's Climate mitigation Policy. *Resour* 473 *Conserv Recycl* 2022; **182**. DOI:10.1016/j.resconrec.2022.106288.
- 474 14 Wang H, Chen W, Rauner S, Bertram C, Luderer G, Kriegler E. The Double Dividend of International
 475 Cooperation for Climate Mitigation Cost Effectiveness and Public Health Cobenefits. *Environ Sci*476 *Technol* 2023; **57**: 4061–70.
- 477 15 Rauner S, Hilaire J, Klein D, Strefler J, Luderer G. Air quality co-benefits of ratcheting up the NDCs.
 478 *Clim Change* 2020; 163: 1481–500.

- 479 16 Reis LA, Drouet L, Tavoni M. Internalising health-economic impacts of air pollution into climate
 480 policy: a global modelling study. *Lancet Planet Health* 2022; 6: e40–8.
- 481 17 Sampedro J, Smith SJ, Arto I, *et al.* Health co-benefits and mitigation costs as per the Paris
 482 Agreement under different technological pathways for energy supply. *Environ Int* 2020; **136**:
 483 105513.
- 18 McCollum DL, Krey V, Riahi K, *et al.* Climate policies can help resolve energy security and air
 pollution challenges. *Clim Change* 2013; **119**: 479–94.
- 19 Polonik P, Ricke K, Burney J. Paris Agreement's Ambiguity About Aerosols Drives Uncertain Health
 and Climate Outcomes. *Earths Future* 2021; 9. DOI:10.1029/2020EF001787.
- 488 20 Reddington CL, Turnock ST, Conibear L, *et al.* Inequalities in Air Pollution Exposure and 489 Attributable Mortality in a Low Carbon Future. *Earths Future* 2023; **11**: e2023EF003697.
- 490 21 Sampedro J, Cui RY, McJeon H, *et al.* Quantifying the reductions in mortality from air-pollution by
 491 cancelling new coal power plants. *Energy Clim Change* 2021; **2**. DOI:10.1016/j.egycc.2020.100023.
- 492 22 Vandyck T, Keramidas K, Kitous A, *et al.* Air quality co-benefits for human health and agriculture
 493 counterbalance costs to meet Paris Agreement pledges. *Nat Commun* 2018; **9**: 4939.
- 23 Markandya A, Sampedro J, Smith SJ, *et al.* Health co-benefits from air pollution and mitigation
 costs of the Paris Agreement: a modelling study. *Lancet Planet Health* 2018; 2: e126–33.
- 496 24 Jacobson MZ, Delucchi MA, Bauer ZAF, *et al.* 100% Clean and Renewable Wind, Water, and
 497 Sunlight All-Sector Energy Roadmaps for 139 Countries of the World. *Joule* 2017; 1: 108–21.
- 25 Nawaz MO, Henze DK, Anenberg SC, Braun C, Miller J, Pronk E. A Source Apportionment and
 Emission Scenario Assessment of PM 2.5 and O3 related Health Impacts in G20 Countries. *GeoHealth* 2023; **7**: e2022GH000713.
- 501 26 Schmid D, Korkmaz P, Blesl M, Fahl U, Friedrich R. Analyzing transformation pathways to a
 502 sustainable European energy system—Internalization of health damage costs caused by air
 503 pollution. *Energy Strategy Rev* 2019; **26**. DOI:10.1016/j.esr.2019.100417.
- 27 Rafaj P, Kiesewetter G, Krey V, *et al.* Air quality and health implications of 1.5 °c-2 °c climate
 pathways under considerations of ageing population: A multi-model scenario analysis. *Environ Res Lett* 2021; 16. DOI:10.1088/1748-9326/abdf0b.
- 28 Rafaj P, Kiesewetter G, Gül T, *et al.* Outlook for clean air in the context of sustainable development
 goals. *Glob Environ Change* 2018; **53**: 1–11.
- 29 Rafaj P, Schöpp W, Russ P, Heyes C, Amann M. Co-benefits of post-2012 global climate mitigation
 policies. *Mitig Adapt Strateg Glob Change* 2013; 18: 801–24.
- 30 Chen H, Wang Z, Xu S, Zhao Y, Cheng Q, Zhang B. Energy demand, emission reduction and health
 co-benefits evaluated in transitional China in a 2 °C warming world. *J Clean Prod* 2020; 264.
 DOI:10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121773.
- 31 Cai W, Hui J, Wang C, *et al.* The Lancet Countdown on PM 2·5 pollution-related health impacts of
 China's projected carbon dioxide mitigation in the electric power generation sector under the
 Paris Agreement: a modelling study. *Lancet Planet Health* 2018; **2**: e151–61.

- 517 32 Ma T, Zhang S, Xiao Y, *et al.* Costs and health benefits of the rural energy transition to carbon
- neutrality in China. *Nat Commun* 2023; **14**: 6101.
- 33 Tang R, Zhao J, Liu Y, *et al.* Air quality and health co-benefits of China's carbon dioxide emissions
 peaking before 2030. *Nat Commun* 2022; 13: 1008.
- 34 Yang X, Xi X, Lin W, Guo S. Effect of China's energy conservation efforts on reducing health
 damage. *Energy Procedia* 2019; **158**: 3768–73.
- 523 35 Luo Q, Garcia-Menendez F, Lin J, He G, Johnson JX. Accelerating China's power sector

decarbonization can save lives: integrating public health goals into power sector planning
 decisions. *Environ Res Lett* 2023; 18: 104023.

- 36 Shen J, Cai W, Chen X, *et al.* Synergies of carbon neutrality, air pollution control, and health
 improvement a case study of China energy interconnection scenario. *Glob Energy Interconnect* 2022; 5: 531–42.
- 37 Xing J, Lu X, Wang S, *et al.* The quest for improved air quality may push China to continue its CO2
 reduction beyond the Paris Commitment. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2020; **117**: 29535–42.
- 531 38 Qu C, Yang X, Zhang D, Zhang X. ESTIMATING HEALTH CO-BENEFITS OF CLIMATE POLICIES IN
- 532 CHINA: AN APPLICATION OF THE REGIONAL EMISSIONS-AIR QUALITY-CLIMATE-HEALTH (REACH)
 533 FRAMEWORK. *Clim Change Econ* 2020; **11**: 2041004.
- 39 Zhang S, An K, Li J, *et al.* Incorporating health co-benefits into technology pathways to achieve
 China's 2060 carbon neutrality goal: a modelling study. *Lancet Planet Health* 2021; 5: e808–17.
- 40 Cheng J, Tong D, Liu Y, *et al.* A synergistic approach to air pollution control and carbon neutrality
 in China can avoid millions of premature deaths annually by 2060. *One Earth* 2023; 6: 978–89.
- 41 Conibear L, Reddington CL, Silver BJ, *et al.* The contribution of emission sources to the future air
 pollution disease burden in China. *Environ Res Lett* 2022; **17**: 064027.
- 42 Liu Y, Tong D, Cheng J, *et al.* Role of climate goals and clean-air policies on reducing future air
 pollution deaths in China: a modelling study. *Lancet Planet Health* 2022; 6: e92–9.
- 43 Li N, Chen W, Rafaj P, *et al.* Air Quality Improvement Co-benefits of Low-Carbon Pathways toward
 Well Below the 2 °C Climate Target in China. *Environ Sci Technol* 2019; **53**: 5576–84.
- 44 Wang Y, Liao H, Chen H, Chen L. Future Projection of Mortality From Exposure to PM _{2.5} and O ₃
 Under the Carbon Neutral Pathway: Roles of Changing Emissions and Population Aging. *Geophys Res Lett* 2023; **50**: e2023GL104838.
- 547 45 Phillips D. Ambient Air Quality Synergies with a 2050 Carbon Neutrality Pathway in South Korea.
 548 *Climate* 2021; **10**: 1.
- 46 Hata H, Inoue K, Yoshikado H, Genchi Y, Tsunemi K. Impact of introducing net-zero carbon
 strategies on tropospheric ozone (O3) and fine particulate matter (PM2.5) concentrations in
 Japanese region in 2050. *Sci Total Environ* 2023; **891**: 164442.
- 47 Zyśk J, Wyrwa A, Suwała W, Pluta M, Olkuski T, Raczyński M. The impact of decarbonization
 scenarios on air quality and human health in Poland-analysis of scenarios up to 2050. *Atmosphere*2020; **11**. DOI:10.3390/atmos11111222.

It is made available under a CC-BY-NC 4.0 International license .

- 555 48 Zyśk J, Wyrwa A, Pluta M, Olkuski T, Suwała W, Raczyński M. The health impact and external cost 556 of electricity production. *Energies* 2021; **14**. DOI:10.3390/en14248263.
- 49 Williams ML, Lott MC, Kitwiroon N, *et al.* The Lancet Countdown on health benefits from the UK
 Climate Change Act: a modelling study for Great Britain. *Lancet Planet Health* 2018; 2: e202–13.
- 50 Barban P, De Nazelle A, Chatelin S, Quirion P, Jean K. Assessing the Health Benefits of Physical
 Activity Due to Active Commuting in a French Energy Transition Scenario. *Int J Public Health* 2022;
 67: 1605012.
- 562 51 Dimitrova A, Marois G, Kiesewetter G, *et al.* Projecting the impact of air pollution on child stunting 563 in India—synergies and trade-offs between climate change mitigation, ambient air quality control, 564 and clean cooking access. *Environ Res Lett* 2022; **17**. DOI:10.1088/1748-9326/ac8e89.
- 565 52 Dimitrova A, Marois G, Kiesewetter G, K C S, Rafaj P, Tonne C. Health impacts of fine particles
 566 under climate change mitigation, air quality control, and demographic change in India. *Environ Res*567 *Lett* 2021; **16**. DOI:10.1088/1748-9326/abe5d5.
- 568 53 Shindell D, Ru M, Zhang Y, *et al.* Temporal and spatial distribution of health, labor, and crop
 569 benefits of climate change mitigation in the United States. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2021; **118**.
 570 DOI:10.1073/pnas.2104061118.
- 54 Xie W, Guo W, Shao W, Li F, Tang Z. Environmental and health co-benefits of coal regulation under
 the carbon neutral target: A case study in Anhui province, China. *Sustain Switz* 2021; 13.
 DOI:10.3390/su13116498.
- 574 55 Lu C, Adger WN, Morrissey K, *et al.* Scenarios of demographic distributional aspects of health co-575 benefits from decarbonising urban transport. *Lancet Planet Health* 2022; **6**: e461–74.

56 Lin Z, Wang P, Ren S, Zhao D. Comprehensive impact assessment of carbon neutral pathways and
air pollution control policies in Shaanxi Province of China. *Resour Conserv Recycl Adv* 2023; 18.
DOI:10.1016/j.rcradv.2023.200143.

- 579 57 Ma X, Zhang B, Duan H, *et al.* Estimating future PM2.5-attributed acute myocardial infarction
 incident cases under climate mitigation and population change scenarios in Shandong Province,
 581 China. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf* 2023; **256**: 114893.
- 582 58 Zhang S, Wu Y, Liu X, *et al.* Co-benefits of deep carbon reduction on air quality and health 583 improvement in Sichuan Province of China. *Environ Res Lett* 2021; **16**: 095011.
- 584 59 Creutzig F, Mühlhoff R, Römer J. Decarbonizing urban transport in European cities: four cases
 show possibly high co-benefits. *Environ Res Lett* 2012; 7: 044042.
- 60 Shrubsole C, Das P, Milner J, et al. A tale of two cities: Comparison of impacts on CO2 emissions,
 the indoor environment and health of home energy efficiency strategies in London and Milton
 Keynes. Atmos Environ 2015; 120: 100–8.
- 61 Wang T, Jiang Z, Zhao B, *et al.* Health co-benefits of achieving sustainable net-zero greenhouse gas
 emissions in California. *Nat Sustain* 2020; **3**: 597–605.
- 62 Zhu S, Mac Kinnon M, Carlos-Carlos A, Davis SJ, Samuelsen S. Decarbonization will lead to more
 equitable air quality in California. *Nat Commun* 2022; 13: 5738.

- 63 Zhao B, Wang T, Jiang Z, *et al.* Air Quality and Health Cobenefits of Different Deep Decarbonization
 Pathways in California. *Environ Sci Technol* 2019; 53: 7163–71.
- 595 64 Ortiz LE, Stiles R, Whitaker S, *et al.* Public health benefits of zero-emission electric power
 596 generation in Virginia. *Heliyon* 2023; **9**: e20198.
- 597 65 Nawaz MO, Henze DK, Huneeus NJ, *et al.* Sources of Air Pollution Health Impacts and Co-Benefits 598 of Carbon Neutrality in Santiago, Chile. *J Geophys Res Atmospheres* 2023; **128**: e2023JD038808.
- 66 Lelieveld J, Haines A, Burnett R, *et al.* Air pollution deaths attributable to fossil fuels: observational
 and modelling study. *BMJ* 2023; : e077784.
- 67 Katzmarzyk PT, Friedenreich C, Shiroma EJ, Lee I-M. Physical inactivity and non-communicable
 disease burden in low-income, middle-income and high-income countries. *Br J Sports Med* 2021; :
 bjsports-2020-103640.
- 604 68 Mueller N, Anderle R, Brachowicz N, *et al.* Model Choice for Quantitative Health Impact
 605 Assessment and Modelling: An Expert Consultation and Narrative Literature Review. *Int J Health*606 *Policy Manag* 2023; : 1.
- 607 69 Niamir L, Creutzig F. Demand-side solutions to climate change mitigation consistent with high
 608 levels of wellbeing. 2020; published online Dec 21. DOI:10.5281/ZENODO.5163965.
- 70 Spurlock CA, Elmallah S, Reames TG. Equitable deep decarbonization: A framework to facilitate
 energy justice-based multidisciplinary modeling. *Energy Res Soc Sci* 2022; **92**.
 DOI:10.1016/j.erss.2022.102808.
- 612 71 Herbert C, Meixner F, Wiebking C, Gilg V. Regular Physical Activity, Short-Term Exercise, Mental
 613 Health, and Well-Being Among University Students: The Results of an Online and a Laboratory
 614 Study. Front Psychol 2020; 11: 509.
- 615 72 Haines A, McMichael AJ, Smith KR, *et al.* Public health benefits of strategies to reduce
 616 greenhouse-gas emissions: overview and implications for policy makers. *The Lancet* 2009; **374**:
 617 2104–14.
- 618 73 European Environment Agency. Environmental noise in Europe, 2020. LU: Publications Office,
 619 2020 https://data.europa.eu/doi/10.2800/686249 (accessed June 14, 2024).
- 74 Braithwaite I, Zhang S, Kirkbride JB, Osborn DPJ, Hayes JF. Air Pollution (Particulate Matter)
 Exposure and Associations with Depression, Anxiety, Bipolar, Psychosis and Suicide Risk: A
 Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Environ Health Perspect* 2019; **127**: 126002.
- 75 Barboza EP, Cirach M, Khomenko S, *et al.* Green space and mortality in European cities: a health
 impact assessment study. *Lancet Planet Health* 2021; 5: e718–30.
- 76 Anenberg SC, Haines S, Wang E, Nassikas N, Kinney PL. Synergistic health effects of air pollution,
 temperature, and pollen exposure: a systematic review of epidemiological evidence. *Environ Health Glob Access Sci Source* 2020; 19: 130.
- 77 Qiu X, Danesh-Yazdi M, Wei Y, *et al.* Associations of short-term exposure to air pollution and
 increased ambient temperature with psychiatric hospital admissions in older adults in the USA: a
 case-crossover study. *Lancet Planet Health* 2022; 6: e331–41.

- 631 78 Wadi NM, Cheikh K, Keung YW, Green R. Investigating intervention components and their
- effectiveness in promoting environmentally sustainable diets: a systematic review. *Lancet Planet Health* 2024; 8: e410–22.
- 634 79 Zukowska J, Gobis A, Krajewski P, *et al.* Which transport policies increase physical activity of the 635 whole of society? A systematic review. *J Transp Health* 2022; **27**: 101488.
- 80 Moutet L, Bigo A, Quirion P, Temime L, Jean K. Different pathways toward net-zero emissions
 imply diverging health impacts: a health impact assessment study for France. *Environ Res Health*2024; 2: 035005.
- 81 Robinson S, Roberts JT, Weikmans R, Falzon D. Vulnerability-based allocations in loss and damage
 finance. *Nat Clim Change* 2023; 13: 1055–62.
- 641 82 Levenda AM, Behrsin I, Disano F. Renewable energy for whom? A global systematic review of the 642 environmental justice implications of renewable energy technologies. *Energy Res Soc Sci* 2021; **71**.
- 643 DOI:10.1016/j.erss.2020.101837.